

Information Governance Report Responses to Requests

Period: 01.01.2015 to 31.01.2015

Sub Enquiry ID	Date Responded	Enquiry Details	Response Details										
20345	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Illegal Tenants</p> <p>I would like to obtain information on the level of illegal subletting in social housing.</p> <p>Please could you tell me the following, for the calendar years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 to date. If the information is not recorded in calendar years, please provide it in financial years:</p> <p>-Please tell me how many investigations the council has carried out into illegal subletting of council housing since 2010.</p> <p>-Please tell me how many how properties have been recovered from illegal tenants since 2010.</p> <p>-Please tell me how many criminal convictions the authority has secured against tenants illegally subletting its properties since 2010.</p> <p>-I would also like to know how much illegal subletting costs the authority on a yearly basis, in investigations, court costs, legal fees, eviction charges, wasted housing benefits. If a specific figure is not available, could you please provide an estimate.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please tell me how many investigations the council has carried out into illegal subletting of council housing since 2010.</p> <p>The Council addresses the issue of potential illegal subletting of properties in a variety of ways. We undertake a programme of reactive and proactive audits of council properties with over 4,000 audited in 2013/14. All new tenants are audited to confirm they have taken up occupation. Photographs of more than 11,000 tenants have been added to our tenancy database to assist with easy identification. Key fob audits, when all fobs for a block are deactivated in a particular block, are undertaken regularly and fobs are only reactivated for the legal adult residents of the property.</p> <p>The number of cases opened for investigation for the (calendar) years in question is:</p> <table style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>2010:</td> <td>29 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011:</td> <td>69 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012:</td> <td>56 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013:</td> <td>64 properties</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014 to Sept 2014:</td> <td>78 properties</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Please tell me how many criminal convictions the authority has secured against tenants illegally subletting its properties since 2010.</p>	2010:	29 properties	2011:	69 properties	2012:	56 properties	2013:	64 properties	2014 to Sept 2014:	78 properties
2010:	29 properties												
2011:	69 properties												
2012:	56 properties												
2013:	64 properties												
2014 to Sept 2014:	78 properties												

			<p>The following information is recorded according to financial years:</p> <p>2010/2011: 57 properties recouped 2011/2012: 65 properties recouped 2012/2013: 84 properties recouped 2013/2014 80 properties recouped 2014 to Sept 2014: 15 recouped</p> <p>The figure reported above is higher than the number of cases under investigation for two reasons. Firstly, a case may be open in a particular year but not repossessed until some time after, particularly if the case is defended and becomes protracted. The figures also include cases where we have taken action on other grounds where the tenancy has breached due to the tenant's failure to occupy the property, but has not sub let. This is primarily on the grounds of failing to occupy as a principle home.</p> <p>3. Please tell me how many criminal convictions the authority has secured against tenants illegally subletting its properties since 2010</p> <p>The Council's ability to undertake criminal proceedings on the grounds of sub-letting was introduced in October 2013. We ran an amnesty for tenants to return illegally sub let properties between November to January 2014 and saw the return of 26 properties.</p> <p>We are currently investigating 8 cases where criminal offences linked to the sub letting of council properties may have taken place. Some of these investigations may result in no evidence being obtained to support a prosecution</p> <p>The new legislation also gives the council power to recover profits from fraud. As a result of this, we have obtained an unlawful profits order requiring a former tenant to pay back the £6,932 profit they made from illegally subletting their council property. The former tenant was ordered to pay back the monies by Bow County Court.</p> <p>4. I would also like to know how many illegal subletting costs the authority</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>on a yearly basis, in investigations, court costs, legal fees, eviction charges, wasted housing benefits. If a specific figure is not available, could you please provide an estimate?</p> <p>Answer: The council does not hold all of the data requested. The investigations and legal work are carried out internally and the council does not hold the information as to the cost of this.</p> <p>The National Fraud Authority adopts a national average loss to the public purse of £18,000 per property subject to tenancy fraud and the cost of building a new social housing unit from new is £150,000.</p> <p>Further, it is unclear what the requested means by “wasted housing benefits” and the Council has been unable to provide information as a result.</p>
20863	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Children at Risk</p> <p>Please provide me with the number of children currently considered by the council to be at risk of becoming victims of child sexual exploitation.</p> <p>Please also provide me with the number of children being dealt with by the council that are known to be victims of child sexual exploitation.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The local authority collects this information through the Multi Agency Sexual Exploitation Meeting (MASE) which was set up in March 2014, in line with the Pan London Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) Operating Protocol. MASE is a multi agency forum including the police and children’s social care. It reviews cases of children who may be at risk of, or victims of, CSE to ensure robust plans are in place. Although a total of 35 children were referred to MASE between March and September, this does not mean that all are victims.</p>
20912	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Right To Buy</p> <p>For each of the financial years from 2009-10 to 2013-14:</p> <p>1) How many council homes previously sold to the tenant under the Right to Buy scheme were re-sold within a year, such that the council was refunded the full amount of the former tenant’s discount? [To be clear, for e.g. 2009-10, I am interested in those homes re-sold in 2009-10].</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Zero 2. We do not know how many council homes were sold to tenants in receipt of benefits as we do not administer all benefits. 3. As above.

		<p>2) How many council homes were sold to the tenant under Right to Buy where the tenant was in receipt of benefits?</p> <p>3) How many of the homes in question (1) had originally been bought by tenants in receipt of benefits?</p>	
20918	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Children's Safeguarding</p> <p>Please may I request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>1. a) What is the total number of children who were referred this year (2014), or became known, to the council amid concerns that they are, or at some stage have been, at risk of sexual exploitation. b) Please also provide the figures, in the same table, for 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010. c) In the same table, please include the age of the youngest child thought to be at risk of sexual exploitation, for each year. d) Separately, and solely for cases in 2014, please provide an anonymised breakdown for each child. Please include as much information of the following as is available. - gender of each child - age of each child - a summary description (or at least a category) of the concerns around sexual exploitation. For being exploited by a family member; exploited in return for alcohol or drugs, involved in sex trade (receiving money or other rewards in return for sexual acts); or victim of child prostitution.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>(a) What is the total number of children who were referred this year (2014), or became known, to the council amid concerns that they are, or at some stage have been, at risk of sexual exploitation:</p> <p>Response - The local authority collects this information through the Multi Agency Sexual Exploitation Meeting (MASE) which was set up in March 2014, in line with the Pan London Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) Operating Protocol. MASE is a multi agency forum including the police and children's social care. It reviews cases of children who may be at risk of, or victims of, CSE to ensure robust plans are in place. Although a total of 35 children were referred to MASE between March and September, this does not mean that all are victims.</p> <p>(b) Please also provide the figures, in the same table, for 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010:</p> <p>Response - Prior to the introduction of the MASE meeting, the figures requested were not collected in this way. Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit</p>

			<p>(1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>c) In the same table, please include the age of the youngest child thought to be at risk of sexual exploitation, for each year:</p> <p>Response - The youngest child that is known to the CSE Multi Agency Sexual Exploitation Meeting to date is a 12 year old female. The Local Authority is unable to provide this information for years prior to 2014.</p> <p>d) Separately, and solely for cases in 2014, please provide an anonymised breakdown for each child: Please include as much information of the following as is available.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - gender of each child - age of each child - a summary description (or at least a category) of the concerns around sexual exploitation. For being exploited by a family member; exploited in return for alcohol or drugs, involved in sex trade (receiving money or other rewards in return for sexual acts); or victim of child prostitution. <p>Response - A total of 35 children have been referred to the MASE between March and September 2014. The youngest child was 12 year old and female. The majority of children referred are female but a small number of males have been discussed. Due to the low numbers of children concerned we are unable to provide a summary description of the concerns as this could lead to them being identified.</p>						
20972	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Right to Buy</p> <p>Please could you tell me how many applicants for Right to Buy were also the recipient of Housing Benefit up to the date of completion of their Right to Buy home purchase.</p> <p>Please could you provide annual data for the years 2010 through to 2014, including the most up-to-date information</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see table below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1126 1230 2042 1351"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year (1st Apr-31st Mar)</th> <th>No. of Right to Buy Completed Sales</th> <th>No. of Right to Buy Applicants in receipt of Housing Benefit at time of completion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2009/10</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year (1st Apr-31st Mar)	No. of Right to Buy Completed Sales	No. of Right to Buy Applicants in receipt of Housing Benefit at time of completion	2009/10	7	2
Year (1st Apr-31st Mar)	No. of Right to Buy Completed Sales	No. of Right to Buy Applicants in receipt of Housing Benefit at time of completion							
2009/10	7	2							

		for the current year.	<table> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>14</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>16</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>61</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>136</td> <td>33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15</td> <td>76*</td> <td>24*</td> </tr> </table> <p>*as at 31/08/2014</p>	2010/11	14	5	2011/12	16	4	2012/13	61	12	2013/14	136	33	2014/15	76*	24*
2010/11	14	5																
2011/12	16	4																
2012/13	61	12																
2013/14	136	33																
2014/15	76*	24*																
21002	14/01/2015	<p>Subject: Transparency about Social Workers and S17 accommodation</p> <p>1. Please provide copies of all invoices which were presented for payment to the council by RHP Services for the year 2012/2014.</p> <p>2. How many S17 accommodation providers are invoiced every year?</p> <p>3. How much money is invested in repossession proceedings?</p> <p>4. How much funds are contributed by UKBA for provision of accommodation to asylum seekers?</p> <p>5. If the council houses children under S17 in temporary accommodation, what procedure do you follow to evict those children if they refuse to leave the property without provision of alternative accommodation?</p> <p>6. Which firms of solicitors assist Newham Legal department? Please provide me a list.</p> <p>7. What is your duty towards children of asylum seekers who have resided in Newham since birth? Do you allow social workers to communicate with third parties to put children in danger?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please provide copies of all invoices which were presented for payment to the council by RHP Services for the year 2012/2014.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We consider that the information requested in respect of invoices issued to RHP Services to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).</p> <p>The information requested includes details of the specific negotiated rates and fees between the Council and external providers. We consider that in disclosing the fees would be likely to weaken the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. This could potentially affect the council's income and budget and essentially, the availability of financial resources for residents and in the delivery of the Council's services.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency</p>															

		<p>8. Some social workers were involved in my case namely: Avion Grant, Norma Irish, Hazlyn Hazel and Liz Forde. They appeared to have left Newham. Please confirm.</p> <p>9. Please provide me with the name of Children Services Director and deputy director. Please also provide me with Adult social care director and deputy directors' names.</p> <p>10. What procedure social workers follow when closing a case? Do you send a formal decision with reasons or emails threatening vulnerable children?</p>	<p>in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p> <p>2. How many S17 accommodation providers are invoiced every year?</p> <p>In the 2013/14 financial year Children and Young People Services invoiced approximately twenty providers.</p> <p>3. How much money is invested in repossession proceedings?</p> <p>Newham Children's Social Care is not a landlord and therefore would not finance repossession hearings.</p> <p>4. How much funds are contributed by UKBA for provision of accommodation to asylum seekers?</p> <p>All Asylum seeking families are now managed by NASS so there would be no contribution to the Local Authority from UKBA .</p> <p>5. If the council houses children under S17 in temporary accommodation, what procedure do you follow to evict those children if they refuse to leave the property without provision of alternative accommodation?</p> <p>Children's Social Care does not evict families from properties as that is outside of the statutory function. However, if a landlord was evicting a family, that was known to us, from a home we would consider what support we needed to put in</p>
--	--	---	--

			<p>place to assist the family to find alternative accommodation.</p> <p>6. Which firms of solicitors assist Newham Legal department? Please provide me a list.</p> <p>One Source Legal Services is an in-house resource with our own in house lawyers.</p> <p>7. What is your duty towards children of asylum seekers who have resided in Newham since birth? Do you allow social workers to communicate with third parties to put children in danger?</p> <p>We have a legal duty to undertake an assessment of children regardless of their immigration status if there are child protection or child in need concerns. We would have no duty to Asylum seekers children who have lived here since birth unless there was a concern that led to a Child Protection or Child in Need investigation. It would be contrary to the Social Workers code of conduct to place a child in danger.</p> <p>8. Some social workers were involved in my case namely: Avion Grant, Norma Irish, Hazlyn Hazel and Liz Forde. They appeared to have left Newham. Please confirm. Yes.</p> <p>9. Please provide me with the name of Children Services Director and deputy director. Please also provide me with Adult social care director and deputy directors' names.</p> <p>The Interim Director of Children's Services is Diane Smith. The Acting Deputy Director is Michael MacKay. The Director of Adult Social Care is Grainne Siggins.</p> <p>10. What procedure social workers follow when closing a case? Do you send a formal decision with reasons or emails threatening vulnerable children?</p> <p>When closing a case, the allocated social worker prepares a written summary, which is authorised by a manager. A letter confirming closure should be sent</p>
--	--	--	--

			to families.
21003	14/01/2015	<p>Subject: Cycle Superhighway</p> <p>1. What representations were made to Newham Council to TfL about Cycle Superhighway 2 (CS2) at the junction of Stratford Broadway and Tramway Avenue?</p> <p>2. What representations were made by Newham Council to TfL about the removal of Cycle Superhighway 2 (CS2) at the junction of Stratford Broadway and Tramway Avenue?</p> <p>3. Were any written assessments made of: (a) the likely increase in collisions being caused by the existence of CS2 at this junction at this junction and (b) the effect on the level of protection of cyclists, by the removal of this section of CS2? If so please provide copies.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>With regard to your request for a review on how the Council handled your request in relation to E20499, I again apologise for the delay in responding to your request. The Council receives many requests for information held by the Council under Freedom of Information legislation, and whilst we are fully aware of the legislative requirements in responding, it is not always possible to meet these deadlines due to pressure of work and resources available at the time. With that particular request, the information needed to be gathered from a number of sources and consultation was required with Transport for London. The email communications also needed to be redacted as necessary. If you wish to pursue your concerns with the Information Commissioner's Office we would be happy to respond to any enquiries they decide to make on your behalf.</p> <p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached all communication regarding the Cycle Superhighway 2 (CS2) at the junction of Stratford Broadway and Tramway Avenue.</p> <p>Please note, we have redacted the names and contact details of all officers from the attached correspondence under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>This is because disclosure could result in the identification of individuals as they would not have expected their personal data to be subsequently disclosed under the Act and any information would have been exchanged with the Council in confidence. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p>

21052	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Housing in the Borough</p> <p>How many residential properties does LBN own? How many residential properties does the GLA own in Newham? How many properties do housing associations own in Newham? How many of these properties have been empty for more than a year? How many of these properties have been renovated and relent in the last year? How many private residential properties are empty in Newham ?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. This information is available on the Council's website: http://newhamintranet/Environment/HousingAndPublicProtection/HousingStatistics.htm</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Category/Affordable-housing.aspx?l1=100007</p> <p>2. This information is available on the GLA's website: https://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/housing-land/improving-quality/existing-housing-stock</p> <p>3. See answer 1.</p> <p>4. The total number of privately owned domestic properties the council recorded as being unoccupied is 4,514 during the period 2013-2014. This figure includes any property that was empty for any period during the year.</p> <p>5. It is not possible to provide an accurate figure for this request as the Council does not hold all information on all properties which are being renovated and re-let.</p> <p>6. The Council does not hold a record of all private residential properties in Newham.</p>
21211	01/01/2015	<p>Subject : Direct Payments/Self Directed Support</p> <p>Can you please let us have the following information under the FoI Act:</p> <p>1. Has the Authority outsourced Direct Payment support services? 2. If these services are not currently outsourced, are there plans to progress this? If so, will there be a consultation process and when might commissioning take</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Newham has an external and internal arrangement for financial services to support direct payments.</p> <p>2. N/A.</p> <p>3. Vibrance & Hestia.</p>

		<p>place?</p> <p>3. If the service is outsourced, please provide a list of organisations currently providing DP support/Self Directed Support on behalf of the local authority and the duration/end date of the contract?</p> <p>4. Are there plans to include Children and Young people in the above contracts or tendering separate services?</p> <p>5. Have you reserved the right to extend any existing contracts, if so, for how long?</p> <p>6. What is your commissioning model, Framework or Block?</p> <p>7. Approximately what is the local authority budget for DP Support /self-directed support ?</p> <p>8. How many people are in receipt of Direct payments across this local authority area?</p>	<p>4. They are already included.</p> <p>5. Not applicable as this is done on a framework basis rather than via contracts.</p> <p>6. Framework.</p> <p>7. The costs for Direct Payment support and Self Directed Support are included within individuals personal budgets, and the amount paid will vary depending on the level / type of service being purchased as well as the company which the individual chooses to use. We are therefore unable to provide this information.</p> <p>8. 905 Adults.</p>
21209	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Adults Social Care - Meals on Wheels - Food Service</p> <p>Please answer the following questions for the following years;</p> <p>2009/10 2010/11 2011/12 2012/13 2013/14 2014/15</p> <p>1. Does/did your council provide meal services for elderly and disabled adults (so-called 'meals on wheels') in each of the years?</p> <p>2. How much does/did the Council charge adults for meal services per meal in each of the following years? (if there is a different charge for hot and frozen meals,</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1. Does/did your council provide meal services for elderly and disabled adults (so-called 'meals on wheels') in each of the years?</p> <p>Between 2009/10-2011/12 the Council provided meal services for elderly and disabled people. From 2012/13 onward the Council no longer provides this service as customers can not purchase meal services from their personal budgets. Customers are now expected to use other funding sources (such as Pension, DLA etc) to purchase these services.</p> <p>Q2. How much does/did the Council charge adults for meal services per meal in each of the following years? (if there is a different charge for hot and frozen meals, please specify each separately)</p> <p>The charge was £2.50</p> <p>Q3. How many people receive/d meal services in each of the following years? (in 2014/15 please provide the figure to date)</p>

		<p>please specify each separately)</p> <p>3. How many people receive/ed meal services in each of the following years? (in 2014/15 please provide the figure to date)</p> <p>4. How many meals have been delivered as part of this service in each of the following years (in 2014/15 please provide the figure to date)</p>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>2009/10</td> <td>412</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>361</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13 – 2014/15</td> <td>0 as the Council does not provide this service any longer.</td> </tr> </table> <p>Q4. How many meals have been delivered as part of this service in each of the following years (in 2014/15 please provide the figure to date)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>2009/10</td> <td>78,835</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>69,076</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>36,738</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13 – 2014/15</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table> <p>The London Borough of Newham was using an external provider to provide a meals on wheels services up until 2011/12. From this point, as part of the organisations personalisation agenda, if an individual requests a meal type service, they are directed to a range of potential meals providers which the customer can purchase themselves.</p>	2009/10	412	2010/11	361	2011/12	192	2012/13 – 2014/15	0 as the Council does not provide this service any longer.	2009/10	78,835	2010/11	69,076	2011/12	36,738	2012/13 – 2014/15	0
2009/10	412																		
2010/11	361																		
2011/12	192																		
2012/13 – 2014/15	0 as the Council does not provide this service any longer.																		
2009/10	78,835																		
2010/11	69,076																		
2011/12	36,738																		
2012/13 – 2014/15	0																		
21238	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Landlord Complaints - Enforcement</p> <p>6. How many complaints were registered with the borough by private rented sector tenants in 2013? Please list the five most common causes of complaints and the number of complaints for each of these causes.</p> <p>7. How many of the complaints received by the local authority in 2013 resulted in (a) investigations and (b) inspections by the borough's enforcement officers?</p> <p>8. How many of the complaints received by the local authority in 2013 have resulted in criminal prosecutions being sought by the local authority? How many of these (a) has been successful and (b) still pending a final</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>6. Approximately 1,250 tenant complaints. Damp, Mould, Lack of Heating, Disrepair and Pests are the main issues reported. A figure for each cannot be provided because of the way these issues are reported and recorded.</p> <p>7. All complaint were investigated and inspected by enforcement officers where it was established a problem might reasonably exist</p> <p>8. 183 prosecutions related to criminal landlords were taken by Newham Council in 2013/14 related to proactive and reactive cases. Some have been completed and some are still going through court processes. All but two prosecutions were successful.</p>																

		<p>judgement?</p> <p>9. How many complaints were registered with the borough by private rented sector tenants in 2009? Please provide a breakdown of the five most common causes of complaints in 2009 and the number of complaints for each of these categories.</p> <p>10. How many of the complaints received by the local authority in 2009 resulted in (a) investigations and (b) inspections by the borough's enforcement officers?</p> <p>11. How many of the complaints received by the local authority in 2009 resulted in criminal prosecutions being sought by the local authority? How many of these were successful?</p>	<p>9. Approximately 1,000 tenant complaints. Damp, Mould, Lack of Heating, Disrepair & Pests are the main issues reported. A figure for each cannot be provided because of the way these issues are reported and recorded.</p> <p>10. All complaint were investigated and inspected by enforcement officers where it was established a problem might reasonably exist.</p> <p>11. Our records show three prosecutions related to criminal landlords were taken to court bty Newham Council in 2009/10 related to proactive and reactive cases. All were successful.</p>
21301	13/01/2015	<p>Subject: Trading Standards and Food Hygiene</p> <p>TRADING STANDARDS SERVICES</p> <p>1. How many qualified trading standards officers did you employ in total (e.g. DCATS or DCA or DTS qualification)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014? (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>2. What was the total number of prosecution proceedings (across all areas of the service) commenced by your trading standards service in the financial year 2013/14?</p> <p>3. How many qualified trading standards officers did</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>TRADING STANDARDS SERVICES</p> <p>1. How many qualified trading standards officers did you employ in total (e.g. DCATS or DCA or DTS qualification) (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>Answer: 4</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>Answer: 3</p> <p>2. What was the total number of prosecution proceedings (across all areas of the service) commenced by your trading standards service in the financial year 2013/14?</p>

	<p>you employ to specialise in animal health</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>(Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>FOOD STANDARDS OFFICIAL CONTROLS</p> <p>4. How many trading standards officers did you employ who were authorised to enforce the Food Safety Act 1990</p> <p>a) on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>b) on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>(Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>5. How many of these held a current specialist food standards or food and agriculture qualification (eg Food Standards Certificate, Food and Agriculture certificate, Food Standards service delivery module)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>(Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>FOOD HYGIENE AND SAFETY OFFICIAL CONTROLS</p> <p>6. How many qualified environmental health practitioners/officers did you employ in total</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p>	<p>Answer: 25</p> <p>3. How many qualified trading standards officers did you employ to specialise in animal health (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>Answer: 0</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>Answer: 0</p> <p>FOOD STANDARDS OFFICIAL CONTROLS</p> <p>4. How many trading standards officers did you employ who were authorised to enforce the Food Safety Act 1990. (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a) on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>Answer: 0</p> <p>b) on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>Answer: 0</p> <p>5. How many of these held a current specialist food standards or food and agriculture qualification (eg Food Standards Certificate, Food and Agriculture certificate, Food Standards service delivery module) (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p>
--	--	--

	<p>b. on 1 April 2014? (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>7. How many of these were employed to specialise in food hygiene and safety work</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014? (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>8. How many qualified food safety officers (e.g. Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection or Higher Certificate in Food Control) did you employ</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014? (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>FOOD SAMPLING</p> <p>9. What was your total actual expenditure on official food sampling* (samples sent to official laboratories for analysis) in the financial year</p> <p>a. 2010/11?</p> <p>b. 2013/14?</p> <p>*Including: contamination, composition, labelling and presentation</p>	<p>Answer: 0</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>Answer: 0</p> <p>FOOD HYGIENE AND SAFETY OFFICIAL CONTROLS</p> <p>6. How many qualified environmental health practitioners/officers did you employ in total. (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>Answer: 6</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>Answer: 5</p> <p>7. How many of these were employed to specialise in food hygiene and safety work. (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>Answer: 6</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p> <p>Answer: 6</p> <p>8. How many qualified food safety officers (eg Higher Certificate in Food Premises Inspection or Higher Certificate in Food Control) did you employ. (Please provide Full-Time Equivalent numbers)</p> <p>a. on 1 April 2010?</p> <p>Answer: 3</p> <p>b. on 1 April 2014?</p>
--	---	---

			<p>Answer: 2</p> <p>FOOD SAMPLING</p> <p>9. What was your total actual expenditure on official food sampling* (samples sent to official laboratories for analysis) in the financial year *Including: contamination, composition, labelling and presentation</p> <p>a. 2010/11?</p> <p>Answer: £22,000</p> <p>b. 2013/14?</p> <p>Answer: £17,500</p>
21341	01/01/2015	<p>Subject - Child SE</p> <p>Under the freedom of information act, please could you provide me with the following information. This FOI request is being sent to every local authority in London.</p> <p>1. What is the total number of children who have been referred this year, or known to the council already, amid fears that they are, or at some stage have been at risk of child sexual exploitation?</p> <p>2. Please provide figures, in the same table, for 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009.</p> <p>3. For 2014 alone, what action has been taken to protect the children referred to the CP Register, or deemed to be at risk, by the council?</p> <p>By children we mean those aged under 16.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. What is the total number of children who have been referred this year, or known to the council already, amid fears that they are, or at some stage have been at risk of child sexual exploitation?</p> <p>Response - The local authority collects this information through the Multi Agency Sexual Exploitation Meeting (MASE) which was set up in March 2014, in line with the Pan London Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) Operating Protocol. MASE is a multi agency forum including the police and children's social care. It reviews cases of children who may be at risk of, or victims of, CSE to ensure robust plans are in place. Although a total of 35 children were referred to MASE between March and September, this does not mean that all are victims.</p> <p>2. Please provide figures, in the same table, for 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009.</p> <p>Response - Prior to the introduction of the MASE meeting, the figures</p>

			<p>requested were not collected in this way.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>3. For 2014 alone, what action has been taken to protect the children referred to the CP Register, or deemed to be at risk, by the council?</p> <p>Response - Each child made subject to a Child Protection Plan (note, nationally the term CP Register is no longer used) or deemed to be at risk by the council, would have their own plan. The plan sets out how risks will be managed, the support that will be offered and what needs to happen if risks escalate.</p>
21394	26/02/2015	<p>Subject: Lightning Risk Assessment for West Ham (Olympic Stadium)</p> <p>Please provide an electronic copy of the Lightning Risk Assessment for the West Ham (Olympic Stadium)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham does not hold an electronic copy of a Lightning Risk Assessment for the Olympic Stadium.</p> <p>The LLDC rather than LBN would hold such a document as they are currently undertaking the transformation works to the stadium.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant contact details below http://queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk/get-in-touch/freedom-of-information-requests</p>
21392	07/01/2015	<p>Subject: Specialist women's services - Domestic/</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

Sexual Violence

I am requesting the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:

1. The total combined funding provided under contract for specialist women’s services or services for victims (or those at risk) of domestic/sexual violence (**excluding supported housing services**) for each year in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15
 2. For each year in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15, please list all contracted providers of the services referred to in question 1, the amount of council funding each provider received each year for delivering these services, and what specific services the funding was for. Where a provider received funding under separate contracts for different services, please list each contract separately
- PLEASE NOTE that this request *excludes* services funded from annual one-off grant pots, as opposed to under multi-year contracts.

Please see below table:

Domestic Violence Services and Provider	2010-11	2011-12
2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Family Justice Centre 2010-11		
London Borough of Newham	£596,130	
Independent Domestic Violence Advocacy Service		
Newham Action Against Domestic Violence		£180,000
	£180,000	£180,000
One Stop Shop/ Specialist Domestic and Sexual Violence Services and helplines including Female Genital Mutilation Service, Exiting Sex Work Service and support services for low risk victims of domestic and sexual violence		
-Aanchal Women’s Aid		
-Manor Gardens Welfare Centre		
-Open Doors, Homerton Hospital		£454,000
	£454,000	
Net commissioning budget (TOTAL)	£596,130	£180,000
	£180,000	£604,00
	£604,00	£604,000

- a. Family Justice Centre, was delivered by the London Borough of Newham. This service supported female victims of domestic and sexual violence, including victims of trafficking, prostitution, sexual harassment, forced marriage and honour-based violence. This service provided casework advocacy and support through court.
- b. Independent Domestic Violence Advocates (IDVAs) Provider Newham Action Against Domestic Violence are trained specialists who provide a service to victims who are at high-risk of harm from intimate partners, ex-partners or family members, with the aim of securing their safety and the safety of their children. Serving as a victim’s primary point of contact, IDVAs normally work with their clients from the point of crisis, and work to lower an individuals risk and risk to their children by case work advocacy . Casework advocacy includes developing safety and action plans with the person to empower them to make change. IDVAs are pro-active in implementing plans which address

			<p>immediate safety, including practical steps to enable victims to protect themselves and their children, as well as longer-term solutions. IDVAs will represent their clients at the Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC) and help implement safety plans which will include actions from the MARAC as well as sanctions and remedies available through the criminal and civil courts, housing options and services available through other organisations. IDVAs support and work over the short- to medium-term to put victims on the path to long-term safety. Criteria is resident in Newham, aged 16+.</p> <p>c. Domestic and Sexual Violence (DSV) Service Provider Aanchal Women's Aid. This service is the single point of contact for professionals and victims accessing support for DSV and runs a 24 hour service. The IDSVAs will receive the majority of its referrals from the DSV Service. The DSV service works to empower low and medium risk victims of domestic and sexual violence to access interventions through the criminal justice system and statutory services, build self esteem, emotional wellbeing and skills to take control of their lives. Along with running the 24 hour support and referral line, the DSV Service provides CBT therapy, Empowerment Groups and casework advocacy for low-medium risk clients. The IDSVAs Service and the DSV Service will work closely together to support people as their risk lowers or increases. Each service is commissioned to address specialist need dependent on risk. Criteria is resident in Newham, aged 16+.</p> <p>d. Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) Service provider Manor Gardens Welfare Centre. The FGM Service provides casework advocacy, and empowerment groups to victims of FGM. The service delivers training to the community and to professionals and trains 'FGM Community Champions.' The service supports victims to understand the negative consequences of FGM and help them to prevent FGM for their daughters. Criteria is resident in Newham, aged 16+.</p> <p>e. Exiting Sex Work Service provider Open Doors, Homerton Hospital. This service supports people who are engaged in sex work to be healthier, safer and exit the industry. This service provides casework advocacy, empowerment groups, drop-in services and goes on outreach 2 nights a week.</p>
--	--	--	--

			This service also provides a day programme to kerb crawlers which is designed in conjunction with service users. Criteria is resident in Newham, 18+.
21415	28/01/2015	<p>Subject: Private Residential Children's Homes</p> <p>Can you please provide me with a list of private residential children's homes used by you to place children / young people you have parenting responsibility for. Please understand, I am not requesting the full addresses of these homes, and only want to know the Ofsted URN for the home, the local authority they are based in, how many children you have placed in each, the private company that runs it. NB: The Ofsted URN is used by Ofsted to provide public information on each home (eg inspection reports), without identifying its address, and there is no reason why URNs cannot be provided.</p> <p>The following numbered questions make my request clear:</p> <p>1. How many looked after children that you have parenting responsibility for are placed in council-owned children's homes (not secure units, foster homes, schools, or respite care)?</p> <p>2. How many looked after children that you have responsibility for are placed in privately-run children's homes (not secure units, foster homes, schools, or respite care)?</p> <p>3. Provide a list of privately-run children's homes (not secure units, foster homes, schools, or respite care) you currently place children in without giving full addresses of the homes, but instead showing only the Ofsted URN for the home, the local authority it is based in, how many</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many looked after children that you have parenting responsibility for are placed in council-owned children's homes (not secure units, foster homes, schools, or respite care)?</p> <p>None.</p> <p>2. How many looked after children that you have responsibility for are placed in privately-run children's homes (not secure units, foster homes, schools, or respite care)?</p> <p>Thirty Four.</p> <p>3. Provide a list of privately-run children's homes (not secure units, foster homes, schools, or respite care) you currently place children in without giving full addresses of the homes, but instead showing only the Ofsted URN for the home, the local authority it is based in, how many children you have placed there, and the private company running it. For eg.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request. We are unable to release the requested information in respect of the names of privately run children's homes and the number of children placed there by the local authority as we consider this disclosure would result in the identification of the individuals placed at those homes. Due to the relatively low number of looked after children living in placements at each of the homes used, together with information which may be already in the public domain in respect of the addresses of such properties, we consider that this may potentially result in the identification of vulnerable children at their placements, should the requested information be combined with publicly accessible information.</p>

		children you have placed there, and the private company running it.	<p>Therefore we consider this third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) 2000 and the personal data of the requester is exempt from disclosure under Section 40 (1) of the Act.</p> <p>Disclosure of third party personal information would contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the Council. Section 40 of the FOIA provides an absolute exemption; therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest in disclosure.</p>												
21408	28/01/2015	<p>Subject: HMO Licensing</p> <p>1. Please provide a breakdown of the local authority's costs used to calculate the fees currently charged for mandatory HMO licensing</p> <p>2. Please provide a breakdown of the local authority's costs used to calculate the fees currently charged for additional licensing.</p> <p>3. Please provide a breakdown of the local authority's costs used to calculate the fees currently charged for selective licensing.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We introduced the licensing scheme in June 2012 and gave landlords an opportunity to licence early before the licensing scheme started in January 13, a discounted rate of £150 was offered for applications made before 1st February 2013, this was introduced to make it easier for the majority of Newham landlords to get licensed during the start-up phase of the scheme. As of 1st February the fee went up to the standard rate of £500 (Additional and Selective only).</p> <p>The discounted rate and standard rate are aggregated to fund the administration of the scheme over 5 years, therefore it is not possible to breakdown the costs in the way you have requested. A high level breakdown of fees which reflects the resources required for each stage of the processing can be found below. (These include staffing costs, infrastructure, postal charges etc)</p> <table> <tr> <td>Receipt of enquiry and application</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application Processing</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Draft licence preparation</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Issue of licence</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Post Licence and compliance</td> <td>22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Support staffing costs, infrastructure and set up</td> <td>24%</td> </tr> </table>	Receipt of enquiry and application	10%	Application Processing	17%	Draft licence preparation	10%	Issue of licence	17%	Post Licence and compliance	22%	Support staffing costs, infrastructure and set up	24%
Receipt of enquiry and application	10%														
Application Processing	17%														
Draft licence preparation	10%														
Issue of licence	17%														
Post Licence and compliance	22%														
Support staffing costs, infrastructure and set up	24%														

			<p>The costs of the licence are linked to the processing and compliance checking over the period of the licence. The fee does not include any costs related to enforcement action taken against landlords who have not licensed</p> <p>Mandatory Licence fees are charged at a higher rate, these have been calculated using the same methodology however these are more expensive due to the size and complexity of these types of properties and the additional/longer visits required:</p> <table> <tr> <td>Number of Rooms</td> <td>Initial Application Fee</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Up to 5 lettings</td> <td>£950.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 to 9 lettings</td> <td>£1,100.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10 to 14 lettings</td> <td>£1,250.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15 to 19 lettings</td> <td>£1,400.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20 lettings and above</td> <td>£1,550.00</td> </tr> </table>	Number of Rooms	Initial Application Fee	Up to 5 lettings	£950.00	6 to 9 lettings	£1,100.00	10 to 14 lettings	£1,250.00	15 to 19 lettings	£1,400.00	20 lettings and above	£1,550.00
Number of Rooms	Initial Application Fee														
Up to 5 lettings	£950.00														
6 to 9 lettings	£1,100.00														
10 to 14 lettings	£1,250.00														
15 to 19 lettings	£1,400.00														
20 lettings and above	£1,550.00														
21427	28/10/2014	<p>Subject: LOBO contracts</p> <p>For the LOBO contracts the council signed over the last twenty years please confirm which financial advisors advised the council on the cost / Benefit of each individual deal?</p> <p>I am interested in understanding the process the council has undertaken to evaluate the cost/ benefit of entering lobo contracts versus borrowing from the Treasury / PWLB.</p> <p>Which brokerage firms executed each of the trades?</p> <p>What competitive process did the council undertake to select the above broker?</p> <p>How much were Treasury Advisors paid on an annual retainer basis and how much was each advisor additionally paid for advice relating to each individual</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>For the LOBO contracts the council signed over the last twenty years please confirm which financial advisors advised the council on the cost/benefit of each individual deal?</p> <p>Sector and Butlers were our main advisers over that period. Officers did however take advice from a variety of sources prior to a decision being made in accordance with guidance laid down by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA). We publish an anonymised list of requests and responses on the Council's website.</p> <p>I am interested in understanding the process the council has undertaken to evaluate the cost/benefit of entering LOBO contracts versus borrowing from the Treasury/PWLB.</p> <p>The Council's treasury management activities are highly regulated by the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management in the Public Services (" the TM Code"), adopted by this Council since it was published in 2002 which coincided with the period when the council first used LOBOs. The TM Code</p>												

		<p>LOBO contract?</p>	<p>was fully revised in 2009 and updated again in 2011. The TM Code has legal status that derives from the regulations issued under the Local Government Act 2003. This Council fully complies with its requirements and as such the Council approves a treasury management policy, annual strategy and plan in advance of the year. Within the framework of the TM Code, the council sought to improve certainty and stability of its interest exposure, introduce a degree of flexibility that could not be wholly delivered by PWLBs lending arrangements to finance a major expansion of its capital programme. The Council has used LOBOs on two debt restructuring programmes in 2005/6 and 2007/8 and toward financing a capital programme to improve the maturity profile of debt, to effect reductions in interest charges (£30m since 2005/6) and redistribute between years of account the taxation burden of debt financing costs. Please see below the steps set out that officers follow before entering into a LOBO contract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Activity is within the scope of the approved TM strategy. * Establish the Capital Financing Requirement per the medium term budget strategy. <p>Officers/advisers explore debt restructuring opportunities throughout the year. This will determine the borrowing requirement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Establish market outlook and relative value of LOBO v PWLB– interest rate outlook is analysed. Officers look at the long end of the swap curve (used as a basis for pricing LOBO) this is compared with the long term outlook on Gilts that drives the PWLB rate. We look at past spread between gilts and swaps and look at the relative value of LOBOs v PWLB. <p>During the period January 2008 and June 2009 when considerable amount of LOBO borrowing was undertaken long term PWLB rose by 5 bps while LOBOs fell – 115Bps. This made LOBO borrowing particularly attractive during that period. At the same time the PWLB increased the cost of borrowing by a full 1% across the board.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Officers examine the extent to which LOBOs and PWLB meet the Council's strategy requirements. PWLB loans do not offer the flexibility of LOBOs in structuring the coupon and principal profile around the council's particular requirements. For example LOBOs were used as a risk management tool to protect against future borrowing requirements without borrowing in advance of capital requirement and to protect the capital finance
--	--	-----------------------	--

			<p>budget from an increase in interest rates. PWLB debt provides fixed rate and long term certainty with no opportunity of outperformance. LOBOs do provide opportunity for outperformance although they introduce refinance risk. At the time of considering a LOBO contract the following considerations are taken into account:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Under what circumstances would a lender exercise their option?• How far would rates have to increase for the lender to exercise their option?• What is the probability of such an increase in rates? <p>* Post LOBO contract there is regular monitoring from our advisers, CIPFA Risk Study, CIPFA Risk Toolkit reports to update the analysis with regard to the above questions. So far there is nothing to indicate that a lender will exercise their option for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>We publish an anonymised list of requests and responses on the Council's website.</p> <p>Prior to undertaking a major LOBO contract the Council obtains an Asset Risk review of its Debt portfolio. This normally involves a robust stress test of interest rates moving +/- 2% on portfolio borrowing costs – this is then overlaid with the proposed borrowing to assess the cost/risk impact. The impact of different LOBO/PWLB products are evaluated and a decision is made on the most beneficial product in terms of portfolio cost and risk over the whole life of the product.</p> <p>Which brokerage firms executed each of the trades? £28.5m was executed through Martin Brokers, £25m was executed through Prebon. This represented less than 10% of the LOBO debt portfolio. The Council saved £1.4m from dealing with lenders direct rather than using the broker network.</p> <p>What competitive process did the council undertake to select the above broker? We do not procure brokers through a competitive process. We believe in having access to as many brokers as possible so that we obtain the best possible market intelligence.</p> <p>How much were Treasury Advisors paid on an annual retainer basis and how much was each advisor additionally paid for advice relating to each individual LOBO contract? Up until 2010 the Council had two advisers – since then we have had one adviser.</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>We consider that the information requested in relation to the fees paid for financial advice to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).</p> <p>The information requested relates to specific fees paid to individual advisors for the provision of advice in respect of the Council's finances. We consider that in disclosing these amounts, would be likely to weaken the council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations for the commissioning of similar services or advice.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the Council has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the local authority is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the local authority. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p> <p>However, it should be noted we have reduced fees paid to advisers by 60% since 2010.</p> <p>No fee was paid in relation to the provision of advice relating to an individual LOBO contract.</p> <p>We publish an anonymised list of requests and responses on the Council's website.</p>
21421	05/01/2015	<p>Subject: Planning Permission for Development of 100 or more residential housing unit</p> <p>How many developments of 100 or more residential</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>How many developments of 100 or more residential housing units has LBN granted planning permission in the past 3 years.</p>

	<p>housing units has LBN granted planning permission in the past 3 years.</p> <p>Please provide details of address of development, developer, number of residential housing units, date of planning granted, current status of development.</p> <p>Please provide details of all section 106 obligations placed on developments by LBN in the past 3 years. Include address of development, developer, date of planning granted, current status of development, full details of S106 obligations, and indicate whether the obligations have been met.</p>	<p>Please provide details of address of development, developer, number of residential housing units, date of planning granted, current status of development.</p> <p>Please see the attached spreadsheet which details the developments which have been granted planning permission in the borough in the past three years.</p> <p>This most recent information has been retrieved via the London Development Database for the period between 01.01.2011 and 30.09.2014. This database does not include the name of the developer, although this may be independently sourced from planning application information held on the Public Access Planning Portal. This portal is already publicly available on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below;</p> <p>https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=64387469AD16B67A3CA3D5F13DDC706A?action=simple&searchType=Application</p> <p>By clicking on the 'Advanced' tab for an applications search, this allows a search over a specified date range. The drop down box on 'development type' allows a search for 'large scale dwellings.'</p> <p>Additional information on the applications listed can be found through the Public Access planning portal by entering the planning application reference number found on the attached spreadsheet.</p> <p>Please provide details of all section 106 obligations placed on developments by LBN in the past 3 years (of developments of 100 residential unit or more.) Include address of development, developer, date of planning granted, current status of development, full details of S106 obligations and indicate whether the obligations have been met.</p> <p>Please see the attached spreadsheet outlining the Section 106 Agreements granted by the London Borough of Newham in the past three years where the planning permission results in the creation of 100 or more residential units.</p>
--	---	--

			Additional information on the applications listed can again be found through the Public Access planning portal by entering the planning application reference number found on the attached spreadsheet.
21436	13/01/2015	<p>Subject: Stirk Harbour and Partners/ Red Door Ventures</p> <p>Please supply all documents held by LBN related to the procurement, contracting, planning agreements with Rogers Stirk Harbour and Partners to construct homes for LBN and/or Red Door Ventures. Between and September 2012 and September 2014.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our records show that the Council does not have any procurement or contracting documents with Rogers Stirk Harbour and Partners (RSHP). It is understood that RSHP provided initial design work to the main contractor working on the Tanneries, but there was no ongoing contractual relationship between the Council or Red Door Ventures and RSHP.</p>
21434	13/01/2015	<p>Subject: Altair Consultancy</p> <p>Please supply details of all current and past contractual agreements between LBN and Altair Consultancy.</p> <p>I want to know what contractual relationships LBN has or has had with Altair Consultancy. Just tell me the nature of the contracts, what service was being contracted, the date, and which LBN department was involved.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>As at 29/10/2014:</p> <p>Nature of contract: Consultancy services in respect of Red Door Ventures (RDV)</p> <p>What service was being contracted: Provision of consultancy services in Interim Managing Director role</p> <p>The date of the contract: Consultancy services have been provided since April 2014</p> <p>Which London Borough of Newham department was involved: Chief Executive's Department & Human Resources and Organisational Development</p>
21459	28/01/2015	<p>Subject: Applied Behavioural Analysis</p> <p>Please can you tell me how many children are receiving any Applied Behavioural Analysis provision.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please can you tell me how many children are receiving any Applied Behavioural Analysis provision.</p>

		<p>Please can you then tell me how many of them had Applied Behavioural Analysis due to a tribunal order and how many receive this without a tribunal.</p> <p>Please can you forward me any policy documents that are associated with the awarding of any Applied Behavioural Analysis provision and demonstrate how the decision is made.</p> <p>Finally, please can you explain your rationale for awarding Applied Behavioural Analysis without tribunal orders.</p>	<p>None.</p> <p>2. Please can you then tell me how many of them had Applied Behavioural Analysis due to a tribunal order and how many receive this without a tribunal.</p> <p>Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 1.</p> <p>3. Please can you forward me any policy documents that are associated with the awarding of any Applied Behavioural Analysis provision and demonstrate how the decision is made.</p> <p>We do not hold any such documentation in relation to the policy you refer to.</p> <p>4. Finally, please can you explain your rationale for awarding Applied Behavioural Analysis without tribunal orders.</p> <p>Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 1.</p>
21465	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Children placed at special academy</p> <p>1) How many children has your local authority placed in each special academy outside of its area and in which schools were those children placed?</p> <p>2) How much funding each special academy outside of your local authority area (elements 1, 2 and 3) did these placements attract?</p> <p>3) How many of these placements were made as the result of a formal procurement exercise, e.g. open tender or framework agreement</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. 5 children. As the number of children who attend special academies outside of the borough is small and could result in the identification of the children with the release of the school names, the Council has decided to withhold this information.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if disclosing the information could potentially result in the identification of individuals. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>2. Newham Council is not responsible for elements 1 and 2, therefore we</p>

			cannot provide this information. Element 3 costs totalled £69435 3. None.
21485	13/01/2015	<p>Subject : Right to Buy Receipts</p> <p>1. The number of homes sold under the right to buy during the period April 1 to June 1 2012 (The first quarter of the 2012/13 financial year)</p> <p>2. The total receipts generated from the sale of the properties in question 1</p> <p>3. The amount of this money a) returned to the Treasury b) retained as the local authority share c) retained to provide one-for-one replacement homes</p> <p>4. The amount of the money retained for one-for-one replacements which has so far been spent or committed to schemes</p> <p>5. The number of homes a) expected to be delivered b) started on site c) completed using this cash</p> <p>6. The amount of cash which will be returned to Treasury if still unused by March 31 2015</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1. There were no Right to Buy sales in Quarter 1 2012/13 (1st April 2012 - 30 June 2012).</p> <p>Q2 to 6. Not applicable as there were no Right to Buy sales in Quarter 1 2012/13.</p>
21494	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Home Education</p> <p>For your local authority area for the past four academic years (2011-12, 2012-13,2013-14, 2014-15), please provide data on:</p> <p>1.The number of children of primary school age receiving elective home education.</p> <p>2.The number of children of secondary school age receiving elective home education. For each of these (secondary age) children, please provide data on the last school the child attended. In other words, please tell me</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>For your local authority area for the past four academic years (2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15), please provide data on:</p> <p>1. The number of children of primary school age receiving elective home education.</p> <p>Answer: 2011/2012 = 61 2012/2013 = 88 2013/2014 = 87</p>

	<p>how many home educated children last attended school x, how many last attended school y, and so on. I realise that not all children being home educated will have a previous school, having always been educated at home; I just ask for data on those who have a previous school.</p> <p>3.The number of children of secondary school age recorded as CME: children missing education. Please provide data on the number of children referred to the local authority as CME either (a) in the middle of the academic year (mid-year) or (b) between years. For each of those categories, again provide data on schools recording pupils as CME, ie for each school, how many of the pupils currently recorded as CME came from that school, mid-year and between years.</p> <p>4.How many of the secondary school age children either recorded as receiving home education or CME are known to have been on the child protection register. For clarity, I am not expecting this to be listed by school, to avoid Data Protection Act concerns: I Just want a global figure for your local authority.</p> <p>5.The number of children of secondary school age placed in alternative provision. For each of these children, please provide data on the last school the child attended. In other words, please tell me how many of these alternative provision children last attended school x, how many last attended school y, and so on.</p>	<p>2014/2015 = 95</p> <p>2. The number of children of secondary school age receiving elective home education. For each of these (secondary age) children, please provide data on the last school the child attended. In other words, please tell me how many home educated children last attended school x, how many last attended school y, and so on. I realise that not all children being home educated will have a previous school, having always been educated at home; I just ask for data on those who have a previous school.</p> <p>Answer: We do not record information on home educated children and their last attended school. Please find below data relating to children of secondary school age who received elective home education.</p> <p>2011/2012 = 36 2012/2013 = 61 2013/2014 = 80 2014/2015 = 85</p> <p>3. The number of children of secondary school age recorded as CME: children missing education. Please provide data on the number of children referred to the local authority as CME either (a) in the middle of the academic year (mid-year) or (b) between years. For each of those categories, again provide data on schools recording pupils as CME, ie for each school, how many of the pupils currently recorded as CME came from that school, mid-year and between years.</p> <p>Answer: Please find below information relating to CME as reported to the Local Authority and the School.</p> <p>Local Authority reported CMEs 2011/2012 = 34 2012/2013 = 41 2013/2014 = 76 2014/2015 = 80</p>
--	--	--

			<p>School reported CMEs 2011/2012 = 5 2012/2013 = 12 2013/2014 = 9 2014/2015 = to date 6</p> <p>We are unable to provide further information relating to (a) and (b) as the information is not held in a retrievable format.</p> <p>4. How many of the secondary school age children either recorded as receiving home education or CME are known to have been on the child protection register. For clarity, I am not expecting this to be listed by school, to avoid Data Protection Act concerns: I just want a global figure for your local authority.</p> <p>Answer: None</p> <p>5. The number of children of secondary school age placed in alternative provision. For each of these children, please provide data on the last school the child attended. In other words, please tell me how many of these alternative provision children last attended school x, how many last attended school y, and so on.</p> <p>Answer: The information can be found on the attached spreadsheet for children who have attended/ are attending one of the PRUs (Pupil Referral Units). We do not hold information about all young people in alternative provision. Those who attend part-time alternative provision are on the roll of schools. Schools are not required to notify the Local Authority of this information. This is not applicable to elective home education.</p>
21492	13/01/2015	<p>Subject : Low Rise Housing</p> <p>Please can you tell me, under the Freedom of Information Act,</p> <p>(a) the number of dwellings you own in low-rise buildings up to 5 storeys high, and</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>(a) The Council owns 14,460 low-rise buildings up to five storeys high including both freehold and leasehold properties.</p> <p>(b) We do not hold the requested information as we undertake structural surveys on an ad hoc basis.</p>

		(b) the number of those dwellings that are in buildings which you deem to be free from any serious structural problems that may necessitate demolition.																																														
21488	29/01/2015	<p>Subject: Special Responsibilities Allowances</p> <p>(1) Can I please have a list of the Cabinet Members and Mayoral Advisors appointed at the Council Annual meeting on 5 June 2014, annotated with the amount of special responsibility allowance each of them has been granted.</p> <p>(2) Can I please have a list showing the amount of special responsibility allowance paid to each of the Cabinet Members and Mayoral Advisors in the first quarter of this municipal year. (June July August)</p>	<p>Internal Review Summary:</p> <p>Part 1 of your request was for a list of the Cabinet Members and Mayoral Advisors appointed at the Council Annual meeting on 5 June 2014, annotated with the amount of special responsibility allowance each of them has been granted. You were provided with a spreadsheet with the following information:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Councillor</th> <th>PositionPortfolio</th> <th>Remuneration</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Andrew Baikie</td> <td>Mayoral AdvisorHousing</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Clive Furness</td> <td>Mayoral AdvisorAdults and Health</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>David Christie</td> <td>Mayoral AdvisorSmall Business Programme and Local Enterprises</td> <td>£13,358</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ellie Robinson</td> <td>Cabinet Member One Source and Deputy Cabinet Lead for Building Communities</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forhad Hussain</td> <td>Cabinet Member Commercial Opportunities and Deputy Cabinet Lead for Building Communities</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Frances Clarke</td> <td>Cabinet Member Financial Inclusion and Health Promotion</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ian Corbett</td> <td>Mayoral AdvisorEnvironment and Leisure</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jo Corbett</td> <td>Cabinet Member Equalities</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">There is no remuneration linked to this post</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Joy Laguda</td> <td>Mayoral AdvisorAdults' Safeguarding</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ken Clark</td> <td>Cabinet Member Building Communities and Public Affairs</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lakmini Shah</td> <td>Cabinet Member Deputy Cabinet Lead for Children's Safeguarding</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lester Hudson</td> <td>Cabinet Member Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Finance, Regeneration and Planning</td> <td>£33,395</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quintin Peppiatt</td> <td>Cabinet Member Children and Young People (including children's safeguarding)</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>No remuneration at Cllr Peppiatt's request</p>	Councillor	PositionPortfolio	Remuneration	Andrew Baikie	Mayoral AdvisorHousing	£33,395	Clive Furness	Mayoral AdvisorAdults and Health	£33,395	David Christie	Mayoral AdvisorSmall Business Programme and Local Enterprises	£13,358	Ellie Robinson	Cabinet Member One Source and Deputy Cabinet Lead for Building Communities	£33,395	Forhad Hussain	Cabinet Member Commercial Opportunities and Deputy Cabinet Lead for Building Communities	£33,395	Frances Clarke	Cabinet Member Financial Inclusion and Health Promotion	£6,679	Ian Corbett	Mayoral AdvisorEnvironment and Leisure	£33,395	Jo Corbett	Cabinet Member Equalities		There is no remuneration linked to this post			Joy Laguda	Mayoral AdvisorAdults' Safeguarding	£6,679	Ken Clark	Cabinet Member Building Communities and Public Affairs	£33,395	Lakmini Shah	Cabinet Member Deputy Cabinet Lead for Children's Safeguarding	£6,679	Lester Hudson	Cabinet Member Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Finance, Regeneration and Planning	£33,395	Quintin Peppiatt	Cabinet Member Children and Young People (including children's safeguarding)	
Councillor	PositionPortfolio	Remuneration																																														
Andrew Baikie	Mayoral AdvisorHousing	£33,395																																														
Clive Furness	Mayoral AdvisorAdults and Health	£33,395																																														
David Christie	Mayoral AdvisorSmall Business Programme and Local Enterprises	£13,358																																														
Ellie Robinson	Cabinet Member One Source and Deputy Cabinet Lead for Building Communities	£33,395																																														
Forhad Hussain	Cabinet Member Commercial Opportunities and Deputy Cabinet Lead for Building Communities	£33,395																																														
Frances Clarke	Cabinet Member Financial Inclusion and Health Promotion	£6,679																																														
Ian Corbett	Mayoral AdvisorEnvironment and Leisure	£33,395																																														
Jo Corbett	Cabinet Member Equalities																																															
There is no remuneration linked to this post																																																
Joy Laguda	Mayoral AdvisorAdults' Safeguarding	£6,679																																														
Ken Clark	Cabinet Member Building Communities and Public Affairs	£33,395																																														
Lakmini Shah	Cabinet Member Deputy Cabinet Lead for Children's Safeguarding	£6,679																																														
Lester Hudson	Cabinet Member Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Finance, Regeneration and Planning	£33,395																																														
Quintin Peppiatt	Cabinet Member Children and Young People (including children's safeguarding)																																															

			<p>Richard Crawford Mayoral Advisor Resident Experience £33,395 Terry Paul Mayoral Advisor Skills and Adult Learning £13,358 Unmesh Desai Cabinet Member Crime and Anti Social Behaviour £33,395</p> <p>You have stated that the Council has failed to answer your request as we have not included a number of elected members who were listed at the Council AGM as Mayoral Advisers.</p> <p>It is accepted that these councillors were listed in the tabled report at the Annual meeting under the heading Mayoral Advisers. However, the response to your enquiry interpreted your request to be in relation to councillors whose position was either as a Mayoral Adviser or a Cabinet Member, not to councillors who were appointed as Community Lead Councillors, in the same way that we did not include councillors who hold quasi-judicial positions. Nonetheless the Council is happy to release this information, as follows:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Councillor</th> <th>Position</th> <th>Remuneration</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ayesha Chowdhury</td> <td>Community Lead for Beckton</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hanif Abdulmuhit</td> <td>Community Lead for Green Street</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Idris Ibrahim</td> <td>Community Lead for Custom House & Canning Town</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Masihullah Patel</td> <td>Community Lead for Stratford and West Ham</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Patrick Murphy,</td> <td>Community Lead for Royal Docks</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rohima Rahman</td> <td>Community Lead for Forest Gate</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Salim Patel</td> <td>Community Lead for Manor Park</td> <td>£6,679</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lakhmini Shah</td> <td>Community Lead for East Ham</td> <td>See note below</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forhad Hussain</td> <td>Community Lead for Plaistow</td> <td>See note below</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Councillor	Position	Remuneration	Ayesha Chowdhury	Community Lead for Beckton	£6,679	Hanif Abdulmuhit	Community Lead for Green Street	£6,679	Idris Ibrahim	Community Lead for Custom House & Canning Town	£6,679	Masihullah Patel	Community Lead for Stratford and West Ham	£6,679	Patrick Murphy,	Community Lead for Royal Docks	£6,679	Rohima Rahman	Community Lead for Forest Gate	£6,679	Salim Patel	Community Lead for Manor Park	£6,679	Lakhmini Shah	Community Lead for East Ham	See note below	Forhad Hussain	Community Lead for Plaistow	See note below
Councillor	Position	Remuneration																															
Ayesha Chowdhury	Community Lead for Beckton	£6,679																															
Hanif Abdulmuhit	Community Lead for Green Street	£6,679																															
Idris Ibrahim	Community Lead for Custom House & Canning Town	£6,679																															
Masihullah Patel	Community Lead for Stratford and West Ham	£6,679																															
Patrick Murphy,	Community Lead for Royal Docks	£6,679																															
Rohima Rahman	Community Lead for Forest Gate	£6,679																															
Salim Patel	Community Lead for Manor Park	£6,679																															
Lakhmini Shah	Community Lead for East Ham	See note below																															
Forhad Hussain	Community Lead for Plaistow	See note below																															

			<p>Note: The Council's Constitution provides that if a councillor holds more than one position, they will be paid only one SRA, for the position which has the highest rate. Cllrs Lakhmini Shah and Forhad Hussain only receive one allowance although they hold two positions.</p> <p>With regard to the second part of your original request, you have stated that the Council has not addressed your request for a list showing the amount of special responsibility allowance paid to each of the Cabinet Members and Mayoral Advisors in the first quarter of this municipal year. (June, July, August).</p> <p>I have considered your comments and do not agree that this has not been addressed. You have been informed that this information is published on the Council website every year in June following the previous municipal year and that you are able to visit the website for further details: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Councillors-allowances-and-expenses.aspx</p> <p>Under Section 22 of the Freedom of Information Act, information is exempt if, at the time a public authority receives a request for it, the public authority holds it with a view to its publication. Not only is this information to be published, it is possible for you to determine the amount paid to these councillors for the period requested from the information given to you, i.e. the amount of the annual SRA allowance and the fact that these appointments were made at the Annual meeting of Council.</p> <p>I therefore do not uphold this part of your review.</p> <p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please see attached spreadsheet.2. This information is published on the Council website every year in June, please visit the website for further details: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Councillors-allowances-and-expenses.aspx
--	--	--	--

			expenses.asp
21498	07/01/2015	<p>Subject: Housing Benefit payments</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The 20 biggest recipients of Housing Benefit payments from the borough. - The amount these recipients have received in each of the past five calendar years - The number of properties that each of the biggest recipients is receiving Housing Benefit for (if this is challenging, please provide a snapshot of the number of properties on a specific date in the year). 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheet. Please note, the information provided is for financial years.</p> <p>Please note, due to our conversion to a new IT system in 2010/11, actual figures have been provided for 9 months (April 2010 – December 2010).</p>
21504	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Parking Fines</p> <p>3. How much money between October 2013 and October 2014 has your borough made from parking fines.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The amount of revenue between 1st October 2013 and 31st October 2014 is £11,471,708.03. Please note, this figure is accurate at the time the report was run which was on 20th November 2014.</p> <p>Please note, this figure is revenue only and does not take into account all expenditure associated with providing a parking enforcement service.</p>
21505	01/01/2015	<p>Subject : Expansion of Olympic Park Partnership</p> <p>I would ask for all the appendices referred to in the document below to be provided</p> <p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s92213/agenda%20ready%20Council%20Public%20Report%20Olympic%20Park.pdf</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>All appendices to this report, apart from Appendix 2, are exempt by virtue of paragraphs 3 and 5 of Part 1 Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, as they contain information. We publish an anonymised list of requests and responses on the Council's website.</p> <p>relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information); and information to which a claim to legal professional privilege could be mentioned in legal proceedings. The public</p>

			<p>interest test has been applied and it is considered that the public interest in maintaining the exemptions outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.</p> <p>Although this exemption has been applied using the Local Government Act 1972, it is also considered under Section 42 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 that the appendices contain legally privileged information and therefore exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Section 42 is a qualified exemption and therefore the decision as to whether or not to release the information is subject to the public interest test.</p> <p>It is not in the public's interest that the financial and business information relating to the Council, E20 Stadium LLP (E20), Newham Legacy Investments (NLI) and the London Legacy Development Company (LLDC) contained in this report should be made publicly available. The report contains information concerning the Council's negotiating position and if this were publically available this could prejudice the commercial position of the Council and affect the Council's negotiating position with regard to its dealings with the LLDC to get the best outcome it can for the Council. The report also contains detailed legal advice and it is not in the public interest that this legal advice be made publicly available as the public interest will be best served by the Council being able to maintain legal professional privilege relating to this advice.</p> <p>Transparency in the decision making process and access to the information upon which decisions have been made can enhance accountability. However, it is also in the public interest that the legal adviser needs to be able to present the full picture to their clients, which includes not only arguments in support of his or her conclusions but also the arguments that may be made against them. It is in the nature of legal advice that it often sets out the possible arguments both for and against a particular view, weighing up their relative merits. On this basis, we consider that, disclosure would not be in the public interest as doing so would compromise the process for obtaining independent legal advice, thus impacting on our ability to discharge our public functions.</p> <p>Appendix 2 to the report is available and can be accessed at: https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s92215/Appendix%20Plans%20and%20Imag</p>
--	--	--	---

21517	07/01/2015	<p>Subject : Parking Enforcement</p> <p>Can you please tell me what training your officers have before they go out on our streets to carry out the parking enforcement, especially the towing away work.</p> <p>How long they spend training and who carries out the training?</p> <p>Access to the car pound is clearly not safe and accessible as stated in the guidance especially for pedestrians. What is going to be done to comply with the guidance?</p> <p>At no time did the officers or the people I phoned at the Pound to find out how to get there, explain what documents I needed to take with me. Is this normal? Why?</p> <p>Can you please tell me the process for informing the police if a car is towed away and how long after the car is towed that this happens. Who is responsible for informing the police?</p> <p>Can you please tell me where you publish your release times after payment?</p> <p>Can you also explain what independent quality assurance takes place in Newham for CPE processes?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) As part of our contractual agreement, Mouchel (our enforcement contractor) must ensure all staff receive full training to ensure they are competent in their role prior to starting to work alone. This is a company requirement.</p> <p>2) The training is carried out by Mouchel and varies according to the job and the individual.</p> <p>3) It is not clear which 'guidance' you refer to, therefore we are unable to provide a response to this.</p> <p>4) This is not a Freedom of Information Act request. You may wish to contact Mouchel directly on this issue.</p> <p>5) TRACE are informed by Mouchel within 2 hours of the vehicle being lifted.</p> <p>6) This information is available on our website, under the heading of 'Clamping & Removal': http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Clamping-and-removals.aspx?l1=100002&l2=200072</p> <p>7) It is not clear who/what you are referring to when mentioning 'CPE', therefore we are unable to provide a response to this.</p>
21519	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Homeless Strategy</p> <p>Please provide a copy of the council's homeless strategy. Newham's response to the Homelessness Act 2002 which required all local councils to publish their own regional homelessness strategies.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached.</p>

21511	28/01/2015	<p>Subject : RIPA</p> <p>1) How many Council employees have the power to authorise the use of RIPA, and what is their designated grade?</p> <p>2) How many times had RIPA powers been used in the years i) April 2012- March 2013 ii) April 2013 - March 2014 iii) 2014 to date of this request. On what dates were the requests made and by whom (for example which departments)?</p> <p>3) For what purposes was RIPA used, what type of investigation was being undertaken? Please provide details for the years requested.</p> <p>4) Over the past 5 years, by each year, how many people have been prosecuted as a result of evidence gathered through the use of RIPA sanctioned investigations, and how many of these prosecutions have led to convictions?</p> <p>5) Was RIPA used in investigations against targets who were i) 18 - 24 year olds ii) Under 18 year olds iii) Under 12 year olds? If yes - how many?</p> <p>Can this information be provided for the years</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • April 2012 - March 2013 • April 2013 - March 2014 • Entire 2014 to date 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Director for Commissioning (Enforcement and Safety) Head of Internal Audit Enforcement Manager (Operational Support) Enforcement Manager (Neighbourhood Operations) Enforcement Manager - Specialist Operations</p> <p>2. i) 5 ii) 17 iii) 8</p> <p>3. 5 instances related to Internal Audit investigations to detect fraud 4 instances related to anti-social behaviour investigations 1 instance related to an illegal street trading investigation 20 instances related to investigations of breach of licence conditions/test purchase operations</p> <p>4. In order to retrieve this information this would require significant officer time in looking at each case. As the majority of our RIPA use relates to the monitoring of licensed premises the majority of the sanctions would take the form of additional licence conditions or licence revocation.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit</p> <p>(1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request</p>
-------	------------	--	---

			would exceed the appropriate limit. 5. The majority of investigations were not targeted against individuals. No investigation directed toward a named individual focused on anyone under 24.																																			
21531	14/01/2015	<p>Subject: Social Services Departments</p> <p>See attached questionnaire.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The below relates to Assessment and Care Management and Mental Health as at 23rd October 2014:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>23/10/14</td> <td>Total number of adult posts employed social workers</td> <td>Number of permanently employed social workers</td> <td>Number of locum social workers</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Team managers</td> <td>12</td> <td>9</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>SW Practice Managers</td> <td>11</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Senior Practitioners</td> <td>14</td> <td>13</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Social workers</td> <td>75</td> <td>64</td> <td>11</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Newly qualified social workers</td> <td>5</td> <td>5 (included in above figure)</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total:</td> <td>112</td> <td>92</td> <td>20</td> </tr> </table>	23/10/14	Total number of adult posts employed social workers	Number of permanently employed social workers	Number of locum social workers			Team managers	12	9	3		SW Practice Managers	11	6	5		Senior Practitioners	14	13	1		Social workers	75	64	11		Newly qualified social workers	5	5 (included in above figure)	0		Total:	112	92	20
23/10/14	Total number of adult posts employed social workers	Number of permanently employed social workers	Number of locum social workers																																			
	Team managers	12	9	3																																		
	SW Practice Managers	11	6	5																																		
	Senior Practitioners	14	13	1																																		
	Social workers	75	64	11																																		
	Newly qualified social workers	5	5 (included in above figure)	0																																		
	Total:	112	92	20																																		
21532	08/01/2015	<p>Subject: Media contact relating to the Focus E15 campaign</p> <p>Could you please provide the following information, which I am requesting under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act 2000:</p> <p>Between 1st September 2014 and 31st October 2014, how many emails, letters and phone calls were made by officers or other staff (including temporary staff and contractors) to media outlets in relation to coverage of the Focus E15 campaign and the occupation of properties on the Carpenters Estate?</p> <p>Please provide a breakdown by media outlet, showing the number of such emails and letters sent and phone calls</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information a number of officers would be required to interrogate their email and correspondence to determine if any correspondence of this nature have been sent/ received. Collectively, this exercise would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The Council do not hold phone records.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit</p>																																			

		made to each between the dates stated above. Please also provide copies of all such emails and letters.	(1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.
21541	09/01/2015	<p>Subject: Planning approvals for places of worship</p> <p>I should be grateful if you could furnish the following information to me at your earliest:</p> <p>a. In the past 5 years, how many planning approvals have been granted for places of worship (breakdown by year please)?</p> <p>b. Can you give this information by indicating the faith/religion of the place of worship being granted the said planning permission.</p> <p>c. If you collect the data - then also by the ethnicity of the group seeking the planning permission for a place of worship.</p> <p>d. How many places of worship exist in Newham? (please give faith/religion)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>a. In the past 5 years, how many planning approvals have been granted for places of worship (breakdown by year please)?</p> <p>This information is already publicly available for independent research through the online planning portal found on the Newham Website. For your reference, please see the relevant web link below</p> <p>https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=64387469AD16B67A3CA3D5F13DDC706A?action=simple&searchType=Application</p> <p>b. Can you give this information by indicating the faith/religion of the place of worship being granted the said planning permission.</p> <p>Please see our response to Question a. above.</p> <p>c. If you collect the data - then also by the ethnicity of the group seeking the planning permission for a place of worship.</p> <p>We do not hold this information.</p> <p>d. How many places of worship exist in Newham? (please give faith/religion)</p> <p>Listings of places of worship and faith based organisations are already publically available.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant link below which may be useful to you. http://www.newhamfaiths.org.uk/</p>

21562	27/01/2015	<p>Subject: School employees remuneration</p> <p>I am writing to obtain information about the number of your employees at your school who received remuneration of more than £100,000 in 2013-14</p> <p>1. The total number of employees who received remuneration equal to, or in excess of £100,000 in 2013-14.</p> <p>2. For those who received remuneration in excess of £150,000:</p> <p>i. The employee's job title ii. The remuneration received by the employee iii. An itemised list of expenses claims made by the employee. If an itemised list is not available, please provide the amount the employee claimed in expenses in 2013-14</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. One</p> <p>2. Nil</p>
21540	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Budget and Spend</p> <p>How much did you spend in each of the last four financial years (2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14) and have you budgeted for the current financial year (2014/15) on each of the following:</p> <p>(a) Consultants (b) Agency staff (c) Street cleaning (d) Children's services (e) Child protection/ safeguarding</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheet.</p>
21539	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Asylum Seeking Children in care</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>I am writing to request information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. In order to assist you with this request, I am outlining my query as specifically as possible</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many unaccompanied asylum seeking children is the local authority currently responsible for? (as at 1 December 2014, or nearest marker) 2. How many former relevant children (i.e. former unaccompanied asylum seeking children between the ages of 18-21 years) is the local authority responsible for? 3. How many appeal rights exhausted post-18 unaccompanied asylum seeking children were supported in 2014, up to 1 Dec. 4. How many Merton compliant age assessments has the local authority carried out on unaccompanied asylum seeking children who have had their ages disputed, in 2014 up to 1 December or nearest marker? 5. Out of these age assessments, how many times was the person found to be: a. under 18 years, b. over 18 years? 6. How many UASCs have gone missing from the system in 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and what were their nationalities? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many unaccompanied asylum seeking children is the local authority currently responsible for? (as at 1 December 2014, or nearest marker) Response - 15 as of 18th November 2014 2. How many former relevant children (i.e. former unaccompanied asylum seeking children between the ages of 18-21 years) is the local authority responsible for? Response - 20 UASC aged 18-21 3. How many appeal rights exhausted post-18 unaccompanied asylum seeking children were supported in 2014 Response - Up to 1st December 2014 there were two. 4. How many Merton compliant age assessments has the local authority carried out on unaccompanied asylum seeking children who have had their ages disputed, in 2014 up to 1st December or nearest marker? Response - Two 5. Out of these age assessments, how many times was the person found to be: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. under 18 years Response - Two b. over 18 years? Response - Zero 6. How many UASCs have gone missing from the system in 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and what were their nationalities?
--	--	---	--

			<p>Response – No children went missing from our care management system during these dates. If by system you are referring to missing from placements:</p> <p>2011 - None 2012 - None 2013 - 2, both temporarily missing and returned (Eastern European and Other) 2014 - None</p>
21570	02/02/2015	<p>Subject: Pests identified in football ground hygiene reports</p> <p>I recently submitted an FOI request asking about pest control callouts to Premier League and Championship football grounds in your patch since April 2010.</p> <p>As a follow-up to that, can I now submit this fresh request:</p> <p>Through Freedom of Information, can you provide details of pests (inc vermin & insect infestations) identified at Premier League or Championship grounds in your patch during food hygiene inspections in the past four financial years please? (April 2013- March 2014, April 2012 - March 2013, April 2011 - March 2012, April 2010 - March 2011)</p> <p>I'd like a year-by-year breakdown in as much detail as possible rather than a summary for the entire period.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold any records of any pest infestations identified at Premier League or Championship grounds in the borough during food hygiene inspections in the past financial four years.</p> <p>It may be useful to note during a food hygiene inspection officers would consider what pest control procedures the venue has in place and note this on the report.</p>
21572	05/02/2015	<p>Subject: Children Missing from School</p> <p>I would like to know</p> <p>a) The number of children who are missing from school</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>I would like to know</p> <p>a) The number of children who are missing from school (ie. have not attended</p>

		<p>(ie. have not attended for a month)</p> <p>b) The reason for such absences (for example, X number of children are absent because they are taught from home)</p> <p>c) The number of children who are missing from school and who are not traceable</p> <p>d) If known, the ethnicity of children who are missing from school</p>	<p>for a month)</p> <p>This information at the initial stage of absence would be held locally by individual schools. We do not hold this information collated across schools.</p> <p>b) The reason for such absences (for example, X number of children are absent because they are taught from home)</p> <p>Please see our response to Question b above.</p> <p>c) The number of children who are missing from school and who are not traceable</p> <p>56 children.</p> <p>d) If known, the ethnicity of children who are missing from school</p> <p>We do not record this information by missing child and therefore do not hold these statistics.</p>
21643	02/02/2015	<p>Subject : Landlord Licensing.</p> <p>Please could you provide information on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any fee increases for the Landlord Licence since it has been introduced 2. A full breakdown of all expenditure of the fees, with separate breakdowns for the different amount of fees currently and previously charged. In other words, a breakdown of what the fee was spent on when it was first introduced at a cheaper rate, and what is spent on now, 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please could you provide information on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any fee increases for the Landlord Licence since it has been introduced <p>There have been no increase in fees since the introduction of the borough wide scheme.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. A full breakdown of all expenditure of the fees, with separate breakdowns for the different amount of fees currently and previously charged. In other words, a breakdown of what the fee was spent on when it was first introduced at a

		<p>at £500 cost.</p> <p>3. Justification as to why the £500 is not pro-rated according to length of license. Please specify expenditure to justify why a 1,2 or 3 years length on the licence is still charged at £500 (5 years license).</p> <p>4. Disclose any previous FOI requests made on the Landlord Licensing fee.</p>	<p>cheaper rate, and what is spent on now, at £500 cost.</p> <p>The discounted fee of £150 for applications made before 1st February 2013 was introduced to make it easier for the majority of Newham landlords to become licensed during the start-up phase of the scheme. As of 1st February the fee went up to the standard rate of £500 (Additional and Selective only).</p> <p>The discounted rate and standard rate are aggregated to fund the administration of the scheme over 5 years, therefore it is not possible to breakdown the costs in the way you have requested. A high level breakdown of fees which reflects the resources required for each stage of the processing can be found below. (These include staffing costs, infrastructure, postal charges etc)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Receipt of enquiry and application</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application Processing</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Draft licence preparation</td> <td>10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Issue of licence</td> <td>17%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Post Licence and compliance</td> <td>22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Support staffing costs, infrastructure and set up</td> <td>24%</td> </tr> </table> <p>The costs of the licence are linked to the processing and compliance checking over the period of the licence. The fee does not include any costs related to enforcement action taken against landlords who have not licensed</p> <p>3. Justification as to why the £500 is not pro-rated according to length of license. Please specify expenditure to justify why a 1,2 or 3 years length on the licence is still charged at £500 (5 years license).</p> <p>The costs to Newham associated with the administration of a licence at a unit level is not influenced by the length of the licence. The cost of a licence is linked to the processing and compliance checking over the period of the licence and remain the same for a 1 year licence or a 5 year licence and therefore the fee is the same. To help ensure the scheme remains fair for compliant landlords that join the scheme after the start date, for example they acquired a rental property after 1st January 2013, it is our policy to add on</p>	Receipt of enquiry and application	10%	Application Processing	17%	Draft licence preparation	10%	Issue of licence	17%	Post Licence and compliance	22%	Support staffing costs, infrastructure and set up	24%
Receipt of enquiry and application	10%														
Application Processing	17%														
Draft licence preparation	10%														
Issue of licence	17%														
Post Licence and compliance	22%														
Support staffing costs, infrastructure and set up	24%														

			<p>years for fee in any future scheme the number of years missed in the current scheme. This will be made effective only if there is a new licensing scheme designated in 2017.</p> <p>4. Disclose any previous FOI requests made on the Landlord Licensing fee.</p> <p>Previous FOI requests can be found on the Council's website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Freedom-of-Information-disclosure-log.aspx</p>																
21644	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Leisure Budgets</p> <p>Please find below a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. I would prefer to receive the information via email.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What was the council's budget for sport and fitness facilities, including public leisure centres, during the financial years 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14? • What was the council's budget for the maintenance of parks and public play areas during the financial years 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14? • How many public leisure centres were owned by the council during the financial years 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14? • How many public leisure centres were maintained by the council during the financial years 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14? • How many public swimming pools were owned by the council during the financial years 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14? 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. See below:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Budget</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2011-12</td> <td>£2,933,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-13</td> <td>£2,688,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td>£2,718,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>2. See below:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Budget</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2011-12</td> <td>£1,852,200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-13</td> <td>£1,906,700</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td>£1,953,400</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>3. The Council owns and maintains four public Leisure Centres; Atherton Leisure Centre, Balaam Leisure Centre, East Ham Leisure Centre and Newham Leisure Centre. The old Atherton Leisure Centre closed at the end of 2012 and a new £14.7m Leisure Centre is currently being built on the site.</p> <p>4. See answer 3.</p> <p>5. The Council owns eight public swimming pools – two in each of our four public leisure centres, It is worth noting that, as the main host borough for the 2012 Games, a large number of the competitive venues are in Newham. This</p>	Year	Budget	2011-12	£2,933,000	2012-13	£2,688,000	2013-14	£2,718,000	Year	Budget	2011-12	£1,852,200	2012-13	£1,906,700	2013-14	£1,953,400
Year	Budget																		
2011-12	£2,933,000																		
2012-13	£2,688,000																		
2013-14	£2,718,000																		
Year	Budget																		
2011-12	£1,852,200																		
2012-13	£1,906,700																		
2013-14	£1,953,400																		

			includes the 3 swimming pools and a diving pool at the London Aquatic Centre which are open to the public.
21651	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Investments</p> <p>On behalf of PitchBook Data, Inc., under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 I request a copy of the quarterly public records from 2014 3Q (If available) or the following information, preferably in Excel or PDF format:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Names and vintage years of all private equity, venture capital, mezzanine, distressed, real estate/REIT, debt and infrastructure partnerships in London Borough of Newham portfolio. 2. Most updated information available on amount committed to the partnerships and amount drawn by the partnerships. 3. Distributions made to London Borough of Newham to date by each individual partnership 4. Net Asset Value of each partnership, and estimated remaining value of each partnership, as permitted under the Partnership. 5. Internal rates of return (IRRs) for each individual partnership, for the most recent date available. (Please specify whether the data is net or gross of expenses and fees). 6. Investment multiple for each individual partnership. 7. The dollar amount of "total management fees and costs paid" for each individual partnership on an annualized, year-end basis. 8. Date as of which all the above data was calculated. 9. Names and service type provided of service providers (ex. Placement Agents, etc.) assisting London Borough of Newham with each individual partnership. 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1 to Q6) See attached spreadsheet</p> <p>Q7) The information requested in relation to the management fees and costs paid for individual partnerships on an annual basis to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).</p> <p>The information requested relates to the specific negotiated rates between the Council and external providers. We consider that in disclosing the full management fees and costs of these financial agreements, would be likely to weaken the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. This could potentially affect the council's income and budget and essentially, the availability of financial resources for residents and in the delivery of the Council's services.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of</p>

		<p>10. Names of all private equity, venture capital, mezzanine, distressed, real estate/REIT, debt and infrastructure partnerships partially and fully sold by London Borough of Newham, including date of sale.</p>	<p>the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p> <p>Q8) See attached spreadsheet</p> <p>Q9) The London Borough of Newham's Investment and Accounts Committee is the relevant committee for the Newham Pension Fund. There have been three sittings in this time period 2nd April 2014, 6th August 2014 and 30th September 2014 where investment matters have been discussed and the public reports are available on the Council's online portal: For your reference, please see the relevant web link below: https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?Committeed=399</p> <p>Q10) There have been no such materials and presentations produced nor has the London Borough of Newham undertaken any due diligence on investments related to the aforementioned asset classes.</p> <p>This information is exempt under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). The information requested relates to the specific negotiated rates between the Council and external providers. We consider that in disclosing the full management fees and costs of these financial agreements would be likely to weaken the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. This could potentially affect the council's income and budget and essentially, the availability of financial resources for residents and in the delivery of the Council's services.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into</p>
--	--	--	---

			commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.
21654	14/01/2015	<p>Subject: Parking Design - Markings</p> <p>The driveways in Newham are distinguishably marked. I wish to request information whether the properties at 52 and 54 Windsor road, Forest Gate, E7 0RA have driveways has claimed, and if planning permission was ever given for these two properties, because their claimed driveway is not marked in the same way as the rest of the properties.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>There is no record of the Borough having constructed crossovers to either 52 or 54 Windsor Road.</p> <p>There is no record of any Planning Consent being granted for crossovers at these two addresses.</p>
21653	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : River Crossing Support</p> <p>Newham Council support for a fixed river crossing at Silvertown</p> <p>I would be obliged if you could let me have the minutes of the Newham Council or Newham Cabinet meeting that determined that Newham Council would lend its support to TfL's proposed Silvertown Link river crossing.</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt, this request is not about a fixed river crossing in the Gallions area. The Newham responses to TfL on this matter refer to a Silvertown crossing and take the support for the Silvertown crossing as read. My request is designed to ascertain when Newham decided to support the Silvertown proposal in its own right.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>It would not be normal practice to have a Cabinet or Mayoral Proceedings decision in regard to lobbying issue of this kind.</p>

21661	13/01/2015	<p>Subject : Litter Enforcement</p> <p>I am writing to request information about Litter enforcement officers and how much money is raised for the council through this. Please send me:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The total amount of litter enforcement fines issued in the council area from the beginning of 2013. 2) The amount of litter enforcement officers employed by the council on a month by month basis from the beginning of 2013. 3) Whether or not litter enforcement officers are on performance related pay. 4) Whether the litter enforcement is outsourced to a private company. If so what are the terms of this contract. 5) The total amount of money raised on a month by month basis since the beginning of 2013. 6) The amount of payments which have not been made to the council. 7) The amount of prosecutions for failure to pay litter enforcement fines and at what cost to the tax payer. 8) The locations in which litter enforcement officers operate and the locations where litter enforcement penalties have been issued since the start of 2013 on a month by month basis. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) A total of 9,302 Littering Fixed Penalty Notices were issued for littering from 01/01/2013 to 30/11/2014. 2) The Council does not employ Litter Enforcement Officers. The Council employs Law Enforcement officers who undertake a wide range of enforcement duties, including dealing with litter offences. <p>Due to an ongoing project changing the Council wide human resources systems, we are unable to provide "the amount of litter enforcement officers employed by the council on a month by month basis from the beginning of 2013".</p> <p>The number of Law Enforcement Officers employed by the Council, who undertake a wide range of enforcement duties, was 42 as of 19th December 2014.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3) Law Enforcement Officers are not on performance related pay. 4) Litter enforcement is not outsourced. 5) Below is a monthly breakdown of the total amount received by the Council for the period requested: <table border="1" data-bbox="1122 1013 1747 1350"> <thead> <tr> <th>Paid Year Month</th> <th>Total Amount Paid in Month</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Jan/2013</td><td>£9,520.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Feb/2013</td><td>£12,300.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Mar/2013</td><td>£11,410.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Apr/2013</td><td>£17,930.00</td></tr> <tr><td>May/2013</td><td>£24,390.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Jun/2013</td><td>£24,540.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Jul/2013</td><td>£21,810.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Aug/2013</td><td>£11,490.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Sep/2013</td><td>£21,150.00</td></tr> <tr><td>Oct/2013</td><td>£25,410.00</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Paid Year Month	Total Amount Paid in Month	Jan/2013	£9,520.00	Feb/2013	£12,300.00	Mar/2013	£11,410.00	Apr/2013	£17,930.00	May/2013	£24,390.00	Jun/2013	£24,540.00	Jul/2013	£21,810.00	Aug/2013	£11,490.00	Sep/2013	£21,150.00	Oct/2013	£25,410.00
Paid Year Month	Total Amount Paid in Month																								
Jan/2013	£9,520.00																								
Feb/2013	£12,300.00																								
Mar/2013	£11,410.00																								
Apr/2013	£17,930.00																								
May/2013	£24,390.00																								
Jun/2013	£24,540.00																								
Jul/2013	£21,810.00																								
Aug/2013	£11,490.00																								
Sep/2013	£21,150.00																								
Oct/2013	£25,410.00																								

			<p>Nov/2013 £23,740.00 Dec/2013 £22,340.00 Jan/2014 £15,390.00 Feb/2014 £17,945.00 Mar/2014 £21,490.00 Apr/2014 £13,830.00 May/2014 £11,440.00 Jun/2014 £6,480.00 Jul/2014 £4,800.00 Aug/2014 £4,125.00 Sep/2014 £4,890.00 Oct/2014 £4,530.00 Nov/2014 £5,940.00 Grand Total £336,890.00</p> <p>6) A total of 2,270 were closed without payment. The full amount due for each is £80. The total amount not paid is £181,600.</p> <p>7) A total of 802 prosecutions for litter were undertaken from January 2013 to November 2014.</p> <p>The Council does not collate data in relation to the cost to the tax payer, however the Council requests £150 from the Magistrates Court for each litter prosecution it undertakes.</p> <p>8) Law Enforcement Officers operate across the whole borough.</p> <p>The attached spreadsheet details the number of FPNs issued per month at a street level location. This does not include FPNs issued at specific addresses in order to comply with Data Protection.</p>
21663	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Noise Complaints Data</p> <p>I am sending this request under the Freedom of Information Act to ask for the following information:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please provide a figure for the number of noise complaints you have received for the following financial years: 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14</p>

		<p>1. Please provide a figure for the number of noise complaints you have received for the following financial years: 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14</p> <p>2. For each of these financial years, please provide a breakdown of the noise complaints you have received into the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Loud music or party b) Loud voices c) Car alarm or house alarm d) Pet noise e) Baby crying f) DIY noise g) Nightclub or pub h) Roadworks or noise from construction sites outside of allowed hours i) Slamming doors j) Other <p>If you do not hold the information broken down into these categories then please provide the information broken down by the categories your council uses.</p>	<p>Response:</p> <p>2011/12: 6,818 2012/13: 6,579 2013/14: 7,642</p> <p>2. For each of these financial years, please provide a breakdown of the noise complaints you have received into the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Loud music or party b) Loud voices c) Car alarm or house alarm d) Pet noise e) Baby crying f) DIY noise g) Nightclub or pub h) Roadwork's or noise from construction sites outside of allowed hours i) Slamming doors j) Other <p>Response: The noise categories we record against are detailed below. There is a slight change in the number of categories due to system refinement over the years.</p> <p>Financial Year 2011/12: (Please note, during this period, repeat calls about the same Noise issue were logged under the Noise Duplicate category)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Car/intruder alarms</td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Building Works/DIY Noise</td> <td>270</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Miscellaneous Noise</td> <td>165</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Barking Dogs</td> <td>196</td> </tr> <tr> <td>General Domestic</td> <td>338</td> </tr> <tr> <td>People/Music/Shouting</td> <td>2,995</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Noise Commercial vents extractor etc.</td> <td>24</td> </tr> </table>	Car/intruder alarms	137	Building Works/DIY Noise	270	Miscellaneous Noise	165	Barking Dogs	196	General Domestic	338	People/Music/Shouting	2,995	Noise Commercial vents extractor etc.	24
Car/intruder alarms	137																
Building Works/DIY Noise	270																
Miscellaneous Noise	165																
Barking Dogs	196																
General Domestic	338																
People/Music/Shouting	2,995																
Noise Commercial vents extractor etc.	24																

			<p>Noise Duplicate 2,423 Noise in street 128 Noise Party 142 Grand Total 6,818</p> <p>Financial Year 2012/13:</p> <p>Car/intruder alarms 186 Building Works/DIY Noise 369 Miscellaneous Noise 145 Barking Dogs 266 General Domestic 633 People/Music/Shouting 4,765 Noise Commercial vents extractor etc. 45 Noise in street 62 Noise Party 108 Grand Total 6,579</p> <p>Financial Year 2013/14:</p> <p>Car/intruder alarms 209 Building Works/DIY Noise 455 Miscellaneous Noise 181 Barking Dogs 329 General Domestic 873 People/Music/Shouting 5,551 Noise Commercial vents extractor etc. 44 Grand Total 7,642</p>
21708	28/01/2015	<p>Subject: Adults/ CYPs MASH</p> <p>1. Who is the Head of Children's Safeguarding at your council? 2. Who is the Head of Adults Safeguarding at your</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Who is the Head of Children's Safeguarding at your council? James Thomas - Director of Commissioning (Children's Services)</p>

	<p>council?</p> <p>3. Have you set up:</p> <p>a. A Children's MASH?</p> <p>b. An Adults MASH?</p> <p>c. A joint Children's and Adults MASH?</p> <p>4. If yes, please provide the name/s and job title/s of the main MASH contact/s in your council.</p> <p>5. If no, do you plan to set up:</p> <p>a. A Children's MASH?</p> <p>b. An Adults MASH?</p> <p>c. A joint Children's and Adults MASH?</p> <p>6. What IT solution/s does your council use to manage multi-agency safeguarding enquiries and referrals? Please provide the name of the IT solution and the supplier.</p>	<p>Michael Mackay, Deputy Director for Children's Social Care and Safeguarding David Sanders is the Independent Chair of the Local Safeguarding Children's Board.</p> <p>2. Who is the Head of Adults Safeguarding at your council?</p> <p>Grainne Siggins - Director of Adults Social Care Catherine Jeffery is Independent Chair</p> <p>3. Have you set up:</p> <p>a. A Children's MASH? Yes</p> <p>b. An Adults MASH? No</p> <p>c. A joint Children's and Adults MASH? This option is presently being explored.</p> <p>4. If yes, please provide the name/s and job title/s of the main MASH contact/s in your council.</p> <p>Sariah Eagle (Triage Service Manager) for Children's.</p> <p>5. If no, do you plan to set up:</p> <p>a. A Children's MASH? Not applicable.</p> <p>b. An Adults MASH? A joint MASH with Children's is being explored.</p> <p>c. A joint Children's and Adults MASH? This option is presently being explored.</p> <p>6. What IT solution/s does your council use to manage multi-agency safeguarding enquiries and referrals? Please provide the name of the IT</p>
--	---	---

			<p>solution and the supplier. Customer Relations Management (CRM)</p>
21738	16/01/2015	<p>Subject: Stopping Up Order - Station Street, E15</p> <p>I am looking to find out if the following went ahead and if so can I please obtain a copy of the map and schedule</p> <p>Stopping up of highway and land in the vicinity of Station Street, Stratford London E15</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached the map and schedule of the requested stopping up order, which did go ahead.</p>
21763	29/01/2015	<p>Subject: London Pleasure Gardens</p> <p>1. Would you please provide copies of such due diligence information concerning health and safety organisation and procedures</p> <p>2. Please provide details of the licence or authority given to London Pleasure Gardens to occupy the Pontoon Dock site and buildings for the purpose of creating the event, to include the Millennium Mills site.</p> <p>3. Given that London Pleasure Garden did not own the Millennium Mills building, and ownership remained with the Greater London Authority Land and Property Ltd, please provide details of the health and safety responsibilities whilst LPG used this site?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Would you please provide copies of such due diligence information concerning health and safety organisation and procedures.</p> <p>We do not hold this information. The landlord of this site in 2012 was the London Development Agency.</p> <p>2. Please provide details of the licence or authority given to London Pleasure Gardens to occupy the Pontoon Dock site and buildings for the purpose of creating the event, to include the Millennium Mills site.</p> <p>The use of the Pontoon Dock site was awarded following a competitive process with the London Development Agency, to allow for use of the land on a five year lease.</p> <p>London Pleasure Gardens Ltd won that competitive process.</p> <p>It is advisable that you contact the Greater London Authority for further information in respect of the arrangement. https://www.london.gov.uk/contact-us</p>

			<p>3. Given that London Pleasure Garden did not own the Millennium Mills building, and ownership remained with the Greater London Authority Land and Property Ltd, please provide details of the health and safety responsibilities whilst LPG used this site?</p> <p>Please see our response to Questions 1 and 2 above.</p>
21759	29/01/2015	<p>Subject: Equal Opportunities in Local Education Authorities Survey</p> <p>Please see attached questionnaire.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached the completed questionnaire as requested.</p>
21751	12/01/2015	<p>Subject: Housing Zone Bids 2014</p> <p>Housing Zone Bids 2014</p> <p>On 13 June 2014 the Mayor of London & the Chancellor of the Exchequer launched the London Housing Zones Prospectus, inviting London Boroughs to make bids for funding from a £400 Million budget to accelerate housing development on brownfield sites in London.</p> <p>The closing date for bids was 30 September 2014.</p> <p>My questions are:</p> <p>(1) Did Newham submit a bid?</p> <p>(2) If Newham submitted a bid, which site or sites in Newham were included and how much funding was sought?</p> <p>(3) At which Council forum was the bid approved?</p> <p>(4) Can I see an electronic copy of any Newham bid or bids?</p> <p>(5) If Newham failed to make a bid, at which forum was</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>No bid was made based on assessment by officers of the detailed requirements of the Housing Zone policy, and following consultation with members.</p>

		the decision made not to bid?	
21766	07/01/2015	<p>Subject: Closed Council Tax accounts with credit</p> <p>I would like to request a list of all 'closed' or 'ended' Council Tax accounts with credits (overpaid Council tax) from 1993 to 2014 (or from earliest records that exist) where the liable party in question is now deceased.</p> <p>I would like the following fields returned, preferably in Excel format, via email:-</p> <p>Full name Address End date on the account Amount of credit on the account The contact name & correspondence address of the Executor or Solicitors</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not record or hold the complete requested information on our Council Tax systems. We do not hold records of the name and correspondence address of the Executor or Solicitors for closed Council Tax accounts.</p> <p>We can confirm there are a total of 1550 closed Council Tax accounts (of deceased former residents) which show a credit. The majority of these balances show credits of less than £200.</p> <p>Our reporting systems are unable to confirm the actual amounts of the credits to each account as the balances are not final until full adjustments to allow for overpayment of Council Tax Benefit, Council Tax reduction or any change in liability are identified. This final review of individual accounts is not completed on the systems unless specifically requested by an associated party.</p>
21828	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Council Tax Liability - Enforcement</p> <p>Since the Taking Control of Goods (fees) Regulations 2014 came into force, has Newham Borough Council handed any monies which a debtor has paid directly to the authority in respect of his or her council tax liability to its enforcement agent?</p> <p>If so, how much and in how many cases?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>There have been 35 accounts with a value of £4,077.56.</p>
21831	29/01/2015	<p>Subject: Language Services</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please provide me with full answers to each of the following questions:</p> <p>1. How much money has your council spent on</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) See below:</p> <p>£44,363.00 in 2011/12 £22,836.90 in 2012/13</p>

		<p>translating documents, web pages and leaflets in 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14?</p> <p>2. How much money has your council spent on interpreters in 2011-12, 2012-13 and 2013-14?</p> <p>3. If possible please state which languages your council translates most commonly?</p>	<p>£18,046.99 in 2013/14</p> <p>2) See below:</p> <p>£98,013.50 in 2011/12 £157,340.05 in 2012/13 £130,272.15 in 2013/14</p> <p>3) See below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bengali/ Sylheti - Lithuanian - Romanian - Portuguese - Urdu <p>Please note, there was an increased demand for interpreters during 2012/2013 due to the Olympics being held in Newham. The demand for interpreters from different Council services increased, as well as a rise in requirement for Russian and Romanian languages during this time.</p> <p>Furthermore, our systems were improved which allowed users to make bookings for interpreters more efficient and there was an overall increase in awareness of the Language Shop services.</p>
21834	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Financial Support</p> <p>Please provide the following information regarding the Newham Community and Crisis Support scheme:</p> <p>1. Any and all guidance, instructions and training materials, both published and internal, concerning the eligibility criteria, decision making process and priorities which are used to decide applications to the Community and Crisis Support scheme.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached guidance, instructions and training materials concerning the eligibility criteria, decision making process and priorities which are used to decide applications to the Community and Crisis Support scheme.</p>

21832	06/01/2015	<p>Subject: Adults with Learning Difficulties</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, I would like to request the following information regarding residential care placements for adults with learning disabilities.</p> <p>1. Who, within the Local Authority, has commissioning responsibility for adults with learning disabilities? Please provide a name, job title and contact details.</p> <p>Please provide the following information related to placements for adults with learning disabilities per year for years ending 31 March 2010 to 2014.</p> <p>2. What is the number of adults with learning disabilities who are placed out-of-area in residential placements</p> <p>3. How many adults with learning disabilities are transitioned from learning disabilities children's services</p> <p>4. The number of adults with learning disabilities supported by the Local Authority</p> <p>5. The number of adults with learning disabilities supported in residential placements</p> <p>6. The number of adults with learning disabilities supported in supported living placements</p> <p>7. Gross total expenditure on residential care placements for adults with learning disabilities (Please provide actual figures for financial years 2009/10 to 2013/14, as well as budgeted expenditure for 2014/15)</p> <p>8. Gross total expenditure on supported living placements</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Lee Salmon, Commissioner, Adults Commissioning Team, 0208 430 2000.</p> <p>2. See table below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1122 491 2065 831"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2009/10</th> <th>2010/11</th> <th>2011/12</th> <th>2012/13</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>2013/14</th> <th colspan="2">2014/15 (budgeted exp.)</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>a.</td> <td>101 89</td> <td>75 72</td> <td>74</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>b.</td> <td>21 26</td> <td>12 21</td> <td>16</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>c.</td> <td>556 558</td> <td>585 578</td> <td>577</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>d.</td> <td>174 148</td> <td>136 124</td> <td>114</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>e.</td> <td>28 62</td> <td>72 99</td> <td>110</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>f.</td> <td>£12,213,820</td> <td>£9,948,890</td> <td>£8,566,000</td> <td>£7,755,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>£7,267,000</td> <td>£6,465,044</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>g.</td> <td>£1,307,587</td> <td>£2,260,949</td> <td>£2,682,393</td> <td>£3,522,993</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>£3,850,658</td> <td>£4,071,799</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13		2013/14	2014/15 (budgeted exp.)			a.	101 89	75 72	74		b.	21 26	12 21	16		c.	556 558	585 578	577		d.	174 148	136 124	114		e.	28 62	72 99	110		f.	£12,213,820	£9,948,890	£8,566,000	£7,755,000		£7,267,000	£6,465,044			g.	£1,307,587	£2,260,949	£2,682,393	£3,522,993		£3,850,658	£4,071,799		
	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13																																																						
	2013/14	2014/15 (budgeted exp.)																																																								
a.	101 89	75 72	74																																																							
b.	21 26	12 21	16																																																							
c.	556 558	585 578	577																																																							
d.	174 148	136 124	114																																																							
e.	28 62	72 99	110																																																							
f.	£12,213,820	£9,948,890	£8,566,000	£7,755,000																																																						
	£7,267,000	£6,465,044																																																								
g.	£1,307,587	£2,260,949	£2,682,393	£3,522,993																																																						
	£3,850,658	£4,071,799																																																								

		for adults with learning disabilities (Please provide actual figures for financial years 2009/10 to 2013/14, as well as budgeted expenditure for 2014/15)	
21838	27/02/2015	<p>Subject: Prevent Referrals</p> <p>As detailed by the Home Office when it published its initial report into the structure of the counter extremism and counter terror Prevent strategy, your borough was designated one of 25 “priority areas” across the country which would receive extra money in order for the local authority to help work with the Office for Security and Counter-terrorism and other agencies to help tackle extremism/terrorist risks in your jurisdiction (turn to pages 97/98 to see where you are detailed as one of those: http://tinyurl.com/oxz5uzy).</p> <p>As one of the 25 priority areas, therefore, according to your records, can you please:</p> <p>1) Advise how many Prevent referrals were made for your authority for every year since 2009. So that’s for the years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, and also please provide figures for the number of referrals so far for this year (2014). If it is easier to provide these figures as financial years, please do so, from 2009/10 onwards and also for the number so far for this year (2014/15).</p> <p>2) Along with the figures for above for number 1, please provide a breakdown for how many of these were males and how many referrals were for females each year. Please also breakdown the referrals by age at the time of referral, indicating clearly the age of each referral at the time of referral, or their age bracket (10 to 19, 20 to 29, 30 to 39 etc).</p> <p>3) Advise how many of these people were referred each year because they had previously travelled to Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan or Pakistan. Again please provide a breakdown of how many were male and female as in the</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1-5. We have understood your reference to ‘Prevent referrals’ to mean individuals who have been referred to the Channel programme. The information requested pertaining to ‘Prevent referrals’ is not held by individual local authorities. These details are held by the Home Office. It is advised that you refer your request to the Home Office for this information.</p> <p>6. The level of detail you have requested regarding how much grant was settled to the authority in order for it to become a priority area is exempt from disclosure by virtue of sections 24(1) of the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>Section 24(1) – National Security – this section of the Act states: 24(1) Information which does not fall within section 23(1) is exempt information if exemption from section 1(1)(b) is required for the purpose of safeguarding national security.</p> <p>Public interest considerations in favour of disclosing the information – section 24(1) There is a general public interest in transparency and openness in government. Such openness would increase public understanding and inform public debate. In the context of this request, there is a public interest in being made aware of how grants are settled in each Prevent area in order for them to become a priority and how they have been created. Disclosure is likely to increase the public’s appreciation of the scope of Prevent delivery, and how both priority areas are determined and how Prevent funding is apportioned across the UK to counter potential threats to UK citizens.</p> <p>Public interest considerations in favour of withholding the information – section 24(1) Disclosure of information would open up detailed information about how</p>

		<p>question above and at what age they were at the time of referral.</p> <p>4) Separately, advise how many of the total referred in answer to number 1 were referred in relation to Islamist extremism. Please also provide all other religions and categories related to reasons for a referral for all referrals and their volume until the total number of referrals is reached.</p> <p>5) Advise how many people were referred to the Channel programme for the same years as listed above under number 1. Please also provide breakdowns as detailed above on gender, age and how many were referred, again, because they had previously travelled to Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan or Pakistan, and separately in relation to Islamist extremism, and all other religions and categories related to reasons for a referral.</p> <p>6) Please advise how much of a grant was settled to you from the Home Office in order to become a "priority area" and how many people are employed by your local authority solely to work on the Prevent/Channel programmes (s).</p> <p>7) Please advise the current total of the number of referrals currently referred under the Prevent and Channel programmes, and the total number of people on each programme. How does the number of people on the programme currently compare with the number for all previous years as set out above?</p>	<p>Prevent funding is apportioned to areas across the country, and potentially reveal the identity of areas where the threat to the national security of the UK is greatest. This may impact negatively on the delivery of Prevent, and on the range of activities deployed to prevent terrorism. This includes activity to disrupt those who promote terrorism, and protect individuals who are vulnerable to recruitment, or who have already been recruited by extremists. These factors would serve to undermine the effectiveness of the Prevent strategy, and hence weaken and prejudice the national security of the UK.</p> <p>Balance of the public interest We assess that safeguarding national security interests is of overriding importance, and that in this instance, the public interest is best served by the non-disclosure of how grants are settled in each Prevent area in order for them to become a priority and how they have been created</p> <p>7. Please see our response to Question 1 above.</p>
21839	07/01/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates Recovery</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 in relation to any ratepayers in the past 6 months who are now currently (i.e the debt has not yet been recovered) involved in recovery action for unpaid business rates, Please note that the information requested only relates to</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the recovery actions undertaken for non payment of rates. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p>

		<p>Limited companies and any information in relation to individuals is not required. :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ratepayer Name - Property Address - Property Reference Number - Rateable Value - Recovery action taking place i.e demand notice, summons, liability order - Amount in arrears - date recovery action began 	<p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to any recovery action undertaken. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>We also consider that the requested information to be exempt under Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act. The recovery actions undertaken by the authority in respect of non-payment of business rates is not already disclosed as a matter of public record. Therefore disclosure of this information could potentially result in an actionable breach of confidence should the release of this information go on to result in actions, to the detriment of the companies involved.</p> <p>Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states: “41. (1) Information is exempt information if – (a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and (b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.</p> <p>Therefore we find that disclosure of the names of any companies which have been subject to recovery action as a result of non-payment of business rates is exempt under the above section.</p>
--	--	--	---

21843	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates Liability</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could please confirm the following information.</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer and the Rates payable for the financial year 2000/01, including any transitional relief or surcharge, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAVACENTRE 1, CLAPS GATE LANE, LONDON - BA Reference: 00000260200102 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Ratepayer: Savacentre Ltd Rates Payable for 2000/01: Gross Charge: £654,368.00 Transition: £202.37 Net Charge: £654,165.63</p>
21844	07/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could please confirm the following information.</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer and the Rates payable for the financial year 1995/96, including any transitional relief or surcharge, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (INC 12A ARTHUR ROAD & UNIT 5 AT 51-57 HIGH STREET SOUTH) 71-75, HIGH STREET SOUTH, LONDON - BA Reference: 00000527571051 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold the requested information.</p> <p>Our business rates systems only hold information as far back as 2001 and therefore we do not hold the requested information relating to 1995/6.</p>
21845	04/02/2015	<p>Subject: Council Held Information</p> <p>I would like to know if the council or any bodies within the council has a list of extremist speakers/ lecturers.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Yes</p>
21851	01/01/2015	<p>Subject: Expenditure</p> <p>I would like to know how much the council spent on the following services for the financial years 2010-2011, 2011-</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham commissions a number of Domestic and Sexual Violence services which encompass the services listed below. The</p>

		<p>2012, 2012-2013 and 2013-2014, and has budgeted for 2014-15.</p> <p>1. Sexual violence and domestic violence in total 2. Broken down into specialist violence against women services such as: (2a) Independent domestic violence advisers (IDVAs) (2b) Council grants to DV charities (2c) Rape crisis centres (2d) Refuge centres (2e) Domestic violence outreach (2f) Ethnic minority women (2g) Trafficked women and women in prostitution (2h) Sexual assault referral centres</p>	<p>budget per annum is listed for each service, with the total budget given for each year in the final row of the table.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Domestic violence services and Provider</th> <th>2010-11</th> <th>2011-12</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>2012-13</th> <th>2013-14</th> <th>2014-15</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>a) Independent Domestic Violence Advocacy Service (commenced 2011)</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£180,000</td> <td>£180,000</td> <td>£180,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b) Council grants to DV charities</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c) Rape Crisis Centres</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d) Refuge Centres</td> <td>£413,201</td> <td>£413,201</td> <td>£413,201</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>e) Domestic Violence outreach</td> <td>£273,628</td> <td>£198,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>As part of Exiting Sex Work Service (commenced 2013)</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£80,000</td> <td>£80,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>f) Ethnic Minority women FGM Service (commenced late 2013)</td> <td>£80,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£20,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>g) Trafficked women and women in prostitution. As part of exiting sex work service – but no specific trafficking services. (not additional to e) above)</td> <td>£80,000</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£80,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>h) Sexual Assault Referral Centres</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Additional Activity related to DSV</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>DSV Caseworker service (commenced 2013)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>£120,000</td> <td>£180,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Family Justice Centre 2010-11</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>London Borough of Newham</td> <td></td> <td>£596,130</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Net commissioning budget (TOTAL)</td> <td>£593,201</td> <td>£493,628</td> <td>£718,300</td> <td>£593,201</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The council no longer issues grants to the voluntary sector as we commission services. Rape Crisis centres are commissioned on a Pan London or regional basis.</p>	Domestic violence services and Provider	2010-11	2011-12		2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		a) Independent Domestic Violence Advocacy Service (commenced 2011)	£0	£180,000	£180,000	£180,000	b) Council grants to DV charities	£0	£0	£0	£0	c) Rape Crisis Centres	£0	£0	£0	£0	d) Refuge Centres	£413,201	£413,201	£413,201		e) Domestic Violence outreach	£273,628	£198,000			As part of Exiting Sex Work Service (commenced 2013)	£0	£0	£80,000	£80,000	f) Ethnic Minority women FGM Service (commenced late 2013)	£80,000			£20,000	g) Trafficked women and women in prostitution. As part of exiting sex work service – but no specific trafficking services. (not additional to e) above)	£80,000	£0	£0	£80,000	h) Sexual Assault Referral Centres	£0	£0	£0	£0	Additional Activity related to DSV					DSV Caseworker service (commenced 2013)			£120,000	£180,000	Family Justice Centre 2010-11					London Borough of Newham		£596,130			Net commissioning budget (TOTAL)	£593,201	£493,628	£718,300	£593,201
Domestic violence services and Provider	2010-11	2011-12																																																																															
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15																																																																														
a) Independent Domestic Violence Advocacy Service (commenced 2011)	£0	£180,000	£180,000	£180,000																																																																													
b) Council grants to DV charities	£0	£0	£0	£0																																																																													
c) Rape Crisis Centres	£0	£0	£0	£0																																																																													
d) Refuge Centres	£413,201	£413,201	£413,201																																																																														
e) Domestic Violence outreach	£273,628	£198,000																																																																															
As part of Exiting Sex Work Service (commenced 2013)	£0	£0	£80,000	£80,000																																																																													
f) Ethnic Minority women FGM Service (commenced late 2013)	£80,000			£20,000																																																																													
g) Trafficked women and women in prostitution. As part of exiting sex work service – but no specific trafficking services. (not additional to e) above)	£80,000	£0	£0	£80,000																																																																													
h) Sexual Assault Referral Centres	£0	£0	£0	£0																																																																													
Additional Activity related to DSV																																																																																	
DSV Caseworker service (commenced 2013)			£120,000	£180,000																																																																													
Family Justice Centre 2010-11																																																																																	
London Borough of Newham		£596,130																																																																															
Net commissioning budget (TOTAL)	£593,201	£493,628	£718,300	£593,201																																																																													

			Sexual assault referral centres are funded by the police, for additional support people are referred either to IDVA or DSV caseworker services.
21853	05/01/2015	<p>Subject : Investments</p> <p>I am writing to request fund level performance information for your private equity and real estate portfolio for Q1 and Q2 of 2014.</p> <p>Specifically, I am looking for the following fund-level data: fund name, vintage year, committed capital, contributed capital, distributed capital, current value, net investment multiple, net IRR since inception.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheet.</p>
21905	12/01/2015	<p>Subject : Child Poverty Strategy</p> <p>Please could you answer the following questions in relation to Newham London Borough Council's child poverty strategy (Child Poverty Act 2010).</p> <p>a) Does your current strategy for addressing child poverty include action(s) on parental employment? Please provide information on what these actions are.</p> <p>b) Does your current strategy for addressing child poverty include target(s) on parental employment? Please provide information on what these targets are.</p> <p>c) If action(s) and/or target(s) on parental employment have been included, how are these being monitored?</p> <p>d) Does Newham London Borough Council provide a service that supports parents to improve employability and/or find employment? If yes, please provide details.</p> <p>Please could you provide a copy of the relevant strategy and any other relevant documentation.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>a) Does your current strategy for addressing child poverty include action(s) on parental employment? Please provide information on what these actions are.</p> <p>Our Economic Development Strategy highlights supporting parents into work to tackle child poverty as a priority, with a lack of affordable childcare and flexible or part time work highlighted as barriers to parents entering work.</p> <p>Two actions are listed in the Economic Development Strategy as key to tackling parental unemployment in particular, as distinct from our approach to unemployment across the whole Newham population. These are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publicise Mayor's Employment Service intensive personalised support for parents more effectively across the borough, working through children's centres and schools • Develop more comprehensive approach to tackling the needs of workless parents working with partners in health, and education and childcare providers.

			<p>Please note that the Mayor's Employment Service was an element of Workplace which has now been integrated into the main service.</p> <p>b) Does your current strategy for addressing child poverty include target(s) on parental employment? Please provide information on what these targets are.</p> <p>There is no specific parental employment target within the Council's performance management framework or Economic Development Strategy. Workplace is targeted on volumes, and with ensuring that half of its job placements go to residents who have spent a year or more out of work.</p> <p>c) If action(s) and/or target(s) on parental employment have been included, how are these being monitored?</p> <p>These actions are monitored within the Workplace service. Operational decisions have been taken that have strengthened Workplace's ability to partner with children's centres and schools, and Workplace partners with our Children and Young People's Services team to ensure that childcare placements can be made, including making some payments and bonds to cover deposits, to reduce the extent to which this is a barrier for parents.</p> <p>Since the 1st April 2012 Workplace has placed 3,316 candidates into work who are parents (defined as a candidate with 1 or more children).</p> <p>d) Does Newham London Borough Council provide a service that supports parents to improve employability and/or find employment? If yes, please provide details.</p> <p>Workplace, our employer-led recruitment service, has placed over 23,000 residents into work since 2007, with over 4,000 in each of the last three calendar years alone. It operates on a voluntary, mainstream basis. The</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>service starts by using the Council's links with employers to understand their recruitment needs, often embedding account managers with larger businesses.</p> <p>We then provide our residents with relevant, holistic support to prepare them for the jobs that are available, including pre-employment training such as licences for the security industry or forklift truck driving, CV writing and confidence building seminars, interview training, and support with benefit and debt issues.</p> <p>The service has been successful at ensuring jobs are sustainable – of residents we placed into work in 2011/12, 70% remained in the same job, had been promoted, or moved to another role after a year, compared with 52% nationally (CESI, 2011).</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham takes tackling child poverty very seriously, and views parental employment as key to our approach. Workplace, our employer-led recruitment service, has placed over 23,000 residents into work since 2007, with over 4,000 in each of the last three calendar years alone.</p> <p>We work to put money back into the pockets of parents in the borough through our Universal Free School Meals policy – working parents of primary school children would have to earn over £500 per child, taking into account tax and NI, in order to pay for the meals we provide for free.</p> <p>And the rest of our universal Newham's Every Child programme combats the impact poverty can have on children's life chances. It incorporates our Reading Guarantee, with phonics training and one-to-one catch up support; a free musical instrument and three years' tuition for every child through our Every Child a Musician programme; the chance to try out different sports through Every Child a Sportsperson; and the chance to experience top London theatres through Every Child a Theatregoer.</p> <p>We took the decision in 2010 to incorporate our approach to tackling child poverty into our Economic Development Strategy and our Sustainable Communities Strategy, rather than having a standalone strategy document.</p>
--	--	--	--

			Please see the web link below to policy page of the Newham website. http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/What-our-priorities-are-and-how-we-are-doing-it.aspx
21900	12/01/2015	<p>Subject: UFSM Funding</p> <p>As part of the universal infant free school meals policy, the DfE allocated an additional £150 million to be spent on school infrastructure.</p> <p>From that Newham was allocated £1,123,464</p> <p>I would like to know exactly how the allocation was spent.</p> <p>If the allocation was deemed insufficient to meet the extra requirements imposed by the policy, how much extra had to be found, where the extra money came from and which projects are still outstanding.</p> <p>If the DfE allocation was above what was spent, how much was spent, what on and what happened to the remaining funding.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Newham has been providing free school meals to infant pupils in the borough since 2009 and prior to this funding being made available.</p> <p>Therefore the proposed usage of the funds allocated is to ease delivery to schools with limited existing provision.</p> <p>We are currently in various stages of design at the following schools:</p> <p>Sandringham Primary - to expand/refurbish kitchen/dining hall building Grange Primary - to expand / refurbish kitchen Rosetta Primary - refurbish kitchen / dining facilities</p>
21893	16/01/2015	<p>Subject: Outsourcing Staffing</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act I would like to know if you outsource/purchase or use external recruitment or staffing services.</p> <p>If yes, could you list by any category which recruitment or staffing services are outsourced/purchased or provided externally.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not outsource the requested services for the general recruitment of new staff.</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham has an in house recruitment team that manages Council recruitment.</p>

		<p>For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recruitment/Advertising • Pre application sifting e.g. telephone screening, online questionnaires • Long-listing application forms • Assessment services • Managed recruitment campaigns • Other, please specify 	<p>For Director level positions and above we occasionally engage the services of an external agency to advertise the role, search for suitable candidates and carry out selection and assessment processes.</p>
21902	12/01/2015	<p>Subject: 2009 FSM Pilot</p> <p>In 2009, Newham took part in a pilot scheme for universal free school meals. I would like to see the documentation sent in support of the council's application to be part of the pilot, along with any associated correspondence relating to the council's participation in the pilot.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find documentation attached as requested.</p> <p>Please note, the 'Detailed Bid for FSM Pilots' document has been redacted for names and contact details of all officers under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>This is because disclosure could result in the identification of individuals as they would not have expected their personal data to be subsequently disclosed under the Act and any information would have been exchanged with the Council in confidence. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Please note, we have also decided not to release the spreadsheet, as this information is deemed commercially sensitive. Under the Freedom of Information Act, we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We consider that the information contained within the bid with regard to the contract and price per meal to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person, including the public authority holding it.</p>

			<p>To release information regarding the businesses with whom we have secured business with, coupled with information potentially already in the public domain, would give competitors an edge in future tendering exercises and in targeting the contracts which the Council has secured. Furthermore, it would be likely to weaken the Council bargaining position during any future contractual negotiations should confidential commercial information be disclosed. This would likely affect the Council's budget, income and traded services and essentially, the availability of financial resources for our residents.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test, the Council has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process and how this may affect the bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds. However, we also recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial interests of both external companies and the local authority where income generated for public services may be at risk. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p>
21897	15/01/2015	<p>Subject: FPN - Spitting</p> <p>I would like the following information relating to on-the-spot fines for spitting in Excel format:</p> <p>When did the council bring the fines in?</p> <p>How many fines has the council issued? Could you break this down into month by month?</p> <p>How many people challenged the fine and were taken to court, broken down into month by month?</p> <p>How many were successful? How many failed?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Council began to serve Fixed Penalty Notice's regarding spitting in April 2011. 2. Please see enclosed spreadsheet 3. One person was taken to Court in April 2014 after challenging the Fixed Penalty Notice. 4. The prosecution was successful.

21894	23/01/2015	<p>Subject : Care Plans - Hospital Discharge</p> <p>1. How much has your council been charged by hospital trusts for delayed transfers of care, which were the responsibility of 'social care', each calendar year for the past 5 years?*</p> <p>2. How many patients' discharges were delayed each year in the past 5 calendar years* because of 'social care'? (Or for how many years figures are kept)</p> <p>3. What is the longest number of delayed discharge days for a patient delayed by social care in the last 5 years?* When was this?</p> <p>*Including 2014. As the 20 working days takes us into January 2015, please provide entire 2014 calendar year figures.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How much has your council been charged by hospital trusts for delayed transfers of care, which were the responsibility of 'social care', each calendar year for the past 5 years?*</p> <p>Our information is recorded by financial year.</p> <p>Please see below the following charges made:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>-</td> <td>£0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>-</td> <td>£0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>-</td> <td>£6,360</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>-</td> <td>£1,224</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15*</td> <td>-</td> <td>£0</td> </tr> </table> <p>* part year figure to 23.12.14.</p> <p>2. How many patients' discharges were delayed each year in the past 5 calendar years* because of 'social care'? (Or for how many years figures are kept)</p> <p>This information is already publicly available on the NHS England website. Please see the relevant web link below.</p> <p>http://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/delayed-transfers-of-care/</p> <p>3. What is the longest number of delayed discharge days for a patient delayed by social care in the last 5 years?* When was this?</p>	2010/11	-	£0	2011/12	-	£0	2012/13	-	£6,360	2013/14	-	£1,224	2014/15*	-	£0
2010/11	-	£0																
2011/12	-	£0																
2012/13	-	£6,360																
2013/14	-	£1,224																
2014/15*	-	£0																

			Our systems are not designed for or are able to retrieve this level of detail of information in a reportable format.
21904	06/01/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the freedom of information Act 2000:- Could you please provide on one spreadsheet (if possible) the following information in relation to ratepayers in your area :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Addresses of all hereditaments in the area (b) The ratepayer of the property (if a LTD company) (c) The property reference for the addresses (d) Any relief the property is currently receiving including, but not limited to : mandatory, discretionary, small business rates relief, empty rates relief etc (e) The current rateable value of the property (f) The date the current ratepayer took occupation (g) The date that the data was created 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the relief awarded. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to the receipt of relief. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The general information requested in relation to business rates accounts is already accessible through the information publicly available on the Valuation Office Agency website. Please see the relevant web link below. http://www.voa.gov.uk</p>
21901	13/01/2015	Subject: Classification of data	Summary:

	<p>Q1: How much 'official' (IL2, IL3) data, as a percentage and total capacity of all data your organisation holds, do you store? Percentage: _____% of all our data Total capacity: _____ terabytes of data</p> <p>Q2: How much of that 'official' data, as a percentage and total capacity is stored onsite (in one of your buildings) versus 3rd party offsite*(Colocation or any type of Cloud such as public, private & hybrid). Percentage onsite: _____% of all our data Total capacity onsite: _____ terabytes of data Percentage offsite in the cloud: _____% of all our data Total capacity offsite in the cloud: _____ terabytes of data *If you have your onsite 'official' data that is backed up off-site, please just duplicate your onsite entry</p> <p>Q3: How much of this 'official' data is stored within following accredited security impact levels. Please provide an approximate percentage for each level, and your answer should total 100% or thereabouts. Onsite Official (IL2): _____% Official (IL3): _____% Other level/type (I.e IL4 and above): _____% Don't know: _____% Offsite Official (IL2): _____% Official (IL3): _____% Other level/type (I.e IL4 and above): _____% Don't know: _____%</p> <p>Q4: How many times have you enlisted the help of a CESG Listed Advisor Scheme (CLAS) consultant in the past 24 months to review, check and audit the accredited</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Council do not classify our data in this way. 2. Not applicable 3. Not applicable 4. A CLAS consultant was used for the annual Public Service Network (PSN) submission. 5. None 6. Yes
--	--	--

		<p>security levels in place at your organisation? 0, 1, 4, 8, Other</p> <p>Q5: How often have the accredited security levels in place at your organisation been audited over the past 24 months? 0, 1, 4, 8, Other</p> <p>Q6: Have any data breaches been recorded at your organisation over the past 24 months? Yes, No, Don't Know</p>	
21906	06/01/2015	<p>Subject : CIL</p> <p>I am requesting the following recorded information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Documents detailing or explaining procedures set in place to include communities in the decision-making process of the 15% CIL spending that has to be decided by the communities - Notifications sent out to communities, community groups or individuals informing them of the implementation of CIL in Newham and their right to choose how the 15% can be spent - Meeting notes of meetings that have been organised, be they for informative purposes or for purposes of consultations - Priorities for CIL spending of the 15% to be decided by communities, that have been identified by Newham Council <p>And any other documents in general to further my understanding of how the distribution and spending of the 15% of CIL funds assigned to communities is being decided.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold the requested information.</p> <p>You may wish to contact the Greater London Authority for further information.</p>

21914	12/01/2015	<p>Subject: Early Years</p> <p>1) What was your net expenditure for portage services for children in a) 2010/11 and b) 2011/12, c) 2012/13, d) 2013/14 and e) what was the agreed budget figure for 2014/15?</p> <p>2) How many children with a learning disability accessed portage services in a) 2010/11 and b) 2011/12, c) 2012/13, d) 2013/14 and e) projected numbers for 2014/15?</p> <p>3) How many portage services operated in your local authority area in a) 2010/11 and b) 2011/12, c) 2012/13, d) 2013/14 and e) projected numbers for 2014/15?</p> <p>4) How many portage services in your local authority area closed in a) 2010/11 and b) 2011/12, c) 2012/13, d) 2013/14 and e) projected numbers for 2014/15?</p> <p>5) How many portage services in your local authority area opened in a) 2010/11 and b) 2011/12, c) 2012/13, d) 2013/14 and e) projected numbers for 2014/15?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Newham does not operate a portage service.</p> <p>Children with special educational needs can access support from our Special Educational Needs support service.</p>
21913	13/01/2015	<p>Subject : Care Homes Incidents</p> <p>I am writing under the Freedom of Information Act to ask for the following information in relation to your authority or defunct authorities for which you have taken over responsibility:</p> <p>1) Since 1st April 2009 how many payments, if any, have been made to former, and current, residents of children's care homes who have alleged physical or sexual abuse at the hands of staff, or adult visitors, to settle any claims? Could you break this down by financial</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council has made no payments made of this nature.</p>

		<p>years if possible?</p> <p>2) How much in total have these payments amounted to?</p> <p>3) How much have these claims amounted to on a financial year by financial year basis since 1st April 2009?</p> <p>4) What is the total number of alleged victims since 1st April 2009 who have had payments made to them? Could you again break this down by financial year if possible?</p> <p>5) How many care homes do these claims relate to?</p> <p>6) Can you provide the names of any care homes these claims relate to?</p>	
21926	23/01/2015	<p>Subject: Confucius Classroom - Kingsford Community School</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act in regard to the Confucius Classroom in Kingsford Community School.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of any agreement between Newham Council and Confucius Institute Headquarters (Hanban) regarding the Classroom. 2. On which occasions was the Confucius Classroom discussed in any council committee meetings (ie Cabinet, Education and/or other relevant committee) prior to its opening in 2007? Please provide copies of any minutes for the relevant meetings; 3. Has the council received any money from Hanban or any other source in connection with the Confucius Classroom? If so, what is the amount? 4. Have any visits to China organised in connection with Hanban and/or the Institute of Education Confucius Institute for Schools been undertaken by any councillors 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act in regard to the Confucius Classroom in Kingsford Community School.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A copy of any agreement between Newham Council and Confucius Institute Headquarters (Hanban) regarding the Classroom. <p>We do not hold this information. Any such agreement would have been made directly between the school and the organisation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. On which occasions was the Confucius Classroom discussed in any council committee meetings (ie Cabinet, Education and/or other relevant committee) prior to its opening in 2007? Please provide copies of any minutes for the relevant meetings; <p>Please see the relevant web link below to the Strategic Development Committee meeting held on Tuesday 17th September 2013 which considered the planning application made in relation to an extension teaching block to accommodate the Confucius classroom.</p>

		<p>or officers of Newham Council?</p>	<p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=1224&MID=9230#AI46505</p> <p>3. Has the council received any money from Hanban or any other source in connection with the Confucius Classroom? If so, what is the amount?</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1.</p> <p>4. Have any visits to China organised in connection with Hanban and/or the Institute of Education Confucius Institute for Schools been undertaken by any councillors or officers of Newham Council?</p> <p>No.</p>
21924	07/01/2015	<p>Subject : Empty/Derelict Properties</p> <p>Could you kindly furnish us with the comprehensive list of empty/vacant properties in the London Borough of Newham please?</p> <p>We would also like to obtain the comprehensive list of derelict properties which the council own.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse the information you requested.</p> <p>The borough is currently undergoing extensive regeneration and ongoing development, which means that there are higher levels of empty properties. Empty properties, both residential and commercial are more likely to be and have been subject to illegal occupation (squatting) and have been broken into and any saleable materials such as cooper and other fittings removed. Also premises that are the subject of squatting have resulted in an increase in anti-social behaviour and noise issues, which have a significant impact on local residents and adjoining properties.</p> <p>We therefore consider that disclosure of the exact location of empty properties is likely to lead to an increase in the levels of crime and disorder. It is our view</p>

			<p>that Section 31(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 applies to this request. This section provides that information is exempt from disclosure if such disclosure would prejudice the "prevention or detection of crime". To provide addresses of empty properties would in our view compromise the security of the buildings concerned and could enable criminal behaviour.</p> <p>By disclosing the addresses of vacant properties, the Council exposes neighbourhoods to the anti-social behaviour/criminal activities associated with such issues. Our Safety and Enforcement Team and the Police are currently doing all they can to deal with these problems and the Council is not minded to add to this problem by disclosing the details of known empty properties into the public domain.</p>
21925	12/01/2015	<p>Subject : School Buildings - Fire Assessment</p> <p>Do the schools in Newham Borough organise their own Fire Risk Assessments and Fire Extinguisher Servicing, or is this provided through the council?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The completion of Fire Risk Assessments are the responsibility of the individual schools. Newham's Corporate Health and Safety Team (CH&ST) provide advice, Fire Risk Assessment Training and a template that the school may work from, should they wish.</p> <p>Newham's Corporate Health and Safety Team provide and carry out servicing on fire extinguishers for all schools within the borough, unless they are instructed not to by the school directly.</p>
21995	28/01/2015	<p>Subject : Parking Enforcement</p> <p>How many PCNs have been issued as said Salisbury Road, E12 location in the past year. How many were paid and how many were cancelled?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>For the period between 28/07/2013 to 27/07/2013 at location (exactly) Salisbury Road outside house number 13.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 18 2. 13 paid, and 4 cancelled. With the one remaining ticket, it is assumed that it has neither been cancelled nor paid, and is still active.

21927	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Cycle Superhighway</p> <p>With Regard to the statement given by a Newham Council spokeswoman to the Newham Record published on 3 December 2014 concerning the section of Cycle Superhighway 2 that this was removed from the junction with Tramway Avenue following concerns raised by cyclists:</p> <p>(a) details of each representation made to the Council by a cyclist concerning the Cycle Superhighway as it was set up after 4 September with wands. Please supply copies of the representations.</p> <p>(b) whether this information was within the scope of the statement in response to inquiry E20499 that it included "All communication regarded Cycle Superhighway 2 (CS2) at the junction of Stratford Broadway and Tramway Avenue"</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>(a) There were no written representations to the Council made by cyclists regarding the layout at Tramway Avenue. The concerns of cyclists referred to by the Council spokeswoman and reported by the Newham Recorder were instead communicated to officers of the Metropolitan Police Service, Transport for London and the Council when they were present together on site undertaking observations of driver behaviour following the implementation of the wands. On seeing the MPS uniforms and high visibility jackets present at the location, three cyclists approached those present on site to express their concerns over the unsafe layout, reporting that they had been subjected to near misses themselves at the location.</p> <p>While the eventual TfL decision to remove the CS2 at this location was based on the on-site observations of repeated unsafe driver behaviour by officers of the Metropolitan Police Service, Transport for London and the Council whilst on site on a number of occasions, the comments made by cyclists during one of these visits were clearly also relevant.</p> <p>(b) No copies of any written communication or representations from cyclists were received.</p>
21949		<p>Subject : Landlord Licensing</p> <p>We would like a full break down of the income generated by the PRS registration scheme and how that money has been allocated in the last financial year.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The borough wide property licensing scheme has a 5 year designation for the discretionary licensing of all privately rented properties in Newham. It commenced on 1st January 2013 and ends on 31st December 2017. Income from licensing is largely made up of a fee for each dwelling, which in Newham was set at £150 for a 5 year licence if the application was made before 31st January 2013, and £500 thereafter. Over 27,000 applications were received at the £150/5year rate. New build applications during the life of the scheme also qualify for the £150 concessionary rate subject to certain time constraints.</p> <p>Any income from property licensing activity must be spent on the administration of the scheme. The total property licensing income for the</p>

			<p>scheme so far up to 01/12/2014 is £7,562,000 from 34,851 applications (for all property licence types). This constitutes 97% of the known private rented sector in Newham and the majority of the likely income although the scheme still has 3 years to run. 27,532 applications were made in the financial year 2012/13, 5,307 applications in 2013/14 and 2,012 in 2014/15 up to 1/12/14.</p> <p>In the financial year 2013/14 the property licensing activity consists principally of 2 cost elements. £1,695,715 was spent on property licensing administration and £1,221,500 on property licensing enforcement activity. The current balance of scheme income as April 2014 was £3,588,000.</p> <p>The cost of property licensing enforcement activity excludes the Met. Police element which has been supported by a grant award until March 2015.</p>
21928	13/01/2015	<p>Subject : ASB with Microwave Beams.</p> <p>Can you please let me know, how many people from 2010 to date has complained of being harrassed by directed energy weapons in their homes by unknown parties.</p> <p>The harrassment described in following terms, invisible wall penetrating beams,in the following energy forms, acoustics, high frequency, laser or microwave. Describing as some of them causing heating sensations, skin burns, headaches, amongst others.</p> <p>Can you also let me know, if Newham Council acknowledges existence of such type of weapons and its abuse.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Nil</p>
21935	15/01/2015	<p>Subject : Parking Orders</p> <p>1. Please supply a list of all responses to each of the notices to revoke the (Off Street Parking Places) (Maud Street)(No1) Order 2007.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) Please note we are unable to provide a list of all responses as they contain personal data. However, we can advise that 679 responses were received.</p>

		<p>3. Of the responses to each of the notices to revoke the (Off Street Parking Places) (Maud Street)(No1) Order 2007, how many were in favour and how many against.</p>	<p>2) 679 objected to the traffic order. None were in favour.</p>
21938	13/01/2015	<p>Subject: Benefits Fraud (Council Tax/Housing Benefit)</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 I seek access to a document detailing:</p> <p>1) Details* of individuals who have been prosecuted and imprisoned for benefits fraud, and continued to claim benefits during the period of imprisonment. 2) Details* of individuals who have been imprisoned for benefits fraud, and have committed benefits fraud after their release.</p> <p>* Definition of 'details' - for each question please provide me with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The number of individuals - Relevant dates, including when: the fraud was committed; any investigations were opened and closed; the case went to court; the period the individual was in prison - Where the trial took place - The type of fraud committed, and the relevant benefit that was defrauded - The amount of money defrauded 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) None 2) None</p>
21937	08/01/2015	<p>Subject : Parking Orders</p> <p>When is the parking order (dated October 1st) for the provision of On Street parking on Malmesbury Road and Oak Crescent due to commence?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Traffic Order for Malmesbury Road and Oak Crescent came in to force on the 15th December 2014 and was operationally active from the 22nd December 2014.</p>

21929	08/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rate Accounts</p> <p>Could you please provide me with the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:-</p> <p>All commercial properties within your billing authority, currently NOT RECEIVING any types of business rates relief.</p> <p>i.e. Small Business Rate Relief, Mandatory Relief, Charitable Rate Relief, Rural Rate Relief, Enterprise Area Relief, Empty Property Relief etc.</p> <p>Could you please provide this in excel format to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Company Name/Liable Party, - Rateable Value, - Property Address, - Property Reference Number, - Account Start Date, - Property Description, 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the amounts of credits and years within which they arose. Operationally we do not require our systems to be capable of compiling such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to any credits and the years generated in the requested time frame. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
21895	08/01/2015	<p>Subject: Limited Businesses/ Organisations in Administration, Liquidation or Receivership</p> <p>I require a list of all Limited Businesses/ Organisations, that you have recorded as going into Administration, Liquidation or Receivership since 2010 to present day.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the amounts of credits and years within which they arose. Operationally we do not require our systems to be capable of compiling such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of</p>

		<p>I would like the following details about the Businesses/Organisations;</p> <p>Company Name/Liable Party.</p> <p>Details of any property address associated with the company.</p> <p>The business rates account start date.</p> <p>Rateable Value.</p> <p>Property description or Type (analysis code description).</p>	<p>individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to any credits and the years generated in the requested time frame. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>															
21948	08/01/2015	<p>Subject : Childcare Costs</p> <p>Please could I have the following information. It is information that is held by local authorities, as regulations attached to the Childcare Act 2006 require that they hold information on childcare costs and availability.</p> <p>Costs of Childcare 1. Regulations attached to the Childcare Act 2006 require that Family Information Services hold data on the costs of childcare. Using this data please can you provide us with the typical (average) costs in your area for maintained (local authority, state school and children's centres) and PVI (private, voluntary and independent) nursery places for the following:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Regulations attached to the Childcare Act 2006 require that Family Information Services hold data on the costs of childcare. Using this data please can you provide us with the typical (average) costs in your area for maintained (local authority, state school and children's centres) and PVI (private, voluntary and independent) nursery places for the following:</p> <p>Answer: See table below</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1126 1201 2060 1351"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Under 25 hours care per week</th> <th>Over 25 hours care per week</th> <th>50 hours care per week</th> <th>25 hours care per week</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>PVI</td> <td>102</td> <td>203</td> <td>93</td> <td>196</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Maintained</td> <td>97</td> <td>190</td> <td>85</td> <td>170</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Under 25 hours care per week	Over 25 hours care per week	50 hours care per week	25 hours care per week	PVI	102	203	93	196	Maintained	97	190	85	170
	Under 25 hours care per week	Over 25 hours care per week	50 hours care per week	25 hours care per week														
PVI	102	203	93	196														
Maintained	97	190	85	170														

		<p>25 hours care per week 25 hours care per week 50 hours care per week 50 hours care per week Maintained PVI Maintained PVI</p> <p>A place in a day nursery for children under 2 years</p> <p>A place in a day nursery for children aged 2+</p> <p>2. Please provide the cost 25 hours of childcare for an under 2 the most expensive nursery in your area: £</p> <p>3. Please provide the cost of 25 hours of childcare for an under 2 the cheapest nursery in your area: £</p> <p>4. -----Please provide the typical (average) weekly cost for a place in an after-school club for 15 hrs per week: £</p> <p>5. Please provide the typical (average) weekly costs for a child-minder in your area for:</p> <p>25 hours 50 hours A child under 2 108 219</p> <p>A child aged 2+</p> <p>6. Please give the typical weekly cost for a child-minder who picks up children after school and provides childcare until about 6pm: [£]</p> <p>Sufficiency of childcare</p> <p>7. Based on the most recent update of the Childcare Sufficiency Assessment for your area, and other data</p>	<p>2. Please provide the cost 25 hours of childcare for an under 2 the most expensive nursery in your area:</p> <p>Answer: £175</p> <p>3. Please provide the cost of 25 hours of childcare for an under 2 the cheapest nursery in your area:</p> <p>Answer: £91</p> <p>4. Please provide the typical (average) weekly cost for a place in an after-school club for 15 hrs. per week:</p> <p>Answer: £52</p> <p>5. Please provide the typical (average) weekly costs for a child-minder in your area for:</p> <p>Answer: Please note, our system does not record this information by age</p> <p>25 hours 50 hours 108 219</p> <p>6. Please give the typical weekly cost for a childminder who picks up children after school and provides childcare until about 6pm:</p> <p>Answer: Not recorded</p> <p>Sufficiency of childcare</p> <p>7. Based on the most recent update of the Childcare Sufficiency Assessment for your area, and other data available, please indicate whether there are is sufficient childcare for the following categories:</p>
--	--	---	---

		<p>available, please indicate whether there are is sufficient childcare for the following categories:</p> <p>Yes In most of the authority In parts of the authority No Don't know/not applicable</p> <p>Disabled children Parents working full time (i.e. 9am-5pm)</p> <p>Parents in rural areas Parents working atypical hours (i.e. outside 9am-5pm, Monday to Friday)</p> <p>8. In your local authority how many after-school clubs are run by local authorities, schools, the PVI sector and others respectively?</p> <p>Local authority [] Schools [] PVI sector [] Others []</p> <p>9. Are ALL primary schools in your local authority served by an after-school club that picks up from the school or is based there? Yes [] No [] Don't know []</p> <p>Free early education for two year olds 10. As of 24 November 2014, what is the total number of eligible two year old children who are presently taking up all or some their 15 hours of free early education? This should be the total number of two year old children receiving early education.</p>	<p>Disabled children Yes Parents working full time (i.e. 9am-5pm) Yes Parents in rural areas N/A - Newham Council does not have rural areas</p> <p>Parents working atypical hours (i.e. outside 9am-5pm, Monday to Friday) N/A – this information is not recorded by the Council</p> <p>8. In your local authority how many after-school clubs are run by local authorities, schools, the PVI sector and others respectively? Answer: This information is not recorded by the Local Authority.</p> <p>9. Are ALL primary schools in your local authority served by an after-school club that picks up from the school or is based there? Answer: This information is not recorded by the Local Authority.</p> <p>Free early education for two year olds</p> <p>10. As of 24 November 2014, what is the total number of eligible two year old children who are presently taking up all or some their 15 hours of free early education? This should be the total number of two year old children receiving early education. Answer: 1,156 in Autumn term 2014</p>
21947	14/01/2015	Subject : Business Rates Liability	Summary:

		<p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STILLER GROUP, ROYAL ALBERT BASIN STH, WOOLWICH MANOR WAY, LONDON, E16 2NJ - BA Reference: 00000974309501 	<p>Answer: 1. Stiller Group (In Liquidation) - 01/04/2008 to 22/04/2009 - Property Occupied for this period 2. Stiller Tankers Ltd - 23/04/2009 to 31/12/2009 - Property Occupied for this period 3. Stiller Warehousing & Distribution Ltd - 01/01/2010 to 31/03/2010 - Property Occupied for this period. 4. GVA Grimley - 01/04/2010 to date - Property vacant for this Period. Nil Charge.</p>
21946	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CROWN WHARF CORNER OF BIDDER STREET, WHARFSIDE ROAD, LONDON, E16 4TB - BA Reference: 00000962903001 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Property rating date is 11/05/2009. Property is vacant for the period 11/05/2009 to date. No Occupier details available.</p>
21945	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11B, SOUTH CRESCENT, LONDON, E16 4TL - BA Reference: 00000837511051 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. SGB Services Ltd - 01/04/2005 to 03/01/2010 - Property vacant from 06/05/2009 to 03/01/2010 – 6 months empty rate exemption granted from 06/05/2009 to 05/11/2009. Empty rates charge from 06/11/2009 to 03/01/2010. 2. Viridor Ltd - 04/01/10 to date - Property Occupied for this Period.</p>
21944	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EX SUN CHEMICAL SITE, BRADFIELD ROAD, LONDON, E16 2AX - BA Reference: 00000179860501 	<p>Answer: Property Rating date is 25/11/2009 Ballymore (Bow Paper) Ltd - 25/11/09 to date - Property vacant for this Period. Nil Charge.</p>
21943	14/01/2015	<p>Subject: Ratepayers</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BISHOPSGATE IRON & STEEL CO LTD UNITS 8-11, THAMES ROAD, LONDON, E16 2EZ - BA Reference: 00000870918001 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Answer: Property Rating date is 01/05/2008</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Bishopsgate Iron & Steel Ltd - 01/05/08 to 09/12/2012 - Occupied for this period. Bishopsgate Iron & Steel Ltd (In Liquidation) - 10/12/2012 to date - Property vacant for this Period. Nil Charge.
21942	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4, KNIGHTS ROAD, LONDON, E16 2AT - BA Reference: 00000571205007 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Answer: 1. Trad Scaffolding Company Ltd - 01/04/2005 to 10/05/2007 - Occupied for this period. 2. Tarmac Ltd - 11/05/2007 to date - Occupied for this period</p>

21941	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 42, STATION ROAD, LONDON, E12 5BT - BA Reference: 00000844844155 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Answer: 1. 01/04/05 to 31/03/12 - Property Occupied by Individual - Unable to disclose Information. Property was not vacant for this period. 2. Station Self Storage Ltd - 01/04/2012 to date - Occupied for this period.</p>
21940	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GATE 17 ROYAL ALBERT BASIN SOUTH, WOOLWICH MANOR WAY, LONDON, E16 2NJ - BA Reference: 00000974320481 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Answer: Property rating date is 19/11/2008. 1. Mainport Training London Ltd - 19/11/2008 to 31/12/2008 - Occupied for this period. 2. Local Employment Training Solutions Ltd - 01/01/2009 to date - Occupied for this period.</p>
21939	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Liability</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer name and periods of any empty rate charges where the billing authority have levied a rates charge following the expiry of the initial 3 months empty exemption since 1st April 2005, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 29, BIDDER STREET, LONDON, E16 4ST - BA Reference: 00000170273101 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Answer: Mayer Perry Recycling Ltd - Occupied since 01/04/2005</p>

21951	19/01/2015	<p>Subject : Assets of Community Value</p> <p>Please supply a list of applications for consideration as an Asset of Community value.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>We have received a total of six nominations for sites. Please see the list below.</p> <p>Queen's Market - Green Street, Upton Park London E13. Christendom House - 383 Barking Rd, Plaistow, E13 8AL Maud Street Car Park - Canning Town, E16 (four applications)</p>
21950	19/01/2015	<p>Subject : Dangerous Dogs</p> <p>I am contacting you concerning the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, as amended, legislation and request the following information relating to the year 2014 - 1st January to 30th November</p> <p>1) What number of dogs were seized by your police force/dog legislation officers/dog wardens during this time as prohibited types as defined under section 1 of Dangerous Dogs Act 1991?</p> <p>2) How many prohibited type dogs have been left at home under any 'leave at home' policy?</p> <p>3) Of those dogs seized as a prohibited type of dog-section 1 of the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, how many were disclaimed by their owners and destroyed?</p> <p>4) Of those dogs seized as a prohibited type of dog-section 1 of the Dangerous Dogs Act, how many were assessed, found not be a prohibited type of dog and returned to their owner?</p> <p>5) Of those dogs seized, how many were proceeded against via a criminal charge for an offence under section</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>I am contacting you concerning the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, as amended, legislation and request the following information relating to the year 2014 - 1st January to 30th November</p> <p>1) What number of dogs were seized by your police force/dog legislation officers/dog wardens during this time as prohibited types as defined under section 1 of Dangerous Dogs Act 1991? 48</p> <p>2) How many prohibited type dogs have been left at home under any 'leave at home' policy? None</p> <p>3) Of those dogs seized as a prohibited type of dog-section 1 of the Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, how many were disclaimed by their owners and destroyed? Three</p> <p>4) Of those dogs seized as a prohibited type of dog-section 1 of the Dangerous Dogs Act, how many were assessed, found not be a prohibited type of dog and returned to their owner? Two</p> <p>5) Of those dogs seized, how many were proceeded against via a criminal charge for an offence under section 1 of the Act? Twenty five</p> <p>6) Of those dogs seized as a prohibited type of dog - section 1 of the</p>

		<p>1 of the Act?</p> <p>6) Of those dogs seized as a prohibited type of dog - section 1 of the Dangerous Dogs Act, how many were taken to court via a section 4b application?</p>	<p>Dangerous Dogs Act, how many were taken to court via a section 4b application? Eleven</p>
21958	08/01/2015	<p>Subject : Children's Wellbeing</p> <p>I am carrying out a report on children's wellbeing and would like to request an FOI to find out:</p> <p>1) If you fund weight management programmes for children?</p> <p>2) If you do tender these services, (a) how much money do you provide and (b) how many children are the services intended to cater for?</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Newham Council does not currently commission specific children's weight management programmes for children nor has it decommissioned programmes since the Public Health transfer in 2013.</p> <p>Newham Council does, however, encourage children to be active and we offer a healthy free school meal programme for all school pupils in Newham's 69 primary schools.</p>
21956	29/01/2015	<p>Subject : Community Safety Recruitment</p> <p>Please can you provide the following information under this FOI request relating to the Government PREVENT strategy and the recent appointment of G**** H**** as Newham Council Prevent Officer:</p> <p>1. When was G**** H**** appointed as Newham Council's Prevent Officer?</p> <p>2. When was this post advertised before the appointment?</p> <p>3. How long was the post advertised for?</p> <p>4. Where was the post advertised?</p> <p>5. How many applicants applied for the post?</p> <p>6. How many applicants were shortlisted?</p> <p>7. Who was on the shortlisting panel? Please include any non-Newham Council Employees and their titles?</p> <p>8. Who was on the interview panel?</p> <p>Please include any non-Newham Council Employees and</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 10th November 2014 2. Late July 2014 3. Two weeks 4. The Guardian; JobsGoPublic; and the Newham Council website 5. 19 6. 5 7. The panel comprised of a Newham Council Enforcement Manager (also the prospective Line Manager); the Newham Council Youth Violence Co-ordinator; a representative of the Home Office 8. As above 9. The salary range for the post is £52,650 - £65,289 10. See attached 11. The interview was competency based. The questions related to the competencies outlined in the job description. 12. Newham implements the Government's Prevent strategy published in 2011.

		<p>their titles? 9. What is the salary of the post? 10. Please provide the Job Description and Job Specification of the post? 11. What questions were asked at the interview? 12. What is Newham Council's strategy for Prevent? 13. Which organisation is being used for 'Channel' referrals under the PREVENT strategy?</p>	<p>13. Home Office approved intervention providers are used when a vulnerable individual is assessed by the Channel Panel as in need of theological or ideological support. It is at the Channel Panel's discretion to decide which Home Office approved intervention provider would be appropriate for a case. The Council is not the data owner, therefore you may wish to contact the Home Office directly for information relating to intervention providers.</p>
21955	08/01/2015	<p>Subject : Farm Animal Welfare - Staffing</p> <p>Please can you provide figures for 2013 in relation to the following questions:</p> <p>Enforcement</p> <p>1. In relation to farm animal species only, how many prosecution proceedings did you commence for offences named in the Animal Welfare Act 2006? a. Of those proceedings, how many resulted in a successful prosecution?</p> <p>2. In relation to farm animal species only, how many improvement notices did you serve for failures to comply with section 9(1) of the Animal Welfare Act 2006?</p> <p>3. How many prosecution proceedings did you commence for offences named in Section 7 of the Welfare of Farmed Animals (England) Regulations 2007? a. Of those proceedings, how many resulted in a successful prosecution?</p> <p>4. How many compliance notices were issued by your inspectors for failures to comply with the Welfare of Animals (Transport) (England) Order 2006?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. None 2. None 3. None 4. None 5. None 6. 6</p>

		<p>Inspections</p> <p>1. How many people do you employ to carry out farm animal welfare inspections as part of their duties?</p> <p>a. How many farm animal welfare inspections did they complete?</p> <p>2. How many people do you employ to carry out enforcement of the Animal Health Act 1981 as part of their duties?</p>	
21957	14/01/2015	<p>Subject : Intrauterine Contraceptive Devices</p> <p>1. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority holds a contract(s) for the fitting of intrauterine devices and intrauterine systems (IUD/IUS) in</p> <p>a. General practice Yes/No</p> <p>b. Contraception and sexual health (CaSH) clinics Yes/No</p> <p>c. other settings in your local area Yes/No</p> <p>a. General practice, if confirmed, please supply details of the contract(s)</p> <p>i. please provide details of the number of practices/clinics signed up to the contract and what proportion this is of the total number of practices/clinics within the local authority area</p> <p>ii. please confirm or deny if the contract(s) specifies that healthcare professionals should meet national standards of training in order to fit IUD/IUS</p> <p>iii. please confirm or deny if the contract includes a referral pathway for women wishing to have an IUS/IUD fitted, if it is not available in the practice/clinic where they first request or are prescribed this method of</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority holds a contract(s) for the fitting of intrauterine devices and intrauterine systems (IUD/IUS) in</p> <p>a. General practice</p> <p>b. Contraception and sexual health (CaSH) clinics</p> <p>c. other settings in your local area</p> <p>Answer: The above are all confirmed</p> <p>d. General practice, if confirmed, please supply details of the contract(s)</p> <p>i. please provide details of the number of practices/clinics signed up to the contract and what proportion this is of the total number of practices/clinics within the local authority area</p> <p>Answer: 30 GPs have active IUS/IUD contracts; this represents 49% of all GPs</p> <p>ii. please confirm or deny if the contract(s) specifies that healthcare professionals should meet national standards of training in order to fit IUD/IUS</p> <p>Answer: This is confirmed</p> <p>iii. please confirm or deny if the contract includes a referral pathway for women wishing to have an IUS/IUD fitted, if it is not available in the practice/clinic where they first request or are prescribed this method of</p>

	<p>contraception</p> <p>iv. please confirm or deny whether the contract includes the funding of the unit cost for the IUS/IUD</p> <p>v. please confirm or deny whether the contract includes the funding of IUS for non-contraceptive purposes</p> <p>b. Contraception and Sexual Health clinics, if confirmed</p> <p>i. please confirm or deny if the contract(s) specifies that the service provides training for HCPs to fit IUS/IU Ds to national standards</p> <p>c. Other settings in your local area</p> <p>i. please confirm or deny if the contract(s) have been subcontracted and if so give details</p> <p>2. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority holds a list of practices and clinics which employ staff trained to fit IUD/IUS in the local authority area</p> <p>a. If confirmed, please supply details of the list</p> <p>b. If confirmed, what proportion is this of the total number of practices/clinics in the local authority area</p> <p>3. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority has formal arrangements in place to fund training for healthcare professionals to fit IUD/IUS for 2014/15</p> <p>a. If confirmed, will these funding arrangements be continued for the financial year 2015/16?</p> <p>b. If denied, what organisation is responsible for the commissioning of training for the fitting of IUD/IUS in your area?</p> <p>4. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority monitors the number of healthcare professionals qualified to deliver training in the fitting and removal of IUD/IUS in the local area</p>	<p>contraception</p> <p>Answer: For GPs that have not been contracted to provide IUS/IUD, there is a referral pathway into CaSH services for their patients</p> <p>iv. please confirm or deny whether the contract includes the funding of the unit cost for the</p> <p>Answer: N/A - this is paid for by the NHS</p> <p>v. please confirm or deny whether the contract includes the funding of IUS for non-contraceptive purposes</p> <p>Answer: This is denied</p> <p>e. Contraception and Sexual Health clinics, if confirmed - please confirm or deny if the contract(s) specifies that the service provides training for HCPs to fit IUS/IU Ds to national standards</p> <p>Answer: This is confirmed</p> <p>f. Other settings in your local area - please confirm or deny if the contract(s) have been subcontracted and if so give details</p> <p>Answer: This is denied</p> <p>2. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority holds a list of practices and clinics which employ staff trained to fit IUD/IUS in the local authority area</p> <p>Answer: This is confirmed</p> <p>a. If confirmed, please supply details of the list</p> <p>Answer: See list below:</p>
--	--	--

		<p>a. If confirmed, please supply details of the monitoring arrangements</p> <p>5. Please supply details on the proportion of IUD/IUS fitting in your local area which is carried out in (a) general practice, (b) CaSH clinics and (c) other settings</p>	<p>Abbey Road Medical Practice Boleyn Medical Centre Boleyn Road Practice Claremont Clinic Cumberland Medical Centre Dr CP Raina Dr N Driver And Partners Dr PCL Knight Dr R Samuel & Partner Dr SKS Swedan Dr T Lwin Dubal P & Patel E12 Health East Ham Medical Centre Essex Lodge Glen Road Medical Centre Greengate Medical Centre N Chalabi & M Khan Royal Docks Medical Practice Shrewsbury Road Surgery St Bartholomew's Surgery Star Lane Medical Centre The Upton Lane Medical Centre Tollgate Medical Centre Venugopal RS Woodgrange Medical Centre Wordsworth Health Centre East End Medical Centre Custom House Surgery Plasht Medical Centre</p> <p>b. If confirmed, what proportion is this of the total number of practices/clinics in the local authority area</p> <p>Answer: This represents 49% of the total practices/ clinics in Newham</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>3. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority has formal arrangements in place to fund training for healthcare professionals to fit IUD/IUS for 2014/15</p> <p>Answer: This is confirmed</p> <p>a. If confirmed, will these funding arrangements be continued for the financial year 2015/16?</p> <p>Answer: We are unable to confirm as no decision has been made as yet</p> <p>b. If denied, what organisation is responsible for the commissioning of training for the fitting of IUD/IUS in your area?</p> <p>Answer: We are unable to confirm as no decision has been made as yet</p> <p>4. Please confirm or deny whether the local authority monitors the number of healthcare professionals qualified to deliver training in the fitting and removal of IUD/IUS in the local area</p> <p>Answer: This is confirmed</p> <p>a. If confirmed, please supply details of the monitoring arrangements</p> <p>Answer: Monitoring arrangements are through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Quarterly Primary Care monitoring meetings- Performance dashboards- Invoice management <p>5. Please supply details on the proportion of IUD/IUS fitting in your local area which is carried out in:</p> <p>(a) general practice</p> <p>Answer: GP – 33%</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>(b) CaSH clinics; and</p> <p>Answer: 66%</p> <p>(c) other settings</p> <p>Answer: less than 1%</p>
21960	16/01/2015	<p>Subject : OT Services</p> <p>Do you currently tender out any OT services or have any opportunities for independent OT companies to put themselves forward for consideration coming up in the near future?</p> <p>Do you use any tender portals or procurement websites which we should be aware of and registered with to ensure we are kept informed of opportunities and be able to apply when any appropriate opportunities arise?</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Occupational Therapy services are provided 'in-house' at the current time with therapists working in each team across the Adults Social Care pathway to support multi disciplinary team working. We are using locums to cover some vacancies and will be exploring per case working.</p> <p>We have legal agreement to use the Pan London OT framework for OT services that was established by the City of Westminster for external provision of OT where necessary. This would negate the need to undertake a formal tender exercise at the current time or whilst the framework is in place. When the framework is due to be renewed, we will work with the lead authority to ensure it is open for competition to as many suppliers as possible to guarantee a fair and competitive process.</p> <p>Newham Council use a system called NECTR which advertises to all contractors registered.</p> <p>We currently advertise all of our activity on the Newham Council website and internationally any that fall within the European thresholds. We will be moving to new e-tendering system named CapitalESourcing in April which will deliver similar opportunities and advertise in the conventional way. Should Newham Council outsource in the future, the total spend over the period of the contract is likely to be sufficient for an OJEU notice for which we will duly complete.</p> <p>Further information is available on the Newham website on the link below http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Procurement-how-we-buy.aspx</p>

21962	16/01/2015	<p>Subject : Voter Numbers</p> <p>I would like to submit the following Freedom of Information requests</p> <p>Please provide the number of voters who are registered on the council's most recent electoral register.</p> <p>Please provide the number of voters who were not automatically transferred to the council's new electoral register.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>The Electoral Register is published by the Electoral Registration Officer and not by the Council.</p> <p>The Electoral Registration Officer, though appointed by the Council, is independent of the Council and as such is not subject to FOI legislation.</p> <p>You are therefore advised to contact the Electoral Registration Officer directly for this information on the contact details below.</p> <p>Paul Libreri Head of Electoral Services Town Hall Barking Road London E6 2RP</p> <p>Paul.Libreri@oneSource.co.uk</p>
21961	20/01/2015	<p>Subject : Local Assistance Scheme</p> <p>I would like to submit a Freedom of Information request about your Local Welfare Assistance Scheme.</p> <p>I would like to know:</p> <p>What is your total budget for this service for this financial year? How much from your budget is currently remaining for this financial year? How many applications have you received so far for this financial year? How many successful applications have been approved so far for this financial year?</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>1. What is your total budget for this service for this financial year? Our total budget for this financial year is £1,060,966.</p> <p>2. How much from your budget is currently remaining for this financial year? A total of £973,818 of the total budget is currently remaining.</p> <p>3. How many applications have you received so far for this financial year? A total of 1252 applications have been received for this financial year so far.</p> <p>4. How many successful applications have been approved so far for this</p>

			<p>financial year?</p> <p>A total of 407 applications have been successful so far this financial year.</p> <p>Requests will be unsuccessful where the applicant does not meet the criteria. To qualify, applicants should be receiving a qualifying state benefit or have been resident in the borough for the required time. In most unsuccessful applications, the requester is referred back to the DWP for a short term benefit advance or a budgeting loan.</p>
22003	20/01/2015	<p>Subject: Sanctuary Schemes</p> <p>1. The total number of households that are/were part of a sanctuary scheme in your area in each of the following years: 2009/10, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14.</p> <p>2. The total number of sanctuary scheme properties affected by the spare room subsidy in your area in: 2012/13 and 2013/14.</p> <p>The term 'sanctuary scheme' is used to describe the individual packages, informed by a risk assessment, that enhance security for households at risk of domestic violence, and may include, amongst other measures: reinforced exterior doors, window alarms, intercom systems, sanctuary rooms, window grills and video entry systems.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. 2008/09 = 22 cases 2009/10 = 43 cases 2010/11 = 31 cases 2011/12 = the scheme ceased in 2011/12 and took no further referrals from this year onwards. There are therefore no figures for this or subsequent years. 2012/13 = as above 2013/14 = as above</p> <p>2. None, as the Sanctuary Scheme ceased in 2011/12.</p>
21959	08/01/2015	<p>Subject : Business Rates Relief</p> <p>Could you please provide me with the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:-</p> <p>All commercial properties within your billing authority, currently RECEIVING any types of business rates relief.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the amounts of credits and years within which they arose. Operationally we do not require our systems to be capable of compiling such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of</p>

		<p>i.e. Small Business Rate Relief, Mandatory Relief, Charitable Rate Relief, Rural Rate Relief, Enterprise Area Relief, Empty Property Relief etc.</p> <p>Could you please provide this in excel format to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Company Name/Liable Party, - Rateable Value, - Property Address, - Property Reference Number, - Account Start Date, - Property Description, - The Type of Relief being applied <p>Could you also state when the list was last updated (i.e. how current it is)</p>	<p>individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to any credits and the years generated in the requested time frame. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
22002	24/01/2015	<p>Subject: Road Maintenance/Inspection Records</p> <p>I am writing to request info relating to a road you're responsible for maintaining. My query relates to Barking Road at junction with Inniskillig Rd. Please send me:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -copy of your current road maintenance policy relating to that road. Please send me the full policy, incl details of the intended frequency of road safety inspections,how these inspections should be conducted & the max time between identification of a defect &repairs carried out. - the road repair history for that road over the past year. Please send me the full road repair history & incl dates of all safety inspections between 14/5/2012 & 15/5/2013 - details of how safety inspections were undertaken (walked/driven, speed of inspection) 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Location: Barking Road at Junction /with Inniskilling Road</p> <p>In relation to Road Maintenance Policy regarding the location mentioned, the Council approved a schedule of inspection and repair action at the Cabinet meeting of 20th October 2011. Details can be found on the Council's website, which will provide the full policy with details of the frequency of safety inspections.</p> <p>Please find below the links to the Cabinet Report and Agenda relating to this -</p> <p>Agenda - https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/g8156/Public%20reports%20pack%2020th-Oct-2011%2017.00%20Cabinet.pdf?T=10</p>

		<p>- details of all carriageway defects identified, with description, date & time</p> <p>- details of how the authority handled these defects, repairs undertaken & time between identification of each defect & a repair being carried out.</p>	<p>Minutes https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/g8156/Printed%20minutes%2020th-Oct-2011%2017.00%20Cabinet.pdf?T=1</p> <p>Maintenance of principal roads are based on Annual Condition Survey which are carried out by TFL. Based on condition indices certain sections of the network are put forward for treatment and submitted to TFL for funding.</p> <p>Please see below the road repair history of carriageway and footway defects resulting from safety inspections between 14/5/2012 and 15/5/2013 and including December 2014.</p> <p>- O/s 742 Barking Road j/w Inniskilling Road - Footway Paving Repair - Temporary Raised - 02/09/13 Completed - 02/09/13</p> <p>- O/s 742 Barking j/w Inniskilling Road - Footway Paving repair - Permanent Raised - 02/09/13 Completed - 24/09/13</p> <p>- S/o 3 Inniskilling Road - Carriageway Patch Repair on Carriageway Raised - 04/09/13 Completed - 12/09/13</p> <p>- Barking Road j/w Inniskilling Road - Carriageway Paving Repair - Temporary Raised - 02/10/14 Completed - 01/10/14 (Raised verbally on 01/10/14)</p> <p>Details of all safety inspections were carried out on foot. This location is inspected annually for Inniskilling Road (Route 12M 047) and on a Monthly basis for Barking Road E13 (Route M 216) as part of our Highway Safety Inspection Regime.</p>
--	--	--	---

22004	21/01/2015	<p>Subject : Social Care Costs - Assets</p> <p>1. Following social care financial assessments, how many people in each of the past 5 financial years (each year from to 2009/2010 to 2013-2014) have been deemed to have sheltered assets/deliberately deprived themselves of assets to increase eligibility for local authority funding?</p> <p>2. How many times in each of the past 5 financial years (each year from to 2009/20010 to 2013-2014) has the authority taken action to recover contributions towards care charges. For example, through imposing a charge on assets or recovered assets?</p> <p>3. How much money has been recovered towards care costs from people deemed to have sheltered assets/deliberately deprived themselves of assets?</p> <p>4. Does the council employ officers to actively investigate whether a person subject to financial assessment has sheltered assets/deliberately deprived themselves of assets? If so, how do these officers gather evidence? Please provide some indicative examples of evidence used as proof in past cases.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. None</p> <p>2. None</p> <p>3. N/A</p> <p>4. No</p>
22001	19/01/2015	<p>Subject: Public Toilets</p> <p>I am carrying out a survey on behalf of Transport for London on the amount of Public Toilets within the 32 Boroughs of London.</p> <p>I can see that your Borough has 9 toilets of which 9 are 24 hours a day.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. See below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - St John's Car Park E6 (Disabled Free Radar Key, men's urinal Free, Cubical 10p) - Romford Road E7 (Disabled Free Radar Key, men's urinal Free, Cubical 10p) - Hamara Ghar Square (Disabled Free Radar Key, men's urinal Free,

		<p>Could you please let me know if there is a charge to use these facilities.</p> <p>Also certain toilets are within close proximity to parking meters, can you advise on the minimum –maximum charges and method of payment (for example pay and display, pay by Credit Card or Cash) for each zone.</p>	<p>Cubical 10p)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clements Road E6 (Disabled Radar Key free, 20p) - Romford Road/Herbert Rd (Disabled Radar Key Free, men's urinal Free, Cubical 10p) - Green Gate (Disabled Radar Key free, 10p) - Shaftsbury Road (Disabled Radar Key free, 10p) - Queens Market (Disabled Radar Key free, 10p) - West Ham Lane (Disabled Radar Key free, 10p) <p>2. Car Park and Pay and Display charges are available on our website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Car-parks-and-on-street-pay-and-display.aspx</p> <p>The payments methods are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stratford Multi Storey – Cash and debit/credit card <p>For the following car parks payment methods are cash or phone payment i.e payment made by phone using debit or credit card</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - St Johns East - St Johns West - Queens Market - Shaftsbury Road - Madge Gill - Settle Point <p>For only on-street pay and display, the payment method is currently cash only however, a pay by phone option should be in place with 3 to 6 months.</p>
22012	23/01/2015	<p>Subject: Concierge Services in Council Blocks</p> <p>I am seeking information under the Concierge Review;</p> <p>1 There are 28 Concierge Tower Blocks in LBN how many residents pay rent and how many are lease holders.</p> <p>2 How long has LBN been aware that the concierge</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>1. There are 28 concierge blocks in LBN, How many pay rent and how many are lease holders?</p> <p>There are a total of 2220 properties, of which 300 are leasehold. It should be noted these figures often fluctuate due to Right to buy completions and voids.</p>

		<p>service has been running at a £5000,000 deficit, to date how has that deficit been paid, what budget from</p> <p>3. How were the charges calculated fro each of the 28 blocks with concierge's</p> <p>4. within those 28 blocks with concierges, was there any profit generated within rental income, if so how much, and how was this profit allocated within that service</p> <p>5. How much Crime and Anti Social Behaviour has been recorded within tower blocks without concierges and again with concierges, including response times over the last 2 years</p>	<p>2. How long has LBN been aware of the £5,000,000 deficit within concierge services, and how that deficit has been paid to date where from etc?</p> <p>As part of the reintegration of Newham Homes into the Council from 2011, a series of reviews were programmed. In 2011/12 the deficit was £860k.</p> <p>3. How were charges calculated from each of the 28 blocks?</p> <p>When the service was set up charges were split between those properties receiving a service, applying a formula relating to rateable value and for leaseholders the type of lease they have.</p> <p>4. Within those 28 blocks, was there any profit generated within rent income, if so, how much and how was it allocated?</p> <p>All income is pooled in order to provide the best possible service across all blocks. There is a small amount of cross subsidy in order to support smaller blocks and to enable shared cover arrangements.</p> <p>5. CCTV: Crime and ASB we are requesting statistics for non concierge blocks and concierge blocks, broken down into response times and the difference between the two, we would like this to reflect the last two years please?</p> <p>Non-concierge blocks – 2012/13 total of 207 ASB service requests = average of 4.3 per block</p> <p>Non-concierge blocks – 2013/14 total of 170 ASB service requests = average of 3.5 per block</p> <p>Concierge blocks – 2012/13 total of 122 ASB service requests = average of 4.4 per block</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>Concierge blocks – 2013/14 total of 123 ASB service requests = average of 4.4 per block</p> <p>We do not hold information in respect of the response times of service requests.</p>																								
22007	23/01/2015	<p>Subject : Personal Injury Claims</p> <p>I'm looking for statistics for the most recent year available showing how many people within the borough have made a personal injury claim against the Council and a comparison to London and then the UK and/or England. Alongside a breakdown of men and women would also be advantageous.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the number of injury only claims submitted to the Council between 01.01.2014 and 13.01.2015.</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Claimant from:</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Male</th> <th>Female</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Newham</td> <td>141</td> <td>60</td> <td>81</td> </tr> <tr> <td>London (not inc Newham)</td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non-London</td> <td>41</td> <td>27</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>37</td> <td>16</td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Totals</td> <td>229</td> <td>106</td> <td>123</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Please note the home address or resident status of claimants would not be known where claims may have been submitted through solicitors or legal representation.</p> <p>We do not hold claims statistics for other areas in London, or collective city-wide figures.</p> <p>We do not hold national comparative statistics.</p>	Claimant from:	Total	Male	Female	Newham	141	60	81	London (not inc Newham)	10	3	7	Non-London	41	27	14	Unknown	37	16	21	Totals	229	106	123
Claimant from:	Total	Male	Female																								
Newham	141	60	81																								
London (not inc Newham)	10	3	7																								
Non-London	41	27	14																								
Unknown	37	16	21																								
Totals	229	106	123																								
22006	20/01/2015	<p>Subject : Mental Health</p> <p>I'm looking for statistics for the most recent year available showing how many people within the borough have been</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>This information is already publicly available and can be independently sourced through the statistical data held on the Health and Social Care</p>																								

		<p>diagnosed with mental health issues, and a comparison to London and then the UK and/or England. Alongside a breakdown of men and women would also be advantageous.</p>	<p>Information Centre website.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web link to this website below http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB15751</p> <p>Information has been gathered from primary care registers and prevalence rates can be compared with national rates and other CCG rates across London and the country.</p> <p>We do not hold this information broken down by gender.</p> <p>Further statistical data can also be located on the Public Health England website on the link given below; http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile-group/mental-health/profile/common-mental-disorders</p>
22015	24/01/2015	<p>Subject: CYPS Social Care</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) How many vacancies for permanent social work staff do you have currently? 2) What is your social work vacancy rate currently? 3) How many social work agency staff do you employ currently? 4) How many social work staff have you successfully recruited in the last 12 months? 5) What is the LA approximate spend per annum on advertising for social work posts? 6) Where do you principally advertise social work posts? <p>Please only consider children's social care and also</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 46 2. 19% 3. 46 4. 34 Social Workers were recruited between 1st Jan to 31st December 2014 5. £4,616.00 6. Jobs Go Public

		managers and senior managers as well as social workers in the term social work staff.	
22013	23/01/2015	<p>Subject: Staff Parking</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 I seek the following information about Newham Borough Council staff parking services.</p> <p>A. Are predominantly office based staff able to access a staff/members parking scheme?</p> <p>B. Are all staff/members able to access the scheme or is there a criteria? If there is a qualifying criteria, please send it.</p> <p>C. Does the scheme offer parking to staff/members at a reduced/subsidised rate than market value?</p> <p>D. How much does it cost for staff/members?</p> <p>E. How many staff/members are on the parking scheme?</p> <p>F. Are blue badge holders able to access parking for free?</p> <p>G. Do you have an essential car user scheme, please send qualification criteria and policy.</p> <p>H. Do essential car users have to pay?</p> <p>I. Send staff parking policy.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>1. Are predominantly office based staff able to access a staff/members parking scheme?</p> <p>At the Council's primary back office location, Newham Dockside, parking is available for office staff in the staff car park at a monthly fee. Limited additional car parking spaces are available at other local offices.</p> <p>Members are able to access limited spaces in the underground car park of Newham Dockside. Spaces in the underground car park are not available to staff under the staff parking scheme.</p> <p>2. Are all staff/members able to access the scheme or is there a criteria? If there is a qualifying criteria, please send it.</p> <p>The staff parking scheme is available to all Newham Dockside based staff.</p> <p>3. Does the scheme offer parking to staff/members at a reduced/subsidised rate than market value? Yes.</p> <p>4. How much does it cost for staff/members? £40 per month for Dockside</p> <p>5. How many staff/members are on the parking scheme?</p> <p>There are 200 staff registered for the Dockside car park scheme.</p> <p>6. Are blue badge holders able to access parking for free? Yes</p> <p>7. Do you have an essential car user scheme, please send qualification criteria and policy.</p> <p>Please see the attached policy in relation to the essential car user scheme.</p>

			<p>8. Do essential car users have to pay? No.</p> <p>9. Send staff parking policy. Please see the attached staff parking policy.</p>
22014	23/01/2015	<p>Subject : Investigation Report</p> <p>The briefing provided by Caroline Bloch and Di Smith to Tim Blanchard as instigator of the events at Scott Wilkie Primary School on October 7th 2014.</p> <p>I want a full copy of all of the information supplied to him by the LA preparatory to carrying out the investigation.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We are unable to release the requested information as disclosure of this information would result in the identification of the personal data of both the requester and associated third parties. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) 2000 and the personal data of the requester is exempt from disclosure under Section 40 (1) of the Act.</p> <p>Information relating to any investigations into very specific events relevant to a particular school on a specific data will unavoidably result in the personal data of individuals and the involvement in any related events being disclosed. Due to the very exact nature of the information requested, even in a redacted form it will still be possible to identify the data and surrounding events of those involved.</p> <p>Disclosure of third party personal information would contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the Council. Section 40 of the FOIA provides an absolute exemption; therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest in disclosure.</p>
22022	23/01/2015	<p>Subject : Land Charges</p> <p>As you may be aware, proceedings are being contemplated against all local authorities in England and</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an</p>

		<p>Wales in respect of unlawful charging of LLC1 and Environmental Information fees. These fees are in relation to the supply of data necessary for the provision of local searches by the private search sector. Negotiations between the parties, namely APPS (Amalgamated Personal Property Searches Ltd) and the Local Government Association acting collectively for its members (represented by Bevan Brittan Solicitors) are now at an advanced stage. It has been brought to our attention that many local authorities have now made budgetary provision for the restitution of these fees in anticipation of settlement of the matter.</p> <p>I would be grateful if you can confirm if such a provision within your Council's finances has been agreed or is in the process of being agreed. If so, please confirm the sum which has been set aside.</p>	<p>exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>Any information as to whether or not any such funds are held for this purpose is information held on a confidential basis. Such information relates to the aforementioned claims and to legal advice received by authorities in relation to those claims and is therefore confidential. Confidential information is exempt under Section 41 of the Act. This is an absolute exemption therefore there is no need to apply the public interest test.</p>
22030	30/01/2015	<p>Subject : Learning Disabilities - Children</p> <p>. Has your local authority got a community team that supports children with a learning disability (aged 0-18) specifically?</p> <p>5. Does the team have professionals in it with expertise in assessing and managing behaviour that challenges in children with a learning disability? If so, please list the number and type of these professionals. Please give this information for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p> <p>6. How much money is spent on behaviour expertise in the community team(s) to support children with a learning disability? Please give the figures for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>1. Has your local authority got a community team that supports children with a learning disability (aged 0-18) specifically?</p> <p>We do not have a community team which specifically supports children with a learning disability.</p> <p>2. Does the team have professionals in it with expertise in assessing and managing behaviour that challenges in children with a learning disability? If so, please list the number and type of these professionals. Please give this information for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1.</p> <p>3. How much money is spent on behaviour expertise in the community team(s) to support children with a learning disability? Please give the figures for the last</p>

			<p>3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p> <p>Please see our response to Question 1.</p>
22029	23/01/2015	<p>Subject : Learning Disabilities - Adults</p> <p>1. Has your local authority got a community team that supports adults with a learning disability (aged 18-64) specifically?</p> <p>2. Does the team have professionals in it with expertise in assessing and managing behaviour that challenges in adults with a learning disability? If so, please list the number and type of these professionals. Please give this information for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p> <p>3. How much money is spent on behaviour expertise in the community team(s) to support adults with a learning disability specifically? Please give figures for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>1. Has your local authority got a community team that supports adults with a learning disability (aged 18-64) specifically?</p> <p>Newham's approach is not to have specific teams for different adult social care population groups, to this effect there is no one team specifically dedicated to this group. However people with learning disabilities have their social care needs assessed by our assessment and care management social work teams, which operate as a pathway. If a person has significant needs, they would be managed through the social work pathway that is resourced with skilled and experienced social workers.</p> <p>We work closely in partnership with Newham's learning disability community health team which is run by East London Foundation Trust (funded by Newham Clinical Commissioning Group).</p> <p>2. Does the team have professionals in it with expertise in assessing and managing behaviour that challenges in adults with a learning disability? If so, please list the number and type of these professionals. Please give this information for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p> <p>As stated above, there is no dedicated social care team for specific groups. Social work staff are skilled and trained in carrying out assessments - including where a person may have behaviour that challenges (working with health as and when appropriate). We work closely in partnership with the community health team which consists of psychiatry, psychology, nursing, speech and language therapy, occupational therapy, physiotherapy and art therapy - many of whom have expertise in assessing and managing behaviour that can be challenging for adults with a learning disability.</p>

			<p>3. How much money is spent on behaviour expertise in the community team(s) to support adults with a learning disability specifically? Please give figures for the last 3 financial years (2013/14, 2012/13, 2011/12).</p> <p>We do not hold this information. As stated above, the community team in Newham is managed by the NHS - East London Foundation Trust and commissioned by Newham Clinical Commissioning Group.</p>
22026	28/01/2015	<p>Subject: London Pleasure Gardens</p> <p>In an answer to a request from Mr Mike Law (your reference: E14959) you stated on 16 April 2013, among other things; "When the Council has reached agreement on the proposals for the site, the appropriate public announcements of these plans will be made".</p> <p>(1). When did the Council reach agreement on the proposals for the site?</p> <p>(2). What public announcements were made?</p> <p>(3). How much in total did Newham Council invest in this project and how much was lost?</p> <p>(4) Aside from the original investment, how much did the Liquidators attribute as losses to Newham Council?</p> <p>(5) Did Newham Council pay the receivers/liquidators costs, and if so how much did that cost the Council?</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>In an answer to a request (your reference: E14959) you stated on 16 April 2013, among other things; "When the Council has reached agreement on the proposals for the site, the appropriate public announcements of these plans will be made".</p> <p>1. When did the Council reach agreement on the proposals for the site?</p> <p>An agreement on any proposals for the site was not achieved. The site was returned to the landowner on 1st November 2014, the termination date of the lease.</p> <p>2. What public announcements were made?</p> <p>As no agreement was reached, no public announcements were made.</p> <p>3. How much in total did Newham Council invest in this project and how much was lost?</p> <p>The original loan made for this project was £3.3 million.</p> <p>The further expenditure in relation to London Pleasure Gardens is detailed below</p>

			<p>Arrangement and monitoring costs - £146,000</p> <p>Running costs - £256,000</p> <p>Loan for running costs - £501,000</p> <p>Administration costs - £444,000</p> <p>Purchase of Assets - £120,000</p> <p>4. Aside from the original investment, how much did the Liquidators attribute as losses to Newham Council?</p> <p>The loan for running costs at £501,000.</p> <p>5. Did Newham Council pay the receivers/liquidators costs, and if so how much did that cost the Council?</p> <p>Yes. The Administration costs were £444,000.</p>
22036	22/01/2015	<p>Subject : Recycling</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information</p> <p>1) How much (by volume) recyclable material was collected from Newham Borough residents during 2009-2010, 2010-1011, 2011-2012, 2012 – 2013 and 2013-2014?</p> <p>2) Where does this material go to be recycled?</p> <p>3) How much did Newham Borough receive in income from recycling in the tax years 2009-2010, 2010-1011, 2011-2012, 2012 – 2013 and 2013-2014?</p> <p>In the interests of clarity I would be grateful if you could return your answers to the above questions under Sub</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) Waste data is reported nationally through www.wastedataflow.org, where you can access the requested information.</p> <p>2) All waste collected by Newham Council is delivered to the East London Waste Authority.</p> <p>3) Newham did not receive any income from recycling in these tax years.</p>

		headings of Garden waste, Glass, Paper, cans, batteries and Plastic.	
22032	20/01/2015	<p>Subject: Conversions - Planning Applications</p> <p>I would like to request statistics about planning permission for house to flat conversions in Newham Borough from 1 January 2013-December 2014.</p> <p>Specifically I'd like to know how many requests have been granted and how many rejected.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is reasonably accessible by other means. The full details of the planning applications you have requested are already publically available.</p> <p>This information may be independently retrieved through the Council's Public Access website. The relevant web link is: https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=64387469AD16B67A3CA3D5F13DDC706A?action=simple&searchType=Application</p>
22037	30/01/2015	<p>Subject: CYPS Social Workers</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) How many vacancies for permanent children's social work staff do you have currently? 2) What is your children's social work vacancy rate currently? 3) How many children's social work agency staff do you employ currently? 4) How many children's social work staff has you successfully recruited in The last 12 months? 5) What is the starting salary for a newly qualified children's social worker <p>Please only consider children's social care and employees with a recognized social work qualification and express answers in numbers and percentages</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom of Information Act:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many vacancies for permanent children's social work staff do you have currently? 46 2. What is your children's social work vacancy rate currently? 19% 3. How many children's social work agency staff do you employ currently? 46 4. How many children's social work staff has you successfully recruited in The last 12 months? 34 social workers were recruited between 1st January - 31st December 2014

22039	26/01/2015	<p>Subject: Licensed Tattoo studios</p> <p>A list of Tattoo Studios registered in your area</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Please find below the list of Tattooists currently licensed by London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Our system has recovered the postcodes of these establishments, the rest of the contact details are likely to already be publicly available on the internet.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Tattoo Deep Black Ink, E12 6BT * Lord Filby (Tattooing), E7 8AD * Twisted Shell, E6 1LA * Ink Stars, E16 4RF * Skin Decision, E6 2JX * Alice In Tattoo Land, E15 4BZ * Fantas Beauty, E15 1XQ
22060	21/01/2015	<p>Subject: Surveillance</p> <p>I would like to request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does the council conduct any form of surveillance? Yes/No. If so: 2. Which departments / teams undertake surveillance activity? e.g. trading standards, corporate fraud, licencing, environmental health, community safety, HR / Audit, Anti Social Behaviour, Benefit Fraud etc? 3. When was the last time your local authority was inspected by the Office of Surveillance Commissioners (OFC) to ensure compliance with legislation? 4. When is your Local Authority next due an inspection (if known)? 5. Who is the main council contact for inspections? Please provide their job title, name, e-mail address and phone number. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. Trading Standards, Food Standards, Licensing, Environmental Health, Anti-Social Behaviour & Noise, Neighbourhood Operations and Internal Audit & Counter Fraud. 3. 15th May 2011 4. We do not know the next date of inspection although inspections generally occur once every two years. 5. Matthew Hooper, Enforcement Manager

22045	04/02/2015	<p>Subject : Olympic Stadium Expansion</p> <p>The document below, in the section 'Reason for Urgency' at the opening to the document, refers to 'other manor landowner' apart from Newham and the LLDC.</p> <p>1. Please provide information as to who this 'other major landowner' is and any other freehold or leasehold interests on the site.</p> <p>2. Are other landowners, freeholders and leaseholders participating in the scheme described in the document?</p> <p>Report to Council 29th September 2014</p> <p>Expansion of Olympic Park Partnership</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The other major landowner is the National Grid.</p> <p>2. Discussions have taken place with the range of organisations with an interest in the site. These discussions have been preliminary, as they required decisions from London Borough of Newham prior to detailed negotiations taking place.</p>
22040	30/01/2015	<p>Subject : Sheltered Housing - Third Avenue</p> <p>How many organisations own, run or have purchased properties for supported/sheltered housing in Third Avenue, Manor Park, E12?</p> <p>What are the names of the organisations that own, run or manage supported/sheltered housing on Third Avenue, Manor Park, E12</p> <p>What is the nature of the supported housing offered by organisations located in Third Avenue, Manor Park E12?</p> <p>How many residents are housed in each property offering supported/sheltered housing in Third Avenue, E12?</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>We do not hold the full scope of the information you have requested in respect of the private ownership and operation of any such facilities on Third Avenue, E12.</p> <p>We are only able to advise on the recorded information held by Adult Services in respect of those properties which may have been used by the Council for supported/sheltered accommodation. Please see the information held below.</p> <p>It should also be advised that the requested information in respect of any such privately owned services on this street could be independently sourced from any number of search facilities publicly available on the internet.</p> <p>1. How many organisations own, run or have purchased properties for</p>

		<p>What organisation bought and runs the supported housing at 148 Third Avenue, Manor Park, E12?</p>	<p>supported/sheltered housing in Third Avenue, Manor Park, E12? Adult Services are aware of one organisation which runs a supported living scheme.</p> <p>2. What are the names of the organisations that own, run or manage supported/sheltered housing on Third Avenue, Manor Park, E12 We are aware of Aspirations Care who run a supported living scheme.</p> <p>3. What is the nature of the supported housing offered by organisations located in Third Avenue, Manor Park E12? Aspirations Care is supported accommodation for people with a mental health support need. The information we have are that the services are mainly for community access (floating support) services and additional supported living services.</p> <p>4. How many residents are housed in each property offering supported/sheltered housing in Third Avenue, E12? We do not hold this information.</p> <p>5. What organisation bought and runs the supported housing at Third Avenue, Manor Park, E12? Aspirations Care run the service at this property. We do not hold information in respect of ownership. You are advised to consult the Land Registry which would hold information in respect of property owners. https://www.gov.uk/search-property-information-land-registry</p>
22062	29/01/2015	<p>Subject : Equality Framework for Local Government</p> <p>Has the council assessed against the Equality Framework for Local Government?</p> <p>I was hoping you could clarify or provide some further information.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Newham's Equality and Cohesion plan sets out the Council's vision for advancing equality and promoting community cohesion for all residents in the borough. The plan was developed within the context of the Equality Act (2010), taking into account the need to consider all protected characteristics, including for Newham, tackling class disadvantage (socio-economic). Central to our approach towards equalities is to deliver services and develop policies that are</p>

			<p>mainstream, inclusive and support community cohesion and integration and advance equality for all residents.</p> <p>A link is provided to the council's equality and cohesion plan:</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Equality-and-cohesion-plan.aspx</p>
22046	30/01/2015	<p>Subject : Councillors</p> <p>1. Will the mayor Mr Wales be compensated for not reaching 150 plus published pictures of himself per year in the Newham mag?.</p> <p>2. Does the council offer any service to elected members of the council to combat any of the following, egotism, vanity or narcissism?.</p> <p>3. What departments will answer the above questions?.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>This is not a valid request for information under the Freedom of Information Act. You are seeking a response to your observation of the Mayor and the Council, rather than recorded information held by the Authority. It is therefore not a valid request for recorded information applicable under the Act.</p>
22069	20/01/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates Accounts Reporting</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom Of Information Act 2000 in an excel format:-</p> <p>(a) List all non-domestic properties and their addresses (b) The names of the Rate payers referred to above for each property (excluding personal information) (c) The billing authority reference number for each property (e) The date the rateable occupier first became liable for the business rates.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the relief awarded. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to the receipt of relief. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has</p>

			<p>decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The general information requested in relation to business rates accounts is already accessible through the information publicly available on the Valuation Office Agency website. Please see the relevant web link below: http://www.voa.gov.uk</p>												
22067	29/01/2015	<p>Subject : Litter Bins</p> <p>I am trying to establish how the council procures its external litter bins and have the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What has the council spent in each of the last 4 years to March 2014 on external street and park litter bins? • Going forward how is the budget expected to change and by how much? • Who have been the top 5 suppliers of litter bins in each of these years and how much did the council spend with each? • Has the council followed a tender process? If so can you provide details including when any existing contracts come to an end. • If the council does not follow a tender process, how does the council select its supplier? • Does the council have a policy for renewals of litter bins? If so please can I have a description. • Does the council rent or lease any litter bins? If so please can I have details • When do you make the majority of your purchases? Jan – March, April – Jun, Jul – Sept & Oct – Dec • Please provide access to any committee reports 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1.</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>£79,166</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>£230,670</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>£6,480</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>£7,699</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>£324,015</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>2. The budget going forward is £10,000.</p> <p>3. The Council have only used two suppliers in the last 4 years: - Unicorn Containers, total of £257,362 since 2010/11 including VAT and installation (Olympics). - Broxap, total of £66,653 since 2010/11 including VAT.</p> <p>4. A mini competition was carried out on the ESPO framework in November 2011 for the supply and installation of street litter bins paid for by the Olympic money. The contract has now expired.</p>	Year	Total	2010/11	£79,166	2011/12	£230,670	2012/13	£6,480	2013/14	£7,699	Total	£324,015
Year	Total														
2010/11	£79,166														
2011/12	£230,670														
2012/13	£6,480														
2013/14	£7,699														
Total	£324,015														

		or council discussion papers prepared since 1 January 2013 that address the council's plans for litter bin procurement	<p>5. N/A</p> <p>6. No</p> <p>7. No</p> <p>8. As and when required</p> <p>9. None</p>
22068	21/01/2015	<p>Subject : Council Tax Support scheme in 2015/16</p> <p>I would like to request the following information relating to the local council tax support scheme (sometimes referred to as council tax reduction) in your area:</p> <p>1. Does your local authority intend to change its current council tax support scheme in April 2015? (yes/no/undecided)</p> <p>2. If yes, please outline if and how any of the following components of the scheme will change in 2015/16:</p> <p>For each Will this be different in 2015/16? (Yes or No) Details (e.g. such as reducing the savings limit to £6,000 or changing the taper rate to 25%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Require all residents to pay at least some of their council tax liability, regardless of income - Cap the amount that can be claimed based on the band of the property - The rate at which council tax support is withdrawn (tapered) as income increases 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1) No, we do not intend to change our local council tax support scheme for 2015/16 other than to incorporate any changes to the Council Tax Reduction Schemes (Prescribed Requirements) (England) Regulations approved by Parliament.</p> <p>Q2 to 4) N/A</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The maximum capital/savings someone can have to be eligible for council tax support (savings limit) - The minimum amount of council tax support that can be claimed before it is withdrawn in full - The length of time a claimants has to be resident in the local authority area to be eligible for support - Entitlement to a second adult rebate - The components of income that are considered in the means-test - The hardship funding available to affected residents <p>3. Please list the groups exempt from some or all of the above changes because they are deemed 'vulnerable' if any. (for example: families with children aged under 5, recipients of Disability Living Allowance)</p> <p>4. If exceptions are made for 'vulnerable' groups, please describe what these exceptions are.</p>	
22078	21/01/2015	<p>Subject : Social Value Act</p> <p>We are unable find any specific reference to your Social Value Policy on your website and we would be very grateful if you would as the relevant officer to send any information that you might have on following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Social Value Policy (if available) 2. Social Value metrics and measures 3. Sustainable Procurement Policy/Strategy 4. Any other information which you think we would benefit which is relevant to Social Value describing your progress if you do not have a policy in place yet. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please note this is currently not available. 2. The Council's Procurement Strategy (2011-2014) aims to create opportunities for local residents and workforce supply by maximising the tangible benefits that may be appropriate as an output from procurement e.g. jobs, training, apprenticeships and sub-contracting opportunities. <p>Community Benefits outcomes included in contracts began in September 2010. These comprise of four indicators (Apprenticeship Schemes, Local Training, Local Jobs and Sub-Contracting Opportunities), please see attached Community Benefits definitions for ease of reference. These indicators are measured and published on the Council's Intranet site.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The Council has a Sustainable Community Strategy for 2012 - 2030,

			<p>which sets out how the Council will deliver better and more comprehensive services to the residents of Newham. This document is available on Newham's Internet; please click on link for ease of reference: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Strategies-and-plans.aspx?l1=100004&l2=500010</p> <p>The Procurement Strategy supports the Council's Sustainable Community Strategy; this has been revised and currently in the approval process. However attached is a copy of the previous Procurement Strategy (2011 - 2014) which you may find useful (please see attached).</p> <p>4. Strategic Procurement's Sourcing function ensures Tender Managers consider Community Benefit opportunities in Tenders and the applicability in such arrangement where these are achievable. A suite of Community Benefits Clauses are also used within contracts, these cover the Social, Economic, Environmental and 'Wellbeing' provisions contained within the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012.</p>
22074	30/01/2015	<p>Subject : Council Tax Records</p> <p>I would like to know if it would be possible to disclose the dates of occupation (I don't need names, just a yes or no would suffice) via council tax records between the 31st March 2014 to present at the address below.</p> <p>87 Fishguard Way London E16 2RG</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Our records confirm the property has been liable for the payment of Council Tax since this date and therefore it is considered the property would be occupied by the individuals liable for payment.</p>
22072	30/01/2015	<p>Subject : Environmental Health</p> <p>Records of any Environmental health visits/reports at 87 Fishguard Way, E16 2RX between 1st March 2014- 31st December 2014.</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p>

			<p>The address requested is a private residence and therefore we would apply Section 40, as the content of the complaints, visits and subsequent reports could result in the identification of individual and their personal data. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in disclosure.</p>
22088	29/01/2015	<p>Subject : Contact Details - Environment</p> <p>Please can you provide the full name and e-mail addresses of the following people. It would be appreciated if you could provide them in the attached table.</p> <p>1) (a) Head of Waste Management (b) Operations Managers for Waste Management if different from person named above</p> <p>2) (a) Head of Street cleaning department (b) Operations Managers for Street Cleaning teams if different from person named above</p> <p>3) (a) Person responsible for overall Health & Safety at council / H&S manager (b) H&S Manager(s)/Officer(s) responsible for safety of outdoor workers if different from the person named above</p> <p>4) (a) Head of Social Care and Adult Care departments (b) Operations Managers for Social Care and Adult Care</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Please can you provide the full name and e-mail addresses of the following people. It would be appreciated if you could provide them in the attached table.</p> <p>1)(a) Head of Waste Management Response: Jarlath Griffin</p> <p>(b) Operations Managers for Waste Management if different from person named above Response: David Humphries</p> <p>2)(a) Head of Street cleaning department Response: Jarlath Griffin</p> <p>(b) Operations Managers for Street Cleaning teams if different from person named above Response: John Wild</p> <p>3)(a) Person responsible for overall Health & Safety at council / H&S manager (b) H&S Manager(s)/Officer(s) responsible for safety of outdoor workers if different from the person named above</p>

		<p>departments</p> <p>5) (a) Head of Environmental Services (b) Operations Managers of Environmental Services if different from the person names above</p> <p>6) (a) Head of Procurement (b) Person responsible for procurement of personal protective equipment (c) Personal responsible for procurement of footwear for outdoor workers</p> <p>7) (a) Head of School Crossing Patrol Team (b) Operations Managers for School Crossing Patrol Team if different from the above</p> <p>8) (a) Head of Facilities management</p> <p>9) (a) Head of Highways and Roads Maintenance (b) Operations Managers for Highways and Roads Maintenance</p> <p>10) (a) Head of Grounds Maintenance/Parks and Countryside (b) Operations Managers for Grounds Maintenance/Parks and Countryside if different from the above.</p>	<p>Response: Seton Akojenu</p> <p>4)(a) Head of Social Care and Adult Care departments (b) Operations Managers for Social Care and Adult Care departments - Response: Grainne Siggins</p> <p>5)(a) Head of Environmental Services (b) Operations Managers of Environmental Services if different from the person names above Response: Ian Dick</p> <p>6)(a) Head of Procurement - (b) Person responsible for procurement of personal protective equipment (c) Personal responsible for procurement of footwear for outdoor workers Response: David Pridmore</p> <p>7)(a) Head of School Crossing Patrol Team - (b) Operations Managers for School Crossing Patrol Team if different from the above Response: John Biden</p> <p>8)(a) Head of Facilities management Response: Les Hayward</p> <p>9)(a) Head of Highways and Roads Maintenance (b) Operations Managers for Highways and Roads Maintenance Response: John Biden</p> <p>10) (a) Head of Grounds Maintenance/Parks and Countryside (b) Operations Managers for Grounds Maintenance/Parks and Countryside if different from the above Response: Peter Gay</p>
22098	28/01/2015	<p>Subject : ICT Budget</p> <p>I would like to know how much tax-payer's money is</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold the requested information by the breakdown headings as</p>

		<p>allocated to ICT spend for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) FY 2014/15 (total)2) FY 2014/15 (remaining)3) FY 2015/16 (total) <p>under the headings of Hardware - Software - Services</p>	<p>outlined.</p> <p>You can find the Council's overall budget allocation for ICT on the Council's website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Council-budgets.aspx</p>