

+Information Governance Report Responses to Requests Period: 01/01/2013 to 31/01/2013

Type	Sub Enquiry ID	Date Received	Date Responded	Service	Enquiry Details	Response Details
Public	13794	11/09/12	04/01/2013	Food Safety	<p>Subject : Food Safety Inspections</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, please could you tell me the borough's records for:</p> <p>1. The annual number of premises which have been inspected for each of the last 5 years, broken down by the type of outlet (e.g. restaurant, takeaway, school or hospital kitchen</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request and e mail clarification and apologise for the delay in our reply.</p> <p>1. Information covering the past two years can be found on the following website http://www.food.gov.uk/ . Though not as detailed as requested, to this extent the information is exempt under section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) as the level of information sought is already reasonably accessible to you.</p> <p>2 - 4. We are unable to provided detailed breakdown (including regarding information publicly available, as stated above) for inspections, notices issued during the last five years as this task would involve an extensive amount of Officers time trawling through a vast amount of data manually (as the information is not stored electronically). We estimate that this task would exceed the appropriate limit under the FOIA.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of</p>

				<p>etc.)</p> <p>2. The annual number of premises which have been issues with Hygiene Improvement Notices for each of the last 5 years, broken down by the type of outlet (e.g. restaurant, takeaway, school or hospital kitchen etc.)</p> <p>3. The annual number of premises which have been forced to close because of food safety concerns for each of the last 5 years, broken down by the type of outlet (e.g. restaurant, takeaway, school or hospital kitchen etc.) and also the type of action, be</p>	<p>complying with a request exceeds the 'appropriate limit'. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under Section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>that a Hygiene Emergency Prohibition Notices or Hygiene Prohibition Order, or an alternative notice.</p> <p>4. The annual number of inspections made to food businesses/ premises (including all land uses, such as hospitals and schools) by the borough for each of the last 5 years</p> <p>Please provide these figures for financial years including 2011/12.</p>	
Public	14201	27/10/12	04/01/2013	Head of Safeguarding Intervention	<p>Subject: Temporary Accommodation for Children</p> <p>We have been provided with a</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Further to our previous e-mail to you and your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) 2000 and sincerely apologise for the delay in replying back to you.</p>

				<p>temporary accommodation by Children's and Young people services in Newham. We are living in the house since nearly 2 months.</p> <p>However the house provided contains mice, cockroaches, spiders and other insects. We have three children of 5 years, 3 years and 2 months respectively.</p> <p>The children are scared of the mice/insects/snails and despite complaining to the social worker, no action has been taken. Instead, cockroaches sometimes have been found on the new born baby.</p>	<p>We are now in the position to address some of the aspects of your request following further enquires with Officers of the Council.</p> <p>You have asked under the FOI Act -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the statutory guidance about the existing minimum standards for your temporary accommodation provided to children? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. In relation to this aspect of your request under FOI, we can advise that the Children's & Young Peoples Service (CYPS) do not have any policies in relation to the types and standards of accommodation provided to families. CYPS do not hold any housing stock and rely on the private sector to spot purchase suitable properties (in this case) where a family does not meet the criteria to be housed by our partner agency i.e. housing department. <p>It should be noted that most private landlords are part of Newham's Licensing Scheme and those who are part of this scheme would be required to meet a certain criteria relating to the standard of accommodation provided. This scheme is operated by our Housing Department.</p> <p>The following link provides further information about the scheme and the standards that private landlords have to meet http://www.newham.gov.uk/Housing/PrivateSectorHousing/HousesInMultipleOccupation/PropertyLicensing.htm</p> <p>We would advise in reading your request that any concerns</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>Please note that the accommodation is overcrowded with 5 people in our family.</p> <p>My question is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the statutory guidance about the existing minimum standards for your temporary accommodation provided to children? 2. What is the policy related to children's services when such complaints have been made? 3. What is your duty towards the Section 55 Act? 4. What is the 	<p>you have with the standard of your accommodation, that you raise them with your landlord in the first instance. In these circumstances, we have also passed your request to our Corporate Complaints Team who will be able to assist you further on this matter.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. What is the policy related to children's services when such complaints have been made? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. There is no specific policy with regards to accommodation within children services. However, corporate complaint procedure is engaged when a customer is not happy with their accommodation provided by the Council. Please note, we have referred this particular aspect of your request to our Corporate Complaints Team who will be able to assist you regarding the quality of your current temporary accommodation and concerns about pest control issues. <p>As you are already aware complaints can be raised via the Council's Corporate Complaints process at Corporate.Complaints@newham.gov.uk .</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. What is your duty towards the Section 55 Act? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. We should advise that this aspect of your request is not entirely clear as there are a number of policies regarding the safeguarding of children in the borough. In order to assist you, please find the following link from our website in relation to the safeguarding of children in the borough http://www.newham.gov.uk/HealthAndSocialCare/NewhamLocalSafeguardingChildrenBoard/ http://www.newham.gov.uk/HealthAndSocialCare/Childrenan
--	--	--	--	---	--

					policy regarding children in your borough?	dYoungPeople.htm . 4. What is the policy regarding children in your borough? a. Please refer to our response provided at question 3.
Public	14399	19/11/12	18/01/2013	Communications	Subject: Mayor's Donations to Charity 1. The dates and amounts of each payment made to charity by the Council on behalf of the Mayor. 2. A copy of the agreement between the Council and the mayor regarding the authority's distribution to charity of the mayor's annual pay increase. 3. Copies of all communications between Private	Summary Further to your email dated 20th December 2012, we have conducted a review of our response and the outcome is outlined below. The Council is a public body within the terms of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, as you pointed out. However, the Council's obligations are subject to Sections 1 and 2; which states: (1) Any person making a request for information to a public authority is entitled— (a) to be informed in writing by the public authority whether it holds information of the description specified in the request, and (b) If that is the case, to have that information communicated to him. and (2) In respect of any information which is exempt information by virtue of any provision of Part II, section 1(1)(b) does not apply if or to the extent that— (a) the information is exempt information by virtue of a

					<p>Eye and the Council regarding this matter.</p> <p>4. Evidence from the Council's accounting systems that the above mentioned payments have been made.</p>	<p>provision conferring absolute exemption, or (b) in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.</p> <p>It is therefore not correct to say that "full disclosure of everything the authority does should be construed as being in the public interest". Information can be legitimately withheld by the Council if is deemed to fall within Sections 2 (a) - absolute exemption or (b) - qualified exemption.</p> <p>Section 36 is a qualified exemption and we feel that it is engaged by the information in question. Whilst the fact that the Council is funded from the public purse is a relevant consideration in determining the public interest of the disclosure, it is not the only factor. Other issues have been taken into account; as outlined on the Section 36 Analysis. You have not provided any additional grounds that tip the balance in favour of disclosure. Therefore, for the reasons stated in this response and previously, we maintain the exemption.</p> <p>With regards to the provision of incorrect information; the Council's duty under the Act is to provide access to recorded information, which is held at the time of receiving the request.</p> <p>See Section 84: "information" (subject to sections 51(8) and 75(2)) means information recorded in any form;</p> <p>Requests that seek to elicit comments and opinions or therefore not valid. We do not have recorded information would explain the error, other than the information that was</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>communicated to you via letter from Martin Gibbs dated 19th November 2012.</p> <p>Summary</p> <p>1. The dates and amounts of each payment made to charity by the Council on behalf of the Mayor.</p> <p>Please find attached a historical transactions report from the Council's accounting system which shows the regular deductions made which are not received in the Mayor's bank account but donated to charity. The money is administered externally by the Charities Aid Foundation charity and is designated for the Newham People's Trust, registered charity number 208350.</p> <p>This is the only available reporting facility which is able to compile the payments made and is only able to retrieve information through this particular search facility as far back as July 2011. On this system the information is recorded by references, as opposed to names.</p> <p>We have redacted the origin account number and sort code to protect this personal data, in line with the exemption from disclosure of third party personal data under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act</p> <p>2. A copy of the agreement between the Council and the mayor regarding the authority's distribution to charity of the Mayor's annual pay increase.</p> <p>Following on from the letter forwarded to you by Mr Martin</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>Gibbs on 19th November 2012, there is no formal or compulsory Council arrangement in place.</p> <p>3. Copies of all communications between Private Eye and the Council regarding this matter.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 36 applies, which provides for exemption where disclosure would be prejudicial to the effective conduct of public affairs.</p> <p>Section 36 relates to information that, if disclosed, would adversely affect the delivery of public services and exempts information which disclosure would likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views for the purpose of deliberation and prejudice the conduct of public affairs.</p> <p>The exchange of emails between the Council's press office and Private Eye were conducted in the preliminary stages of clarifying information for a possible news story. The Council's Proper Officer, as required by the Act, has deemed that it would not be appropriate to release the information requested in full under the provisions of section 36, as it is more in the public interest to withhold the information as release would be likely to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs, inhibit free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views within local government.</p> <p>In coming to this decision the Proper Officer has had regard to the matters outlined on the attached analysis.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>4. Evidence from the Council's accounting systems that the above mentioned payments have been made.</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1 and the attached screen view of the accounting system.</p>
Solicitors	14444	23/11/12	17/01/2013	Legal Services	<p>Subject : The Riverine Centre - PART 8 Claim</p> <p>1. Please provide the name of the person who authorised the bringing of the proceedings against our client.</p> <p>2. Please provide a copy of any written authorisation given by that person to allow the proceedings to be brought or, failing that, confirm that there is no written authorisation.</p> <p>3. Please provide a copy of any report</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>9. Please provide details of all studies and reports produced in support of the Council's specific inclusion of my client's site as Strategic Site S10 in the Council's Core Strategy (Newham 2027) (January 2012)</p> <p>The Core Strategy is founded on a robust and credible evidence base, as required by the former PPS 12 - one of the tests of soundness that the Planning Inspectorate found that the Core Strategy had met. The main sources of evidence are listed in the Core Strategy itself; these include a number of major studies commissioned by the Council, accessible via the Council's website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Planning/LocalDevelopmentFramework/LDFevidencebase.htm</p> <p>Studies that are of particular relevance in this case include the Employment Land Review, the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, the Newham Affordable Housing Economic Viability Assessment and the Town Centre and Retail Study. In addition the responses from the Council's engagement programme, including formal public consultation, were taken into account.</p>

				<p>and all of the information taken into account by the person who authorised the bringing of the proceedings or, failing that, confirm that there is no report or record of the matters that were taken into consideration.</p> <p>4. Please explain why the proceedings were not brought until 2 November 2012.</p> <p>5. Please provide a copy of the Councils adopted policies in relation to the protection of human rights within the Borough and, in particular, its adopted policies in relation to the protection of freedom of</p>	<p>The evolution of the Core Strategy from Issues and Options to Adoption, including all major supporting documentation, can be found at: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Planning/LocalDevelopmentFramework/default.htm</p> <p>10. Please provide copies of all emails between Officers of the Council, all emails between Officers and Members and all emails between Officers and third parties in relation to the bringing of the proceedings.</p> <p>Please find enclosed the documentation which is disc losable in response to your request. Please note we have redacted the sections of the minutes which relate to other Council matters and not in relation to the Riverine Centre with the exception of the minutes to 16 March 2012 which are exempted under Regulation 12(5)(d) of the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 as explained below.</p> <p>We consider that the correspondence exchanged between officers within the Council and between officers and Members to be excepted from disclosure under Regulation 12(4)(e) of the Environmental Regulations 2004.</p> <p>Regulation 12 (4) states a public authority may refuse to disclose information to the extent that – (e) the request involves the disclosure of internal communications.</p> <p>In determining the engagement of this exception we have applied the public Interest test in assessing as to whether withholding the requested information outweighs the public</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>worship, together with policies dealing with interference with such rights and their mitigation.</p> <p>6. Please provide details of all expressions of interest since 2005 which have been received by the Council in relation to an acquisition of my client's site.</p> <p>7. Please provide details of all alternative sites which the Council has identified since 2005 as being suitable and available for my client in the event that it was to vacate the site and relocate to an alternative site.</p> <p>8. Please provide</p>	<p>interest in disclosing it. Whilst we appreciate that your clients and their supporters wish to have disclosed the documentation to which your request is directed we consider that the public interest is best served by protecting the necessary space to allow public authorities, including their officers and members, to think, assess and deliberate on the exercise of Council powers without the restraints of expectation of the potential disclosure of internal communications and deliberations.</p> <p>Some exchanges of emails between Council officers are conducted in the preliminary stages of clarifying information and in assessing the expediency of initiating proceedings in this case. We believe that disclosure of these internal communications on this matter could potentially prejudice the effective conduct and decision making and in turn impact on the delivery of public services.</p> <p>In addition we consider that regulation 12 (5) (d) of the 2004 Regulations is engaged in accepting the disclosure of certain correspondence on this matter.</p> <p>Regulation 12 (5) states a public authority may refuse to disclose information to the extent that its disclosure would adversely affect - (d) the confidentiality of the proceedings of that or any other public authority where such confidentiality is provided by law.</p> <p>We consider that disclosure of the communications in deliberation of the bringing of the proceedings is legally privileged and its disclosure would potentially adversely affect the current High Court proceedings. We have again applied</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>details of adopted supplementary planning documents or other guidance produced by the Council in relation to the identification of additional worship space within the Borough for minority religions (including Islam) where need for such space outstrips supply.</p> <p>9. Please provide details of all studies and reports produced in support of the Councils specific inclusion of my client's site as Strategic Site 510 in the Councils Core Strategy (Newham 2027) (January 2012).</p> <p>10. Please provide</p>	<p>the Public Interest test in determining as to whether withholding the requested information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it. As previously stated, we consider that it is in the public interest to withhold information which we believe could adversely impact upon current legal proceedings, initiated in the exercise of Council powers and in the wider public interest of the local community.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>copies of all emails between Officers of the Council, all emails between Officers and Members and all emails between Officers and third parties in relation to the bringing of the proceedings.</p> <p>11. Please provide a copy of the Council's Enforcement Policy (if any) and confirm whether the Council is a signatory to the Enforcement Concordat.</p>	
Public	14530	03/12/12	02/01/2013	Post 16 and Out of School Learning	<p>Subject: Disabled Young People/Schools</p> <p>1. How many disabled young people are there in your area due to leave school by the</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. How many disabled young people (YP) are there in your area due to leave school by the end of this school year? What will be their transition process from school to post-school?</p> <p>A. 31 young people have been identified to transfer to Adult Social Care between the 1st April 2012 to 31st March</p>

				<p>end of this school year? What will be their transition process from school to post-school?</p> <p>2. How many disabled young people are there in your area who have left school since the end of the 2 previous school years? What was their transition process from school to post-school?</p> <p>3. How many disabled young people are there in your area who will be under the age of 25 years at 31/08/13? What has been their transition process from school to post-school?</p>	<p>2013. All young people who transfer will have a person centred support plan, and will be offered a personal budget unless they do not meet the criteria (e.g. in residential care).</p> <p>2. How many disabled young people are there in your area who have left school since the end of the 2 previous school years? What was their transition process from school to post-school?</p> <p>A. 71 young people are open to the Transition to Adults Team. As part of the person centred planning process further learning opportunities are discussed usually with connexions and support put in place, if a young person is offered a place at the local college. If the young person does not want to access education a number access adult education workshops with support from their personal assistant are funded through their personal budget.</p> <p>We can advise that we are building links with our local apprenticeships schemes to look at how these can be adapted to ensure they are accessible to all. We are also exploring how we can support young people to have their own micro-enterprise scheme. Some young people use local Disability Employment Advisor for support into employment.</p> <p>3. How many disabled young people are there in your area who will be under the age of 25 years at 31/08/13? What has been their transition process from school to post-school?</p> <p>A. 110 and as above.</p> <p>4. What finance have you allocated to support for young</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>4. What finance have you allocated to support for young disabled adults (to 25 yrs) during the financial years 10/11, 11/12 and 12/13?</p> <p>5. How many dedicated safe locations do you provide where young disabled people can meet for social activities, mentoring, support, and/or recreation?</p> <p>6. What were/are your plans during the financial years 11/12 and 12/2013 years to extend the number of such facilities or to provide them if none exists?</p>	<p>disabled adults (to 25 yrs) during the financial years 10/11, 11/12 and 12/13?</p> <p>A. We are unable to provide an accurate overall total of the funds allocated to support young disabled adults as support may be given through a number of different teams, services and support given in a variety of different ways. Similarly support may be given to young disabled adults which is not specifically ring-fenced for this particular purpose and would therefore not be possible to extract from financial returns.</p> <p>For your continued reference, please see the weblink below to Newham's Budget Book which may provide you useful information as to how the Council's budgets for particular services.</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/BudgetBook.htm</p> <p>For your ease of reference, budgets for Disabled Children and Young People Services (shown as DCYPS on the document) can be located from Page 42 on the Budget Book for the financial year 2012/2013.</p> <p>5. How many dedicated safe locations do you provide where young disabled people can meet for social activities, mentoring, support, and/or recreation?</p> <p>A. Youth Services do not provide any locations that are dedicated to young disabled people. There are 30 Youth</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

						<p>Centres in the Borough that are open to disabled young people, but none are dedicated specifically to this group. There are two centres that provide activity specifically for young people with special educational needs.</p> <p>6. What were/are your plans during the financial years 11/12 and 12/2013 years to extend the number of such facilities or to provide them if none exists?</p> <p>A. Currently there are no plans to extend or increase this provision in 2012/13.</p>
Organisation	14532	03/12/12	02/01/2013	Finance	<p>Subject: Procurement of the Queen's Market Cleaning Contract</p> <p>We write to you with a request for information relating to the procurement of the Queen's Market cleaning contract.</p> <p>As we are to understand from information provided on the Newham council</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We do not hold any recorded information in respect of the contract and amount alleged in your request.</p> <p>It may be useful to advise of the present provision in place. Until August 2011 the Council's in-house Cleansing, Waste & Recycling Service (CWR) provided cleansing and waste management services for Queens Market.</p> <p>The whole provision and ownership of this function was passed over to Markets Management within Property Services in August 2011.</p> <p>The Markets Management Team reviewed the situation and as an interim solution employed its own cleansing and recycling staff directly on temporary contracts and as Newham employees.</p>

				<p>website:</p> <p>'all contracts valued in excess of £100,000 are typically formally tendered a public notice will appear on our e-tendering system, website and where appropriate in trade journals and the local press. This notice will set out brief details of the requirements of the contract to be fulfilled together with information on how to express an interest.'</p> <p>We therefore request all of the relevant documentation pertaining to this contract including the Council's detailed outline of the contract and</p>	<p>The Markets Management team also utilised the expertise of a local recycling charity who has assisted in dealing with waste from the market and reaching a recycling rate in excess of 90%. This appointment was based on a service level agreement.</p> <p>With these operational changes taking place within the street markets service the Council has made the decision to carry out a fundamental review of Street markets provided in Newham.</p> <p>Based on bench marking with other local authorities and best practice, the outcome of the review will provide an overview of the direction Newham's street markets will take in the future and determine who provides the market's future management and waste and recycling services.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>the response to the public notice expressing interest in tendering for this particular contract.</p> <p>We also seek to confirm the value of this four year contract as being that of £484,000 p.a. We require the full outline of the tender bid procedure and suppliers.</p>	
Solicitors	14560	04/12/12	03/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject: PCNs, Vehicle Clamping & Freehold of Dockside</p> <p>Please provide the following information within the FOI timeline:- For the following years, from January to December 2009 2010</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please see attached spreadsheet. 2. Please refer to the information listed below: 2009 2 towed vehicles and the income generated £500.00; 2010 2 towed vehicles and the income generated £250.00; 2011 42 towed vehicles and the income generated £11,025.00; 2012 (January - date) 27 towed vehicles and the income generated £7,020.00.

				<p>2011 2012 - to include November 2012</p> <p>ON STANSFIELD ROAD, E16 (for the above years)</p> <p>1. the number of PCN issued AND the income gained 2. the number of vehicle towings to the car pound AND the income gained</p> <p>3. What and who determines when to tow vehicles 4. Before towing, should there be warning signs alerting that cars will be towed.</p> <p>AT DOCKSIDE (for the above years)</p> <p>5. The number of vehicles clamped 6. The income gained from clamping</p>	<p>Therefore, the total number of removals was 73 and the total income generated £18,795.00.</p> <p>3. Civil Enforcement Officers (CE's) based on our current policy for removals (see appendix d). http://www.newham.gov.uk/ParkingAndTransport/ParkingAndTrafficEnforcement/ParkingPolicyandProcedures.htm .</p> <p>4. We can advise that notices are placed on the vehicle to advise that the vehicle has been authorised for removal.</p> <p>5- 8. We do not hold this information as the area immediately outside of Dockside is privately owned land which is operated and controlled by a private company called Nighthawk. Nighthawk, hold full responsibility to ensure that the signage outside of Dockside is updated and removed when necessary.</p> <p>9 -10. The owner of the freehold at Newham Dockside is the London Development Agency (LDA). Following the closure of the LDA, the land ownership is now under the Greater London Authority (GLA) who can be contacted at http://london.gov.uk/contact-us-home .</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>7. The name of the company earning the clamping income</p> <p>8. The document from the Newham Executive giving permission for cars to be clamped at Dockside</p> <p>OWNERSHIP OF 1000 DOCKSIDE</p> <p>9. Who owns the freehold of Dockside</p> <p>10. Is the premises owned by the London Borough of Newham</p>	
Public Bodies	14563	05/12/12	07/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject: Number of FOI's</p> <p>I'm conducting some research to inform our policy work around open data and accountability and had a quick</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see below the total number of Freedom of Information requests received for the respective financial years</p> <p>2009/2010 - 1032 requests (a further 16 requests were received under the Environmental Information Regulations)</p> <p>2010/2011 - 1197 requests (a further 29 requests</p>

					<p>question regarding FOI requests:</p> <p>1) How many requests under the freedom of information act has your borough dealt with in each of the last three years i.e. 2009/10; 2010/11; 2011/12?</p>	<p>were received under the Environmental Information Regulations)</p> <p>2011/2012 - 1429 requests (a further 22 requests were received under the Environmental Information Regulations)</p>
Public Bodies	14568	06/12/12	07/01/2013	<p>Adult Services (FOI)</p> <p>I'm doing some research into how other boroughs handle social care complaints, specifically Adult Social Care complaints and was wondering if you could answer the following questions:</p> <p>1. Do you have</p>	<p>Subject: Adult Social Care Complaints</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Do you have a dedicated team for Social Care complaints? Yes</p> <p>2. If so, what is the set up of this team (i.e. how many staff are there and what are their roles)? One manager, one lead officer and one officer.</p> <p>3. Are Children's and Adults complaints dealt with by the same team or are their separate people that deal with each of them? If they are dealt with by separate people, are these people dedicated complaints people or do they do this alongside other jobs within the department? Yes the same team deals with both Adults and Children's complaints. However, officers within the service also deal with different areas of work and assist in providing information where required in respect of the complaints.</p>

				<p>a dedicated team for Social Care complaints?</p> <p>2. If so, what is the set up of this team (i.e. how many staff are there and what are their roles)?</p> <p>3. Are Children's and Adults complaints dealt with by the same team or are their separate people that deal with each of them? If they are dealt with by separate people, are these people dedicated complaints people or do they do this alongside other jobs within the department?</p> <p>4. Are there any plans to restructure the complaints</p>	<p>4. Are there any plans to restructure the complaints service with regards to who/how complaints are handled? Within these plans are there any suggestions not to have dedicated complaints officers? No</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					service with regards to who/how complaints are handled? Within these plans are there any suggestions not to have dedicated complaints officers?	
Public	14564	06/12/12	07/01/2013	CYPS - Safeguarding Intervention	<p>Subject: Child Protection Conferences</p> <p>I wish to formally request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act in regards to Subject: Child Protection Conferences:</p> <p>How many Child Protection Conferences approximately do you convene each year?</p>	<p>Summary</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many Child Protection Conferences approximately do you convene each year? The Local Authority convenes 711 Child Protection Conferences each year. 2. How many Chairs do you employ to chair these conferences? 4.5 Full Time Staff chair these conferences. 3. Are they independent or are they employed by the Local Authority? The Chair person(s) are employed by the Local Authority. 4. Do you use the Strengthening Families/Signs of Safety conference model or traditional method? The Local Authority implemented the Strengthening Families/Signs of Safety conference model in July 2012. 5. If you use the Strengthening Families model, do you

				<p>How many Chairs do you employ to chair these conferences?</p> <p>Are they independent or are they employed by the Local Authority?</p> <p>Do you use the Strengthening Families/Signs of Safety conference model or traditional method?</p> <p>If you use the Strengthening Families model, do you use manual whiteboards or electronic versions If electronic can you say what make and model you use and did the chairs receive external training for using the whiteboards.</p>	<p>use manual whiteboards or electronic versions. If electronic can you say what make and model you use and did the chairs receive external training for using the whiteboards. Manual whiteboards are used.</p> <p>6. How many note takers do you use? 6.5</p> <p>7. Do you provide verbatim or summarised notes of the conferences? Summarised notes are provided.</p> <p>8. Are the note takers independent or are they employed by the Local Authority? Note takers are employed by the Local Authority.</p> <p>9. Do the note takers receive specific training e.g. provided by an external training organisation? No</p> <p>10. If you use the Strengthening Families model, do you still use note takers or are the notes produced by the Chair or electronic whiteboard (if used)? Note takers produce the notes.</p> <p>11. What percentage of conference decisions are distributed within 48 hours? All Child Protection plans (decisions and actions) are distributed within 48 hours. Percentages for distributing decisions within 48 hours for conference decisions are not held.</p> <p>12. What percentage of conference records get circulated within 15 working days? 58% of conference records are circulated within 15 working days.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>How many note takers do you use?</p> <p>Do you provide verbatim or summarised notes of the conferences?</p> <p>Are the note takers independent or are they employed by the Local Authority?</p> <p>Do the note takers receive specific training e.g. provided by an external training organisation?</p> <p>If you use the Strengthening Families model, do you still use note takers or are the notes produced by the Chair or electronic whiteboard (if</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>used)? What percentage of conference decisions are distributed within 48 hours?</p> <p>What percentage of conference records get circulated within 15 working days?</p>	
Public	14581	07/12/12	07/01/2013	Adult Services (FOI)	<p>Subject : Social Care IT Directory Systems</p> <p>Please would you provide the following information:</p> <p>1. The specific name given to the online access point for the following Service Directories provided by your Local Authority (e.g. Parent Info Zone, Ask, etc.):</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. The specific name given to the online access point for the following Service Directories provided by your Local Authority (e.g. Parent Info Zone, Ask, etc.):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Enhanced Childcare Directory (ECD). b. Family Service Directory (FSD) – please state if included in one of the other Directories listed. c. Adult Social Care Directory – please state if included in one of the other Directories listed. d. Disabled Children’s Service Directory – please state if included in one of the other Directories listed. e. Any other dedicated LA Service Directory, aside from services listed as part of the general LA website (please specify Directory name and purpose).

				<p>a. Enhanced Childcare Directory (ECD).</p> <p>b. Family Service Directory (FSD) – please state if included in one of the other Directories listed.</p> <p>c. Adult Social Care Directory – please state if included in one of the other Directories listed.</p> <p>d. Disabled Children’s Service Directory – please state if included in one of the other Directories listed.</p> <p>e. Any other dedicated LA Service Directory, aside from services listed as part of the general LA website (please specify Directory name and purpose).</p> <p>2. The name of the supplier</p>	<p>A. Please see the web link provided below in relation to your request http://adultsocialcare.newham.gov.uk/Pages/home-page.aspx</p> <p>2. The name of the supplier organisation which supplies each of the above Directory systems to the LA, where applicable.</p> <p>A. We can advise that the Adults Services Directory and link as provided above is maintained in-house.</p> <p>3. The name of any partner organisation(s) that assists in funding each of the above Directories.</p> <p>A. Please see our response to question 2.</p> <p>4. The total spent in Financial Years 2007-2012 (per year) by the Local Authority (including partner organisation(s)) on each of the above Directories, broken down into two totals as follows:</p> <p>a. Total system costs (including purchase of system, updates, upgrades, re-branding and re-launching) – there is no requirement to list these separately as a single cost per Directory system per annum will suffice.</p> <p>b. Total annual maintenance costs.</p> <p>A. Total costs of Phase 1 of the website listed above were £104,680. this has been broken down as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £40,000 initial purchase of the Quick heart solution; • £64,680 integration costs with councils corporate internet site
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>organisation which supplies each of the above Directory systems to the LA, where applicable.</p> <p>3. The name of any partner organisation(s) that assists in funding each of the above Directories.</p> <p>4. The total spent in Financial Years 2007-2012 (per year) by the Local Authority (including partner organisation(s)) on each of the above Directories, broken down into two totals as follows:</p> <p>a. Total system costs (including purchase of system, updates, upgrades, re-branding and re-launching) – there is no requirement to list these</p>	<p>We can advise that Phase 2 is about to commence to make further improvements to the website. Costs are currently estimated to be £30,000. The annual maintenance of the website is currently nil as this is covered within the maintenance of the overall Council's website, which Adults Services do not currently contribute towards</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					separately as a single cost per Directory system per annum will suffice. b. Total annual maintenance costs.	
Public	14582	07/12/12	07/01/2013	ICT	<p>Subject : ICT Systems</p> <p>1. Do you have an automated starters/leavers process which automatically performs ALL of the following functions:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - full integration with incident/change management system - creation/removal of network accounts - integration with Policy Acceptance System - sends inventory 	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Do you have an automated starters/leavers process which automatically performs ALL of the following functions:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - full integration with incident/change management system - NO - creation/removal of network accounts YES, via an in-house script - integration with Policy Acceptance System - Yes with Active Directory and in-house policy database - sends inventory of equipment used by leavers to assist with recovery of items not returned - NO - automatically assigns group membership and access to corporate systems based on user details - NO - requests a customised display name for similar user accounts (based on soundex name match) - NO <p>If the answer is yes, please specify the approximate date that this was implemented.</p> <p>2. Do you have an automated process for reporting excessive weekly internet access to an individuals' unit manager which automatically excludes work related sites? Yes (quarterly</p>

				<p>of equipment used by leavers to assist with recovery of items not returned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- automatically assigns group membership and access to corporate systems based on user details- requests a customised display name for similar user accounts (based on soundex name match) <p>If the answer is yes, please specify the approximate date that this was implemented.</p> <p>2. Do you have an automated process for reporting excessive weekly internet access to an individual's unit manager which automatically</p>	<p>report)</p> <p>If the answer is yes, please specify the approximate date that this was implemented. - 2007</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>excludes work related sites?</p> <p>If the answer is yes, please specify the approximate date that this was implemented.</p>	
Public	14585	07/12/12	07/01/2013	Regeneration Projects	<p>Subject : Employment</p> <p>Does Westfield Stratford meet the employment promises it made to the people of Stratford?</p> <p>A yes or no would be great along with a link to figures please.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>For accurate information in respect of the specific employment promises made by Westfield to which you refer, we would recommend you contact the Westfield Group directly. For your ease of reference, please see the relevant web link below; http://uk.westfield.com/stratfordcity/contact-us</p> <p>Please also see the relevant web link below to Skills Place, the employment and training service operating within Westfield Stratford City. http://uk.westfield.com/stratfordcity/community/skills-place</p> <p>In respect of the employment assistance provided by the London Borough of Newham in the generation of jobs in the Stratford area and across the borough, including those found in the Westfield complex, please review the web link below; http://www.newham.gov.uk/news/2011/september/westfieldstratfordcitynewhamslastinglegacy.htm</p> <p>This link also includes details of the assistance extended to Newham residents and numbers, through the Council's</p>

						<p>Workplace programme.</p> <p>Further information can also be found on the link below http://www.newhamworkplace.co.uk/AboutUs.htm</p>
Political	14583	07/12/12	07/01/2013	CYPS - Youth Support	<p>Subject : Youth Statistics</p> <p>I'm writing from the National Citizen Service team at the Cabinet Office and I'm looking to have the following breakdown for 15-17 year olds in your LA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The number in care - The number who have previously offended - The ethnicity breakdown for that age group 	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Please find enclosed spreadsheet with regards to the information sought.</p>
Public	14587	10/12/12	07/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject : Adoption Placements</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p>

				<p>I am undertaking research about children who are placed for adoption by local authorities for whom adoption applications are not made within a year of the child being placed with the prospective adoptive parents.</p> <p>Please can you let me know how many children there are who are in care to your local authority, who were placed for adoption at least a year ago, for whom an adoption order has not been applied for.</p> <p>How many of these children have been in placement for over 12 but less than 24 months.</p>	<p>We do not have any children within the last 12 months who have been in placement for over 12 months and for whom an adoption order has not been applied for.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>How many of these children have been in placement for over 24 but less than 36 months.</p> <p>How many of these children have been in placement for over 36 months.</p>																									
Public	14597	10/12/12	10/01/2013	Planning Application & Enforcement	<p>Subject : Planning Prosecutions</p> <p>1. How many prosecutions were brought by the Council for unauthorized development/breaches of planning permission in the periods from 1 January 2005 to 31 March 2010 and 1 April 2010 to 30 November 2012?</p> <p>2. How many complaints relating</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. Please refer to the table below in regards to the information sought.</p> <table> <tr> <td>1st April 2005</td> <td>31st March 2006</td> <td>No Data Available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2006</td> <td>31st March 2007</td> <td>No Data Available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2007</td> <td>31st March 2008</td> <td>No Data Available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2008</td> <td>31st March 2009</td> <td>No Data Available</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2009</td> <td>31st March 2010</td> <td>4 Prosecutions</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2010</td> <td>31st March 2011</td> <td>8 Prosecutions</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2011</td> <td>31st March 2012</td> <td>19 Prosecutions</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1st April 2012</td> <td>30th November 2012</td> <td>21 Prosecutions</td> </tr> </table> <p>You may find the enclosed link useful with regards to the Council's enforcement procedures to investigate complaints into alleged breaches of planning control</p>	1st April 2005	31st March 2006	No Data Available	1st April 2006	31st March 2007	No Data Available	1st April 2007	31st March 2008	No Data Available	1st April 2008	31st March 2009	No Data Available	1st April 2009	31st March 2010	4 Prosecutions	1st April 2010	31st March 2011	8 Prosecutions	1st April 2011	31st March 2012	19 Prosecutions	1st April 2012	30th November 2012	21 Prosecutions
1st April 2005	31st March 2006	No Data Available																												
1st April 2006	31st March 2007	No Data Available																												
1st April 2007	31st March 2008	No Data Available																												
1st April 2008	31st March 2009	No Data Available																												
1st April 2009	31st March 2010	4 Prosecutions																												
1st April 2010	31st March 2011	8 Prosecutions																												
1st April 2011	31st March 2012	19 Prosecutions																												
1st April 2012	30th November 2012	21 Prosecutions																												

					<p>to unauthorized development/breaches of planning permission were made in the same period?</p> <p>3. How many of these complaints were determined and how many remain undetermined?</p>	<p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Planning/PlanningApplications/PlanningEnforcement.htm .</p> <p>We are unable to provide any data prior to 2009 as our current recording system cannot reconcile the data required for years requested. In order to extract further information in relation to this aspect of your request Officers would have to individually interrogate a vast number of records dating back 4 years, which would greatly exceed the appropriate time limit for responding to FOI enquiries. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>2. Between the 1st January 2005 to 31st March 2010 there were a total of 5422* complaints. Between the 1st April 2010 to 30th November 2012 there were a total number of 4559* complaints.</p> <p>* Please note that the number relates to quantity of opened enforcement cases.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act, as in order to extract further information from our system an Officer(s) would have to interrogate each individual record which would greatly exceed the appropriate time limit for responding to FOI enquiries.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---	---

						<p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit</p> <p>(1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>3. As of the 30th November 2012, we can advise that there were 961 live Planning Enforcement investigations at various stages in the enforcement process</p>
Public	14586	10/12/12	09/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject : DVLA Access</p> <p>I would like to know if the London Borough of Newham has been banned or suspended from using or accessing the DVLA data base at any time in the last three years.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham has not been banned or suspended from using or accessing the DVLA database in the past three years.</p>
Public Bodies	14596	10/12/12	09/01/2013	Lettings Agency	<p>Subject : Outer Borough Allocations</p> <p>1. How many people have you</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We can confirm that we have not placed any housing applicants in any of the areas you have quoted in your request with CT postal codes, in the last twelve months.</p>

				<p>housed in temporary accommodation in any of the attached postcodes in the last twelve months?</p> <p>CT12 5 CT7 0 CT7 9 CT8 8 CT10 1 CT10 2 CT10 3 CT9 1 CT9 2 CT9 3 CT9 4 CT9 5 CT 11 0 CT11 7 CT11 8 CT11 9 CT12 4 CT12 6 CT12 9</p> <p>2. How many people have you housed in any of the postcodes who were under 18?</p> <p>3. How many people have you housed who were over 18?</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>4. How many people have you housed who required 1 or more of the additional special services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Were registered disabled,• Were being treated for a drug and alcohol problem• Require specialist mental health support• Been released recently (within 2 years) from prison• Required any other specialist service regarding their on-going care <p>5. How many people have you housed in temporary accommodation in the postcodes for;</p> <p>a) one night</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					b) one night to four weeks c) four weeks or longer.	
Business	14595	10/12/12	07/01/2013	Business Rates	<p>Subject : Small Business Relief</p> <p>Could you please supply me with the following information complying with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>1) The address, postcode and rateable values of all non exempt commercial properties with a rateable value of £10,000 or less not currently receiving small business rate relief?</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see the attached spreadsheet which details the requested information.</p>
Organisation	14659	10/12/12	07/01/2013	Adult Services (FOI)	Subject: Services for Hearing Impaired	<p>Summary</p> <p>It is Newham's policy to make all our services accessible to</p>

				<p>Residents</p> <p>I got in touch with Newham Council in October regarding our survey on Services for Deaf residents in Newham. However, I have not heard back from them so I tried to contact a specific person in November to get information. No luck.</p> <p>Please could you pass this onto a relevant person who can answer the questions as soon as possible. It's very important to our project at British Deaf Association.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Allow me to</p>	<p>all residents of Newham. We recognise that some sections of the community will require special consideration to make the services accessible. We recognise that this is the case with people who are deaf and hard of hearing.</p> <p>We have a partnership with Action on Hearing Loss and they ensure our services are as accessible as possible. We recognise that our present systems need adaptation and are currently taking advice and implementing Action on Hearing Loss recommendations to make sure we have a more comprehensive and user friendly access system in place in the very near future.</p> <p>To answer your questions specifically.</p> <p>1) What services does your borough have for Deaf people that use British Sign Language (BSL)?</p> <p>Newham has a Sensory Enablement service based at our Information and Equipment demonstration resource centre (IEDA).</p> <p>This is our specialist service which works in partnership with all other adult service providers as well as health service. The resource centre has a range of equipment specific to people with sensory loss where equipment can be demonstrated so that informed choices can be made as to what works best for the individual. We also do home visits as and when necessary. We also work closely with children's services to identify young people with sensory disability including profound multiple disability to develop early transition programmes. We meet with the education disability team 4</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>introduce myself, my name is Josie Smith. I work for the British Deaf Association (BDA) as a Community Development Officer for London funded by City Bridge. The BDA works primarily with Deaf people who use British Sign Language as their first or preferred language.</p> <p>My role is to empower local Deaf communities and campaign for improved access to local services. This includes working with local community groups, setting up forums and training events.</p> <p>I am 'mapping'</p>	<p>times a year to plan transition programmes.</p> <p>2) What kind of provision of BSL/English interpretation is available in your borough?</p> <p>Newham has a 'Language Shop' where British Sign Language interrupters can be booked for any interpretation needs.</p> <p>3) Do you consult with the local Deaf community regularly?</p> <p>The Information, Equipment, Demonstration Area (IEDA) in Newham supports a deaf service forum which provides advice to the decision making process for service development/design. IEDA is where people can try out equipment to help with sensory, OT or Telecare, needs. Service Users can try out the equipment themselves, enabling them to decide exactly what suits them before they commit to having it in their homes.</p> <p>4) Do you have a video link to enable Deaf people directly connect with key staff?</p> <p>We are committed to improving our services to people who are deaf or hard of hearing in Newham and as a result of our partnership with Action on Hearing Loss, we are exploring this initiate.</p> <p>5) Have staff members received training in Deaf Equality or BSL?</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>London to see what services are available for your Deaf residents. I would appreciate it if you could answer the following questions to enable me to get an insight of what is happening in each borough for Deaf people:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) What services does your borough have for Deaf people that use British Sign Language (BSL)?2) What kind of provision of BSL/English interpretation is available in your borough?3) Do you consult with the local Deaf community regularly?4) Do you have a video link to enable	<p>We have recently initiated mandatory staff induction training for all new staff in sensory disability which will include deaf equality training and issues of communication. We will also be offering an advanced course for staff from all our service departments in issues of sensory disability.</p> <p>We trust this information will be helpful to your work.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>Deaf people directly connect with key staff? 5) Have staff members received training in Deaf Equality or BSL?</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could respond so that I can get an accurate picture of what is happening in London for Deaf people.</p>	
Public	14677	10/12/12	07/01/2013	Corporate Strategies and Plans	<p>Subject: social and Demographic Profiling</p> <p>I would like to research the social and demographic profile of the Newham borough for a medical placement I will be undertaking at the Newham hospital. This forms part of</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>A wealth of statistical information on socio-demographic indicators in Newham can be found on the Newham website.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/KeyStatisticsandCensusInformation.htm</p> <p>Further qualitative data can be sourced using the Newham Info site. Please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.info/</p> <p>By clicking on the Profiles option of the menu in the blue box on the left hand side of the homepage, you will be able to</p>

					<p>my training as a psychotherapist.</p> <p>Would you be able to share some data describing the social, ethnic mix, employment rates etc?</p>	<p>select the heading of interest to you and refine this data by borough or ward and in comparison to London-wide information.</p>
Public	14600	11/12/12	07/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject: Supervised Contact Enquiry</p> <p>We would like to enquire if you currently have a preferred supplier list or Master Vendor contract in place for the provision of supervised or supported contacts for children in care?</p> <p>If so please can you advise when does the contract come up for</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. We would like to enquire if you currently have a preferred supplier list or Master Vendor contract in place for the provision of supervised or supported contacts for children in care?</p> <p>A. We can advise that there is no master contract or preferred supplier list currently.</p> <p>2. If so please can you advise when does the contract come up for renewal? And what is the process for announcing/advertising and applying?</p> <p>A. We can advise that there is no main contract or preferred supplier list currently. However, market engagement is likely to start in early 2013 to determine whether the Council tenders for a framework. Market engagement</p>

				<p>renewal? And what is the process for announcing/advertising and applying?</p> <p>Do you have an in house service for supervised contact and if so do you spot purchase? Would the in-house service ever be put out to tender?</p> <p>What is the average hourly rate paid for supervised contact from these agencies?</p> <p>What is the councils annual spend on outsourced agencies for supervised contact for 2010/2011 and 2011/2012?</p> <p>What is the name of the procurement and placement</p>	<p>All of Newham's tenders are announced through our E-tendering system NECTR (necr.newham.gov.uk) and on our latest tendering opportunities website http://www.newham.gov.uk/InformationforBusinesses/StrategicProcurement/CurrentTenders.htm)</p> <p>3. Do you have an in house service for supervised contact and if so do you spot purchase? Would the in-house service ever be put out to tender?</p> <p>A. There is an in-house service, although we do spot purchase from providers as well in order to handle demand. Courts will also decide which provider must be used in some cases.</p> <p>4. What is the average hourly rate paid for supervised contact from these agencies? (commercially sensitive information)</p> <p>A. The average hourly rate paid for supervised contact from external agencies varies with the type of contact commissioned, whether reports are requested and the location and time of contact. Newham monitors the rates of providers to ensure prices are competitive, but records of hours and the hourly rate incurred in contacts are not recorded. Newham uses a range of local providers whose rates are public.</p> <p>5. What is the Councils annual spend on outsourced agencies for supervised contact for 2010/2011 and 2011/2012?</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>officer responsible for this service?</p>	<p>A. We can advise that the spend allocated to Newham's cost centre for supervised contact commissioned from external agencies for 2010/11 was £142,903. The spend allocated to Newham's cost centre for supervised contact commissioned from external agencies for 2011/12 was £156,758.</p> <p>6. What is the name of the procurement and placement officer responsible for this service?</p> <p>A. Our in-house service is managed by Debbie Giles. If the in-house service cannot take on a referral for supervised contact then social workers are required to make a request for the use of an external provider to the Service Manager Susannah Beasley-Murray.</p>
Public	14611	11/12/12	09/01/2013	Communications /Press office/publicity	<p>Subject: LBN Christmas Cards</p> <p>Could you tell me how many Christmas cards from Sir Robin Wales were sent to Newham residents in December 2012, and how much Newham Borough Council spent on designing, printing and posting these cards?</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>The Mayor of Newham sent a total of 1830 Christmas cards in December 2012.</p> <p>The total cost for both the Mayor and Chief Executive's Christmas cards, which were ordered and printed in the same batch, including printing, envelopes and second class postage was £1017.82.</p>

Public	14601	11/12/12	10/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject: Aiming High Short Breaks and Personal Budgets for children and young people with disabilities.</p> <p>Earlier this year in Control were commissioned to evaluate Aiming High Short Breaks and Personal Budgets for children and young people with disabilities.</p> <p>Summary of this evaluation was presented to us in October. I would like a copy of the full report as I took part in this evaluation and encouraged many families to also do so.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please find attached, requested In Control Report on the introduction of personal budgets in Newham Children's Services.</p> <p>There is an update at the end of the report on how we are responding to the main recommendations and it is noted that you are part of the Working group to oversee how this work develops.</p> <p>We commissioned this work as a confidential, internal report to help us decide our next steps and it is therefore not a public document. Please feel free to discuss it with parents you're working with, but this document should not be circulated any wider.</p>
--------	-------	----------	------------	----------------------------	---	--

					<p>I made this request at the beginning of November, and again in December but have had no received any acknowledgement or response.</p> <p>This matter is now urgent- please deal with my request promptly.</p>	
Public	14619	12/12/12	14/01/2013	Lettings Agency	<p>Subject: Housing of Families away from London</p> <p>Background: Anecdotal evidence suggests that some London Boroughs are housing families away from London. A Guardian report states that some are located as far away as Cornwall.</p> <p>Please supply the following</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We have not housed or reallocated any individuals or families requiring social housing in or to the County of Cornwall in the last five years.</p>

					<p>information:</p> <p>Has the London Borough of Newham housed, or relocated, any individuals or families requiring social housing in the London Borough of Newham in or to the County of Cornwall in any of the last five years?</p> <p>If yes, please supply the number of dwellings allocated in Cornwall for each of the last five years, where such housing or relocations took place, analysed by the month and year that the tenancy started.</p> <p>Please indicate whether the</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>housing supplied was rented on the open market, or through a social landlord, or a local authority providing housing in Cornwall.</p> <p>Please supply the name of the postcode district where the dwelling(s) are allocated.</p>	
Public	14621	12/12/12	07/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject: Parking Fines</p> <p>Please could you provide me with either in paper or electronic format a copy of the following</p> <p>a) Traffic Regulation Order for Wise Road. b) Map or plan of road marking for the relevant Traffic Regulation Order</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Please find enclosed copies of Traffic Orders for Wise Road as requested, we have also enclosed a copy of the CEO's notebook and photographs.</p> <p>We trust this is of assistance to you.</p>

				<p>affecting Wise Road</p> <p>c) A copy of the CEO's notebook entry relating to the alleged contravention together with any photographs taken at the time.</p> <p>If you are unable to provide an electronic copy please could you post the paper copies to my address</p> <p>1 Meades Lane Chesham Bucks HP5 IND</p> <p>This request is in relation to PCN number PN07525372 This request is not a representation to the PCN, but a request for</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>information to enable me to make a representation.</p> <p>In order not to fetter my legal rights under the Traffic Management Act 2004 I would be grateful if you can "stop the clock" on the 14 day period for representations until copies of the relevant TRO, any map/diagrams plus a copy of the CEO'S notebook entry relating to the alleged contravention together with any photographs taken at the time have been provided and</p>	
Public Bodies	14652	12/12/12	10/01/2013	Street Scene Enforcement	<p>Subject: Leisure and Sport/Street Scene</p> <p>I wonder if any one</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please find attached our procedure for the removal of Memorial Paraphernalia.</p>

				<p>would be able to give me the strategy that you use in regard to the removal of memorial paraphernalia attached to benches, trees or left on or near accident sites in open spaces or on the public highway.</p> <p>I work for Plymouth City Council and we have had the fiancée, family and friends of man who was killed in a motorcycle accident In March of this year, raise the funds for a bench which was installed at the accident site on a main route into the city.</p> <p>We had before the installation of the</p>	<p>Responded via spreadsheet.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	-----------------------------------

					<p>bench advised the family and friends and fiancée that no memorial paraphernalia should be attached to the bench, however on the trees and areas near by the bench, flags and memorabilia are being place and the area is quickly becoming a large shrine for which we are starting to receive some derogatory comments about this, any advise would be helpful.</p>	
Media	14616	12/12/12	11/01/2013	Planning Projects	<p>Subject: Planning</p> <p>I wondered if you could kindly supply me with details of the following:</p> <p>1) How many</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 21 exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information.</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information</p>

				<p>housing developments has your planning department approved, against the flood-related advice of the Environment Agency, in each of the following years: 2002-3, 2003-4, 2004-5, 2005-6, 2007-8, 2008-9, 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12, and so far in 2012-13?</p> <p>2) Can you provide the name of the developments, the location of the developments and the name of the developer, in all these cases?</p> <p>3) Of the above developments, in each year, how many of the homes were designated</p>	<p>which is reasonably accessible to the applicant by other means. Section 21 is an absolute exemption, and as such there is no public interest test to apply.</p> <p>Information regarding historical planning applications is publically available and searchable on the Newham website via the statutory public planning register. For your ease of reference, please see the relevant link as detailed below.</p> <p>http://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do?action=simple&searchType=Application</p> <p>The advanced search engine allows for keyword search which will be of particular interest to you in retrieving the information you require for each year.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					for affordable housing or social housing?																																																																			
Media	14660	13/12/12	16/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject: Staff Suspension</p> <p>I am sending this request under the Freedom of Information Act to ask for the following information</p> <p>1) For each of the past three financial years 2009/10, 2010/11 and 2011/12 and for 2012/13 to date please state:</p> <p>a) How many council employees have been suspended with full pay?</p> <p>b) The individual length of each suspension with full pay.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Please see attached Staff Suspension document for the information requested.</p> <p>2. New requirements for recording staff suspension data have been introduced for the current financial year. Prior to 2012/13, the HR management information system had the facility to record suspension data, however this wasn't fully utilised across the organisation and where suspensions were captured; limited information was recorded on the system. We therefore do not have a central system to provide the data requested for previous years and would need to search individual files to obtain this information.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date Of Suspension</th> <th>Return to work or On Suspension</th> <th>Leaving Date</th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Reason</th> <th>Days Outcome</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>17/08/2012</td> <td>19-Dec-12</td> <td>124</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£14,733</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Conduct</td> <td>Dismissed</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>29/08/2012</td> <td></td> <td>155</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£13,488 Conduct</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Still suspended</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>27/09/2012</td> <td></td> <td>126</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£11,568 Conduct</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Still suspended</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>28/05/2012</td> <td>09-Nov-12</td> <td>165</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£12,672</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Conduct</td> <td>Dismissal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>14/11/2012</td> <td></td> <td>78</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£7,759 Conduct</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Still suspended</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date Of Suspension	Return to work or On Suspension	Leaving Date	Cost	Reason	Days Outcome	17/08/2012	19-Dec-12	124			£14,733		Conduct	Dismissed				29/08/2012		155			£13,488 Conduct			Still suspended				27/09/2012		126			£11,568 Conduct			Still suspended				28/05/2012	09-Nov-12	165			£12,672		Conduct	Dismissal				14/11/2012		78			£7,759 Conduct			Still suspended			
Date Of Suspension	Return to work or On Suspension	Leaving Date	Cost	Reason	Days Outcome																																																																			
17/08/2012	19-Dec-12	124			£14,733																																																																			
	Conduct	Dismissed																																																																						
29/08/2012		155			£13,488 Conduct																																																																			
		Still suspended																																																																						
27/09/2012		126			£11,568 Conduct																																																																			
		Still suspended																																																																						
28/05/2012	09-Nov-12	165			£12,672																																																																			
	Conduct	Dismissal																																																																						
14/11/2012		78			£7,759 Conduct																																																																			
		Still suspended																																																																						

				<p>c) A list of reasons why employees were suspended with full pay.</p> <p>d) The amount of money spent paying each suspended employee during their suspension.</p> <p>e) The outcome of each suspension.</p>	<p>09/11/2012 03-Jan-13 55 £3,836 Conduct Dismissal</p> <p>30/05/2012 10/10/2012 133 £0 Conduct Written warning</p> <p>16/11/2012 76 £5,300 Conduct Still suspended</p> <p>24/09/2012 129 £8,996 Conduct Still suspended</p> <p>11/05/2012 26/10/2012 168 £21,196 Conduct Final Written warning</p> <p>08/08/2012 176 £13,517 Conduct Still suspended</p> <p>02/07/2012 14-Aug-12 43 £2,999 Conduct Dismissal</p>
				<p>2) For each of the past three financial years 2009/10, 2010/11 and 2011/12 and for 2012/13 to date please state the total amount of money spent paying suspended employees during their suspension.</p>	<p>13/06/2012 07-Aug-12 55 £3,978 Conduct Dismissal</p> <p>11/06/2012 20-Aug-12 70 £3,875 Conduct Dismissal</p> <p>08/10/2012 31/12/2012 84 £12,117 Conduct Dismissal</p> <p>14/01/2013 17 £1,186 Conduct Still suspended</p> <p>06/09/2012 18/09/2012 12 £0 Conduct No case to answer</p> <p>08/06/2012 23/07/2012 45 £2,196 Conduct Written warning</p> <p>14/08/2012 18/09/2012 35 £1,937 Conduct No case to answer</p>
				<p>Please send me this information by e-mail, in the form of an Excel spreadsheet or csv</p>	<p>29/08/2012 155 £10,566 Conduct Still suspended</p> <p>10/08/2012 27/11/2012 109 £6,235 Conduct Written warning</p>

					table.	<p>20/08/2012 19/10/2012 60 £3,432 Conduct Final written warning</p> <p>05/09/2012 25/10/2012 50 £3,007 Conduct Work standards reset</p> <p>Total for 2012/13 year to date £164,591</p>
Public	14680	13/12/12	15/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject : Team Re-structure</p> <p>Request for Information on Complaints and Members Enquiries Team – Diversity</p> <p>Could you please provide the diversity breakdown (gender, age and ethnic background) of:</p> <p>1. Staff who were made redundant or released from contracts in the Complaints and Members Enquiries Team Service review undertaken in October 2011 –</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Following the review of the Complaints and Member Enquiries Team, six posts were identified as being redundant. Following slotting in and competitive interviews in line with Council policy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One person took voluntary redundancy • Four people were made compulsory redundant, although two were given temporary contracts to fill vacancies in Complaints and Member Enquiries created by secondments (Both officers subsequently secured permanent positions within the Council) and one officer, after seeing out her notice period in another team, secured a temporary appointment • One person was slotted in to a vacant post <p>The Diversity of Displaced Employees was as follows:</p> <p>Count of Personal Reference Age Band</p> <p>Asian or Asian British One male 40 - 44</p> <p>Black or Black British One female 40 - 44</p> <p>White/British Two male 35 - 39 and 45 - 50</p> <p>White/British Two female 45 – 50 and 25 -30</p> <p>As of the 1st April 2011 all employees within the Complaints</p>

					<p>April 2012 (voluntary or compulsory redundancies)</p> <p>2. The number of staff who were displaced through the review that have been able to secure a secondment or permanent position within Newham.</p> <p>Could you please separate this into Newham Homes staff and the Council</p>	<p>& Member Enquiries Team were Council employees.</p>
Public	14681	13/12/12	15/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject : Enhanced Redundancy Payments</p> <p>Request for Information on enhanced redundancy packages</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We can advise that the number of enhanced redundancy packages is illustrated in the table below: During the period sited in your request there were no redundancies made to Newham Homes staff.</p> <p>Please note that all of LBN's redundancy packages are considered as being enhanced packages as they are above the government's statutory minimum level.</p>

				<p>Could you please provide the number of enhanced redundancy packages that were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • made in April 2010 – April 2011 • made from April 2011 to date <p>Could you please separate this into Newham Homes staff and the Council and the data provided month by month.</p>	<p>Newham Council Total Numbers</p> <p>2010 76</p> <p>04 Apr 2010 4</p> <p>05 May 2010 9</p> <p>06 Jun 2010 7</p> <p>07 Jul 2010 10</p> <p>08 Aug 2010 11</p> <p>09 Sep 2010 13</p> <p>10 Oct 2010 10</p> <p>11 Nov 2010 6</p> <p>12 Dec 2010 6</p> <p>2011 334</p> <p>01 Jan 2011 7</p> <p>02 Feb 2011 5</p> <p>03 Mar 2011 25</p> <p>04 Apr 2011 40</p> <p>05 May 2011 23</p> <p>06 Jun 2011 38</p> <p>07 Jul 2011 54</p> <p>08 Aug 2011 99</p> <p>09 Sep 2011 18</p> <p>10 Oct 2011 11</p> <p>11 Nov 2011 3</p> <p>12 Dec 2011 11</p> <p>2012 195</p> <p>01 Jan 2012 18</p> <p>02 Feb 2012 13</p> <p>03 Mar 2012 10</p> <p>04 Apr 2012 14</p> <p>05 May 2012 22</p> <p>06 Jun 2012 20</p> <p>07 Jul 2012 23</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

						08 Aug 2012 31 09 Sep 2012 30 10 Oct 2012 8 11 Nov 2012 5 12 Dec 2012 1 Grand Total 605
Public	14682	13/12/12	15/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject : Complaints Budgets</p> <p>Request for Information on Complaints and Members Enquiries Services - Finance</p> <p>Could you please provide the cost of the service, including revenue, capital budgets and staff budgets from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • April 2010 - April 2011 • April 2011 – April 2012 • Total of any savings made from 	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 21 exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information.</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is reasonably accessible by other means.</p> <p>The full details of the budgeted costs and staff budgets for the Complaints and Member Enquiries Team can be found on the Newham website online, within the Budget Book. For your ease of reference, please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/BudgetBook.htm</p> <p>It may also be useful to note that by viewing the requested years in comparison with the current Budget Book for 2012/13, also available online, you will be able to compare the savings made within the service.</p>

					review(s) and where saving have been made(staff, revenue, capital staffing or overheads)	
Public	14683	13/12/12	15/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject : Costing for CRM - Complaints</p> <p>Request for Information on Complaints and Members Enquiries Services - Finance</p> <p>Could you please provide the cost of the Customer Relations Management System (CRM) module for Complaints and Members Enquiries service, to include the date of full implementation of the CRM in the services (staff using the system</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. The costs have not yet been finalised due to this being shared between three boroughs, jointly developing the system. The estimated costs for Newham are £58,839.</p> <p>2. In line with Question 1 we will refer to the Complaints and Member Enquiries module only. This module is not live yet and therefore not possible to determine actual savings as a direct result of using the module.</p>

					<p>fully) and any saving realised to date in the implementation, man hours or financial.</p> <p>Please note I do not require names of any individuals.</p>	
Public	14685	13/12/12	15/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject : Change Policy</p> <p>Request for Information on Human Resources – Change Management policy/procedure</p> <p>Could you please provide the Council's procedure or policy document for Change Management / Service reviews. Could you please include the policy for Newham</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please find attached the Council's current document 'Procedure for Managing Change' for February 2012.</p> <p>Please also find attached the change management document for the former Newham Homes.</p>

					Homes? Please note I do not require names of any individuals.																																					
Public	14679	13/12/12	14/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject : Parking Enforcement</p> <p>Follow on from previous request E13823</p> <p>We can advise that the number of Penalty Charge Notices (PCN's) issued for Code 28 in 2011 was nil and for 2012 (i.e.: 01/01/2012 - 27/11/2012) was 22.</p> <p>Please note that 22 PCN's were issued in London Road E13 for Code 28 from the 1st January 2012 and there have been a total of 9 vehicles removed from the</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see below the further breakdown of the Parking Enforcement information previously provided.</p> <table> <tr> <td>January 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>February 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>March 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>April 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>May 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>July 2012 -</td> <td>Nil PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>August 2012 -</td> <td>Five PCNs</td> <td>Three Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>September 2012 -</td> <td>Twelve PCNs</td> <td>Six Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>October 2012 -</td> <td>Two PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>November 2012 -</td> <td>Three PCNs</td> <td>Nil Removals</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Totals:</td> <td>22 PCNs</td> <td>Nine Removals</td> </tr> </table>	January 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	February 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	March 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	April 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	May 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	June 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	July 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals	August 2012 -	Five PCNs	Three Removals	September 2012 -	Twelve PCNs	Six Removals	October 2012 -	Two PCNs	Nil Removals	November 2012 -	Three PCNs	Nil Removals	Totals:	22 PCNs	Nine Removals
January 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
February 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
March 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
April 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
May 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
June 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
July 2012 -	Nil PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
August 2012 -	Five PCNs	Three Removals																																								
September 2012 -	Twelve PCNs	Six Removals																																								
October 2012 -	Two PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
November 2012 -	Three PCNs	Nil Removals																																								
Totals:	22 PCNs	Nine Removals																																								

					<p>same location for Code 28.</p> <p>Please now provide the further breakdown of information</p> <p>1. Please could I receive a month by month break down of how many out of the 22 tickets issued and</p> <p>2. How many of the 9 vehicles towed away from January 2012 to date of original request</p>	
Business	14653	13/12/12	16/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject: Local Government Pension Fund's Private Equity and Venture Capital Investments</p> <p>I am contacting you regarding the London Borough of</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see the attached spreadsheet which provides of the requested details of the private equity investments, as of 30th September 2012.</p> <p>It should however be noted that interim performance data does not accurately reflect the current or expected performance of the fund in question. Therefore it should not be used to compare returns among multiple private equity funds and has not been calculated, reviewed, verified or in</p>

				<p>Newham Local Government Pension Fund's private equity and venture capital investments. I am currently collating private equity performance data from various public investors for informative purposes to the Limited and General Partners network. Would you be kind to provide me with the following information concerning all of your current private equity investments under the Freedom of Information Act?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Partner's name • Fund Name • Vintage year • Capital 	<p>any way sanctioned or approved by our investment partners. This is particularly important for a fund-of-funds since its portfolio of partnership investments consists of partnerships from 4 to 5 vintage years and is thus not comparable to any one vintage year.</p> <p>This information is solely for use by Almeida Capital and is not to be used for any commercial reason. Should any further use be required prior consent must be secured under the Reuse of Public Sector Information Regulations 2005. Further information is available on the link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/Legal/</p> <p>It may be useful to note that further information on Pension Fund investments including the Annual Reports and Pension Fund Account statements are already publically available. For your ease of reference, please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/PensionFundReports.htm</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>committed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital invested • Contributions • Distributions • Multiple • IRR since inception <p>The data should be as up-to-date as possible; however, if you do not have any data up to the 30 September 2012, could you please provide me with this information as at 30 June 2012?</p>	
Public	14656	13/12/12	15/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject: Request for Information on Complaints and Members Enquiries Team – Diversity</p> <p>Could you please provide the diversity</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please find enclosed a supporting spreadsheet with regards to information sought relating to the diversity data pertaining to the Complaints & Member Enquiries Team in April 2011. Please note as of the 1st April 2011 all staff were Council staff.</p> <p>Please refer to the additional spreadsheet which sets out the breakdown of information you request for after the completion</p>

					breakdown (gender, age and ethnic background) of the Complaints and Members Enquiries Team, when Newham Homes staff (including temporary and agency staff) were reintegrated back into the council (April 2011) and after the review of the service (May 2012). Could the data of Newham Homes and the Council staff be separated when providing of the data.	of the review.
Business	14661	13/12/12	15/01/2013	ICT	Subject: IT and Non IT Project Processes/Benefits Measurements Please find attached a Freedom of	Summary Responded via spreadsheet.

					<p>Information request, which relates to how the council handles IT and non-IT projects.</p> <p>I have been as specific as possible, and have laid out a number of specific questions in the attachment. If possible, it would be extremely useful if you could reply to the questions directly in the attached Word document.</p>	
Public	14666	14/12/12	14/01/2013	Housing Standards	<p>Subject: Decent Homes – Resident Feedback/Satisfaction</p> <p>Under 'Freedom of Information' can you please provide the following</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. What questions are the residents asked and what are the response options?</p> <p>Please see attached copy of resident satisfaction form which shows the questions that residents are asked and the response options.</p>

				<p>information regarding methodology, results, and outputs for resident satisfaction reporting associated with their Decent Homes property renovation works in your borough.</p> <p>Questions:</p> <p>Decent Homes – Resident Feedback/Satisfaction Reporting METHODOLOGY</p> <p>1. What questions are the residents asked and what are the response options?</p> <p>2. What sample size do you use for surveying?</p> <p>3. What format is the survey offered in (e.g. telephone; paper)?</p>	<p>2. What sample size do you use for surveying?</p> <p>We encourage 100% response from all residents in every individual scheme. The forms are hand delivered to all addresses in the scheme by the contractor's Resident Liaison Officer (RLO). The RLO returns to the property to collect the completed form, or residents are encouraged to return the form to the contractor's site office.</p> <p>3. What format is the survey offered in (e.g. telephone; paper)?</p> <p>The survey is offered in paper form.</p> <p>4. Frequency surveys are undertaken (e.g. monthly, rolling)?</p> <p>Surveys are undertaken either at completion of works in individual properties or on completion of the scheme depending on what works are involved in the scheme. For example, residents can complete a satisfaction survey once their new windows, boiler have been completed in their property. Alternatively, satisfaction form can be completed once all the communal paintwork, landlord electric supply, lift works etc has been completed to the whole block.</p> <p>5. Stage of works surveys (pre, during, post)?</p> <p>As 4 above.</p> <p>6. Who conducts the surveys (e.g. independent</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>4. Frequency surveys are undertaken (e.g. monthly, rolling)?</p> <p>5. Stage of works surveys (pre, during, post)?</p> <p>6. Who conducts the surveys (e.g. independent organisation, internal department, contractor)?</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>1. Can you provide your most recent output highlighting the key finding and results for DH resident satisfaction including overall ratings and historical trends, OR 2. A summary of your most recent survey findings including overall ratings and historical trends</p>	<p>organisation, internal department, contractor)?</p> <p>Surveys are currently conducted by the contractor carrying out the works; this is co-ordinated by Newham.</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>1. Can you provide your most recent output highlighting the key finding and results for DH resident satisfaction including overall ratings and historical trends, OR 2. A summary of your most recent survey findings including overall ratings and historical trends</p> <p>Newham Council does not distinguish between decent homes work and other Capital Works Programmes, but collects satisfaction information across all its capital works programmes.</p> <p>Attached is a copy of the last report issued showing the results of the last overall results taken and recorded. The Capital Programme Team does not have any previous trend information.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Public	14667	16/12/12	16/01/2013	Internal Audit	<p>Subject: RIPA</p> <p>Hello, (follow-on from E13125 and E13631) E13125. In the reply it states that the RIP act was used 50 times from September 2010 to September 2012, I would like to know the dates of the fifty times the act was used. Starting from 01/09/2010 to 01/09/2012.</p> <p>E13631. In the reply it states that council workers have been subject to the RIP act. I would like to know how many times the act has been used?. Have management personnel past and present been subject to the Rip</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Since your last request the central record for RIPA applications has been updated. Our records now show there where a total of 54 applications during the requested period. Please see below the relevant dates upon which the applications were authorised.</p> <p>15/10/2010 05/11/2010 07/04/2011 01/03/2011 – six applications 17/05/2011 31/05/2011 – seven applications 26/08/2011 – six applications 02/09/2011 – two applications 17/10/2011 31/10/2011 12/10/2011 – twenty two applications 24/11/2011 18/07/2012 01/08/2012 – three applications</p> <p>2. There has been a total of three applications where council workers have been the subject of investigations utilising RIPA provisions during the requested period.</p> <p>3. Yes. Those who have managed staff have also been the subject of RIPA in the past.</p>

					act?.	
Public	14668	17/12/12	10/01/2013	Traffic Regulations	<p>Subject: Temporary Traffic Management Orders</p> <p>Please provide me with copies of all temporary Traffic Management Orders put in place by the council that were put in place for the purpose of enforcing traffic restrictions during the Olympic Games.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We enclose copies of all temporary Traffic Management Orders put in place by the Council for the purpose of enforcing traffic restrictions during the Olympic Games.</p> <p>Enclosed documentation can be found on E folder.</p>
Public	14686	17/12/12	17/01/2013	Newham Homes	<p>Subject : Council Owned/Managed Property</p> <p>I would like information on council estates, council houses, hostels and hotels used for</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. You may find the following web link useful which provides further information on the Councils current housing stock as follows: http://newhamintranet/Environment/HousingAndPublicProtection/HousingStatistics.htm .</p>

				<p>emergency housing and homeless shelters that the council funds. I would like to know where each council owned or funded housing building is and how many people it serves.</p> <p>1. Firstly could I have the total number of properties or households (individual houses, houses in estates, flats in tower blocks, etc) rented out by the borough and the total number of people living in these households.</p> <p>2. I would also like the number of households and number of people in any larger</p>	<p>2-3. Please also find enclosed a supporting spreadsheet with regards to the information sought listing all of the current emergency accommodation by post code. We should advise that where there are multiples of different bed spaces against a single post code then these are a reflection of accommodation in multiple occupation.</p> <p>In relation to the name and addresses of the number of people as per your request housed in emergency housing, hostels and hotels, under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies.</p> <p>We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request. We believe that disclosing the hotels which are used by the Council in the emergency housing of homeless people could potentially result in the identification of individuals placed at that address. We would apply Section 40, as disclosure of addresses of properties used to house homeless applicants could result in the identification of individuals. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>developments the council owns such as council estates or tower blocks. Could you also supply the name and address of each. E.g. Tower House, 1 First Street, AB1 2CD, 200 flats, 600 people. Note that this excludes properties within an estate that have been bought from the council by groups or individuals.</p> <p>3. I would also like information on emergency housing and homeless shelters. Could I have the name, address and number of people housed in each establishment for all hostels, hotels, shelters and other</p>	<p>disclosure.</p> <p>We trust this information is assists you.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>forms of accommodation paid for by the council?</p> <p>I would argue that it is within the public interest to know how many people the borough houses, and in what form of accommodation. I don't believe a Section 40 Data Protection exemption is engaged by asking for the address of these buildings, firstly because the personal data for any individual is essentially aggregated by asking for the address of the building they live within, and that no individuals are named. Nor is Section 38</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					applicable, again because no individuals who might be harmed are named and that such harm is highly unlikely.	
Public	14701	18/12/12	18/01/2013	Lettings Agency	<p>Subject : Housing and Council Properties</p> <p>Please find below a set of questions I am requesting answers to under the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>1. How many properties have been empty for more than 6 months? If possible categorise them such as 'due to poor state of repair'.</p> <p>2. How many properties are currently available and ready to move</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. We can advise that a total of 11 properties are all major works/refurbishment or flats being converted into family sized accommodation.</p> <p>2. We can advise that there are 16 properties made up of the following property types: 3 Bed Maisonette - 1 2 Bed Maisonette - 1 Studio Flat - 1 2 Bed House - 1 3 Bed House - 1 4 Bed House - 1 1 Bed Flat - 3 2 Bed Flat - 7</p> <p>3. Average Waiting Times for general housing is illustrated in the table below.</p> <p>*5 Bedroom Houses – the average waiting time is 14 years 2 months</p>

				<p>into? (categorise such as 2 bedroom flat etc)</p> <p>3. How many people are currently on a waiting list and what is the average time somebody is on the waiting list for housing?</p> <p>4. What is the most commonly requested type of property? (3 bedroom house, bungalow etc)</p> <p>5. Has the council got any plans to build more council homes and when is the building likely to commence? (categorise by type of property)</p> <p>6. How many tenants are currently seeking re housing? (to down size or increase size)</p>	<p>The above excludes properties where a decant client was placed first, where a client who received a direct offer (medical emergencies, under occupied, etc) came first, or sheltered properties and properties where a mobility need reduced the waiting time.</p> <p>Please see link below for information on waiting times: http://www.newham.gov.uk/housing/housingoptionsandadvice/applyingforcouncilhousingorhousingassociationproperty/averagewaitingtimesforallocatedhousing.htm</p> <p>3a. We are unable to give an exact number of applicants in the borough who are currently on the Council's housing waiting list. We should advise that as of Monday 29th October 2012 the Council revised its Housing Allocation Policy. The various changes can be viewed from the following link http://www.newham.gov.uk/Housing/HousingOptionsAndAdvice/ApplyingForCouncilHousingOrHousingAssociationProperty/Applyingforcouncilhousingorhousingassociationproperty.htm .</p> <p>However, the total number of applicants on the housing register prior to October 2012 was approximately 28,000. Previous requests and responses can be found on the Council's disclosure log which is available to view on our website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/yourcouncil/freedomofinformation/freedomofinformationrequestsandresponses.htm</p> <p>4. We receive more bids for houses.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>7. How many tenants currently have applications in via the Right to Buy scheme?</p> <p>8. How many properties were sold via Right to Buy in the last 24 months?</p>	<p>5. The Council has a programme partly funded by the Greater London Authority (GLA) which entails it building 104 new Council homes for rent. The programme consists of 17 x 1 bed flats and 87 x 3 bed houses, all built on Council land. Building will start in September 2013.</p> <p>6. We are unable to give an exact figure of the number tenants in the borough seeking larger or smaller properties as this is not a static figure and tend to vary weekly. You may find the links below useful http://www.newham.gov.uk/Housing/HousingOptionsAndAdvice/CouncilTenantsOrLeaseholders/lamacounciltenantinahomewithtoomanybedrooms.htm.</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Housing/CouncilHousing.htm .</p> <p>7-8. We have 281 Right to buy applications that are current and have had 39 properties sold under the right to buy in last 24 months.</p>
Public	14702	18/12/12	18/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject : Looked After Children</p> <p>I am writing to you to request information about children in local authority care in your area. The information that I</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Please see the table below which provides the number of children coming under the care of the local authority within the stated financial year.</p> <p>It should be noted that for the overall annual figures this includes children whom are in the long term care of the authority but also shorter term placements which therefore includes individual whom may have come under but have</p>

				<p>require is as follows:</p> <p>1) The number of children in local authority care in your area.</p> <p>2) The number of children 'missing' from care. This includes children who have been missing and found and children who are still unaccounted for.</p> <p>I would ideally like this information with the amount of time children were missing for e.g. less than 24 hours /more than/ permanently or whichever denominations this information is stored in.</p>	<p>since been released from the care of the authority during the period.</p> <p>We have therefore also provided the number of children actually under the care of the authority at the given date at the end of each fiscal year.</p> <p>Fiscal Years Number of children through the care system for this period. Number of children in care as of 31st of March of the given year.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>01-04-2007 to 31-03-2008</td> <td>876</td> <td>593</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01-04-2008 to 31-03-2009</td> <td>878</td> <td>557</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01-04-2009 to 31-03-2010</td> <td>826</td> <td>560</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01-04-2010 to 31-03-2011</td> <td>740</td> <td>490</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01-04-2011 to 31-03-2012</td> <td>664</td> <td>445</td> </tr> <tr> <td>31-03-2012 to present date</td> <td>636</td> <td>448*</td> </tr> </table> <p>*as of 16.1.2013</p> <p>2. It is only possible to provide data from our current systems since January 1st 2011.</p> <p>From the 1st January 2011 to 1st January 2012, 29 children went missing from Care.</p> <p>From the 1st January 2012 to 1st of January 2013, 32 children went missing from care.</p> <p>As of 11th January 2013 there are three children missing from care.</p> <p>Unfortunately we are unable to extract the further information you have requested from our systems of the number of missing incidents prior to 2011 and the additional detail in relation to the length of period of absence from our</p>	01-04-2007 to 31-03-2008	876	593	01-04-2008 to 31-03-2009	878	557	01-04-2009 to 31-03-2010	826	560	01-04-2010 to 31-03-2011	740	490	01-04-2011 to 31-03-2012	664	445	31-03-2012 to present date	636	448*
01-04-2007 to 31-03-2008	876	593																					
01-04-2008 to 31-03-2009	878	557																					
01-04-2009 to 31-03-2010	826	560																					
01-04-2010 to 31-03-2011	740	490																					
01-04-2011 to 31-03-2012	664	445																					
31-03-2012 to present date	636	448*																					

						<p>computerised system.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this level of detail an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of the individual children's files to be able to determine the exact date and time of the absence of the child and attempt to also retrieve the return date and time, from any number of documentation within the individual's file. This manual exercise would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
Business	14704	18/12/12	04/01/2013	Highway Records	<p>Subject : Highways Boundaries</p> <p>Could you please confirm the highways</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see attached highway boundary plan of the area you requested.</p> <p>The black lines represent the highway boundary at the back of the footway.</p>

					boundaries for the attached site plan of the site of the Plaistow Hospital especially in respect of the surrounding roads?	
Media	14700	18/12/12	04/01/2013	Home Ownership & Leasehold Services	<p>Subject : Leaseholds and Landlords</p> <p>Can you please provide the number of properties which the council owns as freeholders together with the number of those properties where the leaseholder has registered a different "away address" for correspondence purposes?</p> <p>Please also provide me with the number of leasehold owners who hold five or more leases on</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. The total number of dwellings (residential properties) of which the Council owns the freehold is 17,396 (as of 1st December 2012.) Of this number, a total of 5,741 are leasehold properties. Of this number, a total of 1694 leaseholders have registered a different address for correspondence purposes, other than the address of the property for which the lease is held.</p> <p>It may be useful to note that Housing stock statistics for all Local Authorities can be located on the Department for Communities and Local Government. Please see the relevant web link below https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/local-authority-housing-statistics-for-england-2011-to-2012</p> <p>2. Please see the table below which lists the number of leasehold owners whom hold five or more leases on properties for which the Council owns the freehold.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have</p>

					<p>properties where the council owns the freehold, along with the name of the leaseholder, the number of properties they hold and the addresses of those properties.</p>	<p>decided to redact the information you have requested.</p> <p>We believe that disclosing the names of those landlords holding leaseholds, together with the full postal addresses of those properties could potentially result in the identification of individuals placed at that address. We would apply Section 40, as disclosure of these addresses could result in the identification of individuals living in rented accommodation. We consider this to be third party personal data and it is therefore exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in disclosure.</p> <p>Responded via spreadsheet.</p>
Public	14669	18/12/12	17/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject: Public Health Funerals</p> <p>I would like to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act regarding public</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>There have been six public health funerals held since July 2012.</p> <p>We would however refuse the other aspects of your request, having taken into account guidance which the Treasury has issued in respect of such FOI requests.</p>

				<p>health funerals which have taken place from July 2012 to the date of your reply.</p> <p>Would you please be able to provide :</p> <p>Date of death of the deceased Name of the deceased Place of death/last known address Place of birth Have the next of kin have been traced? Estate value Contact details of whom the details have been passed on to?</p> <p>If applicable, the date on which the details will be referred to the Treasury Solicitor, QLTR or Duchy.</p>	<p>Under Section 21 of the Act London Borough of Newham is not required to provide information in response to a request if it is already reasonably accessible to you. The information you requested is available from the Treasury Solicitor's Department Bona Vacantia Division website at www.bonavacantia.gov.uk .</p> <p>The council usually refer estates with a net value of over £500 to the Treasury Solicitor's Department. The department would then publish limited information about those valued at over £5,000, unless a claimant comes forward during the period before publication.</p> <p>In addition to this, the information you requested with regard to the date of birth and last known address are on the death certificate. This information is accessible from the General Register Office at www.gro.gov.uk .</p> <p>Further we rely on Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act. This exemption applies where disclosure would be likely to prejudice a range of investigations and conduct, including the prevention and detection of crime. Releasing information under FOIA is equivalent to publishing it "to the world at large" and so our decision must be based on the likely effect of anyone having access to the requested information. The vast majority of the estates in question contain residential property, which, due to the circumstances of the estate, is likely to be empty, and in many cases will contain assets. Releasing information that identifies, either directly or indirectly, the whereabouts of such properties (and assets) before they have been secured leads to a real and significant</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>risk of theft and fraud. It may also alert unlawful occupiers to the location of empty properties in the borough. This could also interfere with the statutory function to collect bona vacantia (ownerless goods) vested in the Crown and would provide an opportunity for criminal acts to be committed.</p> <p>With regards to section 31 above (qualified exemption), a 'public interest test' is required to determine if the exemption is applicable. In applying this test we have considered the following factors:</p> <p>Factors in favour of disclosure: the general public interest in the promotion of transparency, accountability, public understanding and involvement in the democratic process; the benefits to potential beneficiaries of unclaimed estates of genealogists tracing them down earlier; the resulting likely effect of fewer empty properties in the borough and the commercial benefits to lawyers and genealogists in tracing beneficiaries.</p> <p>Factors against disclosure: disclosure would increase the risk of fraud and theft towards vulnerable estates, and potentially towards individuals; such fraud and theft would diminish the value of estates, estates that potentially have beneficiaries; releasing information which may lead to the identification of empty properties increases the likelihood of unlawful occupation in the borough</p> <p>Whilst there are arguments on each side, we consider that, in the circumstances of the case, the public interest favours withholding this information</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

Public	14670	18/12/12	17/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject: HR STAFF STRUCTURE</p> <p>1. The first name, surname and email address for any person holding the post of manager, head of department, assistant director or director within your Resources Division</p> <p>a. By "Resources" I also include Risk Management, Procurement and Corporate Programmes</p> <p>2. The first name, surname and email address for any person holding the post of manager, head of department, assistant director or director within</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Executive Director of Resources & Commercial Development - Mr Chris Pope</p> <p>Divisional Director of Business Systems, Property & Commercial - Mr Michael Flanagan</p> <p>Divisional Director of Corporate Finance - Mrs Deborah Hindson</p> <p>2. Divisional Director of Legal, People & Change - Ms Helen Sidwell</p> <p>It may be useful to note that Democratic Services lies within the Legal, People and Change directorate.</p> <p>The Complaints and Member Enquiries Services lies within the Strategic Commissioning and Community Directorate. The details of this are on the letterhead.</p> <p>All Newham employee email addresses as set out as firstname.secondname@newham.gov.uk</p> <p>It may be useful to note that Newham's Senior Management structure is available on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/CouncilFinances.htm</p>
--------	-------	----------	------------	-----------------	---	---

					<p>your Legal Services Division</p> <p>a. By "Legal Services" I also include Corporate Complaint and Democratic Services</p>	<p>Newham Council does ask that its staff are not sent any unsolicited promotional or other sales materials/communications or added to any such mailing lists. Our staff are very busy and any procurement activity is completed by the Council on a corporate basis.</p>
Public	14662	18/12/12	17/01/2013	Housing Standards	<p>Subject: Empty Commercial Properties</p> <p>I am writing to request for the following information under the Freedom Of Information Act 2000:-</p> <p>(a) addresses of empty Commercial properties that are within your area</p> <p>(b) if possible, the owner(s) of those properties referred to in(a)</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 31(1)(a) exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information.</p> <p>The Council holds information regarding empty properties on either its Business Rates or Council Tax database. The only means by which such data can be accessed would be by processing the data held with reference to identifying which properties received a relevant empty property exemption from either Council Tax or Business Rates.</p> <p>It is our view that Section 31(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 applies to this request. This section provides that information is exempt from disclosure if such disclosure would prejudice the "prevention or detection of crime". Putting the addresses of empty properties (residential</p>

					<p>(c) if possible, type of use (e.g. office, shop, storage facility, nursing home etc) of those properties referred to in (a)</p> <p>(d) if possible, approximate date (month and year) from which it became empty, of those properties referred to in (a)</p> <p>(e) if possible, rateable values of those properties referred to in(a)</p>	<p>or otherwise) within the London Borough of Newham into the public domain would in our view compromise the security of the buildings concerned and would prejudice the objectives of preventing criminal behaviour. We consider there is a significant risk that releasing details of empty properties might lead to burglary, arson or squatting. Within the Borough there have been occasions when fires have been set in empty properties. In relation to domestic and commercial empty properties, there is also known use of such empty properties to commit benefit fraud, identity fraud and money laundering.</p> <p>We have consulted with other boroughs who have also received similar requests and we have been advised that concerns have also been expressed by the Metropolitan Police. These concerns indicate that release of the information sought would in the Police's view increase the potential for the properties to be targeted by squatters, by criminals or terrorists intent on hiding or depositing proceeds of crime or terrorist materials. There is also the potential for premises to be identified as short-term hiding places by criminals or terrorists. We have consulted our local police and they have confirmed the number of incidents of crimes carried out on empty properties, and their view is that disclosing this information would prejudice the prevention of crime.</p> <p>These incidents would support the concerns we have as to the prejudice to the prevention of crime in the London Borough of Newham if the information were disclosed. The Act does not allow the Council to enquire as to motives, nor do we have any control over distribution of the information once it is released into the public domain.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

						<p>Section 31 is a qualified exemption to which the public interest test must be applied. There do not appear to be any obvious public interest considerations that would weigh in favour of disclosure beyond that wherever possible it is in the public interest for them to have access to information. There is however in our view clear public interest considerations that weigh in favour of not disclosing the information since to do so would prejudice the objective of prevention of crime which is of course in everyone's interests. Having considered whether the public interest weighs in favour of disclosure or non-disclosure in this instance we have decided that it is not in the public interest to release such information.</p>
Public	14665	18/12/12	18/01/2013	Housing Standards	<p>Subject: Decent Homes Standard</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information act, can you please provide the most recent version of the Decent Homes Standard (for works to properties receiving Decent Homes works).</p> <p>To ensure there is no confusion as to what this document</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Following Newham Homes completion of a majority of its Decent Homes Programme, we are now running at a little under 13% non decency. The Council is no longer work to the Decent Homes Standard as a minimum standard for social housing, but take the overall levels of non decency into account when looking at future works programmes. The Council has no decent homes specific works. Other than the backlog of the old Newham Homes decent homes programme.</p> <p>Some of our present Capital programme included some Decent Homes backlog work from Newham Homes, but this is included within other Capital work being carried out on the properties.</p>

				<p>entails I have listed specific details as to what this document contains.</p> <p>The document will detail the specific level of Decent Homes works taking place in reference to the minimum standard set by the HCA (Homes and Communities Agency).</p> <p>This document details the minimum level of works each property will receive. It also gives the circumstances for additional or less works that a property is to receive, based on conditions such as its current</p>	<p>When considering future programmes of work the question of whether a property is decent, potentially decent or non decent is only one factor we now consider as we are now looking at all Capital works required to our stock not just decent homes as this standard did not cover such items as communal electrical or lifts which are a major capital expenditure and allowed other non key items to fail with out affecting Decent Homes.</p> <p>The present definition used by Newham for decent homes is defined within our asset management software and is based on those set out in 'A Decent Home: Definition and Guidance for Implementation June 2006 – Update', published by the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG). Please note that there is no separate written definition.'</p> <p>Please visit https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/a-decent-home-definition-and-guidance for further guidance on this matter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>condition.</p> <p>This document will detail the minimum level of works taking place on the externals of a property. This may include windows, doors, roofs etc, and further works.</p> <p>This document will also include the minimum level of works to occur to the internals of the property.</p>	
Business	14655	18/12/12	10/01/2013	Building Schools for the Future	<p>Subject: Vacant Schools in Newham</p> <p>I would like to be provided with a list of all schools within Newham which have become vacant since 2005, and which either</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Newham has increasing demand for school places. Since 2005 no schools in the Borough have closed due to falling pupil numbers.</p> <p>One school building (Former Rokeby School Site) remained empty for over 6 months following the Government's decision to stop the Newham BSF Wave 5 programme (which was to have included demolition and re-building of a larger school on this site). However this site has since be brought back into</p>

					<p>did stand, or still do stand, as empty buildings for a period of 6 months or more. It would also be helpful to have the dates for when the vacation occurred.</p> <p>Such vacations would usually occur where a newly built school has opened and replaced the former school site (leaving it empty), or where a school has simply been closed due to reasons such as falling pupil numbers.</p>	use.
Public	14696	18/12/12	17/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject: Population of the LBN</p> <p>Can you tell me what is the population of the</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>A wealth of information on demographics and population statistics for the borough can be located on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/KeyStatisticsandCensusInformation.htm</p>

					<p>London Borough of Newham in the year 2012?</p> <p>Can you tell me what is the projected population of the London Borough of Newham for the year 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017?</p>	<p>More detailed and ward area specific information can also be located on the Newham Info website, which allows for self selection of wards and particular statistical headings to retrieve the data which you may require. This option is available under the Profiles heading. http://www.newham.info/</p> <p>It may be useful to note the first returns of the comprehensive retrieval of population information through the 2011 Census are now available on the link below http://www.newham.info/census2011</p> <p>As of the date of the collation of information, Newham's population was estimated as being 308,000.</p> <p>Statistical information in respect of future population predictions or forecasts can be located through the Office of National Statistics. For your ease of reference, please see the relevant web link to localised population forecasts below http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/publications/re-reference-tables.html?edition=tcm%3A77-274527</p>
Public	14697	19/12/12	10/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject: PCN's</p> <p>Please may I be provided with statistics on how many vehicles received a PCN for being parked with</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please find attached a spreadsheet with regards to the information sought. 2. Due to the Councils' obligation under the Data

					<p>one or more wheels on or over a footpath (code 62j) on Chargeable Lane E13, in 2011 compared with 2012? Please can the statistics be broken down month by month?</p> <p>Also can I be provided with all the camera enforcement officer identification details that monitored Chargeable Lane throughout November 2012 and days that enforcement officers were present.</p>	<p>Protection Act (DPA) personal data about Civil Enforcement Officers (CEO) cannot be disclosed.</p> <p>The definition of personal data is data which relates to a living individual who can be identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • from that data; or • from that data and other information which is in the possession of, or is likely to come into the possession of, the data controller. <p>Sensitive personal data concerns the subject's race, ethnicity, politics, religion, trade union status, health, sex life or criminal record.</p> <p>From the supporting spreadsheet in column G are a range of dates which illustrate the dates and times a CEO was present during the type of parking offences you have requested on Chargeable Lane in November 2012.</p> <p>We trust that the information provided is satisfactory.</p>						
Organisation	14698	19/12/12	18/01/2013	Parking Design	<p>Subject: School Crossings</p> <p>I'm hoping that you can help me with the following</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Please see below the totals of school crossing patrol staff for the requested years</p> <table> <tr> <td>2012/13 (currently)</td> <td>-</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>-</td> <td>54</td> </tr> </table>	2012/13 (currently)	-	54	2011/12	-	54
2012/13 (currently)	-	54										
2011/12	-	54										

				<p>information.</p> <p>1.) How many school crossing patrol staff (often known as lollipop people) does Newham have?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently (2012/13) • In 2011/12 • In 2010/11 <p>2.) How many school crossing patrol staff (often known as lollipop people) will Newham have in 2013/14?</p> <p>3.) How much did Newham pay out in compensation to motorists who suffered damage to their vehicle from poor road surfaces, such as potholes?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • So far in 2012/13 • In 2011/12 	<p>2010/11 - 56</p> <p>2. In the coming financial year 2013/12 we hope to increase to 57 patrols.</p> <p>3. Please see below the annual totals of monies paid which relate to claims which occurred in the requested financial years</p> <table> <tr> <td>2012/13 (so far)</td> <td>-</td> <td>£1,424.25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>-</td> <td>£4,822.06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>-</td> <td>£11,635.65</td> </tr> </table>	2012/13 (so far)	-	£1,424.25	2011/12	-	£4,822.06	2010/11	-	£11,635.65
2012/13 (so far)	-	£1,424.25												
2011/12	-	£4,822.06												
2010/11	-	£11,635.65												

					• In 2010/11	
Media	14706	19/12/12	10/01/2013	Chief Executive and CE's Office	<p>Subject : Foreign Travel - Senior Officers/Councillors</p> <p>I am writing to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act for information on trips abroad by councillors and senior council officers over the last five years.</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <p>1a) How many trips abroad were taken by councillors or senior council officers in 2011/12? b) The same for 2010/11 c) The same for 2009/10 d) The same for 2008/09</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>I am writing to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act for information on trips abroad by councillors and senior council officers over the last five years.</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <p>1a) How many trips abroad were taken by councillors or senior council officers in 2011/12? - No overseas trips taken b) The same for 2010/11 – No overseas trips taken c) The same for 2009/10 – 2 visits d) The same for 2008/09 – 4 visits e) The same for 2007/08 – 3 visits</p> <p>2a) What was the total cost of all trips abroad taken by councillors or senior council officers in 2011/12? - None b) The same for 2010/11 - None c) The same for 2009/10 - £1,120.40 d) The same for 2008/09 - £26,569.31 e) The same for 2007/08 - £19,189.20</p> <p>3a) For each trip in 2011/12 please provide a breakdown listing the destination, name of the councillors or senior council officers who embarked on the trip, cost of the individual trip, who paid for the trip, duration of the trip, the purpose of the trip, the mode of transportation, what class of travel they took (i.e. Business Class/First Class/Economy etc), the name of hotel(s) stayed at, and cost of hotel accommodation – No Councillors or Senior Council Officer</p>

				<p>e) The same for 2007/08</p> <p>2a) What was the total cost of all trips abroad taken by councillors or senior council officers in 2011/12?</p> <p>b) The same for 2010/11</p> <p>c) The same for 2009/10</p> <p>d) The same for 2008/09</p> <p>e) The same for 2007/08</p> <p>3a) For each trip in 2011/12 please provide a breakdown listing the destination, name of the councillors or senior council officers who embarked on the trip, cost of the individual trip, who paid for the trip,</p>	<p>travelled overseas in 2011/12.</p> <p>b) The same for 2010/11 – as 3(a) above.</p> <p>c) The same for 2009/10</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 29 – 31 July 2009 - Civic visit to the twin town of Kaiserslautern, Germany for the purpose of sharing best practice and exploring opportunities for developing business and educational links – 2 council officers, (then) Executive Director of Regeneration, Planning & Property and Head of Corporate Planning & Partnerships. Costs: £650.40 for flights for the Members. All other costs funded by City of Kaiserslautern 30th September – 2nd October 2009 - Civic visit to the twin town of Kaiserslautern, Germany by Executive Member for Community and External Affairs to speak at their conference on Urban Regeneration organised for their twin towns. Costs: £570 for flights. All other costs funded by City of Kaiserslautern. <p>d) The same for 2008/09</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24 June 2008 – 28 June 2008 - Exchange trip to Moscow as part of TACIS (Technical Assistance to the Commonwealth of Independent States), a fund administered by the European Commission Delegation to Russia with the aim of improving the flow of advice between more developed civil societies and countries of the former Soviet Union. The objective of the trip was to advise at school, regional and federal level to facilitate the movement of Russian children
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>duration of the trip, the purpose of the trip, the mode of transportation, what class of travel they took (i.e. Business Class/First Class/Economy etc), the name of hotel(s) stayed at, and cost of hotel accommodation.</p> <p>b) The same for 2010/11</p> <p>c) The same for 2009/10</p> <p>d) The same for 2008/09</p> <p>e) The same for 2007/08</p> <p>Please note, senior officers are to be taken as those defined as chief officers in the Localism Act 2011 (s43(2)) http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/part/1/chapt</p>	<p>with disabilities into mainstream education. Trip undertaken by Chair of TACIS Steering Group, Executive Member for Business Partnerships & Skills, alongside 3 officers, Children and Young People Services (CYPS) Integrated Services Manager, CYPS Principal Educational Psychologist and Head teacher, local Primary School. Costs £2,055.64 for the 2 councillors paid for by LB Newham. Costs for officers funded by the European Commission Delegation to Russia.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20 August 2008 – 26 August 2008 - Official delegation to Beijing by Mayor, Executive Member for the Olympics, Chief Executive, Head of 2012 Games Unit to understand impact of staging the Games on local community/service providers and establish links with Olympic sponsors for the benefit of Newham. Cost: £10,679, paid for by LB Newham • 07 September 2008 – 12 September 2008 - Official delegation to Beijing by Deputy Mayor, Head of 2012 Games Unit to understand impact of staging the Paralympics Games on local community/service providers and establish links with Paralympics/Olympic sponsors for the benefit of Newham. Cost: £2,581.07, paid for by LB Newham. • 14 October 2008 – 18 October 2008 - Official delegation to Beijing by Mayor and Head of Strategic Policy in relation to twinning with Chaoyang District, Beijing, to speak at world cities conference on regeneration and the 2012 Games and to sign Memorandum of understanding. Cost: £11,253.60 for flights, no records for cost of hotel, both funded by Chaoyang District, Beijing. <p>e) The same for 2007/08</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					er/8/enacted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6 August – 8 August 2007 - Civic visit to Iceland by the Mayor and Head of Communications, at the invitation of the Mayor of Reykjavik. Funded by the Chairman of West Ham United Football Club. No records held on costs. 26 October – 2 November 2007 - Official delegation to Beijing by Mayor, Executive Member for the Olympics, Head of Regeneration, Head of 2012 Games Unit to view regeneration opportunities linked to the Olympic Games, engagement with higher education and business. Cost: £18,349.20 paid for by LB Newham, except for flights and accommodation for Mayor which were funded by the University of East London. 12 January 2008 - Official engagement by Civic Ambassador to Kerala, India. LB Newham paid for the cost of flights from London Heathrow to Trivandrum and return. Total cost was £840. The purpose of the visit was to promote tourism and to foster the cultural ties between the state of Kerala and Newham. <p>Please note, senior officers are to be taken as those defined as chief officers in the Localism Act 2011 (s43(2)) http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/part/1/chapter/8/enacted</p>
Business	14705	19/12/12	18/01/2013	Adult Services (FOI)	Subject : Social Care - Learning Disability Contracts	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Please find attached a table in support of the information</p>

				<p>Freedom of Information Act 2000</p> <p>We are seeking information under Section 8 of the above Act. Would you please provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The name of each learning disability social care (or health care) contract • For each contract the name of the current provider • For each contract the current annual value of the contract • For each contract the current expiry date of the contract • For each contract the provisions for extension to the contract held within the terms and conditions of the 	<p>sought. It is important to note the following points in relation to your request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For spot purchases there is no contract name recorded however in the circumstances we were able to retrieve a few contractors names, but have been unable to answer this aspect of your request fully as our current recording systems does not have this information. 2. For spot purchases the annual contract amount is based on invoices paid to the particular provider to date. 3. For spot purchases we are unable to provide the information that you require in relation to an expiry date or an extension period. <p>Unfortunately, we are unable to extract further information in support of your request from our current recording systems. In order to retrieve this information an Officer would greatly exceed the appropriate time limit under the Freedom of Information Act and the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours.</p> <p>We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under Section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>contract.</p> <p>Would you please provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The name of each learning disability social care (or health care) contract • For each contract the name of the current provider • For each contract the current annual value of the contract • For each contract the current expiry date of the contract • For each contract the provisions for extension to the contract held within the terms and conditions of the contract 	<p>appropriate limit.</p> <p>We trust that the information provided is satisfactory.</p>
Media	14694	19/12/12	08/01/2013	Planning Projects	Subject: Planning Permission fro	Summary

				<p>Wind Farms/Wind Turbines</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act I would like to request the following information:</p> <p>The number of appeals received by the council against the council's decision to reject or refuse planning permission for wind farms or wind turbines in each of the following years: 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012.</p> <p>Please include only the figures I have requested in as simple a form as possible. Please do not send links to all appeal</p>	<p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>We can advise that there have been no appeals raised against the Council's decision to reject or refuse planning permission for wind farms or wind turbines in the years sought.</p> <p>We trust that the information provided is satisfactory.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					decisions made by the council, or databases which show the same thing.	
Public Bodies	14678	19/12/12	18/01/2013	Housing Standards	<p>Subject: Decent Homes Property</p> <p>Under 'Freedom of Information' can you please provide the following information regarding methodology, results, and outputs for resident satisfaction reporting associated with their Decent Homes property renovation works in your borough.</p> <p>Questions:</p> <p>Decent Homes – Resident Feedback/Satisfaction Reporting</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. What questions are the residents asked and what are the response options? Please see attached copy of resident satisfaction form which shows the questions that residents are asked and the response options.</p> <p>2. What sample size do you use for surveying? We encourage 100% response from all residents in every individual scheme. The forms are hand delivered to all addresses in the scheme by the contractor's Resident Liaison Officer (RLO). The RLO returns to the property to collect the completed form, or residents are encouraged to return the form to the contractor's site office.</p> <p>3. What format is the survey offered in (e.g. telephone; paper)? The survey is offered in paper form.</p> <p>4. Frequency surveys are undertaken (e.g. monthly, rolling)? Surveys are undertaken either at completion of works in individual properties or on completion of the scheme depending on what works are involved in the scheme. For</p>

				<p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What questions are the residents asked and what are the response options? 2. What sample size do you use for surveying? 3. What format is the survey offered in (e.g. telephone; paper)? 4. Frequency surveys are undertaken (e.g. monthly, rolling)? 5. Stage of works surveys (pre, during, post)? 6. Who conducts the surveys (e.g. independent organisation, internal department, contractor)? <p>RESULTS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can you provide your most recent 	<p>example, residents can complete a satisfaction survey once their new windows, boiler have been completed in their property. Alternatively, satisfaction forms can be completed once all the communal paintwork, landlord electric supply, lifts works etc has been completed to the whole block.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Stage of works surveys (pre, during, post)? As 4 above. 6. Who conducts the surveys (e.g. independent organisation, internal department, contractor)? Surveys are currently conducted by the contractor carrying out the works; this is co-ordinated by Newham. <p>Summary</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can you provide your most recent output highlighting the key finding and results for DH resident satisfaction including overall ratings and historical trends, OR 2. A summary of your most recent survey findings including overall ratings and historical trends <p>Newham Council does not distinguish between decent homes work and other Capital Works Programmes, but collects satisfaction information across all its capital works programmes.</p> <p>Attached is a copy of the last report issued showing the results of the last overall results taken and recorded. The Capital Programme Team does not have any previous trend information.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>output highlighting the key finding and results for DH resident satisfaction including overall ratings and historical trends, OR</p> <p>2. A summary of your most recent survey findings including overall ratings and historical trends</p>	
Public	14708	20/12/12	22/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject : Housing Service Structure</p> <p>I am writing to request for the following information under the Freedom Of Information Act 2000:-</p> <p>a) Organizational structure for the Housing Regeneration</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Please find attached a copy of the London Borough of Newham's (LBN) Senior Management Team structure which offers primarily the name of the decision makers across the Council. The link below may also be helpful to you http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/CouncilFinances.htm</p> <p>It is important to note that in relation to your request the information you require spans across a number of areas of the Council and to assist I have highlighted those particular areas as follows: Strategic Planning & Regeneration, Operations & Olympic Legacy and Community Infrastructure.</p>

				<p>Department within the council, especially those who are decision makers. To be more specific, the team which deals with, manage, organise, delegate, procure, strategise and/or makes policies in relation to current and future regeneration projects within the borough.</p> <p>(b) Anyone else (individuals and/or organisations) who is working together with those referred to in (a)</p> <p>(c) Names and job titles of those in (a) and (b)</p> <p>(d) Contact details of those in (a) and (b) like telephone numbers and/or</p>	<p>The general telephone number for LBN is 0208 430 2000.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					email addresses.	
Media	14707	20/12/12		Payments	<p>Subject : Purchase Cards</p> <p>I am writing to request details under the Freedom of Information Act on spending by councillors, senior council officers and other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar</p> <p>More specifically:</p> <p>1. How many councillors are currently authorised to use purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar?</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Spending by councillors, senior council officers and other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar</p> <p>More specifically:</p> <p>1. How many councillors are currently authorised to use purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar? Neither the Mayor or Councillors are issued with purchase cards.</p> <p>2 a) The total amount spent by councillors using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2011/12 - N/A</p> <p>b) The total amount spent by councillors using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2010/11 N/A</p> <p>c) The total amount spent by councillors using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2009/10 N/A</p> <p>d) The total amount spent by councillors using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2008/09 N/A</p> <p>e) The total amount spent by councillors using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2007/08 N/A</p> <p>Please see answer to question 1 above.</p>

				<p>2 a) The total amount spent by councillors using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2011/12</p> <p>b) 2010/11</p> <p>c) 2009/10</p> <p>d) 2008/09</p> <p>e) 2007/08</p> <p>3. Please also provide a copy of the card statements, 'management information' or line-by-line data for each card (in a xls or csv format) giving a breakdown of the spending, including the name of the councillor/authorised card user(s), the date of each transaction, the merchant paid, the transaction amount, the transaction description and any other description held on record to explain each transaction.</p> <p>There are none.</p> <p>4. How many senior council staff are currently authorised to use purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar? 4</p> <p>5. a) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2011/12 – £5,567.89</p> <p>b) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2010/11</p> <p>c) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2009/10</p> <p>d) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2008/09</p> <p>e) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2007/08</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>merchant paid, the transaction amount, the transaction description and any other description held on record to explain each transaction.</p> <p>4. How many senior council staff are currently authorised to use purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar?</p> <p>5. a) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2011/12 b) The total</p>	<p>2008/10 the amount spent by Senior Council Officers using their purchase cards total £22,337.92</p> <p>Please see attached Senior Managers 2008-10 and 2010/12 spreadsheet attached.</p> <p>6. Please also provide a copy of the card statements, 'management information' or line-by-line data for each card (in a xls or csv format) giving a breakdown of the spending, including the name of the senior council officer/authorised card user(s), the date of each transaction, the merchant paid, the transaction amount, the transaction description and any other description held on record to explain each transaction.</p> <p>Purchase Card statements are sent from the bank direct to the officers concerned and is not held in a central location. Providing purchase card statements for senior officers, from their different services, over the 5 year period requested, would be resource intensive and would greatly exceed the appropriate time limit to answer this request.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the 'appropriate limit'. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p><i>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit</i></p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2010/11</p> <p>c) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2009/10</p> <p>d) The total amount spent by senior council officers using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2008/09</p> <p>e) The total amount spent by senior council officers using</p>	<p><i>(1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</i></p> <p>Please see attached Senior Managers 2008-10 and 2010/12 spreadsheet attached for itemised breakdown.</p> <p>7. How many other council staff are currently authorised to use purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar? 246</p> <p>To prevent the abuse of spending on purchase cards merchant categories are blocked, and cards are blocked for cash withdrawals. All purchase card logs are scrutinized when they are filed at the end of each statement cycle by the administrators (payments control section). These returns are also audited internally and by customs and excise.</p> <p>Council Staff do not have any other credit cards.</p> <p>8. a) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2011/12 - £1,740,312.25</p> <p>b) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2010/11 - £2,056,671.75</p> <p>c) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2009/10 - £2,429,221.12</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2007/08</p> <p>6. Please also provide a copy of the card statements, 'management information' or line-by-line data for each card (in a xls or csv format) giving a breakdown of the spending, including the name of the senior council officer/authorised card user(s), the date of each transaction, the merchant paid, the transaction amount, the transaction description and any other description held on</p>	<p>Please note that the information provided is a combination of the total spend for all staff that have purchase cards during the period 2009 to 2012.</p> <p>For further information, the Purchase Card Expenditure 2009/10, 2010/11 and 2011/12 spreadsheets are attached.</p> <p>d) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2008/09</p> <p>We are unable to report on the further breakdown for 2008/09 and 2007/08 as this information is stored within an alternative system. Therefore it is not possible to compile the requested information in addition to the time already spent, in compiling the information already provided, within the reasonable Freedom of Information time limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the 'appropriate limit'. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p><i>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit</i> <i>(1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the</i></p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>record to explain each transaction.</p> <p>7. How many other council staff are currently authorised to use purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar?</p> <p>8. a) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2011/12</p> <p>b) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2010/11</p>	<p><i>appropriate limit.</i></p> <p>e) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/'p-cards'/council credit cards or similar in 2007/08 - Please see answer to d) above.</p> <p>9. Please also provide a copy of the card statements, 'management information' or line-by-line data for each card (in a xls or csv format) giving a breakdown of the spending, including the name of the council worker/authorised card user(s), the date of each transaction, the merchant paid, the transaction amount, the transaction description and any other description held on record to explain each transaction.</p> <p>Please see attached Purchase Card Expenditure 2009/10, 2010/11 and 2011/12 for itemised breakdown.</p> <p>Please note, senior officers are to be taken as those defined as chief officers in the Localism Act 2011 (s43(2)) http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/part/1/chapter/8/enacted</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>c) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards/council credit cards or similar in 2009/10</p> <p>d) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards/council credit cards or similar in 2008/09</p> <p>e) The total amount spent by other council staff using purchase cards/procurement cards/p-cards/council credit cards or similar in 2007/08</p> <p>9. Please also provide a copy of the card statements,</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					'management information' or line-by-line data for each card (in a xls or csv format) giving a breakdown of the spending, including the name of the council worker/authorised card user(s), the date of each transaction, the merchant paid, the transaction amount, the transaction description and any other description held on record to explain each transaction.	
Media	14713	21/12/12	16/01/2013	Planning Application & Enforcement	<p>Subject : Neighbourhood Forums</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act (2000), I request the following</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We have not received any applications for neighbourhood forums by any local community or business groups.</p> <p>More widely, the Council has a community engagement team which supports Councillors in their representational role including working with residents and local groups to address local issues, arranging events and community projects for the</p>

				<p>information from your authority in 20 working days please:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please provide the names of any local community or business groups that have applied to your local authority to become a neighbourhood forum.2. Please provide the names of any local community or business groups that have been designated neighbourhood forums by your local authority.3. Please state which neighbourhood areas they will cover.	<p>purposes of engaging with the community, increasing activity and participation and encouraging social cohesion. This work is key to how the Council delivers community resilience.</p> <p>We are currently piloting a community hubs model to strengthen this neighbourhood working.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>4. Please provide the names of any parish or town councils that have applied to your local authority to designate neighbourhood planning areas.</p> <p>5. Please provide the names of any parish or town councils whose applications to designate neighbourhood planning areas have been approved by your local authority.</p> <p>6. Please provide the names of any neighbourhood plans that have been submitted for examination.</p> <p>7. Please provide the names of any neighbourhood</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

					plans that have been examined and a recommendation has been made by the examiner.	
Organisation	14714	21/12/12	16/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject : Absent Children/Looked After Children</p> <p>I apply under the terms of the Freedom of Information legislation for the following information.</p> <p>1. In your local authority in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were return interviews offered after each running away/missing episode to:</p> <p>a) children who ran away/went missing</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>I apply under the terms of the Freedom of Information legislation for the following information.</p> <p>1. In your local authority in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were return interviews offered after each running away/missing episode to:</p> <p>a) children who ran away/went missing from home</p> <p>b) children who ran away/went missing from care</p> <p><i>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain what the normal procedure is when a child returns following a running away/missing episode and under what circumstances children are offered return interviews</i></p> <p>Our policy is to meet with all children whom have runaway from their residence in order to determine and evaluate their immediate need and to assess the relevant support to provide them, appropriate to their situation.</p> <p>In addition to the Police safe and well checks, children whom have run away from care would be met and spoken to by their allocated social workers.</p> <p>Review interviews would be conducted where further</p>

				<p>from home b) children who ran away/went missing from care If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain what the normal procedure is when a child returns following a running away/missing episode and under what circumstances children are offered return interviews</p> <p>2. In your local authority in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 how many return interviews were conducted with: a) children who ran away/went missing from home b) children who ran away/went missing</p>	<p>significant risk issues have been identified.</p> <p>Children who are not looked after and whom had run away, would be met with by a Children's Services social worker if the level of risk/need met the threshold for a Child in Need Assessment and/or Child Protection Enquiries.</p> <p>2. In your local authority in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 how many return interviews were conducted with: a) children who ran away/went missing from home b) children who ran away/went missing from care <i>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain why this information is not available</i></p> <p>Unfortunately it is not possible to retrieve the detailed information you have requested from our systems. It is not possible for our systems to provide figures/reports on the search options requested, nor to determine from all cases recorded on our systems, which children whom following a running away incident received a return interview.</p> <p>In order to extract this level of detail it would be necessary for an officer to manually review paper files of children brought to the attention of the Safeguarding Children service. The officer would be required to interrogate each individual file to determine whether the children had run away from their residence and determine the subsequent action and decision reached in each of the individual cases, noting manually where</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>from care If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain why this information is not available</p> <p>3. In your local area in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were return interviews offered after each running away/missing episode to:</p> <p>a) children who ran away/went missing from home b) children who ran away/went missing from care</p> <p>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain what the normal procedure is when a child returns following a running away/missing</p>	<p>return interviews had been undertaken. This detailed manual review of files would exceed the appropriate time limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse this part of your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>3. In your local area in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were return interviews offered after each running away/missing episode to:</p> <p>a) children who ran away/went missing from home b) children who ran away/went missing from care</p> <p><i>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain what the normal procedure is when a child returns following a running away/missing episode and under what circumstances children are offered return interviews</i></p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1 above.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>episode and under what circumstances children are offered return interviews</p> <p>4. In your local authority in the period from 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012 how many return interviews were conducted with:</p> <p>a) children who ran away/went missing from home</p> <p>b) children who ran away/went missing from care</p> <p>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain why this information is not available</p> <p>5. In the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were return interviews with</p>	<p>4. In your local authority in the period from 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012 how many return interviews were conducted with:</p> <p>a) children who ran away/went missing from home</p> <p>b) children who ran away/went missing from care</p> <p><i>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain why this information is not available</i></p> <p>Please see our response to Question 2 above.</p> <p>5. In the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were return interviews with children who ran away/went missing conducted by:</p> <p>a) children's services</p> <p>b) specialist independent person/ project</p> <p>c) other (please provide details)</p> <p>Children's Services.</p> <p>6. In the period from 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012 were return interviews with children who ran away/went missing conducted by:</p> <p>a) children's services</p> <p>b) specialist independent person/ project</p> <p>c) other (please provide details)</p> <p>Children's Services</p> <p>7. If return interviews in your local authority in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2012 were conducted by an independent service what was the name and address of the service? Not Applicable</p> <p>8. If return interviews in your local authority in the period from 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012 were conducted by</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>children who ran away/went missing conducted by:</p> <p>a) children's services</p> <p>b) specialist independent person/ project</p> <p>c) other (please provide details)</p> <p>6. In the period from 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012 were return interviews with children who ran away/went missing conducted by:</p> <p>a) children's services</p> <p>b) specialist independent person/ project</p> <p>c) other (please provide details)</p> <p>7. If return interviews in your local authority in the period from 1 April 2011 to 31</p>	<p>an independent service what was the name and address of the service? Not Applicable</p> <p>9. Is an assessment of need a standard part of a return interview in your local area?</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1 above.</p> <p>10. What is the standard format for the assessment of need done as part of return interviews with young runaways/children who go missing?</p> <p><i>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain under what circumstances an assessment of need will be conducted following a running away episode and the format for this assessment.</i></p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>March 2012 were conducted by an independent service what was the name and address of the service?</p> <p>8. If return interviews in your local authority in the period from 1 April 2012 to 1 December 2012 were conducted by an independent service what was the name and address of the service?</p> <p>9. Is an assessment of need a standard part of a return interview in your local area?</p> <p>10. What is the standard format for the assessment of need done as part</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>of return interviews with young runaways/children who go missing?</p> <p>If you can not provide an answer to this question please explain under what circumstances an assessment of need will be conducted following a running away episode and the format for this assessment.</p>	
Organisation	14870	21/12/12	22/01/2013	Local Service Centre	<p>Subject : Blue Badge Scheme</p> <p>Please provide the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The criteria, policy or guidance used by decision-makers (whether employed by you or another party) 	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please provide the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The criteria, policy or guidance used by decision-makers (whether employed by you or another party) when assessing Blue Badge claims. <p>The Department of Transport Blue Badge Scheme Local Authority Guidance (England) is used. Please see attached document.</p> <p>Guidance and qualification for Blue Badges can be found on</p>

				<p>when assessing Blue Badge claims.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Your appeals policy (the criteria, etc., you use to determine appeals). • If you regularly use a contractor to certify claimants, who that contractor is. • If you do not use a contractor to certify claimants, then who does. <p>In addition, please provide the following statistical information:</p> <p>1. The number of Blue Badge applications made in 2011 and 2012, broken down by the diagnoses and ages of those applicants (where multiple, please include all</p>	<p>our local authority website by visiting http://adultsocialcare.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Blue-Badges.asp</p> <p>Blue Badge applications can be made on line by visiting https://bluebadge.direct.gov.uk/directgovapply.html</p> <p>Our Blue Badge Scheme Newham document is attached for your information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Your appeals policy (the criteria, etc., you use to determine appeals). <p>Please see above.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If you regularly use a contractor to certify claimants, who that contractor is. <p>Access Independent 63 Rock Road Cambridge CB1 7UG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If you do not use a contractor to certify claimants, then who does. <p>N/A. Please see above.</p> <p>In addition, please provide the following statistical information:</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>diagnoses, including all mental illnesses/disabilities).</p> <p>2. The average time it takes to process Blue Badge applications for applicants who fall into the 'without further assessment' categories.</p> <p>3. The average time it takes to process Blue Badge applications for applicants who fall into the 'further assessment' categories.</p> <p>4. The number of Blue Badges granted in 2010, 2011 and 2012 broken down by diagnosis (where multiple, please include all</p>	<p>1. The number of Blue Badge applications made in 2011 and 2012, broken down by the diagnoses and ages of those applicants (where multiple, please include all diagnoses, including all mental illnesses/disabilities).</p> <p>2011 – 100 Blue Badge applications made</p> <p>2012 – 97 Blue Badge applications made</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheet for further information.</p> <p>2. The average time it takes to process Blue Badge applications for applicants who fall into the 'without further assessment' categories.</p> <p>The full process is not completed until the Blue Badge is sent by post to the applicant. This information is not recorded by us.</p> <p>3. The average time it takes to process Blue Badge applications for applicants who fall into the 'further assessment' categories.</p> <p>Please see answer to 2 above.</p> <p>4. The number of Blue Badges granted in 2010, 2011 and 2012 broken down by diagnosis (where multiple, please include all diagnoses, including mental illnesses/disabilities) and the grounds on which they were awarded (e.g. Regulation 4(2)(f)). Please also include age demographics.</p> <p>2010 – 300 blue badges were granted</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>diagnoses, including mental illnesses/disabilities) and the grounds on which they were awarded (e.g. Regulation 4(2)(f)). Please also include age demographics.</p> <p>4. How many Blue Badges were granted, and how many were refused, without reference to an independent mobility assessor (where this is required) in 2010, 2011 and 2012.</p> <p>4.a. How many of these successful and unsuccessful applications were in relation to claimants with mental health disabilities.</p> <p>5. How many</p>	<p>2011 – 101 blue badges were granted</p> <p>2012 – 95 blue badges were granted</p> <p>Please see excel spreadsheet for further information</p> <p>4. How many Blue Badges were granted, and how many were refused, without reference to an independent mobility assessor (where this is required) in 2010, 2011 and 2012.</p> <p>We do not record whether applications that are not granted had reference to an independent mobility assessor.</p> <p>4.a. How many of these successful and unsuccessful applications were in relation to claimants with mental health disabilities.</p> <p>In relation to successful and unsuccessful applications with mental health disabilities we can provide the following information:-</p> <p>There were 100 successful Blue Badge applications in relation to claimants with mental health between the period 2010 to 2012.</p> <p>There were 9 unsuccessful Blue Badge applications in relation to claimants with mental health between the period 2010 to 2012.</p> <p>5. How many appeals were made in 2010, 2011 and 2012</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>appeals were made in 2010, 2011 and 2012</p> <p>6. How many appeals were successful, and how many were unsuccessful, in these years.</p>	<p>YEAR APPEALS RECEIVED</p> <p>2010 42 2011 40 2012 119</p> <p>6. How many appeals were successful, and how many were unsuccessful, in these years.</p> <table> <tr> <td>APPEALS</td> <td>2010</td> <td>2011</td> <td>2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SUCCESSFUL</td> <td>12</td> <td>13</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNSUCCESSFUL</td> <td>19</td> <td>16</td> <td>47</td> </tr> </table> <p>PDF documents on e folder</p>	APPEALS	2010	2011	2012	SUCCESSFUL	12	13	26	UNSUCCESSFUL	19	16	47
APPEALS	2010	2011	2012															
SUCCESSFUL	12	13	26															
UNSUCCESSFUL	19	16	47															
Public	14709	21/12/12	18/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject : Parking Fine Documentation</p> <p>1. Maintenance logs for the machine E59</p> <p>2. Copy of the procedures CEOs must undertake to check the machine was working</p> <p>3. CEO's notes to prove he abided by the above procedures</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Maintenance systems state the following information Last two checks made by engineers 11th October 2012 at 6:25am and again on 31st Sept 2012 at 7:09am. Last two occasion's test tokens were used by CEO's was 5th September 2012 at 8:18 and again on 22nd Sept 2012 at 11:26am.</p> <p>2. All CEOs are instructed during their training and learning their respective areas and also during musters on an intermittent basis that they should check Pay and Display machines are working before issuing a PCN. We do not hold any recorded information in a procedure handbook.</p> <p>3. Please find enclosed extract from CEO's Pocket Book.</p> <p>4. Our system reports that only one penalty charge notice was issued on Latimer Avenue that day.</p>												

					<p>4. Request under FOI details of all other tickets issued for that day by that machine</p> <p>5. Details of the make and model of the P&D machine</p>	<p>5. Cale Briparc - MP104 Solar</p>
Public	14711	21/12/12	18/01/2013	ICT	<p>Subject : Service ICT Systems</p> <p>Can you tell me if you use the following It systems at your authority?</p> <p>Members/councillors enquiries system – Used by members or their representatives to log and track enquiries that the public have made to them.</p> <p>Self Service/Customer Portal – For the public to access a</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Members/councillors enquiries system – Used by members or their representatives to log and track enquiries that the public have made to them.</p> <p>Name of current supplier: Currently In-house, but planned to move to Microsoft Dynamics in 2013 with in-house support</p> <p>Cost of initial implementation: £12,000</p> <p>On-going costs (Support and licensing): £4,400 per annum</p> <p>Number of FTE's that support the systems : 1</p> <p>When the current IT contract is due to finish: Not applicable</p> <p>If any of the above systems are delivered as part of a partnership or shared working arrangement can you please give the other parties involved and what your is your monetary contribution. - Not applicable</p> <p>Self Service/Customer Portal – For the public to access a range of services through a portal usually on the authority's web site. This may also involve tracking of the enquiries by the member of public through a registration process.</p> <p>Name of current supplier: In-house implementation using Microsoft Dynamics</p> <p>Cost of initial implementation: part of a wider Dynamics</p>

				<p>range of services through a portal usually on the authority's web site. This may also involve tracking of the enquiries by the member of public through a registration process.</p> <p>IT Asset Management system – This is used to help manage the estate of servers, desktops and Laptops. It holds information about each piece of hardware and updates automatically from each machine on a regular basis.</p> <p>Waste management – This can be a system to support</p>	<p>implementation, individual cost unknown On-going costs (Support and licensing): part of a wider Dynamics implementation, individual cost unknown Number of FTE's that support the systems : 4 When the current IT contract is due to finish: 18/04/2014 If any of the above systems are delivered as part of a partnership or shared working arrangement can you please give the other parties involved and what your is your monetary contribution. - Not applicable</p> <p>IT Asset Management system – This is used to help manage the estate of servers, desktops and Laptops. It holds information about each piece of hardware and updates automatically from each machine on a regular basis. Name of current supplier: In-house, using Microsoft System Centre Configuration Manager Cost of initial implementation: not known as part of System Centre Suite implementation On-going costs (Support and licensing): not known as part of System Centre Suite Number of FTE's that support the systems : 2 When the current IT contract is due to finish: 18/04/2014 If any of the above systems are delivered as part of a partnership or shared working arrangement can you please give the other parties involved and what your is your monetary contribution. - Not applicable</p> <p>Waste management – This can be a system to support commercial or domestic waste. Name of current supplier: Mayrise Cost of initial implementation: not available On-going costs (Support and licensing): £6,000 per annum</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>commercial or domestic waste.</p> <p>FOI Management – Used to track FOI, Data protection or Environmental Information Regulations – information requests</p> <p>For each of the above systems if appropriate I would like to know the following information: Name of current supplier Cost of initial implementation On-going costs (Support and licensing) Number of FTE's that support the systems When the current IT contract is due to finish</p>	<p>Number of FTE's that support the systems : 2 When the current IT contract is due to finish Annual renewal 01/04/2013</p> <p>If any of the above systems are delivered as part of a partnership or shared working arrangement can you please give the other parties involved and what your is your monetary contribution. - Not applicable</p> <p>FOI Management – Used to track FOI, Data protection or Environmental Information Regulations – information requests</p> <p>Name of current supplier: Currently In-house, but planned to move to Microsoft Dynamics in 2013 with in-house support Cost of initial implementation: not available On-going costs (Support and licensing): £17,600 per annum Number of FTE's that support the systems : 1 When the current IT contract is due to finish: N/A</p> <p>If any of the above systems are delivered as part of a partnership or shared working arrangement can you please give the other parties involved and what your is your monetary contribution. Not applicable</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					If any of the above systems are delivered as part of a partnership or shared working arrangement can you please give the other parties involved and what your is your monetary contribution.	
Business	14710	21/12/12	08/01/2013	Payments	<p>Subject : Payments to Council</p> <p>1) The total value of cash received by the council in terms of payments for bills, council tax, etc in the last accounting period (12 months) via Cash Office, PayPoint, Post Office and any other means by which the public can pay the Council in cash.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. £30949399.65. 2. 43,746 cash transactions at cash offices 403239 transactions via Allpay 3. Allpay contract. 4. Stand alone contract. 5. Contract awarded on 01/08/12 and will run for 4 years. Please note that the data above has been collated for the period 01/12/11 - 31/11/2012, for the last 12 months. <p>We trust that the information provided is satisfactory to you.</p>

					<p>2) The number of transactions that made up the amount listed above.</p> <p>3) Who runs the contract for the use of PayPoint and Post Office payment solutions?</p> <p>4) Is this a stand-alone contract, or is it part of another contract, e.g. part of the banking contract, outsourcing, etc.</p> <p>5) Please indicate when this current contract ends</p>	
Public	14712	21/12/12	31/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject : Parking Contraventions</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, I am requesting to</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. For each year from 2002 to 2010, the number of PCNs issued to drivers who parked in a suspended parking bay are as follows:</p>

				<p>know:</p> <p>For each year from 2002 until 2010, how many Penalty Charge Notices were issued to drivers who parking in a suspended parking bay.</p> <p>To assist you, the PCN code is</p> <p>Code General suffix(es) 21 parked wholly or partly in a suspended bay or space.</p> <p>Can you also confirm how many of the above PCNs were issued in 2011 from January to June.</p>	<p>2010 = 777 2009 = 321 2008 = 240 2007 = 510 2006 = 660 2005 = 144 2004 = 232 2003 = 70 2002 = 40</p> <p>The number of PCN's issued for parking in suspended bays is directly linked to the number of suspension requests, which are not controlled by Newham. In view of this the annual number of PCN's issued will vary in line with the number of suspended parking bays. In 2010, there was a rise in the number of suspension applications due to an increase in highway works connected to the Olympics and a rise in other regeneration projects.</p> <p>2. The number of PCN's issued during January - June 2011 was 495.</p> <p>Please find a copy of the attached plate in further support of your request which is the plate used for the displaying of traffic orders for events on a highway etc. This traffic order would be displayed on the plate and the restrictions would appear in the centre.</p> <p>We trust that the information provided is satisfactory.</p>	
Media	14693	21/12/12	10/01/2013	Human	Subject:	Summary

				Resources	<p>Consultants</p> <p>I request a list of consultants employed by the Mayor's Office and the Chief Executive's Office in the year 2011/12.</p> <p>Could you also state whether they are employed on a permanent or pro-rata basis and their yearly salary or hourly-rate of pay, please?</p>	No consultants are employed by the Mayor's Office.
Public	14715	24/12/12	24/01/2013	Housing Benefit Service	<p>Subject : Housing Benefit Claimants</p> <p>I am writing to request information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. In order to assist you with the request, I am outlining my query as specifically as</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Unfortunately our systems are unable to compile a figure for the number of individual recipients throughout the annual period.</p> <p>From our systems we are only able to produce the average number of recipients for the requested years, inclusive of those receiving either full or partial housing benefit.</p> <p>Please see this information as set out below</p>

				<p>possible.</p> <p>I would like to know the total number of people who claimed full housing benefits and partial housing benefits in Newham this year (2012). I would also like to know how many people in Newham claimed full and partial housing benefits in 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008.</p> <p>I would be interested in any information held by the London Borough of Newham regarding my request. I understand that I do not have to specify particular files or documents and that it is the</p>	<p>2008/2009 34,005</p>	<p>2009/2010 35,691</p>	<p>2010/11 36,132</p>	<p>2011/12 37,013</p>	<p>2012/13 37,689</p>
--	--	--	--	---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

					department's responsibility to provide the information that I require.																									
Public	14716	24/12/12	15/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject : Freedom of Information Requests</p> <p>I am writing to request information regarding your receipt and subsequent handling of Freedom of Information requests.</p> <p>Please may I have the following information for the past five years (2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012).</p> <p>The total number of Freedom of Information requests received annually.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Q 1 – 3</p> <p>Annual FOI Received</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Annual FOI Requests Received in 20 days</th> <th>Annual FOI Requests Received</th> <th>FOI Responded No. Of Full Information Received</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2011 - 12</td> <td>1458</td> <td>1320</td> <td>887*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010 – 11</td> <td>1386</td> <td>1275</td> <td>990</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009 – 10</td> <td>1158</td> <td>727</td> <td>809</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008 – 9</td> <td>946</td> <td>782</td> <td>810</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2007 - 8</td> <td>703</td> <td>555</td> <td>492</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* Please note FOI's received in December 2012 are still active.</p> <p>4. Our system does is not configured to generate a report based on the exemptions applied for each request. In order to obtain this information we would need to cross reference data held on the Information Governance system with a number of IT systems for each FOI request for each year.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The</p>	Year	Annual FOI Requests Received in 20 days	Annual FOI Requests Received	FOI Responded No. Of Full Information Received	2011 - 12	1458	1320	887*	2010 – 11	1386	1275	990	2009 – 10	1158	727	809	2008 – 9	946	782	810	2007 - 8	703	555	492
Year	Annual FOI Requests Received in 20 days	Annual FOI Requests Received	FOI Responded No. Of Full Information Received																											
2011 - 12	1458	1320	887*																											
2010 – 11	1386	1275	990																											
2009 – 10	1158	727	809																											
2008 – 9	946	782	810																											
2007 - 8	703	555	492																											

					<p>The number of Freedom of Information requests responded to within 20 working days.</p> <p>The number of Freedom of Information requests that received the full information they sought.</p> <p>The list of the exemptions from disclosure used and the number of times applied.</p> <p>The number of complaints received regarding the handling of Freedom of Information requests.</p>	<p>appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>5. Complaints received per year</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>No. Complaints received</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2011 - 12</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010 - 11</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009 - 10</td> <td>61</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008 - 9</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2007 - 8</td> <td>22</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	No. Complaints received	2011 - 12	30	2010 - 11	40	2009 - 10	61	2008 - 9	30	2007 - 8	22
Year	No. Complaints received																	
2011 - 12	30																	
2010 - 11	40																	
2009 - 10	61																	
2008 - 9	30																	
2007 - 8	22																	
Public	14717	24/12/12	10/01/2013	Traffic Management (Cycle)	<p>Subject : Cycling Infrastructure</p> <p>Please could you provide me with</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your enquiry regarding information on annual spends on cycling infrastructure.</p>												

					<p>information on how much the Council spent;</p> <p>1. On cycling infrastructure (cycle lanes, cycle parking, storage etc) in financial years of 2012, 2011 and 2010 as well as</p> <p>2. How much the council expects to spend on cycling infrastructure in 2013</p>	<p>Please find below approximate aggregate spends for the financial years requested.</p> <p>1. On cycling infrastructure (cycle lanes, cycle parking, storage etc) in financial years of 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2010/11 - £897,000 (Routes/Lanes/Tracks/Crossings)** + £47,000 (Cycle Parking) = £944,000. • 2011/12 - £1,348,000 (Routes/Lanes/Tracks/Crossings) + £14,000 (Cycle Parking) = £1,362,000. • 2012/13 - £230,000 (Routes/Lanes/Tracks/Crossings)*** + £40,000 (Cycle Parking) = £270,000. <p>2. How much the Council expects to spend on cycling infrastructure in 2013</p> <p>2013/14 - £920,000 (Routes/Lanes/Tracks/Crossings)**** + £100,000 (Cycle Parking) = £1,020,000.</p> <p>Notes:</p> <p>*Figures are sourced from delivery programmes from a variety of funding streams. The figures represent specific cycle schemes and do not include the cost of infrastructure implemented as part of separate larger schemes (e.g. renewal/installation of cycle lanes as part of resurfacing).</p> <p>**The infrastructure spends for 2010/11 does not include the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>cost of implementing Cycle Superhighway 3 (Barking to Tower Gateway) which was procured directly by TfL. It does however include the supporting cycle parking measures.</p> <p>***A moratorium on works to the highway was in place in the lead up to and during the Olympic and Paralympic Games in 2012/13 with works to key cycle routes such as the Greenway being undertaken in the previous financial year (2011/12).</p> <p>****The figure for 2013/14 is dependent upon successful funding applications to external bodies. This does not include the cost of implementing Cycle Superhighway 2 Extension (Bow to Stratford) which is being procured directly by TfL but does include the supporting cycle parking measures; whilst still subject to design review and consultation.</p>
Solicitors	14824	24/12/12	24/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject: Access to Housing Files - Deceased</p> <p>Request for a copy of the Housing file of MISS GWENDOLINE PHILLIPS</p> <p>Made by granddaughter, via Hackney Community Law Centre (Mr Paul</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>We write with regards to your recent enquiry on behalf of Pagan Liley Motlagh Phillips (granddaughter) for information held by the Council under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>It maybe helpful to explain that we are unable to disclose the contents of the late Miss Phillips housing file to her granddaughter as we consider disclosure of this information</p>

					Heron	<p>would be a breach of confidence should the release of this information go on to result in actions, to the detriment of the estate of the sadly deceased.</p> <p>Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states: “41. (1) Information is exempt information if –</p> <p>(a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and</p> <p>(b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.</p> <p>(2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, the confirmation or denial that would have to be given to comply with section 1(1)(a) would (apart from this Act) constitute an actionable breach of confidence.”</p> <p>Therefore, we find that disclosure of the contents of the late Miss Phillips housing file in respect of the affected property is exempt under the above section and will not be disclosed.</p>
Public	14778	25/12/12	24/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject: Parking</p> <p>First I would like to wish you, and all the people who work for Newham council a happy Christmas and</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>We have provided information regarding the number of penalty charge notices (PCN's) for dates between the 08/01/2012 - 07/01/2013. Please also see attachment supporting spreadsheet.</p>

				<p>healthy new year. Now we come to the serious side, being a pensioner and disabled, I rely a lot on my local stores, also being that outside</p> <p>The Co-operative Food 88/94 High Street South Central Park Eastham E6 3RL</p> <p>there is a disabled spot, which is being taken quite a lot by people parking without a disabled badge, they feel they will only be a short while and they get away with it so more and more people are taking the chance or is it now a chance. I would like to evoke</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>the freedom of information act and ask how many people have had a ticket, parking in this bay. I have ask people why they are parking there, I have had loads of abuse.</p> <p>Being if they park there disabled drivers will either have to park further away if they can, or come back another time, perhaps a warning of a camera there even if their is not one would make them think about using this bay.</p>	
Organisation	14728	27/12/12	23/01/2013	Learning and Schools Service - (Non Schools)	<p>Subject : Home Education Service</p> <p>Please could you tell me:</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Newham's Home Education Service lies within our Inclusion and Behaviour Support Services, within Children's Services.</p>

				<p>1. Where home education currently sits in your authority</p> <p>2. Which line in the s251 table includes an allocation for home education services within the "other education and community budget" for example Education Welfare, School Improvement, Parent Partnership or Other</p> <p>3. The forward budget sum allocated to home education service and support</p> <p>Local authorities are required under section 251 of the Apprenticeships, Skills, Children and Learning Act 2009 to prepare and submit annually to</p>	<p>2. The allocation for home education does not lie within other education and community budget. It lies within Schools budget on Line 74 'Education out of School.'</p> <p>3. £108,500</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>the Secretary of State separate budget and outturn statements about their planned and actual expenditure for their education and children's social care functions.</p> <p>In its Report on Home Education Support, published in December 2012, the Education Committee stated that it is inappropriate for home education officers to be located in attendance, looked after children or safeguarding. Instead, the Committee felt that local authority officers dealing with home education ought to be situated within a</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>dedicated team or sit within a neutral location such as learning or library services.(Paragraph 28)</p> <p>http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201213/cms/elect/cmeduc/559/55909.htm</p>	
Media	14741	27/12/12	24/01/2013	Planning Application & Enforcement	<p>Subject : Planning Applications</p> <p>The Sunday Telegraph is conducting a survey on the extent to which councils have granted or are granting planning permission for fracking or hydraulic fracturing in the UK.</p> <p>It would be extremely helpful if</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>No applications for 'fracking' or hydraulic fracturing have been received by Newham during the requested period.</p>

					<p>you could answer the following questions:</p> <p>1 - On how many occasions has your council granted planning permission for fracking or hydraulic fracturing since January 1st 2009?</p> <p>On each occasion please state a) when the planning permission was granted and b) the site the permission relates/related to and, c) if possible, the planning application number.</p> <p>2 - How many planning applications for fracking or hydraulic fracturing has the council</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>received where a decision on planning permission is yet to be reached (by the council) ?</p> <p>For each application please state a) the site the permission relates to and b) the planning application number.</p>	
Organisation	14725	27/12/12	24/01/2013	Pensions	<p>Subject : Councillor Pensions</p> <p>I would like answers under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 to the following questions.</p> <p>1. How many Councillors are members of the Council Final</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>1. We can advise there are currently 32 Councillor Members contributing to the pension scheme. Member's contributions are 6% which is part of the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations (LGPS) and therefore would apply nationwide to any Councillor in any Local Authority in the LGPS.</p> <p>2. No, the purchase of additional service is not permitted in the Scheme.</p> <p>3. We can advise the Council regularly review methods to introduce savings in local government and any changes</p>

				<p>Salary Pension Scheme? Do such members pay contributions? If so, how are members contributions calculated?</p> <p>2. Does the Council allow Councillors to purchase “extra years” in the final salary scheme?</p> <p>3. Does the Council plan to close the ability of Councillors to make any future contributions to a final salary pension through the Council following the 50 Ways to Save from the Department of Communities & Local Government?</p> <p>4. How many Councillors in our local Authority are</p>	<p>will be updated on our website as follows http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/BudgetBook.htm .</p> <p>4. Please refer to our response at question 1 as the Local Authority Pension Scheme is the same as the Final Salary Pensions Scheme.</p> <p>5. Please note that all Newham Councillors are affiliated to the Labour Party please refer to our response provided at question 1.</p> <p>6. We do not have estimates of payments which would relate specifically to Councillors as the Councils Pension Scheme incorporates all Council employees. The link below may be useful to you http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/PensionFundReports.htm</p> <p>7. Not applicable.</p> <p>8. The amount of pension paid out to Councillors who have retired for the years 2011/2012 was £3,764.92 gross.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>making contributions to the local authority pension scheme?</p> <p>5. Will you please give the numbers of Councillors in each political party which have made contributions to the final salary pension scheme for Councillors?</p> <p>6. Does the Council have an estimate for the financial liability for paying Councillors who are members of the scheme their accrued benefits?</p> <p>7. If there is such an estimate would you please release it?</p> <p>8. How much has the Council paid out for the last financial period for Councillors who have made</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					contributions but have now gone into retirement?	
Organisation	14727	27/12/12	24/01/2013	Learning and Schools Service - (Non Schools)	<p>Subject : Home Tuition and Statements of SEN</p> <p>I am carrying out research on the availability of services and support for home educated children with SEN and disabilities throughout England in the wake of the Education Committee's recent Report into Support for Home Education which urged local authorities to comply with statutory guidance and to ensure that home-educated young people with</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Responded to via spreadsheet.</p>

					<p>SEN or medical conditions are not being discriminated against.</p> <p>http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201213/cms/elect/cmeduc/559/559.pdf</p> <p>1. Could you please tell me:</p> <p>a. the total number of children in your authority with a statement of SEN</p> <p>b. the number of home educated children in your authority with a statement of SEN</p> <p>c. the number of home educated children of primary age with a statement of SEN</p> <p>d. the number of home educated children of secondary age with a statement of</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>SEN</p> <p>e. the number of statements for home educated children categorised as having "moderate learning difficulty" (if the authority has a banding system, please could you indicate the number of home educated children within the relevant statement bands)</p> <p>f. the number of statements for home educated children with physical disabilities</p> <p>g. the number of statements for home educated children with speech, language and communication needs</p> <p>h. the number of statements for home educated</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>children with autism spectrum disorders</p> <p>i. the number of statements for home educated children with severe or complex learning difficulties (if the authority has a banding system, please could you indicate the number of home educated children within the relevant statement bands)</p> <p>j. the number of home educated children with a statement of SEN who formerly attended a special school (if known)</p> <p>k. the number of children with a statement of SEN who started home education during the calendar year 2012</p> <p>l. the number of</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>children with a statement of SEN who have been home educated for more than 2 years</p> <p>m. the number of home educated children with a statement of SEN who have been home educated for more than 5 years</p> <p>n. the number of children with a statement of SEN who ceased to be home educated during the calendar year 2012 because they started to attend school</p> <p>2. Within your authority - not including the provision of assessments/reports for the annual review of the statement of SEN - do home educated children have</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>access to any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. educational psychology serviceb. occupational therapy services and equipmentc. speech and language therapyd. CAMHS <p>3. Does the authority have a specific policy with regard to provision of services and support listed in Part 3 of the statement when a child with a statement of SEN is home educated? If yes, could you please summarise the policy, for example if the authority does not make provision available when a child is home educated</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>4. How many home educated children with a statement of SEN will be entered on the Alternative Provision Census under the category Not a School, for SEN support (deadline Thursday January 17th http://www.education.gov.uk/schools/pupilsupport/parents/involvement/homeeducation/a0073322/funding-for-home-education)</p>	
Public	14729	27/12/12	24/01/2013	Members Allowances, expenses and claims	<p>Subject : Councillor Allowances</p> <p>I request the Council answer the following questions.</p> <p>1. Does the Council plan to freeze Councillor</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Newham Council's Members Allowance Scheme is available to view on the Council's Website. The link below further refers. http://www.newham.gov.uk/yourcouncil/yourrepresentatives/themayorandcouncillorsallowances.htm</p> <p>Council's are required to review their schemes every four years as a minimum. The last review was undertaken in</p>

				<p>Allowances following the 50 Ways to Save from the Department of Communities & Local Government?</p> <p>2. How much has been paid by the Council for each of the past three years on allowances for Councillors?</p> <p>3. What is the average amount claimed by a Councillor for allowances for the last fiscal year?</p> <p>4. What is the average amount of allowances claimed by a councillor of each political party on your Council?</p>	<p>2010 following publication of the London Council's Independent Remuneration Panel's Report.</p> <p>It is anticipated that the next Independent Remuneration Panel's Report will be published in 2014 and therefore the Newham Council Members' Allowance Scheme will remain frozen until the outcome of that review is known.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Public	14731	27/12/12	25/01/2013	Lettings Agency	<p>Subject : Housing Applications - Domestic Violence</p> <p>I would like to request information about the re-housing of domestic abuse victims. Please provide the following information preferably in an electronic database format:</p> <p>1. The number of re-housing applications received in 2012 from domestic abuse victims, with a gender breakdown if possible.</p> <p>2. The total number of children</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see table below:</p> <table data-bbox="1391 448 2136 651"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Total number of re-housing applications received*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2008</td> <td>34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>24</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>* Please note, these figures include all cases that were presented to the Newham Housing Panel for emergency housing and includes all Domestic Violence, Anti-Social Behaviour and other types of harassment cases.</p> <p>The detail of information you have requested in respect of gender breakdown, children, applicant successfully re-housed and the average waiting time is not information routinely recorded on our computerised systems for reporting purposes. Therefore, to retrieve the level of detail requested would require a manual trawl of the individual files which can often be lengthy and containing detailed reports in these cases. A manual exercise such as this would exceed the appropriate limit for local authorities which is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>Exemption FOI 12(1) – Request is over the appropriate limit</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right</p>	Year	Total number of re-housing applications received*	2008	34	2009	14	2010	24	2011	17	2012	10
Year	Total number of re-housing applications received*																	
2008	34																	
2009	14																	
2010	24																	
2011	17																	
2012	10																	

					<p>(aged 16 or younger) involved in the above applications.</p> <p>3. The number of domestic abuse victims successfully re-housed in 2012 and the median average time they had waited since application.</p> <p>4. Please provide the above information for each of the following years: 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008.</p>	<p>to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
Public	14723	27/12/12	23/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject : Foster Care Placements</p> <p>I hereby Request under the Freedom of Information Act: Here are my questions:</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Please find attached list of foster care companies used in the placement of children into foster care.</p> <p>2. The attached list also includes the amounts paid to the foster care companies for the placements.</p> <p>3. For the period between 01/01/2007 to 31/12/2012 our</p>

				<p>1. I ask for a list of ALL authorised foster care companies who can place children into foster care/care.</p> <p>2. The amount paid to such companies for the placement of each child.</p> <p>3. How many children were placed into care during the last 6 years</p> <p>4. How much was paid to said companies</p> <p>5. Details of all and any relationships between foster care companies and council officials - i.e. relatives, friends, business associates.</p>	<p>systems report a total of 1,475 records of children whom became looked after during this period. It should also be noted that of this a total of 1,253 individual children became looked after. This difference in numbers occurs when an individual child may have become 'looked after' more than one in the given time frame.</p> <p>For the requested period our systems show a total of 4,904 placements were made into care. It should however we noted that an individual child may have been subject to a number of placements in the given period, which would account for the higher number. Of the aforementioned number of placements, a total of 3,003 were made into foster care.</p> <p>4. Please see our response to Question 1 and 2.</p> <p>5. We are not aware of any relationship between foster companies and council officials. It may be useful to note that Councillors are required to publically declare their interests in outside organisations. For further information, please see the relevant web link below http://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mqMemberIndex.aspx?bcr=1</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

Public	14724	27/12/12	22/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject : Additional Payments - Single Status</p> <p>The following requests should each be treated as individual requests.</p> <p>1. Has the Council made additional payments to any employees on top of their basic weekly wage since 1 January 2007 (including, without limitation, bonuses, attendance allowances etc)?</p> <p>2. If the answer to question 1 is yes in respect of which categories of jobs have such payments been made, during what periods and at</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>The following requests should each be treated as individual requests.</p> <p>1. Has the Council made additional payments to any employees on top of their basic weekly wage since 1 January 2007 (including, without limitation, bonuses, attendance allowances etc)? Yes</p> <p>2. If the answer to question 1 is yes in respect of which categories of jobs have such payments been made, during what periods and at what grade were and are those jobs classified as?</p> <p>The request period is too wide for us to define the information to provide the specific data required, as there is a wide range of additional payments that staff receive in addition to their basic salary. This includes first aid payments, complex medical payments, overtime, subsistence, mileage claims, market supplements, flexibility payments, pay transition payments, weekend enhancements, standby duties and night shift allowances. These apply to many categories of staff, across all grades and all periods.</p> <p>3. Has the Council implemented the 1997 single status agreement or 2008 equivalent? If so, when?</p> <p>Yes. The Council implemented the single status agreement in April 2007.</p>

				<p>what grade were and are those jobs classified as?</p> <p>3. Has the Council implemented the 1997 single status agreement or 2008 equivalent? If so, when?</p> <p>4. If the answer to question 3 is yes, what protection arrangements if any have been put in place and what are they?</p> <p>5. Has the Council made any offers of settlement to any employees in respect of equal pay claims? If so, to what categories of employees were such offers made to and what were the terms of those offers. Were they accepted and if so,</p>	<p>4. If the answer to question 3 is yes, what protection arrangements if any have been put in place and what are they?</p> <p>Employees, who lost salary as a result of the single status re-evaluation exercise of their job were offered pay transition. This allowed those employees to remain on their existing salary for a period of 6 months, and they then received 50% of the difference between their existing and new salaries for a further 6 months. Employees were also offered the opportunity to take this pay transition as a lump sum equivalent instead of it being spread out over the year. In addition to this all staff under the single status agreement were offered a £500 lump sum payment to assist with the transition from weekly to monthly pay.</p> <p>5. Has the Council made any offers of settlement to any employees in respect of equal pay claims? If so, to what categories of employees were such offers made to and what were the terms of those offers. Were they accepted and if so, by how many employees?</p> <p>Since the implementation of single status in 2007 the Council has offered and agreed a compromise agreements with over 3,000 employees in respect of any existing or future potential equal pay claims. This encompassed a wide range of job categories that included domestic staff, cleaners, cooks, supervisory assistants, teaching assistants, care assistants and welfare assistants.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					by how many employees?	
Public	14726	27/12/12	25/01/2013	DIRECTOR & HEAD'S OF DIVISION	<p>Subject: Service Expenditure</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, I would like to be informed about the council's overall spending on culture for years 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. Also if it is possible to be provided with a broken down list of these expenditures.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Information in respect of individual service annual spend can be located on the Statement of Accounts which is publically available on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/StatementofAccounts.htm</p> <p>Further detail on individual service budgets broken down by teams within services can also be located on the Council's website under annual Budget Books. Please see further link below</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/YourCouncil/FinancialManagementAccountancyAndPensions/BudgetBook.htm</p> <p>It may be useful to note Leisure budget breakdowns can be located for 2011/12 are on page 25.</p> <p>Should you require any further more specific information you are welcome to define your request to specify the teams within which you would prefer the information for.</p>

Public	14750	28/12/12	25/01/2013	Public Health, Safety & Licensing	<p>Subject : West Ham Safety Advisory Group</p> <p>Request for minutes of meeting of 14th December 2012 - which discussed safety matters at West Ham ground - resulting in West Ham reducing the ticket allocation to Manchester United supporters for match on 5th January 2013.</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern about the lack of information coming from Newham Council about the January 5 FA Cup tie between West Ham and Manchester United.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 36 applies, which provides for exemption where disclosure would be prejudicial to the effective conduct of public affairs. The minutes of the meeting held on 14th December 2012 have yet to be agreed by the Safety Advisory Group. It is anticipated the minutes will be considered at the Group's next meeting where the contents can be verified and approved.</p> <p>Section 36 relates to information that, if disclosed, would adversely affect the delivery of public services and exempts information which disclosure would likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views for the purpose of deliberation and prejudice the conduct of public affairs. The requested minutes were drafted at a meeting of the West Ham Safety Advisory Group with regards to safety matters relating to the West Ham home game against visitors Manchester United held on 5th January 2013. The interested parties attending the meeting included representatives from the Metropolitan Police Service, the Safety at Sports Grounds Authority, British Transport Police, the local authority and the football club with a view to making a recommendation to West Ham United Football Club with regard to the number of tickets from the FA allocation that will be made available to Manchester United Football Club supporters. The meeting also dealt with arrangements to be put into place to ensure compliance with the Safety Certificate and public safety requirements.</p>
--------	-------	----------	------------	---	--	--

				<p>As the deputy chairman of West Ham's safety advisory group, you will be aware that it met recently and some people there expressed safety concerns relating to the Manchester United section of the ground, which are of such concern that West Ham has been obliged to reduce United's ticket allocation. Despite numerous requests for information Newham Council staff has refused say publicly what the safety concerns are. In doing so, they are denying United fans' groups the opportunity to relay the information to fans in the hope</p>	<p>We consider that the disclosure of the deliberations of this group, including that of the representatives of the Local Authority could potentially jeopardise the future free and frank exchange of views and opinions of these advisory groups and compromise the depth and open nature of discussions in relation to maintaining public safety in this case in football stadiums.</p> <p>The Council's Proper Officer, as required by the Act, has deemed that it would not be appropriate to release the information requested in full under the provisions of section 36, as it is more in the public interest to withhold the information as release would be likely to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs, inhibit free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views within local government and in this case related committees.</p> <p>In coming to this decision the Proper Officer has had regard to the matters outlined on the attached analysis.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>that the safety concerns will be addressed on the day of the game. In short – how are fans supposed to know how to solve a problem when they don't know what it is? It is extremely disappointing that the council has ignored Sports Grounds Safety Authority guidance in failing to make these minutes available to interested parties in a timely fashion. There is a strong public interest in this information being released and it will be, eventually, under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act. However, this information is likely</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>to be published after the match, when it is all but useless.</p> <p>Therefore, we would urge you to do publish the meeting minutes as quickly as possible, so fans' groups can play their part in ensuring the fixture passes off safely.</p>	
Public	14751	28/12/12	25/01/2013	Public Health, Safety & Licensing	<p>Subject : West Ham Safety Advisory Group</p> <p>Request for minutes of meeting of 14th December 2012 - which discussed safety matters at West Ham ground - resulting in West Ham reducing the ticket allocation to Manchester United supporters for match on 5th</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 36 applies, which provides for exemption where disclosure would be prejudicial to the effective conduct of public affairs. The minutes of the meeting held on 14th December 2012 have yet to be agreed by the Safety Advisory Group. It is anticipated the minutes will be considered at the Group's next meeting where the contents can be verified and approved.</p> <p>Section 36 relates to information that, if disclosed, would adversely affect the delivery of public services and exempts information which disclosure would likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views for the purpose of deliberation and prejudice the conduct of public</p>

				<p>January 2013.</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern about the lack of information coming from Newham Council about the January 5 FA Cup tie between West Ham and Manchester United.</p> <p>As the deputy chairman of West Ham's safety advisory group, you will be aware that it met recently and some people there expressed safety concerns relating to the Manchester United section of the ground, which are of such concern that West Ham has been obliged to reduce United's</p>	<p>affairs. The requested minutes were drafted at a meeting of the West Ham Safety Advisory Group with regards to safety matters relating to the West Ham home game against visitors Manchester United held on 5th January 2013. The interested parties attending the meeting included representatives from the Metropolitan Police Service, the Safety at Sports Grounds Authority, British Transport Police, the local authority and the football club with a view to making a recommendation to West Ham United Football Club with regard to the number of tickets from the FA allocation that will be made available to Manchester United Football Club supporters. The meeting also dealt with arrangements to be put into place to ensure compliance with the Safety Certificate and public safety requirements.</p> <p>We consider that the disclosure of the deliberations of this group, including that of the representatives of the Local Authority could potentially jeopardise the future free and frank exchange of views and opinions of these advisory groups and compromise the depth and open nature of discussions in relation to maintaining public safety in this case in football stadiums.</p> <p>The Council's Proper Officer, as required by the Act, has deemed that it would not be appropriate to release the information requested in full under the provisions of section 36, as it is more in the public interest to withhold the information as release would be likely to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs, inhibit free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views within local government and in this case related committees.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>ticket allocation. Despite numerous requests for information Newham Council staff has refused say publicly what the safety concerns are. In doing so, they are denying United fans' groups the opportunity to relay the information to fans in the hope that the safety concerns will be addressed on the day of the game. In short – how are fans supposed to know how to solve a problem when they don't know what it is? It is extremely disappointing that the council has ignored Sports Grounds Safety Authority guidance in failing to make</p>	<p>In coming to this decision the Proper Officer has had regard to the matters outlined on the attached analysis.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					<p>these minutes available to interested parties in a timely fashion. There is a strong public interest in this information being released and it will be, eventually, under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act. However, this information is likely to be published after the match, when it is all but useless. Therefore, we would urge you to do publish the meeting minutes as quickly as possible, so fans' groups can play their part in ensuring the fixture passes off safely.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Public	14752	28/12/12	25/01/2013	Public Health, Safety & Licensing	<p>Subject : West Ham Safety Advisory Group</p> <p>Request for minutes of meeting of 14th December 2012 - which discussed safety matters at West Ham ground - resulting in West Ham reducing the ticket allocation to Manchester United supporters for match on 5th January 2013.</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern about the lack of information coming from Newham Council about the January 5 FA Cup tie between West Ham and Manchester United.</p> <p>As the deputy</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 36 applies, which provides for exemption where disclosure would be prejudicial to the effective conduct of public affairs. The minutes of the meeting held on 14th December 2012 have yet to be agreed by the Safety Advisory Group. It is anticipated the minutes will be considered at the Group's next meeting where the contents can be verified and approved.</p> <p>Section 36 relates to information that, if disclosed, would adversely affect the delivery of public services and exempts information which disclosure would likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views for the purpose of deliberation and prejudice the conduct of public affairs. The requested minutes were drafted at a meeting of the West Ham Safety Advisory Group with regards to safety matters relating to the West Ham home game against visitors Manchester United held on 5th January 2013. The interested parties attending the meeting included representatives from the Metropolitan Police Service, the Safety at Sports Grounds Authority, British Transport Police, the local authority and the football club with a view to making a recommendation to West Ham United Football Club with regard to the number of tickets from the FA allocation that will be made available to Manchester United Football Club supporters. The meeting also dealt with arrangements to be put into place to ensure compliance with the Safety Certificate and public safety requirements.</p>
--------	-------	----------	------------	---	---	--

				<p>chairman of West Ham's safety advisory group, you will be aware that it met recently and some people there expressed safety concerns relating to the Manchester United section of the ground, which are of such concern that West Ham has been obliged to reduce United's ticket allocation. Despite numerous requests for information Newham Council staff has refused say publicly what the safety concerns are. In doing so, they are denying United fans' groups the opportunity to relay the information to fans in the hope that the safety</p>	<p>We consider that the disclosure of the deliberations of this group, including that of the representatives of the Local Authority could potentially jeopardise the future free and frank exchange of views and opinions of these advisory groups and compromise the depth and open nature of discussions in relation to maintaining public safety in this case in football stadiums.</p> <p>The Council's Proper Officer, as required by the Act, has deemed that it would not be appropriate to release the information requested in full under the provisions of section 36, as it is more in the public interest to withhold the information as release would be likely to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs, inhibit free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views within local government and in this case related committees.</p> <p>In coming to this decision the Proper Officer has had regard to the matters outlined on the attached analysis.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>concerns will be addressed on the day of the game. In short – how are fans supposed to know how to solve a problem when they don't know what it is? It is extremely disappointing that the council has ignored Sports Grounds Safety Authority guidance in failing to make these minutes available to interested parties in a timely fashion. There is a strong public interest in this information being released and it will be, eventually, under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act. However, this information is likely to be published</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>after the match, when it is all but useless.</p> <p>Therefore, we would urge you to do publish the meeting minutes as quickly as possible, so fans' groups can play their part in ensuring the fixture passes off safely.</p>	
Public	14754	28/12/12	25/01/2013	Public Health, Safety & Licensing	<p>Subject : West Ham Safety Advisory Group</p> <p>Request for minutes of meeting of 14th December 2012 - which discussed safety matters at West Ham ground - resulting in West Ham reducing the ticket allocation to Manchester United supporters for match on 5th January 2013.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 36 applies, which provides for exemption where disclosure would be prejudicial to the effective conduct of public affairs. The minutes of the meeting held on 14th December 2012 have yet to be agreed by the Safety Advisory Group. It is anticipated the minutes will be considered at the Group's next meeting where the contents can be verified and approved.</p> <p>Section 36 relates to information that, if disclosed, would adversely affect the delivery of public services and exempts information which disclosure would likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views for the purpose of deliberation and prejudice the conduct of public affairs. The requested minutes were drafted at a meeting of</p>

				<p>I am writing to express my concern about the lack of information coming from Newham Council about the January 5 FA Cup tie between West Ham and Manchester United.</p> <p>As the deputy chairman of West Ham's safety advisory group, you will be aware that it met recently and some people there expressed safety concerns relating to the Manchester United section of the ground, which are of such concern that West Ham has been obliged to reduce United's ticket allocation. Despite numerous requests for</p>	<p>the West Ham Safety Advisory Group with regards to safety matters relating to the West Ham home game against visitors Manchester United held on 5th January 2013. The interested parties attending the meeting included representatives from the Metropolitan Police Service, the Safety at Sports Grounds Authority, British Transport Police, the local authority and the football club with a view to making a recommendation to West Ham United Football Club with regard to the number of tickets from the FA allocation that will be made available to Manchester United Football Club supporters. The meeting also dealt with arrangements to be put into place to ensure compliance with the Safety Certificate and public safety requirements.</p> <p>We consider that the disclosure of the deliberations of this group, including that of the representatives of the Local Authority could potentially jeopardise the future free and frank exchange of views and opinions of these advisory groups and compromise the depth and open nature of discussions in relation to maintaining public safety in this case in football stadiums.</p> <p>The Council's Proper Officer, as required by the Act, has deemed that it would not be appropriate to release the information requested in full under the provisions of section 36, as it is more in the public interest to withhold the information as release would be likely to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs, inhibit free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views within local government and in this case related committees.</p> <p>In coming to this decision the Proper Officer has had regard</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>information Newham Council staff has refused say publicly what the safety concerns are. In doing so, they are denying United fans' groups the opportunity to relay the information to fans in the hope that the safety concerns will be addressed on the day of the game. In short – how are fans supposed to know how to solve a problem when they don't know what it is? It is extremely disappointing that the council has ignored Sports Grounds Safety Authority guidance in failing to make these minutes available to interested parties</p>	<p>to the matters outlined on the attached analysis.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>in a timely fashion. There is a strong public interest in this information being released and it will be, eventually, under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act. However, this information is likely to be published after the match, when it is all but useless. Therefore, we would urge you to do publish the meeting minutes as quickly as possible, so fans' groups can play their part in ensuring the fixture passes off safely.</p>	
Public	14755	28/12/12	25/01/2013	Public Health, Safety & Licensing	<p>Subject : West Ham Safety Advisory Group</p> <p>Request for</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 36 applies, which provides for</p>

				<p>minutes of meeting of 14th December 2012 - which discussed safety matters at West Ham ground - resulting in West Ham reducing the ticket allocation to Manchester United supporters for match on 5th January 2013.</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern about the lack of information coming from Newham Council about the January 5 FA Cup ties between West Ham and Manchester United.</p> <p>As the deputy chairman of West Ham's safety advisory group, you will be aware that it met recently</p>	<p>exemption where disclosure would be prejudicial to the effective conduct of public affairs. The minutes of the meeting held on 14th December 2012 have yet to be agreed by the Safety Advisory Group. It is anticipated the minutes will be considered at the Group's next meeting where the contents can be verified and approved.</p> <p>Section 36 relates to information that, if disclosed, would adversely affect the delivery of public services and exempts information which disclosure would likely to inhibit the free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views for the purpose of deliberation and prejudice the conduct of public affairs. The requested minutes were drafted at a meeting of the West Ham Safety Advisory Group with regards to safety matters relating to the West Ham home game against visitors Manchester United held on 5th January 2013. The interested parties attending the meeting included representatives from the Metropolitan Police Service, the Safety at Sports Grounds Authority, British Transport Police, the local authority and the football club with a view to making a recommendation to West Ham United Football Club with regard to the number of tickets from the FA allocation that will be made available to Manchester United Football Club supporters. The meeting also dealt with arrangements to be put into place to ensure compliance with the Safety Certificate and public safety requirements.</p> <p>We consider that the disclosure of the deliberations of this group, including that of the representatives of the Local Authority could potentially jeopardise the future free and frank exchange of views and opinions of these advisory groups and compromise the depth and open nature of discussions in</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>and some people there expressed safety concerns relating to the Manchester United section of the ground, which are of such concern that West Ham has been obliged to reduce United's ticket allocation. Despite numerous requests for information Newham Council staff has refused say publicly what the safety concerns are. In doing so, they are denying United fans' groups the opportunity to relay the information to fans in the hope that the safety concerns will be addressed on the day of the game. In short – how are fans supposed to</p>	<p>relation to maintaining public safety in this case in football stadiums.</p> <p>The Council's Proper Officer, as required by the Act, has deemed that it would not be appropriate to release the information requested in full under the provisions of section 36, as it is more in the public interest to withhold the information as release would be likely to prejudice the effective conduct of public affairs, inhibit free and frank provision of advice and exchange of views within local government and in this case related committees.</p> <p>In coming to this decision the Proper Officer has had regard to the matters outlined on the attached analysis.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>know how to solve a problem when they don't know what it is? It is extremely disappointing that the council has ignored Sports Grounds Safety Authority guidance in failing to make these minutes available to interested parties in a timely fashion. There is a strong public interest in this information being released and it will be, eventually, under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act. However, this information is likely to be published after the match, when it is all but useless. Therefore, we would urge you to</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					do publish the meeting minutes as quickly as possible, so fans' groups can play their part in ensuring the fixture passes off safely.									
Business	14732	28/12/12	28/01/2013	CYPS - Safeguarding Assessment	<p>Subject : Training Budgets CYPS</p> <p>Please could you advise what the annual training budget is for the Local Safeguarding Children Board for the periods of 2012-13 and 2013-14?</p> <p>Please could you also advise what the training budget is for the Children's Workforce Development Unit (or equivalent department) for the same periods, 2012-13 and 2013-</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Please see below the information that you require in relation to your request. Please note that there is not a proposed 2013 -14 budget yet for the Workforce Development Unit, so we have provided the figures for 2011-2012 which you may find useful.</p> <p>The annual training budget for the Local Safeguarding Children Board 2012-13 and 2013-14 is as follows:</p> <table> <tr> <td>2012 -13</td> <td>£ 31, 060</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013 -14 proposed</td> <td>£ £45,000</td> </tr> </table> <p>The annual training budget for the Children's Workforce Development Unit is as follows:</p> <table> <tr> <td>2011 - 12</td> <td>£272, 000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012 - 13</td> <td>£200, 000</td> </tr> </table>	2012 -13	£ 31, 060	2013 -14 proposed	£ £45,000	2011 - 12	£272, 000	2012 - 13	£200, 000
2012 -13	£ 31, 060													
2013 -14 proposed	£ £45,000													
2011 - 12	£272, 000													
2012 - 13	£200, 000													

					14?	
Media	14733	31/12/12	31/01/2013	DP- Rents	<p>Subject : New Tenants</p> <p>Under the FOI Act I would like to request the following information:</p> <p>1 - how many a) new social housing (to include housing association and local authority run) tenants were there in i) 2007-8 and ii) 2011-12</p> <p>2 - how many b) existing social housing tenants were there in i) 2007-08 and ii) 2011-12</p> <p>3 - Please include a breakdown by nationality of tenant of the above</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. There was a total number of 793 of tenancies that started in the 2007/8 financial year and 948 of them had tenancies that started in the 2011/12 financial year. Unfortunately, we do not hold information for housing associations.</p> <p>2. There was a total number of 17,476 tenancies at the end of 2007/8 and 17,125 at the end of 2011/12, these figures do not include housing associations, as the Council doe not keep any direct record of these.</p> <p>3. This information is not recorded centrally on our systems. To obtain this information would require an officer to manually review all 17,476 tenancies which would exceed the appropriate time limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that</p>

				<p>figures (for questions 1 and 2).</p> <p>4 - please also state - if possible within FOI cost limits - how many tenants of each nationality had been in the country for a) less than one month b) less than three months c) less than six months d) less than one year before being given social housing.</p> <p>5 - please also state - if possible within FOI cost limits - how many British people a) were on waiting lists for social housing in 2007-08 and b) were on waiting lists for social housing in 2011-12.</p>	<p>the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>4. The Council does not keep records of how many tenants of each nationality had been in the country for the requested periods of time.</p> <p>5. There were some 29,467 applications on the Council's Housing waiting list at the end of 2011/12. Our records show that at least 19,225 applicants were UK Citizens, however, some of the 29,467 applications predate the decision to ask applicants to confirm Citizenship and so the actual number will be higher. It is not possible to give the figures for 2007/08.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

Public	14734	31/12/12	29/01/2013	Highway Maintenance	<p>Subject : Safety Inspections - Prince Regent Lane</p> <p>Under the general requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, I would be grateful if you would provide the following information in relation to prince regents lane;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dates of all safety inspections undertaken on the carriageway in the last two years 2. Details of all carriageway defects identified during safety inspections in the last two years 3. The intended frequency of 	<p>Summary</p> <p>Dates of all safety inspections undertaken on the carriageway in the last two years</p> <p>Please see attached PrI Wb Resp document.</p> <p>Details of all carriageway defects identified during safety inspections in the last two years.</p> <p>Please see attached PrI Wb Resp and details of Defect document for period 01/01/2011 - 31/12/2012.</p> <p>The intended frequency of carriageway safety inspections. Monthly on foot.</p> <p>Details of all complaints and/or enquiries relating to the carriageway, received in the last two years.</p> <p>We are unable to provide this as our IT system does not log individual areas or carriageways separately.</p> <p>Our system records that a Highways issue/complaint or defect has been received. The issue/complaint is then forwarded to the Highways Team to investigate.</p> <p>The hierarchy classification – The local authority uses Names and not numbers for responsive inspections</p> <p>The road/section number – please see above.</p>
--------	-------	----------	------------	---------------------	---	---

				<p>carriageway safety inspections.</p> <p>4. Details of all complaints and/or enquiries relating to the carriageway, received in the last two years The hierarchy classification. The road/section number.</p> <p>5. The defect intervention criteria adopted in relation to the identification of all categories of carriageway potholes.</p> <p>6. The time period(s) adopted between identification and repair (temporary and permanent) of all categories of carriageway defects.</p>	<p>The defect intervention criteria adopted in relation to the identification of all categories of carriageway potholes. The time period(s) adopted between identification and repair (temporary and permanent) of all categories of carriageway defects.</p> <p>Please see attached Intervention Limits & Response Times document.</p> <p>Repair responses are 1 hour which is chosen by the Inspector (as a drop down list) to make safe, 1 Day, 3 day, 7 day, 14 day or 28 day.</p> <p>Whether or not the authority has formally adopted all or part of the standards contained within the national code of practice for highways maintenance management. Client IP: 92.25.22.64</p> <p>The Code of Practice for Highways Maintenance Management is not mandatory to local authorities. However, Newham have sought where possible to follow the spirit of the Code by having a regime of inspection that takes into account the needs of the public and the risks associated with the use of the whole of the highway. Our current risk management guide gives direction as to where resources are deployed subject to budget constraints.</p> <p>The Risk Management Guide is a chart based on dynamic risk assessments that our inspectors use to ascertain the priority for reactive maintenance against highway defects.</p> <p>The Risk Register for Highways Safety Defects is attached.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

					7. Whether or not the authority has formally adopted all or part of the standards contained within the national code of practice for highways maintenance management.	
Public	14735	31/12/12	29/01/2013	Payroll	<p>Subject : Non UK Bank Accounts</p> <p>I would like to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act. Please provide the following information:</p> <p>1. Do any council employees have their salary paid into a non-UK bank account? (i.e. where the Sort Code is non-UK)</p> <p>2. If the answer to</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>No payments are made to non-UK bank accounts for any employees of the London Borough of Newham.</p>

				<p>the above is 'yes', how many employees does this apply to currently?</p> <p>3. Please provide a breakdown of the above showing:</p> <p>(a) A list of all non-UK countries where bank accounts are registered (i.e. with non-UK sort codes) to receive salary payments for employees.</p> <p>(b) For each non-UK country, please give the total number employees who have bank accounts registered there which receives their salary payments.</p> <p>(c) A list of the job titles (and/or salary brackets) of the above people</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

					(d) The highest and the lowest salary of the above people	
Public	14736	31/12/12	29/01/2013	CYPS - Safeguarding Intervention	<p>Subject : Serious Case Reviews</p> <p>Please send me electronic copies of all serious case reviews (otherwise known as Part 8 Reviews) conducted by Newham LSCB or previously the Newham ACPC, which have been published since 01/01/1995.</p> <p>Please send copies of the Overview Report if possible, otherwise please send copies of the Executive Summaries.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please visit our website as follows for further information on Serious Case Reviews where you will find access to copies of the Executive Summaries http://www.newham.gov.uk/HealthAndSocialCare/NewhamLocalSafeguardingChildrenBoard/SeriousCaseReviews.htm</p>

Public	14739	02/01/13	30/01/2013	Adult Services (FOI)	<p>Subject : Residential Care Placements</p> <p>1. How many out-borough residential placements in care homes have you made in the last five years for which figures are available for adults with learning disabilities?</p> <p>2. What is the cost of these placements in each of the last five years for which figures are available?</p> <p>3. How many of these placements are to other London boroughs and how many placements are to areas outside London in the last five years for which</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Unfortunately, we are unable to extract the requested information from our systems and it is not possible to search the number of individual outer borough placements on our social care systems, which would allow for the requested information and reports to be drawn.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information an Officer would be required to firstly identify all individuals that have resided in care homes in the last 5 years and then manually interrogate each of the individual files in order to be able to determine which type of accommodation and location each individual had been moved to. This would exceed the appropriate time limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
--------	-------	----------	------------	----------------------	---	--

					<p>figures are available?</p> <p>4. How many out-borough residential placements in independent living facilities have you made in the last five years for which figures are available for adults with learning disabilities?</p> <p>5. What is the cost of these placements for the last five years for which figures are available?</p> <p>6. How many of these placements are to other London boroughs and how many placements are to areas outside London?</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Public	14891	02/01/13	28/01/2013	Parking Fines	<p>Subject : Parking Enforcement</p> <p>How many PCNs have been issued in Boundary Road for dropped kerb (CC27) offences during West Ham home games last season?</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please see the attached spreadsheet which details the number of penalty charge notices issued in Boundary Road for the requested parking offence.</p> <p>Please note the information provided covered includes the fines which were issued for the period from 01.08.2011 and 31.05.2012. Our system is unable to compile reports in line with home games of West Ham United Football Club.</p>
Public	14740	02/01/13	30/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject : Empty Properties</p> <p>I would like to request details of all the vacant/empty/unoccupied residential and commercial properties within the Council's geographic confines.</p> <p>If possible, it would be useful for the information for each property to include: address, postcode, size and</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse the information you requested.</p> <p>The borough is currently undergoing extensive regeneration and ongoing development, which means that there are higher levels of empty properties. Empty properties, both residential and commercial are more likely to be and have been subject to illegal occupation (squatting) and have been broken into and any saleable materials such as cooper and other fittings removed. Also premises that are the subject of squatting have resulted in an increase in anti-social behaviour and noise issues, which have a significant impact on local residents/ join adjoining properties.</p> <p>We therefore consider that disclosure of the exact location of empty properties is likely to lead to an increase in the levels</p>

					<p>current use, and for it to be provided in an electronic spreadsheet format (e.g. Microsoft Excel).</p>	<p>of crime and disorder. It is our view that Section 31(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 applies to this request. This section provides that information is exempt from disclosure if such disclosure would prejudice the "prevention or detection of crime". To provide addresses of empty properties would in our view compromise the security of the buildings concerned and could enable criminal behaviour.</p> <p>By disclosing the addresses of vacant properties, the Council exposes neighbourhoods to the anti-social behaviour/criminal activities associated with such issues. Our Community Safety Team and the Police are currently doing all they can to deal with these problems and the Council is not minded to add to this problem by disclosing the details of known empty properties into the public domain.</p>
Business	14737	02/01/13	30/01/2013	Highway Maintenance	<p>Subject : Highways Maintenance - Prince Regent Lane</p> <p>Under the general requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, I would be grateful if you would provide the following information in relation to Prince</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>I would be grateful if you would provide the following information in relation to Prince Regent Lane in Newham (both sections, which I believe cross the E16 & E13 postcode areas).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dates of all safety inspections undertaken on the carriageway from 01/01/2010 until the date of this request. <p>Please see attached PrI Wb Resp document.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Details of all carriageway defects identified during safety inspections from 01/01/2010 until the date of this request. <p>Please see attached PrI Wb Resp and details of Defect</p>

				<p>Regent Lane in Newham (both sections, which I believe cross the E16 & E13 postcode areas).</p> <p>1. Dates of all safety inspections undertaken on the carriageway from 01/01/2010 until the date of this request.</p> <p>2. Details of all carriageway defects identified during safety inspections from 01/01/2010 until the date of this request.</p> <p>3. Details of how carriageway safety inspections are undertaken, including whether walked or driven, the speed of the inspection vehicle</p>	<p>document for the period 01/01/2011 - 31/12/2012.</p> <p>3. Details of how carriageway safety inspections are undertaken, including whether walked or driven, the speed of the inspection vehicle and the number of persons in the vehicle.</p> <p>Carriageway safety inspections are carried out monthly on foot.</p> <p>4. The intended frequency of carriageway safety inspections. Monthly.</p> <p>5. Full details of all complaints and/or enquiries relating to the carriageway, received from 01/01/2010 until the date of this request. Where electronic (or other easily accessible) records are not available for the entire period, please provide details of those which are available (I can only access the last 7 days stored on the Newham MyMaps & Love Newham websites).</p> <p>We are unable to provide this as our IT system does not log individual areas or carriageways separately.</p> <p>Our system records that a Highways issue/complaint or defect has been received. The issue/complaint is then forwarded to the Highways Team to investigate.</p> <p>Our residents are able to report problems on their streets through the following link.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>and the number of persons in the vehicle.</p> <p>4. The intended frequency of carriageway safety inspections.</p> <p>5. Full details of all complaints and/or enquiries relating to the carriageway, received from 01/01/2010 until the date of this request. Where electronic (or other easily accessible) records are not available for the entire period, please provide details of those which are available (I can only access the last 7 days stored on the Newham MyMaps & Love Newham websites).</p>	<p>https://my.newham.gov.uk/Cases/Services.aspx?category=51Odd319-f0d2-e011-bfea-00155debb725</p> <p>6. The hierarchy classification – We use names for responsive inspections, not numbers.</p> <p>7. The road/section number – As above.</p> <p>8. The defect intervention criteria adopted in relation to the identification of all categories of carriageway:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) potholes 25mm onwards or intervention starts - Carriageway intervention for trip value starts at 25mm upwards b) blocked gullies c) blocked gully covers, and d) standing water <p>b) – d) Our Cleansing Team took over the maintenance of gullies from our Highways Team in March 2012. They have planned working programmes across the borough to ensure that all Council owned road gullies are serviced annually. In addition the Cleansing Team provide a reactive response service to service requests through the Council's Contact Centre via our Mayrise system.</p> <p>Where other defects are found during scheduled works i.e. broken gullies tops or jammed tops these are recorded and sent to highways for investigation and action.</p> <p>Our residents also have the option to report blocked gullies to the council via the following link</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>6. The hierarchy classification.</p> <p>7. The road/section number.</p> <p>8. The defect intervention criteria adopted in relation to the identification of all categories of carriageway:- a) potholes, b) blocked gullies, c) blocked gully covers, and d) standing water</p> <p>9. The time period(s) adopted between identification and repair (temporary and permanent) of all categories of carriageway defects.</p> <p>10. Whether or not the authority has formally adopted all or part of the</p>	<p>https://aforms.newham.gov.uk/default.aspx/RenderForm/?F.Name=KL_IU2EN0ch</p> <p>9. The time period(s) adopted between identification and repair (temporary and permanent) of all categories of carriageway defects.</p> <p>Please see attached Intervention Limits & Response Time document.</p> <p>Repair responses are 1 hour which is chosen by the Inspector (as a drop down list) to make safe, 1 Day, 3 day, 7 day, 14 day or 28 day.</p> <p>10. Whether or not the authority has formally adopted all or part of the standards contained within the national code of practice for highways maintenance management</p> <p>The Code of Practice for Highways Maintenance Management is not mandatory to local authorities. However, Newham have sought where possible to follow the spirit of the Code by having a regime of inspection that takes into account the needs of the public and the risks associated with the use of the whole of the highway. Our current risk management guide gives direction as to where resources are deployed subject to budget constraints.</p> <p>The Risk Management Guide is a chart based on dynamic risk assessments that our inspectors use to ascertain the priority for reactive maintenance against highway defects.</p> <p>11. Where any of the above information in relation to specific</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

				<p>standards contained within the national code of practice for highways maintenance management.</p> <p>11. Where any of the above information in relation to specific inspections, defects, complaints or enquiries is not available under cost/time limit exemptions provided under the Freedom of Information Act, please ensure you:-</p> <p>a) provide as much information as can be collated/extracted from the date of this request, working backwards chronologically</p> <p>b) explain why any</p>	<p>inspections, defects, complaints or enquiries is not available under cost/time limit exemptions provided under the Freedom of Information Act, please ensure you:-</p> <p>a) provide as much information as can be collated/extracted from the date of this request, working backwards chronologically</p> <p>b) explain why any records are not available, and how they are stored.</p> <p>c) confirm for what reason they cannot be electronically interrogated.</p> <p>We are unable to provide this as our IT system does not log individual areas or carriageways separately.</p> <p>Our system records that a Highways issue/complaint or defect has been received. The issue/complaint is then forwarded to the Highways Team to investigate.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

					records are not available, and how they are stored. c) confirm for what reason they cannot be electronically interrogated.	
Public	14738	02/01/13	30/01/2013	Communications	<p>Subject : Mayor's Pictures in Newham Mag</p> <p>1. The mayor Mr. Wales has had his picture in the Newham mag over 180 times in the year 2012. (That is 6 pictures average per issue), I would like to know if the mayor Mr Wales, receives any financial reward for each picture.</p> <p>2. If the mayor Mr. Wales receives no reward, does he make a financial contribution for each picture and</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. No 2. No</p>

					how much per picture?	
Organisation	14761	03/01/13	31/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject : CRB Checks on Staff</p> <p>1. How many people does your Authority employ who work with children under the age of 18 years?</p> <p>2. How many are subject to a Criminal Records Check?</p> <p>3. Of these, how many are Enhanced?</p> <p>4. Since January 2009, how many referrals has your Authority made to the Independent Safeguarding Authority (now DBS)</p> <p>5. Of these, how</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. There are a total number of 132 people employed by the local authority who work with children under the age of 18 years</p> <p>.</p> <p>2. All of the 132 have had a Criminal Records Bureau check.</p> <p>3. All of the 132 have had Enhanced checks.</p> <p>4. One individual has been referred to the Independent Safeguarding Authority (now DBS) since 2009.</p> <p>5. We are unaware of the outcome as, after being dismissed, the individual has no ongoing connection with LBN</p> <p>6. As a result of a complaint the employee concerned was suspended pending full investigation. Following the completion of this the employee was subsequently dismissed from the Council's service and the case was referred to the ISA. As previously stated in question 5 we do not know the outcome following referral to the ISA.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. The local authority is currently in the process of evaluating all posts in the organisation in line with the new DBS guidelines. Therefore, we are currently unable to answer this question at this present time.</p>

					<p>many resulted in a Discretionary Barring?</p> <p>6. How many not barred resulted in some form of disciplinary action within their employment?</p> <p>7. How many not barred and not disciplined resigned?</p> <p>8. Based on Section 64 (5) (2B) (B) and (2C) of Part V of the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 please state how many posts of the total employed above would be counted as 'supervised' ?</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Organisation	14758	03/01/13	31/01/2013	Learning and Schools Service - (Non Schools)	<p>Subject : Spending on Home Education Service</p> <p>Please could you tell me the amount spent on home education services for the academic year 2011-12?</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could itemise staff costs, administrative/secretarial support costs, and also the total spend on provision of services for home educated Children and young people.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>The total service expenditure on home education services for the academic year 2011-2012 was £108,000. This relates to staffing costs.</p> <p>We are able to breakdown this amount further by advising a total of £68,300 was through funding an advisory teacher £68,300 position with the remaining £39,700 on administrative staffing costs.</p>
Public	14762	03/01/13	31/01/2013	Public Health, Safety & Licensing	<p>Subject: Licensing and types of businesses in the borough</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>A-D. The Council under the provisions of both the Licensing Act 2003 and the Gambling Act 2005 maintains registers of licensed premises.</p> <p>The registers can be inspected at the offices of the Licensing</p>

				<p>A. How many licensed Pubs there are in Newham (both east and west ham constituencies)</p> <p>B. How many Licensed nightclubs there are in Newham.</p> <p>C. How many gambling establishments there are there i.e.: betting shops in Newham</p> <p>D. How many licences have been granted to restaurants to serve alcohol in Newham</p> <p>E. How many strip clubs or topless bars in Newham.</p>	<p>Team at the Town Hall Annexe, 1st Floor, 330-354 Barking Road, London E6 2RT between the hours of 9am to 5pm, Monday to Friday.</p> <p>Alternatively, details of licensed premises can be accessed on the Council's website at http://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do?action=simple&searchType=LicencingApplication</p> <p>E. There is one property in Newham that has been licensed as a 'sexual entertainment venue' under Schedule 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982 (as amended).</p>
--	--	--	--	---	---

Public	14765	03/01/13	30/01/2013	CYPS - Corporate Parenting	<p>Subject: Adoption Same Sex Couples</p> <p>This request concerns the numbers of adoptions your council has agreed to same sex couples.</p> <p>I do not wish to unduly take up your time so this request is confined to the period from the earliest date for which your records are readily available up to the end of December 2012. In your reply please provide the following information.</p> <p>1. Please state the time period over which your data applies. 2. Please state the</p>	<p>Summary</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The time period over which this data applies to is from April 2007 to December 2012. 2. There were two male couples approved during the stated period of time. 3. One male couple adopted a male child and the other male couple adopted a female child. 4. There were seven female couples approved during the stated period of time. 5. Six out of seven of these female couples adopted male children and one couple adopted a female child.
--------	-------	----------	------------	----------------------------	---	--

				<p>number of adoptions granted to male same sex couples.</p> <p>3. Of these how many of the adopted children were a) male? b) female?</p> <p>4. Please state the number of adoptions granted to female same sex couples.</p> <p>5. Of these how many of the adopted children were a) male? b) female?</p> <p>Please note that I have previously made this request to Islington Council who refused to disclose some of the information citing various FOI exemptions including Section 40(2). ICO has now ruled on this,</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					finding in my favour. Islington has now provided the information and ICO is issuing a formal notice to this effect.	
Public	14764	03/01/13	31/01/2013	Information Governance (DP, FOI, EIR & RM)	<p>Subject: LBN Mobile Phone contracts</p> <p>I want to make a Freedom of Information request, could you please send me the following information with regards to the organisation's Mobile Phone contract(s):</p> <p>1. Existing Supplier(s) - If there is more than one supplier please split the contract up</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>I want to make a Freedom of Information request, could you please send me the following information with regards to the organisation's Mobile Phone contract(s):</p> <p>1. Existing Supplier(s) - If there is more than one supplier please split the contract up including the contract value, number of users, duration, contract dates and internal contact details. EE(Orange)</p> <p>2. Total contract value- If there isn't a total contract value please can you provide me with the latest annual spend on mobile phone for each network provider. Approximate annual spend £480K(including data cards)</p> <p>3. Number of Users- Number of connections for each network provider. 3176 (including data cards)</p> <p>4. Duration of the contract- please state if the contract also include contract extensions for each provider. Two years with option to extend for 12 months</p>

				<p>including the contract value, number of users, duration, contract dates and internal contact details.</p> <p>2. Total contract value- If there isn't a total contract value please can you provide me with the latest annual spend on mobile phone for each network provider.</p> <p>3. Number of Users- Number of connections for each network provider.</p> <p>4. Duration of the contract- please state if the contract also include contract extensions for each provider.</p> <p>5. Call off</p>	<p>5. Call off Contract/Framework Agreement Start Date- please provide me with information on when the framework commenced and include the month and year and day if possible for each network provider. 30th April 2010</p> <p>6. Call off Contract/Framework Agreement Expiry Date- please provide me with information on when the framework expires and include the month and year and day if possible for each network provider. 29th April 2013</p> <p>7. Contract/Framework Agreement Review Date- please provide me with the month and year and day if possible of when the organisation plans to review it mobile phone contracts for each network provider. Currently in review and tender preparation stage</p> <p>8. The person within the organisation responsible for this particular contract. Can you send me the full contact details Contact Name, Job Title, Contact Number and direct email address for each network provider in Contracts and Supplier Management.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

					<p>Contract/Framework Agreement Start Date- please provide me with information on when the framework commenced and include the month and year and day if possible for each network provider.</p> <p>6. Call off Contract/Framework Agreement Expiry Date- please provide me with information on when the framework expires and include the month and year and day if possible for each network provider.</p> <p>7. Contract/Framework Agreement Review Date- please provide me</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>with the month and year and day if possible of when the organisation plans to review it mobile phone contracts for each network provider.</p> <p>8. The person within the organisation responsible for this particular contract. Can you send me the full contact details Contact Name, Job Title, Contact Number and direct email address for each network provider?</p> <p>If the has more than one supplier can you please split the contract into to so they show information for both supplier including, total contract value,</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

					<p>users, duration, and the other dates.</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the latest information- If the organisation's is currently out to tender please can you also state the approx. date of award along with the information above.</p>	
Public	14763	03/01/13	30/01/2013	Human Resources	<p>Subject: HR matters</p> <p>Under the terms of freedom of information, I would like to request the following information for the period 1st January 2012 – 31st December 2012 (or</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The total number of full time staff 3,734. 2. The total number of part time staff 1,747. 3. The full time equivalent number of staff 4,633. 4. The number of compulsory and voluntary redundancies made by the local authority in the above stated period (please note, I only require a number, no information

				<p>for the last complete reporting year if easier) and to exclude employees of schools in the local authority area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The total number of full time staff - The total number of part time staff - The full time equivalent number of staff - The number of compulsory and voluntary redundancies made by the local authority in the above stated period (please note, I only require a number, no information regarding positions made redundant or of settlements) - Number of resignations accepted by the 	<p>regarding positions made redundant or of settlements) was 182.</p> <p>5. Number of resignations accepted by the authority for the above stated period (please note, I only require a number, no data concerning the roles resigned, departments effected, etc.) was 350</p> <p>6. Number of newly recruited staff for the above stated period is 553</p> <p>7. Total number of sickness days taken by local authority staff for the above stated period (for the whole authority, not per person) was 57,251.</p> <p>8. Total number of FTE sickness days taken by local authority staff for the above stated period (for the whole authority, not per person) was 50,570.</p> <p>9. Total number of grievances (Please note, for disciplinary data, I only require a number, no specific details of any action instigated) was 17.</p> <p>We trust that the information provided is satisfactory.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>authority for the above stated period (please note, I only require a number, no data concerning the roles resigned, departments effected, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Number of newly recruited staff for the above stated period- Total number of sickness days taken by local authority staff for the above stated period (for the whole authority, not per person)- Total number of FTE sickness days taken by local authority staff for the above stated period (for the whole authority, not per person)- Total number of grievances (Please note, for	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

					disciplinary data, I only require a number, no specific details of any action instigated)	
Public	14769	04/01/13	30/01/2013	Business Rates	<p>Subject: Rateable values of commercial properties</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom Of Information Act 2000:-</p> <p>(a) company name, addresses and rateable values of commercial properties that are occupied within your billing authority</p> <p>(b) the names of the liable party of those properties</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Responded via spreadsheet.</p>

					<p>that are vacant within your billing authority</p> <p>(c) the relevant billing authority reference number as referred to in all the above.</p>	
Business	14768	04/01/13	30/01/2013	Business Rates	<p>Subject: NON DOMESTIC RATES</p> <p>This request is made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and further to a decision of the Information Tribunal in England and the London Borough of Bexley v Information Commissioner 2007.</p> <p>In accordance with the above, I hereby request a list of</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the names and addresses of the rate payers of these properties which are in credit would be exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. Credits can be provided to companies in a variety of ways, including directly to a company representative by cash or cheque. Due to the limited means of personal verification it is possible that credits could be claimed fraudulently.</p> <p>It has been concluded that disclosure of this information is not</p>

				<p>Business Rate accounts that meet the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Current overpayment/credit shown for any financial year if credit balance hasn't been carried forward2. Accounts where a 'write on' has been used since 1st April 2000 to cancel an overpayment which has not since been reversed <p>I would request that the list contains the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ratepayer name*• Address of property concerned• Amount of	<p>in the public interest as the disclosure of these details may lead to criminal activities such as fraud.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				<p>overpayment/write on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If possible, the period/financial year relating to overpayment/credit /write on <p>*Information is only requested where the ratepayer is a company and not an individual as I appreciate this is limited by the Data Protection Acts.</p> <p>I would anticipate much of this information is available via regular management reports from your IT system and therefore is unlikely to exceed more than 18 hours of staff time as specified in the act to comply with this request. If you wish to raise a charge</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

					for the provision of this information, please confirm the amount and method of payment required.	
Public	14766	04/01/13	31/01/2013	ICT	<p>Subject : System Use</p> <p>Under the FOI Act, I would like to request the following information, where publically available;</p> <p>1. Does the council use the Northgate/Anite Document Records Management solution?</p> <p>2. If Yes: a. Which department (s) is it currently being used? b. What is the current annual maintenance</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. The council uses the document management system from Northgate but not the records management system.</p> <p>2. Not applicable.</p>

					<p>payments that the Council makes to the provider?</p> <p>c. What is the renewal date and term for the contract?</p>											
Public	14780	07/01/13	31/01/2013	<p>CYPS - Youth Support</p>	<p>Subject: Children in Newham</p> <p>According to data, many children in Newham live in poverty.</p> <p>I would like the number of households classified as in poverty for the years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012. (in figures and in percentage of households, if possible)</p> <p>I would like the number of free school meals given in Newham for the</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. This information is available on the Newham website: http://www.newham.info/</p> <p>2. Please see table below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Meals</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>1,225,108</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>3,345,917</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>4,445,599</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012 (December figures are given as estimate)</td> <td>4,607,841</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>3. This information is available on the Newham website: http://www.newham.info/</p> <p>4. This information is available on the Newham website: http://www.newham.info/</p>	Year	Meals	2009	1,225,108	2010	3,345,917	2011	4,445,599	2012 (December figures are given as estimate)	4,607,841
Year	Meals															
2009	1,225,108															
2010	3,345,917															
2011	4,445,599															
2012 (December figures are given as estimate)	4,607,841															

				<p>years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012. (in figures and in percentage of households if possible)</p> <p>Childhood obesity is an increasing issue in London. I would also like the recorded number of obese children in Newham for the years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012. (in figures and in percentage of households if possible)</p> <p>If you hold it - I would also like the recorded number of children with diabetes in Newham for the years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012. (in figures and in percentage of households if</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

					possible) I would prefer to have this information sent in email format, and am happy for the information to be sent through as it comes,	
Public	14797	08/01/13	30/01/2013	Complaints and Member Enquiries	<p>Subject: Public Health Funerals</p> <p>My request is for information regarding persons who have died with no known next of kin since 01/08/2012 to the date of your reply.</p> <p>Please also include any 'pending' cases that have been/may shortly be referred to any public authority or Treasury Solicitor (or Duchy of Lancaster/Duchy of</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>We can advise that there have been four public health funerals held since August 2012.</p> <p>We would however refuse the other aspects of your request, having taken into account guidance which the Treasury has issued in respect of such FOI requests.</p> <p>Under Section 21 of the Act London Borough of Newham is not required to provide information in response to a request if it is already reasonably accessible to you. The information you requested is available from the Treasury Solicitor's Department Bona Vacantia Division website at www.bonavacantia.gov.uk</p> <p>The council usually refer estates with a net value of over £500 to the Treasury Solicitor's Department. The department would then publish limited information about those valued at</p>

				<p>Cornwall within their jurisdictions) and any cases where the deceased died before 01/08/2012 but have only recently come to light, for whatever reason.</p> <p>Would you please include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. full names 2. dates of birth / ages at death 3. marital status 4. maiden surnames of married females 5. dates of death 6. last known addresses 7. estimated value of estates 8. date(s) when the information was passed (or information that is pending or about to be passed) to the Treasury Solicitor 	<p>over £5,000, unless a claimant comes forward during the period before publication.</p> <p>In addition to this, the information you requested with regard to the date of birth and last known address are on the death certificate. This information is accessible from the General Register Office at www.gro.gov.uk .</p> <p>Further we rely on Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act. This exemption applies where disclosure would be likely to prejudice a range of investigations and conduct, including the prevention and detection of crime. Releasing information under FOIA is equivalent to publishing it “to the world at large” and so our decision must be based on the likely effect of anyone having access to the requested information. The vast majority of the estates in question contain residential property, which, due to the circumstances of the estate, is likely to be empty, and in many cases will contain assets. Releasing information that identifies, either directly or indirectly, the whereabouts of such properties (and assets) before they have been secured leads to a real and significant risk of theft and fraud. It may also alert unlawful occupiers to the location of empty properties in the borough. This could also interfere with the statutory function to collect bona vacantia (ownerless goods) vested in the Crown and would provide an opportunity for criminal acts to be committed.</p> <p>With regards to section 31 above (qualified exemption), a ‘public interest test’ is required to determine if the exemption is applicable. In applying this test we have considered the following factors:</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p>(or Duchy of Lancaster or Cornwall or QLTR in Scotland)</p> <p>9. name of any other public authority details have been referred to.</p>	<p>Factors in favour of disclosure: the general public interest in the promotion of transparency, accountability, public understanding and involvement in the democratic process; the benefits to potential beneficiaries of unclaimed estates of genealogists tracing them down earlier; the resulting likely effect of fewer empty properties in the borough and the commercial benefits to lawyers and genealogists in tracing beneficiaries.</p> <p>Factors against disclosure: disclosure would increase the risk of fraud and theft towards vulnerable estates, and potentially towards individuals; such fraud and theft would diminish the value of estates, estates that potentially have beneficiaries; releasing information which may lead to the identification of empty properties increases the likelihood of unlawful occupation in the borough</p> <p>Whilst there are arguments on each side, we consider that, in the circumstances of the case, the public interest favours withholding this information</p>
Business	14800	08/01/13	31/01/2013	Planning Application & Enforcement	<p>Subject: Planning Permission and Prosecutions</p> <p>1. How many applications for retrospective planning permission for work carried out on residential</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. All planning applications for the years requested are viewable on our public access website: http://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do?action=simple&searchType=Application</p> <p>You can view each application and calculate these figures requested.</p>

				<p>properties have been received in the last 5 years? (Please provide a separate figure for 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008)</p> <p>2. How many retrospective planning applications for work carried out on residential properties were turned down in the last 5 years? (Please provide a separate figure for 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008)</p> <p>3. How many cases of illegal building work carried on out residential properties have been uncovered by the council in the last 5 years? (Please provide a</p>	<p>2. See answer to 1.</p> <p>3. See table below:</p> <table> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>1,975</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>1,325</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>1,634</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>1,171</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008</td> <td>1,196</td> </tr> </table> <p>Figures provided are the total number of planning enforcement investigations opened for each given year. These cover 'development' under the Town and Country Planning Act (changes of use and operational development) as well as cases relating to compliance with enforcement notices, unauthorised advertisements, untidy land, breaches of condition and others.</p> <p>Your enquiry specifically requests for cases of illegal building work on residential properties. This information is available for view on all planning enforcement cases via our public access website: http://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do?action=simple&searchType=Application</p> <p>4. Please find below information for (calendar year) 2012:</p> <table> <tr> <td>Number of successful prosecutions</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fines</td> <td>£37,497</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Costs ordered to be repaid</td> <td>£35,716.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>POCA</td> <td>£6,219</td> </tr> </table>	2012	1,975	2011	1,325	2010	1,634	2009	1,171	2008	1,196	Number of successful prosecutions	10	Fines	£37,497	Costs ordered to be repaid	£35,716.46	POCA	£6,219
2012	1,975																						
2011	1,325																						
2010	1,634																						
2009	1,171																						
2008	1,196																						
Number of successful prosecutions	10																						
Fines	£37,497																						
Costs ordered to be repaid	£35,716.46																						
POCA	£6,219																						

				<p>separate figure for 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008)</p> <p>4. The total number of fines issued to those prosecuted for illegal building work carried out on residential properties in the last 5 years and the total value of these fines? (Please provide a separate figure for 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008)</p> <p>If the request exceeds the allocated time limit or cost, please can you provide as much information as possible in email format, prioritising the top requests.</p>	<p>The Council does not readily hold information on the previous years as the level of detail requested would require a manual trawl of information which can often be lengthy and containing detailed reports in these cases. A manual exercise such as this would exceed the appropriate limit for local authorities which is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>Exemption FOI 12(1) – Request is over the appropriate limit</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

Public	14801	09/01/13	30/01/2013	Right to Buy	<p>Subject: Rent to Buy Schemes</p> <p>Please provide the number and % of the flats that have been sold under the RTB scheme in Selborne Avenue in Manor Park. Also please detail the % of total flat sold in the block containing the even numbers.</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Thank you for your request.</p> <p>Attached is a spreadsheet containing all of the requested statistics relating to Selborne Avenue E12. We have conducted a short re-dacting exercise to withhold personal data.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore, there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in disclosure.</p>
Business	14838	10/01/13	28/01/2013	Trading Standards	<p>Subject : Trading Standards</p> <p>1. How many counterfeit electrical items were seized by trading standards in 2012?</p> <p>2. How many counterfeit electrical items were seized by trading standards</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>Please can you help with the following?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How many counterfeit electrical items were seized by trading standards in 2012? <p>The total number of counterfeit electrical items seized were = 137. These were all counterfeit I-phone chargers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How many counterfeit electrical items were seized by trading standards in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011? Please give figures by year. <p>2008 = 0</p>

						Televisions £	0	0	0	0	0	
						Computers £	0	0	0	500	0	
						Laptops £	0	0	0	0	0	
						DVD Players £	0	0	0	0	0	0
						Tablets £	0	0	0	0	0	
						Mobile Phones £	0	0	2,000	0	685	
						Music Systems £	0	0	0	0	0	
						Headphones £	0	0	0	0	0	