



London Borough of Newham Council

**Newham Local Plan (Regulation 22)
– Integrated Impact Assessment
Final Report Addendum**



London Borough of Newham Council

Newham Local Plan (Regulation 22) - Integrated Impact Assessment Final Report Addendum

Type of document (version) Public

Project no. 62281192

Our Ref. No. 3

Date: May 2026

WSP

Kings Orchard

1 Queen Street

Bristol

BS2 0HQ

Phone: +44 117 930 6200

WSP.com



Quality control

Issue/revision	First issue	Revision 1	Revision 2
Remarks	Draft for internal review	Draft for client review	Final for consultation
Date	13.05.26	18.05.26	20.05.26
Prepared by	EA	EA	EA
Checked by	AW	AW	AW
Authorised by	AP	AP	AP
Project number	62281192	62281192	62281192
Report number	1.0	2.0	3.0



	Non-Technical Summary	1
	Introduction	1
	Screening of proposed Main Modifications	2
	Next Steps	2
1	Introduction	3
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Integrated Impact Assessment	3
1.3	Purpose and structure of the IIA Report Addendum	4
2	IIA Scope	5
3	Screening of the proposed Main Modifications	7
3.1	Introduction	7
3.2	Screening findings	7
3.3	Alternatives in light of main modifications	7
4	Next steps	9
4.1	Plan finalisation	9
4.2	Monitoring	9

Appendices

Appendix A

Screening of proposed Main Modifications



Non-Technical Summary

Introduction

WSP¹ has been commissioned to undertake the Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) in support of the London Borough of Newham's Local Plan. IIA aims to ensure that the significant effects of an emerging draft plan (and alternatives) are systematically considered and communicated. IIA incorporates Sustainability Appraisal (SA), Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Health Impact Assessment (HIA), Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). It is therefore a requirement that IIA is undertaken in-line with the procedures prescribed by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (the 'SEA Regulations') 2004. Where negative effects are identified, measures are proposed to avoid, minimise or mitigate (compensate for) such effects. Where positive effects are identified, measures are considered that could enhance such effects.

The London Borough of Newham's Local Plan currently comprises the Local Plan (2018), Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Development Plan Document (DPD) (2017) and the Joint Waste Plan (2012). London Borough of Newham Council (the Council) commenced a refresh of the Local Plan in response to updated Newham objectives and strategies; changes in the development context and market trends; and updated national and regional planning requirements. This will result in an updated Local Plan incorporating policy for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation.

The Council submitted the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) [Exam ref: SD002a and SD002b] to the Planning Inspectorate for examination in July 2025. Examination hearing sessions were held between 2 December 2025 and 17 February 2026. Following the close of the examination hearings, the Inspector provided the Council with a letter outlining the next steps on 20 March 2026 [IN15] confirming that Main Modifications to the Local Plan would be necessary to address soundness issues. The Council, having had regard to the Inspector's advice, is proposing Main Modifications to the emerging Local Plan.

The proposed Main Modifications need to be considered through the IIA process applicable to Local Plans. Therefore, a IIA Report Addendum to the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] submitted with the Local Plan in July 2025 which considers the Main Modifications has been prepared. The aim of this IIA Report Addendum is consider the implications of the proposed Main Modifications, and alternatives where appropriate, with a view to informing the

¹ Commissioned initially as Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Ltd, which became part of WSP in 2022.

consultation on the proposed Main Modifications and subsequent plan finalisation. This is a Non-Technical Summary (NTS) of the IIA Report Addendum.

Screening of proposed Main Modifications

The Council has proposed a number of Main Modifications (Exam Ref: ED030] to the submitted Local Plan [Exam ref: SD002a and SD002b] as a result of the examination hearing sessions to take account of the advice from the Inspector in his letter of 20 March 2026 [IN15]. These changes were considered through the IIA process to determine if they significantly affected the findings set out in the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] submitted alongside the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) in 2025.

The screening concluded that the Main Modifications do not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work presented in the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006]. From an IIA perspective, the Main Modifications are not deemed to have significant effects as they seek to reflect updated evidence or provide further clarity and do not fundamentally alter the overall aim and focus of the policies/ plan or change the overall quantum and/ or location of growth that would be delivered.

Next Steps

Following the consultation on the further Main Modifications, the Inspector will consider all the representations received, before deciding whether to report on the Plan's soundness (with modifications as necessary), or resume examination hearings. Assuming that the Inspector is ultimately able to find the Local Plan 'sound', it will then be adopted by the Council. At the time of adoption a Post Adoption Statement (PAS) will be published that explains the process of plan-making/ IIA in full and also presents 'measures decided concerning monitoring' in line with legal requirements.

The IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] presents a range of 'proposed monitoring measures' in **Section 5.13**. The work carried out in relation to the proposed Main Modifications does not necessitate any significant amendments to the proposed measures at this stage. A final list of monitoring measures will be presented within the Post Adoption Statement produced once the Local Plan is adopted.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1. The London Borough of Newham's Local Plan currently comprises the Local Plan (2018), Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Development Plan Document (DPD) (2017) and the Joint Waste Plan (2012). London Borough of Newham Council (the Council) commenced a refresh of the Local Plan in response to: updated Newham objectives and strategies; changes in the development context and market trends; and updated national and regional planning requirements. This will result in an updated Local Plan incorporating policy for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation.
- 1.1.2. The Council engaged WSP² to undertake an Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) of the Local Plan. IIA aims to ensure that the significant effects of an emerging draft plan (and alternatives) are systematically considered and communicated.
- 1.1.3. The Council submitted the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) (Draft Submission Local Plan from here on) [Exam ref: SD002a and SD002b] submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for examination in July 2025. Examination hearing sessions were held between 2 December 2025 and 17 February 2026. Following the close of the examination hearings, the Inspector provided the Council with a letter outlining the next steps on 20 March 2026 [IN15] confirming that Main Modifications to the Local Plan would be necessary to address soundness issues. The Council, having had regard to the Inspector's advice, is proposing Main Modifications to the emerging Local Plan.
- 1.1.4. The Main Modifications must be considered through the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) process applicable to Local Plans, which forms part of the wider IIA framework.

1.2 Integrated Impact Assessment

- 1.2.1. IIA incorporates Sustainability Appraisal (SA), Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Health Impact Assessment (HIA), Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The IIA is a comprehensive appraisal framework used to identify, describe and evaluate the likely significant environmental, social and economic effects of the emerging Local Plan and its reasonable alternatives in a systematic and transparent manner. It is therefore a requirement that IIA is undertaken in-line with the procedures prescribed by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (the 'SEA Regulations') 2004. Where negative effects are identified,

² The Council initially commissioned Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Ltd. In September 2022 Wood Environment & Infrastructure UK Ltd became part of WSP.

1.2.2. measures are proposed to avoid, minimise or mitigate (compensate for) such effects. Where positive effects are identified, measures are considered that could enhance such effects.

1.3 Purpose and structure of the IIA Report Addendum

1.3.1. The aim of the IIA Report Addendum is to present information on the proposed Main Modifications, and alternatives if appropriate, with a view to informing the plan finalisation.

1.3.2. This report is known as an IIA Report ‘Addendum’ on the basis that it is an Addendum to the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] submitted to the Inspector in July 2025 alongside the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) [Exam ref: SD002a and SD002b].

1.3.3. The remainder of the IIA Report Addendum is structured as follows:

- **Chapter 2:** presents the scope of the IIA;
- **Chapter 3:** presents the outcomes of the screening assessment; and
- **Chapter 4:** sets out the next steps in the plan-making and IIA processes as well as any changes to previously proposed plan monitoring measures.

2 IIA Scope

2.1.1. The scope of the IIA work, with respect to the London Borough of Newham Local Plan, is introduced within the IIA Report (April 2025) [Exam ref: SD006]. Essentially, the scope is reflected in a list of sustainability topics and objectives, which collectively provide a methodological ‘framework’ for appraisal. The IIA objectives and topics are listed in **Table 2-1** and **Table 2-2** below. It has not been considered necessary to update or revise the IIA framework for the purposes of this appraisal.

Table 2-1 – Sustainability Objectives

Sustainability Objective
SO1: Promote diverse and economically thriving town centres.
SO2: Ensure that neighbourhoods are liveable, safe, high quality with good accessibility to retail, leisure, culture, healthcare, education and open space.
SO3: Maintain local distinctiveness and protect and enhance place-making assets.
SO4: Encourage growth of local businesses, attract inward investment and increase employment
SO5: Ensure that economic benefits are retained within the borough following Community Wealth Building principles
SO6: Increase and improve the provision of and access to childcare, education and training facilities and opportunities for all age groups and sectors of the local population.
SO7: Improve the existing housing stock in the borough
SO8: Increase the supply of housing, choice and quality of affordable housing in the borough
SO9: Ensure the Local Plan incorporates mitigation and adaption measures to reduce and respond to the impacts of climate change.
SO10: Ensuring high standards of sustainable design are achieved in the built environment.
SO11: Ensure sustainable use and protection of natural resources, including water, land and air, and reduce waste.
SO12: Avoid, reduce and manage all forms of flood risk
SO13; Improve land quality and ensure mitigation of adverse effects of contaminated land on human health.
SO14: Address existing open space deficiencies and improving existing green & blue infrastructure and open spaces.
SO15: Protect, enhance and increase biodiversity, habitats and species in the borough

SO16: Create accessible, safe and sustainable connections and networks by walking, cycling, public transport and road.
SO17: Reduce amount of waste sent to landfill and increase reuse, recycling, and recovery.
SO18: Provide quality community facilities and infrastructure in the right location for residents.
SO19: Encourage greater community cohesion and reduce poverty, Racism, Inequality and Disproportionality.
SO20: Improve and increase the facilities and opportunities for young people.
SO21: Contribute towards reducing crime and the fear of crime.
SO22: Improve the health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities.

Table 2-2 – Coverage of the SEA Regulation Topics by the IIA Objectives

SEA Regulation Topic	IIA Objectives
Material Assets	11, 13, 17
Climatic Factors	9, 10, 17
Biodiversity	10, 13, 14, 15
Fauna	10, 13, 14, 15
Flora	10, 13, 14, 15
Water	10, 12
Soil	3, 10, 11, 13 17
Air	3, 9, 10, 11, 17
Cultural heritage, architectural and archaeological heritage	2, 3, 10,
Landscape	3, 10, 11, 13, 15
Population	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22
Human health	2, 9, 10, 14, 21,22

3 Screening of the proposed Main Modifications

3.1 Introduction

- 3.1.1. The Council has proposed a number of Main Modifications to the submitted Draft Submission Local Plan [Exam ref: SD002a and SD002b] as a result of the examination hearing sessions to take account of the advice from the Inspector in his letter of 20 March 2026 (IN15). These changes have been considered through the IIA process to determine if they significantly affected the findings set out in the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] submitted alongside the Draft Submission Local Plan. The Main Modifications mainly related to minor edits to the text and were therefore screened out as not being significant in terms of the IIA, i.e. they would be inherently unlikely to give rise to significant effects.
- 3.1.2. The IIA process as it applies to the Main Modifications is structured as follows:
- **Screening** - each of the Main Modifications was screened to determine if it is likely to significantly affect the findings of the of the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006].
 - **Alternatives in light of the proposed modifications** - sets out if there is a need for further consideration of reasonable alternatives through the IIA process in light of the proposed Main Modifications.

3.2 Screening findings

- 3.2.1. As an initial step, it is necessary to screen each of the proposed Main Modifications [Exam ref: ED030] to determine if they are likely to significantly affect the findings of the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] and if further appraisal work is therefore required.
- 3.2.2. All of the Main Modifications were screened and the findings of this exercise including the rationale for why each Main Modification was screened in or out are provided in **Appendix A**.
- 3.2.3. The screening concluded that none of the Main Modifications significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work presented in the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006]. From an IIA perspective, the Main Modifications are not deemed to have significant effects as they seek to reflect updated evidence or provide further clarity and do not fundamentally alter the overall aim and focus of the policies/ plan or change the overall quantum and/ or location of growth that would be delivered.

3.3 Alternatives in light of main modifications

- 3.3.1. A range of alternatives have been explored and appraised through the IIA process and informed the development of the Local Plan. The findings of this work, along with the outline reasons for selection or rejection of alternatives, are set out in the IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] submitted alongside the Local Plan.
- 3.3.2. The screening found that there were no changes to the assessment of significant effects for the reasonable alternatives in light of the main modifications. Further to this, no new



reasonable alternatives were identified that would require further assessment work to be carried out.

4 Next steps

4.1 Plan finalisation

- 4.1.1. Following the proposed Main Modifications consultation, the Inspector will consider all representations received as part of this consultation before reporting on the Local Plan's soundness (with agreed Main Modifications as necessary), or whether further Examination hearings are required.
- 4.1.2. Assuming that the Inspector is ultimately able to find the Plan 'sound', it will then be reported to Council for adoption. At the time of adoption, a Post Adoption Statement (PAS) will be published that explains the process of plan-making/ IIA in full and presents 'measures decided concerning monitoring'.

4.2 Monitoring

- 4.2.1. The IIA Report [Exam ref: SD006] presents a range of 'proposed monitoring measures' in **Section 5.13**. The work carried out in relation to the proposed Modifications does not necessitate any significant amendments to the proposed measures at this stage. A final list of monitoring measures will be presented within the PAS produced once the Local Plan is adopted.

Appendix A

Screening of proposed Main Modifications




Table A-1 – Schedule of main modifications

Introduction, Vision and Objectives

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM1 – 3	Across all relevant sections of the Plan, replacing 2038 with 2042.	Amendments to wording across all relevant sections of the plan and the introduction section to provide further clarification and correct errors.	No – the amendments to wording do not significantly affect the findings of previous IIA work.



Building a Fairer Newham

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM4	BFN1 Part 2	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM5	Implementation Table BFN1.5	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.
MM6 – 9	Policy BFN2 part 1, part 2, part 4, and part 5	Amendments to wording to provide additional context, strengthen the policy, and to provide further clarification.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM10	BFN2.1 Implementation text	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.
MM11	BFN2.2 Implementation text	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.
MM12	BFN2.3 Implementation text	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.
MM13	BFN2.4 Implementation text	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.



MM14	BFN2.5 Implementation text	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.
MM15	BFN4 Part 3	Amendments to wording to provide further clarification.	No.

Design

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM16	D1.4 Implementation text	Changes to provide clarification on the choice of construction methods, landscaping, materials and finish that should be used.	No.
MM17	D4 Part 2	Changes to provide clarification and additional context, including specific locations, on acceptable heights of tall buildings and the borough-wide spatial hierarchy.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM18	Table 1	Changes to wording within Table 1: Tall Building Zones to provide clarification.	No.
MM19 – 21	D4 Part 5, D4 Map of Newham’s Tall Building Zones, and And D4 Justification Text	Changes to provide clarification on tall building developments outside tall building zones, insertion of a map of Newham’s Tall Building Zones, and changes to provide clarification on tall building developments and identification of Tall Building Zones.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy and provide useful context, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM22 – 23	D6.1 Implementation text	Changes to wording to provide additional context and clarification and correct spelling errors.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	And D6.2 Implementation text		
MM24	Policy D7 Part 3	Amendments to Policy wording to provide clarification.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM2 5 – 27	Policy HS1 Part 1, Part 2, and Part 4	Amendments to Policy wording to include additional requirements that new homes in Newham should include to service the needs of residents, workers and visitors.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM2 8	HS1.2 Implementatio n Text	Amendments to implementation text to provide clarification, additional context and reference to the NPPF and London Plan (2021).	No.
MM2 9	HS3.1 Implementatio n text	Amendments to implementation text to provide clarification, additional context and strengthen the policy.	No
MM3 0 – 31	Policy HS4 Part 1 and Part 3	Amendments to Policy wording to provide clarification, additional context and strengthen the policy.	No - while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM3 2 - 33	HS4.1 and HS4.3 implementatio n text	Amendments to implementation text to provide clarification, additional context and stipulate that applications for permanent new markets will be assessed against the Health and Social Value Impact Screening Assessment requirement of Local Plan Policy BFN3 Part 2, and emerging	No

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
		recommendations for optimising positive impacts should be incorporated into the Market Management Plan.	
MM3 4 – 35	HS8 justification text and HS8.2 Implementatio n text	Amendments to wording to provide clarification and additional context on homelessness and the visitor economy. Updated text to include reference to the Trust for London, Housing and homelessness (Q1 2025).	No

Social Infrastructure

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM36 – 37	Policy SI1 and Policy SI1 Implementation text	Amendments to Policy wording to provide clarification, and amendments to the implementation text to provide additional context including evidence requirements for development proposals.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM38 – 39	Policy SI2 and Policy SI2 Implementation text	Amendments to Policy wording, including new and amended criteria, to provide clarification, additional context and to strengthen the policy and amendments to implementation text including evidence requirements for development proposals.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM40 – 41	Policy SI3 and Policy SI3 Implementation text	Amendments to Policy wording, including new, amended and deleted criteria, to provide clarification, additional context and strengthen the policy and amendments to implementation text including evidence requirements for development proposals.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM42 – 43	Policy SI4, part 3 and Policy SI4, Implementation text	Amendments to Policy wording, including amended criteria on the delivery of educational facilities, to provide clarification and strengthen the policy, and amendments to implementation text to clarify that new proposals for educational facilities should have regard for the Area Guidelines for Mainstream Schools (Department for Education (2014)) and Active Design (Sport England ((2015))).	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM44	Policy J1 Part 1	Changes to expected delivery of employment opportunities and employment floorspace between 2021 and 2042. Changes include an increase in employment opportunities from 10,000 to 12,800; an increase in industrial floorspace from 5,200 sqm to 6,400 sqm; and an increase in office floorspace from 335,000 sqm to 418,000 sqm.	No – the amendments to the policy reflect an updated delivery period and evidence base and no new allocations are being proposed. The proposed changes do not result in a significant change to the overall level or location of growth of employment opportunities and floorspace to be delivered during the plan period. Overall, these changes do not significantly affect the findings of the previous work as set out in Table 5.8 of the Environmental Report [Exam ref: SD006].
MM45	J1 Justification, Tables 11 & 12	Changes to expected change in employment opportunities and employment floorspace between 2021 and 2042.	No.

MM46	Policy J2 Part 2	Amendments to Policy wording to provide clarification.	No.
MM47	Policy J3	Amendments to Policy wording to provide clarification.	No.
MM48	J3 Implementation text, Introduce new row for 'ALL'	Addition of new row for all policy parts to provide additional context and clarifications.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the implementation text, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM49	Policy H1 Part 1	Changes to number of residential units and updates to the delivery period (from between 51,425 and 53,784 to 45,611 and 53,954) and (2023 – 2038 to 2027 – 2042). Additional paragraph and table providing clarification on housing requirements and targets for each phase of the plan.	No – the amendments to the policy reflect an updated delivery period and evidence base and no new allocations are being proposed. The proposed changes do not result in a significant change to the overall level or location of growth to be. Overall, these changes do not significantly affect the findings of the previous work as set out in Table 5.2 of the Environmental Report [Exam ref: SD006].
MM50 – 51	Policy H1 Justification and Implementation text.	Additional text to support and provide justification and clarification on Policy H1, including projected housing delivery and completion figures across the delivery phases. Additional paragraph providing clarification on net non-self contained accommodation and housing targets.	No – while the additional text helps strengthen the Policy, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM52	Policy H3 Part 1 and Part 2.b	Changes to text to provide clarification on Newham’s strategic target for delivery of affordable housing.	No.
MM53	Policy H4	Updates to wording of the policy to provide clarity and an increase in proposed percentage of social rent homes.	No – while the updates to wording helps to strengthen the Policy, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM54 – 55	Policy H5 Parts 2-4 and H5 part 2 Implementation text	Updates to wording of the policy to provide clarity and an increase in proposed percentage of Affordable Build to Rent homes and new affordable homes rented at equivalent rents to London Living Rent. Additional wording to provide greater clarity on the delivery of affordable homes.	No – while the updates to wording helps to strengthen the Policy, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM56	H5 part 3 Implementation text	Updates to wording in line with the benchmarks set out by London Living Rent levels for each neighbourhood in the capital, updated annually on the Greater London Authority's website.	No – while the updates to wording help to strengthen the implementation text, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM57	Policy H8 Parts 1-5 and H8 Implementation text - ALL section	Updates to wording of the policy to provide clarity, and additional policy text relating to assessing overconcentration of student accommodation.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM59 – 60	Policy H9 Parts 2 and 3 and H9 part 3 Implementation text	Updates to wording of the policy to provide clarity and additional policy text relating to affordable housing requirements.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM61	H9 part 6 Implementation text	Additional implementation text clarifying that part 6 of the policy does not apply to ancillary facilities such as gyms or shared workspaces provided specifically for residents of the accommodation.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM62	Policy H10, Parts 1, 2 & 3	Additional policy text clarifying how the council seek to meet the housing needs of Gypsy and Traveller communities over the plan period.	No – while the updates to wording helps to strengthen the Policy, including setting out a specific target for pitches for Gypsy and Traveller communities, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM63	Policy H10 Justification. Paragraph 3.195	Changes to increase the number of Gypsy and Traveller sites from 23 to 39 based on London’s latest evidence.	No - the policy still seeks to deliver sufficient pitches to meet identified needs.
MM64	Policy H11 Parts 2e, 3e, 3f, 3g, 5e & 7c	Updates to wording of the policy to provide clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM65	H11 part 7 Implementation text	New paragraph inserted at the start of the policy implementation text for H11 part 7.	No.

Green and Water Spaces

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM66 – 67	GWS1 part 1.a and part 3	Minor changes to wording to provide clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM68	Implementation text GWS1 part 1	Changes to provide clarity on specific site allocation requirements for green space, community growing space and playing pitches.	No.
MM69	Implementation text, GWS1 part 3	Minor changes to wording and additional paragraph to provide additional context and clarification concerning the loss of green space.	No.
MM70 – 71	Policy GWS2 and Policy GWS2 implementation text	Change to include additional bullet points on development affecting or adjacent to water spaces, and minor changes to wording to improve clarity. Changes to provide clarification and additional context on requirements for proposals where development may affect water space, including reference to Biodiversity Net Gain.	No - while the policy has been updated it still seeks to preserve and enhance the local water environment, and the changes are not considered to impact the findings of the IIA Report.
MM72	GWS3 part 7b	Changes to wording to include reference to Newham’s Epping Forest Special Area of Conservation Recreation Mitigation Strategy.	No – whilst the policy includes amended wording to reference the mitigation strategy, this does not change the assessment of effects in the IIA Report.
MM73	GWS5 part 1 Implementation text	Changes to provide clarification on delivery of new and improved play space.	No.

Climate Emergency

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM74 – 75	CE2 Part 3 and Part 4	Changes to energy usage standards for student accommodation from 35kWh/m ² to 55kWh/m ² GIA/yr. Changes to improve clarification on generated of renewable energy requirements for new developments.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM76	New policy CE2 Part 6	Changes to include additional part (“part 6”) to CE2 policy which details the requirements that new sites for energy intensive industry must meet in order to be exempt from the other policy requirements of Policy CE2.	No - while the policy has been updated it still seeks to promote zero carbon development.
MM77	CE2 part 2 Implementation text	Changes to provide clarification on how development can demonstrate the use of fossil fuels in operation.	No.
MM78	CE3 Part 6	Amendment of embodied carbon limits of major developments from less than 500kg CO ₂ /m ² to 700kg CO ₂ /m ² .	No - while the policy has been updated it still seeks to promote the consideration of embodied carbon in development.
MM79	CE7 Part 1	Minor changes to provide clarification to ensure flood risk is considered for the lifetime of development.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM80	CE7 Part 2	Changes policy text to include reference to Flood Zone 3 and the tidal breach flood extent.	No – whilst the policy has been updated to include reference to Flood Zone 3 and the tidal breach flood extent, which strengthens the policy, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM81 – 83	CE7 Part 2 (b) and (e) and CE7 Part 3	Minor changes to provide clarification regarding flood risk.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM84	Implementation Table CE7.2	Minor changes to include additional paragraph stipulating that sleeping accommodation will not be permitted below tidal breach flood level.	No – while the update to wording helps to strengthen the implementation, it does not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM85	Implementation Table CE7.3	Minor changes to provide clarification.	No.

Transport

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM86	T5 Part 2 Implementation text	Minor changes to provide clarification.	No.

Waste and Utilities

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM87	Policy W1: Waste Management Capacity	Deletion of Policy W1 in full, deletion of references to Policy W1 throughout the plan, and update of policy numbering in line with the deletion of Policy W1.	No - the deletion of this policy does not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work. The policies were assessed both individually and cumulatively. The removal of the policy does not change the cumulative assessment for the Waste and Utilities policies section.
MM88	Policy W2: New or improved waste sites	Deletion of parts 1 and 2 of Policy W2 and updates to policy part numbering throughout the policy.	No – the deletion of these sections of policy do not affect the findings of the previous IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM89 – 91	Policy W4 Part 1, Part 2, and Part 6	Changes to policy wording to provide clarification, including reference to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP).	No – the changes to the policy criteria do not affect the findings of the previous IIA work.

Neighbourhoods

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM92	Across all relevant sections of Part 2 of the Plan	Replacement of indicative diagram across all relevant sections of the plan.	No – whilst the diagram provides useful context it does not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM93	Section 4: Neighbourhoods Map of Newham’s 17 Neighbourhoods and 45 Site Allocations	Replacement of the conserve, enhance and transform areas to the Neighbourhoods map.	No – whilst the additions to the map provide clarity they do not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM94	Section 4: Neighbourhood, Implementation text 4.3	Amendments to implementation wording, including the addition of paragraphs to provide clarification and additional context on the Newham Characterisation Study (2024) and the identification and delivery of site allocations.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the implementation section, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM95	Across all relevant sections of Part 2 of the Plan	Amending “open space” to “green space” and “2038” to “plan period” in all relevant Natural Environment Designations descriptions for allocations.	No.
MM96	Across all relevant sections of Part 2 of the Plan	Adding “generally” and “of approximately” in the parts of the policies relating to tall buildings in all relevant allocation policies to be consistent with the proposed modification to Local Plan Policy D4.	No.
MM97	Across all relevant sections of Part 2 of the Plan	Amendments to wording in parts of the policies relating to tall buildings in all relevant allocation policies to be consistent with the proposed modification to Local Plan Policy D4.	No.
MM98	Across all relevant sections of Part 2 of the Plan	Adding a variation of “Green space provision should meet the requirements of Local Plan Policies GWS1, GWS2 and GWS3” in the parts of the policies relating to infrastructure requirements in all relevant allocation policies.	No.
MM99	Policy N1 North Woolwich Part 15 & 21	Changes to include additional text outlining the protection and enhancement of water spaces and access to them. Further detail on requiring development to address airport height constraints.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM100 – 102	N1.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway Indicative diagram key,	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Infrastructure requirements, and phasing and implementation.		
MM103	N1.SA2 Rymill Street Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity of the diagram key.	No.
MM104	Policy N2 Royal Victoria Part 4, 15 & 20	Changes to include additional text outlining the protection and enhancement of water spaces and access to them. Further detail on requiring development to address airport height constraints.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM105 – 108	N2.SA1 Silvertown Quays Indicative diagram, Indicative diagram key, and Infrastructure requirements, and Phasing and Implementation sections.	Deletion of site map and replacement with an indicative diagram, and minor amendments to wording to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM109	N2.SA2 Lyle Park West	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Indicative diagram key		
MM110	Policy N2.SA2 Lyle Park West Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and change of recommended building heights for taller buildings from approximately 40m (ca. 13 storeys) to 60m (ca. 20 storeys).	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM111	Policy N2.SA2 Lyle Park West Phasing and Implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM112	N2.SA3 Connaught Riverside Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM113 – 114	Policy N2.SA3 Connaught Riverside Infrastructure requirements and Phasing and Implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM115	Policy N2.SA4 Thameside West Development principles	Changes to include additional wording outlining that development should maintain no net loss or deliver a net gain of industrial floorspace capacity	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM116	Policy N2.SA4 Thameside West Design Principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and change of recommended building heights for taller buildings from approximately 50m (ca. 16 storeys) to 60m (ca. 20 storeys) and 100m (ca. 33 storeys).	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM117	Policy N2.SA4 Thameside West Infrastructure requirements	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM118	Policy N2.SA4 Thameside West Phasing and Implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM119	N2.SA5 Excel Western Entrance Indicative diagram	Deletion of site map and replacement with an indicative diagram	No – whilst the diagram provides useful context it does not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM120	N2.SA5 Excel Western Entrance Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM121	Policy N2.SA5 Excel Western Entrance Development principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM122	Policy N2.SA5 Excel Western Entrance Infrastructure requirements	Amendments to improve clarity on green space considerations and include cross-reference to other Local Plan Policies.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM123	Policy N3 Royal Albert North Part 14 & 19	Changes to include additional text outlining the protection and enhancement of water spaces and access to them. Further detail on requiring development to address airport height constraints.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM124	N3.SA1 Royal Albert North Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM125	Policy N3.SA1 Royal Albert North Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM126	Policy N4 Canning Town Part 3 & 14	Changes to include additional text outlining the requirement of appropriate mitigation and buffering between residential and industrial uses. Further detail on requiring development to address airport height constraints.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM127	N4.SA1, Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM128	Policy N4.SA1 Canning Town East Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM129	N4.SA2 Silvertown Way East Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM130	N4.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Indicative diagram key		
MM131	Policy N4.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM132	Policy N4.SA4 Limmo Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and change of recommended building heights for taller buildings from up to 60m (ca. 20 storeys) to approximately 100m (ca. 33 storeys) adjacent to the railway line and open space and approximately 40m (ca. 13 storeys) above the bus station.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM133	Policy N4.SA4 Limmo Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM134	Policy N4.SA5 Canning Town Riverside Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and updated recommendations for height of taller building heights.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work
MM135	Policy N4.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Infrastructure requirements		
MM136	Policy N4.SA5 Canning Town Riverside Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM137	Policy N5 Custom House Part 15	Changes to include additional text outlining the requirement of development to address airport height constraints.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM138	N5.SA1 Custom House – Land surrounding Freemasons Road Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM139	Policy N5.SA1 Custom House – Land surrounding Freemasons Road	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Phasing and implementation		
MM140	N5.SA2 Custom House – Coolfin north Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM141	N5.SA3 Custom House Land between Russell Road and Maplin Road Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM142	Policy N5.SA4 Royal Road Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM143	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM144	Policy N7.SA1 Abbey Mills	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Development principles		Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM145	Policy N7.SA1 Abbey Mills Design principles	Minor amendments to remove text and improve clarity and change of recommended building heights for buildings from 9 -21m (ca. 7 -10 storeys) to approximately up to 40m (ca. 13 storeys) and 100m (ca. 33 storeys) towards the station to aid wayfinding.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM146	Policy N7.SA1 Abbey Mills Infrastructure requirements	Changes to include reference to engagement with TfL at the point of application.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM147	N7.SA2 Twelvetrees Park and Former Bromley By Bow Gasworks Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM148	Policy N7.SA2 Twelvetrees Park and Former Bromley Infrastructure requirements	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM149	Policy N7.SA2 Twelvetrees Park and Former Bromley Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM150	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM151	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island Indicative diagram	Deletion of site map and replacement with an indicative diagram.	No – whilst the diagram provides useful context it does not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM152	Policy N7.SA3 Sugar House Island Design principles	Minor amendments to remove text and improve clarity and change recommended building heights for buildings from 9 -21m (ca. 3 – 7 storeys) to 21 – 32m (ca. 7 – 10storeys) and approximately 50m (ca. 16 storeys) for taller buildings.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM153	Policy N7.SA3 Sugar House Island Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM154	Policy N8 Stratford and Maryland Part 10 & 16	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM155	N8.SA1 Stratford Central Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM156	Policy N8.SA1 Stratford Central Design principles	Minor amendments and corrections to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM157	Policy N8.SA1 Stratford Central Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM158	N8.SA2 Stratford Station Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM159	Policy N8.SA2 Stratford Station	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Development principles		affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM160	Policy N8.SA2 Stratford Station Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM161	N8.SA3 Great Carpenters District Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM162	Policy N8.SA3 Great Carpenters District Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM163	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM164	Policy N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall	Minor amendments to remove text and improve clarity and change recommended building heights for taller buildings from up to 40m (ca. 13 storeys) to approximately up to 50m	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Design principles	(ca. 16 storeys) and 100m (ca. 33 storeys) towards the station to aid wayfinding.	affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM165	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM166	Policy N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM167	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM168	Policy N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM169	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM170	Policy N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way Development principles	Removal of text related to cross references to other policies in the plan re open space.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM171	Policy N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and change recommended building heights for taller buildings from ‘up to’ 60m (ca. 20 storeys) to ‘approximately’ up to 60m.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM172	Policy N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way Infrastructure requirements	Changes include additional text outlining that development should provide a sports-lit Multi-Use Games Area and that green space provision should meet the requirements of Local Plan Policies GWS1 and GWS3.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM173	Policy N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM174	Policy N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road Development principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM175	Policy N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and addition of text for recommended building heights for taller buildings to 60m (ca. 20 storeys) to aid wayfinding, and recommendation that buildings should be set back from water spaces to avoid overshadowing.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM176	Policy N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM177	Policy N8.SA9 Pudding Mill Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity and addition of text for recommended building heights for taller buildings to 60m (ca. 20 storeys) and 100m (ca. 33 storeys) to aid wayfinding, and recommendation that buildings should be set back from water spaces to avoid overshadowing.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM178	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM179	Policy N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM180	N9.SA1 Plaistow North Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM181	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM182	N10.SA2 Newham Sixth Form College Natural environment designations	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM183	N10.SA2 Newham Sixth Form College	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Indicative diagram key		
MM184	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM185	Policy N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM186	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Health Complex Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM187	Policy N11 Beckton Part 4 & 13	Minor amendments to improve clarity regarding mitigation/buffering.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM188	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre New row in site profile under 'Flood Risk'	Addition of new row in site profile under 'Flood Risk'.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM189	N11.SA1 East Ham Beckton Town Centre Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM190	Policy N11.SA1 East Ham Beckton Town Centre Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM191	Policy N11.SA1 East Beckton Town centre Phasing and implementation	Minor corrections to text and addition of text stipulating that potential impacts of existing overhead transmission line routes on design and layout should be considered at the pre-application stage through early engagement with National Grid.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM192	Policy N11.SA2 Cyprus	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Phasing and implementation		affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM193	N11.SA3 Alpine Way Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM194	Policy N11.SA3 Alpine Way Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM195	Policy N11.SA3 Alpine Way Phasing and implementation	Changes to provide clarification and additional text on consideration of water supply infrastructure upgrades and engagement with Thames Water and odour impact from existing odorous uses in the vicinity.	No - while the changes help to strengthen the Policy in terms of the Water and Human Health objectives, they do not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM196	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM197	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Indicative diagram key		
MM198	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Flood Risk	Changes to provide updated reference to flood risk modelling carried out by the Environment Agency following publication of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA), which must be used to inform site-specific flood risk assessment for sites.	No.
MM199	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Utilities	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM200	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Indicative diagram	Deletion of site map and replacement with an indicative diagram.	No – whilst the diagram provides useful context it does not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM201	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM202	Policy N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks	Changes to include reference to Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and Appendix to support Newham’s 2025 MOL Review (Exam ref: ED019a) to release a limited area of	No – the amendments to the policy reflect updated evidence and reflect that exceptional

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Development principles	MOL at this location with necessary remediations and contingencies.	circumstances exist to release a limited area of the Metropolitan Open Land (MOL). No new allocations are being proposed. The proposed changes do not result in a change to the overall level of growth to be delivered on the site. These changes do not significantly affect the findings of the previous work.
MM203	Policy N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM204	Policy N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Infrastructure requirements	Changes include minor amendments to text to improve clarity and providing additional context on the site. Additional text detailing how development should address the exceptional circumstances required for release of MOL at this site.	No - the amendments to the policy reflect updated evidence and reflect that exceptional circumstances exist to release a limited area of the Metropolitan Open Land (MOL). Additionally, clarification is added regarding provision of green space. No new allocations are being proposed. The proposed changes do not result in a change to the overall level of

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
			growth to be delivered on the site. These changes do not significantly affect the findings of the previous work.
MM205	Policy N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to remove text and improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM206	N14 Green Street: Neighbourhood profile	Changes to text to provide clarity and additional context on the neighbourhood profile.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM207	N14 Green Street: Vision	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM208	Policy N14 Green Street Part 4	Minor amendments and additions to policy wording to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM209	N14.SA1 Shrewsbury	Minor amendment to change ‘East Ham’ to ‘Green Street’.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Road Health Complex Neighbourhood		Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM210	N14.SA1 Shrewsbury Road Health Complex Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM211	N15.SA1 Lord Listed Health Centre Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM212	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West Indicative diagram key	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No.
MM213	Policy N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West	Changes to include deletion of paragraph on wastewater infrastructure upgrades.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Phasing and implementation		affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM214	N17 Gallions Reach: Vision	Minor amendments, including the addition and deletion of text, to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM215	Policy N17 Gallions Reach	Minor amendments, including the addition and deletion of text, to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM216	N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Site address	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM217	N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Natural environment Designations	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM218	N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help add clarity to the

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
	Existing uses		Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM219	N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Indicative diagram	Delete site map and replace with an indicative diagram.	No – whilst the diagram provides useful context it does not significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM220	Policy N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Development principles	Changes to improve clarity and minor deletions and additions to text regarding the DLR and modal shift.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM221	Policy N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Design principles	Minor amendments to improve clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.
MM222	Policy N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Infrastructure requirements	Changes to improve clarity and minor updates to references, deletions and additions to text.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM223	Policy N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside Phasing and implementation	Minor amendments to change 'medium' to 'short' term and addition of text to provide clarity.	No – while the amendments to wording help strengthen the Policy, they do not significantly affect the findings of the IIA work.

Glossary

Ref	Policy or para	Summary of Main Modification for the purposes of the IIA	Does the proposed Main Modification significantly affect the findings of the previous IIA work [SD006]?
MM224	Glossary	Amendments to the term 'Green space' to the glossary.	No – additional wording in glossary has no effect on the findings of the previous IIA work.
MM225	Glossary	Addition of the term 'Maximum feasible quantity green space' to the glossary.	No – additional wording in glossary has no effect on the findings of the previous IIA work.



Kings Orchard
1 Queen Street
Bristol
BS2 0HQ

wsp.com

WSP UK Limited makes no warranties or guarantees, actual or implied, in relation to this report, or the ultimate commercial, technical, economic, or financial effect on the project to which it relates, and bears no responsibility or liability related to its use other than as set out in the contract under which it was supplied.