

Information Governance Report Responses to Requests

Period: 01.03.2015 to 31.03.2015

Sub Enquiry ID	Date Responded	Enquiry Details	Response Details
21104	18/03/2015	<p>Subject: Carpenters Estate</p> <p>In a recent statement, Cllr Andrew Baikie has stated the tower block flats in the Carpenter's Estate in Stratford are too expensive to renovate.</p> <p>(1) In what forum did the Council decide that the blocks were too expensive to renovate?</p> <p>(2) Can I please have sight of the report on which statement is based?</p> <p>(3) If there is a survey of one or other of the blocks, can I please have a copy?</p> <p>(4) Can I please have the minutes of the Council or other member meeting(s) where this was discussed?</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. The Council has considered a number of reports which can be accessed by searching the agenda/minute database available on the Council's website at:</p> <p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieDocSearch.aspx?bcr=1</p> <p>Specifically, these reports give background and information relating to your request:</p> <p>Mayoral Proceedings 21st July 2005 - https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s6110/Carpenters.pdf</p> <p>Mayoral Proceedings 22nd January 2009 - https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s19753/Housing%20Relocation%20Programme%20Carpenters%20updated.pdf</p> <p>Cabinet – 16th December 2010 - https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=294&MId=7401&Ver=4</p> <p>2. See above</p>

			<p>3. Please find attached the structural condition report completed in June 2007 in relation to Lund Point. This investigation was completed in respect of only one block as it was considered that the other blocks built at the same time and under the same conditions would show very similar findings to that of Lund Point.</p> <p>4. This report was not commissioned specifically for discussion at any particular meeting. The minutes of any Council meetings where discussions into the condition and future of Carpenters Estate can be independently sourced from documents and minutes already publicly available on the Committees database on the Newham website.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web page, affording a search facility for your research below</p> <p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieDocSearch.aspx?bcr=1</p>
21108	11/03/2015	<p>Subject: Carpenters Estate</p> <p>I would be grateful if you would provide -</p> <p>(1) Newham Council's estimated costs of the refurbishment of homes on the Carpenters Estate and any technical reports carried out to support this estimate;</p> <p>(2) an assessment of the loss of rental income and council tax relating to the homes currently empty on the Carpenters Estate - for the period of time they have been left empty;</p> <p>(3) provide any health and safety reasons / justification for currently leaving homes empty on the Carpenters Estate.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. None of the specific information you have requested is available. However, we have included a spreadsheet dated 2007-8 which is a Stage C cost plan of Dennison Point and Lund Point and which includes a summary of the cost plan. This was assembled on the basis of individual building components, working sessions between architects, quantity surveys and specialists. The cost plan was based on a degree of investigation and testing, but not based on detailed design and scope of works. It also does not address the costs of moving tenants and leaseholders. The cost plan assumes works in-situ. As a result of other assessments it was concluded that this would not be feasible. We also have included an 'Investigation of the Existing Structure' report dated June 2007 on Lund Point.</p> <p>2. Assuming 100% tenancy, with no turnover or gaps in tenancy as well as no arrears or bad debts, and assuming that every property would pay the full amount of Council Tax, the total assessment of the loss of rental income and council tax due to voids on Carpenters Estate up to 31st March</p>

			<p>2014 is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council Tax: £2,124,428.20 • Rent: £7,099,851.00 <p>However it should be borne in mind that as part of the self financing settlement, the Council identified 462 properties on the Estate which were due to be demolished by March 2017 resulting in these being excluded from the settlement calculation. Whilst the loss of rental income stream would therefore have been taken into account as part of that settlement, the assessment of loss of rent and Council tax stated above does not take into account these 462 properties and is based on all the properties on the Estate. The total figures therefore would need to be reduced accordingly.</p> <p>3. High Rise properties on the Carpenters Estate are empty due to the re-housing programme to enable the redevelopment of the estate to go ahead. Last year Newham Council wanted to reopen their empty properties to use as temporary homes and this was legally blocked by the Tenants Management Organisation on the estate. With regard to the Low rise properties as of today 36 of properties have been brought back into temporary use prior to the development of the Estate.</p>																																				
21437	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Private rented housing</p> <p>I am writing to you today to request information for each financial year from 2009/10 through to 2013/14.</p> <p>Under the freedom of information act, I request the following metrics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The number of EHO staff employed with responsibility for private sector rented housing 2. The number of inspections of private rented properties carried out by these staff 3. The number of prosecutions brought against private sector landlords by the Council 	<p>Summary</p> <p>1-3. Please see the table below which details the numbers of staff, inspections and prosecutions for the requested years.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th colspan="3">EH Staff (inc managers)</th> <th>Inspections</th> <th>Prosecutions</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2009/10</td> <td>24</td> <td>3384</td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>24</td> <td>3412</td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>25</td> <td>3356</td> <td>57</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>25</td> <td>3421</td> <td>81</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>25</td> <td>3501</td> <td>197</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	EH Staff (inc managers)			Inspections	Prosecutions	2009/10	24	3384	12			2010/11	24	3412	20			2011/12	25	3356	57			2012/13	25	3421	81			2013/14	25	3501	197		
Year	EH Staff (inc managers)			Inspections	Prosecutions																																		
2009/10	24	3384	12																																				
2010/11	24	3412	20																																				
2011/12	25	3356	57																																				
2012/13	25	3421	81																																				
2013/14	25	3501	197																																				

		<p>4. The number of households placed in private rented sector (PRS) accommodation by the Council's housing service</p> <p>5. The number of 'retaliatory' or 'revenge' evictions they [EHOs] dealt with in 2013/14.</p>	<p>4. Please see the table below which details the number of households placed in private rented sector (PRS) accommodation by the Council's housing service for the requested years.</p> <p>Please note these placements include those made in the prevention of homelessness and in the discharge of our duty to rehouse into the private rented sector.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1108 486 1915 582"> <tr> <td></td> <td>2009-2010</td> <td>2010-2011</td> <td>2011-2012</td> <td>2012-2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>2013-2014</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>851</td> <td>675</td> <td>724</td> <td>456</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>393</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>5. We do not record or hold this information in relation to so-called retaliatory or revenge evictions.</p>		2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013		2013-2014				Total	851	675	724	456				393	
	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013																			
	2013-2014																						
Total	851	675	724	456																			
			393																				
21431	10/03/2015	<p>Subject: Legal action against Carpenters TMO</p> <p>I would like to make a Freedom of Information request regarding the 'legal action' threatened against Newham Council by the 'so-called Carpenters Tenant Management Organisation' - see statement below made by the Mayor of Newham's cabinet advisor on housing, Cllr Andrew Baikie:</p> <p>'It is therefore outrageous that the so-called Carpenters Tenant Management Organisation not only refused to help make the vacant properties available, but threatened us with legal action for trying to do so.'</p> <p>http://www.newhamrecorder.co.uk/news/features/big_debate_why_local_authorities_leave_social_properties_empty_for_years_1_3780185</p> <p>1. Please supply documents, letters, emails or any other records pertaining to this threatened legal action.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached a number of documents relating to your request. Please note that a number of redactions have been made on some of the enclosures. The redacted information relates to information when, and by whom, the correspondence was sent to the Information Governance for including in or response.</p>																				

		2. Please supply documents, letters, emails or other records or notes of communications in which the TMO demonstrated, stated or otherwise indicated a refusal 'to help make the vacant properties available'.	
21460	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: Temporary Accommodation</p> <p>1. The number of people you have housed in emergency accommodation in each of the last 4 years</p> <p>2. The total budget you have spent on emergency accommodation in each of the last 4 years</p> <p>3. The average nightly rate you pay at the moment for rooms, studios, 1 beds, 2 beds, 3 bed and 4 bed accommodation</p> <p>4. A list of the names of your main providers that you use for temporary accommodation</p> <p>5. The yearly contract amounts that you pay these providers</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1. Please see attached table (Tab Q1) for figures to end of November.</p> <p>Q2. Please see attached table (Tab Q2) for figures as at 21/11/2014</p> <p>Q3 to Q5. The requested information with regard to Council spend made to contractors/ providers is already available in the public domain and is featured on the Newham Council website. You can search for any payment made over £500 and £250 (from August 2014) here: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Council-spending-over-500.aspx</p> <p>However to provide the detail of information you have requested in terms of rates and contract amounts would be considered commercially sensitive and therefore we have decided to exempt this aspect of your request.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We consider that the information requested in respect of our contract rates to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person, including the public authority holding it.</p> <p>To release information regarding the business undertaken by the authority and our contract rates, coupled with information potentially already in the public domain, would give competitors an edge in future tendering exercises and in targeting the contracts which the Council has secured. Furthermore, it would be likely to weaken the Council bargaining position during any future contractual negotiations should confidential commercial information</p>

			<p>be disclosed. This would likely affect the Council's budget, income and traded services and essentially, the availability of financial resources for our residents.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test, the Council has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process and how this may affect the bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds. However, we also recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial interests of the local authority where income generated for public services may be at risk. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p>
21490	18/03/2015	<p>Subject : Schools Staff - London Living Wage</p> <p>I would like to know what percentage of teaching assistants, learning support assistants, and other nonprofessional support staff directly employed by Newham in primary schools are and are not paid the London Living Wage?</p> <p>What is the Newham guidance on pay for such staff?</p>	<p>Summary :</p> <p>Everyone who is directly employed by Newham Council, where the Council can set their terms and conditions, is paid at or above the London Living Wage.</p> <p>All teaching assistants and Learning Support Assistants in Newham maintained schools are paid at or above the London Living Wage. Some non professional support staff, which include Supervisory Assistants and some cleaners, do not currently receive the LLW. Although the employer for community and maintained schools is the local authority, under the School Staffing (England) Regulations 2009, it is the individual Governing Bodies who have the authority to appoint, dismiss and determine the grade level of posts, etc. The Authority cannot force schools to adopt LLW.</p> <p>However schools have been asked to consider paying staff above the LLW. In September 2013, the then Director of Children's Services wrote to all Head teachers asking them to consider seeking their Governing Body's agreement to implement the London Living Wage at their school. Our new Director of Children's Services recently wrote to Head Teachers and Chairs</p>

			<p>of Governors for Newham maintained schools asking them to table a discussion on the issue at their Governing Body with a view to implementing the LLW.</p> <p>The percentage that do not receive LLW from the total of teaching assistants, Learning Support Assistants and other non professional support staff is around 6%.</p>																																																																						
21665		<p>Subject: Employment Issues</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act could I please ask you to provide, for each year between 2009 and 2014(Or year to date for 2014):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The average number of employees within your organisation 2. The total number of sickness days reported by all your employees 3. The total number of sickness days which have been classified as due to either stress, anxiety, depression or any combination thereof. <p>Can you then provide the same information but limited to staff whose employment is specifically Information Technology related – i.e. those involved in the provision or support of Information Technology equipment / services.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The average number of IT employees within your organisation 2. The total number of sickness days reported by all your IT employees 3. The total number of sickness days which have been classified as due to either stress, anxiety, depression or any combination thereof by all your IT employees. 	<p>Summary:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2009</th> <th>2010</th> <th>2011</th> <th>2012</th> <th>2013</th> <th>2014</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Number of Employees</td> <td>14827</td> <td>14954</td> <td>15422</td> <td>15329</td> <td>15310</td> <td>15085</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total number of Sickness Days Reported</td> <td>101322.00</td> <td>97496.00</td> <td>98631.00</td> <td>88838.00</td> <td>105806.00</td> <td>103764.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total number of days reported as Stress depression anxiety neurasthenia mental health and fatigue</td> <td>12175.00</td> <td>12875.00</td> <td>10432.00</td> <td></td> <td>11668.00</td> <td>11998.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11617.00</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Number of IT Employees</td> <td>133</td> <td>126</td> <td>120</td> <td>100</td> <td>88</td> <td>86</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total number of Sickness Days Reported</td> <td>474.00</td> <td>261.00</td> <td>243.00</td> <td></td> <td>462.50</td> <td>352.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total number of days reported as Stress depression anxiety neurasthenia mental health and fatigue</td> <td>5.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11.00</td> <td>32.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>107.00</td> <td>1.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>30.00</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total Number of Employees	14827	14954	15422	15329	15310	15085	Total number of Sickness Days Reported	101322.00	97496.00	98631.00	88838.00	105806.00	103764.00	Total number of days reported as Stress depression anxiety neurasthenia mental health and fatigue	12175.00	12875.00	10432.00		11668.00	11998.00						11617.00		Total Number of IT Employees	133	126	120	100	88	86	Total number of Sickness Days Reported	474.00	261.00	243.00		462.50	352.00	Total number of days reported as Stress depression anxiety neurasthenia mental health and fatigue	5.00				11.00	32.00						107.00	1.00						30.00	
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014																																																																			
Total Number of Employees	14827	14954	15422	15329	15310	15085																																																																			
Total number of Sickness Days Reported	101322.00	97496.00	98631.00	88838.00	105806.00	103764.00																																																																			
Total number of days reported as Stress depression anxiety neurasthenia mental health and fatigue	12175.00	12875.00	10432.00		11668.00	11998.00																																																																			
					11617.00																																																																				
Total Number of IT Employees	133	126	120	100	88	86																																																																			
Total number of Sickness Days Reported	474.00	261.00	243.00		462.50	352.00																																																																			
Total number of days reported as Stress depression anxiety neurasthenia mental health and fatigue	5.00				11.00	32.00																																																																			
					107.00	1.00																																																																			
					30.00																																																																				

21758	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Care Leavers accommodation</p> <p>1. The number of children in local authority care (including care leavers) aged over sixteen, who were entered into a placement in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation in each financial year from 2008/9 to 2013/14</p> <p>2. The number of children in local authority care (including care leavers) aged over sixteen who were living in a placement in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation during the course of each financial year from 2008/9 to 2013/14</p> <p>3. The number of children in local authority care (including care leavers) aged over sixteen who were living in a placement in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation for more than 28 days during the course of each financial year from 2008/9 to 2013/14</p> <p>Question 1 concerns the number of children who were entered into B&B or hostel accommodation, whilst question 2 concerns the total number of children who were living in such accommodation during all or part of each year (including those who had initially been entered into that accommodation in a previous year but were still in living in such accommodation in subsequent years).</p> <p>4. A list of locations (sites, not just towns/cities) where the council has placed children under Secure Orders in since the start of 2011/12 (excluding locations with current Secure Order placements, if necessary under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act exemptions)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The number of children in local authority care (including care leavers) aged over sixteen, who were entered into a placement in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation in each financial year from 2008/9 to 2013/14</p> <p>We do not currently have any children or young people who entered into Bed and Breakfast or hostels. We do not hold the data for previous years.</p> <p>2. The number of children in local authority care (including care leavers) aged over sixteen who were living in a placement in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation during the course of each financial year from 2008/9 to 2013/14</p> <p>We do not have children over 16 years old placed in bed and breakfast or hold this historical data. We have current data of young people who are placed in hostels but we do not have available data for previous years.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>The numbers of looked after young people over the age of sixteen is very small and whilst release of the number itself would constitute a breach, we are aware that this information coupled with other information which may be available or accessible in the public domain, could result in the identification of individuals in these placements. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute</p>
-------	------------	---	--

			<p>exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in disclosure.</p> <p>3. The number of children in local authority care (including care leavers) aged over sixteen who were living in a placement in bed and breakfast or hostel accommodation for more than 28 days during the course of each financial year from 2008/9 to 2013/14</p> <p>All of the young people that are currently living in hostels have been for more than 28 days during the course of this financial year.</p> <p>4. A list of locations (sites, not just towns/cities) where the council has placed children under Secure Orders in since the start of 2011/12 (excluding locations with current Secure Order placements, if necessary under the terms of the Freedom of Information Act exemptions)</p> <p>Please see the list below which details the placement locations of children under Secure Orders since 2011.</p> <p>Oakhill Secure Training Centre, Chalgrove Field, Oakhill, Milton Keynes, Buckinghamshire, MK5 6AJ</p> <p>Clare Lodge Welmore Road Peterborough Cambridgeshire PE6 7LU</p> <p>Medway Secure Training Centre, Sir Evelyn Road, Rochester, Kent ME1 3YB</p> <p>Eastmoor Secure Unit, East Moor Lane, Leeds, Yorkshire LS16 8EB</p>
21835	23/03/2015	<p>Subject : Children's Mental Health/Schools</p> <p>I am writing to request information under the Freedom of Information Act. In order to assist you with this request, I am outlining my query as specifically as</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. There are currently 971 young people known to have mental health issues in Newham; 474 are of primary age, 497 are of secondary age. This figure is based on young people who were receiving intervention from the</p>

		<p>possible. For the avoidance of doubt I do not seek to identify regions/specific geographical areas but merely seek to establish the national statistical picture in respect of the/these offences.</p> <p>I would like you to provide a response to the following questions:</p> <p>1. How many school children in your area are currently known to have mental health issues? Please could you breakdown the results giving details for primary age children and secondary pupils separately please.</p> <p>2. How many school children have committed or attempted suicide in the 12 months?</p>	<p>Child and Adolescent Mental Health service (CAMHS) between July and September 2014 or are currently receiving intervention from other services that work with young people based on a broad range of criteria including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - referral to but non engagement in local CAMHS services - previous referral and intervention from CAMHS services, but recent closure - behavioural or emotional issues affecting academic or social progress (for instance pupils who are withdrawn or depressed, with low attendance, who do not participate actively in school life, who are socially isolated or have few friends etc) - concerns within the pupil's family - other factors denoting vulnerability that may include looked after status, child safeguarding concerns, mental health concerns with the pupil or their family - young people who have emerging, serious or complex drugs and/or alcohol issues, where these issues are having a significant impact on their lives. <p>2. A data set on school children who have attempted suicide is not systematically collected by the Local Authority, although this information would be reflected in individual case notes. With regards to committed suicide, Department of Health guidance (January 2014) states that deaths must be certified by a medical practitioner and that suspected suicides must be certified after a coroner's inquest. Statistics on causes of death, produced by the Office for National Statistics (ONS), are based on the information provided at death registration and are provided to the Department of Health on an annual basis. Open verdicts are generally coded by the ONS as deaths from injury or poisoning of undetermined intent. When national statistics are presented, suicides and deaths of undetermined intent are combined. This reflects research studies which show that the majority of open verdicts are most likely suicides, although they do not meet the high legal standard of evidence required for a coroner to record a suicide verdict. Therefore official suicide rates are measured by a definition that is broader than the definition of suicide used by coroners. National statistics on suicide rates are published on the ONS website and</p>
--	--	---	--

			reflect suicide by men and women aged 15 and over.
21847	20/04/2015	<p>Subject : Homeless Placements</p> <p>Please consider this a Freedom of Information request.</p> <p>Please tell me, for each of the financial years 2013/14 and 2014/15 to date, the number of households with children of school age in the household to whom you accepted a duty to accommodate under sections 188, 190, 193 or 200 of the Housing Act 1996, which:</p> <p>(a) were placed out of borough and within Greater London;</p> <p>(b) were placed out of borough and outside Greater London.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the number and location of placements of applicant's to whom we have accepted a duty to accommodate under the quoted sections of the Housing Act 1996.</p> <p>Our computerised systems are unable to breakdown these allocations according to relevant duty to accommodate under the Housing Act 1996 in reportable format.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information from our systems, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of the individual applications to determine under which section of the Act we had accepted the duty to accommodate the applicant. It should also be noted that the numbers quoted in the table below could potentially include some households whom our systems have recovered as being assisted more than once should they have been assisted under combinations of duties to accommodate.</p> <p>This manual retrieval and verification of data would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (3) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Count of School Age Children Tenancy Start Year</p>

			<table> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>2013/2014</td> <td>2014/2015</td> <td>Grand Total</td> </tr> <tr> <td>In Borough</td> <td>243</td> <td>270</td> <td>513</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Within Greater London</td> <td>269</td> <td>468</td> <td>737</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outside Greater London</td> <td>50</td> <td>28</td> <td>78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grand Total</td> <td>562</td> <td>766</td> <td>1328</td> </tr> </table>	Location	2013/2014	2014/2015	Grand Total	In Borough	243	270	513	Within Greater London	269	468	737	Outside Greater London	50	28	78	Grand Total	562	766	1328
Location	2013/2014	2014/2015	Grand Total																				
In Borough	243	270	513																				
Within Greater London	269	468	737																				
Outside Greater London	50	28	78																				
Grand Total	562	766	1328																				
21849	19/05/2015	<p>Subject: Senior Appointments</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Request, please provide the following for each financial year from 2010/2011 to 2014/2015:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> How many people your council hired on a consultancy basis who were previously directly employed by your local authority on a salary of £70,000 or more in the last 12 months. How much your council spends annually on agency staff – for each year mentioned above. How many people your council has employed directly earning £70,000 or more who were made redundant (including those who took voluntary redundancy or left by mutual agreement) by your own authority or by another council in the previous 12 months. <p>Please provide the positions for the requests listed in 1 and 3 and if possible, the names.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> We are not aware of any consultants that have been engaged in the last 12 months that were previously directly employed by the council earning more than £70k. Please see below: <table> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>£18,031,828</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>£15,954,722</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>£15,601,287</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>£20,084,976</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15 (for the period April 2014 – November 2014)</td> <td>£13,363,559</td> </tr> </table> Please note that we do not hold information on the reasons why employees left their previous employer before being recruited by Newham Council. <p>However, we can confirm that in a 20 month period between April 2013 and December 2014 a total of 10 employees earning £70k or more were made redundant, and none of these employees have been re-hired.</p> <p>The 10 employees redundant in this period occupied the following posts (again, none of these individuals have been re-hired):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Executive Director (Regeneration and Inward Investment) - Head of Early Intervention and Youth - Head of 2012 - Head Of Joint Local Authority Regulatory Service (Temporary) 	2010/11	£18,031,828	2011/12	£15,954,722	2012/13	£15,601,287	2013/14	£20,084,976	2014/15 (for the period April 2014 – November 2014)	£13,363,559										
2010/11	£18,031,828																						
2011/12	£15,954,722																						
2012/13	£15,601,287																						
2013/14	£20,084,976																						
2014/15 (for the period April 2014 – November 2014)	£13,363,559																						

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Deputy Director of HR (Shared Services and Advice Centre) - Head of Housing Management - Strategic Manager (Housing Needs) - Director of Transition (Temporary) - Executive Director for Health and Partnerships - Group Manager (Commissioning) 																												
21890	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Local Housing Allowance claims made within Hastings Borough Council</p> <p>Please tell me, for each of the financial years 2010/2011, 2011/2012, 2012/2013, 2013/2014 and for the current financial year to date:</p> <p>a) How many households claiming local housing allowance you have placed within the Hastings Borough Council authority area;</p> <p>b) How many of these households have children of school age;</p> <p>c) How many households claiming local housing allowance you have placed in any other West or East Sussex district authority (Horsham, Crawley, Adur, Arun, Rother, Mid Sussex, Chichester, Eastbourne, Brighton and Hove, Lewes, Wealden).</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>a and b) Please note, we are unable to provide you with a total of households claiming local housing allowance, as this would be held by the host Local Authority where the Benefit claim has been made.</p> <p>However, please see table below for the total households placed in Hastings, broken down by those with children of school age and those without.</p> <p>Households</p> <p>Children</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Effective Year</th> <th>No</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>Grand Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010/2011</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/2012</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/2013</td> <td>2</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/2014</td> <td>1</td> <td>22</td> <td>23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/2015</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grand Total</td> <td>6</td> <td>33</td> <td>39</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>c) As mentioned above, we are unable to provide a total of households claiming local housing allowance as this would be held by the host Local Authority where the Benefit has been claimed. However, there have been 2 households' placed in Rother.</p>	Effective Year	No	Yes	Grand Total	2010/2011	0	0	0	2011/2012	0	1	1	2012/2013	2	6	8	2013/2014	1	22	23	2014/2015	3	4	7	Grand Total	6	33	39
Effective Year	No	Yes	Grand Total																												
2010/2011	0	0	0																												
2011/2012	0	1	1																												
2012/2013	2	6	8																												
2013/2014	1	22	23																												
2014/2015	3	4	7																												
Grand Total	6	33	39																												

22031	20/04/2015	<p>Subject : Evictions</p> <p>Please provide a month by month breakdown of evictions carried out by the council since April 2012. Please also break this down by reason for eviction.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the list below which details the number and reason for evictions, from Council owned residential properties each month since April 2012.</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Property recovered</th> <th>Property recovered</th> <th>Property recovered</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Total Properties recovered</th> <th>through eviction order for tenancy breach</th> <th>through eviction order for ASB</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>April</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>May</td> <td>2</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>July</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aug</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sept</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Oct</td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nov</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dec</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jan</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Feb</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>March</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YTD</td> <td>11</td> <td>53</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>April</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>May</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>July</td> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> <td>11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aug</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sept</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Oct</td> <td>2</td> <td>8</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nov</td> <td>3</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dec</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Property recovered	Property recovered	Property recovered		Total Properties recovered	through eviction order for tenancy breach	through eviction order for ASB	2012/13				April	0	2	2	May	2	5	1	June	2	1	3	July	2	4	6	Aug	1	6	7	Sept	0	5	5	Oct	1	9	10	Nov	1	4	5	Dec	0	2	2	Jan	0	5	5	Feb	1	7	8	March	1	3	4	YTD	11	53	1	2013/14				April	1	5	6	May	2	4	1	June	6	6	12	July	1	10	11	Aug	0	3	3	Sept	2	3	5	Oct	2	8	2	Nov	3	8	1	Dec	1	4	5
	Property recovered	Property recovered	Property recovered																																																																																																								
	Total Properties recovered	through eviction order for tenancy breach	through eviction order for ASB																																																																																																								
2012/13																																																																																																											
April	0	2	2																																																																																																								
May	2	5	1																																																																																																								
June	2	1	3																																																																																																								
July	2	4	6																																																																																																								
Aug	1	6	7																																																																																																								
Sept	0	5	5																																																																																																								
Oct	1	9	10																																																																																																								
Nov	1	4	5																																																																																																								
Dec	0	2	2																																																																																																								
Jan	0	5	5																																																																																																								
Feb	1	7	8																																																																																																								
March	1	3	4																																																																																																								
YTD	11	53	1																																																																																																								
2013/14																																																																																																											
April	1	5	6																																																																																																								
May	2	4	1																																																																																																								
June	6	6	12																																																																																																								
July	1	10	11																																																																																																								
Aug	0	3	3																																																																																																								
Sept	2	3	5																																																																																																								
Oct	2	8	2																																																																																																								
Nov	3	8	1																																																																																																								
Dec	1	4	5																																																																																																								

Jan	1	7		8
Feb	3	2	1	6
March	7	7		14
YTD	29	67	5	101

2014/15 order for rent arrears	Property recovered Total Properties recovered through eviction order for tenancy breach	Property recovered Total Properties recovered through eviction order for ASB	Property recovered through eviction
April	0	6	6
May	4	2	1
June	2	5	
July	3	5	1
Aug	2	5	
Sept	1	7	
Oct	1	5	1
Nov	1	0	
Dec	1	2	
Jan		0	
Feb		1	
March			
YTD	15	38	3

22043 20/04/2015 **Subject: Recovery Actions**

Since the Taking Control of Goods (fees) Regulations 2014 came into force, has Newham Borough Council handed any monies which a debtor has paid directly to the authority in respect of his or her council tax liability to its enforcement agent?

If so, how much and in how many cases?

RESPONSE
There have been 35 accounts with a value of

Summary:

Please see below the information requested and the level of detail which is held on our systems.

		<p>£4,077.56.</p> <p>FOLLOW UP</p> <p>Thank you for your response in which you state that Newham Borough Council has, since the Regulations mentioned came into force paid a total of £4,077.56 to its enforcement agents relating to 35 cases.</p> <p>I would like it verifying that these payments have only been made in one or the other of the following circumstances:</p> <p>a) The payment(s) fully settled the debt including the bailiff's fees;</p> <p>b) The debtor clearly indicated that the payment is for the bailiff.</p>	
22066	19/03/2015	<p>Subject : Council Garages</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please provide me with details of the following:</p> <p>The number of garages available for residents to rent owned by the local authority in January 2005</p> <p>The number of garages available for residents to rent owned by the local authority in January 2010</p> <p>The number of garages currently available for residents to rent owned by the local authority</p> <p>Details of sales by the local authority of garages previously owned by the local authority</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The number of garages available for residents to rent owned by the local authority in January 2005 As of 16th January 2005, a total of 3,905 garages were owned and available for rent.</p> <p>The number of garages available for residents to rent owned by the local authority in January 2010 As of 17th January 2010, a total of 3,444 garages were owned and available for rent.</p> <p>The number of garages currently available for residents to rent owned by</p>

		<p>Details of decisions by the local authority authorising the demolition of rentable garages owned by the local authority</p>	<p>the local authority As of 18th January 2014, a total of 2,666 garages were owned and available for rent.</p> <p>Details of sales by the local authority of garages previously owned by the local authority We do not hold records of any garages being sold.</p> <p>Details of decisions by the local authority authorising the demolition of rentable garages owned by the local authority Garages would be demolished where they are located on land which has been sold or identified for redevelopment.</p> <p>The full details of any decisions taken by the Council authorising the demolition or the sale of land upon which garages were located can be independently sourced from the Committee's database. By selecting the "search documents" section and entering key words, the details of relevant decisions made can be recovered. For your reference, please see the relevant web link below; https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgListCommittees.aspx?bcr=1</p>
22180	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Asylum Seeking Children</p> <p>I apply under the terms of the Freedom of Information legislation for the following information about asylum-seeking children and young people cared for by your Local Authority.</p> <p>To be specific, I am seeking information relating to young people who are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to the age of 25; • Who are currently looked after and/or accommodated by the Local Authority under Child Act provisions and/or leaving care provisions; 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2013/2014 = 8 2014/2015 = 14 2. 2013/2014 = 14 2014/2015 = 31 3. 43 4. 10 5. Afghanistan; Ethiopia; Nigeria; Vietnam; and Albania

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To include children and young people who entered into your care as 'Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children' (UASC) who then went on to join members of their family in the UK who were seeking asylum; • This would include children and young people: whose parents have been detained through immigration or criminal procedures; who have been taken into care because of child protection concerns; and/or where private/public family law proceedings are underway; • These young people will have normally have been included as a dependent in a family member's asylum application or claimed asylum in their own right with the Home Office. They may have been placed in foster care, or other forms of accommodation or they may be detained but are still being financed by your own Local Authority. They may or may not have an allocated Social Worker but will normally be accommodated or financed or both by the Authority's Social Services Department either under compulsory measures of care or through voluntary or discretionary provision. <p>I respectfully request information on the following:</p> <p>In each of the last two financial years:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many asylum-seeking children under the age of 16 are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority? 2. How many asylum-seeking children between the ages of 16 and 18 are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority? 3. How many asylum-seeking 18 – 25 year olds are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Afghanistan; Ethiopia; Nigeria; Vietnam; and Albania 7. 12 8. The reason for care would be absent parenting 9. None 10. None
--	---	---

		<p>4. How many (of the combined total of (1) - (3) above) are female?</p> <p>5. What are the predominant nationalities of the children identified at (1) and (2) above? For example, please provide us with the top five nationalities.</p> <p>6. What are the predominant nationalities of the 18 - 25 year olds at (3) above? Again, if possible please provide us with the top five nationalities.</p> <p>7. In relation to (1) – (3) above, how many of the asylum-seeking children and young people were taken into the care of the local authority owing to child protection concerns?</p> <p>8. In relation to (1) – (3) above, please provide a breakdown of the reasons children and young people were taken into the care of the Local Authority. For example this may be due to a bereavement, imprisonment, health of the primary care giver and/or following abandonment.</p> <p>9. In relation to (1) – (3) above, how many children and young people were subject to public family law proceedings?</p> <p>10. In respect of (7) above, how many interim care orders were granted by the Court in favour of the Local Authority? How many full care orders were granted in favour of the Local Authority?</p>	
22181	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: Looked After Children</p> <p>I apply under the terms of the Freedom of Information legislation for the following information about children and young people who are nationals of A8 countries cared for by your Local Authority.</p> <p>To be specific, I am seeking information relating to young people who are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to the age of 25; 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not collect a breakdown by nationality therefore are unable to provide this information for the requested questions.</p> <p>In order to retrieve information with regards to gender and reasons for why a child has been taken into care, it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file for each of looked after child to determine their gender and reasons. Each individual file varies in both complexity and volume of documentation and would require a thorough</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who are nationals of A8 countries (i.e. Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia) that are in the care of the Local Authority; • This would include children and young people whose parents have been detained through immigration or criminal procedures. Children and young people who have been taken into care because of child protection concerns and/or where private/public family law proceedings are underway. They may have been placed in foster care, or other forms of accommodation or they may be detained but are still being financed by your own Local Authority. They may or may not have an allocated Social Worker but will normally be accommodated or financed or both by the Authority's Social Services Department either under compulsory measures of care or through voluntary or discretionary provision. In each of the last two financial years: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many children under the age of 16 that are nationals of an A8 country are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority? 2. How many children between the ages of 16 to 18 that are nationals of an A8 country are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority? 3. How many 18 – 25 year olds that are nationals of an A8 country are currently being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority? 4. How many in each age category ((1)-(3)) are female? 5. For each age category ((1)-(3)), could you please list the most predominant to least predominant nationalities (of the A8 countries) of the children / young people that are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority. 	<p>reading of each case file to collate this information. Such manual retrieval of information would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
--	---	--

		<p>6. For all three age categories combined, could you please list the most predominant to least predominant nationalities (of the A8 countries) of the children / young people that are being supported / accommodated by your Local Authority.</p> <p>7. In relation to 1-3 above, how many of the children and young people were taken into the care of the local authority owing to child protection concerns?</p> <p>8. In relation to 1-3 above, please provide a breakdown of the reasons children and young people were taken into the care of the Local Authority? For example this may be due to a bereavement, imprisonment, health of the primary care giver and/or following abandonment.</p> <p>9. In relation to 1-3 above, how many children and young people were subject to public family law proceedings?</p> <p>10. In respect of 7 above, how many interim care orders were granted by the Court in favour of the Local Authority? How many full care orders were granted in favour of the Local Authority?</p>	
22192	30/03/2015	<p>Subject : Councillor Interests</p> <p>1, Please provide the number of Councillors with shares in any of the companies which have a contract to deliver any service on behalf of Newham Council as of 19 January 2015.</p> <p>2, Please provide the number of Council employees with shares in any of the companies which have a contract to deliver any service on behalf of Newham Council as of 19 January 2015.</p> <p>3, Please provide the number of Councillors with directorships and/or non-executive roles in any of the</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. This information is already publicly available. Under the Council's Constitution, all councillors are required to complete a Declaration of Interest form which is available for inspection on the Council's website. Under each councillor you are able to access the form on which Councillors are required to declare any contracts with the Council and any securities or any other interests in any company. This information can be accessed at: https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgMemberIndex.aspx?FN=ALPHA&VW=LIST&PIC=0</p> <p>2. One</p> <p>3. Please see response to 1. above</p>

		<p>companies which have a contract to deliver any service on behalf of Newham Council as of 19 January 2015.</p> <p>4. Please provide the number of Council employees with directorships and/or non-executive roles in any of the companies which have a contract to deliver any service on behalf of Newham Council as of 19 January 2015.</p> <p>5, Where numbers are determined for the above requests, kindly provide the names of the company or companies concerned.</p>	<p>4. One</p> <p>5. Help in Newham Ltd</p>																																																						
22251	02/03/2015	<p>Subject : Adults Social Care</p> <p>With reference to the provisions of The Freedom of Information Act 2000, I would be obliged if you could provide me with answers to the following question(s):</p> <p>1. What was your adult social care budget in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15?</p> <p>2. What was your actual adult social care spend in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15 so far?</p> <p>3. How many people received adult social care support from your authority in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15?</p> <p>4. How many staff did your authority employ working in adult social care in 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the responses to the four questions asked above for the requested five financial years.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2010/11</th> <th>2011/12</th> <th>2012/13</th> <th>2013/14</th> <th>2014/15</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Net adult social care budget</td> <td>£97,163k</td> <td>£96,583k</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>£88,158k</td> <td>£89,683k</td> <td>£87,613k</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Actual adult social care net expenditure</td> <td>£97,153k</td> <td>£98,113k</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>£88,158k</td> <td>£89,677k</td> <td>£87,613k</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>People receiving adult social care support</td> <td>4,905</td> <td>4,770</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4,300</td> <td>4,275</td> <td>4,367</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Staff employed in adult social care (full time equivalent)</td> <td>602.29</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>598.04</td> <td>553.19</td> <td>525.86</td> <td>479.87</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	1	Net adult social care budget	£97,163k	£96,583k				£88,158k	£89,683k	£87,613k			2	Actual adult social care net expenditure	£97,153k	£98,113k				£88,158k	£89,677k	£87,613k			3	People receiving adult social care support	4,905	4,770			4,300	4,275	4,367				4	Staff employed in adult social care (full time equivalent)	602.29					598.04	553.19	525.86	479.87	
	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15																																																				
1	Net adult social care budget	£97,163k	£96,583k																																																						
	£88,158k	£89,683k	£87,613k																																																						
2	Actual adult social care net expenditure	£97,153k	£98,113k																																																						
	£88,158k	£89,677k	£87,613k																																																						
3	People receiving adult social care support	4,905	4,770																																																						
4,300	4,275	4,367																																																							
4	Staff employed in adult social care (full time equivalent)	602.29																																																							
	598.04	553.19	525.86	479.87																																																					

22333	05/03/2015	<p>Subject : Homecare Fees to Contractors</p> <p>Leonard Cheshire Disability (LCD) claim that they would like to pay their carers the Living Wage but that they are not paid enough by social care funders such as yourself to do so.</p> <p>I wonder if you could please tell me:</p> <p>1) what representations Leonard Cheshire Disability (LCD) have made to the Council asking for increases in the fees paid for social care provided by them, in order to pay their carers the Living Wage.</p> <p>2) Some idea as to the fees currently being paid by the Council to LCD for residential care, per resident per week. A range of fees or a set of anonymised figures of the fees perhaps. Other councils have opted to supply statistical median and range as they were concerned that individual fees could make it possible for people to have a guess at which residents had which fees.</p> <p>3) Equivalent information for fees paid to other providers for residential care in care homes for people with physical impairments under the age of 65.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. What representations Leonard Cheshire Disability (LCD) have made to the Council asking for increases in the fees paid for social care provided by them, in order to pay their carers the Living Wage.</p> <p>A request for an uplift has been received for 2015/16. A decision is yet to be made on this request.</p> <p>2. Some idea as to the fees currently being paid by the Council to LCD for residential care, per resident per week. A range of fees or a set of anonymised figures of the fees perhaps. Other councils have opted to supply statistical median and range as they were concerned that individual fees could make it possible for people to have a guess at which residents had which fees.</p> <p>The average weekly fee paid currently is gross £1,073.73 (£762.39 net of health contributions).</p> <p>3. Equivalent information for fees paid to other providers for residential care in care homes for people with physical impairments under the age of 65.</p> <p>The average weekly fees paid for all residential care for people with physical disabilities aged 18-64 was £740 (net of health contributions) per week for 2014/15.</p>
22335	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Law Enforcement Officers</p> <p>In light of the reported possible threats to uniformed police officers as illustrated by recent events in France and Belgium, and taking into consideration the fact that Newham Law Enforcement Officers patrol in uniforms indistinguishable from those of police officers, what measures has Newham Council taken to protect staff</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Newham Law Enforcement Officer uniform is clearly distinguishable from those of Police officers, in particular the words 'Police' are not used or displayed on Council officer uniforms.</p> <p>Suitable advice has been circulated to Newham council officers in light of discussions with the Metropolitan Police and the information/ advice</p>

		from being mistakenly targeted in the event of such threats being executed in London because of the uniform they have been issued?	released by the Metropolitan Police to the general public.
22337	02/03/2015	<p>Subject: Greenway</p> <p>Please supply all documents you hold, including emails, relating to the decision to close the Greenway between Manor Road and Stratford High Street from February 9th 2015.</p> <p>Please take particular care to include details of all and any health and safety assessments, whether any shorter closure of the Greenway was considered, and all records of the nature and quality of any diversions or alternative accommodation put in place, and any records held in which the impact of the closure on walking, cycling and the health of borough residents is discussed.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached documentation as requested.</p> <p>Please note, we have redacted the names and contact details of all officers from the attached correspondence under Section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>This is because disclosure could result in the identification of individuals as they would not have expected their personal data to be subsequently disclosed under the Act and any information would have been exchanged with the Council in confidence. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p>
22338	04/03/2015	<p>Subject : Educational Psychology Service</p> <p>13/14</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was your authority's Gross & Net expenditure on the Educational Psychology Service? 2. How is this gross expenditure funded? (For example, core local authority budget, Dedicated Schools Grant, Traded Service to schools and other parties etc.). 3. If there is more than one funding source provide the amount funded by each source. 4. If the DSG used to fund all or part of the service advise which line this exp was included on on your submitted Section 251 13/14 actuals statement. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gross = £517,000 Net = £517,000 2. Local Authority funded and schools traded 3. School traded = £12,000 Local Authority = £505,000 4. No DSG 5. Gross = £534,000 Net = £534,000

		<p>14/15</p> <p>5. What is your authority's original Gross & Net budget on the Educational Psychology Service?</p> <p>6. How is this gross budget funded? (For example, core local authority budget, Dedicated Schools Grant, Traded Service to schools and other parties etc.).</p> <p>7. if there is more than one funding source provide the budgeted amount funded by each source.</p> <p>8. If the DSG used to fund all or part of the service advise which line this exp was included on on your submitted Section 251 4/15 budget statement.</p>	<p>6. Local Authority funded and schools traded</p> <p>7. Local Authority = £508,000 Schools traded = £26,000</p>
22343	02/03/2015	<p>Subject : Extreme Speakers List</p> <p>I would like to know about your extreme speakers list:</p> <p>a) when was the list created</p> <p>b) has the list been shared with other bodies, please state who?</p> <p>c) for each person named on the list please state what data items are kept; name, address, telephone number etc...</p> <p>d) for each person named on the list how many people have been informed of their names existing</p> <p>e) which working practices does the list inform</p> <p>f) please provide a copy of the list with the full names of those on the list, so i can ensure i do not attend their lectures/ events.</p> <p>g) Of those on the list, which organisations are they affiliated to?</p> <p>h) How many are male and how many are female?</p> <p>i) How many are Muslims and how many are of 'other faiths or none'?</p> <p>j) Are those on the list aware that they are on the list?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the list of individual speakers detailed above would be exempt under Section 31 (1) a of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the future prevention or detection of criminal activity. Whilst releasing the information could contribute to promoting transparency by the local authority, we believe that this factor is outweighed, significantly, by the likelihood and severity of any harm that the disclosure could cause to the public and to any potentially related activities in respect of law enforcement or public safety.</p> <p>Section 31(1) (a) (Law Enforcement) Considerations in favour of disclosing the information Disclosure would raise public awareness of the activities local government undertakes to prevent terrorism and assist law enforcement in the UK; it could assist in the public understanding of how the available resources are used to counter potential threats to residents. Disclosure of this type of</p>

			<p>information demonstrates openness and accountability in how resources are used in combating crime.</p> <p>Considerations in favour of withholding the information Disclosing this information could prejudice law enforcement by deterring legitimate activities to prevent terrorism and thereby jeopardising the local authority's and Government efforts to prevent terrorism and terrorist crime.</p> <p>In our assessment, we believe that the balance of public interest favours maintaining the exemption and withholding the information for the purpose of safeguarding the future prevention or detection of crime.</p>
22346	20/03/2015	<p>Subject : Members Casework</p> <p>Would you please provide me with the number of unique items of casework submitted by each ward councillor (listed by councillor), to be processed by the authority's support services designated to assist elected members with casework for the months of September, October, November and December for the year 2014.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The table below sets out those enquiries that are specifically routed through Central Member Enquiries. However, Councillors have a number of ways of raising issues on behalf of their constituents, or assisting their constituents to raise issues themselves through appropriate channels. This could be through Community Neighbourhood Teams or directly through the relevant service. Often Ward councillors work together to raise collective issues relating to their wards and often a single piece of casework will relate to issues raised by multiple residents.</p> <p>Members regularly direct casework queries to other agencies where they are more appropriate. For example, citizenship and immigration casework is directed to the relevant local MP, GLA business is referred to the appropriate Assembly member and NHS related casework will be directed as appropriate.</p> <p>Whilst the central Member Enquiries service deal with casework generated by Councillors on behalf of their constituents, in many cases, councillors encourage their constituents to be resilient and, rather than the councillors raising issues on their behalf, they signpost constituents to the correct procedure or process to follow. For example, councillors at their surgeries are often faced with issues where there are statutory processes in</p>

place which should be followed, such as Education Appeals, appeals against Penalty Charge Notices, etc. Also, issues such as reporting potholes, fly tipping, etc can be actioned by the constituent through the Council's website, Contact Centre or the Love Newham app. Where councillors have assisted their constituents in this way, this would not be recorded. However, where a councillor chooses to nonetheless raise these sort of issues as casework, they would not be dealt with as casework, but simply referred to the service area to deal with as a service request. In addition, when an issue may be urgent, a councillor may chose to raise an issue with the service direct and therefore often the issue is not logged by the central Member Enquiries service. Casework which is already in our system through other means would not be recorded as casework. For example, where an issue has already been raised as a complaint about a specific service area.

The table below sets out unique casework cases recorded on the relevant IT system – it does not reflect actual casework by each Member and should not be presented as such.

Councillor	September	October	November	December	
Ahmed Noor		1			
Alan Griffiths	9	8	2		
Aleen Alarice	1	1	2	3	
Amarjit Singh	1				
Andrew Baikie	11	11	6	4	
Ann Easter		3	5	6	
Anthony McAlmont				2	
Ayesha Chowdhury		12	3	4	2
Bryan Collier	1	2	2	1	
Charity Fiberesima		1	2		1
Charlene McLean*					
Clive Furness	6	3	2	5	
Conor McAuley					
David Christie	7	10	4	2	

Dianne Walls OBE			7	4	3	
Ellie Robinson	25		8	9		
Farah Nazeer*	1	2				
Firoza Nekiwala	4	3	6	2		
Forhad Hussain	12	18	4	6		
Frances Clarke	1			1		
Freda Bourne	2	3	1			
Hanif Abdulmuhit		27	3	11	4	
Harvinder Virdee			2	1	1	
Ian Corbett		1	2			
Idris Ibrahim	2	5	4	3		
James Beckles	5	9	6	4		
Jo Corbett	4	2	1	1		
John Gray	9	6	1	1		
John Whitworth	3	1	1	3		
Jose Alexander	14	11	6	2		
Joy Laguda MBE		7	12	7	4	
Julianne Marriott		3	5	2		
Kay Diane Scoresby		1	9	4	6	
Ken Clark		4	7	1		
Lakmini Sewwandi Shah			1	2	6	6
Lester Hudson	5	4	1	4		
Masihullah Patel		6	5	7	7	
Mukesh Patel	1	6	1	1		
Neil Wilson	8	8	3	5		
Obaid Khan	1	14	6	5		
Patricia Holland	21	20	12	19		
Patrick Murphy		4	1	1		
Paul Sathianesan				2	1	
Rachel Tripp	5	15	6	10		
Rev. Quintin Peppiatt		8	5	6		
Richard Crawford		8	5	12	4	
Rohima Rahman				1		
Rokhsana Fiaz OBE		9	4	1	1	
Salim Patel		8	6	5	5	
Seyi Akiwowo	16	1	6	7		

			<p>Sheila Thomas</p> <p>Steve Brayshaw 1</p> <p>Susan Masters 4 5 8 5</p> <p>Tahmina Rahman 1</p> <p>Ted Sparrowhawk 3 3 1</p> <p>Terry Paul 5 5 2 3</p> <p>Tonii Wilson 1 5 8</p> <p>Unmesh Desai 1 5 5 1</p> <p>Winston Vaughan 3 4 1 2</p> <p>Zuber Gulamussen 1 2</p> <p>*Currently on maternity leave</p>
22347	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Safeguarding</p> <p>I wish to request the following information:</p> <p>During 2014, what was the number of safeguarding issues for providers of care for people with learning disabilities?</p> <p>For the issues identified in question 1, how are these divided by provider of care for people with learning disabilities?</p> <p>What was the nature of each reported safeguarding issue?</p> <p>What was the category of risk of each reported safeguarding issue?</p> <p>What is your Local Authority's policy and procedure following abuse of a learning disability patient?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>During 2014, what was the number of safeguarding issues for providers of care for people with learning disabilities?</p> <p>A total of 31 safeguarding issues were raised in relation to providers of care for people with learning disabilities.</p> <p>For the issues identified in question 1, how are these divided by provider of care for people with learning disabilities?</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 41 exemption applies to the information you have requested. Section 41, which provides for an exemption of the information where disclosure may be considered an actionable breach of confidence. Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states: "41. (1) Information is exempt information if – (a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and (b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.</p>

			<p>(2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, the confirmation or denial that would have to be given to comply with section 1(1)(a) would (apart from this Act) constitute an actionable breach of confidence.”</p> <p>We consider that in complying with this request would breach the confidentiality of individuals and organisations who provided confidential information to the Council in circumstances where the Council's breach of this confidence, through disclosure of related information, would be actionable against the Council.</p> <p>What was the nature of each reported safeguarding issue?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alleged financial abuse: 5 - Alleged institutional abuse: 1 - Alleged physical abuse: 7 - Alleged emotional / psychological abuse: 2 - Alleged sexual abuse: 1 - Alleged neglect/act of omission by others: 14 - Alleged neglect/act of omission by self: 1 <p>What was the category of risk of each reported safeguarding issue?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unknown / Stranger: 0 - Known to Individual: 0 - Social care support or paid support: 31 <p>What is your Local Authority's policy and procedure following abuse of a learning disability patient? Please refer to the London Borough of Newham safeguarding adults page for further information: http://adultsocialcare.newham.gov.uk/pages/suspected-abuse.aspx</p>
22348	04/03/2015	Subject : Advisor Portfolios	Summary:

		Would you please inform me of the date(s) Newham Council posted Mayoral Advisor Portfolios on the authority's web site.	15th January 2015																																																																																																												
22372	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: Concierge Services</p> <p>Follow up enquiry to FOI E21509</p> <p>I wish to request a full monetary breakdown of all 28 blocks.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find below figure for 2012/13</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Block</th> <th>No. Flats</th> <th>2012/13 Income</th> <th>2012/13 Expenditure</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Albert Bigg Point</td><td>95</td><td>£108,712</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Alfred Prior House</td><td>56</td><td>£69,259</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Aubrey Moore Point</td><td>98</td><td>£114,781</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Austin Court</td><td>56</td><td>£77,782</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Brassett Point</td><td>123</td><td>£148,388</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>College Point</td><td>123</td><td>£142,468</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Comyns Close</td><td>48</td><td>£48,282</td><td>£63,849</td></tr> <tr><td>Cridland Street</td><td>46</td><td>£45,370</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>David Lee Point</td><td>125</td><td>£137,965</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Dunedin House</td><td>73</td><td>£92,770</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Ferrier Point</td><td>115</td><td>£148,563</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Hamara Ghar</td><td>120</td><td>£150,000</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Henniker Point</td><td>91</td><td>£110,700</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Holden Point</td><td>80</td><td>£100,000</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Jacobs House</td><td>64</td><td>£79,770</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Priory Court</td><td>96</td><td>£110,522.00</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Queensland House</td><td>74</td><td>£83,590</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Rowland Court</td><td>56</td><td>£67,788</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Settle Point</td><td>60</td><td>£84,278</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Seymour Road</td><td>57</td><td>£77,480</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Shaftesbury Point</td><td>58</td><td>£79,317</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Smith's Point</td><td>56</td><td>£71,177</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>St Mary's Court</td><td>46</td><td>£52,022</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Stubbs Point</td><td>108</td><td>£130,206</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> <tr><td>Trinity Gardens</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>(1-77)</td><td>39</td><td>£41,859</td><td>£127,698</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Block	No. Flats	2012/13 Income	2012/13 Expenditure	Albert Bigg Point	95	£108,712	£127,698	Alfred Prior House	56	£69,259	£127,698	Aubrey Moore Point	98	£114,781	£127,698	Austin Court	56	£77,782	£127,698	Brassett Point	123	£148,388	£127,698	College Point	123	£142,468	£127,698	Comyns Close	48	£48,282	£63,849	Cridland Street	46	£45,370	£127,698	David Lee Point	125	£137,965	£127,698	Dunedin House	73	£92,770	£127,698	Ferrier Point	115	£148,563	£127,698	Hamara Ghar	120	£150,000	£127,698	Henniker Point	91	£110,700	£127,698	Holden Point	80	£100,000	£127,698	Jacobs House	64	£79,770	£127,698	Priory Court	96	£110,522.00	£127,698	Queensland House	74	£83,590	£127,698	Rowland Court	56	£67,788	£127,698	Settle Point	60	£84,278	£127,698	Seymour Road	57	£77,480	£127,698	Shaftesbury Point	58	£79,317	£127,698	Smith's Point	56	£71,177	£127,698	St Mary's Court	46	£52,022	£127,698	Stubbs Point	108	£130,206	£127,698	Trinity Gardens				(1-77)	39	£41,859	£127,698
Block	No. Flats	2012/13 Income	2012/13 Expenditure																																																																																																												
Albert Bigg Point	95	£108,712	£127,698																																																																																																												
Alfred Prior House	56	£69,259	£127,698																																																																																																												
Aubrey Moore Point	98	£114,781	£127,698																																																																																																												
Austin Court	56	£77,782	£127,698																																																																																																												
Brassett Point	123	£148,388	£127,698																																																																																																												
College Point	123	£142,468	£127,698																																																																																																												
Comyns Close	48	£48,282	£63,849																																																																																																												
Cridland Street	46	£45,370	£127,698																																																																																																												
David Lee Point	125	£137,965	£127,698																																																																																																												
Dunedin House	73	£92,770	£127,698																																																																																																												
Ferrier Point	115	£148,563	£127,698																																																																																																												
Hamara Ghar	120	£150,000	£127,698																																																																																																												
Henniker Point	91	£110,700	£127,698																																																																																																												
Holden Point	80	£100,000	£127,698																																																																																																												
Jacobs House	64	£79,770	£127,698																																																																																																												
Priory Court	96	£110,522.00	£127,698																																																																																																												
Queensland House	74	£83,590	£127,698																																																																																																												
Rowland Court	56	£67,788	£127,698																																																																																																												
Settle Point	60	£84,278	£127,698																																																																																																												
Seymour Road	57	£77,480	£127,698																																																																																																												
Shaftesbury Point	58	£79,317	£127,698																																																																																																												
Smith's Point	56	£71,177	£127,698																																																																																																												
St Mary's Court	46	£52,022	£127,698																																																																																																												
Stubbs Point	108	£130,206	£127,698																																																																																																												
Trinity Gardens																																																																																																															
(1-77)	39	£41,859	£127,698																																																																																																												

			Victoria Point 60 £78,192 £127,698 Westland House 74 £93,497 £127,698 Willett House/Scott House (shared concierge) 116 £138,997 £127,698
22376	05/03/2015	<p>Subject: Cycle Facilities and Crossrail</p> <p>Please provide all documents, including correspondence, that you hold in which the provision of cycle facilities in and around railway stations in Newham to be refurbished as part of the crossrail project is discussed.</p> <p>Please take particular care to include all documents, including email, which involve employees or board members of Transport for London.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Unfortunately we are unable to extract the information you have requested from our systems and records within the appropriate limit set out in the Freedom of Information Act.</p> <p>Your request relates to any documentation or correspondence relating to an aspect of a large project which the Council has been working on for a number of years. Whilst under the Act it may be suggested that requesters can refine or reduce the scope of the request to enable it to be responded to within the appropriate limit, with this request, the service have advised it would still be time consuming and it would be outside the requirements of the Act to compile this information from the voluminous records held on the Cross Rail development as a whole.</p> <p>To conduct a search of email correspondence alone on this request would exceed the appropriate limit. In order to retrieve this information an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of the email accounts of those teams and officers involved in the project, to be able to identify specifically correspondence relating to refurbishment of stations and specifically cycle facilities. It may be useful to note that the concept design period has taken over two years. This concept has yet to be progressed to a detailed design and the proposals are still subject to public consultation. This manual exercise over records for this time period would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of</p>

			<p>the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>It is anticipated that the public consultation of this project will commence in summer of this year, where detailed designs and proposals will be made available for public comment.</p> <p>The service have advised that they would be happy to meet with you to discuss the concept designs at a time convenient to you. Please contact us should you wish to avail yourself of this offer.</p>
22377	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Residential and Children's care homes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do you operate an approved providers list of private residential care providers? 2. If so, how many providers are currently on this list? 3. Is the list closed, and if yes for how long? 4. What is the average distance young people a placed from their home? 5. How many children's care homes do you operate? 6. How many children are placed in care homes that you operate? 7. How many children are placed with private residential care providers? 8. What is the average weekly cost of placing a child in a care home you operate? 9. What is the average weekly cost of placing a child in a private care home? 10. What is the average size of the care homes you place children in (i.e. how many children can they accommodate)? 11.Can you explain your commissioning process for 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do you operate an approved providers list of private residential care providers? We use the London Care Services list of providers. 2. If so, how many providers are currently on this list? The London Care Placements list has 83 residential contracted providers. 3. Is the list closed, and if yes for how long? No. 4. What is the average distance young people a placed from their home? The average distance is 21.92 miles. 5. How many children's care homes do you operate? We do not operate any children's homes. 6. How many children are placed in care homes that you operate? Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 5. 7. How many children are placed with private residential care providers?

		<p>private residential children's care providers?</p>	<p>A total of 40 children are currently placed with private residential care providers.</p> <p>8. What is the average weekly cost of placing a child in a care home you operate? Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 5.</p> <p>9. What is the average weekly cost of placing a child in a private care home? The average weekly cost is £3116.97.</p> <p>10. What is the average size of the care homes you place children in (i.e. how many children can they accommodate)? We do not hold this information as we do not record the average sizes of accommodations.</p> <p>11. Can you explain your commissioning process for private residential children's care providers? There is no commissioning process - all placements are spot purchased.</p>
22379	05/03/2015	<p>Subject: CCTV location</p> <p>1. I would be grateful if you would provide me with a full list of fixed CCTV camera locations in the London Borough of Newham. I am referring to cameras in public places that Newham Council operate, or have access to.</p> <p>2. Additionally, I would be grateful if you could provide me with a copy of the council's selection procedures when choosing a site for the installation of such CCTV cameras.</p> <p>3. In particular, please could you provide any details on what provisions, if any, are made by Newham Council which safeguard the privacy of residents living in</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Following your additional clarification of the scope of this request in your email of 1st March 2015, we have not included CCTV cameras located on estates or for Housing purposes.</p> <p>1. Please find attached our current asset register showing CCTV camera locations across the borough.</p> <p>2. Requests for new cameras or the placement of moveable cameras is agreed at the council's tasking enforcement group which is made up of internal and external partners. Requests for CCTV installation must also be backed up by crime data, civil and/or criminal activity.</p> <p>3. The Council uses a modern digital system where operators have to log onto monitor CCTV and all monitoring they carry out is logged against them.</p>

		properties which fall under the scope of such CCTV cameras.	Where there is a risk of CCTV intrusion into residential properties we can apply privacy settings to cameras that show as blacked out over windows.
22381	05/03/2015	<p>Subject: Waste Management for 2014</p> <p>The information I require is:</p> <p>Cost of managing waste, including collection of recycling and waste bins, as well as bulky waste collection and the day-to-day running of Jenkins Lane Reuse and Recycling Centre</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The cost to carry out all waste collections, refuse, recycling, clinical, garden, bulky, commercial waste and the replacement of lost or stolen bins is £4,934,800.00.</p> <p>Waste disposal and operation of Jenkins Lane Reuse & Recycling Centre is managed by the East London Waste Authority. Further information is available at http://www.recycleforyourcommunity.com/waste_authority</p>
22380	06/03/2015	<p>Subject: EHC plans/ SEN statement for 2013/2014</p> <p>1. How many requests during the year 2013/2014 were there for SEN statement assessments?</p> <p>2. How many of these requests were from parents?</p> <p>3. How many requests for SEN statement assessments were turned down?</p> <p>4. How many parental requests for SEN statement assessments were turned down?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>It relates to requests for assessments for SEN statements, now known as EHC plans.</p> <p>1. How many requests during the year 2013/2014 were there for SEN statement assessments? 79 requests.</p> <p>2. How many of these requests were from parents? 38 requests from parents.</p> <p>3. How many requests for SEN statement assessments were turned down? 31 requests were turned down.</p> <p>4. How many parental requests for SEN statement assessments were turned down? 27 requests from parents were turned down.</p>

22416	06/03/2015	<p>Subject: EHC Assessments</p> <p>How many requests for EHC assessments have you received since September 2014?</p> <p>How many of these were parental requests?</p> <p>How many requests for ECH assessments have you turned down since September 2014?</p> <p>How many parental requests for EHC assessments have you turned down since September 2014?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>How many requests for EHC assessments have you received since September 2014? 25 requests</p> <p>How many of these were parental requests? 22 requests received from parents.</p> <p>How many requests for ECH assessments have you turned down since September 2014? 16 requests for assessments turned down.</p> <p>How many parental requests for EHC assessments have you turned down since September 2014? 15 parental requests for assessment turned down.</p>
22413	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Welfare Assistant</p> <p>The pay grade for welfare assistants in Newham school</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The generic job description for a Welfare Assistant is graded at Scale 1 (£16,242 to £17,013). However, some schools do add on extra duties and responsibilities to the job description and these get re-evaluated and the grade could vary between Scale 2 (£17,013 to £ 17,748) to Scale 4 (£19,524 to £21,552) or higher.</p>
22417	06/03/2015	<p>Subject: Property Licensing</p> <p>Please can you let me know how many times you have communicated with licensed property landlords since it's inception and more specifically on landlord or property related legislation that has received royal accent since the inception of Newham's property licence scheme.</p> <p>I would also like to see all documentation and</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please can you let me know how many times you have communicated with licensed property landlords since it's inception and more specifically on landlord or property related legislation that has received royal accent since the inception of Newham's property licence scheme.</p> <p>The inception of property licensing (mandatory) was in April 2006. Currently there are 35,683 licence application cases. We do not collate a single log of every communication (phone calls/email/letter etc.) on each case.</p>

		<p>consultation surrounding the selling of or transferring of licensed landlords personal data/information which you have been doing as I believe you have breached your responsibilities under the data protection act.</p>	<p>However, since the 21st June 2012 decision date Newham publicised the designations in accordance with the statutory requirements of sections 59 and 83 of the Act. Between July 2012 and February 2013 Newham delivered a high profile comprehensive communications and media campaign. This was to ensure that this change in policy and the implementation of the scheme was effectively communicated to all key stakeholders and interested parties, most notably landlords and managing agents. The campaign involved several national media pieces on the BBC and articles in national newspapers including the Guardian, Independent and the landlord publications and forums. The marketing campaign included articles and adverts in all of the key East London circulating newspapers, landlord publications and the Newham magazine which is distributed to every household in the borough. A targeted mail out to all known landlords, managing agents and key stakeholders was also completed in September and December 2012 which included a covering letter and flyer explaining the licensing scheme, what stakeholders were required to do to submit a valid application as well as information on the consequences of failing to comply with the licensing requirements.</p> <p>More recently we sent two e-bulletins to landlords and agents regarding the private rented sector in April and December 2014. In April this was sent to 11753 persons and in December this was sent to 13207 persons.</p> <p>For all applications the licence holder is sent a draft licence then their final licence.</p> <p>I would also like to see all documentation and consultation surrounding the selling of or transferring of licensed landlords personal data/information which you have been doing as I believe you have breached your responsibilities under the data protection act.</p> <p>We have not sold any licensed landlord personal data.</p> <p>Where necessary we may transfer data to other law enforcement bodies. The content of this information is exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom</p>
--	--	--	--

of Information Act 2000. Disclosure could potentially prejudice current and future investigations and would reveal approaches to investigations, making it harder to investigate similar investigations in the future. Although release of this data may give greater public knowledge and increase trust, it still would not be in the public interest to do this as future and effective investigations may be jeopardised by its release.

All such disclosure of information is completed within s29 and s35 of the Data Protection Act.

Under the Housing Act 2004, s232 requires a local authority to maintain a public register of all part 2 and 3 property licences, temporary exemption notices and management orders, the content of which is prescribed by Regulations SI373.

The links to the legislation are below;

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/34/section/232>

and the information which is required to be published can be found here

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2006/373/regulation/11/made>

The regulations state that, amongst other information, each entry in a register established and maintained under section 232(1)(a) of the Act in respect of a license granted under Part 2 (HMOs) or 3 (selective licensing) of the Act which is in force must include:

- (a) the name and address of the license holder;
- (b) the name and address of the person managing the licensed HMO or house;
- (c) the address of the licensed HMO or house;

As the register has to be public, in line with the Council's channel shift towards the use of the web for accessing services and information, records are published online.

The legislation is exempt from the Data Protection Act.

			<p>However, if there is a particular reason why a licence holder may feel that their details should not be included, such as the risk of physical harassment / violence, etc., they should make you case to propertylicensing@newham.gov.uk We will consider whether it is appropriate to make adjustments to the register.</p>
22424	09/03/2015	<p>Subject: Card Payments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At the time of receiving this request, has your payment processing provider written to you to explain Visa's forthcoming changes to debit card transaction processing interchange i.e. the switch from simply a "pence per transaction" charge to a charge determined as a combination of pence per transaction and a percentage of the value of that transaction? 2. If you have received a notification letter, please could you attach a copy to your response. 3. At the time of receiving this request, have you quantified the additional cost that will arise from the changes to debit card processing costs? 4. If you have quantified the additional costs, what will these be? 5. At the time of receiving this request, have you been notified by your card payment processor about the upcoming reductions to charges for processing face-to-face MasterCard consumer card transactions? 6. Has your card payment processor confirmed that they will pass on to you the cost savings for MasterCard face-to-face consumer card transactions? 7. When you receive invoices for card payment processing, which of the following do you verify: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The total receipts? b. The rate applied to each transaction / card type – as against the contract? c. The mathematics e.g. Visa consumer transaction value £50,000 charged at 1.2% = £600.00? 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We consider the requested information to be exempt under Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act. Any correspondence, information relating or connected to the commercial relationship between the Council and our partnership, including, but not limited to, the notification letter on Visa's forthcoming changes to debit card transaction charges; and in particular any statement or any other pricing information relating to or connected with our contractual relationship with our partners is deemed as Confidential Information. Therefore disclosure of this information could potentially result in an actionable breach of confidence should the release of this information go on to result in actions, to the detriment of the parties involved.</p> <p>Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states: "41. (1) Information is exempt information if – (a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and (b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.</p> <p>Furthermore, the information requested in relation to the statement or any other pricing information to be commercially sensitive and therefore withhold it under section 43 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA). Under Section 43, information is exempt from disclosure if releasing it would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).</p> <p>The information requested relates to the specific negotiated rates between the Council and external providers. We consider that in disclosing the full</p>

		<p>d. None of the above?</p> <p>8. Who is your current card payment processing provider?</p> <p>9. When does your current contract with your card processing provider become due for renewal?</p> <p>10. Please can you attach a recent statement from your card processing provider.</p> <p>11. Have you ever suffered from a data security breach?</p> <p>12. At the time of receiving this request, can you confirm that all your systems are fully compliant with PCI DSS (Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard) and that this confirmation is based on the fact that the council has conducted formal assessment as per the PCI DSS protocol?</p>	<p>management fees and costs of these financial agreements, would be likely to weaken the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations. This could potentially affect the council's income and budget and essentially, the availability of financial resources for residents and in the delivery of the Council's services.</p> <p>In considering the public interest test the London Borough of Newham has regard to the benefits of maintaining a healthy bidding position during any procurement process, the need to attract a wide range of bidders confident with the way in which the Council would handle their information and how this may affect the Council's bargaining position during future contractual negotiations.</p> <p>We acknowledge that the public interest is served by promoting transparency in the accountability of public funds, ensuring that public money is being used effectively and that the Council is getting value for money when entering into commercial transactions with companies. On the other hand, however, we recognise and consider that there is a greater public interest in maintaining confidentiality and protecting commercially sensitive information, release of which could damage commercial status in future negotiations, including that of the London Borough of Newham. As such, we consider that maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.</p>
22426	10/03/2015	<p>Subject: Boleyn RPZ</p> <p>1. How many dwellings, houses/flats , are in the 20 avenues, closes, mews and roads?.</p> <p>2. How many people live in the 20 avenues, closes, mews and roads?, (an estimate).</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. A total of 2242 households have been identified and forwarded consultation packs in the requested area.</p> <p>2. We do not hold an accurate estimate of the number of people currently living in this particular sub-ward area.</p>
22427	11/03/2015	<p>Subject: College of Social Work</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

	<p>1. Does the local authority currently have corporate membership of the College of Social Work? If the local authority does not have corporate membership of the College of Social Work, has it ever had corporate membership? If so, when did this membership end? The following questions only apply if the local authority has corporate membership. There are no further questions if the local authority does not have membership.</p> <p>2. When did the local authority become a corporate member of the College of Social Work?</p> <p>3. Does the local authority's corporate membership of the College of Social Work cover both children and adult social services?</p> <p>4. How many of the local authority's social workers have the option to become College of Social Work members through the corporate membership?</p> <p>5. Are the local authority's social workers automatically signed up for college membership? If yes, how many have opted decline membership of the college? If not, how many have signed up for membership of the college?</p> <p>6. Does the council provide college membership to its social workers for free via its corporate membership or does it offer membership via 'salary sacrifice' (i.e. the cost is deducted from social worker salaries)?</p>	<p>1. Does the local authority currently have corporate membership of the College of Social Work? If the local authority does not have corporate membership of the College of Social Work, has it ever had corporate membership? If so, when did this membership end?- the following questions only apply if the local authority has corporate membership. There are no further questions if the local authority does not have membership.</p> <p>The local authority does have a corporate membership to the College of Social Work.</p> <p>2. When did the local authority become a corporate member of the College of Social Work?</p> <p>In the 2014-15 financial year.</p> <p>3. Does the local authority's corporate membership of the College of Social Work cover both children and adult social services?</p> <p>Yes it covers both Adult and Children's Social Work.</p> <p>4. How many of the local authority's social workers have the option to become College of Social Work members through the corporate membership?</p> <p>All Qualified social workers have this option.</p> <p>5. Are the local authority's social workers automatically signed up for college membership? If yes, how many have opted decline membership of the college? If not, how many have signed up for membership of the college?</p> <p>Social Workers are not automatically signed up. We currently have 66 staff from Children's Services signed up for college membership and we have 62 signed up from Adults Services.</p>
--	---	--

			<p>6. Does the council provide college membership to its social workers for free via its corporate membership or does it offer membership via 'salary sacrifice' (i.e. the cost is deducted from social worker salaries)?</p> <p>It is offered free via the corporate membership.</p>
22425	05/03/2015	<p>Subject: Polish Children</p> <p>Further to our earlier years I would like to approach you again to get an update on the number of Polish-speaking children in your schools, encompassing first schools, high schools and special schools, and where possible, academies.</p> <p>You may remember that the Federation of Poles in Great Britain have asked for these figures in previous years and it is a good guideline for the Federation's membership organizations, such as the Polish Education Committee, in targeting their extra Saturday schools and also our youth and sports activities in London.</p> <p>There is also the need to establish the appropriate statistics in view of the unexpected decision announced by AXA to abolish Polish A levels after 2017.</p> <p>I enclose the statistics submitted by yourselves last year and hope you will not have too much difficulty obtaining the updated figures for this spring.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our current figure for the number of Polish speaking school children in the borough is 926.</p>
22436	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: ICT Server</p> <p>I would like to submit a Freedom of Information Request relating to specific ICT contract(s) for Server Hardware Maintenance, Server Virtualisation License and Maintenance and Storage Area Network Maintenance/Support which may include:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>A. Hewlett Packard Ltd B. Part of Microsoft Enterprise Contract April 2014 to March 2017, value approximately £700K p.a. includes Premier Support. C. In-house D. Hewlett Packard Ltd</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Server Hardware Maintenance- contract relating to the support and maintenance of the's organisations servers. • Virtualisation Licensing (VMware, Solaris, Unix, Linux, Windows Server)- • Virtualisation Maintenance/Support (VMware, Solaris, Unix, Linux, Windows Server) • Storage Area Network Maintenance/Support (EMC, NetApp etc) <p>For each of the types of server ICT contracts above can you please send me the following data types:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contract Title: 2. Contract Type: Please input one the type of contract from above e.g. Hardware Maintenance, Virtualisation Licensing, Virtualisation Maintenance/Support, Storage Area Network Maintenance 3. Existing/Current Supplier: 4. Hardware Brand: Please state the hardware or software brand related to the contract with supplier e.g. Hardware Maintenance could be Dell, IBM etc 5. Operating System / Software(Platform): (Windows, Linux, Unix, VMWare etc.) the brand name relating to the contract. 6. Annual Average Spend: (For the whole duration of the contract, if the total value sent is per annum please state this in the response) 7. Contract Duration: (Please can you also include notes if the contract includes any contract extension periods.) 8. Contract Expiry Date: 9. Contract Review Date: (An approximate date of when the organisation is planning to review this particular contract.) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HP Hardware onsite support 2. Hardware Maintenance 3. Hewlett Packard Ltd 4. HP 5. Windows Server 6. Annual cost £23,702.17, which covers all servers and storage infrastructure 7. Year 8. 31/10/2015 9. August 2015 10. HP Hardware onsite support covering servers and storage 11. Gary Sussex, ICT Service Manager, 0208 430 2000, gary.sussex@newham.gov.uk. Please note, Newham Council does ask that its staff are not sent any unsolicited promotional or other sales materials/communications or added to any such mailing lists. Our staff are very busy and any procurement activity is completed by the Council on a corporate basis.
--	---	--

		<p>10. Brief Contract Description: I require a brief description of the service provided under this contract.</p> <p>11. Internal Contact: (The person from within the organisation that is responsible for reviewing and renewing this particular contract. Please include there full name, job title, direct contact number and direct email address.)</p> <p>If there is more than one supplier for these contract can you please split the contract individually for each supplier. So the information above which I am requesting is for each supplier.</p> <p>If this service is part of a managed contract please can you send me the contract information for this managed service including Hardware Brand, Number of Users, Operating System, and contact details of the internal contact responsible for this contract.</p>	
22435	03/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>Please could you provide me with some information under the Freedom of Information Act in respect of business rates accounts that are currently in credit, to include the following details:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Account name •Address of property •Correspondence address •Amount of credit •Period for which the credit relates •Date on which the credit was raised on your system •Date on which the business rates account was closed, if applicable <p>Please could you provide this information in an excel spreadsheet at your earliest convenience.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the rateable value. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of</p>

			<p>the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The general information requested in relation to business rates accounts is already accessible through the information publicly available on the Valuation Office Agency website. Please see the relevant web link below: http://www.voa.gov.uk</p>
22428	11/03/2015	<p>Subject: Stray Dogs</p> <p>1)In each of the following periods, how many stray dogs did the Council handle?</p> <p>From 1 October to 31 December 2013 From 1 January to 31 March 2014 From 1 April to 30 June 2014 From 1 July to 30 September 2014 From 1 October to 31 December 2014</p> <p>2)In each of the quarterly periods described above, how many of the stray dogs that were handled by the Council:</p> <p>a)Did you return to the owner?</p> <p>b)Did you dispose of by selling or giving to a dog rescue establishment?</p> <p>c)Did you dispose of by selling or giving to a commercial dog pound establishment?</p> <p>d)Did you dispose of by selling or giving to person(s)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. In each of the following periods, how many stray dogs did the Council handle?</p> <p>From 1 October to 31 December 2013 - 61 dogs From 1 January to 31 March 2014 - 58 dogs From 1 April to 30 June 2014 - 56 dogs From 1 July to 30 September 2014 - 49 dogs From 1 October to 31 December 2014 - 41 dogs</p> <p>2. In each of the quarterly periods described above, how many of the stray dogs that were handled by the Council:</p> <p>a) Did you return to the owner?</p> <p>From 1 October to 31 December 2013 - 20 dogs From 1 January to 31 March 2014 - 27 dogs From 1 April to 30 June 2014 - 40 dogs From 1 July to 30 September 2014 - 40 dogs From 1 October to 31 December 2014 - 20 dogs</p> <p>b) Did you dispose of by selling or giving to a dog rescue establishment?</p>

		<p>who in your opinion would care properly for them?</p> <p>e)Did you dispose of by means of euthanasia?</p>	<p>We only take dogs to rescues, we do not receive any payment from rescues.</p> <p>From 1 October to 31 December 2013 - 34 dogs From 1 January to 31 March 2014 - 33 dogs From 1 April to 30 June 2014 - 21 dogs From 1 July to 30 September 2014 - 16 dogs From 1 October to 31 December 2014 - 12 dogs</p> <p>c) Did you dispose of by selling or giving to a commercial dog pound establishment?</p> <p>Not applicable. We only use rescues.</p> <p>d) Did you dispose of by selling or giving to person(s) who in your opinion would care properly for them?</p> <p>We do rehome dogs directly sometimes but this is infrequent.</p> <p>From 1 October to 31 December 2013 - 0 From 1 January to 31 March 2014 - 1 dog From 1 April to 30 June 2014 - 1 dog From 1 July to 30 September 2014 - 0 From 1 October to 31 December 2014 - 0</p> <p>e) Did you dispose of by means of euthanasia? Yes. We do not hold accurate figures as these numbers include other species and dogs euthanized under The Dangerous Dogs Act 1991, as well as those relating to aggression.</p>
22430	11/03/2015	<p>Subject: Domestic Violence Budget</p> <p>I would like to know the budget the following services for financial years 2010-2011, 2011-2012,2012-2013,2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>I would like to know the budget the following services for financial years 2010-2011, 2011-2012,2012-2013,2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16</p>

		<p>Domestic violence services for perpetrators Domestic violence services for men</p>	<p>Domestic violence services for perpetrators</p> <p>We do not have any domestic violence services for perpetrators.</p> <p>Domestic violence services for men</p> <p>All Domestic Violence services commissioned by the London Borough of Newham are available to men.</p> <p>The budget for each financial year is as follows.</p> <table data-bbox="1106 523 1529 671"> <tr> <td>2010-11</td> <td>-</td> <td>£1,009,331</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011-12</td> <td>-</td> <td>£593,201</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-13</td> <td>-</td> <td>£593,201</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td>-</td> <td>£907,628</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014-15</td> <td>-</td> <td>£832,000</td> </tr> </table>	2010-11	-	£1,009,331	2011-12	-	£593,201	2012-13	-	£593,201	2013-14	-	£907,628	2014-15	-	£832,000
2010-11	-	£1,009,331																
2011-12	-	£593,201																
2012-13	-	£593,201																
2013-14	-	£907,628																
2014-15	-	£832,000																
22442	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: Private Landlords</p> <p>The number of private landlords prosecuted (in any capacity) during the year(s) 2012/13.</p> <p>Additionally if you could supply me with the outcomes of any successful prosecution – that is to say, any fines, jail time, etc., - please may I receive that information as well.</p> <p>Finally, if you have any details about any cost recovery that the council made in this instance, please do include them as it would be very appreciated!</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q. I have an information request that I am hoping you can help me with, in regards to the number of private landlords prosecuted (in any capacity) during the year(s) 2012/13.</p> <p>A total of 85 private landlords were prosecuted during 2012/13.</p> <p>Q: Additionally if you could supply me with the outcomes of any successful prosecution – that is to say, any fines, jail time, etc., - please may I receive that information as well.</p> <p>Please see the attached spreadsheet which details the information which we have been able to recover from our computerised systems in respect of the outcomes of the prosecutions during the requested year.</p> <p>Q: Finally, if you have any details about any cost recovery that the council made in this instance, please do include them.</p> <p>We do not hold this information. The recovery of fines and costs are not</p>															

			undertaken by the Council but by Her Majesty's Courts and Tribunal Service.								
22437	11/03/2015	<p>Subject: Housing Allocation Policy</p> <p>1) what was the average waiting time in 2014 for people with Priority home seeker status to get a) a two bedroom house? and b) to get a two bedroom flat?</p> <p>2) what was the average waiting time in 2014 for families with priority home seeker status to get a) a three bedroom flat? and b) a three bedroom house?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the average waiting times of those applicants who were allocated a two or three bedroom properties in 2014.</p> <p>We do not record or report on data relating to different waiting times between houses and flats.</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Bedroom</th> <th>Total number of Households housed 13/14</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2">Overall Average Waiting Time (years)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Bed</td> <td>322 4.82</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 Bed</td> <td>252 7.74</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>It should be noted that this figures are for guidance purposes only. Actual individual waiting times can vary due to changes in the size and make up of the housing register as well as by the number of and type of properties available and the priority of other applicants registered. Average waiting times can vary from year to year.</p>	Bedroom	Total number of Households housed 13/14	Overall Average Waiting Time (years)		2 Bed	322 4.82	3 Bed	252 7.74
Bedroom	Total number of Households housed 13/14										
Overall Average Waiting Time (years)											
2 Bed	322 4.82										
3 Bed	252 7.74										
22438	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Care Statistics</p> <p>1. How many children were taken into care (on either a temporary or permanent basis) in your district, because of fears that they may be becoming radicalised/influenced by radical, Muslim parents or guardians, in:</p> <p>a) 2012 b) 2013</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many children were taken into care (on either a temporary or permanent basis) in your district, because of fears that they may be becoming radicalised/influenced by radical, Muslim parents or guardians, in:</p> <p>a) 2012 b) 2013 c) 2014</p> <p>We do not record this information as a primary factor in the course of care</p>								

		<p>c) 2014</p> <p>2. How many children in total were taken into care (on either a temporary or permanent basis) in your district in:</p> <p>a) 2012 b) 2013 c) 2014</p>	<p>proceedings.</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham records a number of descriptive factors as the basis for admitting children into care, recorded on our systems. The specific terms used are not primary factors which would be inputted through our computer systems.</p> <p>It is therefore not possible to draw information in a reporting format from our computer systems as to whether the primary contributory factor of the influence placed on children, may have been considered in initiating care proceedings. It is not possible to contain this level of detail in our reporting computer systems nor is there an available search facility from which a report may be drawn.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file for each of the care proceedings which have commenced in the last three years to determine as to whether the requested influence of beliefs may have been cited at any point in the history of the case. Each case file varies in both complexity and volume of documentation. A thorough reading and review of each file would be required to definitively identify as to whether this factor had been cited at any point in the proceedings. Such manual retrieval of information would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
--	--	---	--

			<p>2. How many children in total were taken into care (on either a temporary or permanent basis) in your district in:</p> <p>a) 2012 b) 2013 c) 2014</p> <p>2012 - 686 individuals. 2013 - 672 individuals. 2014 - 686 individuals.</p>
22440	11/03/2015	<p>Subject: Taxi Licenses</p> <p>The data the council holds regarding the recorded ethnicity of those licenced to drive taxis and private hire vehicles from the years 2004 - 2014, or as many of those years as is available.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold this information.</p> <p>All taxi and private hire licensing within London is completed centrally by Transport for London. Your request should therefore be re-directed to TfL.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web link below https://www.tfl.gov.uk/info-for/taxis-and-private-hire/</p>
22446	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How much arrears does your housing department have outstanding on garages in the last two years? • How much arrears does your housing department have outstanding on homes in the last two years? • How many garage evictions have there been in the last two years? <p>How many residents have been evicted from their council homes in the last two years?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>For your request in questions 1 and 2, we have compared arrears figures as at 24/02/2013 to 22/02/2015</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Garage arrears (as at 24/02/2013) = £7,083.23 Garage arrears (as at 22/02/2015) = £8,176.24 2. Residential Arrears (as at 24/02/2013) = £2,046,869.51 Residential Arrears (as at 22/02/2015) = £1,986,989.37 3. Garages re-possessed since 24/2/2013 = 50 4. Evictions of residential for rent arrears since 24/02/2013 = 116

22444	03/03/2015	<p>Subject: Planning Permission</p> <p>I would like to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act for details concerning the granting of planning permission by Newham Council. More specifically I would like information from the last ten years, broken down by year detailing the numbers of applications that are granted and the number that are denied for each year.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>This information is available from the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) website: https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-applications-statistics</p> <p>All planning applications are also searchable on the Newham Council website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/View-and-comment-on-planning-applications.aspx</p>
22447	10/03/2015	<p>Subject: Parking Fines</p> <p>I am looking for information on the total number of parking tickets (penalty charge notices) distributed in each of the areas/towns/villages under your jurisdiction for the year 2014.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Penalty Charge Notices (PCN) issued in the London Borough of Newham in the year of 2014 is 171,722.</p>
22449	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: Teachers With Convictions</p> <p>Please provide the number of teachers in your local authority that have criminal convictions for each year 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015?</p> <p>Please also provide the number of teachers in local authority schools that have criminal convictions for each year 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nil 2. Nil
22450	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Domestic Violence</p> <p>Please provide the total budget to be spend on Domestic Violence/Sexual Violence issues for the years 2010,2011,2012,2013,2014 and 2015?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The budget for each financial year for work relating to Domestic Violence is as follows:</p> <p>2010-11 - £1,009,331 2011-12 - £593,201 2012-13 - £593,201</p>

			2013-14 - £907,628 2014-15 - £832,000
22451	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Councillors</p> <p>Please list the number of Councillors who are registered as landlords? Please breakdown the number of Councillors who are registered as landlords by Political Party.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>This information is already available in the public domain, in the Councillors' declarations of interest, which are available on the Newham website.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web link below; https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgMemberIndex.aspx?bcr=1</p> <p>The information includes the properties in which Councillors own an interest and the nature of that interest.</p> <p>All Councillors in the borough are affiliated with the Labour Party.</p>
22445	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Planning</p> <p>Are internal costs of defending the Authority at planning appeal held? If these costs are held, what are they for the following years? 2010/2011: 2011/2012: 2012/2013: 2013/2014:</p> <p>Are external costs related to defending the Authority at planning appeal held? If these costs are held, what are they for the following years? 2010/2011: 2011/2012:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) No 2) N/A 3) No 4) The overall budget for the 'Planning Department', comprising of Local Development Framework, Spatial Planning Enforcement, Strategy & Investment, and Development Control for the specified years is as follows: 2010/2011 = £3,898,000 2011/2012 = £3,007,100 2012/2013 = £2,832,300 2013/2014 = £1,773,000 5)</p>

		<p>2012/2013: 2013/2014:</p> <p>What has been the overall budget for the Authority Planning Department over the following years? 2010/2011: 2011/2012: 2012/2013: 2013/2014:</p> <p>Does the local authority enter into planning performance agreements with external organisations? If yes, what has been the contribution towards the local authority Planning Department in cash terms over the following years? 2010/2011: 2011/2012: 2012/2013: 2013/2014:</p> <p>Has the Authority made any estimate of funds lost as a result of unmet Section 106 contributions as a result of development proposals being passed at appeal?</p>	<p>a) Newham Council does enter into Planning Performance Agreements with external organisations.</p> <p>b) 2010/2011= £3,040 2011/2012 = £150,160 2012/2013 = £161,266 2013/2014 = £279,065</p> <p>6) The Authority has not undertaken this exercise</p>
22456	09/03/2015	<p>Subject: NDR rating assessments</p> <p>1. The name of the ratepayer/s during the period quoted. 2. Any exempt, void or charitable relief periods during the period quoted.</p> <p>a) 6 & 7 Thameside Ind Estate, Factory Road, London, E16 2HB – BA Ref: 00000420327051 Period – 01/04/00 to 31/03/05</p> <p>b) Unit 6 Thameside Ind Estate, factory Road, London,</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>For property: 6 & 7 Thameside Ind Estate, Factory Road, London, E16 2HB – BA Ref: 00000420327051 Period – 01/04/00 to 31/03/05</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 01/04/00 to 31/03/01, E Higgs (Air Agency Ltd), property occupied for this period. No exempt, void or charitable relief applied. - 01/04/01 to 30/01/05, Higgs International Ltd, Property occupied for this period. No exempt, void or charitable relief applied. - 31/01/05 to 31/03/05, Tate & Lyle, property occupied for this period. No exempt, void or charitable relief applied.

		<p>E16 2HB – BA Ref: 00000420327101 Period – 01/04/05 to 31/03/10</p>	<p>For property: Unit 6 Thameside Ind Estate, factory Road, London, E16 2HB – BA Ref: 00000420327101 Period – 01/04/05 to 31/03/10</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 01/04/05 to 16/11/05, no occupier, property vacant for this period. Void relief applied. - 17/11/05 to 31/03/10, Crisis UK, property occupied for this period. Mandatory Charity relief applied.
22455	03/03/2015	<p>Subject: Finance and Procurement Contacts</p> <p>Full Name Actual Job Title Contact Number Direct Email Address</p> <p>Head of Procurement Head of Category Management</p> <p>ICT Category Manager Fleet Category Manager Utilities Category Manager</p> <p>Insurance Category Manager</p> <p>Finance Contacts</p> <p>Can you please send me contact details of people from within the Council responsible for savings within the organisations?</p> <p>Full Name Actual Job Title Contact Number Direct Email Address</p> <p>Director of Finance Efficiency Manager Savings Manager Budget Saving Manager</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheet.</p> <p>Please note, Newham Council does ask that its staff are not sent any unsolicited promotional or other sales materials/communications or added to any such mailing lists. Our staff are very busy and any procurement activity is completed by the Council on a corporate basis.</p>

22454	26/03/2015	<p>Subject: Educational Psychologists</p> <p>How many educational psychologists were employed by the LA in each of the following financial years: (a) 2009-10, (b) 2010-11, (c) 2011-12, (d) 2012-13, (e) 2013-14, and (f) 2014-15 if known</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the information held below in respect of the number of educational psychologists employed for the local authority for the requested years.</p> <p>2009-10 = Information not held. 2010-11 = 7 (full time equivalent) 2011-12 = 7 (full time equivalent) 2012-13 = 7.6 (full time equivalent) 2013-14 = 7.6 (full time equivalent) 2014-15 = 7 (full time equivalent)</p>																																																																						
22453	12/03/2015	<p>Subject: Fifty Shades of Grey</p> <p>Please could you provide me with the number of times any edition of any of the Fifty Shades of Grey books by EL James has been borrowed from your libraries. Please breakdown the number of times the books have been borrowed by individual library.</p> <p>Please provide either, the number of issues by library the book was borrowed from (so after it has been transferred from other libraries in the system) or the number of times each book has been borrowed by library that holds the copy, as well as the number of times a book has been transferred, broken down by library it was transferred to - this will obviously depend on how your system records borrowing.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the information below which the library book issue systems used has been able to recover.</p> <p>The information provided relates to the number of issues of the requested books since the start of 2012.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1106 922 1973 1353"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="3">Number of Issues</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>Fifty shades darker</th> <th>Fifty shades freed</th> <th></th> <th>Fifty Shades of</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Grey</td> <td>Grand Total</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Newham Library</td> <td>128</td> <td>144</td> <td>268</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Beckton Globe Library</td> <td>18</td> <td>26</td> <td>44</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Canning Town Library</td> <td>1</td> <td>17</td> <td>62</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>East Ham CSC and Library</td> <td>17</td> <td>15</td> <td>7</td> <td>39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Green Street Library</td> <td>18</td> <td>12</td> <td>58</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Library At The Gate</td> <td>15</td> <td>34</td> <td>71</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Manor Park Library</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>75</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Newham Last Copy Store</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Newham Support Services</td> <td></td> <td>18</td> <td>22</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stratford Library</td> <td>31</td> <td>89</td> <td>120</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>North Woolwich Library</td> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Number of Issues					Fifty shades darker	Fifty shades freed		Fifty Shades of	Grey	Grand Total				Newham Library	128	144	268		Beckton Globe Library	18	26	44		Canning Town Library	1	17	62		East Ham CSC and Library	17	15	7	39	Green Street Library	18	12	58		Library At The Gate	15	34	71		Manor Park Library		6	75		Newham Last Copy Store			9	9	Newham Support Services		18	22	40	Stratford Library	31	89	120		North Woolwich Library	10		10	
	Number of Issues																																																																								
	Fifty shades darker	Fifty shades freed		Fifty Shades of																																																																					
Grey	Grand Total																																																																								
Newham Library	128	144	268																																																																						
Beckton Globe Library	18	26	44																																																																						
Canning Town Library	1	17	62																																																																						
East Ham CSC and Library	17	15	7	39																																																																					
Green Street Library	18	12	58																																																																						
Library At The Gate	15	34	71																																																																						
Manor Park Library		6	75																																																																						
Newham Last Copy Store			9	9																																																																					
Newham Support Services		18	22	40																																																																					
Stratford Library	31	89	120																																																																						
North Woolwich Library	10		10																																																																						

			<p>Custom House Library 12 12</p> <p>Grand Total 128 144 268</p>
22452	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: RIPA</p> <p>1.How much money was spent by your local authority on each RIPA authorised operation? Please provide the expenditure on each operation. If calculating each separate operation would lead to this request being rejected due to time limitations please provide the total spent on all operations.</p> <p>2.The number of days each operation lasted. If calculating this would lead to this request being rejected due to the time it would take please provide the start date and end date for each operation. If the operation is still active please state how many days so far it has been running up until the date this request was received.</p> <p>3.How many of these operations were partially or fully undertaken by private companies. If private companies were deployed to assist or carry out operational work please name each company involved.</p> <p>4.The number of employees or contracted workers involved in each operation.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How much money was spent by your local authority on each RIPA authorised operation? Please provide the expenditure on each operation. If calculating each separate operation would lead to this request being rejected due to time limitations please provide the total spent on all operations.</p> <p>We do not hold this information. We do not specifically record the expenditure by each investigation, separate from service expenditure as a whole. Similarly we do not have a total recorded under the heading of RIPA investigations as it would not be possible to segregate the spend from other work completed by officers which would be undertaken alongside and running concurrently to any investigations.</p> <p>2. The number of days each operation lasted. If calculating this would lead to this request being rejected due to the time it would take please provide the start date and end date for each operation. If the operation is still active please state how many days so far it has been running up until the date this request was received.</p> <p>We do not hold this information. We do not specifically record the time spent on each operation and it would be impossible to collate this from the preparatory and post work undertaken by officers. To put this into perspective it may be useful to note that the vast majority of RIPA usage in the Council has been in relation to test purchases - i.e. an officer or volunteer goes into a shop and buys an item (usually alcohol) to prove the shop are selling outside of their hours. The operation itself may be seen as being only five minutes long but this would not take into account the preparatory and post-visit work undertaken.</p>

			<p>3. How many of these operations were partially or fully undertaken by private companies. If private companies were deployed to assist or carry out operational work please name each company involved.</p> <p>Private companies were not used for these operations.</p> <p>4. The number of employees or contracted workers involved in each operation.</p> <p>We do not hold this information. Please see our response to Question 1.</p>
22459	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: Request for enforcement papers</p> <p>Request for enforcement papers relating to pending Court case</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The case which you are requesting documents for is due to be heard in Court on 19th March 2015. As part of this prosecution case, a full schedule of disclosure and witness statements from the Council has been served on the defence. As a result you will already be in possession of all information that we can disclose relating to these enforcement cases, primarily contained with the Council's witness statements.</p> <p>With reference to the other information you have requested, this is exempt under the Freedom of Information Act under Section 30.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request in regards to providing additional information other than that which you are entitled to ahead of the impending Court case. The data requested relates to information obtained in the course to investigations conducted by the public authority.</p> <p>We consider that these inspections undertaken by the Council to be as a part of the regulatory powers conferred on the authority to ensure compliance with the law and to determine as to whether any further</p>

			<p>enforcement action should be taken by the authority to ensure compliance with the relevant legislation. To this effect we believe it is in the public interest that the Council is able to conduct its investigations independently and in the exercise of these functions, such information relevant to those investigations should not be released into the public domain. We consider that disclosure of the information will inhibit the Council's ability to conduct its investigations in a fair, thorough and effective manner.</p> <p>Therefore, under the exemption quoted above, we maintain it is in the public's interest not to release the information requested as it could adversely prejudice the conduct of any pending or future investigations and/or prosecutions of any inspections carried out if such information were routinely released into the public domain.</p>																		
22471	26/03/2015	<p>Subject: Social Care Charges</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act, I am writing to request that you fill in the attached questionnaire about social care charges in your area.</p> <p>For 2009/10, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14, 2014/15:</p> <p>1. What rate does/ did the Council use to assess the hourly cost of domiciliary care when calculating the contribution people need to make to the costs of their care?</p> <p>2. Did/ does the Council provide community transport for older and disabled people?</p> <p>3.1 How does/did the Council charge adults for community transport?</p> <p>(a) Separate charge (y/n) (b) Incorporated within agreed care package (y/n)</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. What rate does/ did the Council use to assess the hourly cost of domiciliary care when calculating the contribution people need to make to the costs of their care?</p> <table> <tr> <td>2009/10</td> <td>-</td> <td>no charge</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td>-</td> <td>no charge</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td>-</td> <td>no charge</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>-</td> <td>£11.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>-</td> <td>£11.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15</td> <td>-</td> <td>£11.62</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Did/ does the Council provide community transport for older and disabled people?</p> <p>2009/10 - yes 2010/11 - yes 2011/12 - yes 2012/13 - yes 2013/14 - yes 2014/15 - yes</p>	2009/10	-	no charge	2010/11	-	no charge	2011/12	-	no charge	2012/13	-	£11.62	2013/14	-	£11.62	2014/15	-	£11.62
2009/10	-	no charge																			
2010/11	-	no charge																			
2011/12	-	no charge																			
2012/13	-	£11.62																			
2013/14	-	£11.62																			
2014/15	-	£11.62																			

		<p>3.2 If there is/ was a separate charge for community transport, how much does/ did the Council charge adults for a return journey?</p> <p>4. Does/ did your Council operate a maximum weekly charge for non-residential adult care, if so what is/ was it?</p>	<p>3. (1) How does/did the Council charge adults for community transport?</p> <p>2009/10 - no charge 2010/11 - no charge 2011/12 - no charge</p> <p>Charging was implemented during 2012/13 and was incorporated within agreed care packages.</p> <p>3. (2) If there is/ was a separate charge for community transport, how much does/ did the Council charge adults for a return journey?</p> <p>Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 3 (1)</p> <p>4. Does/ did your Council operate a maximum weekly charge for non-residential adult care, if so what is/ was it?</p> <p>2009/10 - no charge 2010/11 - no charge 2011/12 - no charge 2012/13 - Maximum weekly charge of £200 per week 2013/14 - Maximum weekly charge of £200 per week 2014/15 - Maximum weekly charge of £200 per week</p>
22470	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Empty Council-owned properties</p> <p>1. How many council-owned properties are currently empty or derelict?</p> <p>2. Please provide a list of all council-owned properties that are currently empty or derelict. If possible, this should include the address or postcode of each property.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. There are 537 residential Council owned properties which have been unoccupied for longer than 6 months. Of these, 473 are to be demolished. Please note long term voids can remain empty for extensive periods not just because they are awaiting demolition but also due to conversions and sizable renovation work. Also, a number of properties form part of the Council's Shared Equity Scheme approved by the Mayor in September 2013. The properties are recorded as void for internal administrative processing prior to open market sale. The properties are currently being made ready for marketing and/or awaiting exchange of contract sale.</p>

			<p>All commercial Council owned properties are listed on the website and are updated periodically: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Commercial-lettings.aspx</p> <p>2. See below for residential properties: E6 - 10 E7 - 2 E11 - 2 E12 - 5 E13 - 14 E15 – 365 of which 340 are to be demolished E16 - 139 of which 133 are to be demolished</p> <p>As above, there is a list on the website which contains the addresses of all empty Commercial properties: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Commercial-lettings.aspx</p>
22472	12/03/2015	<p>Subject: Estates passed to the Treasury Solicitors Department</p> <p>I write to ask under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 for the following :</p> <p>All intestate estates which are being passed or have been passed to the Treasury Solicitors Department Bona Vacantia Division in the last three months. In your response please include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Their last known address • When they died • Value of the estate • Name of the person who has died • Who referred the case to the council 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Having taken into account guidance which the Treasury has issued in respect of such Freedom of Information requests, we would refuse your request for the reasons below.</p> <p>Under Section 21 of the Act, the London Borough of Newham is not required to provide information in response to a request if it is already reasonably accessible to you. The information you requested is available from the Treasury Solicitor's Department Bona Vacantia Division website at www.bonavacantia.gov.uk</p> <p>The Council usually refer estates with a net value of over £500.00 to the Treasury Solicitor's Department. The department would then publish limited information about those valued at over £5,000, unless a claimant comes forward during the period before publication.</p> <p>In addition to this, the information you requested with regard to the date of</p>

birth and last known address are on the death certificate. This information is accessible from the General Register Office at www.gro.gov.uk

Furthermore we rely on Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act. This exemption applies where disclosure would be likely to prejudice a range of investigations and conduct, including the prevention and detection of crime. Releasing information under the Freedom of Information Act is equivalent to publishing it "to the world at large" and so our decision must be based on the likely effect of anyone having access to the requested information. The vast majority of the estates in question contain residential property, which, due to the circumstances of the estate, is likely to be empty, and in many cases will contain assets. Releasing information that identifies, either directly or indirectly, the whereabouts of such properties (and assets) before they have been secured leads to a real and significant risk of theft and fraud. It may also alert unlawful occupiers to the location of empty properties in the borough. This could also interfere with the statutory function to collect bona vacantia (ownerless goods) vested in the Crown and would provide an opportunity for criminal acts to be committed.

With regards to Section 31 above (qualified exemption), a 'public interest test' is required to determine if the exemption is applicable. In applying this test we have considered the following factors:

Factors in favour of disclosure: the general public interest in the promotion of transparency, accountability, public understanding and involvement in the democratic process; the benefits to potential beneficiaries of unclaimed estates of genealogists tracing them down earlier; the resulting likely effect of fewer empty properties in the borough and the commercial benefits to lawyers and genealogists in tracing beneficiaries.

Factors against disclosure: disclosure would increase the risk of fraud and theft towards vulnerable estates, and potentially towards individuals; such fraud and theft would diminish the value of estates, estates that potentially have beneficiaries; releasing information which may lead to the identification of empty properties increases the likelihood of unlawful occupation in the borough

			<p>Whilst there are arguments on each side, we consider that, in the circumstances of the case, the public interest favours withholding this information</p>
22474	12/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>Please can you provide me with the following information under the Freedom Of Information Act 2000 for new business rates liabilities from Oct 2014 to present.</p> <p>Name of the Liable Party Date Liable from The Hereditament Address The Rateable Value The Billing Authority Reference</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the start dates of new accounts from a specific date. Operationally we do not require our systems to be compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to the start date of liability in the requested time frame. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>

22475	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Rough sleepers and homelessness applications</p> <p>1) The number of rough sleepers in the local authority area per quarter for each year from 2010 to the most recent quarter</p> <p>2) The number of homelessness applications in the local authority area per quarter from 2010 to the most recent quarter</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>a. We do not hold this information by quarter. Please see below the annual head count of street sleepers in the borough, which has been recorded as the number identified as of a particular date.</p> <p>Our annual submission to DCLG of rough sleepers, based on criteria set by the Department and published by them is;</p> <p>As of 26th November 2014 a total headcount of 16 street sleepers were identified. As of 1st December 2013 a total headcount of 22 street sleepers were identified. As of 1st December 2012 a total headcount of 55 street sleepers were identified.. As of 1st December 2011 a total headcount of 10 street sleepers were identified. As of 1st December 2010 a total headcount of 7 street sleepers were identified.</p> <p>b. Please see the table below which details the quarterly totals of homelessness applications received by the authority over the past five years.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1106 1015 1749 1230"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Q1</th> <th>Q2</th> <th>Q3</th> <th>Q4</th> <th colspan="2">Grand Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2010/11</td> <td></td> <td>157</td> <td>164</td> <td>147</td> <td>141</td> <td>609</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011/12</td> <td></td> <td>134</td> <td>165</td> <td>128</td> <td>157</td> <td>584</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td></td> <td>262</td> <td>411</td> <td>909</td> <td>842</td> <td>2424</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td></td> <td>804</td> <td>920</td> <td>641</td> <td>673</td> <td>3038</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15</td> <td></td> <td>394</td> <td>423</td> <td>512</td> <td>n/a</td> <td>1329</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grand Total</td> <td></td> <td>1751</td> <td>2083</td> <td>2337</td> <td>1813</td> <td>7984</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Grand Total		2010/11		157	164	147	141	609	2011/12		134	165	128	157	584	2012/13		262	411	909	842	2424	2013/14		804	920	641	673	3038	2014/15		394	423	512	n/a	1329	Grand Total		1751	2083	2337	1813	7984
Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Grand Total																																															
2010/11		157	164	147	141	609																																														
2011/12		134	165	128	157	584																																														
2012/13		262	411	909	842	2424																																														
2013/14		804	920	641	673	3038																																														
2014/15		394	423	512	n/a	1329																																														
Grand Total		1751	2083	2337	1813	7984																																														
22473	26/03/2015	<p>Subject: Spend on Child and Adolescent Mental Health (CAMHS) services</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please can you provide an account of the amount of money spent on</p>																																																	

	<p>1. Please can you provide an account of the amount of money spent on by your Local Authority in the following financial years:</p> <p>a) 2010/11 b) 2011/12 c) 2012/13 d) 2013/14 e) 2014/15 f) 2015/16</p> <p>2. Please can you provide the amount spent by your Local Authority on Child and Adolescent Mental Health services for eating disorders in the following financial years:</p> <p>a) 2010/11 b) 2011/12 c) 2012/13 d) 2013/14 e) 2014/15 f) 2015/16</p> <p>3. Please can you provide the number of referrals to Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services in your Local Authority area in and the number who received treatment for the following years:</p> <p>a) 2010/11 b) 2011/12 c) 2012/13 d) 2013/14 e) 2014/15 f) 2015/16</p>	<p>Child and Adolescent Mental Health by your Local Authority in the following financial years:</p> <p>a) 2010-11 – data not available b) 2011-12 - data not available c) 2012-13 - data not available d) 2013-14 - £1.13m e) 2014-15 - £1.17m f) 2015-16 – data not yet available</p> <p>Please note, financial data is only readily available from the 2013-14 financial year onwards, when Children & Young People’s Services undertook a joint redesign of CAMHS services together with Newham Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) in order to integrate practitioners into our front line teams through a new joint funding agreement and service specification.</p> <p>2. Please can you provide the amount spent by your Local Authority on Child and Adolescent Mental Health services for eating disorders in the following financial years:</p> <p>a) 2010-11 b) 2011-12 c) 2012-13 d) 2013-14 e) 2014-15 f) 2015-16</p> <p>We do not hold data broken down specifically in relation to eating disorders.</p> <p>3. Please can you provide the number of referrals to Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services in your Local Authority area in and the number who received treatment for the following years:</p> <p>a) 2010-11 - data not available. Please see our response at Question 1. b) 2011-12 - data not available c) 2012-13 - data not available</p>
--	---	--

			<p>d) 2013-14 – 1,784 referrals were received. However 2,214 unique users (young people and/or their parents / carers) were seen by a CAMHS practitioner</p> <p>e) 2014-15 – to date 1,242 referrals have been received. However 1,682 unique users (young people and/or their parents / carers) have been seen by a CAMHS practitioner</p> <p>f) 2015-16 - data not yet available</p>
22485	18/03/2015	<p>Subject: Private Equity</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are you still investing in private equity? 2. Do you plan on making any new private equity fund commitments over the next 12 months? 3. If yes, how many funds are you looking to commit to? 4. Do you have any fund type preferences? 5. Do you have any geographical preferences? 6. Will you look to work with new managers, existing managers or a mix of both? 7. How much capital will you look to commit to private equity over the coming year? 8. Are the total assets still at 925 million? 9. Is your current allocation to private equity 50 million? What is your target allocation? 10. Do you consider first-time funds, buying funds on the secondary market and co-investment opportunities? 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are you still investing in private equity? Yes. 2. Do you plan on making any new private equity fund commitments over the next 12 months? No 3. If yes, how many funds are you looking to commit to? Not applicable. 4. Do you have any fund type preferences? No 5. Do you have any geographical preferences? No 6. Will you look to work with new managers, existing managers or a mix of both? No 7. How much capital will you look to commit to private equity over the coming year? £1 million approximately 8. Are the total assets still at 925 million? No 9. Is your current allocation to private equity 50 million? What is your target allocation? Yes, the current allocation remains at £50 million. 10. Do you consider first-time funds, buying funds on the secondary market and co-investment opportunities? No

		11. How much do you typically look to commit per private equity investment?	11. How much do you typically look to commit per private equity investment? It is within the overall limit of £50million that is allocated for private equity.
22495	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Children and Young people with Autism</p> <p>1. Whether the Authority publishes analysis of the numbers of children with special educational needs and disabilities in its school place planning report?</p> <p>Yes/No</p> <p>2. Whether this analysis includes numbers of children with special educational needs by type of primary need?</p> <p>Yes/ No</p> <p>3. If available, please provide a link to the published analysis referred to above.</p> <p>4. A breakdown to show, by educational setting, the number of children whose Statement of Special Educational Needs or Education Health and Care Plan is maintained by your authority which lists autism as the primary need from 2011 to date. We would request that the breakdown be provided as at the commencement of each calendar year (i.e. from January 2011 to January 2015 inclusive) show coverage across the recognised setting types (i.e .mainstream school; autism unit in a mainstream school; maintained special school; non maintained special school ; independent special school; early Years Setting; Pupil Referral Unit; Home Education ;</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Whether the Authority publishes analysis of the numbers of children with special educational needs and disabilities in its school place planning report? Yes</p> <p>2. Whether this analysis includes numbers of children with special educational needs by type of primary need? No</p> <p>3. If available, please provide a link to the published analysis referred to above.</p> <p>An overview of the placements can be found in the Census Information already publicly available on the Department for Education website. For your reference please see the relevant web link below https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/statistics-special-educational-needs-sen</p> <p>4. A breakdown to show, by educational setting, the number of children whose Statement of Special Educational Needs or Education Health and Care Plan is maintained by your authority which lists autism as the primary need from 2011 to date. We would request that the breakdown be provided as at the commencement of each calendar year (i.e. from January 2011 to January 2015 inclusive) show coverage across the recognised setting types (i.e .mainstream school; autism unit in a mainstream school; maintained special school; non maintained special school ; independent special school; early Years Setting; Pupil Referral Unit; Home Education ; Other (please specify); General Further Education College; Independent Specialist College)</p>

		<p>Other (please specify); General Further Education College; Independent Specialist College)</p>	<p>Unfortunately it is not possible to draw the level of detail you have requested in a reporting format from our computer systems in respect of autism being noted as the primary need on Statements of Special Educational Needs and the type of educational setting. It is not possible to contain this level of detail in our reporting computer systems nor is there an available search facility from which a report may be drawn to accurately provide the number you have requested. Additionally over the requested timeframe our systems have changed and been updated and therefore the systems would not be capable of reconciling figures cross-systems and so to ensure accurate figures we would be required to manually recover this information.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file for each child who holds a Statement over the last four years to determine as to whether autism was cited as the primary need and confirm the educational setting. Each individual file varies in both complexity and volume of documentation. A thorough reading and review of each file would be required to definitively identify the requested information. Such manual retrieval of information would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
22491	16/03/2015	Subject: Planning Permission fines	Summary:

		<p>1. I would like to know how many residents have been fined for failure to apply for planning permission when renting out their homes for less than 90 days in 2014, 2013 and 2012. This is under section 25 of the Greater London Council (General Powers) Act 1973.</p> <p>2. Could you also tell me how much each fine was for</p> <p>3. How many cases were related to using websites such as Airbnb, Gumtree etc designed for short term lets</p>	<p>1. Nil 2. N/A 3. Nil</p>
22484	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Children in Care/ Foster placement</p> <p>1. In the 2014 calendar year how many times has the council taken action to take children away from foster parents because of concerns for the safety of the child ie at risk? In each case provide a brief description as to what those concerns were</p> <p>2. In the 2014 calendar year how many girls were taken into care because they were indulging in sexual activity which was deemed to be placing them at risk? Please provide their ages</p> <p>3. In the 2014 calendar year how many girls were taken from a foster placement and moved into a secure foster home because they were indulging in sexual activity which was deemed to be placing them at risk? Please provide their ages</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1-2. The London Borough of Newham records a number of descriptive factors on our systems. Unfortunately the headings you have requested in respect of looked after children being removed from their foster carers on safety concerns and children taken into care due to sexual activity are not specific terms, under which information is inputted through our computer systems.</p> <p>It is therefore not possible to draw information in a reporting format from our computer systems as to the number of these cases which may or may not have occurred. It is not possible to contain this level of detail in our reporting computer systems nor is there an available search facility from which a report may be drawn.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information it would be necessary for an officer to manually interrogate each individual file for each of the looked after children and their foster care arrangements and reasons for those taken into care, to determine as to whether the requested information may have been cited at any point in the history of the case. Each case file varies in both complexity and volume of documentation. A thorough reading and review of each file would be required to definitively identify as to whether these factor had been cited at any point in the proceedings over the past calendar year. Such manual retrieval of information would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>

			<p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>3. Although we are unable to confirm from recorded information for the reasons given in our response above to Questions 1 and 2, based on intelligence within the service our response to Question 3 is zero.</p>
22498	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: G-Cloud Framework</p> <p>1. Are you aware that the government's G-Cloud framework exists?</p> <p>2. Have you been actively encouraged (by the Cabinet Office/Crown Commercial Service) to use the G-Cloud framework in the last 12 months?</p> <p>3. Do you have plans to use the G-Cloud framework in the next 12 months?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. A decision will be made in the near future.</p>
22483	18/03/2015	<p>Subject: Land asset/s at Sugar House Lane, E15</p> <p>At a Cabinet meeting held on Thursday 24th January 2013, a decision was made by the Mayor and Cabinet Members to sell Council land at Sugar House Lane, Stratford E15. In relation to this:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The requested information is already publicly available on the Newham website and can be independently sourced from the documents and meeting minutes available on the link below</p>

		<p>Can you confirm the details of which land asset/s the Council possessed on Sugar House Lane which were agreed to be sold on that date?</p>	<p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgListCommittees.aspx?bcr=1</p> <p>The date you refer to relates to the meeting of Mayoral Proceedings on the same date. Please see the relevant information requested on the following link</p> <p>https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=296&MId=9179&Ver=4</p>
22477	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Senior/ Corporate Management</p> <p>Please note that my definition of senior/ corporate management team is the chief executive or equivalent and anyone reporting directly to them.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please answer the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many people were in your senior/ corporate management team on January 1, 2010? 2. What were the job titles of the positions in your senior/ corporate management team on January 1, 2010? 3. On January 1, 2010, which post was: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) head of paid service b) your Section 151 officer c) your monitoring officer 4. How many people were in your senior/ corporate management team on January 1, 2015 5. What were the job titles of the positions in your 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We are not able to report accurately the number of people in the top tiers of the Council's structure, as the Council was undergoing a major organisational restructure at the time. However, we have attached an organisational structure chart dated April 2011 which details the Senior Management of Newham Council and the directorates and services. 2. See above. 3. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Chief Executive b) Head of Finance c) Head of Legal Services 4. Please note that the Council has a shared service arrangement with the London Borough of Havering. The joint service - oneSource - provides the support functions for both Councils, including Finance, HR, Legal, ICT, Procurement, etc., and includes staff from both Councils. Directors within oneSource are employed by either Newham or Havering but manage the whole service for both Councils. <p>Newham's current management structure is published on its external website – link: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Council%20and%20Democracy/SeniorStaffStructureChart.pdf</p>

		<p>senior/ corporate management team on January 1, 2015?</p> <p>6. On January 1, 2015, which post was: a) head of paid service b) your Section 151 officer c) your monitoring officer</p>	<p>As you will see from this publication along with the Chief Executive there are 13 Executive Directors / Director positions employed by Newham, and 4 Director positions employed by London Borough of Havering.</p> <p>5. As above.</p> <p>6. a) Chief Executive b) Director of Finance c) Director of Legal Services</p>
22492	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Abused disabled clients</p> <p>During the financial years 2013/14 and 2014/15 (up til 16th Feb 2015):</p> <p>1) How many referrals (numbers) regarding the sexual abuse of disabled clients has the council recorded? Please provide a breakdown by physical and learning disability.</p> <p>2) How many referrals (numbers) regarding the financial abuse of disabled clients has the council recorded? Please provide a breakdown by physical and learning disability.</p> <p>For clarity, I am attempting to obtain the latest figures the HSCIC collected from councils in 2012/13, see pg. 37: http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB13499/abus-vuln-adul-eng-12-13-fin-rep.pdf</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The data for 2013/14 was published in the new HSCIC reporting requirement format 'Safeguarding Adults Returns' (SARs). This does not give the same break down as the 2012/2013 AVA link you have attached in your enquiry and therefore we are not able to provide this information in this level of detail as this will take longer than 2.5 days to respond. Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours.</p> <p>However, please see the attached 2013/14 Safeguarding Adults Annual report which contains a breakdown of abuse by type.</p> <p>Please also see attached spreadsheet for 2012/2013 data.</p> <p>The data for 2014/15 is not yet finalised nor approved for publication, therefore we are unable to provide this information at present.</p>
22493	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Tooth Whitening</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>At the "Professional Show" at the Excel exhibition centre this month, one of the exhibitors is Megawhite.</p> <p>They are billed as offering "legal teeth whitening franchises".</p> <p>Two people that have purchased such franchises have now been criminally prosecuted for carrying out the franchise that they have been sold.</p> <p>If anyone is sold such a franchise then they would be criminally liable for prosecution by the General Dental Council unless they are a dentist.</p> <p>Why are you allowing such trading to take place within your borough?</p> <p>My complaint is not about the tooth whitening (I know that this is not your domain) but about the fraudulent trading.</p>	<p>This is not a valid request for recorded information under the Freedom of Information Act as you have asked a question with regards to practices as opposed to recorded information under the Act.</p> <p>It may be useful to note that the administering of tooth whitening is overseen by the Health and Safety Executive.</p> <p>With regards to the allegation of fraudulent trading – an omission to detail this information (in respect of the need to be a dental professional to administer the treatment) to franchisees is not an offence.</p> <p>Should express statements have been made to detail that dentists did NOT need to administer the treatments, this would constitute an offence. Should a franchisee have been misled in this manner in the borough they should consult Trading Standards directly and an investigation would be conducted.</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Category/Trading-standards.aspx</p>
22494	13/03/2015	<p>Subject: Food hygiene inspection report - Cafe Football, E20</p> <p>Please could I see a copy of Newham Council's most recent food hygiene inspection report for Cafe Football in London E20</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached the requested food safety inspection report.</p> <p>Please note we have redacted the names of individuals and junior staff in respect of Data Protection principles as set out in Section 40 (2) of the Freedom of Information Act.</p>
22497	13/03/2015	<p>Subject: Public Health - structure chart</p> <p>Could I have the current structure of Newham's public health department including any vacant posts?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached the structure chart detailing Newham's Public Health Partnerships service.</p>

22479	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Reports of highway and footpath repair</p> <p>Please provide the following information for the most recent 24 month period, broken down by month:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The number of reports of damaged or deteriorated roads or pedestrian walkways (including pavements, public footpaths, etc.) in a given month The mean and median average number of days between report and repair for such reports each month The total amount spent on repairing road surfaces and pavements maintained by the borough in each given month The total amount paid to people who have been injured as a result of damaged or deteriorated roads or pedestrian walkways in each given month The total number of incidents of which the council is aware by which people have been injured as a result of damaged or deteriorated roads or pedestrian walkways in each given month 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> See table below. <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>2013</th> <th>Number of reports</th> <th>2014</th> <th>Number of reports</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Jan</td><td>639</td><td>Jan</td><td>953</td></tr> <tr><td>Feb</td><td>708</td><td>Feb</td><td>1,075</td></tr> <tr><td>Mar</td><td>684</td><td>Mar</td><td>1,244</td></tr> <tr><td>Apr</td><td>1,029</td><td>Apr</td><td>749</td></tr> <tr><td>May</td><td>1,153</td><td>May</td><td>814</td></tr> <tr><td>Jun</td><td>936</td><td>Jun</td><td>781</td></tr> <tr><td>Jul</td><td>833</td><td>Jul</td><td>920</td></tr> <tr><td>Aug</td><td>593</td><td>Aug</td><td>573</td></tr> <tr><td>Sep</td><td>1,078</td><td>Sep</td><td>789</td></tr> <tr><td>Oct</td><td>874</td><td>Oct</td><td>601</td></tr> <tr><td>Nov</td><td>803</td><td>Nov</td><td>632</td></tr> <tr><td>Dec</td><td>568</td><td>Dec</td><td>514</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Information regarding the median value is not readily available from our systems. However, please find below the average number of days as requested: <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>2013</th> <th>Average days</th> <th>2014</th> <th>Average days</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Jan</td><td>17.68</td><td>Jan</td><td>19.82</td></tr> <tr><td>Feb</td><td>17.81</td><td>Feb</td><td>28.83</td></tr> <tr><td>Mar</td><td>15.96</td><td>Mar</td><td>23.58</td></tr> <tr><td>Apr</td><td>17.81</td><td>Apr</td><td>21.91</td></tr> <tr><td>May</td><td>16.19</td><td>May</td><td>31.02</td></tr> <tr><td>Jun</td><td>17.15</td><td>Jun</td><td>28.29</td></tr> <tr><td>Jul</td><td>15.61</td><td>Jul</td><td>31.28</td></tr> <tr><td>Aug</td><td>21.73</td><td>Aug</td><td>33.12</td></tr> <tr><td>Sep</td><td>13.84</td><td>Sep</td><td>28.40</td></tr> <tr><td>Oct</td><td>13.39</td><td>Oct</td><td>10.69</td></tr> <tr><td>Nov</td><td>17.39</td><td>Nov</td><td>11.99</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	2013	Number of reports	2014	Number of reports	Jan	639	Jan	953	Feb	708	Feb	1,075	Mar	684	Mar	1,244	Apr	1,029	Apr	749	May	1,153	May	814	Jun	936	Jun	781	Jul	833	Jul	920	Aug	593	Aug	573	Sep	1,078	Sep	789	Oct	874	Oct	601	Nov	803	Nov	632	Dec	568	Dec	514	2013	Average days	2014	Average days	Jan	17.68	Jan	19.82	Feb	17.81	Feb	28.83	Mar	15.96	Mar	23.58	Apr	17.81	Apr	21.91	May	16.19	May	31.02	Jun	17.15	Jun	28.29	Jul	15.61	Jul	31.28	Aug	21.73	Aug	33.12	Sep	13.84	Sep	28.40	Oct	13.39	Oct	10.69	Nov	17.39	Nov	11.99
2013	Number of reports	2014	Number of reports																																																																																																				
Jan	639	Jan	953																																																																																																				
Feb	708	Feb	1,075																																																																																																				
Mar	684	Mar	1,244																																																																																																				
Apr	1,029	Apr	749																																																																																																				
May	1,153	May	814																																																																																																				
Jun	936	Jun	781																																																																																																				
Jul	833	Jul	920																																																																																																				
Aug	593	Aug	573																																																																																																				
Sep	1,078	Sep	789																																																																																																				
Oct	874	Oct	601																																																																																																				
Nov	803	Nov	632																																																																																																				
Dec	568	Dec	514																																																																																																				
2013	Average days	2014	Average days																																																																																																				
Jan	17.68	Jan	19.82																																																																																																				
Feb	17.81	Feb	28.83																																																																																																				
Mar	15.96	Mar	23.58																																																																																																				
Apr	17.81	Apr	21.91																																																																																																				
May	16.19	May	31.02																																																																																																				
Jun	17.15	Jun	28.29																																																																																																				
Jul	15.61	Jul	31.28																																																																																																				
Aug	21.73	Aug	33.12																																																																																																				
Sep	13.84	Sep	28.40																																																																																																				
Oct	13.39	Oct	10.69																																																																																																				
Nov	17.39	Nov	11.99																																																																																																				

Dec 22.96 Dec 19.36

3. The following data represent the value of expenditure on responsive maintenance repairing carriageway and footpaths in a given month:

2013	Expenditure (£)	2014	Expenditure (£)
Jan	87,142.96	Jan	170,269.72
Feb	109,300.24	Feb	199,123.47
Mar	117,362.14	Mar	205,994.46
Apr	173,572.99	Apr	103,679.52
May	188,651.82	May	117,480.29
Jun	143,535.59	Jun	82,259.03
Jul	111,810.71	Jul	134,203.14
Aug	91,591.64	Aug	75,868.12
Sep	157,151.36	Sep	106,329.42
Oct	126,556.21	Oct	66,108.63
Nov	129,933.73	Nov	75,854.83
Dec	97,918.72	Dec	52,962.27

4. See table below. Please note the numbers given relate to the date of the incident, rather than the date the claim was settled, therefore any claims for cases which occurred in 2013 onwards are likely to still be in the insurance claim or litigation process. Please also note, the total relate to the total costs of the claim, and not specifically in relation to the compensation paid. These totals include damages, claimant's solicitors costs & our solicitors costs (if appointed). We do not hold a full breakdown of these total costs to enable us to state compensation totals only:

Month/Year	Amount Paid
Feb-13	£47,475.11
Mar-13	£500.00
Apr-13	£2,673.00
May-13	£0.00
Jun-13	£950.00
Jul-13	£7,950.00

Aug-13 £5,423.50
 Sep-13 £27,843.40
 Oct-13 £0.00
 Nov-13 £4,846.00
 Dec-13 £3,854.00
 Jan-14 £0.00
 Feb-14 £0.00
 Mar-14 £0.00
 Apr-14 £0.00
 May-14 £520.00
 Jun-14 £0.00
 Jul-14 £0.00
 Aug-14 £0.00
 Sep-14 £0.00
 Oct-14 £0.00
 Nov-14 £0.00
 Dec-14 £0.00

5. See table below:

Month/Year	Claims Received
Feb-13	11
Mar-13	10
Apr-13	6
May-13	15
Jun-13	13
Jul-13	18
Aug-13	11
Sep-13	13
Oct-13	15
Nov-13	11
Dec-13	12
Jan-14	6
Feb-14	14
Mar-14	13

			<p>Apr-14 18 May-14 13 Jun-14 12 Jul-14 7 Aug-14 9 Sep-14 10 Oct-14 8 Nov-14 6 Dec-14 7</p>																										
22480	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Reports of damaged roads, pavements or walkways not repaired</p> <p>Please provide a list of the number of reports of damaged roads, pavements or walkways made in the last 24 months to which the council has not yet repaired, itemised by the month in which the request was made</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Data has been retrieved for the 24 months of 2013 and 2014</p> <p>There are no outstanding jobs for 2013.</p> <p>For 2014, please see below schedule for outstanding permanent repairs on a month by month basis:</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Month (2014)</th> <th>Number</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>January</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>February</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>March</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>April</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>May</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>July</td> <td>11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>August</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>September</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>October</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>November</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>December</td> <td>49</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Month (2014)	Number	January	3	February	5	March	2	April	7	May	9	June	5	July	11	August	7	September	14	October	6	November	7	December	49
Month (2014)	Number																												
January	3																												
February	5																												
March	2																												
April	7																												
May	9																												
June	5																												
July	11																												
August	7																												
September	14																												
October	6																												
November	7																												
December	49																												
22481	13/03/2015	Subject: Statistics - Cultures and nationalities in	Summary:																										

		<p>Newham</p> <p>Is there any way that I can access some statistics on percentages of different cultures and nationalities in Newham?</p>	<p>A wealth of information on demographics and population statistics for the borough is already publicly available on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below http://www.newham.info/</p> <p>More detailed headings under Equality and Diversity and Population can also be located on the Newham Info website, which allows for self selection of wards and particular statistical headings to retrieve the data which you may require. This option is available under the Profiles heading.</p>																																								
22487	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Temporary school staff</p> <p>The number of temporary staff employed by schools in your local authority area for each year since 2010</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council do not hold this information. It is advised that you contact the schools directly to obtain this. Please see the relevant contact details available on the link below: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Schools-Directory.aspx</p>																																								
22489	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Waiting List</p> <p>The number of people on your waiting list for local authority housing for each year since 2010. Please provide this in the form of an excel attachment in your response email.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see table below:</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th>Description</th> <th>2009/10</th> <th>2010/11</th> <th>2011/12</th> <th>2012/13</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>2013/14</td> <td>2014/15</td> <td>*to January</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Households on the Housing Register</td> <td>36,146</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>29,732</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>30,975</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>24,094</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>18,083</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>15,300</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>It should be noted that during the years 2012 and 2013 Newham undertook a major review of its Housing Register. This was in conjunction with the</p>	Description	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13		2013/14	2014/15	*to January		Households on the Housing Register	36,146					29,732					30,975					24,094					18,083					15,300			
Description	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13																																							
	2013/14	2014/15	*to January																																								
Households on the Housing Register	36,146																																										
	29,732																																										
	30,975																																										
	24,094																																										
	18,083																																										
	15,300																																										

			implementation of major changes to our allocations policy. This review led to a significant reduction in the number of applicants on the Housing Register as many applicants no longer wished to remain or no longer qualified to join the register.										
22490	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Staff redundancy</p> <p>The number of staff made redundant within six months of returning from maternity leave in each year since 2010. Please provide this in the form of an excel attachment in your response email</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The number of staff made redundant within 6 months of returning from maternity leave each year since 2010 is as follows:</p> <table> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </table> <p>We can advise that some of the redundancies that occurred over the given periods were managed through a number of processes i.e.; voluntary redundancies, either through a reorganisation of service areas, or via the Council's VRS scheme – Voluntary Release Scheme and/or employee's engaged on a temporary basis but still entitled to maternity and redundancy payments by the end of their fixed term period.</p>	2010	1	2011	8	2012	9	2013	2	2014	1
2010	1												
2011	8												
2012	9												
2013	2												
2014	1												
22478	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Dog Fouling cleaning costs</p> <p>Please provide, for the most recent 12 month period available, the number of incidents of dog fouling cleaned up by the council each month, and the cost of cleaning them up</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please refer to the enclosed supporting spreadsheet detailing the monthly dog fouling figures from February 2014 to February 2015.</p> <p>We can advise that the cleaning up of dog fouling is incorporated into the Council's frontline staffs' overall operational duties and budget. Therefore, we do not disaggregate from normal cleansing as we remove dog fouling as part of our general street cleansing.</p> <p>Please visit the Councils Budget Book for 2014/2015 regarding revenue and expenditure costs at</p>										

			http://www.newham.gov.uk/Doc...emocracy/BudgetBook201415.pdf pages 55 to 61 refer.
22518	13/03/2015	<p>Subject: Councillor's Travel Expenses</p> <p>How many Cllrs including the Mayor are paid a lump sum (an essential user allowance) as an employees who drive their own vehicle for work purposes? Please include a list of those paid and the amount paid.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Part 6 of the Council's Constitution sets out the Members' Allowance Scheme. This can be accessed at: https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s82630/Part%206%20-%20Members%20Allowances%20Scheme.pdf</p> <p>As part of the legislation that sets out the arrangements for the payment of allowances, details of amounts paid to each Member of the Council and each Co-opted Member of a Committee is published on an annual basis. This can be accessed at:</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Councillors-allowances-and-expenses.aspx</p>
22515	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: West African's</p> <p>I would like to enquire whether it is possible to find out</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) How many people of West African origin live in the Borough 2) Which outlets in the Borough are selling wax-printed cloth (West African fabrics) 3) Churches and organizations within the Borough specifically catering for people of West African origin. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) This information is available on the Council's website, search under 'Population': http://www.newham.info/profiles/ 2) We do not hold this information 3) We do not hold this information
22514	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Sheltered Accommodation</p> <p>1. What was the council's budget for sheltered accommodation for the elderly (those aged 65 and above) as at January 1 in the following years:</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please note, for sheltered provision for people over 65, in Newham the criterion for sheltered accommodation is aged 55 and over. We have therefore included information for all residents in sheltered not just those</p>

		<p>a)2015 b)2010 c)2005</p> <p>2.How many elderly sheltered accommodation tenants (those aged 65 and above) were there, as at January 1 in the following years:</p> <p>a)2015 b)2010 c)2005</p> <p>3.How many sheltered accommodation properties for the elderly (those aged 65 and above) were there as at January 1 in the following years (including individual flats):</p> <p>a) 2015 b) 2010 c) 2005</p>	<p>aged 65 and over.</p> <p>1. See below. Please note, there is a fall from the 2010 to 2015 budget due to ceased payment on a block contracting basis in 2013 which meant that we no longer paid for voids and only paid for people using the services. Also in 2013/ 2014, the Local Authority tendered for new services and as a result a number of sheltered schemes exited the support market.</p> <p>a) Housing Related Support Older People £973,904 b) Supporting People Older People budget £2,380,800 c) Supporting People Older People budget £2,440,000</p> <p>2. Please see below information for services that the Council commission. There are schemes that sit outside of the local authority's jurisdiction, which we have not included:</p> <p>a) 1,012 b) 1,140 c) 1,113</p> <p>3. Please see below information for services that the Council commission. There are schemes that sit outside of the local authority's jurisdiction, which we have not included:</p> <p>a) 1,045 Council commissioned provision only. 27 private finance initiative properties. b) 1,389 c) 1,429</p>
22525	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Asset Holdings</p> <p>1. Names and vintage years of all private equity, venture capital, mezzanine, distressed, real estate/REIT, debt and infrastructure partnerships in London Borough of Newham's portfolio.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached as requested.</p>

		<p>2. Most updated information available on amount committed to the partnerships and amount drawn by the partnerships.</p> <p>3. Distributions made to London Borough of Newham to date by each individual partnership.</p> <p>4. Net Asset Value of each partnership, and estimated remaining value of each partnership, as permitted under the Partnership.</p> <p>5. Internal rates of return (IRRs) for each individual partnership, for the most recent date available. (Please specify whether the data is net or gross of expenses and fees).</p> <p>6. Investment multiple for each individual partnership.</p> <p>7. The dollar amount of "total management fees and costs paid" for each individual partnership on an annualized, year-end basis.</p> <p>8. Date as of which all the above data was calculated.</p> <p>9. Names and service type provided of service providers (ex. Placement Agents, etc.) assisting London Borough of Newham with each individual partnership.</p> <p>10. Names of all hedge fund, private equity, venture capital, mezzanine, distressed, real estate/REIT, debt and infrastructure partnerships partially and fully sold by London Borough of Newham, including date of sale.</p>	
22523	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Audit Reports</p> <p>Please provide me with copies of your councils 'audit reports'.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>This information is searchable for the year you require on the Newham Council website: https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgCommitteeDetails.aspx?ID=828</p>
22521	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: Personal Injury Costs</p> <p>The amount spent by your council on personal injury</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see below the annual total expenditure on personal injury claims for</p>

		claims in each year since 2010 (including legal costs and settlements).	<p>each year since 2010. Please note the information has been recorded by the date of the closure of each claim– where the final total cost can be recorded. For example the event giving rise to a claim may have occurred in 2010 but following insurance/legal processes may not be closed until 2012 and would there be included in the 2012 figure.</p> <table> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>-</td> <td>£890,800.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>-</td> <td>£758,550.90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>-</td> <td>£2,022,881.67</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013</td> <td>-</td> <td>£2,112,381.51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014</td> <td>-</td> <td>£2,656,049.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015</td> <td>-</td> <td>£427,806.52</td> </tr> </table> <p>The total costs figure includes claimant damages, claimant's solicitor's costs and our solicitors costs.</p>	2010	-	£890,800.74	2011	-	£758,550.90	2012	-	£2,022,881.67	2013	-	£2,112,381.51	2014	-	£2,656,049.15	2015	-	£427,806.52
2010	-	£890,800.74																			
2011	-	£758,550.90																			
2012	-	£2,022,881.67																			
2013	-	£2,112,381.51																			
2014	-	£2,656,049.15																			
2015	-	£427,806.52																			
22528	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Disclosure Log</p> <p>Under FOI, Can I have copies of your Freedom Of Information Logs since July 2014</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 22 exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request for information. Section 22 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is due to be made publicly available in the future.</p> <p>The requested information in relation to the completed disclosure log of completed Freedom of Information requests will be made publicly available on the Newham website shortly. We believe that premature release of the information in advance of its full collation is not in the public interest because the information is subject to manual verification of a basic automated recovery of the information before publication.</p> <p>This information will be made publically available in due course through the web link given below</p>																		

			http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/ServiceChild/Freedom-of-Information-disclosure-log.aspx
22529	20/03/2015	<p>Subject: CPZ in Woodgrange Estate E7</p> <p>1. In the recent survey relating to the CPZ how many objections and also positive responses were received? 2. Which addresses were canvassed and by what means? 3. Which addresses objected to the proposed CPZ?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Please find enclosed a supporting spreadsheet* regarding the information sought.</p> <p>We can advise that the consultation started on Monday 30th June 2014 and closed on Friday 18th July 2014. Analysing the responses from Woodgrange area there were 303 responses which represented a 24% response rate and 60% support (as shown in the attached table).</p> <p>The results were then presented to local Councillors at a meeting held on 6th August 2014. You may find it useful to know that Manor Park Councillors had decided against introducing an RPZ in the Manor Park end of the estate due to the fact that the majority of residents were not in favour. Forest Gate South Councillors had decided to agree implementation of an RPZ for the Forest Gate end of the estate within which a majority of residents voted in favour.</p> <p>2 - 3. Please note that under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe that by disclosing the addresses which were canvassed and raised objections during a recent survey on CPZ's could potentially breach the rights afforded to individuals under the Data Protection Act.</p> <p>In the circumstances, we would apply Section 40, as disclosure of the addresses of properties used during the survey canvassing exercise whom expressed reservations, would result in the identification of the views and opinions of individuals living at their home addresses. This is third party personal data which is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Individuals openly submitted their views in respect of this consultation and to now disclose their opinions by address would breach their rights under the Act. Disclosure would contravene the first Data Protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be</p>

			<p>processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p> <p>Section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 provides an absolute exemption where disclosure of personal data about individuals would contravene any of the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act. Therefore, there is no requirement to consider the public interest test in disclosure.</p> <p>*Key</p> <p>PB Permit Bay DYL Double Yellow Lines Res Residential</p> <p>SS Short Stay SYL Single Yellow Lines Bus Business</p> <p>SU Shared Use</p> <p>Address Number of addresses visited in each road.</p>
22519	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Variations in Speed Limits</p> <p>Please supply details of any roads, managed by the council, where the speed limit has been varied in the past two years.</p> <p>This should include the speed limit before and after the change, the stretch of road affected with its length (if available), the date of the change and any reasons listed for the variation in speed limit.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>On 11 August 2014 the speed limit was lowered from 30 mph to 20 mph in the following roads:</p> <p>Bob Anker Close, Chesterton Road, Chesterton Terrace, Emma Road, First Avenue E13, Florence Road E13, Hartsworth Close, Helena Road, Herbert Street, Howard's Road, Juliette Road, Kennedy Close, London Road, Middle Road, Moxon Close, Rudolph Road, Salmen Road, Second Avenue E13, Sewell Street, Stock Street, Stride Road, Swete Street, Third Avenue E13 and Whitelegg Road</p> <p>The council's statement of reasons for proposing the new limit was as follows:</p> <p>The 20 mph zones are proposed to reduce traffic speeds in the residential areas located east and west of Upper Road. These zones will maintain low speeds thus improving road safety whilst minimising noise and air pollution</p> <p>On 29 September 2014 the speed limit was lowered from 30 mph to 20 mph in the following roads:</p>

			<p>Carpenters Road (between High Street E15 and Siding Street), Rowse Close and the access road leading to Lund Point.</p> <p>The council's statement of reasons for proposing the new limit was as follows: The 20 mph zone is proposed to lower traffic speeds on Carpenters Road to improve safety for the children attending Carpenters School and the local residents. This speed limit will help maintain low speeds thus improving road safety whilst minimising noise and air pollution. Cyclist groups also requested for reduced speeds to ensure a safer route for cyclists under the bridge.</p>																																																																																	
22524	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Child safeguarding</p> <p>1. In total, how many child safeguarding referrals did you receive in each of the following financial years:</p> <p>2014-15 to date 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11</p> <p>2. In each year, how many of these referrals came from each of the following types of organisation:</p> <p>Primary school</p> <p>2014-15 to date 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11</p> <p>Secondary school</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Note: some children have more than one factor recorded against them and appear in more than one column</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year requested</th> <th>Unique Referrals</th> <th>Abuse or neglect</th> <th>Family in acute stress</th> <th>Family dysfunction</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2014/15</td> <td>2014/15 referrals</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>*to date 26.02.2015</td> <td>4,894</td> <td>2,686</td> <td>1,084</td> <td>1,713</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>From educational establishments/ schools</td> <td></td> <td>858</td> <td>660</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>105</td> <td>153</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Primary school</td> <td>440</td> <td>344</td> <td>57</td> <td>76</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Secondary school</td> <td></td> <td>206</td> <td>171</td> <td>18</td> <td>27</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Other educational establishment</td> <td>214</td> <td>145</td> <td>30</td> <td>51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>2013/14 referrals</td> <td>4,934</td> <td>3,122</td> <td>919</td> <td>1,429</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>From educational establishments/ schools</td> <td></td> <td>1,075</td> <td>855</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>101</td> <td>223</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Primary school</td> <td>578</td> <td>448</td> <td>71</td> <td>128</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Secondary school</td> <td></td> <td>294</td> <td>243</td> <td>19</td> <td>57</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Other educational establishment</td> <td>203</td> <td>164</td> <td>11</td> <td>38</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Note: Other educational establishments include those establishments that do not clearly fit into the Primary and Secondary School categories</p>	Year requested	Unique Referrals	Abuse or neglect	Family in acute stress	Family dysfunction	2014/15	2014/15 referrals				*to date 26.02.2015	4,894	2,686	1,084	1,713		From educational establishments/ schools		858	660		105	153				Primary school	440	344	57	76		Secondary school		206	171	18	27		Other educational establishment	214	145	30	51	2013/14	2013/14 referrals	4,934	3,122	919	1,429		From educational establishments/ schools		1,075	855			101	223					Primary school	578	448	71	128		Secondary school		294	243	19	57		Other educational establishment	203	164	11	38
Year requested	Unique Referrals	Abuse or neglect	Family in acute stress	Family dysfunction																																																																																
2014/15	2014/15 referrals																																																																																			
*to date 26.02.2015	4,894	2,686	1,084	1,713																																																																																
	From educational establishments/ schools		858	660																																																																																
	105	153																																																																																		
	Primary school	440	344	57	76																																																																															
	Secondary school		206	171	18	27																																																																														
	Other educational establishment	214	145	30	51																																																																															
2013/14	2013/14 referrals	4,934	3,122	919	1,429																																																																															
	From educational establishments/ schools		1,075	855																																																																																
	101	223																																																																																		
	Primary school	578	448	71	128																																																																															
	Secondary school		294	243	19	57																																																																														
	Other educational establishment	203	164	11	38																																																																															

		<p>2014-15 to date 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11</p>	<p>requested (i.e. colleges, through schools, nursery, special schools, etc.). In addition to this, those that had been identified as educational establishment but no other details had been provided and where the establishment may not be a Newham establishment and where there has not been enough data provided to determine the exact type of school it is. Note: A known child may have been referred by different establishments and may be included in more than one type of establishment and category</p> <p>To provide information prior to 2013/14 would exceed the appropriate limit as the requested information was not collected in this way.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
22526	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Taxi Licenses</p> <p>a) The number of taxi drivers licensed in each of the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015?</p> <p>b) The number of taxi drivers licensed who have previously been turned down for a taxi license (in ANY local authority), for each of the years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015?</p> <p>c) The number of taxi drivers licensed who have previously been turned down for a taxi license in your local authority, for each of the years 2010, 2011, 2012,</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold this information.</p> <p>All taxi and private hire licensing within London is completed centrally by Transport for London. Your request should therefore be re-directed to TfL.</p> <p>For your reference, please see the relevant web link below https://www.tfl.gov.uk/info-for/taxis-and-private-hire/</p>

		2013, 2014, and 2015?																									
22532	17/03/2015	<p>Subject: School Clubs</p> <p>1. How many breakfast clubs were operating across the local authority area in each of the following academic years: 2008/9, 2009/10, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14, 2014-present.</p> <p>2. How many homework or other after school clubs were operating across the local authority area in each of the following academic years: 2008/9, 2009/10, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14, 2014-present.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Unfortunately, the information you have requested regarding the number of operating breakfast, homework and after school clubs in this Authority for the years prior to 2014, were held on a database which is no longer in commission. As a result, we are unable to provide you with information to this aspect of your request.</p> <p>We can however provide figures for the year 2014 to date and which are detailed below:</p> <p>1. 10.</p> <p>2. 18.</p>																								
22535	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Skin Lightening Creams</p> <p>I am currently researching the use of skin lightening creams in the UK, focusing on inner-city boroughs in London. I am interested in gathering some figures and associated information concerning the illegal trade of such products and was wondering if you have any up-to-date data concerning the number of businesses you have found selling such products, fines and prosecutions etc.</p> <p>Any feedback would be helpful, particularly figures spanning the years 2000-2014.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see below the total number of cases relating to skin lightening products dealt with by our Trading Standards team over recent years.</p> <p>Please note, our systems are only able to recover data as far back as 2009.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>No. of complaints</th> <th>Action taken</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2009</td> <td>1</td> <td>Warning letter</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011</td> <td>1</td> <td>Warning letter</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>3</td> <td>Warning letters</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013</td> <td>3</td> <td>Warning letters</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014</td> <td>1</td> <td>Caution</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015</td> <td>2</td> <td>Investigations in progress</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Year	No. of complaints	Action taken	2009	1	Warning letter	2010	0	-	2011	1	Warning letter	2012	3	Warning letters	2013	3	Warning letters	2014	1	Caution	2015	2	Investigations in progress
Year	No. of complaints	Action taken																									
2009	1	Warning letter																									
2010	0	-																									
2011	1	Warning letter																									
2012	3	Warning letters																									
2013	3	Warning letters																									
2014	1	Caution																									
2015	2	Investigations in progress																									

22534	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Graduate Schemes, Internships</p> <p>I would like to know if your organisation is currently running any Graduate schemes or internships?</p> <p>If not, does the organisation have any plans to do so in the future?</p> <p>If it does, does the organisation outsource the recruitment campaigns for the schemes?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The Council does not currently run any corporate graduate or internship programmes.</p> <p>There are no plans in the immediate future to introduce a graduate scheme or start an internship programme.</p> <p>Newham does operate a successful Youth Training Scheme. Please see the relevant web link below for further information.</p> <p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/News/Hard-working-Newham-Council-apprentices-recognised.aspx</p>
22536	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Interpreters</p> <p>How much does the council spend on translation and interpreter services? Please provide figures for each year since 2010.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see below for London Borough of Newham spend on translation and interpreting services:</p> <p>2013/14 = £148,319.14 2012/13 = £180,176.95 2011/12 = £142,376.50 2010/11 = £181,591.67</p>
22527	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Land</p> <p>1. Have you issued any contaminated land notices? 2. Have you, or the Secretary of State, made any decisions to make an entry, or made any entries on your register maintained under section 78R of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Have you issued any contaminated land notices? Yes</p> <p>2. Have you, or the Secretary of State, made any decisions to make an entry, or made any entries on your register maintained under section 78R of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. Yes</p>

		<p>3. Have you, or the Secretary of State consulted with any property or land owners under section 78G(3) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 prior to issuing a remediation notice?</p> <p>If the answers are positive to any of the above, could you please provide the location of the site and details of any activity?</p>	<p>3. Have you, or the Secretary of State consulted with any property or land owners under section 78G(3) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 prior to issuing a remediation notice?</p> <p>Consultation with the property or land owners was undertaken. However no remediation notice was served.</p> <p>If the answers are positive to any of the above, could you please provide the location of the site and details of any activity?</p> <p>For all the answers above the location of the site concerned was 129-136 (inclusive) Coopers Walk, Maryland Street, Stratford, London E15 1JD.</p>
22543	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>We wish to obtain from the Council, information relating to liability orders which have been granted in respect of unpaid Business Rates for the 2014/15 financial year. Please provide the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ratepayer for which the liability order was granted. • The address in the rating list which the liability order was granted. • The amount of the outstanding liability which the order was granted for. • The date which the liability order was granted. 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and as to whether liability orders had been granted for non payment of rates. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to any liability orders secured. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit. Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request</p>

			<p>for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>We also consider that the requested information to be exempt under Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act. The recovery actions undertaken by the authority in respect of non-payment of business rates is not already disclosed as a matter of public record. Therefore disclosure of this information could potentially result in an actionable breach of confidence should the release of this information go on to result in actions, to the detriment of the companies involved.</p> <p>Section 41 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 states: “41. (1) Information is exempt information if – (a) it was obtained by the public authority from any other person (including another public authority), and (b) the disclosure of the information to the public (otherwise than under this Act) would constitute a breach of confidence actionable by that or any other person.</p> <p>Therefore we find that disclosure of the names of any companies which have been subject to recovery action as a result of non-payment of business rates is exempt under the above section.</p>
22542	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>Information Required – Accrued NNDR credit balances & write on's (i.e. credits that you have been unable to trace recipients for and have therefore wrote into your accounts, leaving an outward appearance of a zero balance).</p> <p>We request a breakdown of credit balances accrued since your earliest records, for the amounts owing to all 'incorporated' companies or public bodies (i.e. not individuals) within the authorities billing area, including:-</p> <p>- The name of each business in respect of which Non</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse your request.</p> <p>We consider that the information requested in respect of the names and addresses of the rate payers and businesses of these properties which are in credit would be exempt under Section 31 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>This exemption applies because the release of these details would prejudice the prevention or detection of crime. Credits can be provided to companies in a variety of ways, including directly to a company representative by cash or cheque. Due to the limited means of personal</p>

		<p>Domestic Rate credit balances remain payable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The value of overpayment in each case with remains unclaimed - The years(s) in which the overpayment was made - The hereditament address, and - Account Number 	<p>verification it is possible that credits could be claimed fraudulently.</p> <p>It has been concluded that disclosure of this information is not in the public interest as the disclosure of these details may lead to criminal activities such as fraud.</p>
22541	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>Please supply a list of all companies (not private individuals) paying business rates in your local authority area on more than one business rates assessment and detail the full address of each assessment, Rateable Value and company name. An example of the data required is detailed below:</p> <p>Company Name Rates Assessment Address Rateable Value ABC Ltd 1 High Street, Town BC1 1ZQ £30,000 ABC Ltd 2 High Street, Town BC1 1ZQ £20,000Multiple</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the start dates of new accounts from a specific date. Operationally we do not require our systems to be compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account in relation to the start date of liability in the requested time frame. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>

22566	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Bedroom Tax - Foster Carers</p> <p>Would you mind confirming for each of these families if they are receiving a 14% or 25% reduction in their available housing benefit?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the requested information below;</p> <p>Foster carer 1 : 25% Foster carer 2 : 14% Foster carer 3 : 14% Foster carer 4: 14%</p>
22539	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Commitment, Contribution, Distribution, Value and IRR</p> <p>Do you have more recent records, specifically Commitment, Contribution, Distribution, Value and IRR as of 31st December 2013, 31st March 2014 and 30th June 2014? This list should include all such partnerships, i.e. private equity, venture capital, distressed debt, mezzanine, fund of funds, real estate and private debt investments. If so, is it possible for you to send them to us?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheets.</p>
22540	12/03/2015	<p>Subject: Takeaway/Restaurants</p> <p>I am hoping you can inform me, under Section 16 of the Freedom of Information Act, of the number of takeaway restaurants that have passed their first inspection annually in the borough of Newham. Please can I ask that this information is limited to between the years of 2006 and 2014. I would also like to request that the information is limited to takeaway outlets within the kebabs, fried chicken and Chinese categories.</p> <p>ii) To ensure I keep to the FOI appropriate limit, if this</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. I am hoping you can inform me, under Section 16 of the Freedom of Information Act, of the number of takeaway restaurants that have passed their first inspection annually in the borough of Newham. Please can I ask that this information is limited to between the years of 2006 and 2014</p> <p>A. We can advise that there is no set criteria to detail PASS/FAIL rates. We record and rate a food premises on a criteria of 0 - 5 or A – E rating. Please visit the Councils website for further details http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Food-safety-inspections.aspx#Howweratefoodsafety .</p> <p>2. I would also like to request that the information is limited to takeaway outlets within the kebabs, fried chicken and Chinese categories.</p>

		<p>request breaches that limit, I am more than willing to limit the scope of my research to the takeaway establishments that have passed their first health inspection every year since the publication of the Newham Food Outlet Mapping in 2006.</p>	<p>ii) To ensure I keep to the FOI appropriate limit, if this request breaches that limit, I am more than willing to limit the scope of my research to the takeaway establishments that have passed their first health inspection every year since the publication of the Newham Food Outlet Mapping in 2006.</p> <p>A. Unfortunately, we are unable to extract the information you have requested from our systems under the headings named in your request. The Council's records are not organised by types of cuisine. Our systems are maintained in the following categories i.e.: Retail, Restaurant, Manufacturer and Producer and this is in accordance with the Food Standards Agency (FSA).</p> <p>Therefore, we are unable to draw reports to assist you with your request as the exercise would be both a labour and time consuming exercise taking Officer(s) considerably more than 2.5 days to complete. The exercise to list all food premises entries would have to be manually checked against each years intake of new premises. Please note that there are no existing procedures or programmes for recovering this type of data.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information an Officer would be required to manually examine over 3000 food safety records for new premises from that period by hand, year by year and then transpose the data into a useable format.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
--	--	--	---

22573	20/04/2015	<p>Subject: Tenants Receiving Housing Benefit</p> <p>I would like to know the names of the 20 landlords (excluding housing associations) whose tenants collectively received the largest amounts of housing benefit from your council in the last financial year, 2013/14.</p> <p>From records of payments that you are able to link to landlords, can you please provide me with the amount in pounds of total housing benefits paid to their tenants for each of the top 20 in that year?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please note, we have taken Housing Associations to mean any Registered Provider of Social Housing registered with the Homes and Communities Agency (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/current-registered-providers-of-social-housing). Where a landlord uses an agent we will show them as the payee.</p> <p>Taking these into account, please see below table for top 20 landlords as requested:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Name of landlord</th> <th>Amount paid (£)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ANCHOR HOUSE</td> <td>1,036,931.23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SCRUTTONS ESTATES LTD</td> <td>1,016,460.99</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EASYMOVE</td> <td>958,055.33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NEWHOME PROPERTY SERVICES LTD</td> <td>643,305.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HOMEVIEW RESIDENTIAL LTD</td> <td>569,571.40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LM KENDON CHARITABLE TRUST</td> <td>558,908.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WENTWORTH ESTATES</td> <td>548,499.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P & S PROPERTIES LTD</td> <td>509,223.14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DIRECT PROPERTY SERVICES</td> <td>450,580.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LETTINGS INTERNATIONAL LTD</td> <td>415,298.11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SAI ESTATES LTD</td> <td>369,785.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MCGUINNESS PROPERTY SERVICES</td> <td>344,370.23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RAJ PROPERTIES LIMITED</td> <td>329,941.19</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CLIFFORDS ESTATES</td> <td>302,445.06</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GITA PROPERTIES LIMITED</td> <td>301,202.21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>GOODFLATS LTD T/A MAJOR MOVE</td> <td>285,717.67</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EASTWARDS TRUST HOSTELS (SERVICE FOR ELDER)</td> <td>260,971.96</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LONGCOURT LIMITED</td> <td>244,721.93</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ZETA HOMES LTD</td> <td>238,145.23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNIQUE PROPERTY SERVICES</td> <td>236,406.03</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Name of landlord	Amount paid (£)	ANCHOR HOUSE	1,036,931.23	SCRUTTONS ESTATES LTD	1,016,460.99	EASYMOVE	958,055.33	NEWHOME PROPERTY SERVICES LTD	643,305.71	HOMEVIEW RESIDENTIAL LTD	569,571.40	LM KENDON CHARITABLE TRUST	558,908.71	WENTWORTH ESTATES	548,499.35	P & S PROPERTIES LTD	509,223.14	DIRECT PROPERTY SERVICES	450,580.08	LETTINGS INTERNATIONAL LTD	415,298.11	SAI ESTATES LTD	369,785.28	MCGUINNESS PROPERTY SERVICES	344,370.23	RAJ PROPERTIES LIMITED	329,941.19	CLIFFORDS ESTATES	302,445.06	GITA PROPERTIES LIMITED	301,202.21	GOODFLATS LTD T/A MAJOR MOVE	285,717.67	EASTWARDS TRUST HOSTELS (SERVICE FOR ELDER)	260,971.96	LONGCOURT LIMITED	244,721.93	ZETA HOMES LTD	238,145.23	UNIQUE PROPERTY SERVICES	236,406.03
Name of landlord	Amount paid (£)																																												
ANCHOR HOUSE	1,036,931.23																																												
SCRUTTONS ESTATES LTD	1,016,460.99																																												
EASYMOVE	958,055.33																																												
NEWHOME PROPERTY SERVICES LTD	643,305.71																																												
HOMEVIEW RESIDENTIAL LTD	569,571.40																																												
LM KENDON CHARITABLE TRUST	558,908.71																																												
WENTWORTH ESTATES	548,499.35																																												
P & S PROPERTIES LTD	509,223.14																																												
DIRECT PROPERTY SERVICES	450,580.08																																												
LETTINGS INTERNATIONAL LTD	415,298.11																																												
SAI ESTATES LTD	369,785.28																																												
MCGUINNESS PROPERTY SERVICES	344,370.23																																												
RAJ PROPERTIES LIMITED	329,941.19																																												
CLIFFORDS ESTATES	302,445.06																																												
GITA PROPERTIES LIMITED	301,202.21																																												
GOODFLATS LTD T/A MAJOR MOVE	285,717.67																																												
EASTWARDS TRUST HOSTELS (SERVICE FOR ELDER)	260,971.96																																												
LONGCOURT LIMITED	244,721.93																																												
ZETA HOMES LTD	238,145.23																																												
UNIQUE PROPERTY SERVICES	236,406.03																																												

22577	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: CCTV</p> <p>Are you still using face recognition technology / software to identify offenders from your CCTV captured events?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham does not use face recognition technology.</p> <p>It is understood there was a short trial of face recognition cameras in the borough many years ago but we no longer hold any recorded historical information on this.</p>
22576	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Payments to Celebrities</p> <p>Please could you provide me with details of any payments that the council made last year (2014) to celebrities or famous personalities.</p> <p>For instance, councils may have paid celebrities to front a campaign, appear at an event, switch on Christmas lights, make a speech, appear in a video, etc etc.</p> <p>For each instance in 2014, could you please tell me (a) the name of the celebrity, (b) what they were paid to do, (c) how much the council paid them.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>From 1st January 2014 to the present, Newham Council has not made any arrangements with celebrities and/ or personalities to deliver any of the services described in your enquiry. In regards to switching on Christmas lights, this is something that is always undertaken by the Mayor of Newham.</p> <p>Please note that we have not considered in our response any bookings of performing acts such as music artists and children's entertainers, who could be considered as celebrities due to their high level of popularity. These have been contracted to deliver performances as part of the entertainment offer at our free events programme for local residents.</p>
22570	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Hardware Maintenance and Costs</p> <p>A list of the models of the physical servers, storage devices, tape libraries, network switches and routers under support contracts; as well as the cost and duration of said contracts, with start and end dates and service level associated with the equipment. Could you also supply the names of the suppliers of aforementioned support services?</p> <p>I would also request the name of the person/s in your organisation responsible for the maintenance support</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the requested information in respect of ICT hardware and maintenance.</p> <p>Server and storage Hardware Supplier - HP Hardware onsite support</p> <p>Contract is with: Hewlett Packard Ltd, Start Date: 1 Nov 2014 End Date: 31 Oct 2015 Annual cost: £23,702 (covers all servers and storage infrastructure)</p>

		contracts.	<p>Service level: Hardware Support HP Hardware Maintenance Onsite Support Hardware Problem Diagnosis Onsite Support Parts and Material provided 4 Hr Onsite Response 13 Hrs Std Office Days</p> <p>Network Equipment (switches and routers) Supplier - Logicalis Start Date: July 2013, 3 year contract, Cost approx. £132,000 pa Service level: Variable based on equipment type</p> <p>Gary Sussex, ICT Service Manager is the contact responsible for maintenance support contracts.</p>
22574	12/03/2015	<p>Subject: Health and Wellbeing Board</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An electronic copy of the current Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) for your Health and Wellbeing Board. 2. The date of publication of the JSNA and its planned review date 3. An electronic copy of the current Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (JHWS) for your Health and Wellbeing Board 4. The date of publication of the JHWS and its planned review date 5. The name/s of the clinical commissioning group/s to which your Health and Wellbeing Board relates. 6. Copies of minutes of your Health and Wellbeing Board meetings since the 1st April 2013. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The current JSNA and the JHWS can be viewed on the Council's website from the following link: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Health-and-wellbeing-board.aspx#jsn 2. For dates of publications on the JSNA and JHWS - the Council's Director for Public Health can be contacted via the Executive Support Officer Lorna St Rose on telephone number 0203 373 3784 or by email at Lorna.StRose@newham.gov.uk . 3. The current JSNA and the JHWS can be viewed on the Council's website at the following link: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Health-and-wellbeing-board.aspx#jsna 4. Please see response at question 2.

			<p>5. Newham Clinical Commissioning Group.</p> <p>6. Copies of minutes from the Health and Wellbeing Board can be found on the website from the following link https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgCommitteeDetails.aspx?ID=1264.</p>																		
22578	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>1. The Ratepayer and the Rates payable for the financial year 2004/05 and 2005/06, including any transitional relief or surcharge, for the hereditament below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I-21 NETWORK IN LONDON AND SOUTH EAST ENGLAND, LONDON, E16 2BQ - BA Reference: 00000989901001 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Ratepayer - Interoute Network Ltd</p> <p>2004/05 Rates Payable: £148200.00 (Transition relief/surcharge not applicable)</p> <p>2005/06</p> <table> <tr> <td>01/04/05 to 24/09/05 (Rates Payable)</td> <td>Gross Charge</td> <td>£49113.85</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Transition</td> <td>£16533.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>£65647.56</p> <table> <tr> <td>25/09/05 to 31/03/06 (Rates Payable)</td> <td>Gross Charge</td> <td>£21735.89</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Transition</td> <td>£7317.18</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>£29053.07</p>	01/04/05 to 24/09/05 (Rates Payable)	Gross Charge	£49113.85		Transition	£16533.71		Total		25/09/05 to 31/03/06 (Rates Payable)	Gross Charge	£21735.89		Transition	£7317.18		Total	
01/04/05 to 24/09/05 (Rates Payable)	Gross Charge	£49113.85																			
	Transition	£16533.71																			
	Total																				
25/09/05 to 31/03/06 (Rates Payable)	Gross Charge	£21735.89																			
	Transition	£7317.18																			
	Total																				
22572	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: License Condition</p> <p>1. Whether or not the Council makes it a licensing requirement to install ID verification systems (e.g. in pubs, clubs, other venues)</p> <p>2. The number of venues that have accepted such terms</p> <p>3. Any official documentation relating to the operation of such systems.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. No</p> <p>2. N/A</p> <p>3. N/A</p>																		

22582	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Sale of Data</p> <p>1. Has the council sold data it holds on individuals to any non-council organisations in the past five years?</p> <p>2. If the answer is yes, please give details of the following:</p> <p>a) Which firms or organisations was the data sold to?</p> <p>b) How much money was paid by those firms or organisations for the data?</p> <p>c) What was the nature of the data - (i.e. did it include individual names, addresses, emails, landline telephone numbers, mobile telephone numbers, ages, information on income, information on financial history?)</p> <p>d) How many individuals did the data relate to?</p> <p>e) In what form was the data held - ie. A database, a list, hard copy or soft= ?</p> <p>3. Do you hold any record of your council selling data to any of the following= g organisations? If so - and if not already covered above - please specify when the data was sold, how many individuals it related to and the price paid by the company for the data.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>No</p>
22581	16/03/2015	<p>Subject: Overheating</p> <p>On how many occasions have your environmental</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold any records relating to this matter.</p>

		<p>health officers used their powers to require landlords to address problems of overheating? Can I have this information covering the financial years 2013-14, 2012-13 and 2011-12.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any details you have on file about what sort of interventions that the environmental health officers required to be carried out (e.g., numbers of cases where landlords were forced to install air conditioning, or open windows that had been locked shut, or install ventilation). • Any information on the tenure of the properties concerned (e.g., private rent, social housing). • Any correspondence sent by your environmental health officers containing the words 'overheating' from 1 May 2014 to 1 October 2014. 	
22580	17/03/2015	<p>Subject: Lines, Minutes, Broadband and WAN</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Current Fixed Line (Voice Circuits) Provider- Supplier's name, if there is not information available please can you provide further insight into why? 2. Fixed Line- Contract Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract. If there is more than one supplier please split the renewal dates up into however many suppliers 3. Fixed Line- Contract Duration- the number of years the contract is for each supplier. 4. Type of Lines- Please can you split the type of lines per each supplier? PSN, Analogue, SIP 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Current Fixed Line (Voice Circuits) Provider- Supplier's name, if there is not information available please can you provide further insight into why? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Daisy Communications 2. Fixed Line- Contract Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract. If there is more than one supplier please split the renewal dates up into however many suppliers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. The date is 18th August 2014. The Council are currently carrying out a re-procurement exercise. 3. Fixed Line- Contract Duration- the number of years the contract is for each supplier. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. One Year.

	<p>5. Number of Lines- Please can you split the number of lines per each supplier? SIP trunks, PSN Lines, Analogue Lines</p> <p>6. Minutes/Landline Provider- Supplier's name (Fixed Voice not Mobiles) if there is not information available please can you provide further insight into why?</p> <p>7. Minutes/Landline Contract Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract.</p> <p>8. Minutes Landline Monthly Spend- Monthly average spend. An estimate or average is acceptable.</p> <p>9. Minute's Landlines Contract Duration: the number of years the contract is with the supplier.</p> <p>10. Number of Extensions- Please state the number of telephone extensions the organisation currently has. An estimate or average is acceptable.</p> <p>11. Fixed Broadband Provider- Supplier's name if there is not information available please can you provide further insight into why?</p> <p>12. Fixed Broadband Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract. If there is more than one supplier please split the renewal dates up into however many suppliers</p> <p>13. Fixed Broadband Annual Average Spend- Annual</p>	<p>4. Type of Lines- Please can you split the type of lines per each supplier? PSN, Analogue, SIP. A. Type of lines are Sip and Analogue.</p> <p>5. Number of Lines - Please can you split the number of lines per each supplier? SIP trunks, PSN Lines, Analogue Lines. A. Number of lines SIP – 3 and Analogue – 840.</p> <p>6. Minutes/Landline Provider- Supplier's name (Fixed Voice not Mobiles) if there is not information available please, can you provide further insight into why? A. Daisy Communications. We are unable to respond to this aspect of your request as the data on minutes is not held.</p> <p>7. Minutes/Landline Contract Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract. A. The date is 18th August 2014. The Council are currently carrying out a re-procurement exercise</p> <p>8. Minutes Landline Monthly Spend - Monthly average spend and an estimate or average is acceptable. A. The monthly spend is £11,557.</p> <p>9. Minute's Landlines Contract Duration: the number of years the contract is with the supplier. A. One Year.</p> <p>10. Number of Extensions- Please state the number of telephone extensions the organisation currently has. An estimate or average is acceptable. A. 5000.</p> <p>11. Fixed Broadband Provider- Supplier's name if there is not</p>
--	---	---

	<p>average spend. An estimate or average is acceptable.</p> <p>14. VOIP/PBX Installation Date of the organisation's primary telephone system: - please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable).</p> <p>15. WAN Provider- please provide me with the main supplier(s) if there is not information available please can you provide further insight into why?</p> <p>16. WAN Contract Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract. If there is more than one supplier please split the renewal dates up into however many suppliers</p> <p>17. Contract Description: Please can you provide me with a brief description of the contract</p> <p>18. Number of sites: Please state the number of sites the WAN covers. Approx will do.</p> <p>19. WAN Annual Average Spend- Annual average spend. An estimate or average is acceptable.</p> <p>18. Internal Contact: please can you send me there full contact details including contact number and email and job title.</p>	<p>information available please can you provide further insight into why?</p> <p>A. Our fixed broadband provider is BT and Daisy.</p> <p>12. Fixed Broadband Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract? If there is more than one supplier, please split the renewal dates up into however many suppliers.</p> <p>A. We can advise that the Council holds a rolling contract with various dates applying to each broadband service.</p> <p>13. Fixed Broadband Annual Average Spend- Annual average spend. An estimate or average is acceptable.</p> <p>A. We are unable to respond to this aspect of your request because the data is not available.</p> <p>14. VOIP/PBX Installation Date of the organisation's primary telephone system: - please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable).</p> <p>A. January 2014.</p> <p>15. WAN Provider- please provide me with the main supplier(s) if there is not information available please can you provide further insight into why?</p> <p>A. Primary own Dark Fiber.</p> <p>16. WAN Contract Renewal Date- please provide day, month and year (month and year is also acceptable). If this is a rolling contract please provide me with the rolling date of the contract. If there is more than one supplier please split the renewal dates up into however many suppliers</p> <p>A. Not applicable, our contract renewals are managed in-house.</p> <p>17. Contract Description: Please can you provide me with a brief description of the contract</p> <p>A. Not applicable, our contracts are managed in-house.</p> <p>18. Number of sites: Please state the number of sites the WAN covers. Approx will do.</p>
--	--	--

			<p>A. Approximately 100.</p> <p>19. WAN Annual Average Spend- Annual average spend. An estimate or average is acceptable.</p> <p>A. The WAN average annual spend is £28k per annum.</p> <p>18. Internal Contact: please can you send me there full contact details including contact number and email and job title.</p> <p>A. Brynley Giddings - ICT Networks Manager who can be contacted by email at Brynley.giddings@onesource.co.uk or by telephone number 01708 431050.</p>
22584	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Met Police Relocation</p> <p>1)The number of people in the Metropolitan Police's gang matrix who have been relocated to your borough under a "safe house" or other relocation scheme during each of the past three years, 2012, 2013 and 2014, presented annually.</p> <p>2)The number of these people who were male and the number of these people who were female.</p> <p>3)The number of people relocated for these three years who were aged 17 or under at the time of their relocation.</p> <p>4)An age breakdown of the under-17s who were relocated, giving the number of people of each age from 17 downwards (i.e. 16, 15, 14 etc) who were relocated</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold this information. You may wish to contact the Police directly.</p>
22583	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Self Directed Support</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>1. How much money has your council spent on SDS in the past three years (Individual amounts for each year please?)</p> <p>2. How many people are given SDS budgets by your council, and what was the maximum claimed by an individual?</p> <p>3. Please can you give details of how much was spent on the following:</p> <p>a) New car/ vehicle b) New Clothes c) Cosmetic products d) Cosmetic treatments and cosmetic surgery e) Events tickets f) Jewellery g) Massages/ spa treatments/ beauty treatments h) Holidays/ flights i) Home improvements j) New furniture k) Hairdressing l) Meals out/ drinks out.</p>	<p>1. Please see below: 2012/13 = £10.791m 2013/14 = £12.219m 2014/15 = £12.483m</p> <p>2. Currently 1,078 service users have received (or will receive) a Direct Payment this year. The highest individual Direct Payment is due to receive payments of £161,376 this year.</p> <p>3. We do not capture information of this type within our systems and would therefore have to conduct a manual review of all support plans which would be very resource intensive and would exceed the appropriate limit as set by the FOI Act.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
22585	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>For each independent school in your council that receives business rates relief, please would you specify for each named school the amount of money they received in business rates relief between 2009/10 and 2013/15.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see below the requested information.</p>
22589	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Food Safety Certificate</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>Please would you provide me with the electronic name, address and phone number of all premises in your area holding a Food Safety Certificate</p>	<p>This information is already publicly available on the Food Standards Agency website. For your reference, please see the relevant web link below</p> <p>http://ratings.food.gov.uk/search-a-local-authority-area/en-GB/London</p> <p>All food premises that Newham has to date entered on the Food Hygiene Rating Scheme, as run by the Food Standards Agency (FSA) can be downloaded from the FSA website.</p> <p>(This rates premises 0-5 with '0' as very poor, urgent improvement necessary, and '5' as very good.)</p> <p>http://ratings.food.gov.uk/authority-search/en-GB/%5E/%5E/rating/0/525/%5E/1/1/10</p>
22590	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: Affordable Housing</p> <p>1.What is your current affordable housing target expressed as a percentage?</p> <p>2.What percentage of the total new homes built since 1 April 2013 are affordable?</p> <p>3.What percentage of the total new homes built in the local authority area over the five years prior to 1 April 2013 were affordable. Please express this year on year.</p> <p>4.How many applications have you received to renegotiate the number of affordable homes required in Section 106 agreements since the Growth and Infrastructure Act became law in April 2013? Please also break this down month on month up to 31 January 2015. If possible, please also state how many</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. What is your current affordable housing target expressed as a percentage?</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case Section 21 exemption applies to the content of the information requested.</p> <p>Section 21 of the Act contains an exemption for information which is reasonably accessible by other means. The information requested in respect of affordable housing provision may be independently researched and sourced by reviewing the information references provided for you below.</p> <p>This information is already publicly available and held in Newham's Core Strategy 2012. Please see the relevant web link where this is available here:</p>

	<p>applications were made by housing associations.</p> <p>5.How many of these applications successfully resulted in the loss or gain in the number of affordable homes? Please state:</p> <p>a.The total number of affordable units that have been lost or gained as a result of the renegotiations</p> <p>b.How this compares with the number originally specified</p> <p>6.How many applications to renegotiate the affordable housing numbers outlined in section 106 agreements has the council received for each of the past five years preceding 1 April 2013?</p> <p>7.How many of these applications successfully resulted in the loss or gain of affordable homes? Please state:</p> <p>a. The total number of affordable units that have been lost or gained as a result of the renegotiations.</p> <p>b. How this compares with the number originally specified</p>	<p>http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Environment%20and%20planning/CoreStrategy2004-13.pdf</p> <p>Please refer to Section H2 Affordable Housing in the document.</p> <p>2. What percentage of the total new homes built since 1 April 2013 are affordable?</p> <p>Please refer to the London Development Database: https://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/planning/london-development-database and the Council's Authority Monitoring Reports: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Environment%20and%20planning/LocalPlanBulletinHousing.pdf</p> <p>3. What percentage of the total new homes built in the local authority area over the five years prior to 1 April 2013 were affordable. Please express this year on year.</p> <p>Please refer to the London Development Database: https://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/planning/london-development-database and the Council's Authority Monitoring Reports: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Documents/Environment%20and%20planning/LocalPlanBulletinHousing.pdf</p> <p>4. How many applications have you received to renegotiate the number of affordable homes required in Section 106 agreements since the Growth and Infrastructure Act became law in April 2013? Please also break this down month on month up to 31 January 2015. If possible, please also state how many applications were made by housing associations.</p> <p>There has been one application, received in May 2014.</p>
--	--	--

			<p>5. How many of these applications successfully resulted in the loss or gain in the number of affordable homes? Please state:</p> <p>a. The total number of affordable units that have been lost or gained as a result of the renegotiations</p> <p>b. How this compares with the number originally specified</p> <p>The full details of the relevant application can be studied on the Planning Portal already publicly available on the Newham website. Please see the relevant web link below and select Appeal reference 14/00097/NONDET</p> <p>https://pa.newham.gov.uk/online-applications/search.do;jsessionid=E4C452C78A0EC74AB0FA525DBB51DE5B?action=simple</p> <p>6. How many applications to renegotiate the affordable housing numbers outlined in section 106 agreements has the council received for each of the past five years preceding 1 April 2013?</p> <p>No applications of this kind were made to us prior to 1 April 2013.</p> <p>7. How many of these applications successfully resulted in the loss or gain of affordable homes? Please state:</p> <p>a. The total number of affordable units that have been lost or gained as a result of the renegotiations.</p> <p>b. How this compares with the number originally specified.</p> <p>Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 6.</p>
22591	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Dongola Road</p> <p>Please provide copies of any Traffic Management</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) are not required for the purpose of</p>

		Orders(TMO) that are in place for the lowering of the pavement to meet the road for use of people with prams and wheelchairs on Dongola Road West, location 5 yards Ip 15.	'lowering of the pavement to meet the road for use of people with prams and wheelchairs', therefore we copies cannot be provided.
22592	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Sitex Orbis Ltd</p> <p>I request information relating to contracts held between LBN and its security services provider, Sitex Orbis Ltd the service provider for security, management and protection of properties managed by LBN.</p> <p>This record of information should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A copy of the contract as in force from August 2011 - Service plan including information on back up support services and service level agreements and how services were delivered by Sitex Orbis - Terms and conditions of the contract - Dates of any notable changes to the service provisions and or contracts in force 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not hold the requested information as we use Sitex Orbis on an ad hoc basis as required.</p>
22605	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Children with SEN</p> <p>1.How many children and young people you have placed in the following:</p> <p>a. Independent SEN Day Schools b. Independent SEN 38week/ term time Residential School Placements c. Independent SEN 52 week Residential School Placements</p> <p>2.For each of the categories in Question 1 above please also tell me how many of these are placed inside your Local Authority boundary?</p> <p>3.Do you have a SEN strategy / plan that you could</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many children and young people you have placed in the following:</p> <p>a. Independent SEN Day Schools 7 b. Independent SEN 38week/ term time Residential School Placements 2 c. Independent SEN 52 week Residential School Placements 0</p> <p>2. For each of the categories in Question 1 above please also tell me how many of these are placed inside your Local Authority boundary?</p> <p>None of the placements detailed in our response to Question 1 are located inside the borough.</p> <p>3. Do you have a SEN strategy / plan that you could send or tell me where I</p>

		<p>send or tell me where I could find it please?</p> <p>4.How do you make both SEN independent day placements and 38/52 week independent residential school placements? Do you have a contract or framework? If you do could you send me the details of this please, such as the name of the contract, contract period and which providers are on it please?</p> <p>5.Who is the lead person / people for making independent SEN placements in your Local Authority? Could you send their details – name, job title, email, phone etc.</p>	<p>could find it please?</p> <p>Please see the attached document.</p> <p>4. How do you make both SEN independent day placements and 38/52 week independent residential school placements? Do you have a contract or framework? If you do could you send me the details of this please, such as the name of the contract, contract period and which providers are on it please?</p> <p>We do not use a framework. Placements are linked to delivering the provision in the statement of Special Educational Needs. This forms the basis of the contracted provision.</p> <p>5. Who is the lead person / people for making independent SEN placements in your Local Authority? Could you send their details – name, job title, email, phone etc.</p> <p>Zeporah Francis Senior SEN manager zeporah.francis@newham.gov.uk Tel : 0203 373 9654</p>
22607	20/03/2015	<p>Subject: Hallsville Quarter</p> <p>Please can I receive an up to date list of all the subcontractors, suppliers and consultants involved with the design and construction of the Hallsville Quarter</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The developers at this site are Bouygues UK.</p> <p>The sub-contractors, suppliers and consultants working on the project are directly appointed and employed by the contractors.</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham does not hold this information.</p> <p>Please contact the property developers directly for further information. www.bouygues-uk.com</p>

22613	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Job Descriptions</p> <p>I wish to have the job descriptions and roles of both the review officer and self directed monitoring officer in SDS Direct Payment Management team.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached Job Descriptions for the SDS Monitoring Officer , Social Care Officer role and Social Worker. Please note, you had requested a 'Review Officer' job description however this post does not exist. We have provided both the Social Worker and Social Care Officer Job Descriptions as reviews are carried out by both of these roles.</p>									
22614	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Telecommuting</p> <p>I should like to request the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Job titles of all senior officers who <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) work from home in this country b) work from home abroad c) list a foreign address as their home or correspondence address 2. Number of staff allowed to telecommute for <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) all of their working hours b) part of their working hours 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None 2. The number of staff who work from home is not information which is recorded centrally on our system, therefore we are unable to provide this to you. It is for individual managers and service areas to agree and determine the working arrangements and flexibility for their staff, including working from home, be it on a ad-hoc, short-term or an on-going basis. 									
22615	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: School Applications</p> <p>For the past 4 academic years (2010/11 through to 2014/15), can you tell me:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How many applications for primary and secondary school places were withdrawn after false information was entered on application forms. If within time constraints, can you please state what kind of inaccurate information was offered for each applicant (i.e. wrong address). - The number of children who failed to get a place at 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>No applications or subsequent offers of places have been withdrawn as a result of false information being given, in the last four academic years.</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the total number of on time applications for school places, broken down by primary and secondary, together with the number of children who failed to secure a place at their first preference of school.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 30%;">Total 'On time' Applications</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 30%;">Offered 1st preference</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 30%;">Not</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Offered 1st Preference</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Secondary</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Total 'On time' Applications	Offered 1st preference	Not	Offered 1st Preference			Secondary		
Total 'On time' Applications	Offered 1st preference	Not										
Offered 1st Preference												
Secondary												

		<p>their first-choice primary and secondary school.</p> <p>- The total number of applications recieved for primary and secondary schools in each academic year,</p> <p>Please can you break the data down by academic year, and split it between primary and secondary schools.</p>	<table> <tr><td>2010/11</td><td>3714</td><td>2849</td><td>865</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2011/12</td><td>3643</td><td>2912</td><td>731</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2012/13</td><td>3693</td><td>3042</td><td>651</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2013/14</td><td>3773</td><td>2954</td><td>819</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2014/15</td><td>3925</td><td>2860</td><td>1065</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2015/16</td><td>4013</td><td>2997</td><td>1016</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6">Primary</td></tr> <tr><td>2010/11</td><td>3707</td><td>3382</td><td>325</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2011/12</td><td>4286</td><td>3720</td><td>566</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2012/13</td><td>4852</td><td>4120</td><td>732</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2013/14</td><td>4515</td><td>4028</td><td>487</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2014/15</td><td>4696</td><td>4262</td><td>434</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2015/16</td><td colspan="2">Not yet available</td><td></td><td>n/a</td><td>n/a</td></tr> </table>	2010/11	3714	2849	865			2011/12	3643	2912	731			2012/13	3693	3042	651			2013/14	3773	2954	819			2014/15	3925	2860	1065			2015/16	4013	2997	1016			Primary						2010/11	3707	3382	325			2011/12	4286	3720	566			2012/13	4852	4120	732			2013/14	4515	4028	487			2014/15	4696	4262	434			2015/16	Not yet available			n/a	n/a
2010/11	3714	2849	865																																																																														
2011/12	3643	2912	731																																																																														
2012/13	3693	3042	651																																																																														
2013/14	3773	2954	819																																																																														
2014/15	3925	2860	1065																																																																														
2015/16	4013	2997	1016																																																																														
Primary																																																																																	
2010/11	3707	3382	325																																																																														
2011/12	4286	3720	566																																																																														
2012/13	4852	4120	732																																																																														
2013/14	4515	4028	487																																																																														
2014/15	4696	4262	434																																																																														
2015/16	Not yet available			n/a	n/a																																																																												
22616	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Parking Enforcement</p> <p>How many parking enforcement penalties have you issued in since 2009 (could you let me know the number of fines for each year including 2009,)?</p> <p>How much revenue have you generated since 2009 (could you let me know the figures for each year including 2009,)?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PCN Issuance</th> <th>Enforcement Revenue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>2013/14</td><td>182,615</td><td>£9,767,591.00</td></tr> <tr><td>2012/13</td><td>196,763</td><td>£10,182,129.00</td></tr> <tr><td>2011/12</td><td>221,220</td><td>£11,199,671.00</td></tr> <tr><td>2010/11</td><td>212,452</td><td>£8,024,652.00</td></tr> <tr><td>2009/10</td><td>215,277</td><td>£8,009,783.00</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		PCN Issuance	Enforcement Revenue	2013/14	182,615	£9,767,591.00	2012/13	196,763	£10,182,129.00	2011/12	221,220	£11,199,671.00	2010/11	212,452	£8,024,652.00	2009/10	215,277	£8,009,783.00																																																												
	PCN Issuance	Enforcement Revenue																																																																															
2013/14	182,615	£9,767,591.00																																																																															
2012/13	196,763	£10,182,129.00																																																																															
2011/12	221,220	£11,199,671.00																																																																															
2010/11	212,452	£8,024,652.00																																																																															
2009/10	215,277	£8,009,783.00																																																																															
22606	20/03/2015	<p>Subject: Care Homes</p> <p>For all Local Authority run elderly care homes in your Local Authority please could you provide the following information:</p> <p>GENERAL</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not have any Local Authority run elderly care homes in the London Borough of Newham.</p>																																																																														

	<p>Name of the care home, Postcode, Phone number</p> <p>BEDS</p> <p>How many beds does the care home have in total? What is the split between dedicated residential and dedicated nursing/NHS continuing Healthcare? How many beds were occupied at the end of January 2015 (or nearest day to this date for which you hold data)? How were these beds split between residential and nursing residents? How were these occupied beds split between LA paid, private paid, and NHS continuing healthcare paid residents? How much is the average weekly fee for a residential placement? How much is the average fee for a nursing placement? How much is the average weekly fee for an NHS Continuing Healthcare placement?</p> <p>STAFF</p> <p>How many fulltime staff does the care home have? How many part time staff does the care home have? What is the average hourly rate of nursing staff? What is the average hourly rate of non-nursing staff? How many hours of care were delivered by non-agency nurse staff in the care home over the past 3 months? How many hours of care were delivered by non-agency non-nurse carer staff in the care home over the past 3 months? How many hours of care were delivered by agency nurse staff in the care home over the past 3 months? How many hours of care were delivered by agency non-nurses carer staff in the care home over the past 3 months?</p>	
--	---	--

		<p>Home Manager</p> <p>Is the current home manager a permanent or an interim one?</p> <p>What is the current salary (including bonus) of the home manager?</p> <p>When did the current home manager join?</p> <p>What was the tenure of the previous 2 home managers?</p>	
22604	24/03/2015	<p>Subject: Pest Control</p> <p>Could you please send me details on how many call outs you have attended when rats have been reported by a member of the public or local business in the last year and also how many call outs you have attended when rats have been reported by a member of the public or local business five years ago.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>In the last full calendar year (1st January – 31st December 2014) a total of 783 call outs in relation to rats were attended to by the Council's Pest Control team.</p> <p>For the calendar year of 2009, a total of 955 call outs in relation to rats were attended to by the Council's Pest Control team.</p>
22603	27/03/2015	<p>Subject: Injuries to children at school</p> <p>1. How many personal injuries claims have been made in total at both primary and secondary schools over the past five years, since September 2009 to date, and how many of those were successful</p> <p>2. The total amount of compensation that has been paid out as a result of successful PI claims made after children were injured while at both primary and secondary schools since September 2009</p> <p>3. A list detailing the cause of each individual incident,</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many personal injuries claims have been made in total at both primary and secondary schools over the past five years, since September 2009 to date, and how many of those were successful?</p> <p>To clarify, Question 1 applies to injuries to children only and that Questions 1 to 3 are claims notified since September 2009.</p> <p>A. We can advise that 54 claims were made of which 5 were successful. Of the 54 claims, 23 claims remain currently under investigation.</p> <p>2. The total amount of compensation that has been paid out as a result of successful PI claims made after children were injured while at both primary</p>

		<p>which resulted in a successful claim, and the amount of money that was paid out per incident in a successful claim since September 2009</p> <p>4. An outline of the procedure carried out by both the school and the local authority once an injury claim has been made.</p> <p>To clarify, Question 1 applies to injuries to children only and that Questions 1 to 3 are claims notified since September 2009.</p>	<p>and secondary schools since September 2009.</p> <p>A. The total sum amount of £25,100 was paid to claimants.</p> <p>3. A list detailing the cause of each individual incident, which resulted in a successful claim, and the amount of money that was paid out per incident in a successful claim since September 2009.</p> <p>A. Whilst class was left alone by teacher one pupil kicked a packing crate which hit the claimant on the forehead causing a cut that required stitches. Sum paid £5,850.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A pupil injured thumb when it became trapped in a foldable goalpost. Sum paid £3,250. • Whilst playing indoor football the claimant was pushed to an already broken window. The claimants arm went through the window where he suffered a bad cut. Sum paid £10,000 • The pupil was returning from a swimming lesson with his class. The teacher of the group needed pass by the claimant to deal with an incident and in doing so injured the claimant by accidentally pushing him into a wall where he struck his elbow. Sum paid £4,000. • Claimant was playing on a scooter in the playground when she fell and injured her chin on the end of the handlebars, alleging that the handlebars did not have protective ends and the sharp metal ends were exposed. Sum paid £2,000. <p>4. An outline of the procedure carried out by both the school and the local authority once an injury claim has been made.</p> <p>A. We cannot comment on the schools' procedures, but can advise that once we receive a claim arrangements are made to set up and process the claim, normally within 24 hours of receiving it. We would then progress the matter to the Council's claims handlers and email the school a copy of the</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>claim with a request for further information/documentation if necessary.</p> <p>A claim investigator may be appointed by our claims handlers to investigate the claim; if not we liaise with the school until the information required is provided. This information would then be forwarded to our claims handlers who would assess and make a decision on liability and contact the claimant or the claimant's solicitors directly.</p>
22617	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Parking Fines</p> <p>1) How many parking fines did your council refund, after a successful appeal, in each of the following reporting years? 2009/10 2010/11 2011/12 2012/13 2013/14</p> <p>2) In £s, what was the total amount of the parking fines that your council refunded in each of the following reporting years? 2009/10 2010/11 2011/12 2012/13 2013/14</p> <p>3) What was the total extra cost to your council of refunding these parking fines (i.e. administration fees) in each of the following reporting years? 2009/10 2010/11 2011/12 2012/13 2013/14</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The number of refunded parking fines after a successful appeal for the following reporting periods is as follows: 2009/10 - 14 2010/11 - 46 2011/12 - 106 2012/13 - 95 2013/14 - 103</p> <p>2. The total amount of parking fines that the council refunded in each of the following reporting years is as follows: 2009/10 - £3,144.32 2010/11 - £8,110.00 2011/12 - £23,341.00 2012/13 - £23,570.00 2013/14 - £23,777.00</p> <p>Please note that the total amounts provided for each reporting year represent refunds after successful appeal.</p> <p>3. Unfortunately, we are unable to provide you with this information as our current reporting system does not record or distinguish this type of data for analysis. We can advise that extra costs incurred to the Council for refunding successful parking fines are duties which are integrated into our Officer's role during the processing and approval of refunds.</p>

22620	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Children's Education</p> <p>1. The number of children you are aware of in your education authority aged between five and 18 years old who are educated by their parents or guardians.</p> <p>Please provide a total for each September for the academic years 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15.</p> <p>2. The number of any other children you are aware of in your education authority aged between five and 18 years old who are educated by any other adult(s) who are not their parents, guardians or teachers at a school.</p> <p>Please provide a total for each September for the academic years 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. The number of children you are aware of in your education authority aged between five and 18 years old who are educated by their parents or guardians.</p> <p>Please provide a total for each September for the academic years 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15.</p> <p>Please see below the requested information for children aged 5 to 16. We do not hold this information for 16-18 year olds.</p> <p>2012/2013 - 149</p> <p>2013/2014- 167</p> <p>2014/2015 - 208</p> <p>2. The number of any other children you are aware of in your education authority aged between five and 18 years old who are educated by any other adult(s) who are not their parents, guardians or teachers at a school.</p> <p>Please provide a total for each September for the academic years 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15.</p> <p>Please see below the requested information for children aged 5 to 16. We do not hold this information for 16-18 year olds.</p> <p>2012/2013 - 9</p> <p>2013/2014 - 34</p> <p>2014/2015 - 44</p>
-------	------------	--	--

22619	26/03/2015	<p>Subject: Looked After Children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How many children in your care have made allegations of sexual abuse (any offence under the Sexual Offences Act 2003) to your services, during this period • How many of these allegations were reported to the police • The ethnicity/nationality of the alleged perpetrator(s) 	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We are contacting you in response to your follow up query, refining the scope of your request in relation to allegations of sexual abuse submitted by children under the Local authority's care.</p> <p>In light of your refined request we consulted the Looked After Children team and requested they conduct a sample of a case to determine how long it would take to compile the information again, with your refined scope of reports received in the past six months. It has been confirmed that as previously advised this would entail a manual retrieval of information from individual files. It was determined that even with the greatly reduced timeframe, still in order to retrieve this recorded information, each file would need to be manually reviewed, although only with the present request, documentation held over the past six months which may hold the requested information. Of the sample file reviewed it was noted by the service a manual review would take two hours to complete to thoroughly read the documentation to determine if such a report had been made. Of course this estimate would vary between individual files as the number and complexity of documentation could vary greatly. It would however, as a minimum, take twenty minutes to read and review a relatively brief file for the requested information.</p> <p>The service confirmed that in the last six months there have been between 416 and 420 Looked After Children under the care of the service. Therefore even with the more modest estimation of time to manually review files, this would amount to in excess of 138 hours of manual review of files. As previously advised, this would still greatly exceed the appropriate limit set out under Section 12 of the Act. The retrieval of recorded information exceeding the eighteen hours prescribed would be chargeable at £25 per hour.</p>
22621	17/03/2015	<p>Subject: Admin Workers</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>Can you please advise who the Neutral Vendor responsible for the supply of temp admin workers for contract to London Borough of Newham is?</p> <p>Also how many admin workers do the councils hire on a average Monthly basis.</p>	<p>1. Adecco procure temporary agency workers on behalf of the Council.</p> <p>2. We have detailed below the administrative and clerical live assignments per month for the last 4 months which are as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">October 2014 - 75 November 2014 - 85 December 2014 - 82 January 2015 - 83</p>								
22626	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Private Landlords</p> <p>How much has been paid in incentives to private landlords in order to secure accommodation for homeless households by your authority in</p> <p>i) 2012/13 ii) 2013/14 iii) so far in 2014/15?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see the table below which details the total expenditure on incentives to private landlords in securing properties for homeless applicants for the requested years.</p> <table style="margin-left: 40px;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Expenditure</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012/13</td> <td>£565,767.81</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013/14</td> <td>£398,330.40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014/15</td> <td>£261,840.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The reduction in the level of spend reflects the reduction in the number of private rented sector properties that have been able to be sourced.</p>	Year	Expenditure	2012/13	£565,767.81	2013/14	£398,330.40	2014/15	£261,840.00
Year	Expenditure										
2012/13	£565,767.81										
2013/14	£398,330.40										
2014/15	£261,840.00										
22622	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: Building Contracts</p> <p>I am trying to ascertain whether the contracts below have been awarded and if so, are you able to tell me who to?</p> <p>1. Single storey extension to the front of the school,</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Q1: This has been tendered and the contract has been awarded to Paul Happe Construction. Q2-4: These contract have been put out for tender but not yet awarded.</p>								

		<p>William Davies Primary School, Stafford Road, London, E7 8NL. Submission date 30/5/14</p> <p>2. Refurbishment works to a tower block, Green Point, Water Lane, London, E15 4NQ. Submission date 5/12/14</p> <p>3. External fabric and roof renewals, electrical works to communal areas, floor coverings and entrance door. Castle Point Tower, Boundary Road, London, E13 9PW. Submission date 5/12/14</p> <p>4. External fabric and roof renewals, electrical works to communal areas, floor coverings, entrance door and new lift. 8-118 Seymour Road, London, E6. Submission date 5/12/14</p>	
22623	19/03/2015	<p>Subject: OLM Eclipse</p> <p>1. Do you plan to upgrade your children's social care case management system to OLM Eclipse?</p> <p>2. If you answered yes to question 1, what is the cost of this upgrade?</p> <p>3. When is your planned go live date with Eclipse (if applicable)?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. No 2. N/A 3. N/A</p>
22652	04/03/2015	<p>Subject: Staff</p> <p>Request for information under the freedom of information act.</p> <p>Please could you tell me how many female staff you have with the name beginning with the letter A</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We have reviewed your request, and have decided to exempt it under Section 14 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p> <p>14 Vexatious or repeated requests (1)Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request</p>

			<p>for information if the request is vexatious. (2)Where a public authority has previously complied with a request for information which was made by any person, it is not obliged to comply with a subsequent identical or substantially similar request from that person unless a reasonable interval has elapsed between compliance with the previous request and the making of the current request.</p> <p>We consider your request as frivolous as it appears to lack serious purpose.</p>
22631	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Mobile related complaints</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The number of complaints trading standards has received in relation to mobile phone companies, phone sellers/vendors, the sale of sim cards, or the sale of mobile phone contracts. 2. Please provide a breakdown of this by name of company, year and type of complaint. 3. The outcome of each complaint. 4. Details of any fines, penalties, restrictions, loss of licence or any action issued by trading standards in relation to phone companies or phone sellers or anyone selling sim cards or phone contracts. 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 139 2. Please see attached spreadsheet. 3. Our system does not record this data, therefore each case would need to be interrogated by an officer to compile the requested information regarding the outcome of the case as well any further details on any action taken. This would exceed the appropriate limit as set out in the Freedom of Information Act 2000. <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. As above

22633	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Zaki Snooker Palace</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How many TENS were requested in 2013 and 2014? - How many were granted in 2013 and 2014? - What were the reasons for any TENS being declined? - Is it possible to get a copy of any recent noise complaints or a synopsis of the problems stated? - Does the noise team have any ongoing issues with the venue? - Does the noise team have any suggestions as to how any issues could be overcome? 	<p>Summary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. TEN's are not requested from the Council, but are notices served on the Council under the provisions of the Licensing Act 2013. Our records show that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - From 1st January 2013 to 31st December 2013 there were 17 TEN's received by the Council - From 1st January 2013 to 31st December 2013 there were 13 TEN's received by the Council 2. The Council does not grant TEN's, they are notifications served on the Council under the provisions of the Licence Act 2003. 3. There were 2 Counter Notices for "late" Temporary Event Notice's served in 2013 under the Licensing Act 2003 on the grounds of "A chief officer of police for any police area in which the premises are situated is satisfied that allowing the premises to be used in accordance with the notice would undermine a licensing objective. ". <p>Counter Notices are served by the Council for TENs which exceed the limits set by the Licensing Act 2003 (e.g. maximum of 12 TEN's per calendar year).</p> 4. Please find attached copies of recent noise complaints. Please note, all personal information has been redacted under Section 40. Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if disclosing the information could potentially result in the identification of individuals. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham. 5. A noise abatement notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 was served on 4th February 2015. This case is still open and monitoring is ongoing to check the compliance with the Notice.
-------	------------	--	--

			6. This is not an FOI. However, by way of assistance, you could seek the professional advice of a suitably qualified acoustic consultant.
22640	16/04/2015	<p>Subject: Secondment Employees</p> <p>How many employees from the private sector are currently seconded at your council? What are the names of the companies that they are from?</p> <p>How many employees from your council are currently seconded at private companies? What are the names of these companies?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We do not have any employees seconded from or seconded to private companies.</p>
22638	27/03/2015	<p>Subject: Streatfield Avenue</p> <p>1. The date when the new sticker was put on the ticket machine number E60 which is situated on Streatfield Avenue.</p> <p>2. How many PCN's were issued on Streatfield Avenue under contravention code 19 between the period of 10th Jan 15 and the date that the new sticker was put on.</p> <p>3. I would also like to know how many PCN's were issued after the new sticker was put on the machine. Using the same amount of days that it took from 10th Jan 15 to the date the new sticker was put on the machine, can you please tell me how many PCN's were issued after the sticker was put on (using the same amount of days as mentioned above).</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. 23/02/2015.</p> <p>2. Between the period of 10/01/2015 to 22/02/2015 a total of 6 Penalty Charge Notices (PCN's) were issued.</p> <p>3. Between the period of 23/02/2015 to 05/03/2015 1 Penalty Charge Notice was issued.</p>
22629	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Staff in Adults</p>	<p>Summary:</p>

		<p>1) How many staff (FTE equivalent) does your local authority adult services department currently employ?</p> <p>2) How many of these are social workers?</p> <p>3) How many additional staff posts (FTE equivalent) do you anticipate will be required in adult services in order to meet the duties introduced by the Care Act in 2015-16?</p> <p>4) How many of these posts do you expect to be qualified social workers?</p> <p>5) Do you anticipate losing any staff posts as a result of the Care Act changes in 2015-16? If so, how many and what type of post do you anticipate will be lost?</p> <p>6) The latest LGA/ADASS stocktake exercise suggests that 94% of councils will have undertaken an analysis of the workforce by January 2015 to assess implications of the Care Act and 91% will have mapped the gaps. Please attach a copy of your local authority's latest workforce analysis and mapping exercise.</p>	<p>I would like to request the following information under the FOI Act. The information relates to the Care Act 2014.</p> <p>1. How many staff (FTE equivalent) does your local authority adult services department currently employ?</p> <p>As of 9th March 2015 the workforce in the Adults Service was 382.8 FTE posts.</p> <p>2. How many of these are social workers?</p> <p>As of 9th March 2015 there were a total of 76 directly employed social workers in Adult Services.</p> <p>3. How many additional staff posts (FTE equivalent) do you anticipate will be required in adult services in order to meet the duties introduced by the Care Act in 2015-16?</p> <p>We have not yet made any decisions regarding additional posts, this will be reviewed during the implementation phase of the Care Act.</p> <p>4. How many of these posts do you expect to be qualified social workers?</p> <p>Not applicable. Please see our response to Question 3.</p> <p>5. Do you anticipate losing any staff posts as a result of the Care Act changes in 2015-16? If so, how many and what type of post do you anticipate will be lost?</p> <p>No. We do not anticipate losing staff as a result of the Care Act changes.</p> <p>6. The latest LGA/ADASS stocktake exercise suggests that 94% of councils will have undertaken an analysis of the workforce by January 2015 to</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>assess implications of the Care Act and 91% will have mapped the gaps. Please attach a copy of your local authority's latest workforce analysis and mapping exercise.</p> <p>This document is not available. Our workforce analysis has been undertaken at an individual officer level through a training needs profile.</p>
22630	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: QA Training</p> <p>Has there been any request to make a purchase of ICT technical training or any other training by any department with QA training from 1st of February 2015. Please state what have they requested to be purchased, by whom and state the exact amount to be yet purchased.</p> <p>2. Currently have any purchase orders been raised or will be raised by any employee of the ICT technical or any other department with QA training since 1st February 2015. Please state exact amount and who has purchased it with the exact requirements and dates selected for the training courses.</p> <p>3. Please state if the ICT technical department or any other department has secured 3 quotes for this training and provide details of what decision making criteria was used to select QA training as the supplier.</p> <p>4. Have the ICT technical training department or any other department searched the web to find and secure new training companies to gain the best value for the taxpayer? Note: There appears to be trend to continuously use QA training for repeatedly buying training courses at a much higher price without even testing the market to see if tax payers can receive savings by finding new suppliers who provide the same</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. Has there been any request to make a purchase of ICT technical training or any other training by any department with QA training from 1st of February 2015. Please state what have they requested to be purchased, by whom and state the exact amount to be yet purchased. No such requests have been made.</p> <p>2. Currently have any purchase orders been raised or will be raised by any employee of the ICT technical or any other department with QA training since 1st February 2015. Please state exact amount and who has purchased it with the exact requirements and dates selected for the training courses. No.</p> <p>3. Please state if the ICT technical department or any other department has secured 3 quotes for this training and provide details of what decision making criteria was used to select QA training as the supplier. Not applicable. Please see our response to Questions 1 and 2.</p> <p>4. Have the ICT technical training department or any other department searched the web to find and secure new training companies to gain the best value for the taxpayer? Note: There appears to be trend to continuously use QA training for repeatedly buying training courses at a much higher price without even testing the market to see if tax payers can receive savings by finding new suppliers who provide the same training with the same quality at a much lower price. The ICT division use suppliers that accept software assurance training vouchers and which provide value for money.</p>

	<p>training with the same quality at a much lower price.</p> <p>5. If the ICT department or any other department purchased a skills licence how have they gone about justifying the decision to pre-purchase a block of training without even securing a similar bulk purchase discount price from other providers ?</p> <p>6. We believe that any remaining budgets being utilised from 1st of Feb 2015 are purely to utilise remaining budgets of the ICT and other departments without a genuine/real training requirements. (I.e. budget dumping).This is a gross waste of taxpayers' money, are the buyers in the organisation aware of the consequences of unlawfully spending taxpayers money?</p> <p>7. We also believe that ICT and other departments are continuously purchasing new skills licence training credits to extend their unused ICT technical training credits, has the buying manger in ICT or another department made a purchase in the last 3 years with a view to extend their skills licence unused credits ?</p> <p>8. How exactly does the tender or the three quote process work within your council? Also when and where was this openly advertised? How many suppliers were short listed? What formal mechanism was used to select the supplier? Was any pricing information shared with QA training to match or beat the price and thus any unfair advantage was given to QA training?</p> <p>9. My research shows that all departments (Inc.ICT) must be fully scrutinised to see if the current procurement processes are designed to give an unfair</p>	<p>5. If the ICT department or any other department purchased a skills licence how have they gone about justifying the decision to pre-purchase a block of training without even securing a similar bulk purchase discount price from other providers ? The ICT division do not purchase skills licences or pre-purchase training. We have a license for use of CBT Nuggets which was purchased many years ago.</p> <p>6. We believe that any remaining budgets being utilised from 1st of Feb 2015 are purely to utilise remaining budgets of the ICT and other departments without a genuine/real training requirements. (I.e. budget dumping).This is a gross waste of taxpayers' money, are the buyers in the organisation aware of the consequences of unlawfully spending taxpayers money? Yes.</p> <p>7. We also believe that ICT and other departments are continuously purchasing new skills licence training credits to extend their unused ICT technical training credits, has the buying manger in ICT or another department made a purchase in the last 3 years with a view to extend their skills licence unused credits ? No. The ICT division do not purchase skills licences or pre-purchase training.</p> <p>8. How exactly does the tender or the three quote process work within your council? Also when and where was this openly advertised? How many suppliers were short listed? What formal mechanism was used to select the supplier? Was any pricing information shared with QA training to match or beat the price and thus any unfair advantage was given to QA training? Where the spend is below £5,000, officers must be able to show that they have obtained value for money. For spend between £10,000 and £100,000 we obtain at least three quotes or formal tenders. Training is acquired on a need basis. We do not share pricing information with any supplier.</p> <p>9. My research shows that all departments (Inc.ICT) must be fully scrutinised to see if the current procurement processes are designed to give an unfair advantage to the existing training provider(s) thereby resulting in a</p>
--	---	---

	<p>advantage to the existing training provider(s) thereby resulting in a loss to the taxpayers and I will proactively monitor this for the coming year to see who/how the training contracts are awarded.</p> <p>10. Please provide full details with name, telephone number of the purchasing manager or any other responsible manager who allocates budgets and decides on how ICT or training is purchased.</p> <p>11. Please provide any formal documentation which clearly states the policy which should be adopted by the organisation to purchase ICT technical or any other training.</p> <p>12. Please provide an escalation process for public complaints to investigate how ICT technical training has been purchased.</p>	<p>loss to the taxpayers and I will proactively monitor this for the coming year to see who/how the training contracts are awarded. Statement.</p> <p>10. Please provide full details with name, telephone number of the purchasing manager or any other responsible manager who allocates budgets and decides on how ICT or training is purchased. John Friend, Assistant Director and Head of ICT Operations, 020 3373 1897</p> <p>11. Please provide any formal documentation which clearly states the policy which should be adopted by the organisation to purchase ICT technical or any other training.</p> <p>Where the spend is below £5,000 officers must be able to show that they have obtained value for money. This record is in proportion to the spend and may be a simple word document or spreadsheet showing where from and what prices were obtained. Newham Contract Standing Orders require that where an assessment of the proposed cost of the goods or services to be procured exceeds £5,000 (but is below £50,000) that formal request for a quote be obtained.</p> <p>More information available here: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Procurement-how-we-buy.aspx#Lowvaluepurchases</p> <p>12. Please provide an escalation process for public complaints to investigate how ICT technical training has been purchased.</p> <p>In the first instance Strategic Procurement should be contacted at the email address below, should you have an reservations as to how a contract has been purchased. The relevant email is eprocurement@onesource.co.uk</p>
--	--	--

22634	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Parking consultation for the Woodgrange Estate</p> <p>I would like the following information:</p> <p>All agendas, minutes of meetings, notes of telephone calls, emails, faxes relating to the decisions surrounding the parking consultation for the Woodgrange Estate.</p> <p>In particular, I would like any agendas, emails, faxes, notes of telephone calls and minutes of meetings relating to the decision of officers to refuse to allow all those streets east of the Balmoral Road to be accepted into the resident parking zone.</p> <p>I would also like to request all agendas, emails, faxes, notes of telephone calls and minutes of meetings relating to the decision to embark on a second consultation exercise.</p> <p>Finally, I would like details, broken down by amount of all the costs associated with the two consultations.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please see attached Scheme of Delegations which includes the requested information.</p> <p>Please note, all personal information, including names of junior officers and signatures, have been redacted under Section 40. Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if disclosing the information could potentially result in the identification of individuals. Third party personal data is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act. Disclosure would therefore contravene the first data protection principle, which requires that personal data shall be processed fairly and lawfully by the London Borough of Newham.</p>
22644	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>A complete list of all commercial properties within your region The Company name of the occupants The billing authority reference number for each property The current rateable value of each property The current rates payable of each property The start date of occupation Please note where the occupant in receipt of Small Business Rates Relief Retail Relief</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to the information relating to individual business accounts and the different rates of relief applicable. Operationally we do not require our systems to be capable of compiling such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account and further in relation to</p>

		<p>Charitable Rates Relief Empty Property Relief Discretionary Rate Relief Other Reliefs</p>	<p>any reliefs applicable to the account. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
22632	23/03/2015	<p>Subject: Postal Services</p> <p>1) How much did your organisation spend on postal services in 2013/14 (or most recent annual period)?</p> <p>2) What postal service provider(s) did your organisation use in 2013/14 (or most recent annual period)?</p> <p>3) What is the duration of your existing postal services contracts (if any), and when do they end?</p> <p>4) Do you intend to use Crown Commercial Services Postal Services Framework RM1063 when your current arrangements end?</p> <p>5) Do you currently or intend to utilise Hybrid Mail Services*? If yes, please provide details of the supplier.</p> <p>6) Please provide the name and/or job title of the key</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1) £550,000</p> <p>2) Royal Mail</p> <p>3) We are contracted with the Pan London Postal Services Contract</p> <p>4) No</p> <p>5) No</p> <p>6) The Council has a tendering process dealing with all contracts, details for upcoming tenders are advertised on the Council website: http://www.newham.gov.uk/Pages/Services/Procurement-how-we-buy.aspx</p>

		decision maker pertaining to the selection of Postal Services Providers?	
22641	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: 236A Grange Road</p> <p>I am writing in terms of the New Road & Street Works Act for information on which Utility had an opening notice/permit to carry out street works (excavation) at or near to O/S 236A Grange Road, London, E13 0HG.</p> <p>Could you please go back 6 years as we are investigating a latent damage to Virgin Media apparatus at this location.</p> <p>Also if possible give the date of when and if any resurfacing works been carried out by yourselves.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Please find attached the notices/permits related to works in the immediate vicinity of 236 Grange Road, Plaistow, E13 from between 18/03/2009 and 09/03/2015.</p> <p>Please note we do not hold any records of any resurfacing works found to be carried out by the local authority at this location in the requested time frame.</p>
22642	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Habilitation Services</p> <p>1. How many children with a vision impairment live in London Borough of Newham?</p> <p>2. Are habilitation (mobility and independence) services available to children and young people with vision impairments living in London Borough of Newham?</p> <p>a) yes b) only in certain cases –please give details c) no</p> <p>The following questions are only relevant if you responded a or b to the above question:</p> <p>3. In the last 6 months how many children and young</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>1. How many children with a vision impairment live in London Borough of Newham?</p> <p>Our records show 190 children living in the borough with vision impairment.</p> <p>2. Are habilitation (mobility and independence) services available to children and young people with vision impairments living in London Borough of Newham? Yes</p> <p>3. In the last 6 months how many children and young people have accessed habilitation services, broken down into the following age groups?</p> <p>* 0-5: 2 children * 6-11: 32 children * 12-16: 20 children * 16-18: n/a * 18-25: n/a</p>

	<p>people have accessed habilitation services, broken down into the following age groups?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0-5 • 6-11 • 12-18 • 18-25 <p>4. Does your habilitation service include the teaching of:</p> <p>a) early movement skills b) sensory, spatial and body concepts c) cane training d) route learning e) independent living skills?</p> <p>5. What eligibility criteria do you operate for habilitation in your local area?</p> <p>6. Do the people who deliver habilitation have specialist qualifications specifically in habilitation?</p> <p>a) Yes – all b) yes - some c) no d) staff are working towards their registration as a Habilitation Specialist with Habilitation VI UK (the professional body)</p> <p>7. Is your habilitation service available to children and young people in the following settings:</p> <p>a) home, b) school c) community settings</p>	<p>4. Does your habilitation service include the teaching of:</p> <p>a) early movement skills -Yes b) sensory, spatial and body concepts - Yes c) cane training - Yes d) route learning - Yes e) independent living skills - Yes</p> <p>5. What eligibility criteria do you operate for habilitation in your local area?</p> <p>A child is eligible for consideration if s/he has a difficulty with mobility and independence which is attributable to their vision.</p> <p>Assessment is based on what impact intervention would have and then evaluating the risk of no input given as follows Broad rankings put children in one of 4 categories 1 Low 2 CYP may not fulfil potential 3 Definite risk of not fulfilling potential; Potential risk to safety and wellbeing 4 Definite risk to safety and wellbeing</p> <p>A slightly different system operates with very young children where the service acts proactively and on a preventative basis using dynamic assessments.</p> <p>6. Do the people who deliver habilitation have specialist qualifications specifically in habilitation?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>7. Is your habilitation service available to children and young people in the</p>
--	---	--

		<p>d) during school holidays</p> <p>8. Do children and young people with vision impairment in your area receive habilitation assessments as a matter of course at key stages, such as when transferring between schools or settings?</p> <p>a) yes b) no c) only in certain cases – please give details</p>	<p>following settings:</p> <p>a) home - Yes b) school - Yes c) community settings - Yes d) during school holidays - Yes</p> <p>8. Do children and young people with vision impairment in your area receive habilitation assessments as a matter of course at key stages, such as when transferring between schools or settings? Yes</p>
22676	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Unused council owned land</p> <p>I am submitting a Freedom of Information request regarding the exact location and size of Council owned land that is either derelict or unused. This includes land that has buildings on as well as land that is just unused or not managed and classified as derelict or waste land.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act we have the right to refuse a request for information held if an exemption applies. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and have decided to refuse the information you requested.</p> <p>The borough is currently undergoing extensive regeneration and ongoing development, which means that there are higher levels of empty properties and land. Empty properties, both residential and commercial are more likely to be and have been subject to illegal occupation (squatting) and have been broken into and any saleable materials such as cooper and other fittings removed. Also premises that are the subject of squatting have resulted in an increase in anti-social behaviour and noise issues, which have a significant impact on local residents and adjoining properties.</p> <p>We therefore consider that disclosure of the exact location of empty properties is likely to lead to an increase in the levels of crime and disorder. It is our view that Section 31(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 applies to this request. This section provides that information is exempt from disclosure if such disclosure would prejudice the “prevention or</p>

			<p>detection of crime". To provide addresses of empty properties would in our view compromise the security of the buildings concerned and could enable criminal behaviour.</p> <p>By disclosing the addresses of vacant properties and land, the Council exposes neighbourhoods to the anti-social behaviour/criminal activities associated with such issues. Our Safety and Enforcement Team and the Police are currently doing all they can to deal with these problems and the Council is not minded to add to this problem by disclosing the details of known empty properties into the public domain.</p>
22679	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Plashet Grove road works</p> <p>Overlooking the busy junction of Katherine Road and Plashet Grove. There are currently extensive roadworks taking place by subcontractors on behalf of LBN? The works are to upgrade the traffic lights, resite the pedestrian crossings etc. What is the total cost of this project to LBN and thereby the cost to us the Council Tax paying public? Is any of the money coming from TFL or the government? If so, what is the breakdown?</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>The current junction improvement works at the junction of Plashet Grove and Katherine Road is estimated at a total cost of £90,000 and is funded by Transport for London (TfL). This funding forms part of their allocation to the Council for the Katherine Road corridor improvements, which is nearing its completion. Newham works include realigning crossings, reconstruction of footway, resurfacing of carriageway and fitting of pedestrian countdowns.</p> <p>Transport for London also separately funds and implement their own contractors for the renewal of all signal equipment and connections at this junction.</p> <p>Should you require any additional information in this respect please contact TfL directly at LONDONSTREETS@tfl.gov.uk for the contractors and cost details.</p>
22686	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Children in Domestic Violence families</p> <p>1. How many children they have had taken into care from families where there has been a history of domestic violence in: 2012/13 and 2013/14.</p> <p>2. What proportion this is of the total number of</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Children are taken into care for a variety of reasons. We do not record on our system the reason for children being taken into care, as it could be a number of reasons, not just one single option. To provide the information you have requested, it would require an officer to manual trawl through and review several hundred cases to determine those which have had a 'history</p>

		<p>children taken into care in: 2012/13, 2013/14.</p> <p>3. How many children are known to the youth offending team who from families where there has been a history of domestic violence in: 2012/13 and 2013/14.</p> <p>4. What proportion this is of the total number of young people known to the local youth offending team in: 2012/13 and 2013/14.</p>	<p>of domestic violence'. As such, it is not possible to collate the requested data within 2.5 days.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p> <p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p>
22682	30/03/2015	<p>Subject: Business Rates</p> <p>1) Address of the property 2) VOA Billing Authority Number (BA Reference Number) 3) Name of the Ratepayer 4) 2010 rating list value £ 5) Tape of the Relief granted for 2014/2015 ie: Empty property, Small Business Rates Relief, Mandatory, Discretionary or None Also if it is possible without too much expense or too much time being incurred 6) Local Authority Reference Number 7) Occupancy date for the current Ratepayer</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>Our computerised Business Rates system is not designed for or capable of reporting on the detail of information you have requested in relation to individual business accounts and the rateable value. Operationally we do not require our systems to compile such reports as they are not utilised in our administration of individual accounts.</p> <p>In order to retrieve this information and confirm this, an officer would be required to manually interrogate each of our accounts individually, which are in the region of 6,500, to be able to identify and manually compile the level of detail you have requested for each account. This manual retrieval would greatly exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>Under the Freedom of Information Act the Council has a right to refuse a request for information held if the cost of complying with a request exceeds the appropriate limit. The appropriate limit for local authorities is £450 or 2.5 days or 18 hours. We believe in this case such an exemption applies and has decided to refuse your request for information under section 12(1) of the Act.</p>

			<p>12 Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit (1) Section 1(1) does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>The general information requested in relation to business rates accounts is already accessible through the information publicly available on the Valuation Office Agency website. Please see the relevant web link below: http://www.voa.gov.uk</p>
22778	31/03/2015	<p>Subject: Manor Park's Greenhill Centre Budget Gym</p> <p>May I please have an update on the budget gym the Manor Park community has been expecting on the site of the Greenhill Centre. I gather the councillors pledged £850,000 towards this project, which is expected to be opened by May 2015.</p> <p>As there is no evidence of building work taking place I would like to have an update on the status of this project, who is involved and if it is on schedule.</p>	<p>Summary:</p> <p>We can confirm that works are underway and at present are currently on target for an opening in late May 2015.</p>