



Newham Council

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA)

Final Report May 2022



Opinion Research Services | The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF Steve Jarman, Michael Bayliss, Elliot Muldoon, Gill Craddock, and Lee Craddock Enquiries: 01792 535300 · info@ors.org.uk · www.ors.org.uk

© Copyright May 2022

Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government \Licence v 3.0

May contain OS Data © Crown Copyright (2022)

Contents

1.	Executive Summary	
	Introduction and Methodology The Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) Planning Definition of a Traveller	
	Key Findings	
	Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers	
	Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople	
	Transit Recommendations	
2.	Introduction	.10
	Definitions	
	The PPTS (2015) Planning Definition	
	The PPTS Definition of Travelling	
	Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers	
	Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015	
	Revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021	
	Lisa Smith v The Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government and others [20	
		-
	Planning for the Future White Paper (2020)	15
3.	Methodology	.16
	Background	
	Glossary of Terms/Acronyms	17
	Desk-Based Review	
	Stakeholder Engagement	
	Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities	17
	Survey of Travelling Communities	17
	Stage 1 – Telephone Interviews (January 2022)	18
	Stage 2 – Social Distanced Engagement (January-February 2022)	18
	Engagement with Bricks and Mortar Households	
	Timing of the Fieldwork	19
	Applying the PPTS Planning Definition	19
	Planning Status of Children in Households	20
	Undetermined Households	20
	Households that Do Not Meet the PPTS Planning Definition	22
	Calculating Current and Future Need	22
	Supply of Pitches	22
	Current Need	23
	Future Need	23
	Pitch Turnover	23

	Transit Provision	24
4.	Traveller Sites & Population Introduction Sites and Yards in Newham	26
	DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count	
5.	Stakeholder Engagement	
	Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Newham	28
	Neighbouring Boroughs	31
6.	Survey of Travelling Communities	
	Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers	36
7.	Current and Future Pitch Provision	
	Introduction New Household Formation Rates	
	Breakdown by 5 Year Bands	
	The PPTS Planning Definition	
	Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar	
	Migration/Roadside	
	Public Site Waiting List	41
	Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that meet the PPTS Planning Definition	41
	Pitch Needs – Undetermined Gypsies and Travellers	42
	Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that did not meet the PPTS Planning Definition	42
	Travelling Showpeople Needs	44
	Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople	44
	Transit Requirements	
	DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count	
	Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data	
	Transit Recommendations	45
8.	Conclusions	47
	Gypsies and Travellers – PPTS Planning Definition	
	Travelling Showpeople	
	Transit Provision	
	Summary of Need to be Addressed	48
Lis	st of Figures	49
Ap	opendix A: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms used	50

Appendix B: Undetermined Households	52
Appendix C: Households that did not meet the PPTS Planning Definition	54
Appendix D: Site and Yard Lists (February 2022)	56
Appendix E: Household Interview Questions	57
Appendix F: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates	66

1. Executive Summary

Introduction and Methodology

- ^{1.1} The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in the London Borough of Newham (the Council).
- ^{1.2} The GTAA provides a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Development Plan Policies and, where appropriate, the provision of new Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Travelling Showpeople plots for the period 2022 to 2038.
- ^{1.3} The breakdown of need by time periods will be as follows:
 - » Years 0-5: February 2022 March 2027
 - » Years 6-10: February 2027 March 2032
 - » Years 11-15: February 2032 March 2037
 - » Years 16: February 2037 March 2038
- ^{1.4} The GTAA has sought to understand the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population in Newham through a combination of desk-based research, stakeholder interviews and engagement with members of the travelling community living on all known sites, yards and encampments. A total of 18 interviews or proxy interviews were completed with Gypsies and Travellers living on sites or encampments; 3 interviews were completed with Travellers living in bricks and mortar; and no Travelling Showpeople were identified. A total of 2 stakeholder interviews were also completed.
- ^{1.5} The fieldwork for the study was completed between January 2022 and February 2022 and the baseline date for the assessment is February 2022.

The Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) Planning Definition of a Traveller

- ^{1.6} The PPTS (2015) introduced a revised definition of a Traveller for planning purposes. To meet the revised PPTS definition, households need to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so, or that family members have travelled for work in the past and have stopped travelling temporarily due to education, ill health or old age. As a result, there are 3 categories of Travellers identified and assessed in this GTAA in relation to the PPTS planning definition:
 - » Households where an interview was completed who meet the PPTS planning definition.
 - » Undetermined households where an interview was unable to be completed who may meet the PPTS planning definition.

» Households where an interview was completed who did not meet the PPTS planning definition.

Key Findings

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers

- ^{1.7} Overall, the pitch needs¹ for Gypsies and Travellers from 2022-2038 are set out below. These needs are based on the PPTS definition of a Traveller for planning purposes. Full details on the PPTS planning definition can be found in Chapter 2 and 3, but in summary:
 - » Households² will meet the PPTS planning definition if they can demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, and plan to travel again for work purposes in the future.
 - » Undetermined households are those where it was not possible to complete an interview who may meet the PPTS planning definition.
 - » Households who are not able to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes or have ceased travelling permanently will not meet the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{1.8} Needs are set out for: those households that meet the PPTS planning definition; for those undetermined households who may meet the PPTS planning definition; and for those households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition (even though this is no longer a requirement for a GTAA). Only the need from those households who meet the PPTS planning definition should be considered as need arising from the GTAA under the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{1.9} The need arising from households that meet the PPTS planning definition should be addressed through Gypsy and Traveller Local Plan Policies.
- ^{1.10} The Council will need to carefully consider how to address any need associated with undetermined households as it is unlikely that all this need will need to be addressed through the provision of conditioned³ Gypsy or Traveller pitches. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any undetermined households including any from in-migration or from bricks and mortar⁴.
- ^{1.11} In general terms, the need for those households who do not fall within the PPTS planning definition should be met as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies. This approach is specifically referenced in the revised National Planning Policy Framework (July 2021). Paragraph 61 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance. Paragraph 62 then states that [emphasis added] 'Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in

¹ See Chapter 3 and 4 for further details on pitch needs.

² Including those living on sites and in bricks and mortar.

³ Pitches with planning conditions restricting occupation to Gypsies and Travellers.

⁴ See Chapter 3 for detailed information on Undetermined Households.

the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, <u>travellers</u>, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes'. The footnote to this section states that 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers' housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.'

- ^{1.12} This essentially sets out that the needs of households that meet the planning definition should be assessed under the PPTS and that the needs of households that are not found to meet the PPTS planning definition should be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of an area.
- ^{1.13} It is recognised that the Council is in the process of preparing a new Local Plan that sets out overall housing need for Newham. The findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need for Gypsies and Travellers.
- ^{1.14} There were 2 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller; no undetermined households that may meet the PPTS planning definition; and 25 households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{1.15} There is **no need for pitches for households that meet the PPTS planning definition**. The 2 households that were identified had no need for a further pitch, although 1 of the households is seeking to move to bricks and mortar.
- ^{1.16} There is **no need for undetermined households** as interviews were completed with all identified households.
- ^{1.17} There is a need for **23 pitches for households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition**. This is made up of 7 concealed or doubled-up households; 3 movement from bricks and mortar; 4 teenagers who will be in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; 2 from roadside; and 8 from new household formation derived from the demographics of the households that were interviewed. There is also supply from 1 pitch due to be vacated by a household living on the public site moving to bricks and mortar.
- ^{1.18} It should be noted that this is need in addition to the existing occupied pitches in Newham. The majority of current need in years 0-5 of the GTAA period arises from adult households currently doubled-up on existing pitches and from teenagers living on existing pitches who will be in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years. Future need for years 6-18 of the GTAA is made up of future household formation from these households.
- ^{1.19} Figure 1 summarises the identified need and Figure 2 breaks the need down for households that meet the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller by 5-year periods.

Figure 1 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller household	ds in Newham 2022-38 (PPTS planning definition)
---	---

Status	2022-38
Meet Planning Definition	0
Undetermined	0
Do not meet Planning Definition	23

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16	Tatal
	2022-27	2027-32	2032-37	2037-38	Total
	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 2 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods

Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople

^{1.20} There were no Travelling Showperson households identified in Newham. Therefore, the GTAA **does not identify a need for any plots** for the GTAA period to 2038.

Transit Recommendations

- ^{1.21} Due to historic low numbers of unauthorised encampments it is not recommended that there is a need for any formal transit provision in Newham at this time. However, there is a need for a more strategic approach to transit provision across London as recommended in the recent report by London Gypsies and Travellers and De Montfort University (Richardson, 2019)⁵.
- ^{1.22} The situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored. As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area. This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).
- ^{1.23} It is recommended that a review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments, including the monitoring referred to above, should be undertaken on a London-wide basis. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in any new transit provision or emergency stopping places, or whether a managed approach is preferable.
- ^{1.24} In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approach when dealing with unauthorised encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.
- ^{1.25} The term 'negotiated stopping' is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides. See <u>www.negitiatedstopping.co.uk</u> for further information.
- ^{1.26} Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities. Whilst such events are unlikely to occur in Newham, the Council should still be aware of temporary arrangements that could be put in place if required.

⁵ http://www.londongypsiesandtravellers.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/LGT_report_28Nov_web.pdf

2. Introduction

- ^{2.1} The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in Newham. The outcomes of the study will supersede the outcomes of the previous Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments completed in Newham.
- ^{2.2} The study provides an evidence base to enable the Council to comply with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act (2016), Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2021, and the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021.
- ^{2.3} The GTAA provides a robust assessment of need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in the study area. It is a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Local Plan Policies and the provision of Traveller pitches and plots covering the period 2022 to 2038 to meet the 15-year requirements of the PPTS and the new Local Plan period. As well as identifying current and future permanent accommodation needs, it also seeks to identify any need for the provision of transit sites or emergency stopping places.
- ^{2.4} We would note at the outset that the study covers the needs of Gypsies (including English, Scottish, Welsh and Romany Gypsies), Irish Travellers, New (Age) Travellers, and Travelling Showpeople, but for ease of reference we have referred to the study as a Gypsy and Traveller (and Travelling Showpeople) Accommodation Assessment (GTAA).
- ^{2.5} The baseline date for the study is February 2022.

Definitions

^{2.6} The planning definition for a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson is set out in PPTS (2015). The previous definition set out in the Housing Act (2004) was repealed by the Housing and Planning Act (2016).

The PPTS (2015) Planning Definition

^{2.7} For the purposes of the planning system, the definition was changed in PPTS (2015). The planning definition is set out in Annex 1 of the PPTS and states that:

For the purposes of this planning policy "gypsies and travellers" means:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.

In determining whether persons are "gypsies and travellers" for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:

a) Whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life.

b) The reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life.

c) Whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.

For the purposes of this planning policy, "travelling showpeople" means:

Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family's or dependants' more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.

(Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), August 2015)

^{2.8} The key change that was made to both definitions was the removal of the term "*persons…who* have ceased to travel permanently", meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will no longer fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA.

The PPTS Definition of Travelling

- ^{2.9} One of the most important questions that GTAAs will need to address in terms of applying the PPTS planning definition is *what constitutes travelling?* This has been determined through case law that has tested the meaning of the term '*nomadic*'.
- ^{2.10} R v South Hams District Council (1994) defined Gypsies as "persons who wander or travel for the purpose of making or seeking their livelihood (not persons who travel from place to place without any connection between their movements and their means of livelihood.)" This includes 'born' Gypsies and Travellers as well as 'elective' Travellers such as New Age Travellers.
- ^{2.11} In **Maidstone BC v Secretary of State for the Environment and Dunn (2006)**, it was held that a Romany Gypsy who bred horses and travelled to horse fairs at Appleby, Stow-in-the-Wold and the New Forest, where he bought and sold horses, and who remained away from his permanent site for up to two months of the year, at least partly in connection with this traditional Gypsy activity, was entitled to be accorded Gypsy status.
- ^{2.12} In **Greenwich LBC v Powell (1989)**, Lord Bridge of Harwich stated that a person could be a statutory Gypsy if he led a nomadic way of life *only seasonally*.
- ^{2.13} The definition was widened further by the decision in **R v Shropshire CC ex p Bungay (1990)**. The case concerned a Gypsy family that had not travelled for some 15 years in order to care for its elderly and infirm parents. An aggrieved resident living in the area of the family's recently approved Gypsy site sought judicial review of the local authority's decision to accept that the family had retained their Gypsy status even though they had not travelled for some considerable time. Dismissing the claim, the judge held that a person could remain a Gypsy even if he or she did not travel, provided that their nomadism was held in abeyance and not abandoned.
- ^{2.14} That point was revisited in the case of **Hearne v National Assembly for Wales (1999)**, where a traditional Gypsy was held not to be a Gypsy for the purposes of planning law as he had stated

that he intended to abandon his nomadic habit of life, lived in a permanent dwelling and was taking a course that led to permanent employment.

- ^{2.15} Wrexham County Borough Council v National Assembly of Wales and Others (2003) determined that households and individuals could continue to lead a nomadic way of life with a permanent base from which they set out from and return to.
- ^{2.16} The implication of these rulings in terms of applying the PPTS planning definition is that it will only include those who travel (or have ceased to travel temporarily) for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence. It can include those who have a permanent site or place of residence, but that it will not include those who travel for purposes other than work such as holidays and visiting friends or relatives. It will not cover those who commute to work daily from a permanent place of residence (see APP/E2205/C/15/3137477).
- ^{2.17} It may also be that within a household some family members travel for nomadic purposes on a regular basis, but other family members stay at home to look after children in education, or other dependents with health problems etc. In these circumstances the household unit would be defined as travelling under the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{2.18} Households will also fall under the PPTS planning definition if they can demonstrate that they have ceased to travel temporarily as a result of their own or their family's or dependants' educational, health needs or old age. In order to have ceased to travel temporarily these households will need to demonstrate that they have travelled for work in the past. In addition, households will also have to demonstrate that they plan to travel again for work in the future.
- ^{2.19} This approach was endorsed by a Planning Inspector in Decision Notice for an appeal in East Hertfordshire (Appeal Ref: APP/J1915/W/16/3145267) that was issued in December 2016. A summary can be seen below.

Case law, including the R v South Hams District Council ex parte Gibb (1994) judgment referred to me at the hearing, despite its reference to 'purposive activities including work' also refers to a connection between the travelling and the means of livelihood, that is, an economic purpose. In this regard, there is no economic purpose... This situation is no different from that of many landlords and property investors or indeed anyone travelling to work in a fixed, pre-arranged location. In this regard there is not an essential connection between wandering and work... Whilst there does appear to be some connection between the travel and the work in this regard, it seems to me that these periods of travel for economic purposes are very short, amounting to an extremely small proportion of his time and income. Furthermore, the work is not carried out in a nomadic manner because it seems likely that it is done by appointment... I conclude, therefore, that XX does not meet the definition of a gypsy and traveller in terms of planning policy because there is insufficient evidence that he is currently a person of a nomadic habit of life. ^{2.20} This was further reinforced in a more recent Decision Notice for an appeal in Norfolk that was issued in February 2018 (Ref: APP/V2635/W/17/3180533) that stated:

As discussed during the hearing, although the PPTS does not spell this [the planning definition] out, it has been established in case law (R v South Hams DC 1994) that the nomadism must have an economic purpose. In other words, gypsies and travellers wander of travel for the purposes of making or seeking their livelihood.

Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers

- ^{2.21} Decision-making for policy concerning Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sits within a complex legislative and national policy framework and this study must be viewed in the context of this legislation and guidance. For example, the following key pieces of legislation and guidance are relevant when developing policies relating to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople:
 - » The Housing Act, 1985
 - » Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS), 2015
 - » The Housing and Planning Act, 2016
 - » Planning Practice Guidance⁶ (PPG), 2021
 - » National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2021
- ^{2.22} In addition, Case Law, Ministerial Statements, the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals, and Judicial Reviews need to be taken into consideration. Relevant examples have been included in this report.
- ^{2.23} The primary guidance for undertaking the assessment of housing need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is set out in the PPTS (2015). It should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). In addition, the Housing and Planning Act makes provisions for the assessment of need for those Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households living on sites and yards who do not meet the planning definition through the assessment of all households living in caravans.

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015

- ^{2.24} PPTS (2015), sets out the direction of Government policy. As well as introducing the planning definition of a Traveller, PPTS is closely linked to the NPPF. Among other objectives, the aims of the policy in respect of Traveller sites are (PPTS Paragraph 4):
 - » Local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning.
 - » To ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites.
 - » To encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale.
 - » That plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development.

⁶ With particular reference to the sections on *Housing needs of different groups* (July 2019).

- » To promote more private Traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those Travellers who cannot provide their own sites.
- » That plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.
- » For local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies.
- » To increase the number of Traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply.
- » To reduce tensions between settled and Traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions.
- » To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which Travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure.
- » For local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment.
- ^{2.25} In practice, the document states that (PPTS Paragraph 9):
 - » Local planning authorities should set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople, which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of Travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.
- ^{2.26} PPTS goes on to state (Paragraph 10) that in producing their Local Plan local planning authorities should:
 - » Identify and annually update a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of sites against their locally set targets.
 - » Identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.
 - » Consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints across its area (local planning authorities have a Dutyto-Cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries).
 - » Relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population's size and density.
 - » Protect local amenity and environment.
- ^{2.27} Local Authorities now have a duty to ensure a 5-year land supply to meet the identified needs for Traveller sites. However, PPTS 2015 also notes in Paragraph 11 that:
 - Where there is no identified need, criteria-based policies should be included to provide a basis for decisions in case applications nevertheless come forward. Criteria-based policies should be fair and should facilitate the traditional and nomadic life of Travellers, while respecting the interests of the settled community.

Revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021

- ^{2.28} The most recent version of the NPPF was issued in July 2021. Paragraph 61 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance.
- ^{2.29} Paragraph 62 then states that [emphasis added] 'Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, <u>travellers</u>, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes'. The footnote to this section states that 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers' housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.'
- ^{2.30} This essentially sets out that the needs of households that meet the planning definition should be assessed under the PPTS and that the needs of households that are not found to meet the planning definition should be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of an area.
- ^{2.31} In an Appeal Decision that was published in March 2020 for an appeal in Central Bedfordshire (APP/P0240/C/18/3213822) the Inspector concluded in relation to the revised NPPF that:

It seems to me that this wording makes clear that it is only those meeting that definition that should be included in an assessment of need for 'planning definition' travellers and that gypsies who have ceased travelling should be counted and provided for elsewhere and this is the approach proposed in the emerging LP. This does not, of course mean that these gypsies should be allocated 'bricks and mortar' type housing. They will also need a suitable supply of caravan sites to meet their needs.

Lisa Smith v The Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government and others [2021]

^{2.32} In June 2021 Mr Justice Pepperall handed down judgment in *Lisa Smith v The Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government and others* [2021]. The case concerned whether the planning definition of Gypsies and Travellers contained in Annex 1 of the PPTS (2015) is discriminatory against Travellers who are settled and who no longer travel for work. Mr Justice Pepperall dismissed the claim and found the PPTS planning definition to be lawful. This further supports Paragraphs 61 and 62 of the NPPF that requires a separate assessment of need for Travellers who do not meet the PPTS planning definition, and that this need should be addressed through separate Local Plan policies to the Gypsy and Traveller Policy.

Planning for the Future White Paper (2020)

^{2.33} In August 2020 the Government published a White Paper on proposals to reform the current planning system in England. The consultation period on the White Paper ended on 29th October 2020. Whilst the White Paper does not make any references to planning for Gypsies and Travellers, the Council may need to consider the outcomes of the consultation and any subsequent changes to planning legislation in England that relate to Gypsies and Travellers.

3. Methodology

Background

- ^{3.1} Over the past 10 years, ORS has continually refined a methodology for undertaking robust and defensible Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments. This has been updated in light of changes to PPTS in August 2015, the Housing and Planning Act (2016), the revised PPG (2021), and the revised NPPF (2021). It has also responded to changes set out by Planning Ministers, with particular reference to new household formation rates. This is an evolving methodology that has been adaptive to changes in planning policy as well as the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals.
- ^{3.2} PPTS (2015) contains a number of requirements for local authorities which must be addressed in any methodology. This includes the need to pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves); identification of permanent and transit site accommodation needs separately; working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and establishing whether households fall within the planning definition for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
- ^{3.3} ORS would note that since the changes to the PPTS in August 2015 the ORS GTAA methodology has been repeatedly found to be sound and robust, including through Local Plans in Bedford, Cambridge, Castle Point, Central Bedfordshire, Cheltenham, Cotswold, Daventry, East Hertfordshire, Gloucester, Maldon, Milton Keynes, Newham, Runnymede, South Cambridgeshire, South Northamptonshire, Tewkesbury, and Waverley.
- ^{3.4} An Appeal Decision for a Hearing in Central Bedfordshire (APP/P0240/C/18/3213822) that was issued in March 2020 concluded:

'...whilst there have been some queries in previous appeal decisions over the conclusions of other GTAAs produced by ORS, the methodology, which takes into account the revisions made in 2015 to the Government's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS), has nevertheless been accepted by Inspectors in a considerable number of Local Plan Examinations.'

^{3.5} The Inspector for the East Herts District Plan also found the evidence base in relation to Gypsies and Travellers to be sound in her Inspection Report that was issued in July 2018. She concluded:

'The need of the travelling community has been carefully and robustly assessed and locations to meet identified needs have been allocated for the plan period. Policy HOU9 sets out the need for 5 permanent pitches for Gypsies and Travellers... the approach to the provision of housing is comprehensive, positively prepared, appropriate to the needs of the area and consistent with national policy.'

^{3.6} The stages below provide a summary of the methodology that was used to complete this study. More information on each stage is provided in the appropriate sections of this report.

Glossary of Terms/Acronyms

^{3.7} A Glossary of Terms/Acronyms can be found in **Appendix A**.

Desk-Based Review

- ^{3.8} ORS collated a range of secondary data that was used to support the study. This included:
 - » Census data.
 - » Traveller Caravan Count data.
 - » Records of unauthorised sites/encampments.
 - » Information on planning applications/appeals.
 - » Information on enforcement actions.
 - » Existing Needs Assessments and other relevant local studies.
 - » Existing national and local policy, guidance and best practice.

Stakeholder Engagement

^{3.9} Engagement was undertaken with key Council Officers in Newham and with wider stakeholders through telephone interviews. ORS also spoke with a representative from Homes for Newham (HFH) which was set up in April 2006 to manage Newham's council housing. HFH are also responsible for the management of the public Traveller site in Newham. In addition, ORS spoke to representatives from London Gypsies & Travellers (LGT). LGT is a registered charity based in London who provide support and advice to members of the Travelling community.

Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities

- ^{3.10} To help support the Duty-to-Cooperate and provide background information for the study, telephone interviews were conducted with Planning Officers in neighbouring planning authorities. These interviews will help to ensure that wider issues that may impact on this project are fully understood. This included interviews with Officers from the Councils set out below. Again, a detailed topic guide was agreed with the Council.
 - » London Borough of Redbridge
 - » London Borough of Barking & Dagenham
 - » London Borough of Greenwich
 - » London Borough of Havering
 - » London Borough of Tower Hamlets
 - » London Borough of Hackney
 - » London Borough of Waltham Forest

Survey of Travelling Communities

^{3.11} As a result of travel and social distancing restrictions due to COVID-19 in March 2020 a 2-stage methodology was used to complete the site fieldwork.

- ^{3.12} Through the desk-based research and the stakeholder interviews, ORS sought to identify all authorised and unauthorised sites/yards and encampments in the study area and attempted to complete an interview with the residents on all occupied pitches and plots. In order to gather the robust information needed to assess households against the planning definition of a Traveller, up to 3 contacts were attempted with households where it was not initially possible to conduct an interview because they were not available at the time.
- ^{3.13} Our experience suggests that an attempt to interview households on all pitches is more robust. A sample-based approach often leads to an under-estimate of need – and is an approach which is regularly challenged by the Planning Inspectorate and at Planning Appeals.
- ^{3.14} ORS worked closely with the Council to ensure that the interviews collected all the necessary information to support the study. The household interview questions that were used (Appendix E) have been updated to take account of recent changes to PPTS and to collect the information ORS feel is necessary to apply the planning definition. All interviews were completed by members of our dedicated team of experienced Researchers who work on our GTAA studies across England and Wales. Researchers attempted to conduct semi-structured interviews with residents to determine their current demographic characteristics, their current or future accommodation needs, whether there is any over-crowding or the presence of concealed households and travelling characteristics. Researchers also sought to identify contacts living in bricks and mortar to interview, as well as an overall assessment of each site to determine any opportunities for intensification or expansion to meet future needs.
- ^{3.15} Researchers also sought information from residents on the type of pitches they may require in the future – for example private or socially rented, together with any features they may wish to be provided on a new pitch or site.
- ^{3.16} Where it was not possible to complete an interview, Researchers sought to capture as much information as possible about each pitch through a proxy interview from sources including neighbouring residents and site management.

Stage 1 – Telephone Interviews (January 2022)

^{3.17} The first phase of the fieldwork involved Researchers from ORS attempting to complete interviews over the telephone with residents living on sites. This is an approach that ORS have followed in all of our GTAAs that have been completed since the COVID-19 restrictions were introduced. Contact details were sought through local stakeholders including site managers; and by sending letters to residents asking them to contact ORS to complete an interview – including follow-up letters. The wording of the letter that ORS currently used has been agreed with members of the Travelling Community and asks households to call ORS Researchers to complete an interview over the telephone. During interviews ORS Researchers also ask households if they have family or friends living on sites in the area and ask them to pass on our contact details and to encourage them to call us.

Stage 2 – Social Distanced Engagement (January-February 2022)

^{3.18} When the initial phase of telephone interviews had been completed, ORS sought to complete social distanced interviews with households on sites where it had not been possible to complete

interviews over the telephone. ORS completed a detailed COVID-19 Risk Assessment that allows for fieldwork activities to resume.

Engagement with Bricks and Mortar Households

- ^{3.19} The 2011 Census recorded 125 households that identified as either Gypsies or Irish Travellers who live in a house or flat in Newham.
- ^{3.20} ORS apply a rigorous approach to making contact with bricks and mortar households as this is a common issue raised at Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals. Contacts were sought through a range of sources including the interviews with people on existing sites; intelligence from the stakeholder interviews; and other local knowledge from stakeholders. Through this approach the GTAA endeavoured to do everything to give households living in bricks and mortar the opportunity to make their views known.
- ^{3.21} As a rule, we do not make any assumptions on the overall needs from household in bricks and mortar based on the outcomes of any interviews that are completed, as in our experience this leads to a significant over-estimate of the number of households wishing to move to a site or a yard. We work on the assumption that all those wishing to move will make their views known to us based on the wide range of publicity we will put in place.

Timing of the Fieldwork

^{3.22} ORS are fully aware of the transient nature of many travelling communities and subsequent seasonal variations in site and yard occupancy. ORS normally aim to complete fieldwork during the non-travelling season, and also avoid days of known local or national events. The fieldwork for this study was completed between January 2022 and February 2022 and Researchers were able to collect information on all identified residents.

Applying the PPTS Planning Definition

- ^{3.23} The primary change to PPTS (2015) in relation to the assessment of need was the change to the definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson for planning purposes. Through the site interviews ORS sought to collect information necessary to assess each household against the PPTS planning definition. As the revised PPTS was only issued in 2015, only a small number of relevant appeal decisions have been issued by the Planning Inspectorate on how the PPTS planning definition should be applied (see Paragraphs 2.20 and 2.21 for examples) these support the view that households need to be able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, to meet the PPTS planning definition, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so, or have ceased to travel for work purposes temporarily due to education, ill health or old age.
- ^{3.24} The household survey included a structured section of questions to record information about the travelling characteristics of household members. This included questions on the following key issues:
 - » Whether any household members have travelled in the past 12 months.
 - » Whether household members have ever travelled.

- » The main reasons for travelling.
- » Where household members travelled to.
- » The times of the year that household members travelled.
- » Where household members stay when they are away travelling.
- » When household members stopped travelling.
- » The reasons why household members stopped travelling.
- » Whether household members intend to travel again in the future.
- » When and the reasons why household members plan to travel again in the future.
- ^{3.25} When the household survey was completed, the answers from these questions on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the PPTS planning definition. Through a combination of responses, households need to provide sufficient information to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, and plan to travel again for work purposes in the future. The same definition applies to Travelling Showpeople as to Gypsies and Travellers.
- ^{3.26} Households that need to be considered in the GTAA fall under one of three classifications that will determine whether their housing needs will need to be assessed in the GTAA. Only those households that meet, or may meet, the PPTS planning definition will form the components of need to be formally included in the GTAA:
 - » Households that travel under the PPTS planning definition.
 - » Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the PPTS planning definition.
 - » Households where an interview was not possible who *may* fall under the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{3.27} Whilst the needs of those households that do not meet the PPTS planning definition do not need to be included in the GTAA, they will be assessed to provide the Council with components of need to consider as part of their work on wider housing needs assessments. This is consistent with the requirements of the NPPF (2021).

Planning Status of Children in Households

^{3.28} For the purpose of assessing need ORS assume that the children of households should be given the same planning status as their parents. The rationale for this is that the planning status of children cannot be properly determined until they reach the age they can be classed as economically active and formally occupy a pitch of their own i.e. the age of 18. Most Councils update their GTAAs approximately every 3-5 years and this will allow for the planning definition to be properly applied to children in future years, or through future planning applications or appeals.

Undetermined Households

^{3.29} As well as calculating need for households that meet the PPTS planning definition, the needs of the households where an interview was not completed (either due to refusal to be interviewed

or households that were not present during the fieldwork period) need to be assessed as part of the GTAA where they are believed to be Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the planning definition. Whilst there is no law or guidance that sets out how the needs of these households should be addressed an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from these households. This will be a need figure over and above the need identified for households that do meet the planning definition.

- ^{3.30} The estimate seeks to identify potential current and future need from any pitches known to be temporary or unauthorised, and through new household formation. For the latter the ORS national rate of 1.50% has been used as the demographics of residents are unknown.
- ^{3.31} Should further information be made available to the Councils that will allow for the PPTS planning definition to be applied, these households could either form a confirmed component of need to be addressed through the GTAA or through wider assessments of housing need.
- ^{3.32} ORS believe it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether households where an interview was not completed meet the PPTS planning definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.
- ^{3.33} However, data that has been collected from over 5,000 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall approximately 30% of households who have been interviewed meet the PPTS planning definition (this rises to 70% for Travelling Showpeople based on over 300 interviews that have been completed) and in some local authorities, no households meet the PPTS planning definition. ORS are not implying that this is an official national statistic rather a robust national statistic based on the outcomes of our fieldwork since the introduction of PPTS (2015).
- ^{3.34} This would suggest that it is likely that only a proportion of the potential need identified from undetermined households will require conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies.
- ^{3.35} The ORS methodology to address the need arising from undetermined households was supported by the Planning Inspector for a Local Plan Examination for Maldon District Council, Essex. In his Report that was published on 29th June 2017 he concluded:

The Council's stance is that any need arising from 'unknowns' should be a matter left to the planning application process. Modifications to Policy H6 have been put forward by the Council setting out criteria for such a purpose, which I consider further below. To my mind, that is an appropriate approach. While there remains a possibility that up to 10 further pitches may be needed, that cannot be said to represent identified need. It would be unreasonable to demand that the Plan provide for needs that have not been established to exist. That being said, **MM242h** is nonetheless necessary in this regard. It commits the Council to a review of the Plan if future reviews of the GTAA reveal the necessity for land allocations to provide for presently 'unknown' needs. For effectiveness, I have altered this modification from the version put forward by the Council by replacing the work "may" with "will" in relation to undertaking the review committed to. I have also replaced "the Plan" with "Policy H6" – the whole Plan need not be reviewed.

Households that Do Not Meet the PPTS Planning Definition

- ^{3.36} Households who do not travel for work now fall outside the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller. However Romany Gypsies, Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to claim a right to culturally appropriate accommodation under the Equality Act (2010) as a result of their protected characteristics. In addition, provisions set out in the Housing and Planning Act (2016) now include a duty (under Section 8 of the 1985 Housing Act that covers the requirement for a periodical review of housing needs) for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed, or places on inland waterways where houseboats can be moored. Draft Guidance⁷ related to this section of the Act has been published setting out how the government would want local housing authorities to undertake this assessment and it is the same as the GTAA assessment process. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller will need to be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of the area and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans. This is echoed in the NPPF (July 2021).
- ^{3.37} Paragraph 62 of the revised NPPF states that [emphasis added] 'Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, **travellers**, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes'. The footnote to this section states that 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers' housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.'

Calculating Current and Future Need

^{3.38} To identify need, PPTS (2015) requires an assessment for current and future pitch requirements but does not provide a methodology for this. However, as with any housing assessment, the underlying calculation can be broken down into a relatively small number of factors. In this case, the key issue is to compare the supply of pitches available for occupation with the current and future needs of the population.

Supply of Pitches

- ^{3.39} The first stage of the assessment sought to determine the number of occupied, vacant and potentially available supply in the study area:
 - » Current vacant pitches.
 - » Pitches currently with planning consent due to be developed within 5 years.
 - » Pitches vacated by people moving to housing.
 - » Pitches vacated by people moving from the study area (out-migration).

⁷ Draft guidance to local housing authorities on the periodical review of housing needs for caravans and houseboats. DCLG (March 2016).

^{3.40} It is important when seeking to identify supply from vacant pitches that they are in fact available for general occupation – i.e. on a public or social rented site, or on a private site that is run on a commercial basis with anyone being able to rent a pitch if they are available. Typically, vacant pitches on small private family sites are not included as components of available supply but can be used to meet any current and future need from the family living on the site.

Current Need

- ^{3.41} The second stage was to identify components of current need, which is not necessarily the need for additional pitches because they may be able to be addressed by space already available in the study area. It is important to address issues of double counting:
 - » Households on unauthorised developments for which planning permission is not expected.
 - » Concealed, doubled-up or over-crowded households (including single adults).
 - » Households in bricks and mortar wishing to move to sites.
 - » Households in need on waiting lists for public sites.

Future Need

- ^{3.42} The final stage was to identify components of future need. This includes the following four components:
 - » Teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years.
 - » Households living on sites with temporary planning permissions.
 - » New household formation.
 - » In-migration.
- ^{3.43} Household formation rates are often the subject of challenge at appeals or examinations. ORS firmly believe that any household formation rates should use a robust local evidence base, rather than simply relying on national precedent. The approach taken is set out in more detail in Chapter 6 of this report.
- ^{3.44} All of these components of supply and need are presented in tabular format which identify the overall net need for current and future accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. This has proven to be a robust model for identifying needs. The residential and transit pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are identified separately and the needs are identified for the period 2022-2038.

Pitch Turnover

^{3.45} Some assessments of need make use of pitch turnover as an ongoing component of supply. ORS do not agree with this approach or about making any assumptions about annual turnover rates. This approach frequently ends up significantly under-estimating need as, in the majority of cases, vacant pitches on sites are not available to meet any need. The use of pitch turnover has been the subject of a number of Inspectors Decisions, for example APP/J3720/A/13/2208767 found a GTAA to be unsound when using pitch turnover and concluded:

West Oxfordshire Council relies on a GTAA published in 2013. This identifies an immediate need for 6 additional pitches. However, the GTAA methodology treats pitch turnover as a component of supply. This is only the case if there is net outward migration, yet no such scenario is apparent in West Oxfordshire. Based on the evidence before me I consider the underlying criticism of the GTAA to be justified and that unmet need is likely to be higher than that in the findings in the GTAA.

^{3.46} In addition, a recent GTAA Best Practice Guide produced jointly by organisations including Friends, Families and Travellers, the London Gypsy and Traveller Unit, the York Travellers Trust, the Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group, Garden Court Chambers and Leeds GATE concluded that:

Assessments involving any form of pitch turnover in their supply relies upon making assumptions; a practice best avoided. Turnover is naturally very difficult to assess accurately and in practice does not contribute meaningfully to additional supply so should be very carefully assessed in line with local trends. Mainstream housing assessments are not based on the assumption that turnover within the existing stock can provide for general housing needs.

^{3.47} As such, other than current vacant pitches on sites that are known to be available, or pitches that are known to become available through the household interviews, pitch turnover has not been considered as a component of supply in this GTAA.

Transit Provision

- ^{3.48} GTAA studies require the identification of demand for transit provision. While the majority of Gypsies and Travellers have permanent bases either on Gypsy and Traveller sites or in bricks and mortar and no longer travel, other members of the community either travel permanently or for part of the year. Due to the mobile nature of the population a range of sites can be developed to accommodate Gypsies and Travellers as they move through different areas.
 - » Transit sites full facilities where Gypsies and Travellers might live temporarily (for up to three months) – for example, to work locally, for holidays or to visit family and friends.
 - » Emergency stopping places more limited facilities.
 - » Temporary sites and stopping places only temporary facilities to cater for an event.
 - » **Negotiated stopping places** agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time.
- ^{3.49} Transit sites serve a specific function of meeting the needs of Gypsy and Traveller households who are visiting an area or who are passing through on the way to somewhere else. A transit site typically has a restriction on the length of stay of usually around 12 weeks and has a range of facilities such as water supply, electricity, and amenity blocks.
- ^{3.50} An alternative to or in addition to a transit site is an emergency stopping place. This type of site also has restrictions on the length of time for which someone can stay on it but has much more limited facilities with typically only a source of water and chemical toilets provided.

- ^{3.51} Another alternative is 'negotiated stopping'. The term 'negotiated stopping' is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent 'built' transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the authority and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides.
- ^{3.52} Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities.
- ^{3.53} The Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 (Section 62a) is particularly important with regard to the issue of Gypsy and Traveller transit site provision. Section 62a of the Act allows the police to direct trespassers to remove themselves and their vehicles and property from any land where a suitable transit pitch on a relevant caravan site is available within the same local authority area (or within the county in two-tier local authority areas).
- ^{3.54} Consideration will also have to be given to the Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Bill which is currently awaiting Royal Assent. Part 4 of the Bill is seeking to give the Police additional powers to deal with unauthorised encampments through proposed new offences relating to residing on land without consent in or with a vehicle and proposed new powers in relation to the seizure of property.
- ^{3.55} In order to investigate the potential need for transit provision when undertaking work to support the study, ORS sought to undertake analysis of any records of unauthorised sites and encampments, as well as information from the Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities ⁸ Traveller Caravan Count. The outcomes of discussions with Council Officers and with Officers from neighbouring planning authorities were also taken into consideration when determining this element of need in the study area.

⁸ Formerly the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (MHCLG).

4. Traveller Sites & Population

Introduction

- ^{4.1} One of the main considerations of this study is to provide evidence to support the provision of pitches and plots to meet the current and future accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. A pitch is an area normally occupied by one household, which typically contains enough space for one or two caravans but can vary in size⁹. A site is a collection of pitches which form a development exclusively for Gypsies and Travellers. For Travelling Showpeople, the most common descriptions used are a plot for the space occupied by one household and a yard for a collection of plots which are typically exclusively occupied by Travelling Showpeople. Throughout this study the main focus is upon how many extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople are required in the study area.
- ^{4.2} The public and private provision of mainstream housing is also largely mirrored when considering Gypsy and Traveller accommodation. One common form of a Gypsy and Traveller site is the publicly provided residential site, which is provided by a Local Authority or by a Registered Provider (usually a Housing Association). Pitches on public sites can be obtained through signing up to a waiting list, and the costs of running the sites are met from the rent paid by the tenants (similar to social housing).
- ^{4.3} The alternative to a public residential site is a private residential site and yard for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. These result from individuals or families buying areas of land and then obtaining planning permission to live on them. Households can also rent pitches on existing private sites. Therefore, these two forms of accommodation are the equivalent to private ownership and renting for those who live in bricks and mortar housing. Generally, the majority of Travelling Showpeople yards are privately owned and managed.
- ^{4.4} The Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population also has other types of sites due to its mobile nature. Transit sites tend to contain many of the same facilities as a residential site, except that there is a maximum occupancy period of residence which can vary from a few days or weeks to a period of months. An alternative to a transit site is an emergency or negotiated stopping place. This type of site also has restrictions on the length of time someone can stay on it but has much more limited facilities. Both of these two types of site are designed to accommodate, for a temporary period, Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople whilst they travel. A number of authorities also operate an accepted encampments policy where short-term stopovers are tolerated without enforcement action.
- ^{4.5} Further considerations for the Gypsy and Traveller population are unauthorised developments and encampments. Unauthorised developments occur on land which is owned by the Gypsies and Travellers or with the approval of the landowner, but for which they do not have planning permission to use for residential purposes. Unauthorised encampments occur on land which is not owned by the Gypsies and Travellers.

⁹ Whilst it has now been withdrawn, *Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites* recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer (a static caravan, park home or chalet for example) and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.

Sites and Yards in Newham

^{4.6} In Newham, at the base date for the GTAA, there was 1 public sites (15 pitches); no private sites with permanent planning permission; no private sites with temporary planning permission; no unauthorised sites; and no Travelling Showpeople yards. See **Appendix D** for further details.

Category	Sites/Yards	Pitches/Plots
Public sites	1	15
Private with permanent planning permission	0	0
Private with temporary planning permission	0	0
Lawful sites	0	0
Unauthorised sites	0	0
Public transit provision	0	0
Travelling Showpeople yards	0	0

Figure 3 - Total amount of provision in Newham (February 2022)

DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count

- ^{4.7} Another source of information available on the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population is the bi-annual Traveller Caravan Count which is conducted by each Local Authority in England on a specific date in January and July of each year and reported to DLUHC. This is a statistical count of the number of caravans on both authorised and unauthorised sites across England. With effect from July 2013, it was renamed the Traveller Caravan Count due to the inclusion of data on Travelling Showpeople.
- ^{4.8} As this count is of caravans and not households, it makes it more difficult to interpret for a study such as this because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is merely a 'snapshot in time' conducted by the Local Authority on a specific day, and any unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates will not be recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count will not be included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the calculation of current and future need as the information collected during the site visits is seen as more robust and fitfor-purpose. However, the Caravan Count data has been used to support the identification of the need to provide for transit provision and this is set out later in this report.

5. Stakeholder Engagement

Introduction

- ^{5.1} To be consistent with the guidance set out in PPTS (2015) and the methodology used in other GTAA studies, ORS undertook a stakeholder engagement programme to complement the information gathered through interviews with members of the Travelling Community. This consultation took the form of telephone interviews which were tailored to the role of the individual. The aim of these interviews was to provide an understanding of current provision and possible future need; short-term encampments; transit provision; and cross-border issues.
- ^{5.2} A total of 2 interviews were completed with Officers at Newham. In addition, an interview was completed with a representative from London Gypsies and Travellers.
- ^{5.3} Local Authorities also have a duty to cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries (S.110 Localism Act 2011). In order to explore issues relating to cross boundary working, ORS also interviewed a Planning Officer from 7 neighbouring boroughs:
 - » London Borough of Barking & Dagenham
 - » London Borough of Greenwich
 - » London Borough of Hackney
 - » London Borough of Havering
 - » London Borough of Redbridge
 - » London Borough of Tower Hamlets
 - » London Borough of Waltham Forest
- ^{5.4} Due to issues surrounding data protection, and in order to protect the anonymity of those who took part, this section presents a summary of the views expressed by interviewees and verbatim comments have not been used. The views expressed in this section of the report represent a balanced summary of the views expressed by stakeholders, and on the views of the individuals concerned, rather than the official policy of their Council or organisation.

Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Newham

Accommodation Needs

- ^{5.5} In 2017, the Council adopted their Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation DPD. The evidence presented in the DPD indicated that, under the PPTS, there was no identified need for the allocation of sites to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople during the plan period up until 2032. It was found that Gypsies and Travellers residing locally no longer led a nomadic lifestyle and neighbouring boroughs had adequate provision to meet their respective needs.
- ^{5.6} London Borough of Newham currently has one public site located in the Borough. The site has 15 occupied pitches and there is little turnover. As of August 2020, the Council's Housing Officers were

not aware of any individual who had applied for site accommodation, however the Council does hold a waiting list of current residents of the public site who have indicated that they want their own plot. Furthermore, there are no temporary permissions for Gypsy and Traveller sites.

^{5.7} Discussions with members of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough suggest there is a concern around meeting the accommodation needs of future generations and children coming of age. As a result, it seems likely there may be a need identified within the report for additional publicly-owned plots for Gypsies and Travellers who no longer lead a nomadic lifestyle.

Short-term Encampments and Transit Provision

- ^{5.8} There is no planning enforcement history related to Gypsy and Traveller accommodation within Newham. Furthermore, as of August 2020 the Council is aware of up to 10 short-term unauthorised encampments that occur annually in the Borough. As of February 2022, there are currently no short-term unauthorised encampments. Historically, these short-term unauthorised encampments are seasonal – primarily bank holidays, but also family events can result in short-term unauthorised encampments as well.
- ^{5.9} The Council works in tandem with the Borough's Metropolitan Police EPT team to deal with shortterm unauthorised encampments in an efficient and appropriate manner, committing full safeguarding and health and wellbeing checks. Furthermore, the Council mentions that removal of unauthorised encampments via Section 77 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act are most often successful.
- ^{5.10} One Officer within the Council discusses their past experiences dealing with several instances of incursions followed by extensive fly tipping on land and as such, these have been investigated and enforced. The Council finds that most often the area of E16 within the Borough is commonly used as a stopping place due to under-developed vacant land. Furthermore, there is a history of stopping places in Beckton retail parks and insecure car parks at retail outlets. A transit provision may help prevent seasonal incursions.

Cross Border Issues

- ^{5.11} The Council is not aware of any cross-border issues currently affecting Newham London Borough Council, however, there have been historic issues adjacent to the Olympic Park footprint with Tower Hamlets and Hackney.
- ^{5.12} The Council works with the LLDC and neighbouring boroughs through broader Duty to Cooperate discussions on Local Plan progress, and as a result the Council is following their Duty to Cooperate. Furthermore, Hackney and Tower Hamlets are believed by the Council to share similar issues as Newham, and as a result there is a more important relationship between these London Boroughs and London.

Future Priorities and Any Further Issues

^{5.13} With regards to further issues the Council would like to bring to light, there is a want within the Council from a planning perspective to facilitate greater collaboration with the Council's internal housing colleagues throughout the Plan review process to ensure there are sufficient pitches to

meet the needs laid out within the report. This may include looking at options for extending the existing public site and looking at accommodation needs identified under the PPTS definition.

Views of London Gypsies and Travellers

Travellers Currently Living in Bricks and Mortar

- ^{5.14} London Gypsies and Travellers (LGT) have been sharing information about the study with contacts they have in the community who live in housing. It was felt that people want to be involved and should receive additional explanation and support for their housing needs. LGT are aware of a large number of Irish Traveller families living in bricks and mortar, however they have noted that not many of these families have actively come forward as they do not believe there will be any outcomes in terms of new sites from this study.
- ^{5.15} LGT believe that the Council needs to invest in outreach into Traveller communities living in bricks and mortar households, build positive relationships and support families to access decent accommodation.

Accommodation Needs

- ^{5.16} LGT have identified that the current public site within the London Borough suffers from overcrowding, and a lack of maintenance by the local authority. Work has been done to refurbish the site in recent years, however there is still a notable poor quality of the amenity blocks, drainage issues and other varying ongoing problems. Furthermore, LGT notes a lack of consistency in terms of managing the site, and as a result there hasn't been a chance to build positive relationships.
- ^{5.17} Apart from the previously mentioned overcrowding in the public site, LGT have identified overcrowding within the Irish Traveller communities who all live in bricks and mortar housing. Many of these are in emergency/temporary accommodation, and some are homeless. As a result, LGT believes there is an urgent need for more sites, particularly for Irish Traveller families who have never had access to a site in Newham.
- ^{5.18} There is also a need for new pitches for families who currently live in bricks and mortar in the borough, especially those who have lived for many years in emergency/temporary accommodation.

Short-term Roadside Encampments and Transit Provision

- ^{5.19} LGT have been notified of a few instances of roadside camps within the London Borough over the past few years, some of which on Greater London Authority (GLA) land. However, LGT are unaware of any reasons, frequency, etc., due to a general lack of communication between LGT and the Council.
- ^{5.20} LGT believes there should be some locations identified which are suitable and safe for families to stop on. Furthermore, there should be provision of basic amenities, sanitation and rubbish collection whether as part of a temporary stopping sites or negotiated stopping.¹⁰

¹⁰ http://www.londongypsiesandtravellers.org.uk/news/2019/12/04/new-lgt-report-on-negotiated-stopping-in-london/

Future Priorities and Any Further Issues

^{5.21} With regards to future priorities, LGT believes there should be an Increase provision of sites – starting with a small family site for the Irish Traveller family that has come forward and has been campaigning for many years; re-establishing contact and dialogue with residents at Parkway Crescent where the council had identified potential to expand the site; exploring other opportunities to deliver culturally suitable accommodation, for example through partnership with the GLA and housing associations to include small numbers of family pitches in the housing delivery programme of the council.

Neighbouring Boroughs

London Borough of Barking & Dagenham

- ^{5.22} With regard to overall accommodation need in Barking & Dagenham, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » The Council have an identified need for pitches and the draft Local Plan has recently undertaken a second Regulation 19 consultation which proposed a number of sites for Gypsies and Travellers.
 - » Home improvements to pitches are being made in order to bring them up to the current standards regarding access, safety, and energy efficiency. The Council have also reviewed the cleaning programme for its public site and carry out yearly Type 3 fire risk assessments.
 - » In 2020, the Council identified pressure for another site to be built in the Borough, and the leader of the Council had contacted the Local Government Association (LGA) to see if they were able to help to fund another site.
 - » Barking & Dagenham London Borough Council have taken out an injunction to stop unauthorised encampments, in doing so it has provided the Council the right to move short-term unauthorised encampments on.
- ^{5.23} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » The Council works in partnership with Havering regarding Gypsy & Traveller accommodation issues. Furthermore, the Council also works closely with schools and other support networks for Travellers across Boroughs.
 - » There is possible Traveller movement across neighbouring Boroughs. This is evidenced through schools who have noted that pupils often stay for a term, leave, and then come back.
 - » London Borough of Barking & Dagenham Council felt that both themselves and their neighbouring Boroughs were appropriately complying with the Duty to Cooperate.

London Borough of Greenwich

- ^{5.24} With regard to overall accommodation need in Greenwich, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - The previous GTAA in 2016 identified that Greenwich's current dedicated public site for travellers at Thistlebrook provides 40 pitches, and noted that the site was well maintained, and met the needs of its residents. There is also one tolerated site within the Borough which at the time of the previous GTAA had several families living on the site for more than 10 years.
 - » In 2016, the Council expressed that there was no expression of interest from Gypsies, Travellers or Travelling Showpeople in the area, which the Council felt suggested that there were no demands for any further site provision. As of 2022, the Council has stated that nothing has been done in regards to the Planning Policy since this previous GTAA.
 - » In 2016, the Council had identified 21 applicants who were on a waiting list for the public site, however the Council has noted that turnover on the public site is infrequent and residents of the public site do not wish to be considered for bricks and mortar accommodation.
 - The Council have identified few unauthorised encampments happen per year, and they are usually dealt with by the Housing Service. These short-term unauthorised encampments, as of 2016, most frequently occur at the Greenwich Millennium. The Council further goes on that, as of the 2016 GTAA, there are no transit pitches or agreed stopping places within the Borough.
- ^{5.25} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » As of 2022, the Council is not aware of any cross-border issues with neighbouring Local Authorities that affect the Borough. Furthermore, the Council has no concerns over how they believe these neighbouring authorities are meeting their own need.
 - » The Council is not aware of any cross-border or joint working between neighbouring authorities.
 - » The Council felt that both themselves and their neighbouring Boroughs were appropriately complying with the Duty to Cooperate.

London Borough of Hackney

- ^{5.26} With regard to overall accommodation need in Hackney, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » Hackney's most recent GTAA (2018) indicates a lack of provision and a need to plan for more pitches over the Local Plan period to 2033. The Council's policy is to resist the loss of existing sites and plan to bring forward suitable sites to meet the local need for additional Gypsy and Traveller caravan pitches.
 - » On average, only a small number of unauthorised encampments visit Hackney each year. Most unauthorised encampments are people visiting families.

- » The Council share a joint protocol with the Metropolitan Police for managing unauthorised encampments within the borough. The Traveller Manager undertakes welfare assessments which are considered when determining the length of time an encampment will be tolerated. Each encampment is considered individually, some encampments are allowed to remain for up to four weeks. Others, where fly-tipping is taking place, for example, will be evicted as soon as is practical.
- ^{5.27} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » No specific cross-border issues were identified.
 - » It was felt that Hackney and the neighbouring authorities are all complying with the Duty to Cooperate.

London Borough of Havering

- ^{5.28} With regard to overall accommodation need in Havering, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - The Council has a robust evidence base to support planning policies relating to Gypsies and Travellers in the adopted Havering Local Plan 2016 – 2031.
 - The GTAA Update Report (July 2019) found there is a need for 220 pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and 5 plots for Travelling Showpeople for the Plan period 2016-2031. The Council expects the majority of the accommodation needs arising for the period 2021-2026 to be met within existing sites or within land adjoining them where this is in the control of households on the existing site(s).
 - » The sites allocated for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople households are identified on the adopted Policies Map and listed in Annex 6 of the Local Plan.
 - » The GTAA update Report July 2019 report recommends that there is currently no need to provide any new transit pitches or emergency stopping places. Nevertheless, the Council should continue to monitor the situation.
- ^{5.29} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » The GTAA (July 2019) found that there are no significant cross-border issues. The Council works closely with neighbouring boroughs and has held stakeholder workshops to consult on Gypsy and Traveller issues.
 - » The Council have held Duty to Cooperate meetings with neighbouring boroughs to discuss Gypsy and Traveller issues and wider housing issues.
 - » A Statement of Common Ground with adjoining authorities and Duty to Cooperate Statements were submitted as supporting documents for the Havering Local Plan (2016-31).

London Borough of Redbridge

- ^{5.30} With regard to overall accommodation need in Redbridge, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » There is one public site for Gypsy and Travellers and no Travelling Showpeople yards in the Borough.
 - » The officer felt the Borough is meeting the accommodation needs of the Gypsy and Traveller community. Redbridge has recently undertaken a GTAA which identified a need for an additional seven pitches on the public site (2015-2030). Two of the needed pitches can be provided in the first five years of the Local Plan.
 - » There are said to be a small number of unauthorised encampments and the officer referred to the 2012 study which did not identify a need for any transit provision
- ^{5.31} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » The officer was not aware of any cross-border issues and was of the view that neighbouring boroughs (including Newham) is meeting the Duty to Cooperate.
 - » The officer was confident that neighbouring boroughs could meet their own assessed need and referred to conversations with neighbouring authorities which demonstrated that Redbridge would not have to deal with issues arising from neighbouring boroughs and would be able to meet its own local need.

London Borough of Tower Hamlets

- ^{5.32} With regard to overall accommodation need in Tower Hamlets, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » Since the last GTAA, Tower Hamlets have taken a new Local Plan through examination and are now in the process of adoption. This includes a Gypsy and Traveller accommodation policy to protect the existing 19 pitch site and safeguard some land which is adjacent to it.
 - » The council have initiated work to add further pitches to the land which is adjacent to the 19-pitch site. The previous GTAA indicated a need for one further pitch for Travellers who met the 2015 PPTS definition, and it is hoped to be met on the adjacent land.
 - » For those who do not meet the 2015 PPTS definition, there was an indicated need for 12 additional pitches over the 15-year period to 2031.
- ^{5.33} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » No specific cross-border issues with were identified.
 - » Tower Hamlets and the neighbouring authorities are all complying with the Duty to Cooperate.
 - » It was felt that the building of new pitches and/or plots tends to meet opposition for the surrounding areas. Fostering good relations with neighbours on existing sites would help reduce objections to future site locations.

London Borough of Waltham Forest

- ^{5.34} With regard to overall accommodation need in Waltham Forest, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » There may be opportunities for intensification to meet the identified need from the latest GTAA (2020) at the current sites within the borough.
 - » It was explained that the Local Authority do not get many unauthorised encampments on their land. If the communities do gather for a wedding or funeral they tend to go on private land.
 - » A future priority for the Council is to meet the need identified in the most recent GTAA 2020. The Council also want to prioritise supporting the community and improving the engagement between the Travelling community and the Council.
- ^{5.35} With regard to the subject of cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:
 - » No specific cross-border issues were identified.
 - » It was felt that Waltham Forest and the neighbouring authorities are all complying with the Duty to Cooperate.

6. Survey of Travelling Communities

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers

- ^{6.1} One of the major components of this study was a detailed survey of the Gypsy and Traveller population living in the study area, and also efforts to engage with the bricks and mortar community.
- ^{6.2} Through the desk-based research and stakeholder interviews ORS identified 1 public site; no private site; no temporary sites; no unauthorised sites; and no Travelling Showmen's yards.
- ^{6.3} During the period between commencing the GTAA and reporting no transient households were identified to interview.
- ^{6.4} Following all of the efforts that were made, it was also possible to complete 3 interviews with households living on the roadside at the public site, or 'sofa surfing' with family or friends on the site, and to complete interviews with 3 interviews with households living in bricks and mortar in Newham.
- ^{6.5} The table below sets out the number of pitches/plots; the number of interviews that were completed; other site notes; and the reasons why interviews were not completed. A total of 21 interviews or proxy interviews were completed.

Site Status	Pitches/Plots	Interviews	Reasons for not completing interviews/completing additional interviews
Public Sites			
Parkway Crescent	15	15	-
Private Sites			
None	-	-	-
Temporary Sites			
None	-	-	-
Tolerated Sites			
None	-	-	-
Roadside			
Parkway Crescent	3	3	-
Bricks and Mortar			
Plaistow	1	3	-
TSP			
None	-	-	-
TOTAL	19	21	

Figure 4 – Sites and yards visited in Newham

7. Current and Future Pitch Provision

Introduction

- ^{7.1} This section focuses on the pitch provision which is needed in Newham currently and to 2038. This includes both current unmet need and need which is likely to arise in the future¹¹. This time period allows for robust forecasts of the requirements for future provision, based upon the evidence contained within this study and also secondary data sources. Whilst the difficulty in making accurate assessments beyond 5 years has been highlighted in previous studies, the approach taken in this study to estimate new household formation has been accepted by Planning Inspectors as the most appropriate methodology to use.
- ^{7.2} We would note that this section is based upon a combination of the on-site surveys, planning records and stakeholder interviews. In many cases, the survey data is not used in isolation, but instead is used to validate information from planning records or other sources.
- ^{7.3} This section concentrates not only upon the total provision which is required in the area, but also whether there is a need for any transit sites and/or emergency stopping place provision.

New Household Formation Rates

- ^{7.4} Nationally, a household formation and growth rate of 3.00% net per annum¹² has been commonly assumed and widely used in local Gypsy and Traveller assessments, even though there is no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for pitches unrealistically. In this context, ORS prepared a *Technical Note on Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates* in 2015 and updated it in June 2020. The main conclusions are set out here and the full paper is in **Appendix F**.
- ^{7.5} Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data is unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis.
- ^{7.6} The Technical Note concludes that in fact, the growth in the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum much less than the 3.00% per annum often assumed, but still greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2.00% per annum nationally.
- ^{7.7} The often assumed 3.00% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available

¹¹ See Paragraphs 3.41 and 3.42 for details of components on current and future need.

¹² Page 25, *Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments – Guidance (DCLG – 2007)* Now withdrawn.

evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers.

^{7.8} This view has been supported by Planning Inspectors in a number of Decision Notices. The Inspector for an appeal in Doncaster that was issued in November 2016 (Ref: APP/F4410/W/15/3133490) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used concluded:

In assessing need account also needs to be taken of likely household growth over the coming years. In determining an annual household growth rate, the Council relies on the work of Opinions Research Services (ORS), part of Swansea University. ORS's research considers migration, population profiles, births & fertility rates, death rates, household size data and household dissolution rates to determine average household growth rates for gypsies and travellers. The findings indicate that the average annual growth rate is in the order of 1.50% but that a 2.50% figure could be used if local data suggest a relatively youthful population. As the Council has found a strong correlation between Doncaster's gypsy and traveller population age profile and the national picture, a 1.50% annual household growth rate has been used in its 2016 GTANA. Given the rigour of ORS's research and the Council's application of its findings to the local area I accept that a 1.50% figure is justified in the case of Doncaster.

^{7.9} Another decision was in relation to an appeal in Guildford that was issued in March 2018 (Ref: APP/W/16/3165526) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant again claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used. The Inspector concluded:

There is significant debate about household formation rates and the need to meet future growth in the district. The obvious point to make is that this issue is likely to be debated at the local-plan examination. In my opinion, projecting growth rates is not an exact science and the debate demonstrates some divergence of opinion between the experts. Different methodologies could be applied producing a wide range of data. However, on the available evidence it seems to me that the figures used in the GTAA are probably appropriate given that they are derived by using local demographic evidence. In my opinion, the use of a national growth rate and its adaptation to suit local or regional variation, or the use of local base data to refine the figure, is a reasonable approach.

- ^{7.10} In addition, the Technical Note has recently been accepted as a robust academic evidence base and has been published by the Social Research Association in its journal Social Research Practice in December 2017. The overall purpose of the journal is to encourage and promote high standards of social research for public benefit.
- ^{7.11} ORS assessments take full account of the net local household growth rate per annum calculated on the basis of demographic evidence from the site surveys, and the 'baseline' includes all current authorised households, all households identified as in current need (including concealed households, movement from bricks and mortar and those on waiting lists not currently living on a pitch or plot), as well as households living on lawful unauthorised pitches or plots who are not included as current need. The assessments of future need also take account of modelling projections based on birth and death rates, household dissolution, and in-/out-migration.
- 7.12 The household growth rate used for the assessment of future need for households has been informed by local evidence. In the case of Newham where the population age structure is skewed

by certain age groups – in this case those aged 0-2, it is not appropriate to apply a percentage rate for new household formation. In these cases, a judgement is made on likely new household formation based on the age and gender of the children. This is based on the assumption that 50% of households likely to form will stay in the area. This is based on evidence from other GTAAs that ORS have completed across England and Wales. This approach has been taken to determine levels of new household formation for Gypsies and Travellers who did not meet the planning definition.

- ^{7.13} Overall new household formation has also been adjusted to take account of teenagers in need of a pitch in the next 5 years who have already been identified as components of need in their own right. This eliminates any double counting in the assessment of need.
- ^{7.14} As far as 5-year need from teenagers is concerned the household interviewers were very specific in asking whether there is a need for teenagers on public sites in Newham. 5-year need from teenagers is usually met through additional touring caravans from the age of 13 upwards, as opposed to full additional pitches. Pairing and out-migration do not tend to occur until children are over the age of 18 and get married.

Breakdown by 5 Year Bands

^{7.15} In addition to tables which set out the overall need for Gypsies and Travellers, the overall need has also been broken down by 5-year bands as required by PPTS (2015). The way that this is calculated is by including all current need (from unauthorised pitches, pitches with temporary planning permission, concealed and doubled-up households, 5 year need from teenage children, and net movement from bricks and mortar) in the first 5 years. In addition, the total net new household formation is split across the GTAA period based on the compound rate of growth that was applied rather than being split equally over time.

The PPTS Planning Definition

- ^{7.16} The outcomes from the household interviews were used to determine the status of each household against the PPTS planning definition. This assessment was based on the responses to the questions given to Researchers. Only those households that meet the PPTS planning definition, in that they were able to provide information during the household interview that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, form the components of need that will form the baseline of need in the GTAA. Households where an interview was not completed who may meet the PPTS planning definition have also been included as a potential component of need from undetermined households. Whilst they do not need to be formally considered in the GTAA, need from households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition has also been assessed to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be considered as part of the wider housing needs of the area and through separate Local Plan Policies.
- 7.17 The table below sets out the PPTS planning status of households living on sites in Newham. This includes any hidden households that were identified during the household interviews including concealed and doubled-up households or single adults, households living on the roadside and households living in bricks and mortar.

Status	Meet PPTS Planning Definition	Do Not Meet PPTS Planning Definition	Undetermined
Gypsies and Travellers			
Public Sites	2	20	0
Bricks and Mortar	0	2	0
Roadside	0	3	0
Sub-Total	2	25	0
Travelling Showpeople	-	-	-
Sub-Total	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	25	0

- ^{7.18} Figure 5 shows that for Gypsies and Travellers, 2 households meet the PPTS planning definition of a Traveller in that ORS were not able to determine that any household members travelled for work purposes and stay away from their usual place of residence or have ceased to travel temporarily.
- ^{7.19} A total of 25 Gypsy and Traveller households did not meet the PPTS planning definition as they were not able to demonstrate that they travel away from their usual place of residence for the purpose of work, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to children in education, ill health, or old age. Some did travel for cultural reasons, to visit relatives or friends, and others had ceased to travel permanently these households did not meet the PPTS planning definition.

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar

- ^{7.20} Following all of the efforts that were made, it was possible to interview 3 households living in bricks and mortar. None met the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{7.21} They households that were interviewed are not happy living in bricks and mortar and are actively seeking to move to a site in Newham. The households would like to go onto the waiting list for pitches on the public site and expressed that they would ideally like their own small family site and suggested that they have identified some land where pitches could be developed.

Migration/Roadside

- ^{7.22} The study has also sought to address in-migration (households requiring accommodation who move into the study area from outside) and out-migration (households moving away from the study area). Site surveys typically identify only small numbers of in-migrant and out-migrant households and the data is not normally robust enough to extrapolate long-term trends. At the national level, there is nil net migration of Gypsies and Travellers across the UK, but the assessment has taken into account local migration effects on the basis of the best evidence available.
- ^{7.23} Evidence drawn from stakeholder and household interviews has been considered alongside assessments of need that have been completed in other nearby local authorities. ORS have found no evidence from other local studies that have been completed recently of any further households wishing to move to Newham.
- ^{7.24} The household interviews also identified 2 households and a teenager who are currently homeless and are either living on the roadside at Parkway Crescent or are 'sofa-surfing' with family and friends living on the site.

- 7.25 Apart from the identified roadside need, net migration to the sum of zero has been assumed for the GTAA – which means that net pitch requirements are driven by locally identifiable need rather than speculative modelling assumptions. Should any households from outside of Newham wish to develop a new site the proposal will need to be considered by a criteria-based Local Plan Policy. This would also apply to any households currently living in Newham who may wish to develop a new site.
- ^{7.26} It is important to note that any applications for new sites or additional pitches as a result of inmigration should be seen as windfall need and will not contribute towards meeting need identified in the GTAA and the 5-year supply. They can be dealt with by a Criteria-Based Local Plan Policy.

Public Site Waiting List

- ^{7.27} The council hold a waiting list for any Travellers who would like to be considered for a pitch at the Parkway Crescent site should one become available. Being allocated a pitch is reliant on a current tenant either moving away from the site or passing away, and the last new allocation was in 2019.
- ^{7.28} Discussions with the Council indicted that there are currently 7 people on the waiting list for a pitch at the Parkway Crescent site. It is understood that the majority of these are household members (i.e., children/dependants) of existing tenants and all currently live on the site. Therefore, any need arising from those on the waiting list has been accounted for as part of the site interviews as concealed or doubled-up households and included in final need figures. Also including these households as need arising from the waiting list would result in double counting of need.

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that meet the PPTS Planning Definition

^{7.29} The household interviews did not identify any current or future need from the 2 households that meet the PPTS planning definition of a traveller so there is **no current or future need for pitches**.

Gypsies and Travellers - Meeting PPTS Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0

Figure 6 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition (2022-38)

In-migration/Roadside	0
New household formation	0
(No need identified from 2 households – both single adults)	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 7 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16	Total
rears	2022-27	2027-32	2032-37	2037-38	TOLAI
	0	0	0	0	0

Pitch Needs – Undetermined Gypsies and Travellers

^{7.30} It was possible to complete interviews with all identified Gypsies and Travellers living on pitches in Newham so there is **no need from undetermined households**.

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that did not meet the PPTS Planning Definition

- ^{7.31} It is not now a requirement for a GTAA to include an assessment of need for households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition. However, this assessment is included for illustrative purposes and to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies as required by the NPPF (2021).
- ^{7.32} On this basis, it is evident that whilst the needs of the 25 households who did not meet the PPTS planning definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs especially as they all identified as Irish Travellers or Romany Gypsies and may claim that the Council should meet their housing needs through culturally appropriate housing.
- 7.33 Analysis of the household interviews indicated that there is a need for 7 pitches for concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; a need for 3 pitches for households from bricks and mortar; need for 4 pitches for teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; a need for 2 pitches for households living on the roadside in Newham; and a need for 8 pitches as a result new household formation, derived from the demographics of the residents. There is also supply of 1 pitch from a household on the public site that are actively seeking to move the bricks and mortar. Therefore, the overall level of need for those households who did not meet the PPTS planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller is for **23 pitches** over the GTAA period.
- ^{7.34} It should be noted that this is need in addition to the existing occupied pitches in Newham. The majority of current need in years 0-5 of the GTAA period arises from adult households currently doubled-up on existing pitches and from teenagers living on existing pitches who will be in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years. Future need is made up of new household formation from these households.
- ^{7.35} A summary of this need for households that did not meet the PPTS planning definition can be found in **Appendix C**.

Travelling Showpeople Needs

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople

^{7.36} There were no Travelling Showmen's yards identified in Newham so there is **no current or future need for plots** over the GTAA period to 2038.

Figure 8 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition (2022-38)

Travelling Showpeople – Meeting PPTS Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant plots	0
Supply from plots on new yards	0
Plots vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Plots vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	0
(No Travelling Showpeople identified)	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 9 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods

Years	0-5 2022-27	6-10 2027-32	11-15 2032-37	16 2037-38	Total
	0	0	0	0	0

Transit Requirements

^{7.37} When determining the potential need for transit provision the assessment has looked at data from the DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count, the outcomes of the stakeholder interviews and records on numbers of recorded encampments.

DLUHC Traveller Caravan Count

- ^{7.38} Whilst it is considered to be a comprehensive national dataset on numbers of authorised and unauthorised caravans across England, it is acknowledged that the Traveller Caravan Count is a count of caravans and not households. It also does not record the reasons for unauthorised caravans. This makes it very difficult to interpret in relation to assessing future need because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is also only a twice yearly (January and July) 'snapshot in time' conducted by local authorities on a specific day, and any caravans on unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates are not recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count are not included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the assessment of future transit provision. It does however provide valuable historic and trend data on whether there are instances of unauthorised caravans in local authority areas.
- ^{7.39} Data from the Traveller Caravan Count shows that there have been no unauthorised caravans recorded in the study area in recent years.

Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data

- ^{7.40} The Council is aware of up a small number of short-term encampments that occur annually in Newham. Historically, these short-term encampments are seasonal – primarily bank holidays - and are for family events. The Council works in tandem with the Borough's Metropolitan Police EPT team to deal with short-term encampments.
- 7.41 As is common in some other London Boroughs there have also been some instances of extensive fly tipping on land associated with encampments and as such, these have been investigated and enforced. Officers felt that some form of transit provision may help deal with seasonal incursions but accepted that is would be hard to deliver.

Transit Recommendations

- ^{7.42} Due to historic low numbers of unauthorised encampments it is not recommended that there is a need for any formal transit provision in Newham at this time. However, there is a need for a more strategic approach to transit provision across London as recommended in the recent report by London Gypsies and Travellers and De Montfort University.
- ^{7.43} The situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should continue to be monitored. As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; and whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area. This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).

- ^{7.44} It is recommended that a review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments, including the monitoring referred to above, should be undertaken on a London-wide basis. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in any new transit provision or emergency stopping places, or whether a managed approach is preferable.
- ^{7.45} In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approach when dealing with unauthorised encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.
- ^{7.46} The term 'negotiated stopping' is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides. See <u>www.negotiatedstopping.co.uk</u> for further information.
- ^{7.47} Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities. Whilst such events are unlikely to occur in Newham, the Council should still be aware of temporary arrangements that could be put in place if required.

8. Conclusions

- ^{8.1} This study provides a robust evidence base to enable the Council to assess the housing needs of the Travelling Community as well as complying with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act 2016, Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2021, and the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2021. It also provides the evidence base which can be used to support Local Plan Policies.
- ^{8.2} As a result of the efforts that were made during the fieldwork period, the very high response rate of 100%, and cooperation of local Travellers, the outcomes of the GTAA should be seen as robust, and up to date, and an accurate reflection of housing need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in Newham.

Gypsies and Travellers – PPTS Planning Definition

- ^{8.3} In summary there is a need for:
 - » No pitches in Newham over the GTAA period to 2038 for Gypsy and Traveller households that meet the PPTS planning definition;
 - » No pitches for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households that may meet the PPTS planning definition; and
 - » 23 pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households who did not meet the PPTS planning definition.
- ^{8.4} Whilst there was no need identified from the 2 households that met the planning definition, the Council will need to address the need identified from households that did not meet the planning definition albeit as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies, and that consideration should be given to making provision through caravans. An appeal decision that was issued in March 2020 (APP/P0240/C/18/3213822) concluded:

It seems to me that this wording makes clear that it is only those meeting that definition that should be included in an assessment of need for 'planning definition' travellers and that gypsies who have ceased travelling should be counted and provided for elsewhere and this is the approach proposed in the emerging LP. This does not, of course mean that these gypsies should be allocated 'bricks and mortar' type housing. They will also need a suitable supply of caravan sites to meet their needs.

- ^{8.5} In general terms need identified in a GTAA is seen as need for additional pitches. As set out in Chapter 4 of this report, the now withdrawn *Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites* recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.
- ^{8.6} It is recommended that some alternative approaches should be considered when seeking to address the levels of need identified in this GTAA, especially when seeking to meet the need through the intensification or expansion of existing sites.

- ^{8.7} The first approach to consider is in relation to concealed or doubled-up households and adults and teenagers who will be in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years. In the short to medium term it is likely that the accommodation need of these individuals could be met through additional touring caravans on existing pitches as opposed to more formally set out pitches.
- ^{8.8} The second approach to consider is expansion of the public sites as it is understood that there may be adjacent land to the south and east that may be available to facilitate this expansion.
- ^{8.9} Whilst there were no undetermined households, the Council will need to carefully consider how to address any needs from households seeking to move to Newham (in-migration), or from households currently living in bricks and mortar. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS).
- ^{8.10} It is recognised that the Council is in the process of preparing a new Local Plan to address overall housing need. The findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need in relation Gypsies and Travellers in Newham.

Travelling Showpeople

^{8.11} The assessment did not identify and Travelling Showpeople in Newham so there is no current or future need for plots.

Transit Provision

^{8.12} Due to low historic low numbers of unauthorised encampments it is not recommended that there is a need for any formal transit provision in Newham at this time. However, there is a need for a more strategic approach to transit provision across London as recommended in the recent report by London Gypsies and Travellers and De Montfort University.

Summary of Need to be Addressed

^{8.13} Taking into consideration all of the elements of need that have been assessed, the table below sets out the likely number of pitches that will need to be addressed either as a result of the GTAA, or through a SHMA and through separate Local Plan Policies. Total identified need in Newham is for 23 pitches.

Figure 10 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by Local Plan Policy Type – ORS National %

Delivery Status	Gypsy & Traveller Policy	Housing Policy	TOTAL
Meet Planning Definition	0	0	0
Do Not Meet Planning Definition	0	23	23
TOTAL	0	23	23

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham 2022-38 (PPTS planning
definition)8
Figure 2 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods
Figure 3 - Total amount of provision in Newham (February 2022)27
Figure 4 – Sites and yards visited in Newham
Figure 5 – Planning status of households in Newham40
Figure 6 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that meet the PPTS
planning definition (2022-38)41
Figure 7 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that meet the PPTS
planning definition by year periods42
Figure 8 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition (2022-38)
Figure 9 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods
Figure 10 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by Local Plan Policy
Type – ORS National %
Figure 11 – Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham (2022-38).52
Figure 12 – Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham by year periods
Figure 13 – Need for undetermined Travelling Showpeople households in Newham (2022-38)
Figure 14 – Need for undetermined Travelling Showpeople households in Newham by year periods
Figure 15 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that did not meet the PPTS planning definition (2022-38)
Figure 16 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that did not meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods
Figure 17 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that did not meet the
PPTS planning definition (2021-38)55

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms used

Amenity block/shed	A building where basic plumbing amenities
	(bath/shower, WC, sink) are provided.
Bricks and mortar	Mainstream housing.
Caravan	Mobile living vehicle used by Gypsies and Travellers.
	Also referred to as trailers.
Chalet	A single storey residential unit which can be
	dismantled. Sometimes referred to as mobile
	homes.
Concealed household	Households, living within other households, who
	are unable to set up separate family units.
Doubling-Up	Where there are more than the permitted number
	of caravans on a pitch or plot.
Emergency Stopping Place	A temporary site with limited facilities to be
	occupied by Gypsies and Travellers while they
	travel.
Green Belt	A land use designation used to check the
	unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; prevent
	neighbouring towns from merging into one another;
	assist in safeguarding the countryside from
	encroachment; preserve the setting and special
	character of historic towns; and assist in urban
	regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of
	derelict and other urban land.
Household formation	The process where individuals form separate
	households. This is normally through adult children
	setting up their own household.
In-migration	Movement of households into a region or
	community
Local Plans	Local Authority spatial planning documents that can
	include specific policies and/or site allocations for
	Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
Out-migration	Movement from one region or community in order
	to settle in another.
Personal planning permission	A private site where the planning permission
	specifies who can occupy the site and doesn't allow
	transfer of ownership.
Pitch/plot	Area of land on a site/development generally home
	to one household. Can be varying sizes and have
	varying caravan numbers. Pitches refer to Gypsy
	and Traveller sites and Plots to Travelling
	Showpeople yards.
Private site	An authorised site owned privately. Can be owner-
	occupied, rented or a mixture of owner-occupied
	and rented pitches.

Roadside	Households forced to move from sites and live on
	the roadside as a result of over-crowding.
Site	An area of land on which Gypsies, Travellers and
	Travelling Showpeople are accommodated in
	caravans/chalets/vehicles. Can contain one or
	multiple pitches/plots.
Social/Public/Council Site	An authorised site owned by either the local
	authority or a Registered Housing Provider.
Temporary planning permission	A private site with planning permission for a fixed
	period of time.
Transit provision	Site intended for short stays and containing a range
	of facilities. There is normally a limit on the length
	of time residents can stay.
Unauthorised Development	Caravans on land owned by Gypsies and Travellers
	and without planning permission.
Unauthorised Encampment	Caravans on land not owned by Gypsies and
	Travellers and without planning permission.
Waiting list	Record held by the local authority or site managers
	of applications to live on a site.
Yard	A name often used by Travelling Showpeople to
	refer to a site.

DLUHC	Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities.
GTAA	
GTAA	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment
GTANA	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs
	Assessment
HNA	Housing Needs Assessment
LPA	Local Planning Authority
MHCLG	Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local
	Government
ORS	Opinion Research Services
PPTS	Planning Policy for Traveller Sites
SHMA	Strategic Housing Market Assessment
TSP	Travelling Showpeople

Appendix B: Undetermined Households

Figure 11 – Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham (2022-38)

Gypsies and Travellers – Undetermined	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration/Roadside	0
New household formation	0
(No undetermined households)	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 12 – Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham by year periods

Years	0-5 2022-27	6-10 2027-32	11-15 2032-37	16 2037-38	Total
	0	0	0	0	0

Travelling Showpeople – Undetermined	Plots
Supply of Plots	
Supply from vacant public and private plots	0
Supply from pitches on new yards	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public yards	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration/Roadside	0
New household formation	0
(No Travelling Showpeople)	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 13 – Need for undetermined Travelling Showpeople households in Newham (2022-38)

Figure 14 – Need for undetermined Travelling Showpeople households in Newham by year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16	Total
	2022-27	2-27 2027-32	2032-37	2037-38	Total
	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix C: Households that did not meet the PPTS Planning Definition

Figure 15 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that did not meet the PPTS planning definition (2022-38)

Gypsies and Travellers – Not Meeting PPTS Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	1
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	1
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	7
Movement from bricks and mortar	3
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	10
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	4
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration/Roadside	2
New household formation	8
(Formation from household demographics)	
Total Future Needs	14
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	23

Figure 16 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Newham that did not meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16	Total
	2022-27	2027-32	2032-37	2037-38	TOtal
	15	2	2	4	23

Figure 17 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that did not meet the PPTS planning definition (2021-	
38)	

Travelling Showpeople – Not Meeting PPTS Planning Definition	Plots
Supply of Plots	
Supply from vacant public and private plots	0
Supply from pitches on new yards	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public yards	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration/Roadside	0
New household formation	0
(No Travelling Showpeople)	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 18 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Newham that did not meet the PPTS planning definition by year periods

Years	0-5 2022-27	6-10 2027-32	11-15 2032-37	16 2037-38	Total
	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix D: Site and Yard Lists (February 2022)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots	
Public Sites			
Parkway Crescent, Newham, E15 1DY	15	-	
Private Sites with Permanent Permission			
None	-	-	
Private Sites with Temporary Permission			
None	-	-	
Lawful Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission			
None	-	-	
Unauthorised Developments			
None	-	-	
TOTAL PITCHES	15	0	
Travelling Showpeople Yards			
None	-	-	
TOTAL PLOTS	0	0	

Appendix E: Household Interview Questions

	ERVIEWER: Good Mornin vices, working on behalf o			∕ly name is <	> from	n Opinion Research	
need	Council are undertaking a ds assessment in this area. essed and to get a better und	This is needed	to make	sure that acc	ommodatio	on needs are properly	
	Council need to try and spe area to make sure that the as				velling Sho	wpeople household in	
	r household will not be identi d to help understand the need						
elec will verb	S is registered under the Da tronically and securely. This not be identified to the cou- batim comments may be rep erstand the needs of Gypsy,	paper form will incil and only a ported in full, a	be secure anonymou nd the da	ely destroyed a us data and r ata from this s	after proce esults will survey will	ssing. Your household be submitted, though	
A		Genera	al Infor	mation			
A 1	Name of planning aut	-					
A2	Date/time of site visit	· ·	DD/MM/YY TIME			TIME	
A3	Name of interviewer: INTERVIEWER please wri	te in					
A 4	Address and pitch nu						
A5	Type of accommodatio		-		x only		
	Council Pri	vate rented	Private [owned U	nauthoris	ed Bricks and Mor	
A6	Name of Family: INTERVIEWER please writ	e in					
A 7	Ethnicity of Family: INTERVIEWER please cros	ss one box only	,				
	Romany Gypsy	Irish Trav	eller	Scots Gy Travel		Show Person	
	New Traveller	New Traveller English Tr		raveller Welsh Gypsy		Non-Traveller	
			I				
	Other (please specify) A8 Number of units on the pitch:						
A8		e in					
A8	Number of units on th INTERVIEWER please write Mobile homes	e in Touring Ca	ravans	Day Ro	oms	Other (please specify	

Page 58


A9	Is this site	-				f not whe	ere is?			L
	Yes		No		not main p	lace of re	sidence	where is (please sp	ecify)
A10	How long I you move				se write in l	elow		-		did
	Years		Months		-	u have m d you mo			years, ALL move	s
A11	Did you liv there was	no oth	er option	, why?					ther optio	on? If
	Choice	;	No optior			lfr	o option	why?		
A12	Is this site (For exam	ple clo	se to sch	ools, w	ork, heal	-				
	Yes		No			Reaso	ns (pleas	e specify)	
A13	How many					adults liv	e on this	pitch?		
	1	2 □	3	4	5	6 □	7	8	9	10 □
в				De	emogra	ohics				
B1	Demograp Persor		- Househ Perso		TERVIEWE Pers		vrite-in			
	Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age				
	Complete Person		nal forms Perso		ch house Pers		pitch INT Pers		Please wi Perso	
		Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age
С				Accor	nmodat	ion Nee	ds			
C1	How many their own								ed of a p	itch of
	INTERVIEW	ER: AN)	ADULT IS D	EFINED	AS 16+					
	1	2 □	3 □	4		6 D ase specify	7	8	9	10
					Outor Pit	ase specily				1

C2	How many of your children will need a home of their own in the next 5 years? If they live here now, will they want to stay on this site? If not, where would they wish to move? (e.g. other site, in bricks and mortar etc.) If they do not live on this site, where do they currently live and would they want to move on to this site or another local site if they could get a pitch? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only							ite,		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
)ther Pleas					
	1				Juici Pleas	e specily				
				Deta	iils (Pleas	e specify))			
D				W	aiting L	ist				
D1	Is anyone	-			g list for a	a pitch in	this are	a?		
		Yes No				→ Conti → Go to	nue to D2 D4	2		
D2	How man		living h	ere are o	n the wa	iting list f	for a pitc	h in this	area?	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				0	ther (Pleas	se specify)				
				Deta	ails (Pleas	e specify)			
D3	How long		-		-				_	
	0-3 mo	ntns	3-6 mo	nths	6-12 m	ontns]	1-2	years	2+)	/ears
				C	Other (Plea	se specify)				
				Det	ails (Plea	se specify	()			
D4	If they are waiting lis	st? (INTE	RVIEWE	R if they						n the
	INTERVIEN 1	ER: Pleas/ 2	e cross one 3	e box only 4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	No				ther (Pleas					
						e specily)				
			Details	(Please s	pecify) an	d take co	ntact deta	ails)		
	© Opinion Research Services 2019									

E		Future Acco	mmodation N	eeds				
E1			ie to E2	rs? If so, why? f so, why? (please	specify)			
E2	Where would you	move to? INTER	/IEWER: Please cros	s one box only				
	Another site in this A site in another Bricks and mortar area Bricks and council area Other mortar in another (e.g. land they council area (specify where) (specify where) (specify where) (specify where)							
	If you want to move public or private site	would you prefe		e pitch or site, or	rent a pitch on a			
	Private buy	E INTERVIEWEN. P	Private rent		blic rent			
E4	Can you afford to P Ye		ch or site? INTERN	/IEWER: Please cross No	one box only			
E5	Are you aware of, or pitches? INTERVIEW			-	or new			
				No				
	Please ask for	details on where la	and/site is located	and who owns the	e land/site?			
				ervices 2				

			Travelli	ng		I
F1	How many trips, I made away from y INTERVIEWER: Pleas	our permaner	nt base in t			of your family
	0	1	2	3 □	4	5+
	↓ Go to F6a			Continue to F.	2	
F2	If you or members of your family have travelled in the last 12 months, which family members travelled? INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only					
	All the family	Adult males	5	Other	lf other, pl	lease specify
F3	What were the re	asons for trav	elling? INTE	RVIEWER: PI	lease cross all tha	t apply
	Work	Holidays	Visiting	family	Fairs	Other
	Details / spe	cify if necessar	y. If fairs—;	probe for wh	ether this is in	volves work
F4	At what time of y	ear do vou or	family men	ibers usual	ly travel? And	for how long?
	INTERVIEWER: Plea	se cross one box o	only	Immer	,	Winter
	All ye	di	50			
			And for h	ow long?		
F5			that apply Frie side	nds/	ther	ling? er, please specify
560						9
гьа	Are there any rea	asons wny you		ails	e moment?	
F6b	Have you or fami	ly members ev			WFR: Please cro	ss one box only
100	Ye: No	S		\longrightarrow Cont \longrightarrow Go to	inue to F7	ss one box only
F7a	When did you or	family membe	ers last trav	el? INTERVI	EWER: Please w	rite in
			Det	ails		
F7b	What were the re Work	asons for trav Holidays	elling? INTE Visiting F		lease cross all tha Fairs	t apply Other
	Details / spe	ecify if necessar	y. If fairs-4	probe for wh	ether this is in	volves work
	· · ·	-				

Old age	Settled now	Nowhere to stop	No work opportunities	Other
f (other, please s	specify		
			-	with poo
id specifi	c problems/iss	sues relating	to old age	
embers p		in the futur	e?	
	\longrightarrow		F10	
H	\longrightarrow			
	Details			
		about your	· travelling patte	erns?
		about your	· travelling patte	erns?
	like to tell us	about your	r travelling patte	erns?
		about your	r travelling patte	erns?
	If a school, d specifi mbers p e box only	If other, please s school, types of ill hea d specific problems/iss mbers plan to travel i e box only	If other, please specify School, types of ill health, or looki d specific problems/issues relating mbers plan to travel in the futur e box only	It is stop opportunities If other, please specify If other, please specify school, types of ill health, or looking after relative vertex of specific problems/issues relating to old age mbers plan to travel in the future? e box only \bigcirc



н	I	Bricks & Mortar Contacts
H1	Contacts for Bricks and Mo	ortar interviews? INTERVIEWER: Please write in
		Details
		Council contact?
	interview? Please note that	to contact you about any of the issues raised in this t although ORS will pass on your contact details to the tee when they will contact you?
	Yes	No
	hem on to the Council for th	your name and telephone number so that we can pass is purpose only. Your details will only be used for this d will not be passed onto anyone else.
Res	pondent's Name	
Res	pondent's Telephone	
Res	pondent's Email	
		Interview log
1	INTERVIEWER: Please reco	rd the date and time that the interview was carried out
Date	e	
Tim	e of interview	

© Opinion Research Services 2019

Appendix F: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates



Excellent research for the public, voluntary and private sectors

Technical Note

Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates

June 2020

Opinion Research Services

Opinion Research Services, The Strand, Swansea, SA1 1AF: 01792 535300: www.ors.org.uk: info@ors.org.uk

As with all our studies, this research is subject to Opinion Research Services' Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract.

Any press release or publication of this research requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation.

© Copyright June 2020

Contents

C	ontents	. 3
Но	ousehold Growth Rates	.4
	Abstract and Conclusions	4
	Introduction	4
	Modelling Population and Household Growth Rates	4
	Migration Effects	
	Population Profile	5
	Birth and Fertility Rates	5
	Death Rates	6
	Modelling Outputs	6
	Household Growth	6
	Summary Conclusions	7

Household Growth Rates

Abstract and Conclusions

- ^{1.} National and local household formation and growth rates are important components of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments, but until 2013 little detailed work had been done to assess their likely scale. ORS undertook work in 2013 to assess the likely rate of demographic growth for the Gypsy and Traveller population and concluded that the figure could be as low 1.25% per annum, but that best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum.
- ² This analysis was produced as a separate document in 2013 and then updated in 2015 (<u>www.opinionresearch.co.uk/formation2015</u>) in light of comments from academics, planning agents and local authorities. The 2015 document was complex because there was still serious dispute as to the level of demographic growth for Gypsies and Travellers in 2015. However, ORS now consider these disputes have largely been resolved at Planning Appeals and Local Plan Examinations, so we consider that much of the supporting evidence is now no longer required to be in the document.
- ^{3.} This current document represents a shortened re-statement to our findings in 2015 to allow for easier comprehension of the issues involved. It contains no new research and if reader wishes to see further details of the supporting information, they should review the more detailed 2015 report.

Introduction

^{4.} Compared with the general population, the relative youthfulness of many Gypsy and Traveller populations means that their birth rates are likely to generate higher-than-average population growth, and proportionately higher gross household formation rates. However, while their gross rate of household growth might be high, Gypsy and Traveller communities' future accommodation needs are, in practice, affected by any reduction in the number of households due to dissolution and/or by movements in/out of the area and/or by transfers into other forms of housing. Therefore, the *net* rate of household growth is the gross rate of formation minus any reductions in households due to such factors.

Modelling Population and Household Growth Rates

^{5.} The basic equation for calculating the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth seems simple: start with the base population and then calculate the average increase/decrease by allowing for births, deaths, in-/out-migration and household dissolution. Nevertheless, deriving satisfactory estimates is difficult because the evidence is often tenuous – so, in this context in 2013, ORS modelled the growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population based on the most likely birth and death rates, and by using PopGroup (the leading software for population and household forecasting). To do so, we supplemented the available national statistical sources with data derived from our own surveys.

Migration Effects

^{6.} Population growth is affected by national net migration and local migration (as Gypsies and Travellers move from one area to another). In terms of national migration, the population of Gypsies and Travellers is relatively fixed, with little international migration. It is in principle possible for Irish Travellers (based in Ireland) to move to the UK, but there is no evidence of this happening to a significant extent and the vast majority of Irish Travellers were born in the UK or are long-term residents.

Population Profile

^{7.} The main source for the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth is the UK 2011 Census. The ethnicity question in the 2011 Census included for the first time 'Gypsy and Irish Traveller' as a specific category. While non-response bias probably means that the size of the population was underestimated, the age profile the Census provides is not necessarily distorted and matches the profile derived from ORS's extensive household surveys.

Age Group	Number of People	Cumulative Percentage
Age 0 to 4	5,725	10.4
Age 5 to 7	3,219	16.3
Age 8 to 9	2,006	19.9
Age 10 to 14	5,431	29.8
Age 15	1,089	31.8
Age 16 to 17	2,145	35.7
Age 18 to 19	1,750	38.9
Age 20 to 24	4,464	47.1
Age 25 to 29	4,189	54.7
Age 30 to 34	3,833	61.7
Age 35 to 39	3,779	68.5
Age 40 to 44	3,828	75.5
Age 45 to 49	3,547	82.0
Age 50 to 54	2,811	87.1
Age 55 to 59	2,074	90.9
Age 60 to 64	1,758	94.1
Age 65 to 69	1,215	96.3
Age 70 to 74	905	97.9
Age 75 to 79	594	99.0
Age 80 to 84	303	99.6
Age 85 and over	230	100.0

Table 1 - Age Profile for the Gypsy and Traveller Community in England (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Birth and Fertility Rates

- ⁸ The table above provides a way of understanding the rate of population growth through births. The table shows that surviving children aged 0-4 years comprise 10.4% of the Gypsy and Traveller population which means that, on average, 2.1% of the total population was born each year (over the last 5 years). The same estimate is confirmed if we consider that those aged 0-14 comprise 29.8% of the Gypsy and Traveller population which also means that almost exactly 2% of the population was born each year.
- ^{9.} The total fertility rate (TFR) for the whole UK population is just below 2 which means that on average each woman can be expected to have just less than two children who reach adulthood. We know of only one estimate of fertility rates of the UK Gypsy and Traveller community, in *'Ethnic identity and inequalities in*

Britain: The dynamics of diversity' by Dr Stephen Jivraj and Professor Ludi Simpson (published May 2015). The authors use the 2011 Census data to estimate the TFR for the Gypsy and Traveller community as 2.75.

^{10.} ORS used our own multiple survey data to investigate the fertility rates of Gypsy and Traveller women. The ORS data shows that on average Gypsy and Traveller women aged 32 years have 2.5 children (but, because the children of mothers above this age point tend to leave home progressively, full TFRs were not completed). On this basis it is reasonable to infer an average of 3 children per woman during her lifetime, which is broadly consistent with the estimate of 2.75 children per woman derived from the 2011 Census.

Death Rates

- ^{11.} Although the above data imply an annual growth rate through births of about 2%, the death rate has also to be taken into account. Whereas the average life expectancy across the whole population of the UK is currently just over 80 years, a Sheffield University study found that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy is about 10-12 years less than average (Parry et al (2004) '*The Health Status of Gypsies and Travellers: Report of Department of Health Inequalities in Health Research Initiative*', University of Sheffield).
- ^{12.} Therefore, in our population growth modelling we used a conservative estimate of average life expectancy as 72 years which is entirely consistent with the lower-than-average number of Gypsies and Travellers aged over 70 years in the 2011 Census (and also in ORS's own survey data).

Modelling Outputs

^{13.} If we assume a TFR of 3 and an average life expectancy of 72 years for Gypsies and Travellers, then the modelling, undertaken in PopGroup, projects the population to increase by 66% over the next 40 years – implying a population compound growth rate of 1.25% per annum. If we assume that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy increases to 77 years by 2050, then the projected population growth rate rises to nearly 1.50% per annum. To generate an 'upper range' rate of population growth, we assumed an implausible TFR of 4 and an average life expectancy rising to 77 over the next 40 years – which then yields an 'upper range' growth rate of 1.90% per annum.

Household Growth

- ^{14.} In addition to population growth influencing the number of households, the size of households also affects the number. Hence, population and household growth rates do not necessarily match directly, mainly due to the current tendency for people to live in smaller childless or single person households.
- ^{15.} Because the Gypsy and Traveller population is relatively young and has many single parent households, a 1.25%-1.50% annual population growth could yield higher-than-average household growth rates, particularly if average household sizes fall or if younger-than-average households form. However, while there is evidence that Gypsy and Traveller households already form at an earlier age than in the general population, the scope for a more rapid rate of growth, through even earlier household formation, is limited.
- ^{16.} Based on the 2011 Census, the table below compares the age of household representatives in English households with those in Gypsy and Traveller households showing that the latter has many more household representatives aged under-25 years. In the general English population 3.60% of household representatives are aged 16-24, compared with 8.70% in the Gypsy and Traveller population. ORS's survey data shows that about 10% of Gypsy and Traveller households have household representatives aged under-25 years.

Age of household representative	Number of households - England	Percentage households - England	Number of households – Gypsy and Traveller	Percentage households – Gypsy and Traveller
Age 24 and under	790,974	3.6%	1,698	8.7%
Age 25 to 34	3,158,258	14.3%	4,232	21.7%
Age 35 to 49	6,563,651	29.7%	6,899	35.5%
Age 50 to 64	5,828,761	26.4%	4,310	22.2%
Age 65 to 74	2,764,474	12.5%	1,473	7.6%
Age 75 to 84	2,097,807	9.5%	682	3.5%
Age 85 and over	859,443	3.9%	164	0.8%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

Table 2 - Age of Head of Household (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

^{17.} The following table shows that the proportion of single person Gypsy and Traveller households is not dissimilar to the wider population of England; but there are more lone parents, fewer couples without children, and fewer households with non-dependent children amongst Gypsies and Travellers

Household Type	Number of households - England	Percentage households - England	Number of households – Gypsy and Traveller	Percentage households – Gypsy and Traveller
Single person	6,666,493	30.3%	5,741	29.5%
Couple with no children	5,681,847	25.7%	2345	12.1%
Couple with dependent children	4,266,670	19.3%	3683	18.9%
Couple with non-dependent children	1,342,841	6.1%	822	4.2%
Lone parent: Dependent children	1,573,255	7.1%	3,949	20.3%
Lone parent: All children non- dependent	766,569	3.5%	795	4.1%
Other households	1,765,693	8.0%	2,123	10.9%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

^{18.} The key point, though, is that since 20% of Gypsy and Traveller households are lone parents with dependent children, and up to 30% are single persons, there is limited potential for further reductions in average household size to increase current household formation rates significantly – and there is no reason to think that earlier household formations or increasing divorce rates will in the medium term affect household formation rates. While there are differences with the general population, a 1.25%-1.50% per annum Gypsy and Traveller population growth rate is likely to lead to a household growth rate of 1.25%-1.50% per annum

Summary Conclusions

^{19.} The best available evidence suggests that the net annual Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate is 1.50% per annum. Some local authorities might allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.50% per annum, to

provide a 'margin' if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller population, lower estimates should be used.

- ^{20.} The outcomes of this Technical Note can be used to provide an estimate of local new household formation rates by adjusting the upper national growth rate of 1.50% based on local demographic characteristics.
- ^{21.} In addition, in certain circumstances where the numbers of households and children are higher or lower than national data has identified, or the population age structure is skewed by certain age groups, it may not be appropriate to apply a percentage rate for new household formation. In these cases, a judgement should be made on likely new household formation based on the age and gender of the children identified in local household interviews. This should be based on the assumption that 50% of households likely to form will stay in any given area and that 50% will pair up and move to another area, while still considering the impact of dissolution. This is based on evidence from over 140 GTAAs that ORS have completed across England and Wales involving over 4,300 household interviews.