

## Neighbourhoods Comments

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/017	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			The proposed Vision includes a number of design principles to encourage improved connectivity between sites, improved cycling and walking and active access to green space and the River. Abrdn supports these principles in the vision, to be developed through appropriate masterplanning and design guidance.	Support noted.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/018	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Abrdn largely support the draft vision for Gallions Reach and Beckton Riverside as identified at Neighbourhood Policy N1. This includes the creation of a new community, supported by the DLR, to include homes, employment, public transport, green space, community and cultural facilities.	Support noted.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/019	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Abrdn support the vision to build upon the existing retail offer, subject to appropriate masterplanning and phasing, to maintain the commercial viability of the existing site.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/020	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			The Vision currently suggests the new Town Centre will all be delivered on the former Strategic Industrial Location. This is incorrect. Gallions Reach Shopping Park is a major part of the new Beckton Riverside area and is not a Strategic Industrial Location. This should be amended to 'some of which will be delivered on the former Strategic Industrial Location'.	This policy wording has now changed to remove reference to the former SIL to assist with clarity of the vision. Please see the new wording in N17.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/021	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			The plan should continue to provide a framework which allows for the ongoing asset management of Gallions Reach Shopping Park to continue, whilst masterplanning for the new town centre takes place. There should not be an 'in principle' objection in policy to asset management which does not prejudice the delivery of the new town centre.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as until the DLR contract is let and transformational development can occur in the northern part of the site allocation, including Gallions Reach Shopping Park, the shopping park remains an out of town centre retail park and will be treated as such in policy. To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/022	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Abrdn support the proposals for enhanced connectivity and the principle of working as part of the team on the DLR business case.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/023a	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			4			Abrdn would support the principle of reducing car parking across the site in combination with promoting other sustainable public transport initiatives such as increasing EV charging only where the development of Gallions Reach Shopping Park comes forward as part of a broader site redevelopment.	Support noted.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/023b	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			4			[Reducing car parking] Any such works would have to be appropriately phased to ensure this does not prevent the ongoing operation of the Shopping Park to optimal capacity. The shopping centre has a wider catchment than other nearby town centres and will continue in part to be used by car borne customers. It does not have the highly localised catchments of other new town, district and local centres.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as until the DLR contract is let and transformational development can occur in the northern part of the site allocation, including Gallions Reach Shopping Park, the shopping park remains an out of town centre retail park and will be treated as such in policy. To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/024a	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			14			Abrdn support the principle of improving Armada Way to enhance conditions for walking, cycling and public transport to improve links to Gallions Reach station...	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/024b	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			14			[principle of improving Armada Way]...so long as this is pursued in a manner that does not inhibit or restrict the continued function and asset management of Gallions Reach Shopping Park.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as until the DLR contract is let and transformational development can occur in the northern part of the site allocation, including Gallions Reach Shopping Park, the shopping park remains an out of town centre retail park and will be treated as such in policy. To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/025	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			26			Abrdn supports the principle of ensuring new development is supported by the necessary infrastructure, however any education requirement should directly relate to the delivery of the new community and sequencing of new homes. At this stage of the Reg 18 consultation, no information contained within the draft policy sets out the proposed housing numbers or mixes - it is therefore not possible to establish the projected educational need and how the requirement for two schools has been identified in both development scenarios.	Support noted. The educational requirements are addressed in more detail in the infrastructure requirements of the site allocation. These have been informed by the Places for All report, which has an established methodology for identifying the need for future school places which includes use of the local plan's housing trajectory.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/026	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>Abrdn object to the manner in which the proposed allocation for Gallions Reach is worded. The draft policy is currently framed to prevent any development of the Site before a decision is made on the DLR extension. This is an unreasonable position and does not maximise the opportunity presented by a significant brownfield site in this location. To require the 'outcome' of the DLR as a trigger point for development of the Site, without any indication of key dates for this decision making process or inclusion of a backstop position, effectively stymies any development coming forward for an uncapped period. If the DLR outcome / decision making process was delayed for any reason the policy as currently drafted does not allow for any phases of development to proceed. This is contrary to national policy, which would support the redevelopment of previously developed land on its own merits.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/027	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>It is essential that any future Local Plan policy enables development to come forward in advance of a decision on the DLR. The DLR Business Case is strengthened substantially by the ability for early delivery on both the Abrdn and St William land before the DLR proceeds. The policy should be amended to allow for an early phase of delivery of up to 2,000 units before any decision is made on the DLR. Such a policy demonstrates commitment to the delivery of the site which would be imperative at the Transport and Works Act Order (TWAO) inquiry. This would also enable Abrdn and St William to proceed with an outline planning application to capture this first phase, associated infrastructure and cement the need for separate masterplanning for the remainder of the site. Abrdn are aware that having a supportive planning policy and outline planning permission in place will demonstrate commitment of the Council and developers at the TWAO inquiry, enabling true early delivery of the town centre and demonstrating the scheme is viable, deliverable and can be appropriately funded.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). It is also considered that the letting of the DLR construction contract remains the most appropriate trigger for the more transformational stage of development. This trigger has been accepted in other similar transport-led redevelopments, including the Old Kent Road Area Action Plan. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/029	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The development of this site should be seen in three phases, to maximise the chance of delivery, viability of appropriate infrastructure and funding support. These phases are 'early phase' delivery which is not predicated on a DLR outcome, and then a broader masterplan which is likely to split across multiple phases but enables development before the DLR construction is complete, and final phases once the infrastructure is in place.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/030	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The first stage should be an 'early phase' which Abrdn and St William have evidenced can be achieved up to 2000 units including the new Town Centre adjacent to the Shopping Park. The cap can be split explicitly between landowners in terms of 900 to Abrdn and 1,100 to St William. The areas in which this initial phase can be built should also be defined in the Policy.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>Within Gallions Reach Shopping Park, this should be the part of the park closest to the proposed DLR next to Armada Way. This is often referred to as the 'Phase 2 Land'. This land would be restricted in area as it would also be the location of the proposed District Centre. The residential would be flexibly designed to incorporate mixed use commercial space at ground floor level.</p>	<p>This policy change has not been made. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site, including the transformation of an out of town retail park into an accessible district centre, remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/032	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>This early phase development safeguards the DLR land. Delivery of this 'early phase' should be allowed to commence (including the submission and determination of a planning application) in tandem with the DLR decision making process. The early phase should not be delayed or predicated on the outcome of the DLR as development needs to start on the ground in advance of the DLR to ensure there is a community on site for the DLR to serve from the outset. Without this, it will be very difficult to bring forward the DLR as a capital project.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/033	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Once a decision has been made on the DLR by the TWAO, the policy should allow for appropriate masterplanning to take place, including the existing Gallions Reach Shopping Park. The phasing of a larger masterplan would naturally allow for continued development during the DLR contract and construction processes, to ensure a sense of place and critical mass are already established on site when the DLR arrives. It is likely that the final stage of delivery will take place following the construction of the DLR route and station.	This policy approach has not changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the letting of the DLR construction contract remains the most appropriate trigger for the more transformational stage of development. This trigger has been accepted in other similar transport-led redevelopments, including the Old Kent Road Area Action Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/034	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Abrdn submit that the development of Gallions Reach (Policy N1) is only viable if development is allowed to come forward before the outcome of the DLR, and to prevent this would only serve to jeopardise the TWAO inquiry.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/035	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			Abrdn support the flexible mix of uses identified at the start of the Development Principles section, which includes residential development, industrial and employment uses, community and education uses, leisure uses, open space and town centre uses.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/036	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			<p>Abrdn support the development of a new Town Centre – to meet District level as defined in the London Plan and subject to demand at the appropriate time. The new Town Centre should encourage a mix of uses, to meet local catchment needs for retail, leisure, services and community uses. Beckton Riverside is currently identified as a ‘Future Major Town Centre’ in the London Plan Town Centre Network Table A1.1. This reflects that Gallions Reach Shopping Park operates as a strategic shopping destination overlapping the catchment areas of both district and local centres in the Borough, as reflected in the Policy. The park retains that unique ability to serve other Newham communities . The new Town Centre should be located on the Abrdn land in all development phasing scenarios to ensure it is appropriately placed for the existing and new communities, and appropriately positioned for the new public transport infrastructure. Subject to demand, the new Town Centre should be delivered from the outset. Abrdn object to the wording in the proposed policy which delays the delivery until the second phase (in the DLR funding secured scenario).</p>	<p>This policy approach has not changed. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). Delivery of some development in the northern part of the site and the enhancement of an out of town retain park into a mixed use Town Centre before a transformative Transport intervention is secured, risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/037	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			The development principles should be updated to include specific reference to evidence based assessment of site capacity and the policy document should include development targets for each site allocation – including housing and employment need. The policy should be flexible enough to enable the site(s) to come forward over the course of the plan period. Final design and site capacity should be determined by good design and assessment of site opportunities and constraints, through a comprehensive masterplanning exercise. This process can be phased before and after the DLR.	This policy approach has not changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the approach to site capacities is included in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. Design considerations are included within the Design Principles and Design chapter of the Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/038	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			<p>Abrdn object to the proposed concentration of development activity to the south-east of the allocation land during the first phase of scenario in which DLR funding is secured. In order to deliver a viable development, including the facilities for a new town centre and optimum conditions for enhanced public connectivity, the development phasing must ensure consistent delivery across the land allocation as a whole, including Abrdn's land.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/039	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			<p>Given the physical constraints and limited retail offering of existing district centres in the area, Beckton Riverside will continue to operate at a higher level than a District Centre in the Town Centre Hierarchy. Abrdn considers that the creation of a new District Centre needs to be recognised explicitly, and suggests the following wording:</p> <p>‘New wider range of town centre facilities in south east of Gallions Reach Shopping Park to meet local catchment needs of existing and growing populations to allow phased mixed use redevelopment of the park.’</p>	<p>This policy approach has not changed. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). Delivery of some development in the northern part of the site and the enhancement of an out of town retain park into a mixed use Town Centre before a transformative Transport intervention is secured, risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/040	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			Abrdn support the principle of a phased approach to development at the site, however the policy should be amended to reflect the critical importance of maintaining the ongoing asset management of Gallions Reach Shopping Park to secure its function until comprehensive development comes forward in an appropriate manner.	This policy approach has not changed. Until the DLR contract is let and transformational development can occur in the northern part of the site allocation, including Gallions Reach Shopping Park, the shopping park remains an out of town centre retail park and will be treated as such in policy.
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/041	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			Abrdn would not wish to see any restriction on the mix of uses supported at the existing Shopping Park, which should include leisure and restaurant. These uses will maintain activity and support the ongoing function of the Site. During the transition and creation of a new town centre, mixed commercial uses will be a critical part of the new town centre to provide a sense of place, ground level activation and support the local community. The policy as drafted should be flexible to support mixed commercial uses.	This policy approach has not changed. Until the DLR contract is let and transformational development can occur in the northern part of the site allocation, including Gallions Reach Shopping Park, the shopping park remains an out of town centre retail park and will be treated as such in policy. To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London. It is considered there will be sufficient time for phases of development to occur during the works to build out the DLR and enable the development of a sense of place and activation, especially if a masterplan, suitably conditioned to acknowledge the range of potential transport outcomes and related development options for the site, is pursued by both landowners in advance.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/042	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development Principles			<p>Abrdn propose the aforementioned 'early phase' as a solution to prevent a potential situation arising whereby the DLR station is completed and no built out development is there to benefit from it. In line with pre-application discussions held to date with Newham Council, the potential developable site for this scheme would comprise of a joint masterplan led by Abrdn and St William to ensure delivery over both sites rather than just one. Abrdn maintain that 2,000 units, of which some would be located at the site of the new District Centre, would serve to restrict the overall building footprint of the ground floor town centre uses, and therefore prevent the enlargement of the District Centre beyond that proposed by the Council.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. In agreement with Transport for London, it is considered that the most sustainable location for this early phased development is the part of the site within easy walking distance of Gallions Reach DLR station. Transformation of the rest of the site remains contingent on delivery of the new DLR station and route or similarly transformative (as confirmed by Transport for London public transport intervention). It is considered there will be sufficient time for phases of development to occur during the works to build out the DLR, especially if a masterplan, suitably conditioned to acknowledge the range of potential transport outcomes and related development options for the site is pursued by both landowners in advance.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design Principles			Abrdn support the requirement for a new community development to provide bicycle parking and e-bike charging as well as improvements to bus services . This should be appropriately phased for the masterplan area as a whole, to ensure the continued operation of the Gallions Reach Shopping Park which given its wider catchment area when compared to other nearby town centres will continue in part to be used by car borne customers. It does not have the highly localised catchments of other new town, district and local centres.	Comment noted. Full transformation of this site will require a transformative public transport intervention. Local connectivity improvements are also required in the site allocation and should be delivered alongside each phase of development.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			As currently drafted, Policy N1 (Beckton Riverside) requires the delivery of a new primary and secondary school. Abrdn supports the principle of ensuring new development is supported by the necessary infrastructure, however any education requirement should directly relate to the delivery of the new community and sequencing of new homes. At this stage of the Reg 18 consultation, no information contained within the draft policy sets out the proposed housing numbers or mixes - it is therefore not possible to establish the projected educational need and how the requirement for two schools has been identified in both development scenarios.	Comment noted. The Local Plan is supported by the Places for All report. This is informed by population projections developed by the GLA and informed by our housing trajectory and considers the scale and location of further school places required to support growth.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-072	Barking and Dagenham Council	Reg18-E-072/011	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			15			Regarding the individual neighbourhoods set out in the draft Plan, we have particular interest in [N13 East Ham and] N1 Gallions Reach as bordering areas with residential site allocations proposed...We note the challenge of ensuring both good placemaking and smooth transit through the area in relation to the placement of the bridge and the Beckton Sewage Treatment Works. We welcome continued close working with LBN on delivery and placemaking in this area, and to ascertain any implications that this may have on the social infrastructure needs of the significant developments being delivered/proposed on both sides of the River Roding.	Comment noted. We will continue to work with Barking and Dagenham to address these issues as part of Duty to Cooperate.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/001	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			21			[Change] smell of sewage works	Comment noted. We are working with Thames Water and surrounding landowners to address odour impacts and design measures to minimise exposure to odour from Beckton Sewage Treatment works to a suitable level are a prerequisite for development at N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside to occur
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			27			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041a	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			1			[Keep] All 1 to 27	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041b	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			2			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041c	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			3			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041d	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			4			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041e	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			5			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041f	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			6			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041g	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			7			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041h	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			8			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041i	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			9			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041j	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			10			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041k	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			11			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041l	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			12			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041m	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			13			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041n	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			14			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041o	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			15			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041p	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			16			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041q	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			17			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041r	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			18			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041s	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			19			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041t	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			20			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041u	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			21			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041v	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			22			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041w	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			23			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041x	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			24			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041y	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			25			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/041z	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			26			[Keep] [All 1 to 27]	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			6			[Add] Cultural and entertainment facilities	This policy approach has now changed to clarify the types of uses that would be supported in the town centre which includes these types of uses. Please see the new wording in N17.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/043	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			17			[Add] More open space/wild areas with access	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N17 and N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/044	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			19			[Add] Outdoor exercise parks (with machines)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new publicly accessible open space is addressed through Local Plan GWS1 and GWS5. This includes which includes play and space for informal recreation which can include fitness areas and outdoor gym equipment.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/045	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						[Add] Renewable energy generation zoning at riverside areas, sewage farm and retail areas	This change has not been made. However, a wording change to show support for renewable energy generation has been made elsewhere in the Local Plan. Please see the new wording in Policy CE2 Zero Carbon Development. The Council considers that the solar photovoltaic panels are the most likely renewable energy source in the borough, however it would consider other renewable energy generation proposals on a case by case basis.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/170	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Allow renewable energy generation	A change to this policy approach has not been made. This change was not considered necessary as Newham is supportive of renewable energy generation in the borough, with Policy CE2 Zero Carbon Development specifically supporting the installation of high-quality solar photovoltaic (PV) panels on new and existing buildings. The Council considers it unlikely that other methods of renewable energy generation (such as wind, geothermal, biomass etc.) would be acceptable, given the limited land available – however, any application would be determined on its merits.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/171	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Flood management - enough green space to absorb flood water?	A change to this policy approach has been made. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has undertaken a detailed site assessment and made recommendations on the mitigations required to address flood risk on the site. It has also changed to reflect the findings of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, which has identified the scale of open space provision needed and deliverable on this site to address deficiencies. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/172	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Clipper + pier access	A change to this policy approach has been made. The requirement for the site to safeguard land for a River Pier Landing Facility and River Pier is now included.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/173	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Opening up the Thames Path	Comment noted. The site allocation Design Requirements require development to enable the continuation of the Thames Path through the site and should aid connectivity between the Greenway and the River Thames.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/175	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach		Map				[See annotation on map to suggest DLR extension]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. Please see the map for the site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/056	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			N1.SA1 – since there is a clear need to mitigate air quality from the sewage plant, there should be a dense green barrier to the north, probably a strip of mini forest which can also act as part of a key green chain and important new habitat.	Comment noted. We are working with Thames Water and surrounding landowners to address odour impacts and design measures to minimise exposure to odour from Beckton Sewage Treatment works to a suitable level are a prerequisite for development at N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside to occur.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/001	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside - 84.66 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Tidal breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse - Border Thames - Other Constraints include Borders COMAH site (Beckton Sewage Treatment Works), Historic Landfill, protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			25			Want more places to do activities like creative writing - really like the library	Comment noted. The neighbourhood policy and site allocation for Beckton Riverside require new community facilities to be provided.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Live in Thamestead new DLR would be quicker for us	Support noted.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			19			Would like more parks - we walk to school - replace with more parks	Comment noted. The neighbourhood policy and site allocation for Beckton Riverside require new parks to be provided.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/010	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside Asset Description ZR ROUTE TWR (063A - 93): 400Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: BARKING - WEST HAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and Design Principle and Phasing and Implementation of N17 Gallions Reach.

Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/003a	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			26		<p>Gallions Reach Neighbourhood Area Educational Facilities</p> <p>NHG strongly support the provision of an education facility within the Gallions Reach Neighbourhood, as directed by Part 26 of Gallions Reach Vision (pages 301-302). This identifies that education provision should be provided in the form of an all-through school and primary school in close proximity to Atlantis Avenue and Armada Way. Site Allocation N1.SA1 'Beckton Riverside' provides further detail and sets out the following: "The primary school should be located to the southern part of the site, to the north of Atlantis Avenue. The secondary school and associated shared open space should be located to the north of the DLR depot on the site on the former Beckton gasworks and in close proximity to the new Town Centre and public transport (new DLR station or bus provision).</p> <p>The Outline Permission for Gallions Quarter (ref. 14/00664/OUT) provided an indicative masterplan for a new future school at the corner of Atlantis Avenue and Armada Way as set out in Appendix C. The S106 Agreements for Gallions Quarter and Royal Albert Wharf secured significant financial contributions for a new school within the Albert Basin to support existing and future developments in the area.</p>	Support noted.
-------------	----------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------------	--	--	----	--	---	----------------

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/003b	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Map			Gallions Reach Neighbourhood Area Educational Facilities Given the considerable need for a new school in the local area, as well as on-going discussions regarding the safeguarding of the Thames Gateway Bridge, it is considered crucial that the location of these educational facilities are identified on the plan to ensure effective delivery.	Support noted. A change to this wording has been made to provide greater clarity on the important considerations for the locations of the schools. This relates to proximity to the existing housing developments the primary school will serve and for the secondary school, proximity to public transport and town centre to improve ease of access for students drawn from across the borough. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/004a	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Gallions Reach DLR Station NHG support the overall vision for Gallions Reach Neighbourhood, which includes the objective of extending the DLR and the creation of a new station.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/004b	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			11			Gallions Reach DLR Station. However, there has been significant regeneration in the local area, creating over 1,800 new homes and jobs at Royal Albert Wharf, Gallions Quarter and Albert Island, resulting in unsustainable pressure on Gallions Reach DLR station. [As such, it is considered the existing Gallions Reach DLR station should be improved to facilitate need.] This should be reflected in Part 11 of the vision accordingly.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this policy clause relates to a specific use. However, supporting the improvement of Gallions Reach DLR station has been added elsewhere in the neighbourhood policy. Please the new wording in N17.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/006	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			<p>Thames Gateway Bridge Safeguarding</p> <p>We note that the Council will support the removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge safeguarding upon the extension of the DLR, as set out in Site Allocation N1.SA1 'Beckton Riverside' part 12. However, page 306 states that 'land within the site safeguarded for the Thames Gateway Bridge should continue to be safeguarded unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of State'. A consistent approach to safeguarding should be presented throughout the site allocation to ensure clarity.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site and which would then impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. It also clarifies the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. The policy requirement preventing development from occurring until the safeguarding has been released has been removed reflecting that development which demonstrates to the Mayor of London and TfL that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded may be able to proceed. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements.</p> <p>The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change appropriate as there is no inconsistency. We support the removal of the safeguarding but the responsibility for safeguarding of the land for the Thames Gateway Bridge rests with the Secretary of State on the recommendation of Transport for London and Mayor of London. Newham is not the determining authority and cannot remove the safeguarding.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/032	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			11			<p>[Appendix D] N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside Development principles Page 302 Proposed Suggested Amendments: [copy of points 1-10] 11. supporting the extension of the DLR depot <u>and the improvement of Gallions Reach DLR Station</u>; Reason / Comment [...] NHG support the overall vision for Gallions Reach Neighbourhood, which includes the objective of extending the DLR and the creation of a new station. However, there has been significant regeneration in the local area, creating over 1,800 new homes and jobs at Royal Albert Wharf, Gallions Quarter and Albert Island, resulting in unsustainable pressure on Gallions Reach DLR station. As such, it is considered the existing Gallions Reach DLR station should be improved to facilitate need. This should be reflected in Part 11 of the vision accordingly.</p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this policy clause relates to a specific use. However, supporting the improvement of Gallions Reach DLR station has been added elsewhere in the neighbourhood policy. Please the new wording in N17.</p>

Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/033	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			26		<p>[Appendix D] Proposed Suggested Amendments: [points 12-25 and 27 copied but no suggestions]</p> <p>26. providing education provision in the form of an all-through school and primary school in close proximity to Atlantis Avenue and Armada Way <u>as illustrated on the plan</u>;</p> <p>Reason / Comment</p> <p>NHG strongly support the provision of an education facility within the Gallions Reach Neighbourhood, as directed by Part 26 of Gallions Reach Vision (pages 301-302).</p> <p>The Outline Permission for Gallions Quarter (ref. 14/00664/OUT) provided an indicative masterplan for a new future school at the corner of Atlantis Avenue and Armada Way as set out in Appendix C. The S106 Agreements for Gallions Quarter and Royal Albert Wharf</p> <p>secured significant financial contributions for a new school within the Albert Basin to support existing and future developments in the area. Given the considerable need for a new school in the local area, as well as on-going discussions regarding the safeguarding of the Thames Gateway Bridge, it is considered crucial that the location of these educational facilities are identified on the plan to ensure effective delivery.</p>	<p>Support noted. This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the policy already provides detail on the location of the school. Further detail is provided in the site allocation N17.SA1. There has also been a change in approach in how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are shown on the site allocation maps.</p>
-------------	----------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--	--	----	--	---	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/037	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			13			Support part 13 of policy N1 that supports the provision of a Thames Clipper Pier at Gallions Reach within the Beckton Riverside area	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/038	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Map			[Thames Clipper Pier at Gallions Reach] This must be highlighted on the associated maps on page 303 in the infrastructure requirements section of the allocation.	A change to this policy approach has been made. The requirement for the site to safeguard land for a River Pier Landing Facility and River Pier is now included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/039	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			20			Support part 20 on the promotion of the extending the Thames Path through the neighbourhood and along both the River Thames and the River Roding in this neighbourhood.	Support noted.
Reg18-K-047	Resident	Reg18-K-047/010	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Gallions Reach area should support renewable energy - turbines and solar	A change to this policy approach has not been made. This change was not considered necessary as Newham is supportive of renewable energy generation in the borough, with Policy CE2 Zero Carbon Development specifically supporting the installation of high-quality solar photovoltaic (PV) panels on new and existing buildings. The Council considers it unlikely that other methods of renewable energy generation (such as wind, geothermal, biomass etc.) would be acceptable, given the limited land available – however, any application would be determined on its merits.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-027	Resident	Reg18-T-027/004	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			[Change it] Site directly adjacent to treatment plant not suitable for residential and should be used for relocation of industrial activities from West Silvertown. [NB commented on N11.SA1 but appears to be referring to Beckton Riverside]	Comment noted. This constraint is addressed through policy requirements to ensure that odour and odour mitigation are considered at application stage. This includes requirements in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. N17.SA1 (now N17.SA1) is a large and varied site allocation with a range of different uses, including more and less sensitive uses. Masterplanning for the site will have to consider and address odour and required mitigation. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-027	Resident	Reg18-T-027/005	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Additionally, the safeguarding for the future bridge should be retained regardless of DLR. Removal lacks foresight as transport strategy might change in future with advent of greener vehicles and more effective/safer driving technologies. [NB commented on N11.SA1 but appears to be referring to Beckton Riverside]	This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site and which would then impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. It also clarifies the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change appropriate as responsibility for safeguarding of the land for the Thames Gateway Bridge rests with the Secretary of State on the recommendation of Transport for London and Mayor of London. Newham is not the determining authority and cannot remove the safeguarding.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/045	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						[Add to it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes/additions have been made.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/046	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					[Add to it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes/additions have been made.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/032	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						[Add to it] Please ensure all safe for everyone.	The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council perspective, however the MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/033	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles		[Add to it] ensure all safe and clean for everyone	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/035	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/032	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			N1.SA1 - Beckton Riverside This element of our response focusses on a site within N1.SA1 – Beckton Riverside, known as Armada 2 (the Site). The triangular shaped site is situated north of Atlantis Avenue, and east of Armada Way, London, E6 7AB. The Site offers good opportunity for a residential-led development, including the provision of a new primary school. Its northern boundary is constrained due to the Thames Gateway Bridge safeguarding which prevents permanent development here (1.42ha). The non-constrained land is to the south (1.80ha)	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/033	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The Site [Armada 2] sits in an important location between the Beckton Riverside development site (Berkley Homes) and the completing developments at Gallions Reach/Albert Basin (Notting Hill Genesis), improving access and connectivity between these spaces, as well as existing residential neighbourhoods at Beckton. The Site therefore supports LBNs CWB initiatives and forms a key node within the 15-minute neighbourhood network in the south of the borough, with a focus on creating a coherent and functional mixed-use place.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/034	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The Site sits [Armada 2] within the N1-Gallions Reach neighbourhood area and its vision for significant residential and employment growth, improved and new public transport infrastructure (including a DLR extension), a new town centre and associated community facilities and schools. This vision is supported. Additionally, the Plan's approach to flexible use allocation is welcomed, encouraging a mix and range of both employment uses, non-residential spaces, community facilities and residential development.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/035	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Policy BFN1 (5d) requires the delivery of new open space on the majority of site allocations. This includes new Local Parks of at least 2ha required at N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside. Clarity is requested as to the rationale and methodology deployed in coming to this assessment of need. 2ha feels overly prescriptive until a clear mix of future uses emerges, including split between residential and commercial quantum. This should be part of a wider masterplan approach.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the findings of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which has identified the scale of open space provision needed and deliverable on this site to address deficiencies. A consistent methodology has been used across all sites. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/036	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			14			The focus on increasing active travel, improved transport connections, low traffic and walkable neighbourhoods...are all supported	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/036	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			19			[The focus on]... improved biodiversity...[are all supported]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/036	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			23			[The focus on]... improved air quality [are all supported]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/037	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			<p>The Site [Armada 2] could provide a high-quality educational opportunity for a new primary school to meet local need. The Plan supports provision of a new primary school 'located to the southern part of the site, to the north of Atlantis Avenue'. The revised pupil forecast (Places for All, London Borough of Newham (2022) indicates a likely increased educational need in the Gallions Reach Neighbourhood due to the current local housing trajectory. In the long term the anticipated increased pupil yield from new developments in this area will create additional pressure on school places (both primary and secondary), whilst the Plan identifies N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside as a strategic site to address future primary educational need up to 2038. In particular the Site offers the opportunity to address need linked to the permissions in Albert Basin (for which S106 funding is allocated). This would support Policy CF4 'Education and Childcare Facilities', helping to meet demand which will be unmet by existing planned delivery.</p>	<p>Comment noted. A primary school and secondary school are infrastructure requirements for this site. The primary school should be located at the south of the site as it will also serve residents living in the new developments to the south of the site allocation.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/038	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Whilst educational infrastructure is supported in principle for this Site [Armada 2], it is noted that the funding and delivery route for new school places needs to be urgently addressed. Without it appropriate provision is unlikely to be delivered. As noted in 'Places for All' (2022), it is unlikely that Newham will qualify for future centrally funded free-schools, and there was no Basic Need Grant for Newham for mainstream school places in the latest round of capital allocation announced in spring 2022. Therefore, it is more important than ever that the LPA to maintain flexibility on funding routes and policy positions in securing alternative routes to fund school places, including on developer contributions.	Comment noted. The approach to delivering infrastructure and developer contributions is outlined in policy BFN4.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/039	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Existing cost and viability assessments for delivery of a new Primary School on the Site present a challenging viability gap, one which will only have grown under intensifying cost inflationary pressures.	Comment noted. The approach to delivering infrastructure and developer contributions is outlined in policy BFN4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/043	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The Plan notes that the vision for Gallions Reach will be achieved through new DLR infrastructure and the removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge safeguarding. Whilst the principle of DLR extension is supported, DLR funding is by no means certain and is unlikely to be secured until 2027 at the earliest. The Plan presents two development scenarios on the basis of securing DLR funding and the associated removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge safeguarding designation. This impacts on the development of the Site [Armada 2], for which the following comments are made:	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/044	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Language suggesting that development within N1.SA1 – Beckton Riverside ‘should only occur and be dependent on the outcome of the DLR extension project’ should be removed. In particular the southern portion of N1.SA1, including the Site, allows for potential development pre-DLR delivery. As noted above (in Building Heights), development is not only dependent on DLR investment. Permanent development on the non-safeguarded section of the Site (1.80ha) should occur without the requirement for DLR extension. The Site could therefore be seen as Deadweight development. We would welcome conversations with Newham regarding sequencing of sites.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/045	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge Safeguarding is supported, as is the principle that permanent development should occur on the safeguarding land once this has been released.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/046	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			However, the Plan should emphasise that meanwhile development (i.e. temporary housing, commercial space, green infrastructure etc.) can also occur on the safeguarded land prior to the designations removal (with appropriate measures in place to remove development if a road crossing comes forward). Without meanwhile approaches being supported, the northern section of the Site is likely to be sterilized for the foreseeable future and remain vacant. Meanwhile uses would support an appropriate transition of land use towards permanent development.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy BFN2, already referenced in N17.SA1 Design Principles, requires all phased sites to provide a meanwhile strategy outlining how parts of the site earmarked for later development will be activated. The policy requirement preventing development from occurring until the safeguarding has been released has been removed reflecting that development which demonstrates to the Mayor of London and TfL that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded may be able to proceed. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/047	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			24			The N1-Gallions Reach vision identifies the need for provision of a new electricity substation. This new primary substation is to support growth and development across the Royal Docks OAPF and wider area.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/049	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			24			[This [Gallions 4] is currently designated as open space but is the most appropriate piece of vacant land available to meet the substations development requirements. The open space designation is not supported in this context.] Once completed the substation could provide an opportunity to explore additional public realm/commercial/green infrastructure uses in close proximity to Gallions Reach DLR station.	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be necessary as, as drafted, the Regulation 19 Green and Water Space policies would not prohibit the use of this site for a substation should certain policy criteria be met. The need for this site to be the location of a substation would be assessed at the point an application is brought forward.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/050	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			21			It is noted that the sewage works will be retained, and any environmental impacts will be mitigated through appropriate buffering and design responses. Any changes in the odour contorting from the sewage works need to be appropriately consulted upon and discussed with surrounding landowners.	Comment noted. Requirements to undertake such a study are included in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/005b	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach		4.6				In particular, SEGRO suggests that the profiles for N1 Gallions Reach....are reviewed to reflect the significant industrial holdings contained within these areas.	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood profile contains a sufficient summary of the different types of uses in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/017	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					Beckton Gasworks is an 84.12 acre site located in the east of the borough fronting the River Thames and forming broadly a horse shoe shape. The Site is bound to the north by Armada Way and Gallions Reach Shopping Park, to the north east by Gemini Business Park, beyond which lies the Thames Water Beckton Sewage Treatment Works and wraps around the Docklands Light Railway Beckton Depot which sits broadly within the centre of the Site. An area within the north west of the Site contains the pressure reduction system and is excluded from the site ownership. It is bound to the south by GLA owned land which is currently scrubland. An area of scrubland and a large attenuation pond fronts the River Thames and forms the eastern boundary of the Site. There is limited built form on the Site with the vast majority of infrastructure, including the gasholders themselves dismantled and removed from the Site. A site plan is enclosed at Appendix 5. [See Appendix 5].	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/018	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					The Site is a significant strategic site for both Newham and the GLA located within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area and allocated within a wider site allocation within the existing Local Plan under Site Allocation S01 Beckton Riverside (a copy of which can be found at Appendix 6) and within the draft Local Plan (Regulation 18) as Site Allocation N1.SA1 Gallions Reach (copy enclosed at Appendix 7). [See Appendix 6 and 7].	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/019	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			It presents a significant opportunity to deliver housing and employment growth and regeneration in Newham and based on initial feasibility studies St William believe that the wider site allocation could deliver significant housing for the area and Newham.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/020	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The Beckton Riverside site or Gallions Reach as it is now referred to in the draft Local Plan has been earmarked for a new DLR station however there is currently uncertainty over the funding and delivery timing of the DLR extension. Current and emerging planning policy note that the extent of development at Beckton Riverside will be dependent on the provision of this strategic infrastructure. Consequently, St William have been working in partnership with LBN, GLA and Royal Docks Development Team, TfL and Homes England as part of the Thamesmead and Beckton Riverside Public Transport Programme Steering Group and Delivery Board.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/021	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			[DLR extension] Notwithstanding this, it is believed that an Early Delivery Phase of development which benefits from existing and improved local transport measures such as buses, and its proximity in terms of walking and cycling distance to Gallions Reach DLR station could come forward to kick start regeneration and private sector investment, ahead of or in parallel with the DLR funding bid process and delivery of the new DLR station at Beckton Riverside. It is on this basis that St William have commenced pre-application discussions with planning and policy officers at LBN with the intention of submitting a planning application for the Early Delivery Phase of development by early/mid 2024.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development has now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/170	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						St William supports the designation of the N1 Gallions Reach neighbourhood, and in particular the inclusion of the Beckton Riverside site allocation (N1.SA1) which makes up a significant component of the proposed neighbourhood area.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/171	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						The designation of 15-minute neighbourhoods is supported and considered to be a sound strategy when considering the approach to development proposals looking beyond individual site allocations to ensure the needs of Newham can be sustainably met.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/172	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			St William fully supports the policy principle to transform this strategic site into a new sustainable neighbourhood and actively supports the delivery of an extended DLR line and new station.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/173	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			We understand that the DLR station and extension is a Newham, GLA, TfL and Homes England priority and therefore it should be the preferred policy approach in the Local Plan; however, in the event the DLR improvements do not come forward, the regeneration and development delivery of this site should not be precluded. This needs to be carefully balanced within the policy to ensure both the delivery and longevity of the Plan and its soundness.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/174	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			St William fully supports the policy principle to transform this strategic site into a new sustainable neighbourhood and actively supports the delivery of an extended DLR line and new station.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/175	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Notwithstanding this, the emphasis of the vision text to only be delivered through the scenario of a DLR extension needs to be amended and reflect a phased delivery approach with an Early Delivery Phase that isn't reliant upon transformative transport measures and a non DLR scenario as set out in the draft policy text (N1.SA.1).	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/176	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			The vision and approach within the Beckton Riverside site allocation should more closely align itself with the policy framework for this area that is set out within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside OAPF.	Comment noted. The vision and approach are broadly aligned with the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside OAPF. Noting that the OAPF had to reflect the adopted Local Plan and the emerging Local Plan has responded to new evidence and needs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/177	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Whilst the transformation of Gallions Reach is fully supported, regeneration of this area and housing delivery should not be solely dependent on the delivery of an extended DLR line and new DLR station.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/178	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			It should be more closely aligned with the text outlined within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside OAPF which refers to Beckton Riverside evolving and intensifying to become a Major Town Centre and a cohesive masterplan to be developed for the variety of sites within the site allocation to realise the opportunity of a Major Town Centre <u>supported</u> by a DLR extension.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/179	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Therefore, there should be acknowledgement that a) early stage delivery can come forward in advance of a new DLR station and line extension with local accessibility improvements and/or other transport measures...	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/180	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision	<p>[Therefore, there should be acknowledgement that]....and b) the delivery of this Site could also be achieved by other transformative transport measures. The Site already benefits from access via 2 bus routes that pass through the site and give a frequency of 11 buses per hour (one every 5-6 minutes). Both these buses serve Gallions Reach station and Beckton town centre. Furthermore, a significant portion of the Site to the south is within 960m of Gallions Reach DLR station. This existing accessibility is sufficient to support an Early Delivery Phase of development within this part of the Site without the need for any further major transport measures. It should also be noted that the DLR train frequency is set to increase significantly in the future to circa one train every 4 minutes. Reference to the transformation of this area which is solely reliant on the delivery of a DLR line extension should therefore be removed and reference should be added to the phased delivery of this site which could be supported by other transformative transport measures and local infrastructure improvements. The Berkeley Group proposed amendments to draft policy wording: Gallions Reach will be transformed into a new neighbourhood <u>through phased delivery, supported by transformative transport measures and local infrastructure improvements which could include the proposed DLR line extension and new station, through the delivery of an extended DLR line and</u></p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--	--	--------	--	--



Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/181	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision		<p>The scale of town centre should be set out within the vision in line with the town centre designation within the Regulation 18 draft. That the town centre designation should be consistent with the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area Planning Framework, which refers to a Major Town Centre classification. The Berkeley Group proposed amendments to draft policy wording: [Gallions Reach will be transformed into a new neighbourhood <u>through phased delivery, supported by transformative transport measures and local infrastructure improvements which could include the proposed DLR line extension and new station, through the delivery of an extended DLR line and new DLR station at N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside.</u> The new neighbourhood will include a large number of homes, new and intensified employment and industrial space and] the creation of a new <u>Major</u> Town Centre, [all delivered <u>through the managed release of</u> <del>on</del> the former Strategic Industrial Location. The neighbourhood's riverside location will be optimised, through improved access and landscaping along both the River Thames and the River Roding. The neighbourhood will benefit from new green spaces and improved access to existing green spaces and nature. New development will benefit from new and improved public transport connections and a network of safe, green and accessible walking and cycling routes leading to destinations across the neighbourhood and to the</p>	This wording change has not been made. A change in policy approach has been made to clarify the expected scale of town centre required and flexibility to deliver a larger centre if certain conditions are met.
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--	--	--------	--	--	--

										<p>wider network of neighbourhoods.] A new <u>Major</u> Town Centre will be created, building on the existing retail offer [and the neighbourhood will be supported by new community facilities and schools, <u>which will be subject to a needs-based assessment at the time of delivery</u>]</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/182	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision	<p>Clarification should be added that the former SIL has been identified for managed release. [Gallions Reach will be transformed into a new neighbourhood <u>through phased delivery, supported by transformative transport measures and local infrastructure improvements which could include the proposed DLR line extension and new station, through the delivery of an extended DLR line and new DLR station at N1 SA1 Beckton Riverside</u>. The new neighbourhood will include a large number of homes, new and intensified employment and industrial space and the creation of a new <u>Major Town Centre</u>] <u>all delivered through the managed release of on the former Strategic Industrial Location</u>. [The neighbourhood's riverside location will be optimised, through improved access and landscaping along both the River Thames and the River Roding. The neighbourhood will benefit from new green spaces and improved access to existing green spaces and nature. New development will benefit from new and improved public transport connections and a network of safe, green and accessible walking and cycling routes leading to destinations across the neighbourhood and to the wider network of neighbourhoods. A new <u>Major Town Centre</u> will be created, building on the existing retail offer and the neighbourhood will be supported by new community facilities and schools, <u>which will be subject to a needs-based assessment at the time of delivery</u>]</p>	This policy wording has now changed to remove reference to the former SIL to assist with clarity of the vision. Please see the new wording in N17.
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--	--	--------	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/183	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			Improved access to the River Thames for existing residents of the area and future residents of Beckton Riverside is fully supported.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/184	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			The aspiration for new community facilities and schools should be subject to a needs-based assessment at the time of the delivery of development and should therefore also reflect the scale of development coming forward at that time. Proposed policy wording: [A new <u>Major</u> Town Centre will be created, building on the existing retail offer and the neighbourhood] will be supported by new community facilities and schools, <u>which will be subject to a needs-based assessment at the time of delivery</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the detail regarding the scale and nature of infrastructure requirements is contained within N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/185	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			The vision currently seems solely reliant on a DLR extension; whilst we fully support the intentions of the DLR extension, in the scenario that this does not come forward, the delivery of homes on this strategic site should not be precluded and therefore the vision should reflect this. Regeneration can be achieved through phased delivery and other means of transport improvements.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/186	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision	<p>Furthermore, as currently drafted the vision relies on a new DLR station to enable an uplift in housing density. This does not reflect the text outlined within the OAPF which refers to Beckton Riverside evolving and intensifying to become a Major Town Centre and that a cohesive masterplan should be developed for the variety of the sites within the site allocation to realise the opportunity which will be <u>supported</u> by a DLR extension. The vision should instead acknowledge that a design led approach can be taken to optimising sites and delivering housing in line with Policy D3 of the London Plan and draft Local Plan and that the delivery of this site allocation can evolve or come forward in phases which will be supported by a DLR extension but not relied upon for an uplift in housing density. St William would therefore encourage LBN to remove reference to a station being needed to secure an uplift in housing density. Proposed policy wording: The vision for Gallions Reach will be achieved through <del>the extension of the DLR and the creation of a new DLR station to enable the phased delivery of a housing at a density which is</del> <u>capable of supporting the creation of a new neighbourhood uplift in housing density</u> and the creation of a new <u>Major Town Centre, supported by transformative transport measures and local infrastructure improvements which could include the DLR line extension and new station. The vision for Gallions Reach will be achieved by:</u></p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--	--	--------	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/187	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			Vision			<p>The vision should seek a significant uplift in housing density that is capable of creating a new neighbourhood in line with the Site's designation within the new Gallions Reach neighbourhood. This is further supported by the Site's location within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area and Newham's significant short fall in housing (only being able to demonstrate a 2.69 year housing land supply). The vision should therefore make more reference to housing delivery that is able to support the creation of a new neighbourhood in this location. Proposed policy wording: [The vision for Gallions Reach will be achieved through <del>the extension of the DLR and the creation of a new DLR station to enable the phased delivery of a ]</del> <u>housing at a density which is capable of supporting the creation of a new neighbourhood</u> <del>uplift in housing density</del> <u>and the creation of a new Major Town Centre, supported by transformative transport measures and local infrastructure improvements which could include the DLR line extension and new station. The vision for Gallions Reach will be achieved by:]</u></p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is important for the vision and policy to reflect the vital role the DLR will play in transforming this neighbourhood. However, the policy wording has changed to reflect changes to the site allocation and the potential for a similarly transformative public transport intervention. Please see the new wording in N17.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/190	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			2			The principle of reflecting the previous use of the Site in any future development proposal is supported, and an approach that St William are familiar with from the redevelopment of their former gasworks sites....	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/191	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			2			...however, it should be noted that the former gasholders on site no longer exist and have never been subject to a formal or local heritage designation. This should be made clearer at point 2 and the approach to reflecting the previous use within development proposals should be proportionate. Proposed policy wording: encouraging development to integrate and reflect the historic use(s) of the Site <del>local heritage assets</del> such as the former gasholders and Victorian river piers as features of the neighbourhood's character;	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in policy N17.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/192	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			4			Text at point 4 should be updated to refer to 'existing car parking capacity' to make clear that this is with reference to the existing scenario. Proposed policy wording change: radically reducing <del>existing</del> car parking <u>capacity</u> and the dominance of road infrastructure across the neighbourhood;	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in policy N17.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/193	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			6			Reference to the type of town centre planned for should be made i.e. Major Town Centre in line with the OAPF (point 6). Proposed policy wording change: reconfiguring the existing retail offer to create a new <u>Major</u> Town Centre with significantly reduced car parking, a high-quality and animated public realm, town square and quality walking and cycling links to public transport and the wider neighbourhood;	This wording change has not been made. A change in policy approach has been made to clarify the expected scale of town centre required and flexibility to deliver a larger centre if certain conditions are met.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/194	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			8			Clarification should be added at point 8 that this is with reference to the retained Strategic Industrial Land. Proposed policy wording change: optimising and intensifying the <u>retained</u> Strategic Industrial Location and supporting demand for warehousing and distribution, utilities and transport needs <u>as well as the scope for industrial intensification alongside mixed use development</u> ;	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the boundaries of the Strategic Industrial Location are clearly defined and designated. The approach to industrial intensification on SIL is detailed in local plan policy J1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/195	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			10			<p>Mitigating severance caused by new train tracks, including through the provision of new bridges for walking and cycling (point 10) should only be where feasible and viable to do so.</p> <p>Proposed policy wording change: mitigating any severance caused by new train tracks, including through the provision of new bridges for walking and cycling, <u>where feasible and viable</u>;</p>	<p>This wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as these may be necessary to mitigate any severance caused by new infrastructure. However, the policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N17.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/196	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			15			providing new crossings at Royal Docks Road, Gallions Reach roundabout, Alfred's Way and across the River Roding to reduce severance and to improve connectivity to Beckton and the wider network of neighbourhoods (point 15) should only be where feasible and viable to do so. Proposed policy wording change: providing new crossings at Royal Docks Road, Gallions Reach Roundabout, Alfred's Way and across the River Roding to reduce severance and to improve connectivity to Beckton and the wider network of neighbourhoods, <u>where feasible and viable</u> ;	This wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as improving connectivity with the wider area is a key principle for the neighbourhood, particularly considering the existing road network. mitigate any severance caused by new infrastructure. However, the policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N17.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/197	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			11			Point 11 outlines its support for the extension of the DLR depot (which we assume aligns with the proposed extension to the SIL in this location should the DLR not come forward). It is not clear how big this proposed extension would be however St William raises concern with any further extension to the SIL (which applies to the DLR depot) in such close proximity to the existing Gallions Reach DLR station and which would encroach on St William owned land. The extension of the DLR depot onto St William's land has the potential to significantly reduce any future developable area which is not supported especially owing to the exceptional abnormal circumstances of this former gasworks site and the fact that St William would be seeking to bring forward a Medium Term Delivery Phase using a different transformative transport measure if the DLR line extension and station were not forthcoming. It should also be noted that there may be future opportunities to masterplan a future mixed-use build over the depot. Any such proposal would be subject to finding a satisfactory viable and logistical solution to keep the depot operational during and after any construction works.	Comment noted. The SIL boundaries proposed are those as set out on the policies map and remain the same as those agreed via a statement of common ground with national grid, TfL and the GLA as part of finalising the adopted Local Plan. All current proposals for the DLR extension are within this SIL boundary.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/198	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			12			Point 12 should remove reference to the DLR extension and simply outline its support for the removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge Safeguarding on the basis that both schemes are not related and if funding is not forthcoming for the DLR extension then it is highly unlikely that a road scheme will proceed. It is also understood from the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area Planning Framework that the potential for its removal is already being considered. Proposed policy wording change: supporting the removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge Safeguarding <del>upon securing the extension of the DLR;</del>	This policy approach has now changed to clarify the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. Please see the new wording in neighbourhood policy N17.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/199	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			13			Supporting the provision of a Thames Clipper Pier (point 13) should also be subject to feasibility. Proposed policy wording change: supporting the provision of a Thames Clipper Pier, <u>where feasible and viable;</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy supports a pier should one come forward. Further detail is provided in the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/200	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			17			securing public access to green and blue spaces and nature that are currently inaccessible to the public, including opportunities for water-related and water-dependent activities (point 17) should also be subject to feasibility. There may be technical reasons or reasons of flood risk mitigation that may preclude this in particular public access. Proposed policy wording change: securing public access to green and blue spaces and nature that are currently inaccessible to the public, including opportunities for water-related and waterdependent activities, <u>where feasible</u> ;	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as these requirements are supported by the Green and Water Study which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. Increasing public access across the site is a key part of the neighbourhood vision. Further detail is also provided in the N17.SA1 site allocation as well as the green and water policies.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/201	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			19			Retaining existing mature trees will be dependent on the extent of remediation required on the Site to enable redevelopment which may result in the need for all vegetation including trees to be removed (point 19). Proposed policy wording change: retaining existing mature trees, <u>where feasible</u> and maximising the provision of new open space and green infrastructure and opportunities to increase biodiversity and improve existing Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation, particularly along the River Roding in partnership with	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy GWS4 sets out the requirements where there are exceptional circumstances to justify the loss of trees.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										London Boroughs of Redbridge and Barking and Dagenham;	
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/202	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			25			The requirement at point 25 for new community facilities and places to meet, including a leisure centre and faith facilities should be subject to a needs based assessment. Proposed policy wording change: providing new community facilities and places to meet, including a leisure centre and faith facilities, <u>subject to a needs-based assessment</u> ;	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the need for new community facilities is supported by the evidence in Community Facilities Needs Assessment. The site allocation has been updated to set out the circumstances in which a leisure centre will not be required.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/203	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			26			<p>The requirement for education provision in the form of an all-through school and primary school in close proximity to Atlantis Avenue and Armada Way is too prescriptive. The education provision should be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery and the location of any education provision determined via a design led approach based on the form of the proposals coming forward at the time. As currently drafted the prescribed locations for the proposed schools do not account for various different development scenarios and/or design of the masterplan and is insufficiently flexible (point 26). Proposed policy wording change: providing education provision in the form of an all-through school and primary school in close proximity to Atlantis Avenue and Armada Way, <u>subject to a needs-based assessment at the time of delivery</u>;</p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the need for education facilities is supported by the council's Pupil Place Planning work and further information is provided in the site allocation for N17.SA1. Local Plan Policy S14 has been amended to make sure the right size of school comes forward at the time of development.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/204	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			27			The requirement for a new health hub provision to provide a wide range of health services (point 27) should also be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery of the redevelopment proposals. Proposed policy wording change: providing a new health hub provision to provide a wide range of health services, <u>subject to a needs-based assessment at the time of delivery.</u>	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to a needs based assessment in the infrastructure requirements of the site allocations. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements of N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/205	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Overall, St William welcome the general approach to the Beckton Riverside site allocation N1.SA1 which seeks to encourage the transformation of this site through the delivery of a mixed use neighbourhood including residential development, industrial and employment uses, community and education uses, leisure uses, open space and town centres uses.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/206	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			St William supports a DLR extension as a preferred approach; whilst the site allocation policy seeks to acknowledge a non DLR option, this needs to go further to ensure deliverability and effectiveness of this policy in soundness terms. Whilst the draft policy notes the potential for more phased development on this site, a link to other transport improvement measures to facilitate redevelopment should be included and greater emphasis on the ability for this site to evolve as set out within the OAPF which would be supported by the DLR should it come forward.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development and reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/207	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Greater emphasis should be placed on design led optimisation in line with Policy D3 of the London Plan rather than a view of density being solely reliant on the provision of new public transport infrastructure.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Sufficient emphasis on design-led optimisation in line with London Plan policy D3 has been made, as has emphasis on the requirement for development to be proportionate to the site's connectivity and accessibility by walking, cycling, and public transport to jobs and services (including both PTAL and access to local services) outlined in London Plan policy D2 and London Plan Policy H1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/208	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Whilst wholly supportive of the proposal for a DLR line extension and station in this location, St William would note that the Local Plan and its policies need to be found effective, meaning policy must be deliverable over the plan period; the regeneration and development of the Beckton gasworks site needs to come forward and meet its development potential as set out in the Royal Docks and Becton Riverside Opportunity Area.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development and reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. It is considered this policy is effective and reflects the Strategic Requirements in London Plan policy D2. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/209	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			St William's comments on the draft N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside site allocation are therefore primarily focused on seeking greater support for an Early Delivery Phase of development on this strategic development site that is not reliant on a DLR line extension or any other transformative transport measures.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development and reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/210	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Comments also seek to encourage LBN to consider other forms of transformative transport improvement measures rather than simply focusing on the proposed DLR line extension.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/211	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					A number of other specific comments are also made and suggested revisions to the wording of the site allocation in track changes is provided further below for ease of reference.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/212	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Site profile			Public Transport Accessibility Level: this should make clear that the levels quoted are the existing levels of public transport accessibility. Proposed policy wording change: <u>Existing</u> Public Transport Accessibility	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Where Public Transport Accessibility Levels (PTAL) associated with Site Allocations in the Plan are confirmed to change in the future, this has been included as a future PTAL level. While it is clear from the site allocation that the Public Transport Level of this site may change in the future, subject to potential transport interventions, this is not yet confirmed.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/213	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Site profile			Existing uses: a clearer description of the existing site and uses should be provided given the complex nature of the site and multiple land ownerships. Proposed policy wording change: The site contains remnants of the former Beckton gas works, infrastructure, <del>and an attenuation pond serving Gallions Reach.</del> Gallions Reach shopping park and associated car parking sits to the north of the former gas works site. The Beckton DLR depot sits to the south of the former Beckon Gas works site <u>within retained Strategic Industrial Land.</u> The site contains <del>a range of</del> industrial uses <u>(logistics and warehousing)</u> in the south west corner <u>of the Site also on the part of the site</u> designated as a Strategic Industrial Location. The site also contains larger areas of open space adjacent to the River Thames and the A1020 <u>including and an attenuation pond serving Gallions Reach.</u>	A similar wording change has been made to clarify the existing land uses.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/214	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Reference to the new neighbourhood should be incorporated. Proposed policy wording change: <u>A new neighbourhood with significant</u> <del>r</del> Residential development, industrial and employment uses, community and education uses, leisure uses, open space and town centre uses.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Beckton Riverside is a significant site allocation, but sits within the wider neighbourhood of Gallions Reach. This wording change could create confusion between the two.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/215	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Acknowledgement that development can come forward in phases should also be included. Proposed policy wording: <u>Phased</u> <del>The</del> development of this site <u>with an early phase of development acceptable subject to a capacity threshold to be agreed with the Council. Once the should occur only once the funding outcome of for</u> the Beckton to Thamesmead DLR extension project is <u>confirmed known</u> or another transformative transport measure agreed further development capacity will be supported across the <u>remainder of the Site and must reflect the agreed outcome..</u>	This wording change has not been made but the policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development and reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/216	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>The statement that ‘development of this site can only occur once the outcome of the Beckton to Thamesmead DLR extension project is known and must reflect the agreed outcome’ should be removed and replaced with text that outlines its support for phased redevelopment of this Site including an Early Delivery Phase and evolution of the site allocation as a whole. Proposed policy wording change: <u>Phased development of this site with an early phase of development acceptable subject to a capacity threshold to be agreed with the Council. Once the funding outcome of for the Beckton to Thamesmead DLR extension project is confirmed known or another transformative transport measure agreed further development capacity will be supported across the remainder of the Site and must reflect the agreed outcome.</u></p>	<p>This wording change has not been made but the policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development and reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/217	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			It should also note that development of the Site will be supported by transformative transport measures (rather than a DLR line extension specifically). Proposed policy wording change: <u>Phased</u> <del>The</del> development of this site <u>with an early phase of development acceptable subject to a capacity threshold to be agreed with the Council. Once the should occur only once the funding outcome of for</u> the Beckton to Thamesmead DLR extension project is <u>confirmed known</u> or another transformative transport <u>measure agreed further development capacity will be supported across the remainder of the Site and must reflect the agreed outcome.</u>	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the potential for an alternative transport intervention (if confirmed by Transport for London) to enable development have now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/218	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>The text that currently states that in the first phase once safeguarding has been released, development can occur on the land safeguarded for the road bridge in the south east section of the site does not align with the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside OAPF, which states that development can take place on the safeguarded land as long as it does not preclude the delivery of the road crossing. All applications on this land should be referred to the Mayor and the Secretary of State to direct its removal. We understand this may be being considered. Proposed policy wording change: No development should occur on the land safeguarded for the road crossing <u>without being referred to the Mayor and it has been satisfactorily demonstrated that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded.</u></p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site and which would then impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. It also clarifies the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. The policy requirement preventing development from occurring until the safeguarding has been released has been removed reflecting that development which demonstrates to the Mayor of London and TfL that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded may be able to proceed. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/220	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Employment uses outside of the SIL should not be limited to light industrial but could support local employment spaces such as makers space or other employment generating uses. Proposed policy wording change: Employment uses should be primarily concentrated on the Strategic Industrial Locations <del>and provide industrial floorspace for transport and utilities and warehousing and logistics.</del> <del>Employment uses outside of the Strategic Industrial Locations should be for light industrial uses.</del>	This wording change has not been made. This policy approach has now changed to reflect the requirements outlined in Local Plan policy J1, which are derived from the requirements and growth industries outlined in the Employment Land Review. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/221	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			As noted before, the requirement for education provision and a new health hub should be subject to an up to date needs assessment at the time of delivery. Proposed policy wording change: Development should provide a new primary school and a secondary school <u>subject to an up to date needs assessment</u> . Development should provide a health hub designed to meet NHS needs and standards <u>subject to an up to date needs assessment</u> .	This wording change has not been made. However, this policy approach has now changed to reflect the extent to which further assessments of the scale and nature of the infrastructure is required. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/222	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles		<p>Acknowledgement should also be given to the exceptional circumstances of former gasworks sites, which require substantial remediation to enable the redevelopment of these sites. National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 120 gives “substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs, and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated or unstable land.” London Plan footnote 59 recognises that “some surplus utilities sites are subject to substantial decontamination, enabling and remediation costs. If it is robustly demonstrated that extraordinary decontamination, enabling or remediation costs must be incurred to bring a surplus utilities site forward for development, then a 35% affordable housing threshold could be applied, subject to detailed evidence, including viability evidence, being made available. Former gasworks sites benefit from London Plan policies, and the full weight of the Framework. They represent an opportunity to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict and contaminated land and are subject to substantial decontamination, enabling and remediation costs. Proposed policy wording change: - <u>Development should acknowledge the associated costs of decommissioning and redeveloping a former gasworks site and the relocation of any significant equipment, including the need to</u></p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to require new residential developments on sites with the capacity to deliver ten dwellings or more to provide 50 per cent of the total residential units as social rent housing and 10 per cent of the total residential units as affordable home ownership housing. This change has been made to respond to the ever increasing need for social rented homes in the borough, along with the significant and multiple affordability challenges our residents face. There is no variation in affordable housing delivery requirements according to land use in the amended policy. Please see the new wording in Policy H3. Where this target or family housing targets cannot be met, applicants will need to robustly justify this through the submission of a viability assessment. With regards to surplus utilities sites, exceptional costs associated with decontamination will need to be factored into a development’s residual land value (with scenarios provided demonstrating appraisals for the scheme with and without the decontamination cost), as well as taken into consideration in a development’s benchmark land value.</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---

										<p><u>address any environmental pollution and on-site decontamination requirements caused by the gas works</u><sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup> This wording was proposed and adopted in the Tower Hamlets Local Plan review for 3 gasworks site allocations.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/228	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			As with the development principles, the same design principles to any development scenario and there should not be a differentiation between whether DLR funding is forthcoming or not. All design principles should follow a design led approach that optimises delivery in line with the London Plan	This change to this policy approach has not been made. Design-led optimisation in line with London Plan policy D3 has been taken into consideration, as has emphasis on the requirement for development to be proportionate to the site's connectivity and accessibility by walking, cycling, and public transport to jobs and services (including both PTAL and access to local services) outlined in London Plan policy D2. As such it would be inappropriate for the design of development on this site to be unaffected by the delivery of a new transformative transport intervention.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/229	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			The location of any education provision should not be prescribed within the site allocation as the requirement, delivery and timing of any education provision should reflect the phased approach to delivery, and should be subject to a needs based assessment.	This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the important considerations for the locations of the schools. This relates to proximity to the existing housing developments the primary school will serve and for the secondary school, proximity to public transport and town centre to improve ease of access for students drawn from across the borough. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/230	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Any education provision should follow a design led approach based on the nature of the development coming forward at the time. <del>Any</del> <u>The primary and secondary school provision and associated shared open space should be located in the optimum location to the southern part of the site, to the north of Atlantis Avenue. The secondary school and associated shared open space should be located to the north of the DLR depot on the site on the former Beckton gasworks and with priority given to its location in close proximity to the new Town Centre and public transport (new DLR station or bus provision).</u>	This wording change has not been made. However, this his policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the important considerations for the locations of the schools. This relates to proximity to the existing housing developments the primary school will serve and for the secondary school, proximity to public transport and town centre to improve ease of access for students drawn from across the borough. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/231	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Similarly the location of open space should not be prescribed...Proposed policy wording change: Additional significant public open spaces should be provided <u>across the Site at the northern boundary of the site and around the attenuation pond adjacent the Thames.</u> <del>These</del> <u>These</u> new public open spaces should have the scale, function and design of large Local Parks.	This wording change has not been made. This policy approach has now changed to reflect the findings of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which has identified the key green infrastructure assets which should be protected and enhanced across the site. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Design Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/232	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			....and the size of the open space should be proportionate to and appropriate for the level of proposed development.	This wording change has not been made. This policy approach has now changed to reflect the findings of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which has identified the scale of open space provision needed and deliverable on this site to address deficiencies. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/233	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Infrastructure requirements: The Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside draft Opportunity Area Planning Framework indicates that the potential to release the safeguarded area will be considered. This should be acknowledged within the infrastructure requirements text. It should also be noted that the release of this land could result in additional mixed use development opportunities which could act as a gateway to the Beckton Riverside site allocation.	This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site and which would then impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. It also clarifies the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. The policy requirement preventing development from occurring until the safeguarding has been released has been removed reflecting that development which demonstrates to the Mayor of London and TfL that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded may be able to proceed. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East	Reg18-E-136/234	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Phasing and implementation			Phasing and implementation: phasing should be early, medium and long term. Proposed policy wording change: <u>Short to</u> Medium to long term.	This wording change has not been made. As outlined in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note only sites with planning permission are phased in the short term.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	London Limited										
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/382	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Vision			The Berkeley Group proposed amendments to draft policy wording: The sewage works will be retained and any environmental impacts will be mitigated through appropriate buffering and design responses. The remaining industrial land will be intensified and continue to attract industrial, utilities, storage and distribution uses utilising the good accessibility to the strategic road network <u>as well as identifying where industrial intensification might be able to take place alongside mixed use development including residential.</u>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as Strategic Industrial Location for optimisation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the sewage treatment works, waste management facilities, Gemini Business Park, DLR Depot and the business parks to address the borough's needs on industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review as well as serving as an appropriate buffer against the adjacent Beckton Sewage Treatment Works. Co-location of housing in strategic industrial land is not supported in any location in the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/383	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Site profile			Proposed policy wording change: Heritage Designations: <u>Within site allocation:</u> Beckton Archaeological Priority Area (Tier 3) Royal Docks Archaeological Priority Area (Tier3) In proximity of: Gallions Hotel (Grade II* listed) The Royal Standard (Locally listed) Pumping Station Gallions Roundabout, Royal Albert Way, Beckton, London, Newham, E6 6FZ (Locally listed) The Ferndale Public House (Locally listed) 2-100 Winsor Terrace, Beckton, London (Locally listed)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is considered that the site profile is clear on which heritage assets are within the site allocation.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/384	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Proposed policy wording change: The type and quantity of town centre uses should be consistent with a <del>District</del> <u>Major</u> Town Centre designation. It should be located on a portion of the Gallions Reach Retail Park. <u>The development of the new Town Centre must follow the requirements in HS1.2.</u>	This wording change has not been made. A change in policy approach has been made to clarify the expected scale of town centre required and flexibility to deliver a larger centre if certain conditions are met.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/385	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles		<p>Proposed policy wording change:  <del>Where funding is secured for a new DLR station, development should meet the following principles:</del>  <del>–Development should occur in two phases, with the second phase only able to commence once the DLR construction contract is let.</del>  <del>In the first phase:</del>  <del>–Development activity should be concentrated in the south-east section of the site.</del>  <del>Significant development and redesign of Gallions Reach Retail Park should not occur and its ongoing function should be protected.</del>  <del>–Once safeguarding has been released, development can occur on the land safeguarded for the road bridge in the southeast section of the site.</del>  <del>–Employment uses should be primarily concentrated on the Strategic Industrial Locations and provide industrial floorspace for transport and utilities and warehousing and logistics.</del>  <del>–Employment uses outside of the Strategic Industrial Locations should be for light industrial uses.</del>  <del>–Development should provide a primary school and a secondary school.</del>  <del>–Development should provide a health hub designed to meet NHS needs and standards.</del>  <del>–A retail and community facilities cluster consistent with a Neighbourhood Parade designation should be provided at a point between the new District Centre and Albert Basin Local Centre.</del></p>	<p>This wording change has not been made but a change to the policy approach has been made to remove reference to a no-DLR scenario. As such there are only design principles relating to a pre-DLR scenario and once the DLR contract has been let. Please see the new wording in N17.SA Design Principles.</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--

										<p>In the second phase: Development activity on the remainder of the site can commence.</p> <p>– A new Town Centre should be delivered, consistent with a District Centre designation.</p> <p>In all of the above scenarios:</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/386	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: - Design of the site should ensure legibility and connectivity across <del>any</del> <u>the new rail line, where feasible.</u>	This wording change has not been made. This design principle is considered deliverable and necessary to deliver high quality, legible and connected development.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/387	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: In all of the above scenarios: - The Site should <u>take account of the development aspirations for the wider site be designed and developed comprehensively</u> in accordance with Local Plan Policy BFN2 <del>reflecting the final outcome of the Beckton to Thamesmead DLR extension project.</del>	This wording change has not been made as it would create a circular statement. This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity that masterplanning must consider the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site, which would impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/388	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: <u>Any required</u> <del>The</del> health hub, leisure and larger community facilities should be located in the most accessible part of the site alongside other town centre uses.	This wording change has not been made. This policy approach has now changed to reflect the extent to which further assessments of the scale and nature of the infrastructure is required. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/389	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: Smaller community facilities <u>can be located elsewhere in the Site as part of the Neighbourhood Parade and in addition to the required retail provision.</u>	This wording change has not been made. The site allocation wording reflects the spatial strategy for community facilities which seeks to locate them in accessible locations and where they create sustainable hubs of local facilities.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/390	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: The layout of the site should enable the continuation of the Thames Path through the site, <u>where feasible</u> .	This wording change has not been made. This design principle is considered deliverable and necessary to deliver high quality, legible and connected development.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/391	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: The layout of the site should include the extension of the Greenway into the site and should aid connectivity between the Greenway and the River Thames, <u>where feasible</u> .	This wording change has not been made. This design principle is considered deliverable and necessary to deliver high quality, legible and connected development.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/392	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: Design measures should minimise exposure to odour from Beckton Sewage Treatment Works, <u>where feasible</u> .	This wording change has not been made. Design measures to minimise exposure to odour from Beckton Sewage Treatment works to a suitable level are a prerequisite for development to occur.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/393	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			Proposed policy wording change: - Layout of site should respond to the London City Airport noise contours across the site, <u>where feasible</u> .	This wording change has not been made. We did this change to be necessary as this design principle is considered deliverable and necessary to deliver high quality, liveable development.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/394	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Proposed policy wording change: Development should provide a new primary and secondary school of the scales required to meet projected need for school places alongside early years childcare provision <u>subject to an up to date needs assessment</u> , a leisure centre and a health hub designed to meet NHS needs and standards, <u>also subject to an up to date needs assessment</u> .	This wording change has not been made. However, this policy approach has now changed to reflect the extent to which further assessments of the scale and nature of the infrastructure is required. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/395	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Proposed policy wording change: Development should address existing open space deficiency by providing new open space. The development should provide a <del>Local Park</del> to service nearby residential neighbourhoods. Land within the site safeguarded for the Thames Gateway Bridge should continue to be safeguarded unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of State.	This wording change has not been made. The approach to capitalisation is consistent across the Plan.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/396	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Map			Please see figure below [see map in Appendix 13]	The site map for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/410c	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Design principles			[Policy D3] The site allocations for Bromley by Bow, Twelvetrees Park, Beckton and East Ham do not make specific reference to needing to follow a design-led approach and the Berkeley Group would request that LBN ensure this design led approach is transferred through to the site allocations for consistency.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary Local Plan policy D3 applies to all types all of development, including site allocations, and it is therefore unnecessary duplication for it to be referred to in the site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/032	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>The Thames Gateway Bridge Safeguarding Direction (as shown on the adopted Local Plan Policies Map) was made on 4th May 2001 and came into force on 8th May 2001. It has therefore blighted operational land on the western side of Beckton STW for over 20 years and it is understood that there are still no plans for such a bridge. It is understood that TfL are focusing on the Silvertown Tunnel as the top priority road scheme, which is now under construction at the other end of the Royal Docks, and it is planned to open in 2025. We consider that to continue the ongoing retention of the safeguarding in the Local Plan which affects the western side of Beckton STW, evidence to justify the ongoing safeguarding must be provided. To date, we have not seen such evidence in the Local Plan Review consultation document and we would be grateful for any update on progress/new evidence. Unless there are any firm plans/evidence for a new strategic river crossing it is considered that the Safeguarding Direction and designation on the Local Plan policies map should be removed.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site and which would then impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. It also clarifies the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. The policy requirement preventing development from occurring until the safeguarding has been released has been removed reflecting that development which demonstrates to the Mayor of London and TfL that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded may be able to proceed. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirement. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change appropriate as responsibility for safeguarding of the land for the Thames Gateway Bridge rests with the Secretary of State on the recommendation of Transport for London and Mayor of London. Newham is not the determining authority and cannot remove the safeguarding.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/033	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			12			Policy N1.SA1 states: "Land within the site safeguarded for the Thames Gateway Bridge should continue to be safeguarded unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of State." However, this appears to be in conflict with Policy N1:Gallions Reach which states: "12. supporting the removal of the Thames Gateway Bridge Safeguarding upon securing the extension of the DLR	This policy approach has now changed to clarify the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. Please see the new wording in neighbourhood policy N17.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/051	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Map			N1: Gallions Reach - OBJECT. The site adjoins Beckton Sewage Treatment Works (STW), the largest in the country, but this is not clear from the site N1.SA1 allocation plan on Page 300 which is therefore misleading – see comparison with aerial photo below ( Beckton STW outlined blue on aerial photo and red arrow points to Beckton STW inlet works) [see maps in letter]	Comment noted. The site's close proximity to the sewage works is indicated in the site's Design Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/052	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			<p>Policy N1 Part 21 goes on to state: mitigating the odour impacts of the sewage treatment works through appropriate buffering and other design solutions. However, given the proximity to the STW it is highly unlikely that it will be possible to provide mitigation to enable the scale of development envisaged. We therefore object to the level of development envisaged and as set out on the concept plan until it can be demonstrated that it will not be impacted by odour.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there are sufficient policy requirements to ensure that odour and odour mitigation are considered at application stage. This includes requirements in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. N17.SA1 (now N17.SA1) is a large and varied site allocation with a range of different uses, including more and less sensitive uses. Masterplanning for the site will have to consider and address odour and required mitigation. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/053	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The N1 allocation and the Royal Docks & Beckton Riverside OAPF (RDBROAPF) proposes significant new housing, employment development and a new town centre/High Street to the south west of Beckton STW. Given the proximity of this site it is essential that an odour impact study needs to be undertaken as soon as possible in accordance with the requirements of P6 6.2 - Water Supply and Wastewater Infrastructure and the London Plan to determine what odour mitigation is feasible.	Comment noted. Requirements to undertake such a study are included in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/054	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			However, as previously mentioned in consultation responses and at RDBROAPF meetings, given the past major odour mitigation works which have already been implemented, future mitigation options at Beckton STW are limited or may not even be feasible. The most odourous parts of the STW process are already covered and odour controlled i.e. the preliminary screening, primary treatment and sludge treatment is already covered and odour controlled. Mitigation options are therefore very limited at Beckton.	Comment noted. Requirements to undertake such a study are included in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/055	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The majority of remaining uncontrolled emissions are from the secondary treatment plant which are very extremely large surface area tanks, with low area odour emission rates. Secondary treatment tanks are generally not covered in the water industry due to the odour being so weak that there is no need to control it. Therefore, even if it was affordable and deliverable any reduction in odours is likely to be marginal and not affect the ability to bring forward development within the vicinity of Beckton STW .	Comment noted. Requirements to undertake such a study are included in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/056	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			In the likely scenario that sufficient mitigation cannot be implemented at the STW to enable the proposed scale of development then a much larger buffer will be required in line with odour contours and which may limit any odour sensitive development.	Comment noted. Requirements to undertake such a study are included in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached. N17.SA1 (now N17.SA1) is a large and varied site allocation with a range of different uses, including more and less sensitive uses. Masterplanning for the site will have to consider and address odour and required mitigation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/057	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Guidance on odour sensitive developments and less sensitive developments which may be acceptable in an odour buffer can be found in the IAQM document 'guidance on the assessment of odour for planning' <a href="https://iaqm.co.uk/guidance/">https://iaqm.co.uk/guidance/</a>	Comment noted. This guidance is already reference in policy W4 implementation text.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/058	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			For example, it should not be assumed that public open space will be acceptable in an odour buffer, depending on the strength of the odour contours. The proposed allocation should demonstrate how this guidance has been considered in particular we refer the LPA to the IAQM table 2 receptor sensitivity to odours as copied below [see table in letter]	Comment noted. Requirements to undertake such a study are included in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. The IAQM guidance is already reference in policy W4 implementation text. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached. N17.SA1 (now N17.SA1) is a large and varied site allocation with a range of different uses, including more and less sensitive uses. Masterplanning for the site will have to consider and address odour and required mitigation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/059	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The local authority and/or developer should continue to liaise with Thames Water in relation to an odour impact assessment as part of the promotion of the site and at the earliest stage in advance of any allocation or future planning application submission/s. The odour impact assessment would determine whether the proposed development would result in adverse amenity impact for new occupiers. As currently proposed those new occupiers would be located in closer proximity to a sewage treatment works and Thames Water's current position in the absence of any further evidence is that the proposed allocation and development would be unacceptable.	Comment noted. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/060	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/061	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Phasing and Implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/062	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/063	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Phasing and Implementation			<p>Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network. It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a>.</p>	<p>This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of N17.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/064	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not [word missing]). There is significant amount of development in this area so the cumulative impact needs to be considered.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/065	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: There is a sewer in the boundary of the proposed development that may require a buildover.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/066	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Internal comments: Please also see objection to this site in covering letter in relation to the sites proximity to Beckton Sewage Treatment Works and odour impact	Comment noted. This constraint is addressed through policy requirements to ensure that odour and odour mitigation are considered at application stage. This includes requirements in the neighbourhood policy site allocation and policy W4. N17.SA1 (now N17.SA1) is a large and varied site allocation with a range of different uses, including more and less sensitive uses. Masterplanning for the site will have to consider and address odour and required mitigation. Initial work is being undertaken, in consultation with Thames Water, to consider in more detail the potential odour impacts and any required mitigation. We are committed to continuing these discussions to ensure suitable solutions can be reached.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/060	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						We suggest that Beckton Riverside is included in the title for clarity.	A change to the neighbourhood name has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Gallions Reach is the neighbourhood and Beckton Riverside is a site allocation within the neighbourhood. They do not have the same boundaries.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/061	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			4			We strongly support point 4: 'radically reducing car parking and the dominance of road infrastructure across the Neighbourhood'.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/062	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach			13			We support the provision of a riverside pier which would enable river bus services to be extended to the area. The pier and initial operating costs will need to be fully funded through contributions from developments and conform to TfL standards and guidance. Land and rights for access by passengers and for construction and operational purposes must also be provided.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy did not consider the delivery of the pier necessary to enable development. The pier is supported but not a funded requirement as developer obligations may be required to be prioritised for the delivery of other infrastructure and affordable housing.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/063	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						Any large redevelopment at Gallions Reach, with or without a DLR extension to Thamesmead, would likely result in Gallions Reach station requiring a secondary means of egress (and associated platform extensions). The north ends of the platforms are currently non-compliant. While this derogation is acceptable on the basis that trains usually do not arrive particularly full (because it is one stop before the end of the line), it may not remain so if demand increases. This may need to be addressed as part of the potential Thamesmead extension or as a consequence of proposals being brought forward for Gallions Reach. However, it will require further study and developer contributions will be required to fund and facilitate any works. Regardless of any safety issues, a development of sufficient size would likely lead us to request contributions towards platform extensions to spread out passengers, minimise dwell times and manage peak crowding.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect this requirement which is also recognised in the borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy as necessary to enable development Please see the new wording in Neighbourhood policy N17 and site allocation N17.SA1 (Infrastructure requirements).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/064	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						If development levels were high enough, we would likely want to provide additional capacity for Gallions Reach, potentially through uplifts linked to a Thamesmead extension. This would require a funding and delivery strategy.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect this requirement which is also recognised in the borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy as necessary to enable development. Please see the new wording in Neighbourhood policy N17 and site allocation N17.SA1 (Infrastructure requirements).
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/065	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach		4.9				The second and third sentences of 4.9 should be amended for clarity: 'The council, Greater London Authority, Transport for London, Homes England, St William, ABRDN, the Thamesmead Waterfront Joint Venture and the London Borough of Greenwich are proposing to extend the DLR through the neighbourhood and deliver a new DLR station <u>at Beckton Riverside</u> . The DLR would continue <u>over the river to</u> another new <del>DRL</del> DLR station at Thamesmead <u>Central</u> in the London Borough of Greenwich.'	The wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile of N17.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/066	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						We recommend that a potential bus, walking and cycling crossing of the Roding between Beckton and the River Road area in Barking & Dagenham is included. This was identified in the London Riverside Development Infrastructure Funding Study, is included in Figure 8 (page 37) of LBBB's Local Plan (submission version) and in Table 6 and Figure 34 (both page 31) of the "Borough Wide Transport Policies: 2021-2037" in its transport evidence base. This should also be marked on your proposals map.	This change to the Policy Map has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, given that the proposal for a River Roding crossing is at a very early stage of development. The Sustainable Transport Strategy outlined that the Council will review options and the case for using the proposed River Roding crossing (a LBBB proposal) as an intermodal corridor.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/067	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach						Although there are no current proposals, we encourage you to identify and protect the path of a future potential DLR or rail extension from Gallions Reach/Beckton Riverside northwards to Barking (along the corridor of the River Roding/North Circular) if any plans for this link were revived. This (may) also affect the eastern edge of Beckton, East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as at present, there are no live proposals for a northern extension of the DLR towards Barking. TfL has stated that the design of a future DLR extension to Beckton Riverside and Gallions Reach would include provision for a northern extension in future. Improvements to north-south public transport in the east of the borough are being implemented - an express bus route (Superloop SL2) will launch in March 2024, running from Walthamstow to North Woolwich via Barking.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/068	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside					See comments regarding DLR in N1 which would apply to this site [see Reg18-E-095/063 and Reg18-E-095/064]	This policy approach has now changed to reflect this requirement which is also recognised in the borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy as necessary to enable development Please see the new wording in Neighbourhood policy N17 and site allocation N17.SA1 (Infrastructure requirements).
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/069	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			There is no reference to the circumstances in which safeguarded land would be released, or the principle of its release. We welcome some clarity in the local plan on this.	This policy approach has now changed to provide greater clarity on the range of transport infrastructure changes which could occur on this site and which would then impact the scale and nature of potential development and which therefore need to be factored into masterplanning of the site. It also clarifies the responsible bodies for releasing the safeguarding. The policy requirement preventing development from occurring until the safeguarding has been released has been removed reflecting that development which demonstrates to the Mayor of London and TfL that the delivery of a road crossing would not be precluded may be able to proceed. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/070	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The second paragraph of the Development Principles section states that 'The development of this site should occur only once the outcome of the Beckton to Thamesmead DLR extension project is known and must reflect the agreed outcome.' We support this statement in that it seeks to ensure development is linked to new infrastructure provision required to unlock the site and will require developer contributions as part of a wider funding package to support a new DLR station. However, TfL would also support flexibility in the way this is phrased to allow for discussions on limited deadweight development that could take place before a DLR extension was confirmed, as well as the potential sequencing of DLR approval processes and planning applications.	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed to reflect uncertain project timeframes and the desire to enable early sustainable development. Policy wording to support suitably scaled and located deadweight development has now been included. Please see the new wording in N17.SA1 Development Principles.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/071	Neighbourhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			The development principles should include a masterplan approach between land owners, the GLA, boroughs and TfL.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as a masterplan approach is already a requirement set out in the design principles and BNF2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/072	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			It is also unclear if the major centre listed is the same as the district centre referred to elsewhere in the local plan.	This error has been rectified. The Town Centre is being referred to as a district centre throughout the Plan, its potential to provide the quantity and scale of uses consistent with a major centre subject to various conditions, is reflected in the site's Development Principles.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/073	Neighborhoods	N1 Gallions Reach	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			The infrastructure requirements should require a joint stakeholder approach between landowners, infrastructure providers and authorities.	Comment noted. This wording has been included. Please the new wording in N17.SA1 Infrastructure Requirements.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/094	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Unity of Silvertown and North Woolwich. The communities are one but have been separated by the local plan boundary/areas.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this boundary takes into consideration feedback received during the Characterisation Study engagement, which expressed a view that North Woolwich did have a different character to Silvertown, as well as an assessment of the built environment and land uses which highlighted that industrial area provides a transition between Royal Victoria and the rest of the North Woolwich. This boundary also reflects the character areas of the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area Framework. It also avoids site allocations being split between neighbourhoods. However, a change has been made to the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria to acknowledge the variety of views on the boundaries of these neighbourhoods and therefore to recognise the connection between the two neighbourhoods. Please see the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/095	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			13			[Keep] Point 13 - great idea but belongs to LBN so why have it in consultation when it could be improved quicker	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/102	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich			11			[Change] 11. Community market instead of street market	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy wording does not limit the type of market to be provided. See also Local Plan policy HS4 which supports markets.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/103	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Change] Buses to go via Canning Town station. 474	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/104	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Change] Does Councillor McAlmont exist?	Information on how to contact Councillor McAlmont can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgUserInfo.aspx?UID=218">https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/mgUserInfo.aspx?UID=218</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/105	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			16			[Add] 16. More safe cycle lanes connecting to Greenway	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N17.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/106	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			19			[Add] 19. Improving Capital Ring	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improvements to the Capital Ring are already in the policy.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/107	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			21			[Add] 21. Focus on Pier Road and Albert Road on ferry service days	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the policy applies to new development improving and minimising exposure to poor air quality at all times.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/108	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add] Ferry service to be owned by both local council to run more regularly	The Local Plan addresses this topic through protecting the ferry as strategic infrastructure through policy T1. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues at TfL are able to help: <a href="https://tfl.gov.uk/modes/river/woolwich-ferry">https://tfl.gov.uk/modes/river/woolwich-ferry</a>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/109	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich		Neighbourhood boundary				[Keep] North Woolwich and Silvertown to be kept as <u>one</u> community	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this boundary takes into consideration feedback received during the Characterisation Study engagement, which expressed a view that North Woolwich did have a different character to Silvertown, as well as an assessment of the built environment and land uses which highlighted that industrial area provides a transition between Royal Victoria and the rest of the North Woolwich. This boundary also reflects the character areas of the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area Framework. It also avoids site allocations being split between neighbourhoods. However, a change has been made to the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria to acknowledge the variety of views on the boundaries of these neighbourhoods and therefore to recognise the connection between the two neighbourhoods. Please

Comment Response	Comment	Implementation	Justification	Clause	Introduction	Site allocation	Policy	Chapter	Comment Reference	Representor	Representation Reference
	see the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria.										
	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the requirements for health centres in the Local Plan are based on evidence from the NHS on their future requirements.	[Change] 9. Don't need another medical centre improve what we already have		9			N2 North Woolwich	Neighbourhoods	Reg18-Ab-001/110	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/111	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			8			[Change] 8. No need for primary shopping area but develop area for local children and young people	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as primary shopping areas are a policy designation set out in planning policy. They are defined areas where retail development is concentrated.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/112	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			20			[Change] 20. I agree to improve and retaining existing mature trees and more trees that are more effective to absorb CO2 and reduce the fumes and noise from LCY	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did consider this change to be necessary as policy N1 already seeks to retain existing mature trees and increase the amount of green infrastructure in the neighbourhood. The standards and requirements for tree planting are set out in Local Plan policy GWS4.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/113	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			15			[Add] 15. Severe increased noise and dust pollution from factories and metal scraps and bus depot	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as N1 already requires appropriate mitigation and buffering between residential and industrial uses. This is also addressed through Local Plan policy D7 which sets design standards and requirements for neighbourly development.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/114	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add] Keep the library	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as libraries are protected as community facilities through Local Plan policy SI1. However, the Local Plan cannot influence the types of library services provided.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/115	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add] Keep Royal Docks Learning and Activity Centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Royal Docks Learning and Activity Centre would be protected as a community facility under Local Plan policy SI1. It is referenced in the neighbourhood profile.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/116	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			4			[Keep] No.4 focus on green space	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/117	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			4			[Keep] No.4 focus on road	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/118	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			9			[Keep] 9. community facilities	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/119	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			8			[Keep] 8. enhance local centre	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/120	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			13			[Keep] 13. Tate Institute	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/121	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			15			[Keep] 15	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N17.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/122	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			18			[Keep] 18	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/123	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			19			[Keep] 19	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/124	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			21			[Keep] 21	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/125	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			22			[Keep] 22	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Keep] Keep most of it!	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/127	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Boundary between N.W + Silvertown - unite area along s. of docks	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this boundary takes into consideration feedback received during the Characterisation Study engagement, which expressed a view that North Woolwich did have a different character to Silvertown, as well as an assessment of the built environment and land uses which highlighted that industrial area provides a transition between Royal Victoria and the rest of the North Woolwich. This boundary also reflects the character areas of the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area Framework. It also avoids site allocations being split between neighbourhoods. However, a change has been made to the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria to acknowledge the variety of views on the boundaries of these neighbourhoods and therefore to recognise the connection between the two neighbourhoods. Please see the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/128	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Change] Why has TJs the chip shop been left to rot?	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is outside of a town centre network designation and any changes to the units will be managed through Local Plan policy HS3. The Local Plan cannot force landowners to make changes to their properties and can only manage what happens to these units should a planning application be made.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/129	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich			12			[Add] Factor Road riverside - zone to allow renewable energy alongside existing industrial	This change has not been made. However, a wording change to show support for renewable energy generation has been made elsewhere in the Local Plan. Please see the new wording in Policy CE2 Zero Carbon Development. The Council considers that the solar photovoltaic panels are the most likely renewable energy source in the borough, however it would consider other renewable energy generation proposals on a case by case basis.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/144	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Design principles			Trees + greenery + natural surfaces	Support noted. Open space will be required as part of the site allocation N17.SA2 as set out in the Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirement. Detail landscape design will be dealt with in the planning application stage according to the requirement set out in Green and Water Space Policy in the Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/145	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street					Is this going to be a Mayor of London affair we will listen but we do what we want!!!	All comments are being considered in the local plan review process and changes have been made as appropriate. Further consultation will be carried out for planning application submitted at the site in the future.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/146	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Development principles			Comment underlines 'housing'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/147	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Infrastructure requirements			update existing health centre	Support noted. A health centre will be required as part of the site allocation N17.SA2 as set out in the Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/148	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Design principles			more places to sit	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as open space will be required as part of the site allocation N17.SA2 as set out in the Development Principle and Infrastructure Requirement. Detail public realm design including provision of seating will be dealt with in the planning application stage according to the requirement set out in Design Policy in the Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/149	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Development principles			affordable community floorspace	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as newly proposed community facilities may subject to legal Community Use Agreement with the Council to improve public access including prioritising community uses at affordable rates as set out in Policies SI2 in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/150	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Development principles			spaces for young people	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all masterplan should demonstrate how the site will support the delivery of spaces young people can thrive in according to Local Plan Policy BFN2.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/151	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			Rain garden/natural drainage/natural surfaces	The Local Plan already set out requirements for sustainable urban drainage including what you have proposed. Please refer to the Design, Green and Water Space and Climate Emergency Policies in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/152	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Development principles			green space	Open space will be required as part of the site allocation N17.SA1 as set out in the Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirement.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/153	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			trees	Open space will be required as part of the site allocation N17.SA1 as set out in the Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirement. Detail landscape design will be dealt with in the planning application stage according to the requirement set out in Green and Water Space Policy in the Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/154	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			nice paving	Detail hard landscape design will be dealt with in the planning application stage according to the requirement set out in Green and Water Space Policy in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/155	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Development principles			spaces for young people	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all masterplan should demonstrate how the site will support the delivery of spaces young people can thrive in according to Local Plan Policy BFN2 in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/156	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			more places to sit	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as open space will be required as part of the site allocation N17.SA1 as set out in the Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirement. Detail public realm design including provision of seating will be dealt with in the planning application stage according to the requirement set out in Design Policy in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/157	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Map			[see annotation on map in rep Reg18-Ab-001r]	This map change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the area at the junction of Albert Road and Store Road is not in the site allocation boundary.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/182	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich		Neighbourhood boundary				[see annotation on Reg18-Ab-001s about the neighbourhood boundary]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this boundary takes into consideration feedback received during the Characterisation Study engagement, which expressed a view that North Woolwich did have a different character to Silvertown, as well as an assessment of the built environment and land uses which highlighted that industrial area provides a transition between Royal Victoria and the rest of the North Woolwich. This boundary also reflects the character areas of the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area Framework. It also avoids site allocations being split between neighbourhoods. However, a change has been made to the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria to acknowledge the variety of views on the boundaries of these neighbourhoods and therefore to recognise the connection between the two neighbourhoods. Please see the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/183	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[see annotation on Reg18-Ab-001s that draws on 3 sites] What's happening with these?	These sites are designated as a Local Industrial Location. See Local Plan policy J1 for the uses supported on these sites.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/184	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			15			Pavements and roads throughout North Woolwich - Robert Street, Woodman Street	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. You can report a wide range of issues with pavements – including uneven or broken paving slabs, issues with tree pits, damaged utility or drain covers, or issues with street lighting – on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2</a> We will inspect the problem within two working days and carry out any repairs that are our responsibility within 28 days. We have provided the highways team with your comments.
Reg18-T-006	Community Group Representative	Reg18-T-006/015	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			13			[Add to it] Take into consideration keeping heritage - eg redevelopment of the Tate institute - keeping the original architecture where possible -	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy addresses the neighbourhood's heritage assets as well as proposals for the Tate Institute.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-006	Community Group Representative	Reg18-T-006/016	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add to it] Meet with local stakeholders to discuss in more detail -	The Local Plan Consultation and Engagement Strategy sets out the wide range of methods we have used to reach as many as of Newham's residents and other stakeholders as possible. This has included engaging with local stakeholders as part of the community assemblies where local stakeholders were able to tell us about what they liked and what they would change in the relevant neighbourhood policies and site allocations. Further consultation will take place on the final draft of the Draft Local Plan (Regulation 19).
Reg18-T-006	Community Group Representative	Reg18-T-006/017	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add to it] look at transport issues within the area,	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N17.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-006	Community Group Representative	Reg18-T-006/018	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add to it] lack of facilities including access to supermarkets.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change necessary as this is already a requirement of Local Plan policy and the N1.SA2 site allocation to provide a small supermarket for the neighbourhood.
Reg18-T-006	Community Group Representative	Reg18-T-006/019	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add to it] Potential for a community shop/ supermarket	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change necessary as this is already a requirement of Local Plan policy and the N1.SA2 site allocation to provide a small supermarket for the neighbourhood.
Reg18-T-006	Community Group Representative	Reg18-T-006/020	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Infrastructure requirements			[Change it] Again consider transport issues with adding extra homes/ people into the area	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development is expected to minimise impacts on existing transport networks through engagement with infrastructure providers or secured via planning condition according to Local Plan Policy T1 of the Local Plan.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/002	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway - 2.46 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Tidal breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Border Thames - Other Constraints include Aquifers and protected species	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/003	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N2.SA2 Rymill Street - 0.59 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Tidal breach - Other Constraints include Aquifers	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/025	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			Site allocation N2.SA1. HAR status of North Woolwich station should be referenced and text included to welcome and support proposals that resolve this.	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the assets in site allocations which are currently on the Heritage at Risk register. Please see the new wording in the site allocation profile and the Development Principles in site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-012	Lidl	Reg18-E-012/010a	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			8			It is noted that there are no sites allocated for a foodstore of a size large enough for a discount foodstore. North Woolwich (N2)...allocates a between 500 and 800 sqm GIA for a supermarket – which is below the minimum requirements for a discount foodstore (1400-2500 sqm). In order to encourage local residents to shop locally and increase competition within these communities, the allocated site's should be increased in allocated floorspace to ensure the adequate provision of a foodstore which can be used by the local community whilst providing jobs and boosting the local economy.	This policy approach has now changed, to allow for more flexibility in the scale of foodstore provision that can be located in Local Centres, recognising the variety of business models for small and medium convenience store operators. Please see the new wording in policy HS1 and N1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/029a	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			Vision			N2 North Woolwich The overall vision for North Woolwich is supported by the airport...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/029b	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			14			N2 North Woolwich [The overall vision for North Woolwich is supported by the airport], including to aim of overcoming severance issues...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/029c	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			20			N2 North Woolwich [The overall vision for North Woolwich is supported by the airport]...improving green spaces...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/029d	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			17			N2 North Woolwich [The overall vision for North Woolwich is supported by the airport, including...improving green spaces], including the public realm at KGV DLR station.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/030	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						We note that commentary on a potential Elizabeth Line station is found at policy T5 but we suggest it would be better placed in this section, given that a station would benefit the wider area as well as the airport. The commentary found in the annex to this letter regarding the implementation of policy T5 suggests alternative text that could be inserted into this section.	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as discussions with Transport for London and the work undertaken by our consultants SYSTRA for the Sustainable Transport Strategy indicate that an Elizabeth line station at London City Airport is not required to deliver the level of housing and employment growth in the Royal Docks.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/031	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						The airport has previously undertaken a feasibility study to confirm that an Elizabeth Line station can be delivered close to the airport and the airport master plan identifies a potential location. A new station near the airport has the support of housebuilders in the area and the Council has identified the opportunity for an Elizabeth Line station in the 2019 Infrastructure Delivery Plan. While we acknowledge the Council's position on the station is that it should be 'privately funded', there is the opportunity to provide greater emphasis on the location and benefits to North Woolwich in this section, particularly in overcoming the severance issues in the area, supporting further shifts toward sustainable transport and encouraging greater investment in the area. The airport is fully supportive of a new Elizabeth Line station which would also improve connectivity between LCY and the wider London transport network.	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as discussions with Transport for London and the work undertaken by our consultants SYSTRA for the Sustainable Transport Strategy indicate that an Elizabeth line station at London City Airport is not required to deliver the level of housing and employment growth in the Royal Docks.
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/032	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street					We note the Plan's aspirations for the Rymill Street site (N2.SA2) and look forward to engaging with the Council on the best way forward.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-057	London City Airport	Reg18-E-057/054	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						<p>[Annex 1: LB Newham Draft Local Plan – Regulation 18 Consultation LCY SUGGESTED CHANGES TO POLICIES]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page/Policy: N2: North Woolwich, P310</li> <li>• Current Wording: N/A</li> <li>• Commentary: The text concerning an Elizabeth line station should be added under the North Woolwich vision.</li> <li>• Suggested Change: <b>The vision for North Woolwich will be achieved by: ... 23. supporting a privately funded Elizabeth Line station to improve access to the area and encourage sustainable surface access to London City Airport for staff and passengers. However, an Elizabeth Line station in the area is not a prerequisite to deliver housing growth in the Royal Docks.</b></li> </ul>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as discussions with Transport for London and the work undertaken by our consultants SYSTRA for the Sustainable Transport Strategy indicate that an Elizabeth line station at London City Airport is not required to deliver the level of housing and employment growth in the Royal Docks.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/040	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						Policy N2 must include a specific reference on the need to continue to protect the safeguarded Thames Refinery wharf located here, which is utilised by Tate & Lyle a key employer for the borough that makes extensive use of the river as part of its operations.	This approach has now changed to make specific reference to protection of the wharf. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood policy N1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/041	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			22			Linked with this part 22 of policy N2 should also be expanded to ensure new development in this neighbourhood mitigates the noise impacts from the safeguarded Thames Refinery wharf in addition to London City Airport	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already addressed through policy clauses in N1 that requires appropriate mitigation and buffering between residential and industrial uses.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/042	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			19			Support part 19 on maximising opportunities to access the water, including through the extension of the Thames Path	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/043	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			19			The supporting text however must highlight how in some cases there can be challenges in finding the best route near operational wharves and terminals, and that this will require a pragmatic solution to achieving appropriate, safe access around these sites.	Comment noted. Extending the Thames Path remains a key principle for the neighbourhood and an aspiration of the Sustainable Transport Strategy. The exact route of the Thames Path can be determined through the pre-app and planning application process, as well as projects delivered through the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-K-031	Resident	Reg18-K-031/001	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			10			Please can you improve the road junction outside the Police Station. Crossing is too wide for pedestrians, and cars typically travel too fast and don't stop at all.	The Local Plan addresses this through the neighbourhood policy, which supports better walking and cycling conditions in the neighbourhood. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the transport and highways team may be able to help and the detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences (such as speeding) is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-031	Resident	Reg18-K-031/002	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich			19			The riverside walk beside the old pier is very secluded. Something could also be done about the pier itself.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the design principles for the site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-K-031	Resident	Reg18-K-031/003	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich			21			There are a number of derelict houses along Pier Road. There is often litter and rubbish1 piled outside the houses, with rats running around all over the place!	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is outside of a town centre network designation and any changes to the units will be managed through Local Plan policy HS3. The Local Plan cannot force landowners to make changes to their properties and can only manage what happens to these units should a planning application be made.
Reg18-K-031	Resident	Reg18-K-031/005	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich			19			Please fix the North side lift of the Woolwich tunnel	The Local Plan addresses this topic through protecting the ferry as strategic infrastructure through policy T1. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues at TfL are able to help: <a href="https://tfl.gov.uk/modes/river/woolwich-ferry">https://tfl.gov.uk/modes/river/woolwich-ferry</a>
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/047	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						[Add to it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes/additions have been made.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/048	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway					[Add to it] Db	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/049	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street					[Add to it] Eh	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/034	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						ensure all safe and clean for all.	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/035	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/036	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	----------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---

												department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/036	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich							[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/037	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/038	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/015	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						TLS broadly support the text and principles laid out in this section.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/016	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			6			TLS cannot emphasise enough the importance of point 6 of the North Woolwich vision (p306) <i>"The vision for North Woolwich will be achieved by...6. appropriate mitigation and buffering between residential and industrial uses."</i> This issue is dealt with in greater detail below in the context of specific sites.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/124	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/125	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/126	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/127	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/128	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: Rising main running through site that will need to be protected.	This wording change has been made to specify utilities within the site allocation and adding requirement for applicants to consult utilities provider in early planning stage. Please see the new wording in the Site Profile, Design Principles and Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/129	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Development principles			Internal comments: The proposed development is located within 20m of a Thames Water Sewage Pumping Station. Given the nature of the function of the pumping station and the close proximity of the proposed development to the pumping station we consider that any occupied premises should be located at least 20m away from the pumping station as highlighted as best practice in our Codes for Adoption .	This wording change has been made to specify utilities within and in proximity to the site allocation and adding requirement for applicants to consult utilities provider in early planning stage. Please see the new wording in Site Profile, Design Principles and Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/130	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Development principles			Internal comments: The amenity of those that will occupy new development must be a consideration to be taken into account in determining the application as set out in the National planning Policy Framework (NPPF) at paragraphs 170 and 180. Given the close proximity of the proposed development to the pumping station we consider that it is likely that amenity will be impacted and therefore object.	This wording change has been made to specify utilities within and in proximity to the site allocation and adding requirement for applicants to consult utilities provider in early planning stage. Please see the new wording in Site Profile, Design Principles and Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/131	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Design principles			Internal comments: Not with standing this objection, in the event that the Local Planning Authority resolve to grant planning permission for the development, we would request that the following informative is attached to the planning permission: "The proposed development is located within 20m of a Thames Water Sewage Pumping Station and this is contrary to best practice set out in Codes for Adoption ( <a href="https://www.thameswater.co.uk/developers/larger-scale-developments/sewers-and-wastewater/adopting-a-sewer">https://www.thameswater.co.uk/developers/larger-scale-developments/sewers-and-wastewater/adopting-a-sewer</a> ). Future occupiers of the development should be made aware that they could periodically experience adverse amenity impacts from the pumping station in the form of odour; light; vibration and/or noise."	This wording change has been made to specify utilities within and in proximity to the site allocation and adding requirement for applicants to consult utilities provider in early planning stage. Please see the new wording in Site Profile, Design Principles and Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/132	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/133	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street					Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N17.SA2.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/134	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The level of information contained in this document does not enable Thames Water to make an assessment of the impact the proposed site allocations will have on the waste water network infrastructure and sewage treatment works.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/135	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: To enable us to provide more specific comments we require details of the location, type and scale of development together with the anticipated phasing.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/074	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich						We support the provision of a riverside pier which would enable river bus services to be extended to the area. This pier and pump primed operating costs will need to be fully funded through contributions from developments and conform to TfL standards and guidance. Land and rights for access by passengers and for construction and operational purposes must also be provided. For clarity, any riverside pier must be separate from, and not impact, Woolwich Ferry operations nor associated vehicle access and holding areas.	Comment noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Sustainable Transport Strategy did not identify this as a necessary requirement for development to be optimised. Therefore, at the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Any provision of a pier would be subject to the requirements of the transport policies.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/075a	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			16			We also support the principle of Low Traffic Neighbourhoods...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/075b	Neighbourhoods	N2 North Woolwich			14			We also support...and the provision of new bridges for walking and cycling to mitigate the severance caused by train tracks subject to funding being secured.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/076	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			There is existing bus stand and stop space on Pier Road by the ferry terminal within the N2.SA1 footprint that needs to be retained. and its operations safeguarded. including through application of the agent of change principle. TfL is currently discussing with the developer of the site to the north options for this space. but the principle remains that the capacity for operations must be retained in the vicinity and any changes cannot be funded by TfL.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through Local Plan Policy T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in site allocation N17.SA1. However, Local Plan Policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy T1 of the Local Plan. Bus stand is also referenced as existing use in Site Profile of site allocation N17.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/077	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich						The agent of change principle also applies to the Woolwich Ferry and the new bus garage on Factory Road.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/078	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA1 North Woolwich Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			See comment under N2 above regarding the need to retain bus stand space on Pier Road by the ferry terminal.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through Local Plan Policy T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in site allocation N17.SA1. However, Local Plan Policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy T1 of the Local Plan. Bus stand is also referenced as existing use in Site Profile of site allocation N17.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/079	Neighborhoods	N2 North Woolwich	N2.SA2 Rymill Street		Design principles			We support the connectivity requirements, being a new east to west route across the north of the site and a north to south route across the centre of the site.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/053a	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			We support the continued allocation of the Lyle Park West site, of which Ballymore are a major landowner, along with the overarching development principles requiring a residential development, industrial, employment and town centre uses, as well as an extension to Lyle Park.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/053b	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			We also support the provision of public access to the river and would intend to provide a pedestrian and cycle route along the river Thames as part of any forthcoming development on this strategic site.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/057	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Site profile			As set out above, Ballymore submitted a hybrid planning application in September 2021 which covers the southern portion of the Connaught Riverside site allocation, and seeks to deliver an employment buffer building along the eastern boundary of the site, alongside 1,610 new homes, a new Primary School and public open space (application reference <b>21/02450/OUT</b> ). Given that this application was submitted as long ago as September 2021, we are surprised that reference is not made to the application within the 'relevant planning history' section of the allocation and we question why this has been excluded.	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/058	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Development Principles			We support the continued allocation of the site, and the overarching development principles requiring a residential development, with industrial and employment uses, alongside open space, community and education facilities and main town centre uses.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/059	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside					<p>However, we do have significant concerns regarding a number of aspects of the site allocation which directly conflict with the submitted planning application. The 'live' planning application on this site was submitted in <b>September 2021</b> following extensive pre-application engagement with the Council and local community, including six presentations to the Council's DRP, seven pre-application meetings with Officers and three pre-application meetings with the GLA. We are therefore surprised to see that a number of key principles established through the planning application and agreed with Officers through pre-application discussions have not been reflected within the draft site allocation.</p>	<p>Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised below.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/060	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>Firstly, the draft site allocation identifies three areas of open space across the site, none of which align with the submitted planning application which proposes a consolidated area of open space in the south-eastern corner of the site, adjacent to the river. Instead the draft site allocation seeks to secure public open space provided centrally within the site, in proximity to the new school. While we support the provision of open space within this site and recognise the significant benefits to the existing and incoming community, the draft site allocation is in direct conflict with the submitted planning application which has undergone significant pre-application engagement with the Council and DRP to agree key principles such as the location of open space. It is therefore not considered justified to depart from this agreed position within the draft site allocation.</p>	<p>The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how opportunities for open space are represented on the site allocation maps. The location of the open space on this site has also now been amended, reflecting the recommendations of the Green Infrastructure study which requires the provision of a small open space on the site. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/061	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Development Principles			As set out above, we support the designation of the site as a local centre, and the allowance for the provision of a medium sized food store which is missing from the surrounding area (and was one of the most raised issues by residents through our public consultation). However, regarding the requirement for commercial unit sizes to be between 80-150sqm, we suggest that greater flexibility is written into the policy wording to require a range of unit sizes, which are suitable for small and medium businesses.	This wording change has been made. The policy now provides flexibility in unit sizes, to be primarily of the small size recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study (2022) while also allowing for other uses, for example community uses (defined through policy SI1) to be set up in units larger than 150sqm GIA in Local Centres, if justified by local need. The policy approach relating to the size of food stores has also changed to allow for more flexibility in the type of provision which can be located in Local Centres, recognising the variety of business models for small and medium convenience store operators. Please see the new wording in policy HS1.
Reg18-E-077	Ballymore Group	Reg18-E-077/063	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside					Ballymore therefore suggests the above changes should be made to the draft site allocation to ensure the successful delivery of this site allocation.	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised above.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/015	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design Principles			Make sure keep Connaught Road - preserve the mural	This policy approach has now changed to consider options for the re-provision of local art. Please see the new wording in the design principles for site allocation N1.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/016	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Development principles			Culture + entertainment facilities ( <u>not</u> warehouse rave)	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the local centre designation proposed for the site would allow for the provision of community facilities, subject to compliance with policy SI2.</p> <p>Any proposed use for the site will need to comply with relevant High Street policies, including Policy HS5 which relates to the nightie economy and its associated potential amenity impacts, and any licencing requirements (where relevant).</p>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/017	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Map			[see map in rep Reg-Ab-001a which identifies Music Hall on map]	Comment noted. The Music Hall is identified as a listed building on the site allocation map.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/018	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			1			response underlines 'smaller block size' in N3.1	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/019	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5			response circles 'offer proportionate to its local catchment' in N3.5	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/020	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5			response underlines 'impact test' in N3.5	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal	Reg18-Ab-001/021	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5.a			response underlines 'GIA floorspace' in N3.5.a	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Docks Assembly										
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5.b			response underlines 'leisure, tourism' in N3.5.b	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			15			response circles 'extending the Thames Path through the neighbourhood and along the river'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Keep] living round the water is fab	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/025	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] The boundaries are wrong. North Woolwich and Silvertown are on community and always have been. There is no natural relationship with Royal Victoria.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this boundary takes into consideration feedback received during the Characterisation Study engagement, which expressed a view that North Woolwich did have a different character to Silvertown, as well as an assessment of the built environment and land uses which highlighted that industrial area provides a transition between Royal Victoria and the rest of the North Woolwich. This boundary also reflects the character areas of the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area Framework. It also avoids site allocations being split between neighbourhoods. However, a change has been made to the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria to acknowledge the variety of views on the boundaries of these neighbourhoods and therefore to recognise the connection between the two neighbourhoods. Please see the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Why not use the Assembly boundaries - more engagement with local areas - and more confusing it's just another name	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood boundaries have been informed through consultation and analysis undertaken as part of the Characterisation Study. This analysis considers the Community Neighbourhood boundaries as well as a range of other factors including character, different planning designations and land uses and ward boundaries.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/027	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria		Map				[Change] Please make the map clearer	This policy approach has now changed due to review of the maps and the inclusion of road names on the maps. Please see the new maps that accompany the site allocations.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Change] Planning jargon - the policies aren't clear and we don't know what they mean	The Local Plan has been drafted to be clear and concise and uses as plain English as far as possible so that it can be used and understood by a range of users. However, it is also a statutory planning document so this the document and policies are required to use planning language.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/029	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			23			[Keep] Good to see increase in primary schools but what about senior ones?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the draft Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N5 Canning Town and Custom House, on Site Allocation N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5			[Change] What is to stop other units being vacant - meanwhile uses and making sure developers do their research	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the need for a local centre is supported by evidence in the Retail and Leisure Study. Local Plan policy requires new or extended local centres to provide a marketing strategy and meanwhile use strategy to ensure the development helps manage and the maintain the vitality and viability of the centre.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5			[Change] Are leisure facilities open to residents?	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for a leisure centre on this site. However, the policy now supports some water-related or water-dependent leisure uses as part of the mix of uses on the site. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N1.SA1. It should also be noted that leisure centre uses required on other site allocations would be open to the public.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Change] Make sure facilities accessible to people with disabilities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through other Local Plan policies which apply alongside the neighbourhood policies, particularly in the housing, design and community facilities policies, which set requirements to ensure facilities are accessible.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Change] better engagement and turnout	The Local Plan Consultation and Engagement Strategy sets out the wide range of methods we have used to reach as many as of Newham's residents and other stakeholders as possible. Please see the Local Plan consultation report for a summary of the methods used and a summary of who we engaged with based on demographic data.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria		5.a				[Add] Larger supermarket - existing supermarket has smaller range and is more expensive	This policy approach has now changed, to allow for more flexibility in the scale of foodstore provision that can be located in Local Centres, recognising the variety of business models for small and medium convenience store operators. Please see the new wording in policy N17 and the High Street policies.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/035	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Change] Thames Barrier Park café not functioning - why? For over 25 years	The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. Information from the Royal Docks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.royaldocks.london/articles/thamesbarrier23">https://www.royaldocks.london/articles/thamesbarrier23</a>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/036	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5			[Change] 5 - jargon 'offer proportionate'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/037	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			5			[Change] 5 - what's an impact test?	An impact test assesses the impact of a proposal on the vitality and viability of the town centre network. Further detail on the requirements can be found in the High Streets policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Change] achieved by - period when happening?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan sets out how the borough will develop up to 2038. The site allocations include indicative timescales we anticipate sites will be developed.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						Leisure tourism - the daytime music functions (Factory Road basically) are causing lots of antisocial behaviour i.e. excrement in Pontoon Dock DLR station stairwell after event	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy HS5 by ensuring these uses are in appropriate locations and by requiring new proposals to provide an evening and night time operation management plan and be designed to minimise amenity impacts. For existing uses our enforcement team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement</a>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			Open up access to waterside wherever possible	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already a design principle in the site allocation.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			Need to control dust + dirt + debris from scrap yards	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires a buffer to the west of the site to provide a buffer to the industrial uses to the west of the site. This is also addressed through Local Plan policy D7 which sets out requirements for new developments adjacent to industrial uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			Control dust and noise from ongoing developments to protect environment for current residents	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires a buffer to the west of the site to provide a buffer to the industrial uses to the west of the site. This is also addressed through Local Plan policy D7 which sets out requirements for new developments adjacent to industrial uses.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			Jargon - primary vehicle? secondary vehicle? Bikes/cars	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N2.SA2.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Infrastructure requirements			Plant <u>many more</u> trees in the ground - not in boxes/planters - or they will never mature significantly to improve air quality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for tree planting are set out in Local Plan policy GWS4.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/136	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles			Dockside walkway linked up	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is already a design principle to improve access and connectivity across the dock, along the dock edge. Local Plan policy N2 also includes improved connectivity across the dock edge for walking and cycling and to improve connectivity across the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/137	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			Restaurants, cafes and bars	This policy approach has now changed to support ancillary food and drink uses as part of any leisure uses associated with the water. These uses would also be supported as part of a mix of uses in the local centre, subject to the requirements of the High Street policies.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles			Include more things to improve waterside 'permeability' - (e.g. floating garden in North Royal Victoria)	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the new wording in the development principles in N2.SA1 which addresses leisure uses and the water.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/139	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			Raves etc need <u>managing!</u>	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy HS5 by ensuring these uses are in appropriate locations and by requiring new proposals to provide an evening and night time operation management plan and be designed to minimise amenity impacts. For existing uses our enforcement team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/140	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			7			Include community-owned renewable energy production alongside industrial spaces	This change has not been made. However, a wording change to show support for renewable energy generation has been made elsewhere in the Local Plan. Please see the new wording in Policy CE2 Zero Carbon Development. The Council considers that the solar photovoltaic panels are the most likely renewable energy source in the borough, however it would consider other renewable energy generation proposals on a case by case basis.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/141	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			14			One continuous cycling highway from Silvertown to opposite Trinity Buoy Wharf along Thames	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording for N1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/174	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria		Neighbourhood boundary				[See annotation on map to suggest changes to the boundary between Silvertown and North Woolwich]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this boundary takes into consideration feedback received during the Characterisation Study engagement, which expressed a view that North Woolwich did have a different character to Silvertown, as well as an assessment of the built environment and land uses which highlighted that industrial area provides a transition between Royal Victoria and the rest of the North Woolwich. This boundary also reflects the character areas of the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area Framework. It also avoids site allocations being split between neighbourhoods. However, a change has been made to the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria to acknowledge the variety of views on the boundaries of these neighbourhoods and therefore to recognise the connection between the two neighbourhoods. Please see the neighbourhood profile of both North Woolwich and Royal Victoria.

Reg18-T-009	Britannia Education Trust	Reg18-T-009/059	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			[Add to it] Social infrastructure assessment and site allocation is very basic in its scope and detail.	<p>Comment noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham's social infrastructure we have undertaken a number of studies to inform our policy approach. Newham's Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022) is just one of these studies. It provides an audit of the borough's existing community facilities and considers how the future growth of Newham's neighbourhoods may influence the need for new services, to make it easier to live well, in better connected communities. The study provides information on the buildings and spaces which provide community meeting places. These include shared space within education facilities, community centres, youth zones, social clubs, libraries, public halls and exhibition halls, public houses, public toilets, places of worship, music</p>
-------------	---------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	---





Reg18-T-009	Britannia Education Trust	Reg18-T-009/060	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles		[Add to it] Any provision of community facilities should meet the requirements of Local Plan Policies CF1 and CF2 and be informed by the Community Facility Needs Assessment (2022) evidence base, but in prior comment in this policy area I have already pointed out the deficiencies of that assessment and the lack of clarity on addressing neighbourhood needs at this scale.	<p>Comment noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham's social infrastructure we have undertaken a number of studies to inform our policy approach. Newham's Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022) is just one of these studies. It provides an audit of the borough's existing community facilities and considers how the future growth of Newham's neighbourhoods may influence the need for new services, to make it easier to live well, in better connected communities. The study provides information on the buildings and spaces which provide community meeting places. These include shared space within education facilities, community centres, youth zones, social clubs, libraries, public halls and exhibition halls, public houses, public toilets, places of worship, music</p>
-------------	---------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/004	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays - 21 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Tidal breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Thames - Other Constraints include IPPC site, 4 x boreholes, protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/005	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N3.SA2 Lyle Park West - 7.8 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Tidal breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Thames - Other Constraints include IPPC site, 2 x boreholes, protected habitats (mudflats), protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/006	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside - 12.88 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Thames - Other Constraints include Borders IPPC site, 2 x boreholes, protected species, aquifers	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/007	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N3.SA4 Thameside West - 18.79 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + fluvial) + Tidal Breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Thames and Bow Creek - Other constraints include 2x IPPC sites, historic landfill, aquifer, protected species	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-113	GLP (Land at Central Thameside West and Former Allnex site)	Reg18-E-113/028	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			1			Draft Neighbourhood Policy N3 (Royal Victoria) includes a proposed requirement at part 1 for development "to be of a finer urban grain, with smaller block sizes allowing for more routes though". This should be amended to confirm that it refers to residential or residential mixed use development only. This is to reflect the coarser grain of industrial development and the particular security requirements for data centre development, which would preclude the same level of permeability. Recommendation 7: Amend draft Policy N3 part 1 to add confirm this only applies to residential or residential mixed use development.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is a general principle all development in the neighbourhood in order to improve permeability and connectivity. The specific needs design needs of different types of development can be considered during the pre-application and application process, taking into consideration the neighbourhood policies and the Local Plan design policies.
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/002	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			N2.SA2 Lyle Park West. The draft plan and site allocation allocates the wider site for mixed use redevelopment, which is consistent with the existing Local Plan.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/003	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			The draft site allocation includes an indicative map that allocates my client's site (Land west of 1 Knights Road) as 'opportunity for open space'.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/004	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			My client strongly objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space for the following reasons	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/005	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] The site is previously developed land and a brownfield site in a sustainable location, and therefore appropriate for development. The NPPF is very clear that planning policies should make as much use as possible of previously developed or brownfield land for homes (paras 119 and 120)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/006	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			The site has an extant planning permission (ref 20/02914/FUL) for (mixed use development), to comprise office space and mixed-use flexible Class E space. The Officer's Report for this permission acknowledges development will come forward on this site both in the short term (through this permission) but also the medium term through a redevelopment scheme, and that this permission allows an efficient use of the land ahead of a redevelopment scheme.	Comment noted. The permission is for a temporary use and the planning history of the site has been taken into consideration when assessing the site and drafting the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/007	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			<p>[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] A residential led redevelopment scheme is being brought forward for the site. An advanced pre-application scheme has been developed for the site that has been subject to 4 x pre-application meetings with the Council. The proposals for the site include a 10 storey residential led development that complements the surrounding townscape and new local centre at West Silvertown. It relates positively to the approved scheme to the west and the proposed new open space at West Silvertown. The proposals retain the pedestrian route between Knights Road and the West Silvertown Open space. The scheme intends to have an active frontage to contribute to the new open space outside the West Silvertown DLR station. This pre-application scheme will allow the consented open space, proposed by the Lyle Park West scheme to be retained and enhanced. (Key images of the pre-application scheme are at Appendix 1)</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/008	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] The site is a key location on the corner of Knights Road and in townscape terms justifies a tall building in accordance with the proposals map allocation for a building up to 40 metres	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/009	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] - The proposed green space is inconsistent with the design led masterplan emerging for the area that forms part of the pre-application scheme.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/010	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] There is acknowledgement from LB Newham through the pre-application process that the site can be redeveloped for a residential led development.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/011	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] The proposed open space can still be provided to the west and north. Development coming forward on my client's site will be better frame the open space with an active frontage on my client's site.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/012	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] The indicative map is inconsistent with the Proposals Map that does not allocate the site for open space. Indeed the draft Proposals Map allocates the site within an area for a tall building up to 40 metres and a Local Centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners. It should be noted that not all of Newham's green spaces are included on the Policies Map, including that identified as future requirements.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/013	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Development principles			[objects to inclusion of their site as potentially open space] There is no willingness of my client to offer the whole site as use as open space	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-142	Hagley Ltd	Reg18-E-142/014	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			Therefore, we request that the proposed indicative map is amended to reflect this site will come forward for development. The site is small and will still allow a generous open space to be provided around the DLR station.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation has been subject to design-led capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This process has identified appropriate locations for open space, which are reflected in the site allocation maps. The need for this open space is set out in the finalised Green and Water Study. The site allocation maps are indicative and the exact locations will be determined through the masterplanning exercise to avoid piecemeal development of the site allocation, which should include engagement with surrounding landowners.
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/012	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles			[However, a number of heritage assets within the borough that are currently on the HAR register that have proved difficult to resolve over a number of years are in close proximity to site allocations in the draft Plan] for example -...Silo D in relation to N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays].....Site allocation N3.SA1. HAR status of Silo D should be referenced and text included to welcome and support proposals that resolve this.	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the assets in site allocations which are currently on the Heritage at Risk register. Please see the new wording in the site allocation profile and the design principles of site allocation N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/008	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Site profile			Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N4.SA4 Thameside West Asset Description ZR ROUTE TWR (063A - 93): 400Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: BARKING - WEST HAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and Design Principle and Phasing and Implementation of Neighbourhood Policy N1.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/044	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			10			Support part 10 of policy N3, which supports the ongoing consolidation of the safeguarded wharves to Peruvian and Royal Primrose wharves, while protecting their existing and potential capacity and operability.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/045	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						In this context policy N3 must also refer to the safeguarded Thames Refinery wharf which borders this neighbourhood area	This policy approach has now changed to reference the Thames Wharf refinery. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood policy N2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/046	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						It is also considered essential that reference is made to the safeguarded wharves located opposite this neighbourhood in the Royal Borough of Greenwich, at Angerstein, Murphy's and Riverside Wharves. These wharves can also operate 24 hours a day and are a source of significant levels of low frequency noise, emitted by the dredgers unloading at Murphy's and Angerstein Wharves. It is therefore vital that developments in this neighbourhood fully take these wharves into account at an early stage of the design process and this must be highlighted in policy N3.	This policy approach has now changed to reference the wharves in the London Borough of Greenwich. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood policy N2.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/048	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			On the specific site allocations, the PLA has the following comments: N3.SA2 (Lyle Park West): This must specifically highlight the adjacent safeguarded Royal Primrose Wharf, and the safeguarded wharves opposite in Greenwich, and highlight the need to ensure that development in this allocation is designed in line with policy SI15 of the London Plan and the Agent of Change principle.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in N2.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/049	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			In addition, it is noted that the site plan on page 325 shows a proposed pedestrian route going in to the safeguarded wharf. As noted above under policy N2 it must be highlighted here that there is a particular challenge in finding the best route for the Thames Path near operational wharves and terminals, and given that to the west of this allocation there is a dedicated industrial area with the two safeguarded wharves, it may not be appropriate for the Thames Path extension to directly come through this area	Comment noted. Extending the Thames Path through the site remains a key design principle for the site and an aspiration of the Sustainable Transport Strategy and is reflected as key connection on the map for the site allocation. The exact route of the Thames Path can be determined through the pre-app and planning application process, as well as projects delivered through the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/050	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design Principles			N3.SA3 (Connaught Riverside): It must be highlighted in the design principles section that this allocation is situated in close proximity to the safeguarded Thames Refinery Wharf to the east, operated by Tate and Lyle over 24 hours a day throughout the year, and therefore the layout of the site must also respond to this facility as part of the Agent of Change principle	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in site allocation N1.SA3.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/051	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Design Principles			N3.SA4 (Thameside West): As with the allocations above, nearby safeguarded wharves must also be highlighted here.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in site allocation N1.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/052	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Design Principles			Support the statement that the layout of the site should enable a walkway adjacent to the River Thames including the proposed pedestrian route to the south of the allocation which avoids going into the adjacent industrial area	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/053	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Note under the infrastructure requirements that development here should provide two new bridge connections: Trinity Buoy to Thames Wharf Bridge and the Leamouth bridge crossing to Leamouth Peninsula. Please see the PLA's comments on page two of this response with regard to new river crossings in the borough	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the detail related to consultees on transport infrastructure projects is included in policy T1. The implementation text for T1.1 has been amended to include references to bridges and the role of the PLA.
Reg18-K-027	Resident	Reg18-K-027/001a	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			commercial shops here do not make sense (see the restaurant pizzeria in Thames Barrier Park for example that has never worked). See for example the many commercial units right next door at Royal Wharf that sit vacant.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the need for a neighbourhood parade in this location is supported by evidence in the Retail and Leisure Study. Further information can be found in the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper.
Reg18-K-027	Resident	Reg18-K-027/001b	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map			this park should not be changed much and the private nature of this quiet space should be retained - the only improvements to this park should be enhancing and improving the existing walkways, not adding new ones	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site allocation does not set out specific improvements to Lyle Park beyond an extension which is needed to address open space deficiencies in the borough and

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											routes across the N2.SA2 site to improve access and connectivity to the park.
Reg18-T-027	Resident	Reg18-T-027/001	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			7			[Change it] the retention of SIL is not in keeping between Pontoon Dock and Thameside West doesn't align well with the overall development of the area. Industrial use should be consolidated to other industrial area near N1.SA1 in Beckton. This will enable the creation of 2 coherent zones with West Silvertown being residential and Beckton being industrial. Strategy should be put in place to support the move of industrial area from West Silvertown to Beckton	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate given the borough's significant need for industrial uses as set out in the Employment Land Review. The neighbourhood policies and development and design principles of the neighbourhood's site allocations set out how the mix of uses will be managed, in line with the overall spatial strategy for the borough.
Reg18-T-027	Resident	Reg18-T-027/002	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			[Change it] Plan should incorporate an element of attraction to pull in external visitors given the unique nature of the site with rich history, award winning park by the river, next to docks, with good connectivity via DLR] and with ample supply of visitors from ExCeL	This policy approach has now changed to support leisure uses associated with the water and to support ancillary food and drink uses as part of any leisure uses associated with the water. These uses would also be support as part of a mix of uses in the local centre, subject to the requirements of the High Street policies.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/050	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Add to it] N	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No additions have been made.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/037	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						[Add to it] ensure all safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/038	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/039	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	-----------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/040	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	----------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/041	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	-----------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/040	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/041	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/042	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/043	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/051	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays – the policy and site allocation approach should mirror the recently submitted Hybrid Planning Application for the site. This proposal is the outcome of a long process of master-planning, design, consultation and pre-application discussions.	This policy approach has now changed due to reflect updated evidence on the uses and infrastructure requirements for the site as well as updated design principles. Please see the new wording in N2.SA1. Some of the changes reflect the updated masterplan, where they align with the Plan's evidence base, spatial strategy and design approach. Other changes have not been made as we did not consider it appropriate to change the site boundary to incorporate more of the dock than has already been given permission for or take an approach which does not reflect our evidence on the appropriate level of non-residential uses on this site.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/052	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West					N3.SA4 Thameside West – the policy and site allocation approach should mirror the consented planning application (18/03557/OUT).	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised above.

Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/002	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Site Profile		<p>A) Representations – Newham Local Plan Review</p> <p>Site Allocation N3.5A4 (Thameside West) &amp; Plan at Appendix A – Objection</p> <p>SHL have the majority landownership interest in the land that comprises Site Allocation N3.5A4 (Thameside West). This site currently benefits from two planning permissions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 17/02554/FUL – the “Operational Works Permission”; and</li> <li>• 18/03557/OUT- the “Extant Mixed-Use Permission”.</li> </ul> <p>These are permissions are described in greater detail.</p> <p>The Operational Works Permission</p> <p>The description of development for this permission is as follows:</p> <p>“Operational development works to facilitate future development(s) of the site comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site clearance works including vegetation removal and demolition of existing buildings, structures and hard standing.</li> <li>• Increasing the site’s ground level by utilizing spoil which would be excavated from the construction of the Silvertown Tunnel.</li> <li>• The construction of flood defence</li> </ul>	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.
-------------	----------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------------	--	--------------	--	---	--

										<p>walls and delivery of ecological habitat adjacent to the River Thames.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Re-purposing of some of the temporary jetty piles which would be constructed as part of the construction of the Silvertown Tunnel.”</li> </ul> <p>The works relating to the Silvertown Tunnel and SHL’s Operational Works Permission (reference: 17/02554/FUL) have been implemented and are underway.</p> <p>The Extant Mixed-Use Permission</p> <p>The description of development for this permission is as follows:</p> <p>“A hybrid planning application comprising:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Detailed planning application for Phase 1 with works to include the proposed demolition of existing buildings and structures, and the erection of buildings, including tall buildings, comprising 401 residential units (Use Class C3) including 195 affordable units (46% by habitable room); 3,608 sq.m. (GEA) of flexible employment floorspace (Use Classes B1b, B1c, B2 (restricted) and B8); 230 sq.m. (GEA) of flexible retail floorspace (Use Classes A1-A4); a new/altered access road from Dock Road/North Woolwich Road; new streets, open spaces, landscaping and public realm; car, motorcycle and bicycle parking spaces and servicing spaces; and other works incidental to the proposed</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>development.</p> <p>2. Outline planning application (all matters reserved) for the phased delivery of the balance of the site for the proposed demolition of existing buildings and structures; the erection of buildings, including tall buildings, comprising a new local centre; a primary school (Use Class D1); residential units (Use Class C3); flexible employment floorspace (Use Classes B1b, B1c, B2 (restricted) and B8); flexible employment floorspace (Use Classes B1c, B2 and B8); flexible retail floorspace (Use Classes A1-A4); community and leisure floorspace (Use Classes D1 and D2); the construction of a new flood defence wall and delivery of ecological habitat adjacent to the River Thames and associated infrastructure; streets, open spaces, landscaping and public realm (including new park and SINC improvements); car, motorcycle and bicycle parking spaces and servicing spaces; utilities including energy centre and electricity substations; and other works incidental to the proposed development .”</p> <p>All the relevant prior to commencement conditions have been discharged<sup>1</sup> or submitted for determination by London Borough of Newham’s (LBN) Planning Team. These conditions comprise 7, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 38 and 79. Phase 1 of the development is due to commence in Mid-2023.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/003	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Site Profile			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The text used at Site Allocation N3.SA4 (page 331) to explain Phase 1 is also incorrect and does not reflect that approved in the Extant Mixed-Use Permission;</li> </ul>	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/004	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The illustrative site layout drawing (page 332) used by LBN in Appendix A does not reflect the Extant Mixed-Use Permission and could therefore unnecessarily result in 'conflict' when LBN determines any future Reserved Matters Applications (RMA) submitted;</li> </ul>	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised below.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/006	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The development is required to 'provide' a new DLR station and two new bridge connections but does not explain the definition of 'provide'. Previously the funding for these elements of work would have been secured / provided by TfL and delivered by TfL;</li> </ul>	All planning obligation requirements have been tested and found deliverable as part of the whole plan viability assessment. At the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Where financial viability constraints are evidenced, Policy BFN4 provides a hierarchy of priorities. The implementation text for BFN4.3 indicates that in certain circumstances the Council may vary that hierarchy and/or additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure may, where possible, be identified by the Council. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/007	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The 'cleared / avoided zone' illustrated on the Map (page 332) is excessively wide and would conflict with the Extant Mixed-Use Permission which allows for the construction of Building C; within this zone; and</li> </ul>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how 'cleared/ /avoided zones' are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA4.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/008	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The development areas do not reflect the location of the Silvertown Town Tunnel Safeguarding Area (see Appendix 1).</li> </ul>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA4.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/009	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			It is also important to understand that the Extant Mixed Use Permission for the Site is made up of multiple parcels of land in multiple landownerships and therefore will not be delivered as one masterplan site. LBN must allow flexibility in its delivery, on a phase-by-phase basis (based on land ownership), in order enable the Site to deliver the benefits from the scheme (including new homes, jobs and community facilities).	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/010	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Site Profile			<p>Recommendation</p> <p>SHL suggest that Policy N3.SA4 is amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The abovementioned planning history should be described in the text that supports the site allocation;</li> </ul>	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/011	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The illustrative site layout drawn used by LBN should be deleted in favour of only a red line plan or an illustration that excludes the inclusion of buildings / frontage and roads, in order to avoid 'conflict' between the Extant Mixed-Use Permission and LBN's determination of future RMAs;</li> </ul>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections and active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Active frontages remain on the mapping where they identify an emerging town or local centre or neighbourhood parade. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/013	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The supporting text should explain that any proposals for the wider site should allow for the provision of a new DLR station and two new bridge connections in its design, which are to be funded and delivered by TfL;</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The funding source has not been included within any of the site allocations. The borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy indicates the station is necessary to enable development. We're aware that funding has been secured for the station through the permitted scheme. At the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Where financial viability constraints are evidenced, Policy BFN4 provides a hierarchy of priorities. The implementation text for BFN4.3 indicates that in certain circumstances the Council may vary that hierarchy and/or additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure may, where possible, be identified by the Council. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/014	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The supporting text should explain that a phased delivery of this Thameside West site that is based on land ownership areas is acceptable to ensure deliverability of each phase, and will be considered on their own merits on a phase-by-phase basis;</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/015	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The width of the 'cleared / avoided zone' illustrated on the plan (page 332) should be reduced; and</li> </ul>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA4.
Reg18-E-069	Silvertown Homes Ltd	Reg18-E-069/016	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The development areas illustrated on the plan (page 332) should be adjusted to better reflect the location of the Silvertown Town Tunnel Safeguarding Area (as shown in Appendix 1).</li> </ul>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA4.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/017	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						TLS broadly support the text and principles laid out in this section.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/018	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						However TLS caution the vision laid out p318 is clearly highly ambitious and wide ranging given the 24 points laid out, and it is almost inevitable that they will be some contradiction between these aims in practice.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we consider the policy to appropriately address the different issues facing the neighbourhood and it will work alongside other Local Plan policies which address theme-specific issues.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/019	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			7			<p>TLS would like to emphasise pull out two important points</p> <p><i>“The vision for Royal Victoria will be achieved by....7. intensifying the neighbourhood’s industrial land, through increasing capacity at N3.SA4 Thameside West and through the delivery of a diverse range of modern industrial uses across the rest of the Strategic Industrial Location, including wharf related functions. Point 7 is important given the patch of vacant SIL within Thameside West SIL, to ensure maximum jobs and economic benefit to Newham from its valuable reservoir of SIL land.</i></p>	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/020	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			9			[TLS would like to emphasise pull out two important points] <i>9. managing the transition between industrial and non-industrial uses through careful master planning, design and the delivery of modern industrial premises;</i> Point 9 maybe a typo or at least missing some text, TLS would suggest it read as follows <i>“9. managing the transition between industrial and non-industrial uses through careful master planning, design and the delivery of <u>both new residential developments and modern industrial premises</u>;</i> ” This reflects the fact that a greater quantity of new residential led developments is expected in the area and there is pre-existing longstanding significant industry, including within SIL.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in policy N1.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/021	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						It would also be preferable to add the same text for the the Royal Victoria vision as contained in the point 6 of the North Woolwich vision (p306) <i>“The vision for <del>North Woolwich</del> <u>Royal Victoria</u> will be achieved by....appropriate mitigation and buffering between residential and industrial uses.”</i>	This policy approach has now changed due to the addition of wording around appropriate mitigation and buffering between industrial and non-industrial uses. Please see the new wording in policy N2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/022	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			TLS strongly support the following wording on p326 <i>“The industrial and employment floorspace should be located in the west of the site to provide a buffer to the Strategic Industrial Location to the west of the site.”</i> TLS believe this is essential to meaningfully fulfil the policy requirements (including within the draft plan) around Agent of Change and protecting and enhancing SILs.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/023	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Map				Support noted.

Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/024	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			<p>TLS have 3 important suggestions in regards to the site. TLS suggest these amends on the basis of extensive experience in dealing with these issues.</p> <p>1. The buffer is extended North and South to fully protect SIL [see black on modified map below]. Regarding point 1, it is of particular importance that the buffer is extended South toward the river. TLS have a process critical heat extraction fan in the South East corner of our site. It is very noisy but currently can only be heard by other heavy industrial operators. TLS is aware from extensive acoustic work related to a previous planning application that this is almost certain to present an agent of change issue in the future. Further immediately over the South West boundary of the SA2 Lyle Park West site is a newly safeguarded wharf. While this wharf does not have a permanent tenant yet, the neighbouring safeguarded wharves are occupied by a concrete batching plant and a soil remediation operator. Both are on long terms leases and indicative of the character (noisy, dusty) of likely activity at this boundary of the site. Buffer buildings are critical to providing a transition from residential led development to SILs characterised by traditional industrial activity, so one is entirely appropriate here.</p>	<p>This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N2.SA2. See also the amended design principles relating to buffering.</p>
-------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/025	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			[TLS have 3 important suggestions in regards to the site.] Points 2 and 3 and also of critical importance. 2. Gaps in the buffer buildings are filled in [see black on modified map below]. [It may seem facile to write this but for a building to be an effective buffer, it actually has to provide a physical barrier.] The same principle applies horizontally, where there is the potential for small strips of apartments that fall in between buffer buildings to be exposed to noise, dust or other potential nuisances.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N2.SA2. See also the amended design principles relating to buffering.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/026	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			[TLS have 3 important suggestions in regards to the site.] 3. It is explicitly stated that the height of the buffer must be higher or equal to the height of residential buildings behind it. It may seem facile to write this but for a building to be an effective buffer, it actually has to provide a physical barrier. Buffers do not work if apartment blocks pop up over the top of the buffer with residents in say the top 2 storeys exposed to potential nuisances that those in lower storeys are not. Future developers should design out these issues as the Agent of Change, but absolute clarity in the plan can make huge difference.	The policy approach has now changed to add further detail to the design policies about buffer buildings in terms of use and the location of habitable rooms and amenity spaces. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N1.SA2. The change you have requested did not result in a change as we consider these design principles will sufficiently address concerns about the relationship between different uses on the site.

Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/027	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Development principles		<p>TLS would like to emphasise that the planning on this site is absolutely critical to the future of our operations in the borough. Our Thames Refinery site neighbours it and stretches the entirety of the SA3 Connaught Riverside Eastern boundary. Firstly, TLS are concerned that the following sentence on p329 could be read as mixing up two distinct policy aims <i>“The existing employment uses on Thames Road Industrial Estate should be relocated within the site boundary to form a buffer building adjacent Thameside East Strategic Industrial Location.”</i> TLS agree with both aims, namely (1) relocating existing tenants/industrial users within the site and (2) providing a buffer building to transition from residential led to heavy industrial. However with detailed knowledge of the area and close relationships with some of the current Thames Road tenants we are aware that a tall multistorey modern industrial building (as it seems likely the buffer building will be) with small to mid-sized units is unlikely to be suitable. Several of the tenants are primarily open storage users or volume warehouse units. These policy aims should be distinct. TLS suggest the following text as appropriate <i>“<u>The existing employment uses on Thames Road Industrial Estate should be relocated within the site boundary.</u>”</i> Then a new separate sentence <i>“<u>Employment uses with separate access, including modern industrial/warehousing and workshops,</u></i></p>	<p>The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping industrial buffering within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA3. The site allocation also requires existing employment uses on Thames Road Industrial Estate to be relocated within the site buffer, and any application will be assessed against this requirement, ensuring suitable engagement is undertaken with existing occupiers around their requirements. Alternatively, the employment policies require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham.</p>
-------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	----------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---

										<p><u>will form a buffer building along the entire Eastern boundary of the site. This will provide an effective transition between primarily residential and the heavy industry of the neighbouring SIL."</u></p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/028	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Map			Regarding the N3.SA3 Map TLS have a similar set of points with the same reasoning [see comments Reg18-E-128/024]. 1. The buffer is extended North and South to fully protect SIL [see black on modified map below]	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping industrial buffering and sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA3. See also the amended design principles relating to buffering.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/029	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Map			Gaps in the buffer buildings are filled in [see black on modified map below] [see comment Reg18-E-128/025]	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping industrial buffering and sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA3. See also the amended design principles relating to buffering.

Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/030	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design principles		<p>It is explicitly stated that the height of the buffer must be higher or equal to the height of residential buildings behind it [see comment Reg18-E-128/026]. It is important though to explicitly state the specific characteristics in this spatial context. Thames Refinery has a number of very noisy steam vents that are at an elevated height and face directly West towards the Southern part of the site intended for residential led redevelopment. Releases of steam through these vents is a normal part of operations. While we try to keep it to a minimum steam venting is essential, necessary and happens on about six out of every ten days ranging in duration from a few minutes to an hour. The releases can happen at day and at night. Extensive acoustic work has shown it is possible that these steam releases could at least cause a disturbance and at worst a statutory nuisance to future new residents. It is a classic "Agent of Change" situation, where it is right to place the "responsibility for mitigating impacts from existing noise and other nuisance-generating activities or uses on the proposed new noise-sensitive development." TLS appreciate that perhaps this will be dealt with at an application level, but nonetheless believe this is salient information at a master planning and local plan generation level. With this context, it is clear why point 3 above is important given the elevated height of the steam vents.</p>	<p>The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping industrial buffering within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA3.</p>
-------------	--------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------	----------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/031a	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design principles			Further TLS are concerned that at no point does N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside guidance mention the proximity of the Thames Refinery safeguarded wharf. TLS are concerned this is significant oversight in terms of ensuring the Local Plan is ultimately "Sound", given the proximity of the wharf itself and London plan policy on safeguarded wharves (including regarding protecting access to safeguarded wharves which in practical terms will mean protecting access through SA3 Connaught Riverside).	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in site allocation N1.SA3.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/031b	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Map			On the map [see amended map], the Western boundary of the safeguarded wharf, closest to SA3 Connaught Riverside, is marked in green shading. This is circa 82 metres away. The current position of import jetty and actual dock is roughly marked in pink.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in site allocation N1.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/031c	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design principles			Again there is information which may be salient at a master planning and local plan generation level. The Wharf receives regular cargoes of tens of thousands of tonnes of raw sugar throughout the year. This wharf can operate at any point 24 hours a day, 365 days a year in line with tides. It does regularly operate on weekends and through the night when a ship is berthed and can make a significant amount of noise. The wharf and its operations are essential to the entire business operation. It is crucial to understand that the jetty protrudes about 135m into the Thames (i.e. the ships do not dock next to the river wall). So there is no form of visual or acoustic shield (or buffer) between the river frontage parts of SA3 Connaught Riverside and the wharf. The acoustic characteristics of the wharf are relevant to masterplanning the riverfront element of SA3 Connaught Riverside.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in site allocation N1.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/031d	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design principles			It should also be remembered there is also an export jetty and historically further berths both within the Thames Refinery Wharf boundary and outside it but within Thameside East SIL. There has been interest from external parties in leasing land and/or re-opening these berths for river freight. While there are no current plans to do so, it should not be discounted as a possibility.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle for the safeguarded wharfs. Please see the new wording in the design principles in site allocation N1.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/136	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/137	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/138	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/139	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/140	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: There is significant amount of development in this area so the cumulative impact needs to be considered.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/141	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: There is a sewer in the boundary of the proposed development that may require a buildover.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the site profile, design principles and Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/142	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/143	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/144	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/145	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/146	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/147	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: Surface water is expected to be discharged to the watercourse.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/148	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/149	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/150	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/151	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/152	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/153	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/154	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/155	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/156	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/157	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: There is significant amount of development in this area so the cumulative impact needs to be considered.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/158	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Site profile			Internal comments: There is a sewer in the boundary of the proposed development that may require a buildover.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Design Principle and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/159	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/003	Neighborhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays					<u>1. Silvertown site allocation – N3. SA1 Silvertown Quays</u> TSP welcomes the retention of a site allocation for Silvertown, confirming its status as a strategically important development site in the Borough. The draft site allocation is generally supported; however, there are two key representations which are addressed below:	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/004	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			Local Centre: The principle of a Local Centre at Silvertown Quays is supported. The hybrid planning application has been designed to reflect this ambition, alongside leisure and hospitality uses relating to the water as per the existing allocation. The proposals within the hybrid planning application seek to take advantage of the unique opportunity of Pontoon Dock, the Millennium Mills and the Site's industrial-related heritage as a leisure and hospitality destination, as recognised in the current Local Plan and the wider Royal Docks Delivery Plan. TSP supports <b>Table 3</b> (Newham's Town Centre Network) of the Regulation 18 plan which identifies that Silvertown has a unique key function to 'service visitors to the Excel centre'. In order to service this function, the potential for leisure and hospitality uses at Silvertown should be included in the allocation in addition to the Local Centre. This reflects the existing allocation, extant permission and hybrid planning application.	This policy approach has now changed due to recognise that a level of water-related and water-dependent leisure uses will be supported, as well as ancillary food and drink uses to those leisure uses. Both of these uses would be in addition to the Local Centre. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N1.SA1. Please note the wording in Table 3 has been updated to provide a more accurate reflection of the role of this centre.

Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/005	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles		<p>Leisure Centre: The specific expectation of a leisure centre is not a requirement within the extant planning permission or the adopted site allocation and has not been raised in several years of preapplication engagement regarding development on the site. The hybrid planning application area schedule would support the delivery of a range of indoor sport, recreation and fitness (Use Class E(d)) and community uses (falling within Use Class F2) across the site. A range of facilities and locations is important to support LBN's and TSP's 15-minute city aspirations. The hybrid planning application area schedule provides for up to 4,500sqm of Indoor Sport, Recreation and Fitness uses and 1,500sqm of Community (F2) uses. It is also relevant that a key placemaking principle for Silvertown is the activation of the water in Pontoon Dock and a potential element of this could be the delivery of open water swimming / other swimming facilities within the water, which a leisure centre could detract from. There is also no clear justification for a leisure centre use within the Interim Built Leisure Needs Assessment (December 2022). In addition, the proposed allocation of an existing leisure centre site in the nearby area (Balaam Leisure Centre) for an alternative use appears to contradict this position of need. The evidence base is reviewed further at <b>Appendix A.</b></p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the new wording in the development principles in N1.SA1 which addresses leisure uses and the water.</p>
-------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/006	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays					Further detailed representations regarding the Map, Development Principles, Design Principles, and Infrastructure Requirements contained at <b>Appendix A</b> .	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/009	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			[These requirements cannot be met if the potential scale and location of the Local Centre are restricted by the Local Centre definition (Draft Policy HS1), Policies Map,) and reference to the Local Centre being an “extension” in <b>Draft Policy N3.SA1: Silvertown Quays</b> .	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as a single integrated Local Centre optimises opportunities of the wider location and is logical in terms of relationship with travel patterns and proximity of main town centre uses creating a single cluster. The proposed scale and location of the boundary is based on available information regarding additional retail and leisure need in the area. Local Plan policy HS1 allows for the boundary of the Local Centre extension to be flexibly adjusted through masterplanning processes, and does not impede a difference in the character of the offer on either side of the North Woolwich Road. However, a change to this boundary has been made to reflect the revised design principles of the site allocation, recognising the benefit of designing in the principle of an active frontage, high street style street connecting the new pedestrian bridge landing point through to the DLR station. This will be achieved through the whole vision for the site as set out in allocation, including provision of non-main town centre employment and community uses. The assessment behind the revised boundary is set out in the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper Update 2024. Please see the revised boundary on the Policies Map and the new

<b>Comment Response</b>	wording in the design principles for N1.SA1 which reflects this change.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/097	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria			Vision			[Appendix A] Clarity is sought on whether the text with the purple background is intended to be a policy as it is not titled as such. Please refer to the comments on the Silvertown Quays site allocation for commentary on the proposed Local Centre designation.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the text in the purple box forms part of policy and follows the policy title of N1. Royal Victoria.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/098	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays					[Appendix A] The main representations address TSP's key strategic comments on the proposed site allocation. In addition, the following detailed representations are set out, which include requested amendments.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/099	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Site profile			[Appendix A] Factual tables (p.320-321) Site address – the site address should be amended to “Silvertown” per the hybrid planning application description.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this does not recognise the existing Silvertown area.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/100	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Site profile			[Appendix A] [Factual tables (p.320-321)] Site area – the site area should be amended to align with the area of the red line boundary for the current hybrid planning application, which is 28.8ha.	This policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is not appropriate to allocate parts of the water beyond that has already been granted permission for infill.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/101	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Site profile			[Appendix A][Factual tables (p.320-321)] Public transport accessibility level – per the Transport Assessment submitted with the hybrid planning application, the future PTAL for the site is expected to be 3-4 and therefore we would advocate the inclusion of “(projected increase to PTAL 3-4)” for context.	This policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the PTAL in the site profiles is based on TfL mapping - both now and up to 2031.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/102	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Site profile			<p>[Appendix A][Factual tables (p.320-321)] [see also Appendix B] Relevant planning history:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Outline Planning Permission Reference number to be included: 14/01605/OUT.</li> <li>- The order should be Reserved Matters Applications, followed by Non-Material Amendment applications.</li> <li>- The Phase 1XA Reserved Matters Application should be included (22/02733/REM)</li> <li>- The Hybrid Planning Application should be included (22/02855/OUT)</li> <li>- The RVD Bridge and Jetty Planning Application should be included (22/03046/FUL)</li> <li>- We query the need to include references for Non-Material Amendment applications. However, if they are to be included, the following should also be incorporated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 23/00136/NONMAT – Phase 1RMA (Plot 8)</li> <li>▪ 22/02657/NONMAT – Outline Planning Permission (Parameter Plans + condition triggers)</li> <li>▪ 22//02656/NONMAT – Phase 1RMA (sitewide drawing amendments + condition triggers).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/103	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Site profile			[Appendix A][Factual tables (p.320-321)] Existing uses – there is no existing waste use on the site so this should be deleted. Reference could be made to substations and “meanwhile uses” which have periodically taken place on site.	This policy approach has now changed to clarify that waste sites have been identified within the site allocation boundary in the ‘Evidence Base for the East London Joint Waste Plan’ which indicates lawful waste sites have operated and/or continue to operate from the site. Please see the new wording in the ‘existing use’ section of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/104	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			[Appendix A]Map (p322) The Key Plan (N3.SA1) is generally aligned to the Illustrative Masterplan for the Hybrid Planning Application, however, it includes a significant amount of detail regarding the location of roads and routes, open spaces and active frontages within which there are some divergences from what is approved under the Phase 1 RMA and now proposed under the hybrid planning application, the proposals for which have been developed following an extensive design review process with LBN Officers, LBN’s Design Review Panel, other wider stakeholders and the local community. It is recommended that the key plan is either aligned to the proposals for Silvertown or its level of detail is reduced.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/105	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			<p>[Appendix A] [Map (p322)] The following detailed comments are made regarding vehicle and pedestrian routes, opportunities for open spaces, and opportunities for active frontages is questioned, given:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• for a portion of the west of the site full detailed planning permission is already obtained for routes, frontages, and open space (which are not correctly represented on the Map) and the Phase 1 Reserved Matters Approval is currently in the process of being implemented. These approved features are generally replicated in the Detailed Component of the hybrid planning application which is proposed to become the operational planning permission moving forward.</li> </ul>	<p>This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/106	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			<p>[Appendix A] [Map (p322) [...]] The following detailed comments are made regarding vehicle and pedestrian routes, opportunities for open spaces, and opportunities for active frontages is questioned, given:]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For the remainder of the site, the hybrid planning application is seeking approval of a series of parameters which will control the layout of future roads and routes and open spaces, and a Design Code which will control the delivery of active frontages. Once approved, these documents will ensure that the detailed design is developed in a design-led approach through reserved matters applications with the input of Newham's Design Review Panel as required. Therefore, it is considered counterproductive for the site allocation to add further requirements beyond those set out in the submitted Parameter Plans and Design Code.</li> </ul>	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA1.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/107	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			<p>[Appendix A] [Map (p322)] Millennium Mills is incorrectly marked as a Listed building. It is not Listed but is locally listed (non-statutory).</p>	This map change has been made. Please see the new map for N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/108	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			[Appendix A] [Map (p322)] On the basis of the above, it is considered beneficial to remove details of pedestrian and vehicle routes, green spaces and active frontages from the map, which are either already approved (part of the west of the site) or should be agreed through a design-led process (remainder of the site). In the event these features are retained, then amendments should be made to align to the hybrid planning application proposals, which TSP is happy to issue in an updated plan.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA1.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/109a	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			[Appendix A] Allocation wording (p.323) The majority of the allocation wording is supported without requested amendments. TSP support the proposed range of uses allocated for residential development, employment uses, community, education, leisure uses, open space and town centre uses.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/109b	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			[Appendix A] Allocation wording (p.323) To encourage a truly diverse and vibrant development, as per the approach in the adopted allocation, the mix of uses should not be restricted by location on the associated map. For the remainder, the following	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										representations are made, including requested amendments.	
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/110	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development Principles			[Appendix A]Development Principles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specific reference to industrial uses in addition to employment uses is unnecessary; all employment uses, including offices should be supported on the site in line with the extant consent. The allocation should align with the range of employment uses in the current hybrid application. While the hybrid planning application provides for the potential to deliver up to 45,000sqm industrial floorspace, this forms part of a wider employment offer within which flexibility is sought. This reflects comments from both LBN and GLA representatives during the pre-application process who have supported flexibility in how employment uses can be delivered.</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in approach to how employment uses and industrial uses are referred to in the site allocation. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N1.SA1. However, the change you have requested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as Local Plan Policy J1 directs offices primarily to the town centres and then the edge-of-centre Micro Business Opportunities Areas. This is to manage the oversupply of office floorspace in the borough especially in the LLDC area, as demonstrated in the Employment Land Review, and to support the town centre first approach. No further office space is required in the borough, and site allocations only include land uses required to meet identified needs, which is for industrial floorspace.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/111	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development Principles			[Appendix A] [Development Principles] • The requirement for a Leisure Centre is questioned as a development principle. Further detail provided below under 'Infrastructure Requirements'.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the new wording in the development principles in N1.SA1 which addresses leisure uses and the water.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/112	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development Principles			[Appendix A] [Development Principles] • As per the strategic representations above, detailed comments on the Local Centre designation is provided below.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/113	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design Principles			[Appendix A] Design Principles: • The design principles should include reference to the distribution of massing across the site responding to the safeguarding requirements of London City Airport (i.e., the Public Safety Zone and Operational Limitation Surfaces).	This policy approach has now changed due to referencing the constraints from London City Airport. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/114	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design Principles			[Appendix A] [Design Principles] • As per the strategic representations above, detailed comments on the Local Centre location is provided below.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/115	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design Principles			[Appendix A] [Design Principles] • The remaining Design Principles are supported and reflect the proposals in the hybrid planning application.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/116	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>[Appendix A] Infrastructure Requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed infrastructure requirement for “a health centre of a minimum of 2000sqm” is not supported. It is considered appropriate for the NHS to work with the developer to determine the amount of healthcare floorspace to be delivered on site, rather than for planning policy to dictate an arbitrary requirement. There has been engagement with the healthcare authorities regarding delivery of healthcare floorspace on site and the hybrid planning application proposals seek to support future healthcare delivery. The hybrid planning application would enable the delivery of up to 2,000sqm as required on site to be determined in consultation with healthcare authorities as the development comes forward, allowing for flexibility and response to needs and demand given the wider development in the Royal Docks.</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence which has removed the need for a health centre on this site allocation. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for this site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/117	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>[Appendix A] [Infrastructure Requirements:]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As per the strategic representations above, detailed comments on the requirement for a Leisure Centre are provided below.</li> </ul> <p><u>Leisure Centre</u></p> <p>The inclusion of leisure uses at the site is welcomed, however the requirement for the leisure uses to be in the form of a 'leisure centre' reduces opportunities for a range of leisure uses to come forward at the site, including the proposed activation of the Pontoon Dock which remains a key placemaking principle for Silvertown. This requirement does not reflect the adopted allocation, implemented planning consent or the detailed preapplication discussions leading to the submission of the hybrid application currently considered for determination.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the updated infrastructure requirements in N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/118	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>[Appendix A] The <b>Interim Built Leisure Needs Assessment (December 2022)</b> suggests that the needs of the Borough overall are sports halls, swimming pools and health &amp; fitness suites. It is therefore unclear what is meant to be incorporated within a 'leisure centre' within the <b>Draft Policy N3.SA1</b> and how any development within TSP may be provided to meet Borough-wide needs. Furthermore, the <b>Interim Built Leisure Needs Assessment (December 2022)</b> does not specify any new uses within the Silvertown allocation. The Interim Assessment is not complete, and the final Built Leisure Facilities Needs Assessment Evidence Base should be awaited before prematurely allocating a Leisure Centre, as the report sets out additional information is needed to complete the Assessment. As such, we do not consider there is sufficient justification for this change on-site since the adopted allocation from 2018.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the updated infrastructure requirements in N2.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/119	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development Principles			[Appendix A] Restrictions on leisure/commercial spaces also do not align with the <b>Retail and Leisure Study (July 2022)</b> recommendations which highlight the need for a range of leisure uses (beyond just built sports leisure), along with stating that these should be market-led and managed through policy and development control decisions.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the new wording in the development principles in N1.SA1 which addresses leisure uses and the water.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/120	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure Requirements			[Appendix A] Confusion also remains around how 'leisure' and indeed a 'leisure centre' is defined, given different focuses of the <b>Interim Built Leisure Needs Assessment (December 2022)</b> and the <b>Retail and Leisure Study (July 2022)</b> .	This policy approach has now changed due to updated evidence on the need for leisure centres in different parts of the borough. Please see the new wording in the development principles in N2.SA1 which addresses leisure uses and the water. Please see the updated infrastructure requirements in N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/121	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			Local Centre The principle of a Local Centre at Silvertown Quays is supported. The hybrid planning application has been designed to reflect this ambition, alongside leisure and hospitality uses relating to the water as per the existing allocation. Pontoon Dock is a unique asset to the site and the hybrid masterplan seeks to enhance this asset as a leisure and hospitality destination in The Royal Docks.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/122	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles			TSP supports Table 3 (Newham's Town Centre Network) of the Regulation 18 plan which identifies that Silvertown has a unique key function to 'service visitors to the Excel centre'. In order to service this function, the potential for leisure and hospitality uses at Silvertown should be included in the allocation in addition to the Local Centre. This reflects the existing allocation, extant permission and hybrid planning application.	This policy approach has now changed due to recognise that a level of water-related and water-dependent leisure uses will be supported, as well as ancillary food and drink uses to those leisure uses. Both of these uses would be in addition to uses in the Local Centre. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N2.SA1. Further, the policy approach to describing the role of Silvertown Local Centre in policy HS1 has changed to clarify that any provision of leisure space for Excel visitors or visitors to the wider area, are incidental and the Local Centre should not seek to create a destination, in line with the Retail and Leisure Study (2022) recommendations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/123	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles			<p>The Design Principles of the allocation within Draft Policy N3.SA1 states that the 'Local Centre' uses at Silvertown are considered an 'extension' to the Local Centre at Royal Wharf and should be located on North Woolwich Road and form part of a continuous centre with Admiralty Avenue at Royal Wharf. This is reflected in the Policies Map which identifies a boundary around both the completed Royal Wharf development site and the the southern part of the Silvertown development site, covering the western frontage to North Woolwich Road and land up to the south side of Pontoon Dock. TSP oppose the proposition for the Local Centre at Silvertown Quays to be an 'extension' of Royal Wharf and restricted to the area identified on the Policies Map. It is considered that this does not reflect the emerging proposals at Silvertown and does not account for the existing, active planning consents.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as a single integrated Local Centre optimises opportunities of the wider location and is logical in terms of relationship with travel patterns and proximity of main town centre uses creating a single cluster. The proposed scale and location of the boundary is based on available information regarding additional retail and leisure need in the area. Local Plan policy HS1 allows for the boundary of the Local Centre extension to be flexibly adjusted through masterplanning processes, and does not impede a difference in the character of the offer on either side of the North Woolwich Road. However, a change to this boundary has been made to reflect the revised design principles of the site allocation, recognising the benefit of designing in the principle of an active frontage, high street style street connecting the new pedestrian bridge landing point through to the DLR station. This will be achieved through the whole vision for the site as set out in allocation, including provision of non-main town centre employment and community uses. The assessment behind the revised boundary is set out in the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper Update 2024. Please see the revised boundary on the Policies Map and the new</p>

<b>Comment Response</b>	wording in the design principles for N1.SA1 which reflects this change.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/124	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Map			As the development is yet to be built out, it is considered inappropriate for the location of this Local Centre to be illustrated on a map, which limits opportunities for a design-led approach. Draft policy HS1 identifies the Local Centre at Silvertown as meeting two key functions: “meeting local catchment needs for retail, leisure, services and community uses, and servicing visitors to the Excel centre.” These requirements cannot be met if the potential scale and location of the Local Centre are restricted by the map, Local Centre definition and reference to the Local Centre being an “extension”.	This map has now changed due to the change in approach to how frontages are shown on the map and to reflect the change in the local centre boundary. Please see the new site allocation map for N1.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/125	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Development principles		<p>The new local centre will complement the environment rather than the current plan with Royal Wil</p> <p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as a single integrated Local Centre optimises opportunities of the wider location and is logical in terms of relationship with travel patterns and proximity of main town centre uses creating a single cluster. The proposed scale and location of the boundary is based on available information regarding additional retail and leisure need in the area. Local Plan policy HS1 allows for the boundary of the Local Centre extension to be flexibly adjusted through masterplanning processes, and does not impede a difference in the character of the offer on either side of the North Woolwich Road. However, a change to this boundary has been made to reflect the revised design principles of the site allocation, recognising the benefit of designing in the principle of an active frontage, high street style street connecting the new pedestrian bridge landing point through to the DLR station. This will be achieved through the whole vision for the site as set out in allocation, including provision of non-main town centre employment and community uses. The assessment behind the revised boundary is set out</p>		

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	<p>in the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper Update 2024. Please see the revised boundary on the Policies Map and the new wording in the design principles for N2.SA1 which reflects this change.</p>
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	<p>ha rf giv en it wi ll se rv e th e ne w po pu lat io n (ci rc a 13 ,0 00 pe op le) to th e no rt</p>
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	<p>h of N or th W oo lw ic h Ro ad , w he re as Ro ya l W ha rf is alr ea dy se rvi ng a si mi</p>
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	<p>lar po pu lat io n to th e so ut h of N or th W oo lw ic h Ro ad . As su ch , giv en th e sig</p>
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	<p>nificant amount of existing and new housing coming forward in the area, in cl</p>
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	<p>ud in g at the Site there will be a greater demand for new retail floor sp</p>
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	<p>ac e and in cr ea se in av ail ab le ex pe nd itu re to su pp or t bo th lo cal ce nt re s.</p>
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

Reg18-E-111	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	Reg18-E-111/126	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles		<p>The scale appropriate for the Local Centre should be informed by the overall scale of the development, to meet the needs of the new resident, worker and visitor population. The scale of development at Silvertown, and dual function to connect to the Excel centre justifies an exception in how the Local Centre will function. Restricting the location almost entirely along the North Woolwich Road frontage does not make best use of placemaking or encourage opportunities to connect to the Excel centre, ensuring alignment with <b>Draft Policy HS1</b>.</p>	<p>This boundary has now changed to reflect the revised design principles of the site allocation, recognising the benefit of designing in the principle of an active frontage, high street style street connecting the new pedestrian bridge landing point through to the DLR station. This will be achieved through the whole vision for the site as set out in the allocation, including provision of non-main town centre employment and community uses. The assessment behind the revised boundary is set out in the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper Update 2024. Please note the revised boundary on the Policies Map and the new wording in N1.SA1 that reflects this change. Further, the policy approach to describing the role of Silvertown Local Centre in policy HS1 has changed to clarify that any provision of leisure space for Excel visitors or visitors to the wider area, are incidental and the Local Centre should not seek to create a destination drawing further trade, in line with the Retail and Leisure Study (2022). The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate in the context of the evidence base, as above. The 2019 reserved matters application is in the process of being implemented. However, ongoing negotiations on the future of the site, including the shared proposed retail impact assessment for the site which was appended with your comments, demonstrate that changes in economic circumstance are leading to a reconsideration of the quantity, type and location of main town centre uses on site,</p>
-------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/080	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			We support the provision of a riverside pier at or near Thames Wharf which would enable river bus services to serve the area. This pier and pump primed operating costs will need to be fully funded through developer contributions and conform to TfL standards and guidance. Land and rights for access by passengers and for construction and operational purposes must also be provided.	This policy approach has now changed to safeguard space for River Pier Landing Facilities and a River Pier. Please see the new wording in N1.SA4. However, we have not specified that this will need to be fully funded through developer contributions as we consider this should not impact on the delivery of other key pieces of infrastructure on the site such as affordable housing and a new DLR station.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/081	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			We support a new DLR station at Thames Wharf and bridge links to Trinity Buoy Wharf and Leamouth Peninsula crossing. These will need to be fully funded through development contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not able to commit funding at the current time.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The funding source has not been included within any of the site allocations. The borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy indicates the station is necessary to enable development. We're aware that funding has been secured for the station through the permitted scheme. At the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Where financial viability constraints are evidenced, Policy BFN4 provides a hierarchy of priorities. The implementation text for BFN4.3 indicates that in certain circumstances the Council may vary that hierarchy and/or additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure may, where possible, be identified by the Council. The Council will also support the exploration of

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/082	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						The Custom House and Prince Regent DLR Station bus stands sit within the neighbourhood boundary and will need to be retained but are outside any site allocations. The agent of change principle should be applied to any nearby development.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/083	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Infrastructure requirements			Under 'Infrastructure requirements', the need for significant funding for transport improvements is needed to mitigate the impacts of trip generation. The 2016 permission (14/01605/OUT) included developer funding for a Pontoon Dock station upgrade, cycle hire docking stations and public transport capacity improvements. With an increased quantum of development and changes now proposed, the contributions should be increased to reflect this, subsequent cost increases and changes in standards/context since 2016. Grampian conditions or obligations must be applied as before, with significant funding sought for the station in particular.	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the Sustainable Transport Strategy identifying this as a necessary requirement for the site to be delivered or for the density of the site to be optimised and so the delivery of specific transport infrastructure requirements or contributions towards their delivery has been added to the site allocation infrastructure requirements. Please see the infrastructure requirements for the site allocation.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/084	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA1 Silvertown Quays		Design principles			The scheme should contribute to green infrastructure as set out in the Public Realm Framework and draft Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area Planning Framework. Streets should play a role in enhancing the green estate in the area, including the delivery of sustainable urban drainage systems that also enhance the public realm.	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study which has updated the green infrastructure requirements for the site. The design principles have also been updated to include a requirement to deliver site-specific interventions from the Royal Docks and Beckton Integrated Water Management Strategy. The design principles already taken into account the aspirations in the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area Framework and the requirement to address SuDS. Please

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											see the new wording in the design principles of N1.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/085	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Infrastructure requirements			Developer funding for active and sustainable transport improvements will be required. Grampian conditions or obligations should be applied to enable delivery and mitigation of development impact in line with London Plan policy.	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the Sustainable Transport Strategy identifying this as a necessary requirement for the site to be delivered or for the density of the site to be optimised and so the delivery of specific transport infrastructure requirements or contributions towards their delivery has been added to the site allocation infrastructure requirements. Please see the infrastructure requirements for the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/086	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Infrastructure requirements			Assessment of the capacity of West Silvertown station will be necessary, and a contribution secured from developers to mitigate impacts.	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the Sustainable Transport Strategy identifying this as a necessary requirement for the site to be delivered or for the density of the site to be optimised and so the delivery of specific transport infrastructure requirements or contributions towards their delivery has been added to the site allocation infrastructure requirements. Please see the infrastructure requirements for the site allocation.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/087	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA2 Lyle Park West		Design principles			Permeability for people walking and cycling will be expected to/from the riverside and throughout the area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already a design principle in the site allocation.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/088	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			As with Silvertown Quays, developer funding for a Pontoon Dock station upgrade and other active and sustainable transport improvements are required. Grampian conditions or obligations should be applied to enable delivery and mitigation of development impact in line with London Plan policy.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver Pontoon Dock station upgrades. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N1.SA3.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/089	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA3 Connaught Riverside		Design principles			Permeability for people walking and cycling will be expected to/from the riverside and throughout the area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as these are key routes set out within the site allocation's design principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/090	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Design principles			We support the principles outlined and, in respect of the 2020 permission (18/03557/OUT), consider the site-specific opportunities available to promote sustainable transport modes have been taken up. We also agree that safe and suitable access to site will be achieved for all users, and significant capacity and safety impacts from development will be mitigated to an acceptable degree.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/091	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria	N3.SA4 Thameside West		Infrastructure Requirements			However, delivery funding, especially of the new station, remains an issue.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-032	West Silvertown Foundation	Reg18-E-032/002	Neighbourhoods	N3 Royal Victoria						There is a significant lack of community infrastructure in the West Silvertown area, with insufficient GP surgery provision, a lack of affordable cafes/restaurants, no post office and no medium/large supermarket.	This policy approach has now changed to add support for new community facilities when in conformity with SI2. Please see the new wording in N1 as well as the development principles in the neighbourhood's site allocations. The neighbourhood's new local centres will also deliver retail and food and drink uses and the Silvertown Quays development should provide a small to medium supermarket. The NHS have confirmed they have no requirements for a health centre in this neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-049	Albert Island Regeneration Limited	Reg18-E-049/014	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North		4.21				Policy N4: Royal Albert North Our client welcomes the recognition of Albert Island within the neighbourhood profile of Royal Albert North (Ref: N4) as comprising marine-based industrial uses within the mixed-use neighbourhood	Support noted.
Reg18-E-049	Albert Island Regeneration Limited	Reg18-E-049/015	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			7			The vision of “intensifying industrial land to deliver a strategic scale boatyard and new workspaces and skills and training opportunities at Albert Island” highlighted within Policy N4 (7) is also supported by our client.	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			13			[Add] Lots of people like to exercise - outdoor gym, monkey bars- underneath Connaught Bridge	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new publicly accessible open space is addressed through Local Plan GWS1 and GWS5. This includes which includes play and space for informal recreation which can include fitness areas and outdoor gym equipment.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] Considering - 'box park' - temporary uses and smaller businesses	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the meanwhile use policy in BNF1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/004	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development principles			[Add] Empty ABP buildings - use them for startups/small businesses - vibrancy, young companies - regenerate	This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify which employment uses will be supported on the site allocation. These include flexible office / workspaces uses suitable for small and medium-sized businesses and services, creative and cultural production industries and high technology. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/005	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] Places to eat, drink, restaurants	A change to this policy approach has been made as a result of updated evidence for a neighbourhood parade in this location as part of the 15-minute network. Please see the new requirements in the neighbourhood policy and the development principles of the site allocation.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/006	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] More solar panels on buildings	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Climate Emergency policies which set borough-wide standards and requirements for zero-carbon development and retrofitting which includes solar/pv panels.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Boundary change [see annotation on Royal Albert North jamboard]	This neighbourhood boundary has been changed through a small amendment to the boundary between Gallions Reach and Royal Albert North to include the local centre in one neighbourhood. Please see the new boundary for N17. The change you have requested has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position from the work undertaken as part of the Characterisation Study that concluded that including this area as part of wider area would help create a better sense of place, improve connections and align with the employment focus of the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			13			[Keep] access to blue network and green	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/047	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Keep] aesthetic changes	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes or additions have been made.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			9			[Keep] connection and walkways	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Keep] areas to sit and reflect - there is no place to dwell	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary the design principles and infrastructure requirements relating to open space and public realm work alongside Local Plan policies D2 and GWS1 which provide further detail on design of these spaces.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/050	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Keep] active frontages	A change to this policy approach has been made as a result of updated evidence for a neighbourhood parade in this location as part of the 15 minute network. Please see the new requirements in the neighbourhood policy and the

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											development principles of the site allocation.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/051	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Keep] public amenities - toilets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/052	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			14			[Keep] well-being of being by the water	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/053	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Keep] active community	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/054	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] the boundary doesn't make sense - why is Royal Albert Basin in this area?	This neighbourhood boundary has been changed through a small amendment to the boundary between Gallions Reach and Royal Albert North to include the local centre in one neighbourhood. Please see the new boundary for N17. The change you have requested has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position from the work undertaken as part of the Characterisation Study that concluded that including this area as part of wider area would help create a better sense of place, improve connections and align with the employment focus of the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/055	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North		Neighbourhood Name				[Change] name - Beckton Park	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Beckton Park is not in this neighbourhood and it is not reflective of the neighbourhood's location in Royal Albert North Dock.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] Meanwhile uses - advocate for these	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the meanwhile use policy in BNF1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/057	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			4			[Add] Affordable incubator spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the employment policies set out where affordable workspace would be supported.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/058	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] 'Big Local' act as a catalyst to have community ownership of spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the requirements in BNF1 for a co-produced masterplan for site allocations.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/059	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] Emphasise this as a visitor destination - wellbeing	A change to this policy approach has been made as a result of updated evidence for a neighbourhood parade in this location as part of the 15-minute network. Please see the new requirements in the neighbourhood policy and the development principles of the site allocation.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] Public facing activities	A change to this policy approach has been made as a result of updated evidence for a neighbourhood parade in this location as part of the 15-minute network. Please see the new requirements in the neighbourhood policy and the development principles of the site allocation.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add] Apparently used to be a car boot sale in the huge car park here - could that be revived?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as suitable uses for the neighbourhood are set out in the neighbourhood policy and also the development principles of the site allocation. See BNF1 for the approach to meanwhile uses and high streets policy for the approach to markets and pop-up events.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						Schools engagement. What is the reach of our policy engagement in general? This does not feel representative	The Local Plan Consultation and Engagement Strategy sets out the wide range of methods we have used to reach as many as of Newham's residents and other stakeholders as possible. This has included engaging with young people through methods such as specific workshops with young people, working with the council's Youth Zones and social media. Please see the Local Plan consultation report for a summary of the methods used and a summary of who we engaged with based on demographic data.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/142	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development principles			Cafes and restaurants to be prioritised	This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify the type and quantity of town centre uses that will be supported on the site allocation. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development principles			Add meanwhile spaces asap	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/158	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			7			Comment brackets clause 7. This doesn't align with the rest of the space.	This neighbourhood boundary has been changed through a small amendment to the boundary between Gallions Reach and Royal Albert North to include the local centre in one neighbourhood. Please see the new boundary for N17. The change you have requested has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position from the work undertaken as part of the Characterisation Study that concluded that including this area as part of wider area would help create a better sense of place, improve connections and align with the employment focus of the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/159	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			5			5. KEEP!	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/160	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			10			10. Yes; communities to the north want to access this neighbourhood	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/161	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			4			Comment brackets clause 4.	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/162	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			11			Comment brackets clause 11. Yes.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/163	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			12			Comment brackets clause 12. Yes!	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/164	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			14			Comment brackets clause 14. <u>How?</u> What does this mean?	This policy wording has now changed to add further clarity on how this will be achieved and to consolidate policy clauses. Please see the new wording in N2.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/165	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						What does a site allocation mean?	A site allocation is a policy which designates a site for a particular type of development or use and allows us to provide greater detail on how we want to see a site develop. Further information about site allocations can be found in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/166	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			4			Comment brackets clause 4	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/167	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			5			Clause 5. Comment underlines 'community' and brackets the clause	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/168	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			7			Comment brackets clause 7.	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/169	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			9			Comment brackets 'of the highway and to enhance conditions for walking, cycling and buses and to improve access to public transport and the wider network of neighbourhoods and their green spaces;' in clause 9	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/180	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North		Neighbourhood boundary				[see annotation on map to suggest changes to the boundary]	This neighbourhood boundary has been changed through a small amendment to the boundary between Gallions Reach and Royal Albert North to include the local centre in one neighbourhood. Please see the new boundary for N17. The change you have requested has not resulted in a change. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position from the work undertaken as part of the Characterisation Study that concluded that including this area as part of wider area would help create a better sense of place, improve connections and align with the employment focus of the neighbourhood.

Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/001	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Site profile		<p>Representations to the Newham Local Plan Regulation 18 We act on behalf of DP K Management, who have exchanged contracts and will shortly be completing the purchase of the majority part of Phase 1 of the Royal Albert Docks redevelopment (see site plan at Appendix A and referred to as 'the subject Site' hereon).</p> <p>The subject Site comprises 1 flagship office building "Altitude", 20 "Townhouse" office buildings, (6 of which fall outside the DPK ownership), a 2-acre greenfield site (co-owned with the GLA) and an Energy Centre providing Heating &amp; Cooling to all the buildings.</p> <p>The total square footage of the Phase 1 buildings is c.480,000 sq ft. Only c.20,000 is currently tenanted.</p> <p>The subject Site is being purchased from liquidation following the failed attempt by ABP to build and lease a substantial office-led complex across a wider masterplan. Whilst issues such as the delays to Crossrail inhibited the previous owner, clearly the phasing and scale of use proposed has not been successful.</p> <p>Our Client is at the early stage of reviewing options to breathe life into this critically important site to deliver an employment-led offer through a range of uses whilst also looking at flexible means to use temporary and meanwhile uses to encourage activity and vibrancy to the area.</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	-----------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--	--------------	--	--	----------------

									<p>Once the purchase is complete, our Client will be a long term holder of the subject Site and is extremely keen to work closely with the London Borough of Newham and the GLA in order to find the best possible commercial and employment-led solution for the site, as well as creating a place that attracts visitors, workers and the local community.</p> <p>Background</p> <p>Planning permission was secured on 21st December 2015 (Ref: 14/00618/OUT) for a hybrid planning application comprising the following:</p> <p>Outline application: a business-led mixed use development for up to 374,067 sqm of floorspace for business; retail, financial and professional services, food and drink uses, community and cultural, and assembly and leisure uses; residential; car parking and energy centre; new servicing routes, highways and landscaping, public realm improvements, public open space, access, and associated development.</p> <p>Detailed application: 63,118 sqm (GEA) of floorspace comprising business, serviced apartments, retail, financial and professional services, food and drink uses, community and cultural, and assembly and leisure uses, temporary car park and energy centre (including temporary access road and associated works), access and</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>connectivity improvements, landscaping and public realm improvements, open space and associated development, and the change of use of two existing Grade II listed buildings</p> <p>Reserved matters approval has been granted (16/01989/REM and 18/00251/REM), which included bringing forward Plots 5a and 5b into Phase 1 and provided the relevant reserved matters to enable the delivery of these plots.</p> <p>Our Client is close to completing on the purchase of:- Buildings Plot 5a (4/6 buildings owned) Building Plot-5b (4/4) Building Plot 8a (3/4) Building Plot 8b (3/6) Building Plot 9a (Altitude Building) Greenfield Site; Plots 3a &amp; 3b (co-owned with the GLA) and The Energy Centre</p> <p>All of the above (save for the Greenfield Site) completed in 2019.</p> <p>[Image attached = RAD phasing plan]</p> <p>The total floorspace within the 21 buildings delivered on the subject Site is 487,586 sq ft NIA (45,298 sq m NIA) comprising 454,589 sq ft NIA office (42,232 sq m NIA) and 32,997 sq ft NIA retail (3,066 sq m NIA). The 20 office buildings within Plots 5 and 8 are 6-7 storeys in height, whilst the office Building, Altitude, in Plot 9A is 9 storeys. The existing buildings are largely vacant with only a small number of floors within two of the</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>buildings having any commercial tenants.</p> <p>Reserved matters have not been submitted for the remaining masterplan phases and such applications for each plot/phase must be submitted within 8 years of the consent (i.e. by December 2023).</p> <p>We understand the GLA as the wider freeholder of the masterplan site are currently undertaking a strategic review of the overall development, which is likely to lead to a competitive selection process for a new development partner for Phase 2-6 of the masterplan. Our Client looks forward to collaborating with the GLA and the London Borough of Newham further to ensure the subject Site sits well and is consistent within the wider masterplan aspirations.</p> <p>Site Allocation N4.SA1</p> <p>The subject Site and the wider Royal Albert Docks masterplan site sits within the Royal Albert North Site Allocation (Ref: N4.SA1). The extent of the site allocation is below and shows the allocation covers a wider area than the consented masterplan (extending further westwards).</p> <p>[Image attached]</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/002	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles		<p>Comments on Site Allocation</p> <p>We have the following comments on the site allocation: Development Principles</p> <p>The first two paragraphs of the current development principles section within the site allocation are outlined below (page 340):</p> <p>Residential development, industrial and employment uses, community and education uses and open space.</p> <p>Employment uses should be for range of flexible office I workspaces uses suitable for small and medium-sized businesses and services, creative and cultural industries and high technology.</p> <p>Our Client will be a long term landholder and is of the strong belief that the development in this area should be employment and commercial-led, but this should be delivered through a range of employment, industrial and education uses.</p> <p>Our Client therefore supports that there is already flexibility listed above regarding the range of uses supported within the site allocation to meet this aspiration.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. Education uses associated with existing UTC and UEL campuses are supported in the site allocation's development principles. As per other site allocations within the draft Local Plan, it is important this site optimises the delivery of general needs housing, given this represents the majority of Newham and London's housing need. Delivery of general needs housing remains a key component of masterplanning on this site, given Newham is currently unable to meet its housing target set out in the 2021 London Plan.</p>
-------------	-----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/003	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			<p>However, as outlined above, the subject Site comprises largely vacant office buildings and our Client is at the early stages of looking at options to repurpose some of the buildings and introduce more activity and meanwhile uses into the subject Site to try and encourage more visitors, workers, students etc onto the subject Site.</p> <p>Therefore, we consider the allocation should provide more flexibility to explicitly support other commercial , employment and industrial uses in the location such as health care use, data centre, as well as supporting meanwhile/temporary uses and active uses to encourage visitors such as retail and leisure.</p>	<p>This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify the type and quantity of town centre uses and which employment uses will be supported on the site allocation. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as data centre uses would only be appropriate on designated Strategic Industrial Land and Local Mixed Use Areas. The appropriateness of meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/004	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			We also don't agree with the definition that employment uses should be for a range of office/workspace and this should be a broader definition to explicitly allow a range of uses including office, light industrial, industrial, data centres as well as education and health uses.	This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify the type and quantity of town centre uses and which employment uses will be supported on the site allocation. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as heavy industrial and data centre uses would only be appropriate on designated Strategic Industrial Land and Local Mixed Use Areas. The appropriateness of meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8. Education uses associated with existing UTC and UEL campuses are supported in the site allocation's development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/005	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			Our Client believes residential (both sale and rent) as well as other bed spaces like student (to support education), hotel, co-living should also be supported in the area to help create a successful place and provide longer term support to the predominant flexible range of employment uses outlined above.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for residential and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. As per other site allocations within the draft Local Plan, it is important this site optimises the delivery of general needs housing, given this represents the majority of Newham and London's housing need. Delivery of general needs housing remains a key component of masterplanning on this site, given Newham is currently unable to meet its housing target set out in the 2021 London Plan. The acceptability of the delivery of hotel accommodation, purpose built shared living or purpose built student accommodation in addition to general needs housing will be assessed in accordance with the requirements of the relevant policies of the draft Local Plan, as well as masterplanning principles set out in the site allocation and the Building a Fairer Newham policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/006	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			There has been a significant amount of office floorspace previously consented on the wider masterplan (over 300,000 sq m GEA) with over 45,000 sq m NIA of office floorspace currently largely vacant on site. Whilst we understand there are specific employment protection policies within the plan, the site allocation should enable more flexibility for the loss of employment space to be repurposed into other uses as long as the wider site allocation aspirations are met. This should supersede the requirements to justify the loss of employment space under Part 4 of Policy J3 as we wish to avoid a circumstance where vacant development within Phase 1 is prevented from being used for other uses supported within the allocation to help create a successful place and destination.	A change to this site allocation has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as loss of office floorspace may be appropriate, provided a planning application meets the policy requirements for release of employment floorspace set out in Policy J3.
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/007	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			The allocation should also include reference to meanwhile uses being supported to encourage activation and vibrancy whilst the wider Royal Albert Docks masterplan is coming forward.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/014	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			<p>Summary</p> <p>Ultimately, our client is seeking flexibility in order to create a place that Newham and its residents will be proud of. The opportunity to activate the subject site is enormous but requires lateral thinking by both the project team, Council and the GLA. We are therefore seeking greater policy flexibility in order identify end-users who can reinvigorate and compliment this dynamic piece of City.</p> <p>Our Client's aspiration is to deliver an employment-led development but enable flexibility to allow a range of employment uses on the Site such as office, industrial, light industrial as well as related uses such as education, health that could repurpose existing vacant buildings.</p>	<p>This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify the type and quantity of town centre uses and which employment uses will be supported on the site allocation. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1. The appropriateness of meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8. Education uses associated with UEL and the UTC college are supported in the site allocation's development principles.</p> <p>As per other site allocations within the draft Local Plan, it is important this site optimises the delivery of general needs housing, given this represents the majority of Newham and London's housing need. Delivery of general needs housing remains a key component of masterplanning on this site, given Newham is currently unable to meet its housing target set out in the 2021 London Plan.</p>
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/015	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			<p>We also consider it crucial to the success of the place that meanwhile and temporary uses are enabled to encourage activation and visitors to the area.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to provide additional clarification around the suitability of meanwhile uses. Please see the new wording in policy BFN1.8.</p>
Reg18-E-075	Developer	Reg18-E-075/017	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North					<p>[Annexure A - Phase 1 HL Title Plan attached]</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/008	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N4.SA1 Royal Albert North - 29.8 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + Tidal breach - Other Constraints include Borehole, aquifer, protected species	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/027	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Site profile			Site allocation N4.SA1. HAR status of Central Buffet and Central Offices at Custom House should be referenced and text included to welcome and support proposals that resolve this.	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the assets in site allocations which are currently on the Heritage at Risk register. Please see the new wording in the site allocation profile and the design principle in N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/009	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Site profile			Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N4.SA1 Royal Albert North Asset Description ZR ROUTE TWR (063A - 93): 400Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: BARKING - WEST HAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and Design Principle and Phasing and Implementation of Neighbourhood Policy N2.

Reg18-E-073	Notting Hill Genesis	Reg18-E-073/002	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North		Neighbourhood boundary			<p>Royal Albert North Neighbourhood Area</p> <p>The Borough's draft Local Plan spatial strategy seeks to direct development to Newham's 16 neighbourhoods to distribute the benefits of growth, achieve community wealth building outcomes and create a network of successful and well-connected 15-minute neighbourhoods. Both Gallions Quarter and Royal Albert Wharf currently form part of Site Allocation S19 'Albert Basin' of the adopted LBN Local Plan. However, the LBN Draft Local Plan proposes to separate these sites into the neighbourhood areas of Gallions Reach and Royal Albert North respectively, as shown in Appendix B. The Neighbourhood Profile for both neighbourhood areas are substantially different. Indeed, Gallions Reach is predominantly industrial in nature and includes sites such as Beckton DLR depot, the former Beckton gas works and Beckton Sewage Treatment Works, which is in stark contrast with the predominantly urban Royal Albert North.</p> <p>The neighbourhood profile for Royal Albert North (page 335) states:  "The neighbourhood is home to a mix of uses including hotels, offices, the University of East London Campus, new housing at Gallions Reach and marine-based industrial uses on Albert Island" (own emphases added).</p> <p>We note that the neighbourhood profile specifically references the new housing at Gallions Reach, as <u>underlined above</u>. It therefore goes to</p>	<p>This neighbourhood boundary has now changed to include the whole Local Centre at Gallions Quarter in the Gallions Reach neighbourhood. The policies have been updated accordingly. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for Royal Albert North and Gallions Reach.</p>
-------------	----------------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--

											follow that the neighbourhood boundary for Royal Albert North should be corrected to include Gallions Quarter, which provide significant new homes, jobs and community facilities.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/054	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			7			Support the reference in part 7 on the promotion of the delivery of a strategic scale boatyard at Albert Island.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/055	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			7			However it is considered this is expanded to also promote the potential new riverbus service here which is included under the current outline planning permission.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Sustainable Transport Strategy did not identify this as necessary to deliver or optimise the site. Any proposal would be assessed the transport policies.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/056	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	New site					It may also be appropriate to include the Albert Island development site as a site allocation with the Local Plan given the ongoing proposals at this site	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site did not meet the site allocation criteria set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This is because it was considered the same outcomes can be achieved through the implementation of the permission and the application of the employment and neighbourhood policies.
Reg18-K-017	Resident	Reg18-K-017/001	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			6			Re point 4. on page 337: Supporting growth in training and economic opportunity with UEL is great...	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-017	Resident	Reg18-K-017/002	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			6			...it is also important to start this engagement with young people earlier at secondary/sixth form. I'm responding as a local resident, but I also work at London Design & Engineering UTC on this site and it is a great example of engaging young people earlier to develop the skills they need for future employment and long-term career development.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this will be addressed through the requirement for the site allocation to be subject to a co-produced masterplan, which includes engagement with local stakeholders. In addition, the consultation strategy for the Local Plan Review has engaged with young people in a number of ways, including at Youth Zones.
Reg18-K-017	Resident	Reg18-K-017/003	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			6			Developing this type of engagement and expanding the educational use in this site (N4 Royal Albert Way) earlier in young people school career is critical.	Educational uses on the site are addressed through the development principles in the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-017	Resident	Reg18-K-017/004	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			6			<p>Additionally, adding more secondary school choice in the South of the borough is hugely important for the future success of the young people in the South of Newham, who are chronically under served by secondary school choice at present.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the draft Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N5 Canning Town and Custom House, on Site Allocation N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-036	Resident	Reg18-K-036/001	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>"The realignment of Royal Albert Way and northern Connaught roundabout, through the removal of the flying roundabout to the north of Connaught Bridge and the creation of two new T junctions" - What are the advantages of eliminating the roundabout? It seems that cars entering from T-junctions are causing longer lines of traffic and impeding the flow of vehicles, resulting in more cars idling in the area instead of smoothly proceeding through the intersection.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to reflect that this highways improvement may come forward via an alternative design. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1.</p> <p>The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as policy T3 requires that new transportation will need to demonstrate that it does not cause amenity impacts for residents or impact the wider transport network. Developers are expected to discuss the proposals with the Council's planning and transport departments at the earliest possible opportunity, and impact will be fully assessed through the preparation of a Transport Assessment.</p>
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/051	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add to it]	<p>Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes/additions have been made.</p>

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/042	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/043	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/045	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/053	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North					N4.SA1 - Royal Albert North (the Site) is an important development site within the Royal Docks with the potential to provide a significant new and mixed-use employment cluster, as well as new residential development. The site offers the opportunity for investment and value creation within the Enterprise Zone (EZ), delivering a large proportion of employment outcomes, business rates income and construction investment for the EZ and for LB Newham. The Site will support LBNs CWB initiatives and form a key node within the 15-minute neighbourhood network in the south of the borough with a focus on creating a coherent and functional mixed-use place.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/054	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North					The Plan's approach to the Site's area boundary is welcomed, encapsulating the strategic opportunity sites at Connaught North, the Regatta Centre, and the wider Royal Albert Dock development area (of which Phase 1 has been completed).	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/055	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			Vision			Additionally, the Plan's vision for Royal Albert North to be a vibrant and cohesive neighbourhood, home to new high-quality employment uses and new residential developments is supported. Integration of the Site with its wider neighbourhood and surrounding communities (both existing and future at Gallions, Beckton, Silvertown), as well as green space at Beckton Park, is supported in principle, noting the significant challenges existing road, rail and bridge infrastructure creates to improved permeability.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/056	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			<p>The Plan's approach to flexible use allocation is welcomed, encouraging a mix and range of both employment uses, non-residential spaces and residential development. Whilst the Site's strategic focus supports an employment-led approach, we agree with the Plan's view that the allocation should not be explicit or prescriptive in this regard. A balanced approach is supported to build in flexibility, considering changing market conditions, strategic priorities and local policy. This assessment is supported by the Employment Land Review (Stantec July 2022), which focuses on promoting a mix of employment uses above and beyond the existing office environment of the completed Phase 1.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for residential and employment uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. As per other site allocations within the draft Local Plan, it is important this site optimises the delivery of general needs housing, given this represents the majority of Newham and London's housing need. Delivery of general needs housing remains a key component of masterplanning on this site, given Newham is currently unable to meet its housing target set out in the 2021 London Plan.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/057	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			We consider the Site's mixed-use employment cluster to support a variety of new uses including (but not limited to) light-industrial and manufacturing, logistics, flexible workspace, educational expansion, conferencing facilities, green tech and high-value industries providing space for new production, prototyping and business development. This will support a variety of small, medium and micro businesses, as well as providing space for larger anchor businesses, intensifying a growth in local skills, training and economic development.	This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify which employment uses will be supported on the site allocation. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider conferencing facilities to be a suitable use on the site, given the proximity of the Excel Exhibition Centre and proposed function and scale of the neighbourhood parade designation. Education uses are supported in the site allocation's development principles.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/058	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			Whilst there is a recognised shortfall of industrial stock across London and in Newham, heavy industrial uses in this location require additional analysis to assess their appropriateness in line with enhancing the public character and place-making potential of the Site, especially given the high-quality environment it aspires to.	This site allocation wording has now changed to clarify which employment uses will be supported on the site allocation. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/059	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			We consider the Site's residential opportunity to support (but not limited to) a variety of affordable and private housing tenures as well as hotel uses.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for residential and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. As per other site allocations within the draft Local Plan, it is important this site optimises the delivery of general needs housing, given this represents the majority of Newham and London's housing need. Delivery of general needs housing remains a key component of masterplanning on this site, given Newham is currently unable to meet its housing target set out in the 2021 London Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/060	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			Currently, temporary planning consent is limited to 5yrs but longer meanwhile uses would support improved activation and use of RAD. Any future procurement and redevelopment programme is likely to exceed 15 years meaning large parts of the Site may sit vacant until such time as construction begins. This is somewhat dependent on use allocation, phasing and economic conditions determined by the dynamics of demand and supply in local markets. A limit of 5 years for any large scale meanwhile use is generally considered too low to be viable, considering the Site's location and investment required. Therefore, the ability to pursue longer-term meanwhile proposals (such as up to 10+ years) would add to the Sites potential to support early phases of activation and creative/innovative uses, driving value for EZ and LBN in terms of jobs, investment and placemaking.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/061	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development Principles			Meanwhile uses will play a key role in activating the Site [Royal Albert North] between development phases, hosting a mixture of different temporary employment and recreational uses, bringing life to underused and undeveloped spaces, creating new jobs and activity. A more flexible planning approach to meanwhile activity is therefore requested that goes beyond temporary consents in activating large sites ahead of major development.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as meanwhile uses will be assessed against policy BFN1.8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/064	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>Policy BFN1 (5d) requires the delivery of new open space on the majority of site allocations. This includes new Local Parks of at least 2ha required at N4.SA1 Royal Albert North. Clarity is requested as to the rationale and methodology deployed in coming to this assessment of need. 2ha feels overly prescriptive in respect of the significant green space of Beckton Park in close proximity to the Site and within the Sites 15-minute neighbourhood framework. Additionally, the Site offers near 2km of open accessible dock edge, supporting a network of accessible walking and cycling routes with uninterrupted views across the water. This provides linear recreational infrastructure along the dock edge with connections to Beckton Park. This includes ongoing work to maximise opportunities to access the water for recreational use. Until a clear mix of future uses emerges across the Site, including split between residential and commercial quantum, it feels inappropriate to determine the level of open space required. This should be part of a wider masterplan approach.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/065	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Design Principles			The Site's focus on increasing active travel, improved transport connections, low traffic and walkable neighbourhoods, improved biodiversity and improved air quality are all supported.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/068	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			The Plan notes that the realignment of Royal Albert Way and northern Connaught roundabout, through the removal of the flying roundabout to the north of Connaught Bridge and the creation of two new T junctions. This policy should be less definitive and focus on the scaling back/rationalisation of highways infrastructure, rather than the need for specifically two new T junctions. This highway rationalisation should be achieved through a design-led masterplan approach. Initial design work commissioned by the RDT showed an option with two T-junctions, but alternative solutions may deliver better placemaking and development outcomes, therefore a range of options should be considered as part of the development of a comprehensive masterplan.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect that this highways improvement may come forward via an alternative design. Please see the new wording in site allocation N2.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/068	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure requirements			The principle of infrastructure removal [realignment of Royal Albert Way and northern Connaught roundabout] to enable redevelopment is supported.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/046	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Development principles			N4.SA1 Royal Albert North – Sport England support the protection of the gym and regatta centre.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/160	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/161	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/162	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/163	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/164	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: Surface water is expected to be discharged to the watercourse.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/092	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						Developer funding for active and sustainable transport improvements will be required. Grampian conditions or obligations should be applied to enable delivery and mitigation of development impact in line with London Plan policy.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver upgrades to public transport infrastructure. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/093	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						Assessment of the capacity of the Royal Docks DLR stations serving the area will be necessary, and a contribution secured from developers to mitigate impacts.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver upgrades to public transport infrastructure. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/094	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						Permeability for people walking and cycling will be expected to/from the dockside and throughout the area.	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is already a requirement of both the neighbourhood policy and the N3.SA1 site allocation.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/095	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North						Residential and other noise sensitive development must take account of the agent of change principle in relation to the DLR, highways and the airport.	Comment noted. This is addressed through the design principles in the site allocation and also Local Plan Policy D7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/096	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Royal Albert DLR station would be the main station serving this site, although it has two-car platforms only. Our position remains (as per the extant planning permission for the Royal Albert Dock development) that platform lengthening and a secondary means of escape are necessary should there be significant development around the station or along the DLR corridor. A Grampian condition or obligation similar to that permission is required for any new planning consent.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver upgrades to public transport infrastructure. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/097	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Improvements may also be required to the other DLR stations and to bus and active travel provision depending upon the nature and quantum of new development.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver upgrades to public transport infrastructure. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/098	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			Regardless of any safety concerns, development of sufficient size would result in a request for contributions towards DLR platform extensions to spread out passengers, minimise dwell times and manage peak crowding.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver upgrades to public transport infrastructure. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N2.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/099	Neighborhoods	N4 Royal Albert North	N4.SA1 Royal Albert North		Infrastructure Requirements			If development levels were high enough, we would likely want to provide additional capacity for Royal Albert and Beckton Park, potentially linked to a Thamesmead extension. This would require a funding and delivery strategy.	This policy approach has now changed to include the need to deliver upgrades to public transport infrastructure. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements section of N2.SA1.

Reg18-E-117	University of East London	Reg18-E-117/007	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			Vision		<p>Neighbourhoods - N4 – Royal Albert North Neighbourhood N4 in the Draft Plan sets out the neighbourhood area vision for Royal Albert North (where the Docklands Campus is located), and states that the neighbourhood area will be <i>‘a vibrant and cohesive neighbourhood, home to new high-quality employment uses, higher-education campus and residential developments. The neighbourhood, and the University of East London in particular, will be successfully integrated into the wider network of neighbourhoods, with improved connections to neighbouring Town Centres and open spaces, particularly to Beckton.’</i> Our client has highlighted that the Docklands Campus is co-located within the same designated area as the Royal Albert Dock (RAD) development, which is embarking on a new chapter to integrate alongside the Docklands Campus and bring with it essential, sustainable growth in this area to the benefit of local people, the economy and environment within the surrounding area. UEL has already positively engaged with the new investment team for RAD, who recognise the importance of UEL as a key neighbour. The importance of a joined-up approach between both of these key stakeholders should therefore be reflected in the vision for Neighbourhood N4.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed by Local Plan Policy BFN2 which requires co-designed site masterplanning. This masterplanning exercise must consider the relevant neighbourhood policy and/or site allocations and how a scheme integrates with its wider surroundings and the process should include stakeholders in the surrounding area. The neighbourhood policy also requires development to reduce any physical and perceived barriers between sites to enable seamless integration across sites.</p>
-------------	---------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------------	--	--	--------	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-117	University of East London	Reg18-E-117/008	Neighbourhoods	N4 Royal Albert North			Vision			Furthermore, as work is underway regarding preparation of the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area Planning Framework (OAPF), which encompasses a number of neighbourhood areas (including Neighbourhood N4), it is important that a consistent approach is taken in relation to the visions set out in the Draft Plan and the forthcoming OAPF.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the drafting of the neighbourhood policies has considered the OAPF, as well as our evidence base documents.
Reg18-E-050	Anchor	Reg18-E-050/002	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			Anchor supports the vision identified for Canning Town and Custom House [and specifically the allocation of land for residential, education and open space uses within N5.SA7.]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-050	Anchor	Reg18-E-050/003	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Development Principles			[Anchor supports the vision identified for Canning Town and Custom House and specifically] the allocation of land for residential, education and open space uses within N5.SA7.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-050	Anchor	Reg18-E-050/004	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Development Principles			[plans in attachment] Anchor is the leasehold owner of Stanley Holloway Court which is a 32-unit retirement housing scheme located on the junction of Coolfin Road and Mandela Road. The site is located within the N5.SA7 allocation and is adjacent to a cleared site. Anchor would like to bring the adjacent site forward for development (Use Class C3) to provide additional specialist older persons' housing. Indicative floor plans are appended to this representation. We may also consider intensifying development on our existing site in the future, subject to technical feasibility and financial viability. Expanding our existing operation at Stanley Holloway Court on the adjacent site with additional homes will enable us to create a retirement community with a critical mass of older people in a single location. This will improve the viability of providing support services and facilities while also improving the efficiency of health and social care provision within the Borough of Newham.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the suitability of providing older people's housing will need to be determined in accordance with the requirements of policies H6 and H7, as well as masterplanning considerations set out in policy BFN2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-050	Anchor	Reg18-E-050/005a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Map			To provide certainty, we would encourage the map on Page 364 to show which areas are likely to have opportunities for residential and educational development, in addition to open space.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections, opportunities for open space and active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Active frontages remain on the mapping where they identify an emerging town or local centre or neighbourhood parade. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA2.
Reg18-E-050	Anchor	Reg18-E-050/005b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Map			We would also encourage the proposed vehicle routes to be more flexible as, for example, the proposed route connecting Golden Plover Court with Murray Square would prejudice the development of the cleared site next to Stanley Holloway Court for much-needed additional housing.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-050	Anchor	Reg18-E-050/006	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Phasing and Implementation			We note that the site is identified as a 'long term allocation'. Anchor is keen to deliver homes on the adjacent site within the next five years to help meet the need identified in the London Plan for 85 new homes for older people per annum between 2017 and 2029. We are concerned that the long-term allocation within N5.SA7, combined with the requirements of Policy BFN2, will prevent the site from coming forward in advance of 2032/33. We would encourage a more flexible approach to site allocations to allow piecemeal delivery ahead of wider masterplanning, where appropriate.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/002	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			Site Allocation N5.SA5  Newham's recognition of the growth potential of Canning Town Riverside and the continued commitment to the comprehensive redevelopment of the Site is welcomed by the Barratt London.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			We support the redesignation of the north-western portion of the site from Strategic Industrial Land (SIL) to Local Mixed Use Area (LMUA). This will allow compatible uses to be brought forward across the allocation, facilitating the creation of a liveable, cohesive, and truly mixed-use neighbourhood.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			The Plan's recognition that the southern portion of the site is most appropriate for residential-led development with employment-led development concentrated within LMUA12 is strongly supported. The delivery of employment-led development to the north will provide a buffer from the industrial uses in the SIL allocation which abut the allocation's northern boundary, allowing the residential elements of the allocation to be the highest possible quality.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/005a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside					Nonetheless, it is disappointing to see that the site layout diagram and design principles do not reflect the significant level of engagement from two separate applicants with an interest in N5.SA5 have had with the Borough over the past two years. This is particularly concerning given the strong encouragement from officers for both Applicants to work together to ensure the objectives of the current site allocation are met.	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised below.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/005b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Map			Barratt London's design team has met Newham's DRP four times and have undertaken sustained engagement with the Council's planning design officers to develop proposals which reflect the Borough's ambitions for the site. The diagrammatic layout illustrated in the N5.SA5 allocation is not reflective of what has been developed in partnership with LBN as part of Barratt London's proposals.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections, opportunities for open space, buffering and sensitive edges are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			The absence of a pedestrian route from Bidder Street to the Leaway would constitute a significant missed opportunity to maximise the permeability of the site, and we are concerned that the pedestrian experience along this part of the Leaway would be unwelcoming due to a lack of access routes through the industrial estate.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			The inclusion of an additional underpass as shown on the diagram is likely to be unviable as this has been explored in the design process for the recently submitted application and it was found to be surplus to requirements, with TfL being unsupportive.	This policy approach has now changed to remove this additional underpass. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Furthermore, the open space shown on the south-east parcel of the allocation is not considered to be an optimal location. In fact, such a significant quantum of amenity space to the south-east would contradict the allocation's ambition for open space to be largely concentrated along the river edge. In order to realise the allocation's potential as a truly mixed-use neighbourhood, it is instead considered more appropriate to form a public square in a location which would benefit from activity generated by employment-led frontages.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/010	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles		Characterisation Study	Neighbourhood Characterisations  We welcome the steps taken in the draft Plan to realise Newham's ambition to develop neighbourhood-specific design principles. The evidence base document Newham Characterisation Study (NCS) identifies the opportunity for growth which the Site offers, and its capacity for 'transformational' change is recognised (Opportunity for growth map on p.146).	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/011	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:  > The Site is consistently mischaracterised as having a 'High Street character (for example the map on p141). The site is not connected to Canning Town High Street, nor, as a cleared industrial site, does it have any characteristics associated with high street character areas, and this should be corrected;	Comment noted. The map reflects the GLA's high streets mapping. However, the site is not a designated centre in the draft Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/012	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:]  > We note, and agree with the character of the Site being characterised as being of low quality;	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/013	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:]  > We note, and agree that the Site is identified as 'not sensitive to change';	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/014	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:]  > We note, and agree, that the Site is identified as being of 'not sensitive character';	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/016	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:]  We note that the Site is identified as an area that is a High Opportunity for Change;	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/017	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:]  > The map on p147 incorrectly identifies the Site as falling within a Conservation Area, and we request that this is corrected; and	This site is not identified as being located in a conservation area on the map in question. The site is identified as having high street character, and being located within an employment hub and industrial area on the map.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/018	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[Nonetheless, we make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:]  > The Study concludes at p151 that the site be identified as a 'Transform' site with the ability to substantially increase density.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/019	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				<p>Moreover, we note that although Site Allocation N5.SA5 requires a comprehensive masterplanning approach to be taken for the allocation, the allocation is split between two different neighbourhoods with competing design principles. The north of the allocation forms part of the Manor Road neighbourhood – described as an industrial and employment focussed neighbourhood where growth will take the form of the optimisation and intensification of industrial land. The southern portion of the allocation forms part of Canning Town Custom House – described as a neighbourhood which will be regenerated and restored with a high level of growth...delivered through the transformation of sites in and around the District Centre (i.e. Canning Town – directly to the South of the N5.SA5 allocation).</p> <p>We recognise that the rationale for this split is that the suitability for employment-led development in LMUA parts of the allocation are reflective of Manor Road's industrial character, whereas the southern portion of the Site are better reflected by the residential character of Canning Town.</p>	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/020	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				However, the ambition to transform the site allocation as a whole into a truly mixed-use neighbourhood with complementary residential, employment and light industrial uses is much more reflective of the diversity of uses in the Canning Town neighbourhood than of Manor Road, which is described almost exclusively in terms of its capacity for industrial growth. In our view, it would be more appropriate for the entirety of the site to fall within the Canning Town and Custom House Neighbourhood. The Allocation's identity as a part of Canning Town will be consolidated with strengthened pedestrian links and improvements to the A13.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/038	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles			With respect to Canning Town and the draft site allocation N5.SA5 Barratt London is supportive of the overall vision that this area of Newham is to be transformed and is capable of significant growth.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/039	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles			Nonetheless, there are several points of detail that could be retained or altered to better deliver this vision. > Retain the draft LMUA on at the northern part of N5.SA5	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/040	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile	Development principles			[Nonetheless, there are several points of detail that could be retained or altered to better deliver this vision.] > Extend the Canning Town Neighbourhood across the entire N5.SA5 allocation to reflect the LMUA designation	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/041	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Map			[Nonetheless, there are several points of detail that could be retained or altered to better deliver this vision.] > Refine the proposed site layout diagram to reflect the engagement of both applicants with Newham officers	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections and active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/048	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study: o The Site is consistently mischaracterised as having a 'High Street character (for example the map on p141). The site is not connected to Canning Town High Street, nor, as a cleared industrial site, does it have any characteristics associated with high street character areas, and this should be corrected;	Comment noted. The map reflects the GLA's high streets mapping. However, the site is not a designated centre in the draft Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/049a	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:] o We note, and agree with the character of the Site being characterised as being of low quality;	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/049b	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:] o We note, and agree that the Site is identified as 'not sensitive to change';	Support noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/049c	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:] o We note, and agree, that the Site is identified as being of 'not sensitive character';	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/051a	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:] o We note that the Site is identified as an area that is a High Opportunity for Change;	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/051b	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:] o The map on p147 incorrectly identifies the Site as falling within a Conservation Area, and we request that this is corrected; and	This site is not identified as being located in a conservation area on the map in question. The site is identified as having high street character, and being located within an employment hub and industrial area on the map.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/051c	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				Characterisation Study	[The Newham Characterisation Study (2022) suggests that the Site is located in an area that is suitable for growth (Opportunity for growth map on p.146). We make the following observations arising from the Newham Characterisation Study:] o The Study concludes at p151 that the site be identified as a 'Transform' site with the ability to substantially increase density.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/017	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		4.33				Neighbourhoods Page 341 - N5 Canning Town and Custom House We note the River Lea is mentioned in the opening description here.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/018	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			Strategic Site: N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside We welcome the proposed "Open space including a pedestrianised walkway should be provided along the River Lea" although the site is not within the Trust's ownership.	Support noted.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Add] Timescale - expectations vs delivery (how to communicate when uncertainty arises)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan sets out how the borough will develop up to 2038 and is drafted to be aspirational but deliverable over this period which is a requirement of national planning policy. The site allocations include indicative timescales we anticipate sites will be developed. Further information about how the Local Plan is being delivered can be found in the Annual Monitoring Report: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-policy-local-plan/9">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-policy-local-plan/9</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Add] Improved interconnectivity with existing transport links.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy already sets out that

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											how connectivity in and around the neighbourhood will be achieved.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Add] Custom House East has no development or improvements. All focussed on Canning Town.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision for the neighbourhood sets out that the existing community at Custom House will benefit from new and improved housing, public transport access, a renewed local centre, community facilities and public realm.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Keep] Custom House low density traditional housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the analysis done through the Newham Characterisation Study identifies sites in Custom House as suitable for transformation of their existing character. This will be managed through the site allocations in Custom House and Local Plan policy D3 which provides further requirements are transform areas.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Keep] Canning Town is new high density population	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision already sets out that a high level of growth will be delivered through the transformation of sites in and around the district centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Change] 'A high level of growth' to 'A high level of managed growth'	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the phrase accurately describes the change which will occur in these neighbourhoods. The policies in the Plan will ensure this growth is managed and delivered sustainably.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Change] Pairing Canning Town and Custom House are two totally different communities, with their own very specific issues	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Change] Potential benefits to existing residents from replacement community facilities and improved housing need to be explained and quantified as new residents will increase demand	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The Spatial Strategy for the Local Plan directs development to all of Newham's 16 neighbourhoods to distribute the benefits of growth, achieve Community Wealth Building outcomes and create a network of successful and well-connected 15-minute neighbourhoods. The Local Plan includes a range of policies that will secure benefits from development, such as community access to new facilities and through the Community Wealth Building requirements in the employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Change] Given the financial environment the delivery of new infrastructure will have to be balanced with other demands, such as social housing and family homes	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the different requirements arising from different policies have been viability tested as part of the preparation of the Local Plan and the requirements have been found to be viable.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/010	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Change] Crime and public realm safety	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Crime and public realm safety in parks	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/013	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Change] Prince Regent Lane cycling connectivity - ample space for segregated cycle lanes to CS network and greenway	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Change] Excellent cycle structures east and west but very little north/south	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/015	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			16			[Keep] Consider 20mph on residential streets	The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out. We have provided the highways team with your comments.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/016	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			16			[Keep] Consider one-way systems on residential streets	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/017	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			16			[Keep] Consider mandatory schools streets to stop school runs	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy T2, which supports existing or delivering new School Streets.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/018	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Creation of facilities supporting the transience of many who come to live whilst encouraging them to engage with the local community so they have every opportunity to 'stick'	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities in Local Plan policies SI1, SI2 and SI3 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of opportunity for new and old communities to engage with each other. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/019	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Creation of facilities such as co-living	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements for products such as co-living is set out in Local Plan policy H9.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/020	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			9			[Add] Facilities to support new working habits post Covid	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the approach to employment uses in the borough is set in the spatial strategy and the employment policies, informed by evidence in the Employment Land Review.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Market change (macro economy) - flexibility of policy	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policies in the Local Plan have been drafted to meet national policy requirements, which means they need to be aspirational but deliverable over the next 15 years and are flexible enough to respond to changing circumstances.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Also regeneration to shops on Prince Regent	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Some shops on Prince Regent Lane are a protected neighbourhood parade and changes to this area will be managed through Local Plan policy HS1. Outside of the designated neighbourhood parade changes to shops on Prince Regent Lane will be managed through Local Plan policy HS3.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			13			[Add] Cycle hire stations at both Canning Town and Custom House	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed in the transport policies. As part of the development of the Local Plan, the Council has been working on a borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy and the improvement you have suggested is included in the Sustainable Transport Strategy. The strategy will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in future.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		4.23				[Change] The pairing of the neighbourhoods, different characteristics	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/025	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] Increase permeability of transport within Custom House for walking and cycling	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Joined up thinking across neighbourhoods? Cannot see the bigger picture of the spatial strategy	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policies have been drafted in line with the spatial strategy to create a network of successful and well-connected 15-minute neighbourhoods. Each neighbourhood policy addresses specific strengths, challenges and opportunities of each neighbourhood as well as their role in the network of neighbourhoods. The spatial strategy is set out in BFN1.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/027	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Unclear why the boundaries are as they are	These neighbourhoods have been identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be subdivided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character such as the look and feel of an area and their function such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were then shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			3			[Change] Heritage (character) of the area to reflect both the past and the people that live here	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the policy conserves the neighbourhood's designated heritage assets in terms of buildings or structures. However, Newham is currently involved in a pilot with Historic England which looks at how the planning system can better respond to what residents consider to be valuable local heritage and further information will be available as the project progresses.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/029	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Local voices to guide development (and policy)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policies in the Local Plan set requirements for co-design so that local stakeholders have an opportunity to shape developments in their neighbourhoods. This sits alongside the requirements in the Statement of Community Involvement for consultation and engagement on both policies and planning applications.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] East of Prince Regent excluded from Custom House - now in Beckton which means that area is in limbo	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood and includes the area east of Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] East of Prince Regent is divided from Beckton by the country park - concern that this area gets lost in the middle between two active planning areas	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood and includes the area east of Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary	5			[Change] Clear divide between Beckton and the section east of Prince Regent and west of Stanstead which is Custom House and should be in that area	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood and includes the area east of Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			[Keep] use as housing and community use	Comment noted. The site allocation seeks to deliver residential and protect existing community facilities floorspace on site.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Site profile & Design Principles			[Change] by Christian Care Centre we assume you mean the River Christian Centre RCC	Comment noted. The Christian Care Centre is also known as the River Christian Centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/035	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			[Change] What is the justification for the proposed further pedestrian route through the RCC charity facility, NE to SW	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/036	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			[Change] Retaining the 'Christian Care Centre' will further blight the site preventing reprovision of replacement community facilities. It is a 1930s pastiche past its use]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as any proposed loss of a non-designated heritage asset will need to be assessed against the requirements of Policy D10 through a planning application or pre-application.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/037	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Design Principles			[Add] Add access to Custom House station from the east end linking Prince Regent Lane and station to Custom House.	This policy approach has now changed to include text on the need to deliver improved east-west legibility and connectivity within the site allocation. This should help to improve connectivity from Custom House - Land surrounding Freemasons Road and Custom House - Coolfin North to Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new wording in site allocation N5.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Design Principles			[Change] Improved walking toward Prince Regent Lane - interconnect the two areas of Custom House.	This policy approach has now changed to include text on the need to deliver improved east-west legibility and connectivity within the site allocation. This should help to improve connectivity from Custom House - Land surrounding Freemasons Road and Custom House - Coolfin North to Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new wording in site allocation N5.SA2.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				Split the boundary into 3 - doesn't feel like 1 neighbourhood - Canning Town North, Canning Town and Custom House each have own identity.	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood and includes the area east of Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map. The change you suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to split Canning Town North into its own neighbourhood to be appropriate given its relationship with the rest of the Canning Town neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/040	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary	6			Add Bidder Street.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in one neighbourhood. Please see the new Canning Town neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						We need safe parking space for friends' visit.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			Improve security issue!!	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/043	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[see map drawn in rep reference Reg18-Ac-001b] pushing people into a ghetto in the sites in the middle of the site allocation - what happens to people in the middle?	The impact of new development on existing residents is managed through design policies, particularly the D7 neighbourliness policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/044	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[see map drawn in rep reference Reg18-Ac-001b] Keir Hardie estate next to N5.SA1. Sold to countryside, has a local parade to the south. Issues with drugs and crime - breaking into cars and violent crime.	The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council perspective, however the MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			Need more shops - quantity of development too much for Morrisons.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic by planning to meet future needs for retail and leisure uses and supporting a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[see map drawn in rep reference Reg18-Ac-001b] More cafes and co-working spaces like in Hackney.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this area has a neighbourhood parade which is where uses such as cafes should be located. Small-scale employment floorspace to increase local economic opportunities is supported in the neighbourhood provided that it meets the requirements set out in Local Plan policy J2.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/047	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			24			Want a gym in Custom House.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy supports the provision of a leisure centre in the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood. Local Plan policies do not prevent private gyms coming forward in appropriate locations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[see map drawn in rep reference Reg18-Ac-001b] the local shopping parade has no community value - no facilities.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary the shopping parade continues to be protected as a neighbourhood parade and town centre and social infrastructure uses that align with the high street policies will be supported. However, the Local Plan cannot direct particular businesses to locate an area.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		4.23				The area is bisected by busy roads which define it whatever happens.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did consider this change necessary as the policy seeks to address this by improving connections to and from and around the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/050	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		4.23				Its character is of an island of walled development	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we did not consider it to be an accurate description of the neighbourhood. The neighbourhood policy seeks to address connectivity with the wider area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/051	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			Open spaces you can walk in rather than green spaces	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/052	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood profile				Retail units in new builds are badly designed - poor shape and size. Yapix or Petit Café	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change necessary as the high streets and design policies set standards and requirements for developing involving retail units in town centres.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/053	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			More spaces to socialise - bars and pubs	A change to this policy approach has been made to add support the development of a local evening and night-time economy. This aligns with Local Plan Policy HS5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			17			[Keep] Transport facilities	Support noted.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Keep] Library services	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as libraries are protected as community facilities through Local Plan policy SI1. However, the Local Plan cannot influence the types of library services provided.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/063	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Features in the park	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/064	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Free rental for community use	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the requirements for Community Use Agreements that are set out in the Community Facilities policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/065	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Retreat Area	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/066	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Add] Banks	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate because banks fall within commercial, business and service Use Class E (c) and the Local Plan can only support particular uses rather than specifying the particular service to be provided. Commercial, business and service uses are supported by policy N16 and through Local Plan policy HS2 which manages the mix of uses in town and local centres.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/067	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Disability One Stop Shop - port of call	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular housing policies to deliver supported and specialist housing, transport policies to improve the accessibility of Newham's transport network and by supporting the provision of new community facilities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a></li> <li>- Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/068	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Disability Entertainment Centres. Trying in Forest Gate to have these entertainment facilities	The Local Plan addresses this topic through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular housing policies to deliver supported and specialist housing, transport policies to improve the accessibility of Newham's transport network and by supporting the provision of new community facilities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/069	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			22			[Keep] All-through school as have to travel for secondary	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/070	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			Depending on where you live means something to you and people uneasy about level of change	Comment noted. The neighbourhood boundaries and policies have been formulated through consultation with residents as part of the Characterisation Study and through two rounds of consultation on the Draft Local Plan. This was so that residents could recognise their neighbourhoods within the Local Plan. It is acknowledged that residents may be uneasy about the level of change in their neighbourhood and the consultation methods have attempted to explain what the Local Plan means for different areas of the borough. The policies in the Local Plan will secure benefits for local people from new development to spread the benefits of growth in the borough as part of the principles of Community Wealth Building.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/071	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Litter and fires at Caxton Works not being dealt with	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/072	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Change] Crime - police not dealing with it in public space	The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council perspective, however the MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/073	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Litter	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/074	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Street cleaning	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Improving green spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the amended wording in N3 and N5 as well as the infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhoods' site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/076	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Parks in the evening - better lighting and security	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Parks - comfortable benches to create a retreat	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/078	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] One shop stop to help disabled people to access help and support for forms and transport	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular housing policies to deliver supported and specialist housing, transport policies to improve the accessibility of Newham's transport network and by supporting the provision of new community facilities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a></li> <li>- Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council</p>

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Community facilities needed	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre and local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			24			[Add] Public leisure centre - only private gyms - no swimming or courts	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy already supports the provision of a leisure centre in the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood. This would be a public facility.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] More entertainment facilities for older disabled people - have to go to Brick Lane at the moment	The Local Plan addresses this topic through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular housing policies to deliver supported and specialist housing, transport policies to improve the accessibility of Newham's transport network and by supporting the provision of new community facilities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/082	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Keep] Focus on green spaces - we have to drive or walk 30 - 40 minutes to get to a park.	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the amended wording in N3 and N5 as well as the infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhoods' site allocations.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			23			[Change] More specificity where possible, more detail on 'how'. On 23 is it NHS?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirement for new health centres in the neighbourhood is set out in the policy and in the site allocation for N5.SA6.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		5.d				[Change] More specificity where possible, more detail on 'how'. 5d - what does 'enhance public realm' actually mean?	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside other policies in the Local Plan such as Local Plan policy D2 which provides further detail on requirements for improved public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/085	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			2			[Change] More specificity where possible, more detail on 'how'. What does 'character' mean in this instance?	This policy approach has now changed to add further clarity to where these policy clauses apply. Character means the natural, physical, social and cultural context of the built environment in the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in policies N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/086	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Not sure if this is within planners remit but how can we make the range of shops more diverse? Too many gambling shops and places that groups of men drink outside	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy HS6 will manage this type of uses on a borough-wide basis. It should be noted that the policy would only apply to new betting or gambling shops as planning does not have the power to change existing uses or businesses.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/087	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] More of a focus on safety - safe streets, lights and cleanliness	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5.a			[Add] To 5a add ' <u>facilities....measured and understood by...</u> ' basically, how will you fairly understand what our needs are? Vague	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic by planning to meet future needs for retail and leisure uses informed by the Retail and Leisure Study. This provides the evidence for the mix of uses supported in different town centres and high streets that make up Newham's town centre network.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/089	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			2			[Keep] High density in town centres but lower density in out of town areas	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as appropriate densities for a site will be considered on a case by case basis, assessed against the design principles and the design principles in the relevant neighbourhood policy. However, higher densities are generally supported in town centres given their level of public transport accessibility.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Keep] Residents should make use of facilities that already exist	The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/091	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Can the gambling shops be reduced? Too many of them	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy HS6 will manage this type of uses on a borough-wide basis. It should be noted that the policy would only apply to new betting or gambling shops as planning does not have the power to change existing uses or businesses.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/092	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Add tuition centres and childcare centres uses - can there be a planning policy to increase them?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed via Local Plan Policy SI4 and relevant site allocations which have been allocated for these uses.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/093	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			24			[Change] Baalam Leisure Centre is closed. How can planning open it again?	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate following the completion of the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient play and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> <li>• Significant under-supply of sports halls, swimming pools and health and fitness provision, both now and to 2038; the level of under-supply of sports halls and swimming pools is such that it will only be addressed if new facilities are developed.</li> <li>• A recommendation to invest in new facilities in Canning Town and Beckton, including swimming pools, sports hall and fitness.</li> <li>• A recommendation to invest in a new leisure centre on the existing Newham</li> </ul>
--------------	--	------------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--	--	----	--	--	---	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/094	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] The number of shops and retail is far too much and they are vacant. Can they be reduced?	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the amount and type of main town centre uses will be guided by Policy HS2 which requires additional Market Testing and use of a Vacancy Prevention Strategy to help secure future operation of new floorspace. However, it cannot be applied retrospectively unless and until existing sites come forward for further planning permission, such as for a change of use.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/095	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] The number of shops and retail is far too much and they are vacant. Rents are too high. How to make it more affordable?	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the amount and type of main town centre uses will be guided by Policy HS2 which requires additional Market Testing and use of a Vacancy Prevention Strategy to help secure future operation of new floorspace. However, it cannot be applied retrospectively unless and until existing sites come forward for further planning permission, such as for a change of use.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/096	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Community centres are not evenly distributed. Policy to evenly distribute community centres.	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre and local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/097	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Change] Unsafe alleyways. Make them safer through CCTV cameras, police, artwork and activities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5. Design policies address the use of CCTV and public art. The Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/098	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Add] More parking in town centre - more permits.	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, as the Council's Parking team works closely with the Highways and Sustainable Transport team to manage existing parking demand, and provide alternatives to using the private car, namely walking, cycling and public transport. Newham operates Residential Parking Zones across the borough to manage the demand for parking and making it easier for residents, businesses and visitors to park. From August 2023, the Council moved to an emissions based parking permit system for all parking tariffs (residents, business, staff business, visitors and paid-for parking sessions). This is in line with the Mayor's Air Quality Action Plan, which helps to reduce traffic and congestion and encourages walking within the borough and the use of public transport and encourages alternative sustainable methods of travelling borough-wide.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/099	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] More cycling paths	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/100	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Provision for boats	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy GSW2 and the transport policies if referring to river freight.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/101	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			17			[Add] Provision for buses near the station	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy and site allocation retains the bus station as part of any redevelopment of the N5.SA4 site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/102	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			20			[Add] Reduce air pollution	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/103	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Add] How can policy encourage open space, greening, vertical gardens and roof gardens?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new open space and green infrastructure is addressed through Local Plan policies GWS1 and GWS3. This includes features such as 'living building' elements as a key feature of the site and building design, which can include measures such as green and brown roofs and green roofs.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/104	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] add a 5 side pitch for children	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new publicly accessible open space is addressed through Local Plan GWS1 and GWS5. This includes which includes play and space for informal recreation which can include sports pitches.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/105	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			[Add] Add to site allocation N5.SA5 Bidder Street/Stephenson Road (it's very dodgy): activation of river	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the allocation requires the layout of the site to continue the Leaway Walk through the site.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/106	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles and Infrastructure			[Add] Add to site allocation N5.SA5 Bidder Street/Stephenson Road (it's very dodgy):transport	This policy approach has now changed to provide additional clarification on expectations for connectivity enhancements between the site and Canning Town station. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5. The site mapping for the allocation has also now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections and active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA5.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/107	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles		[Add] Add to site allocation N5.SA5 Bidder Street/Stephenson Road (it's very dodgy): community uses	<p>This policy approach has now change to allow greater flexibility with regard to the location of small scale social infrastructure (smaller than 1,000 sqm GIA), as set out in Policy SI2. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038.</p> <p>The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/108	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			[Add] Add to site allocation N5.SA5 Bidder Street/Stephenson Road (it's very dodgy): access to river	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the allocation requires the layout of the site to continue the Leaway Walk through the site.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/109	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[Add] Add to site allocation N5.SA5 Bidder Street/Stephenson Road (it's very dodgy): sports	Comment noted. The Regulation 18 leisure allocations were informed by the early modelling work for the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. This has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for leisure which are set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations- Please see the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's leisure needs.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/110	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			24			[Keep] Leisure centre - swimming pool	Support noted.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/111	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			4			[Keep] High rise building is good because of space	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/112	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Permissions to operators of betting shops	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy HS6 will manage this type of uses on a borough-wide basis. It should be noted that the policy would only apply to new betting or gambling shops as planning does not have the power to change existing uses or businesses.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/113	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Parking permissions	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, as the Council's Parking team works closely with the Highways and Sustainable Transport team to manage existing parking demand, and provide alternatives to using the private car, namely walking, cycling and public transport. Newham operates Residential Parking Zones across the borough to manage the demand for parking and making it easier for residents, businesses and visitors to park. From August 2023, the Council moved to an emissions based parking permit system for all parking tariffs (residents, business, staff business, visitors and paid-for parking sessions). This is in line with the Mayor's Air Quality Action Plan, which helps to reduce traffic and congestion and encourages walking within the borough and the use of public transport and encourages alternative sustainable methods of travelling borough-wide.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/114	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Reconsider vast amount of vacant units on bottom floor of new development	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the amount and type of main town centre uses will be guided by Policy HS2 which requires additional Market Testing and use of a Vacancy Prevention Strategy to help secure future operation of new floorspace. However, it cannot be applied retrospectively unless and until existing sites come forward for further planning permission, such as for a change of use.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/115	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Private accommodation will not change unless government intervention	The Local Plan addresses this topic through setting standards for new requirements through a range of policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Private Sector Housing Standards department are able to help <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/renting-privately-%E2%80%93-tenancy-1/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/renting-privately-%E2%80%93-tenancy-1/2</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/116	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] More tuition centres provided (local people)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed via Local Plan Policy SI4.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/117	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Community centres	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre and local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	-------------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/118	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] More details on housing development and those in the works	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan contains ** site allocations where we expect housing to be developed. Further information on other sites to be developed for housing can be found in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. Details of sites already under construction can be found in the Annual Monitoring Report: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-policy-local-plan/9">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-policy-local-plan/9</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/123	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			20			[Change] Air quality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/124	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Community facilities	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre and local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	-------------------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/125	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Empty retail spaces in developments	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the amount and type of main town centre uses will be guided by Policy HS2 which requires additional Market Testing and use of a Vacancy Prevention Strategy to help secure future operation of new floorspace. However, it cannot be applied retrospectively unless and until existing sites come forward for further planning permission, such as for a change of use.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Number of gambling shops/betting shops	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy HS6 will manage this type of uses on a borough-wide basis. It should be noted that the policy would only apply to new betting or gambling shops as planning does not have the power to change existing uses or businesses.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/127	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			21			[Change] Canning Town library redevelopment	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposal will bring a vacant heritage asset back into use and is supported by our successful Levelling Up Fund bid. More information can be found here: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/ctol">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/ctol</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/128	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Climate/environment - ensure environment is supported	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the climate emergency is addressed through a range of Local Plan policies which set the standards and requirements for how development should improve the borough's environment, air quality, reduce emissions, mitigate the impacts of climate change and minimise and avoid the risk of all sources of flooding. These policies apply to all neighbourhoods.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/129	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			23			[Change] GPs	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirement for new health centres in the neighbourhood is set out in the policy and in the site allocation for N5.SA6.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			22			[Change] Schools	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirement for new schools in the neighbourhood is set out in the policy and in the site allocation for N5.SA7.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Food shops	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy HS6 which aims to create healthy food environments on our High Streets and by supporting new supermarkets where they are required. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct specific types of businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Facilities	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/133	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Very little parking - permits should be acceptable all over the council	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, as the Council's Parking team works closely with the Highways and Sustainable Transport team to manage existing parking demand, and provide alternatives to using the private car, namely walking, cycling and public transport. Newham operates Residential Parking Zones across the borough to manage the demand for parking and making it easier for residents, businesses and visitors to park. From August 2023, the Council moved to an emissions based parking permit system for all parking tariffs (residents, business, staff business, visitors and paid-for parking sessions). This is in line with the Mayor's Air Quality Action Plan, which helps to reduce traffic and congestion and encourages walking within the borough and the use of public transport and encourages alternative sustainable methods of travelling borough-wide.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			9			[Add] Make Canning Town industrial area more amenable to having mixed-uses because it is unsafe and dirty	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan includes a land swap between different types of industrial designations to support mixed-use as part of the Canning Town Riverside site. However, industrial uses are still required as set out in the Employment Land Review. Improvements to the public realm and walking and cycling routes are set out in the N3 and N6 policies as well as the site allocation for Canning Town Riverside.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles			[Add] Bidder Street development a priority	This policy approach has now changed to secure active travel improvements along Bidder Street and Stephenson Street, improving the pedestrian and cycling environment along these roads. Please see the new wording in the Design Principles for site allocation N3.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/136	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] Better connectivity to SIL area	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/137	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Add] Hanging gardens and green roofs	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new open space and green infrastructure is addressed through Local Plan policies GWS1 and GWS3. This includes features such as 'living building' elements as a key feature of the site and building design, which can include measures such as green and brown roofs and green roofs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] More connection across Canning Town	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/139	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] Design out anti-social behaviour	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N3 and N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/141	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] More electric vehicle charges	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy T3 supports and sets the standards for the delivery of electric vehicle charging points in new developments. Further information about the roll out of electric vehicle charging points in the borough can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/electric-vehicle-charging-points">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/electric-vehicle-charging-points</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/142	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Sustainable transport	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already will make it easier to walk and cycle to and from and around the neighbourhood and seeks increase the capacity of Canning Town station.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Add] Walking/permeability	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/144	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Change] Custom House and Canning Town neighbourhood names to Canning Town and Custom House	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/145	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Neighbourhood plan needsto identify Canning Town North, Canning Town South and Custom House (already have funded £300k!). So why this meeting??	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood and includes the area east of Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map. The change you suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to split Canning Town North into its own neighbourhood to be appropriate given its relationship with the rest of the Canning Town neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/146	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Inform 'local' people of meetings and what's going on. Transparency	The Local Plan Consultation and Engagement Strategy sets out the wide range of methods we have used to reach as many as of Newham's residents and other stakeholders as possible. Please see the Local Plan consultation report for a summary of the methods used and a summary of who we engaged with based on demographic data. You can also sign up to our planning policy consultation database to be kept up to date on future planning policy consultations: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-policy-local-plan/5">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-policy-local-plan/5</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/147	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Keep] Protecting existing community	Support noted. Note that the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood has been split into two and the visions have been amended accordingly.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/148	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Developers not delivering enough community space	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre and local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/149	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Developers....delivering piecemeal and not beyond the boundary	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy BFN2 requires sites to be designed and developed comprehensively and resists piecemeal delivery of sites.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/150	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Change] Function of the High Street and former market	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the neighbourhood policy which supports a mix of uses in the town centre as well as improvements to its public realm. This policy works alongside the high street and market policies to maintain a vibrant and viable network of town centres in the borough.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/151	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Keep green, <u>well-maintained</u> spaces with lighting	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.







Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/153	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Space for young people	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre and local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/154	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Outdoor seating to sit and enjoy	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for improvements to the public realm.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/155	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] How people are using public space more positively?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the neighbourhood policy work alongside other policies in the Local Plan that will improve public realm and address public safety. The neighbourhood policy also supports improvements to the neighbourhood's public realm and the safety of its walking and cycling routes.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/156	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Add] Places where people want to socialise	A change to this policy approach has been made to add support the development of a local evening and night-time economy as well as supporting new community facilities. This aligns with Local Plan Policy HS5 and Local Plan Policy SI2.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/157	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Places where people want to stay	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for improvements to the public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/158	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Add] Affordability of uses	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting local shops and requiring some types of development to deliver discounted rent on retail units. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct the types of businesses that occupy units.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/159	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Flexible and be able to adapt spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy works alongside the community facility policies which require new or reprovided community facilities to be designed with flexibility in mind, to allow the building to adapt to different users of the space over time. Our housing policies also require housing to be designed to be flexible and adaptable.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/160	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Keep] West Ham Park being active	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate because West Ham Park is not in the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/161	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Keep] Markets are a positive - bring markets back (Rathbone Market)	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting existing markets and supporting new markets, events and pop-ups. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. The markets team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/162	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Security issues - car/phone stolen/robbed	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/163	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Action point on security issues: 1) Improve street lighting and street camera	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5. Design policies address the use of CCTV and lighting.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/164	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Action point on security issues: 2) Crime prevention - develop proposals for sites vulnerable to crime/crime active areas such as outside of fizzy	The Local Plan addresses this topic through improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan which seek to improve safety and feelings of safety including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/165	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add] Safe car parking spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/166	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Lack of integration between different groups	The Local Plan addresses this topic through a range of policies in the Local Plan, such as through housing policies which require a mix of tenures and housing sizes to ensure mixed communities, design policies to ensure public spaces are accessible to different groups with different needs and through the high street and community facilities to support facilities where people can meet.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/167	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Accessibility	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. The Local Plan addresses accessibility in a number of policies across different themes, including housing, transport, design, public realm, community facilities and open space.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/168	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			[Change] Improve cycle routes	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/169	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			23			[Keep] N5.23 health centre - not private, accessible	Support noted. The health centre would be delivered by the NHS.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom	Reg18-Ac-001/170	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and			22			[Keep] N5.22 school	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	House Assembly			Custom House							
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/171	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			24			[Keep] N5.24	Support noted.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/172	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			20			[Change] Newham's commitment to air quality does not match approving 4 massive construction projects at the same time	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments. Local Plan policies D7 and CE6 also manage the impact of construction.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/173	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Change] Inconsistency between LBN aspirations + housing/development property	The Local Plan addresses this topic by setting standards and requirements that new development should meet. This is then assessed on a case by case basis when a planning application is submitted. Development should be in accordance with the development plan (the Local Plan) unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/174	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change] Proposed green space at Limmo - seems not to be happening - more high rises	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site allocation for the Limmo site still requires development to provide a local park.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/175	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5.a			[Change] N5.5a - community facilities - closure of Shipman	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting community facilities against their loss to other uses, subject to the requirements of the community facility policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. It should be noted that the Shipman is currently being refurbished. Further information can be found here: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/children-families/activities-young-people-newham/6">https://www.newham.gov.uk/children-families/activities-young-people-newham/6</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/176	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5.a			[Change] N5.5a - community facilities - closure of farm	Comment noted. In September 2021 the Cabinet made a decision to close Newham City Farm (meeting held on 7 September 2022). The Council has been working with residents and community stakeholders to create a future vision for the Beckton Parks Masterplan area, of which the farm is a key area. The community has been an integral part of co-designing the future of this area. On Tuesday 30 January 2024 Newham Council Cabinet approved the Beckton Parks Masterplan. The Masterplan proposes that the former Newham City Farm site is redesigned to create a new community farm with green skills hub. The site will be reconfigured and an operator will be sought to take on management of the new offer. More information can be found here: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/177	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5.a			[Change] N5.5a - community facilities - closure of Cundy	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting community facilities against their loss to other uses, subject to the requirements of the community facility policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. It should be noted that the Cundy Centre is currently being refurbished and is due to reopen later in 2024. Further information can be found here: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/homepage/122/cundy-community-centre">https://www.newham.gov.uk/homepage/122/cundy-community-centre</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/178	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Add] More green spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the amended wording in N3 and N5 as well as the infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhoods' site allocations.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/179	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			20			[Add] Diverse air quality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/180	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			13			[Add] N5.13 More connection to Royal Docks - DLR and bridges	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5 as well as the neighbourhood's site allocations which address the requirements for bridges.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/181	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			Underlying issue is walking safely without getting mugged so people tend to walk along A13	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/182	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			What about safety of walking routes in high rise areas?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/183	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			Area lacks retail shops, would be good to see some	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is almost entirely located outside of a town centre location, and, therefore, further provision of retail on the site allocation could undermine the viability and vitality of the adjacent Canning Town district centre. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p> <p>Given this site is located to the edge of an existing district centre, and in close proximity of Fife Road Local Shopping Parade, residents should have good access to the nearby shops. The site allocation is also expected to improve access to Canning Town district centre, and new wording has been added to the design requirements of site allocation N3.SA1 to reflect this requirement.</p>

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/185	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Infrastructure Requirements			Green space	<p>Comment noted. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough's green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham's green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments.</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	-------------	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/186	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Development principles			Doesn't this displace small businesses and light industrial	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. More broadly, any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to be less directional in locating re-provided industrial uses. Please see the new wording in the site allocation, which says that industrial and employment uses should be focused along both Huntingdon and Caxton Street North. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/187	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			Another hotel? At what point does staying in a budget hotel get cheaper than a BTR? That time is now	A change to this site allocation has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation does not require the re-provision of the hotel use on this site.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/189	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Design Principles			Don't suffocate the street	The impact of tall buildings has been taken into consideration and addressed in the Policy D4.3 and. The policy is clear that development proposal for tall buildings are required to address the criteria set by London Plan (2021) Policy D9 section C, including visual, environmental and cumulative impact and to demonstrate them in a tall building section of the Design Access Statement. However, the implementation text D4.3 expanded the environmental impact considerations to ensure the impact of tall buildings on watercourse and open space are considered in line with policies GWS2 and GWS3, which are requiring development proposal for tall buildings to demonstrate consideration of the impact on biodiversity, existing and proposed public open space. Furthermore, to stress the importance of wind assessment in high streets and town centres, a wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in implementation text D4.3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/191	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			More space/entertainment place for community e.g a good pub/food market/center	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the allocation allows for the delivery of town centre uses, including community facilities, in accordance with the site's district centre designation.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/193	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			No more shops needed on Silvertown Way	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the allocation allows for the delivery of town centre uses in accordance with the site's district centre designation. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/194	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Keep: bridge connection	Support noted.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/195	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			Keep: Improved access and walking routes	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/196	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Keep: LOCAL PARK	Support noted.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/197a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles			Use as a community hub connecting everyone old and new,	<p>This policy approach has now change to allow greater flexibility with regard to the location of small scale social infrastructure (smaller than 1,000 sqm GIA), as set out in Policy SI2. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038.</p> <p>The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul>
--------------	--	-------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	---





Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/198	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles		Change: Limmo should <u>only</u> have green spaces and community. Perfect place for a big park given increase in residents & Silvertown	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>With regards to community uses, this policy approach has now change to allow greater flexibility with regard to the location of small scale social infrastructure (smaller than 1,000 sqm GIA), as set out in Policy SI2. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038.</p> <p>The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---





												<p>certain types of social infrastructure will naturally change over time. However, it will provide a baseline against which developers and providers can consider what is most needed, alongside a requirement to undertake thorough resident engagement.</p> <p>Through these mechanisms we will ensure that community facilities, of the type required, are delivered where they are wanted and where there is a provider to operate them</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/199	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles		Perfect space for amusement park, activity, art; not a tower	<p>Comment noted. With regards to green spaces, please see Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. It has determined that the overall provision of publicly accessible green space in Newham is low, with a rate of just 0.71 hectares per 1,000 residents, far below neighbouring boroughs. The borough currently also experiences shortfalls in areas for community and food growing and play space. Green space is also unevenly distributed across the borough and residents can have very different experiences when trying to access open space where they live.</p> <p>Over the Plan period, Newham's population is projected to increase by just over 27 per cent. Assuming that publicly accessible green space provision remains the same (i.e. current provision is sustained and no new publicly accessible greenspace sites are added) publicly accessible greenspace in Newham will fall to 0.57 hectares per 1,000 residents in 2038. If Newham is to enjoy the same, or greater, level and quality of provision over the Plan period, we need to deliver more publicly accessible green space. Just to sustain provision at the 2023 standard we will need to create 61 hectares of additional publicly accessible green space.</p> <p>The Local Plan therefore seeks to protect all existing green space (including spaces not designated on the Policies Map), maintain the quality and distribution of</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---









Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/200	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Walking bridge	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the new bridge connection from the Limmo site through to Brunel Street Works being a pedestrian bridge. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure Requirements section of site allocation N3.SA4.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/201	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles			Make it a full park instead of mixed	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/202	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>A lost opportunity for nature. How will this be characterised?</p> <p>Comment noted. Newham’s Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough’s green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham’s green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham’s departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments.</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/203	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Keep green space and riverside	Support noted.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/204	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>This space is the perfect puzzle piece to solve the community need - green space and community</p> <p>Comment noted. With regards to green spaces, please see Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. It has determined that the overall provision of publicly accessible green space in Newham is low, with a rate of just 0.71 hectares per 1,000 residents, far below neighbouring boroughs. The borough currently also experiences shortfalls in areas for community and food growing and play space. Green space is also unevenly distributed across the borough and residents can have very different experiences when trying to access open space where they live.</p> <p>Over the Plan period, Newham's population is projected to increase by just over 27 per cent. Assuming that publicly accessible green space provision remains the same (i.e. current provision is sustained and no new publicly accessible greenspace sites are added) publicly accessible greenspace in Newham will fall to 0.57 hectares per 1,000 residents in 2038. If Newham is to enjoy the same, or greater, level and quality of provision over the Plan period, we need to deliver more publicly accessible green space. Just to sustain provision at the 2023 standard we will need to create 61 hectares of additional publicly accessible green space.</p> <p>The Local Plan therefore seeks to protect all existing green space (including spaces not designated on the Policies Map), maintain the quality and distribution of</p>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---









Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/205	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles			RIDICULOUS to put more residential on top of the bus station	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. Given we have one of the largest housing targets in the whole of London, we need to ensure we are optimising housing delivery on all suitable available sites. This part of the site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, and is therefore included as a development option in the development principles for the site allocation.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/207	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Foot bridge essential	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the new bridge connection from the Limmo site through to Brunel Street Works being a pedestrian bridge. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure Requirements section of site allocation N3.SA4.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/208	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			Improved permeability	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation sets out a number of key routes through the site that will help improve permeability.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/209	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			Pedestrian and cycle connections are <u>crucial</u>	Comment noted. This policy approach has now changed to provide additional clarification on expectations for connectivity enhancements between the site and Canning Town station. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/210	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles			Renders look ghastly. Do we need a data centre?	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as a data centre is considered in case law as an employment use and is therefore considered an acceptable use within designated employment locations (of which this is one). Proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles, including design policies, in the local plan.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/212	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Design Principles			safety?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/213	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Development principles			affordable commercial rents?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision more affordable commercial rents in town centres is dealt with through policy HS2 (Managing new and existing Town and Local Centres). Please see the new wording for this policy in the Regulation 19 Local Plan.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/214	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Development principles			health centre?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires the delivery of a new health centre in line with NHS needs.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/215	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Design Principles			what stops walking routes becoming saggy, broken pavements?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as requirements for improving accessibility and mobility for all residents and requirements to follow Healthy Streets Principles are set out in Policies T2 and D2.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/216	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Design Principles			safety?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/217	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Design Principles			safety?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/218	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Development principles			what about shops, retail?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.

Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/219	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Development principles			community space?	<p>This policy approach has now changed to allow greater flexibility with regard to the location of small scale social infrastructure (smaller than 1,000 sqm GIA), as set out in Policy SI2. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038.</p> <p>The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul>
--------------	--	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/231	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				Why was east of prince regent lane missing from the customs house neighbourhood? Map wasn't very clear.	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood and includes the area east of Prince Regent Lane. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/232	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			Prior to a regeneration, thinking about the local talent pool, is there a skillset consensus to better local job uptake?	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the employment policies which set requirements to ensure developments help Newham residents access high quality job opportunities provided as a result of new development.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/233	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						<p>What is the plan for Bothwell Close and council estate alongside A13 adjacent to the site? The middle part of Canning Town - Keir Hardy estate - Bothwell close - ridden with crime, lack of lighting and lack of security.</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Polices D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council perspective, however the MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/234	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[What is the plan for Bothwell Close and council estate alongside A13 adjacent to the site?] Isn't Newham predominately owner of the land? It's is not private - except the units which are houses - privately own. Which raises the questions about existing social housing - which is quite significant.	The proposals for this site are set out in the site allocation N3.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/235	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			Point to consider - this area has large social housing element, middle class moving in - creates more divergence and separation- I'm sure you have experienced the same in Hackney . Anything that will be considered from planning perspective? Newham is very inefficient as a borough [does not manage regeneration transition well]. You will need to consider - what is the demographic in which you are looking to attract.	Comment noted. Gentrification is a process where an increase in high income residents to an area changes its character, displacing existing residents and businesses due to increasing rents and house prices. Even where the previous population may not be displaced, the changes in population and character, businesses and spaces can make long term residents feel unwelcome or priced out of participating in community spaces and activities. To address this phenomenon the Local Plan includes policies to deliver affordable housing across the borough; to increase the number of affordable retail units in new town centres (so independent and local business can afford to open in them); creating greater flexibility on where smaller community facilities can be located, so they are in areas where it may be cheaper to rent or purchase space and located more evenly across the borough; to require developments delivering space for businesses to sign up to the Community Wealth Building pledges and provide priority access to jobs and fund training for local residents; to ensure new community facilities are accessible to all residents and are designed to meet the needs of the local community. The Plan also requires that all significant developments are masterplanned alongside the existing community - so that the community are

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	<p>central to shaping the borough as it changes.</p>
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/236	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			Can we add cycling stations - Santander bikes - by Canning Town ?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed in the transport policies. As part of the development of the Local Plan, the Council has been working on a borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy and the improvement you have suggested is included in the Sustainable Transport Strategy. The strategy will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in future.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/237	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			Can we add cycling stations - Santander bikes - by Custom house?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed in the transport policies. As part of the development of the Local Plan, the Council has been working on a borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy and the improvement you have suggested is included in the Sustainable Transport Strategy. The strategy will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in future.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/003	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						In overview, Caxton Street North Limited supports the general aims and aspirations for the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood opportunity area promoted by the London Borough of Newham within its Draft Local Plan at a strategic level.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East					Rather, these representations relate more specifically to the N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East site allocation and are intended to tailor the wording of the draft plan to better reflect the efficient and effective contribution that this site should make in the delivery of high density mixed-use urban regeneration as part of the evolving context of the Canning Town and Custom House area.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/005	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East				<p><u>Policy Framework Approach to Local Plan Policy</u></p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, 2021) sets out the Government’s policies for planning and how these should be applied. It provides the framework within which locally prepared plans, for housing and other forms of development, can be produced. The NPPF is clear that development plans should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development;</li> <li>b. Be prepared positively, in a way that is aspirational, but deliverable;</li> <li>c. Be shaped by early, proportionate and effective engagement between plan makers and communities, local organisations, businesses, infrastructure providers and operators and statutory consultees;</li> <li>d. Contain policies that are clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals;</li> <li>e. Be accessible through the use of digital tools to assist public involvement and policy presentation;</li> <li>f. Serve a clear purpose, avoiding unnecessary duplication of policies that apply to a particular area (including policies in this framework where relevant).</li> </ul> <p>At para. 23 the NPPF confirms that plans should contain strategic policies, providing a clear strategy for bringing</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--	--	--	---	----------------

										<p>sufficient land forward, and at a sufficient rate, to address objectively assessed needs over the plan period, in line with the presumption in favour of sustainable development. This includes planning for and allocating sufficient sites to deliver the strategic priorities of the area.</p> <p>These strategic priorities include the consideration of sustainable and accessible urban brownfield sites providing residential-led mixed use development, the residential component of which will serve to contribute in meeting the housing needs of the Borough. The subject site at Silvertown Way East meets these criteria, a point reflected in the site's inclusion as an allocated site within the Draft Local Plan and its strategic role in meeting this need through appropriate urban regeneration.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						Before we expand on the representations below, it is important to reiterate that Caxton Street North Limited applaud the general approach and intent sitting behind the Council's Draft Local Plan as it relates to the rapidly changing Canning Town and Custom House area, with the local plan seeking to provide a platform and framework for successful delivery of the remaining strategically important sites within this area.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/022	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Design Principles			<p>Representation No.2            With the industrial units underneath the Silvertown Way flyover within the control of Caxton Street North Limited, this presents a golden land-use and placemaking opportunity to remove industrial uses from Caxton Street North and consolidate them to the rear of the site fronting Huntingdon Street. It is respectfully requested that the wording of site allocation N5.SA2 where it relates to ground floor use (page 350 of the Draft Local Plan) should be altered to reflect this.</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. More broadly, any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to be less directional in locating re-provided industrial uses. Please see the new wording in the site allocation, which says that industrial and employment uses should be focused along both Huntingdon and Caxton Street North. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/023	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Development Principles			<p>This aspiration to make Caxton Street North more focused around uses compatible with a residential neighbourhood presents a valuable opportunity to remove incompatible industrial land uses from the more sensitive parts of the site and materially enhance surrounding public realm.</p> <p>[Image attached - Figure 10: Silvertown Way Arches looking north west]</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. More broadly, any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to be less directional in locating re-provided industrial uses. Please see the new wording in the site allocation, which says that industrial and employment uses should be focused along both Huntingdon and Caxton Street North. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/024	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Development Principles			<p>When industrial uses are consolidated within Huntingdon Street and its surrounds to the rear, this should not result in an intensification of industrial uses to a level that would materially exceed existing resulting in harmful disturbance to existing and future residents. Nevertheless, the opportunity exists to retain an industrial presence upon the wider site, whilst still ensuring compatibility with residential land uses.</p> <p>A balance will need to be struck between the promotion of employment uses and protection of some of the historic uses within the Canning Town and Custom House area and the protection of existing and intensified future residential use as a sensitive receptor.</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. More broadly, any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to be less directional in locating re-provided industrial uses. Please see the new wording in the site allocation, which says that industrial and employment uses should be focused along both Huntingdon and Caxton Street North. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/025	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Map			<p><u>Representation No.3</u>            With regard to the map on page 349 of the Draft Local Plan it is suggested that the southern end of the Caxton Street frontage of site allocation N5.SA2 should have a more flexible use allocation as an opportunity for commercial/employment frontage and/or opportunity for active frontage.</p>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA2.
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/026	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Site profile			<p>Other Matters            In the interests of thoroughness the following peripheral matters are noted in respect of the N5.SA2 allocation within the Draft Local Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 348 – The site area has been listed in error as 9.94 hectares. This requires correction to 0.994 hectares with the figure requiring ratification.</li> </ul>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA2.
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/027	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Site profile			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 348 – The existing site uses should be listed as 'Commercial and industrial uses and community facility in the form of a gym and boxing club.'</li> </ul>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/028	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Map			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Caxton Street North Limited agree with the Council's proposed masterplan for the site on page 349 showing a primary vehicular route along the southern boundary of the site linking Caxton Street North with Huntingdon Street. This will improve accessibility, serviceability and general activation of frontage replicating the successful perimeter scheme model utilised for Caxton Works.</li> </ul>	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA2.

Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/030	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East					<p>In setting the broader policy context, the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. The NPPF confirms the presumption in favour of sustainable development (para. 11), which is at the heart of the planning system. For plan making this means policies that provide for objectively assessed housing and other uses, unless areas or assets of particular importance provide a strong reason for restricting the scale, type or distribution of development, or any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits.</p> <p>The NPPF also confirms that high quality, beautiful places are fundamental to what the planning system is trying to achieve. It confirms that plans are the appropriate place to set out design vision and expectations, so that applicants have certainty about what is likely to be acceptable (para 127) and the N5.SA2 site allocation does this. However, development plans should not be too prescriptive and the NPPF also confirms that they should allow a degree of variety (NPPF, para 128). The role of the development plan is to set broad parameters, but not to stifle opportunity for good design. This should be left to the vision of the designers, who can be creative with regard to site constraints and context.</p> <p>Policy D3 of the London plan ‘Optimising site capacity through the</p>	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised below.
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>design led approach' confirms that all development must make the best use of land by following a design led approach that is the most appropriate form and land use for the site. The design-led approach requires consideration of design options to determine the most appropriate form of development that responds to a site's context and capacity for growth, and existing and planned supporting infrastructure capacity.</p> <p>Based on the national and strategic London-wide platform advocated above to good plan making, which seeks to stimulate good and contextually responsible design, whilst meeting imperative land use needs, we offer the following revisions to sections of the policy wording for site allocation N5.SA2 on page 350 of the Newham Draft Local Plan:</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/032	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Development Principles		<p><u>Existing Wording – Use</u>  ‘Industrial and employment uses should front Huntingdon Street and Caxton Street North, buffering existing industrial and employment uses opposite the site. The design of industrial and employment uses should be compatible with neighbouring residential uses.</p> <p>Replacement community facility floorspace should front Caxton Street North.’</p> <p><u>Proposed Wording – Use</u>  ‘Industrial and employment uses should front Huntingdon Street at the rear of the site, whilst remaining sensitive to surrounding residential land use (existing and proposed). Commercial, active frontage and placemaking opportunities should be focused upon Caxton Street North, with further opportunities to promote these uses to be explored within the Silvertown Way flyover arches units opposite.</p> <p>The design of industrial and employment uses should be compatible with neighbouring residential uses.</p> <p>Replacement community facility floorspace should front Caxton Street North.’</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. More broadly, any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to be less directional in locating re-provided industrial uses. Please see the new wording in the site allocation, which says that industrial and employment uses should be focused along both Huntingdon and Caxton Street North. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-045	Caxton Street North Limited	Reg18-E-045/034	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East					Whilst the requested changes to policy wording are relatively minor and generally consistent with the broader spirit, intent and purpose of this site allocation, the revisions will help to free designers to take a liberated approach to design and innovation upon this site, which will allow sufficient flexibility for bold, high quality design, which can seek to optimise density in fulfilment of the site allocation, whilst remaining respectful of local context, including amenity sensitivities.	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/003a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Neighbourhood boundary				Canning Town and Custom House should be considered separate neighbourhoods. Although geographically close to each other the needs in terms of development are different.	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/003b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Canning Town and Custom House should be considered separate neighbourhoods. Although geographically close to each other the needs in terms of development are different.] Custom House has been under developed therefore would benefit from innovative approaches to development that provide high quality housing with a greater focus on sustainability, safety, life time homes and urban greening.	This policy approach has now changed due to further analysis of the relationship between Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton. Custom House is now its own neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundaries for the Canning Town, Custom House and Beckton neighbourhoods on the Policies Map.
Reg18-K-016c	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/003c	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Custom House] More space for commercial use and to attract flexible and co-working businesses.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The new neighbourhood policy for Custom House continues to protect and support small scale employment opportunities as part of the 15-minute network of employment uses.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/004a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			Greater focus on sustainable development [and urban greening] especially in Custom House.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving sustainability is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan, particularly design, green and water spaces, climate emergency and transport policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/004b	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Infrastructure Requirements			Greater focus on [sustainable development] and urban greening especially in Custom House. [Originally in Development Principles]	<p>This policy approach has now changed to more closely align greenspace on the site allocation with the masterplan for the site approved by cabinet. This reduction in space is supported by policy GWS1.2, which allows for the loss of communal amenity land on existing housing estates, where it can be demonstrated that the reconfiguration of the site would deliver both improved biodiversity and functional open space value for the residents. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.</p> <p>More broadly, the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-005	Duilio & Elizabeth	Reg18-E-005/001	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-005	Duilio & Elizabeth	Reg18-E-005/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for the Limmo site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-005	Duilio & Elizabeth	Reg18-E-005/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-005	Duilio & Elizabeth	Reg18-E-005/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-005	Duilio & Elizabeth	Reg18-E-005/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			Conversely, we strongly submit that the area [Limmo Peninsula] should be used for commercial purposes. This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/009	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA1 Canning Town East - 9.94 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Other constraints include aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/010	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East - 9.94 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Other constraints include aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/011	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn - 0.66 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Other constraints include aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/012	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA4 Limmo - 6.66 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + fluvial) + Breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Bow Creek - Other constraints include Aquifers + protected species	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/013	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside - 3.74 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + fluvial) + tidal breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Bow Creek - Other constraints include Aquifers + protected species	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/014	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1 - 4.29 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/015	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2 - 8.01 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/016	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3 - 1.4 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/002	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside					<p>1 INTRODUCTION</p> <p>1.1 These representations have been prepared on behalf of IXDS Ltd in connection with the former European Metal Recycling (EMR) site in Canning Town, which is located at Mayer Parry Wharf, Bidder Street, London, E16 4ST. The site is shown in Figure 1 below.</p> <p>[Image attached Figure 1 - The Mayer Parry Wharf site]</p> <p>1.2 The site is comprised of a former scrap metal and recycling centre and measures 1.88 hectares in size. The site is located within the southernmost end of the Cody Road Strategic Industrial Location (SIL) and the Canning Town Riverside Strategic Site (reference S12) as designated within the adopted Newham Local Plan. The site is also within the Royal Docks &amp; Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area, which has its own Planning Framework that supplements the London Plan (2021). A draft version of the Opportunity Area Planning Framework (OAPF) is on track for adoption in early 2023. The site is now vacant with the buildings demolished and is undergoing remediation.</p> <p>1.3 The River Lea is located along the site's western edge, forming the boundary with the London Borough of Tower Hamlets. To the north, across the site boundary, is Segro Park Canning Town, comprised largely of warehouses for storage and distribution operated by SEGRO. The</p>	<p>Comment noted. Data centres are currently accepted as Class B8 uses, proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--

										<p>business park is accessed from Bidder Street North. To the east, across Bidder Street, are a mixture of industrial plots stretching eastward to Stephenson Street. To the south, between the site and the flyover of the A13, is the Crown Wharf site which is also a former metal recycling facility. This site also forms part of the Canning Town Riverside Strategic Site Allocation in the adopted Newham Local Plan. A planning application was submitted by Barratt in December 2022 for a residential-led, mixed-use development for circa 900 homes on the Crown Wharf site.</p> <p>1.4 IXDS Ltd seeks to develop the Mayer Parry Wharf site to deliver the UK's largest, next generation, urban hyperscale data centre and has engaged in pre-application discussions with the London Borough of Newham (LBN) (reference: 21/02107/PPPA &amp; 22/02303/PPPA) and the Greater London Authority (GLA) (reference: 2022/0765/P2F) since September 2021. IXDS Ltd has also been working with Barratt to ensure that both proposed developments are brought forward in compliance with the objectives of the existing Strategic Site Allocation S12 and in accordance with masterplanning principles that have been incorporated across both schemes to ensure no constraint to the other. To date both LBN and GLA have confirmed support in principle for both the development proposals at Mayer Parry Wharf and Crown Wharf. LBN</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>and the GLA also acknowledge the benefits that would be achieved through delivery of both schemes including opening-up of the river walkway and the introduction of public realm to Bidder Street.</p> <p>1.5 Despite the work undertaken with LBN officers to date as part of the Project Planning Performance Agreement (PPPA) that IXDS Ltd has entered into with the Council, the Council did not engage with IXDS Ltd when drafting the new Plan.</p> <p>1.6 Public consultation has taken place as part of the pre-application engagement with the local community on the proposed hyperscale data centre scheme, and this demonstrated that there is public and Councillor support for the proposals.</p> <p>1.7 On behalf of IXDS Ltd we are submitting representations to several draft local plan policies forming part of the Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan (2022) which, as they stand, are not wholly conducive to the delivery of the proposals currently being considered by LBN's planning officers on the Mayer Parry Wharf site in accordance with the Strategic Site Allocation S12 in the adopted Newham Local Plan. There are also concerns regarding how the draft Local Plan policies align with Newham's Corporate Plan 2022 objectives, and policies in the London Plan which the Council must have regard to when preparing the Plan and</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>which the Plan must be in 'general conformity' with. We set out these comments in a sequence according to three key themes below. All policies are highlighted in bold for ease of reference.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/003	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			<p>2 THE DATA ECONOMY AND ALIGNMENT WITH NEWHAM'S CORPORATE PLAN, THE LONDON PLAN AND NEWHAM SPARKS</p> <p>National Context</p> <p>2.1 As technology has developed over the last few decades, the storage of personal and commercial data has become increasingly important and central to people's work and personal lives. Accordingly, the world's data centre markets are becoming exponentially more important and now account for 7% of the UK's total GDP<sup>1</sup>. In response to the increasing importance of data, the Government's department for Digital, Culture, Media &amp; Sport published its National Data Strategy in September 2020 (updated 2022)<sup>2</sup> which seeks to drive the UK towards becoming a world-leading data economy.</p> <p>[Footnotes text: <sup>2</sup> Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport – National Data Strategy (published September 2020 and last updated December 2022) - <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-national-data-strategy/national-data-strategy">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-national-data-strategy/national-data-strategy</a>]</p> <p>2.2 Data centres are recognised to be a key part of the physical infrastructure on which data relies, and the need for facilities to store and process data – for example in data centres - is identified to become even more of a critical operating function for the national</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--

										<p>economy. Data centres are identified to underpin an increasing amount of business and societal activity and having confidence in the security and resilience of the UK's infrastructure on which data relies is a key aspect of protecting individuals' rights, service delivery across private and public sector organisations and national interests. The delivery of high quality, energy efficient, safe and secure data centres is therefore vital to support the ever-growing importance of data in our daily lives.</p> <p>2.3 It is essential that planning policy and guidance supports the Government's strategy and facilitates the continued uplift in data capacity to ensure that growth in this sector can keep pace with demand, especially as so much of our economic function is now reliant on data and because the operational realities of data centres are such that they, on average, measure 100,000sqft<sup>1</sup>. [Footnote text: 1 Data Centres and the Planning System (2023) (Cherrett, T) Data centres and the planning system   Data Centre Magazine - hyperlink to <a href="https://datacentremagazine.com/articles/data-centres-and-the-planning-system">https://datacentremagazine.com/articles/data-centres-and-the-planning-system</a>].</p> <p>2.4 Paragraph 83 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires that "Planning polices and decision should recognise and address locational requirements of different sectors" and that "this includes making</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>provision for clusters or networks of knowledge and data-driven, creative or high technology industries in suitably accessible locations". This supports the need for local plans to plan for high-tech industries, including data centres. Indeed, a recent report by the House of Commons into the Government's proposed reforms to the planning system<sup>3</sup> [Footnote text: <sup>3</sup> House of Commons; Housing, Communities and Local Government Committee – The Future of the Planning System in England : First Report of Session 2021-22 (May 2021) - <a href="https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/6180/documents/80920/default/">https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/6180/documents/80920/default/</a>] specifically cited data centres as needing further consideration by the Government to address their position in national planning policy guidance. This indicates that there needs to be a paradigm shift in the way data centres are understood within the planning system, requiring both a need for planning policy and decision making to more clearly account for the necessity of their scale and to have genuine regard for their numerous social, economic and environmental benefits.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/004	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles		<p>Local and regional context</p> <p>2.5 LBN's Building a Fairer Newham Corporate Plan 2022-2026 (September 2022)<sup>4</sup> [Footnote text: 4 London Borough of Newham – Building a Fairer Newham Corporate Plan 2022-2026 (September 2022) - <a href="https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s158737/Addendum%209050_CORPORATE_PLAN_BOOKLET_v18.pdf">https://mgov.newham.gov.uk/documents/s158737/Addendum%209050_CORPORATE_PLAN_BOOKLET_v18.pdf</a>] will inform how the Council will deliver its key priorities for the next four years and outlines actions and desired outcomes across all the Council's priorities. Given the national context, it is welcome that the Corporate Plan identifies the work that the Council has undertaken over the previous four years, which has included the launch of Newham Sparks, the Council's call to action to make Newham a leading centre for data and digital, and that the Council "will optimise the transformational use of digital and data to ensure that Newham as a Council and place is at the forefront of innovation".</p> <p>2.6 The Corporate Plan reiterates the importance of the Newham Sparks initiative (2021)<sup>5</sup> [Footnote text: 5 <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/NewhamSparks">https://www.newham.gov.uk/NewhamSparks</a>] as being a key vehicle for expanding digital infrastructure in the borough. The Newham Sparks initiative seeks to encourage innovation and investment in the data sector within Newham to envision the borough being "at the forefront of [the] data</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	--

										<p>revolution in the capital". It also seeks to ensure that Newham's residents and businesses benefit from that growth. In planning for this, the initiative identifies a number of locations within the borough that collectively form the 'Future Blueprint for Newham' in terms of the development of the digital and data economy. As part of this, Bidder Street has specifically been identified as a location where a new data corridor for London should be created (see Figure 2) which will be at the centre of the data economy. This part of the borough has been identified in Newham Sparks for the development of the data and digital sectors, including space for data centres and emerging technology.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			2.7 The proposals within the Corporate Plan and Newham Sparks are welcomed as they align with the Mayor of London's vision in London Plan Policy SI 6 (Digital Connectivity Infrastructure) for digital infrastructure to be expanded. The supporting text to Policy SI 6 in the London Plan 2021 identifies that "the provision of digital infrastructure is as important for the proper functioning of development as energy, water and waste management services and should be treated with the same importance". It is also envisioned that London will become "a world-leading tech hub with world-class digital connectivity that can anticipate growing capacity needs". Moreover, it is recognised in the supporting text to Policy SI 6 that data centres have emerged as a driver of industrial demand in London over recent years and this will need to be taken into account when assessing demand for industrial land (i.e. sufficient industrial land which can support the data centre infrastructure required to deliver on Policy SI 6 needs to be identified).	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			2.8 This local plan review presents as an important opportunity to ensure that the vision and defined key priorities of the Newham Corporate Plan and Newham Sparks are fully realised in the Council's spatial strategy and that land is accordingly made available for the delivery of the 'data hubs' and digital infrastructure requirements that the Corporate Plan seeks to deliver as well as for the projects that make up the Newham Sparks' Future Blueprint for Newham. This will be essential to ensuring alignment with the Council's corporate objectives and priorities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			[This will be essential to ensuring alignment with the Council's corporate objectives and priorities] as well as for ensuring that data storage infrastructure is provided within Newham, so that the Council may take the opportunity to deliver on London Plan Policy SI 6.	Comment noted. Data centres are currently accepted as Class B8 uses, proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			<p>It is also essential that action on this is taken now, given that the Corporate Plan covers a four-year period from 2022 to 2026 and delivery is expected within this period to ensure Newham is at the forefront of digital innovation.</p> <p>[Image attached: Figure 2 - Excerpt from Newham Sparks' Future Blueprint for Newham]</p>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by Inclusive Economy Policy and Neighbourhood Policy.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/028	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			3.7 Furthermore, data centre use at this site has been deemed acceptable in formal pre-application discussions with both LBN and the GLA.	Comment noted. Data centres are currently accepted as Class B8 uses, proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/029	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			A significant benefit of designating the site for use within the data economy would be that it would better safeguard the delivery of the Newham Sparks programme, which seeks for Bidder Street to become a data corridor, providing a highly sustainable, iconic building which would act as a gateway to the digital quarter and delivering the required buffer between the residential development and the wider SIL. Overall, retention of SIL designation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site would be more compatible with data centre development than an LMUA designation as proposed, as well as the aims of other documents forming part of the development plan.	This policy change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as while we acknowledge that a well-designed data centre could be a neighbourly use, if the site remained designated as a SIL it would allow any industrial use to come forward on this site, including non-neighbourly industrial uses. A LMUA designation hence creates a more neighbourly buffer within the site allocation and therefore makes better use of this site to create a buffer between the SIL and the residential development on the remainder of the site as discussed in the Employment Land Review. Nonetheless, the data centre use is currently widely accepted as Use Class B8 which is a use class that allowed in LMUAs, LILs and SILs as set out in Local Plan Policy J1.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/030	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			3.8 The existing Strategic Site Allocation S12 (Canning Town Riverside) that both IXDS Ltd and Barratt have been working hard to comply with in developing their proposals for planning permission, is proposed for redesignation with no justification for doing so.	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised below.

Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/032a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				<p>Site allocation N5.SA5 (Canning Town Riverside) and neighbourhood designations</p> <p>3.10 Within the adopted Local Plan, the Mayer Parry Wharf site forms part of Strategic Site Allocation S12 (incorporating both the Mayer Parry Wharf and Crown Wharf sites) and falls entirely within the boundaries of the Canning Town neighbourhood. Within the draft Local Plan, the site forms part of Site Allocation N5.SA5 (Canning Town Riverside) which covers the same extent as S12 but forms the southernmost part of the Manor Road neighbourhood, having been taken out of the Canning Town neighbourhood. The Crown Wharf site remains in the Canning Town and Custom House Neighbourhood.</p> <p>3.11 The decision to split the site allocation across two neighbourhood designations appears to stem from the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) (by Maccreanor Lavington), which considers that the Mayer Parry Wharf site should be removed from the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood designation (N5) and be consolidated within a new neighbourhood designation (Manor Road) (N6) that has limited local identity. The incorporation of this recommendation within the draft Local Plan underplays the Mayer Parry Wharf site's proximity to Canning Town centre and the Canning Town public transport hub.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.</p>
-------------	----------	------------------	----------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------	--	--	--	---	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/032b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				Furthermore, the disorderly approach to having the site allocation straddle the two neighbourhoods undermines the potential for the N5.SA5 site to have a unified character, to come forward in a unified fashion and to form a coherent section of the borough adjacent to Canning Town town centre.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/033	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				3.12 The proposed re-designation from SIL to LMUA (as discussed above) indicates that the Council anticipates smaller grain development comprising co-located small scale employment uses and residential uses being delivered at the Mayer Parry Wharf site. It is also noted that the Crown Wharf site to the south continues to be allocated for residential uses. On that basis, and given that the Mayer Parry Wharf and Crown Wharf sites continue to share a site allocation, it appears illogical that the Mayer Parry Wharf and Crown Wharf sites could sit within very different neighbourhood designations given that their development briefs should be complementary and apply across the entire site allocation.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/034	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				The vision for both the Crown Wharf site and the Mayer Parry Wharf site as set out within N5.SA5 is at odds with the heavily industrial nature of all sites to the north and it is considered that the combined site allocation (for which masterplanned improvements to pedestrian links along Bidder Street and the River Lea would be delivered) will result in the N5.SA5 allocation functioning as and taking on the identity of a consolidated part of the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood, rather than as development that straddles two neighbourhood areas.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/035	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			Consistent with the importance of planning for the data economy, (as discussed above) the vision for the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood (on pages 343 & 344 of the draft Local Plan) should incorporate an aspiration for a data corridor to be delivered within the Bidder Street area, to ensure Local Plan alignment with the Newham Sparks initiative.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, the Inclusive Economy policies and is already addressed in the Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by the Inclusive Economy policies and the neighbourhood policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/036	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Map			3.13 The draft site allocation designation for N5.SA5 incorporates an indicative masterplan of the site, depicting a new road network that would fragment the site into small parcels. This would undermine the ability of the site to deliver uses that rely on large operational footprints (such as data centres) which are typically associated with SIL sites.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/038	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Site profile			Strategic constraints and opportunities  3.15 The constraints imposed by the existing electricity pylons and the sag and sway of the associated cables presents a significant constraint on development across the Mayer Parry Wharf site and land to the east of Bidder Street, which is not acknowledged by the N5.SA5 site allocation brief vision or associated information. The Council needs to specify how it plans to mitigate for this constraint, which will hamper delivery of development on these sites.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for Canning Town Riverside. This now maps the area containing the power lines as a sensitive edge within the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/039	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>3.16 More widely, the redesignation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site to LMUA and the aspiration to incorporate a granular movement network within the site means that the opportunity for large scale development and the associated proportionate benefits (including the following) will be lost:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enabling improvements to the tidal defences of the lower section of the River Lea to increase the effectiveness of the substandard defences in line with the Thames 2100 vision;</li> </ul>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as necessary infrastructure to make a development on the site allocation acceptable is set out in the 'Infrastructure requirements' section of the site allocation. For Canning Town Riverside, this includes the requirement to provide a new river wall. Any proposal on this site will need to demonstrate how they will deliver these requirements, which will inform an officer's assessment of the proposals.</p> <p>At the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Where financial viability constraints are evidenced, Policy BFN4 provides a hierarchy of priorities. The implementation text for BFN4.3 indicates that in certain circumstances the Council may vary that hierarchy and/or additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure may, where possible, be identified by the Council. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/040	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[3.16 More widely, the redesignation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site to LMUA and the aspiration to incorporate a granular movement network within the site means that the opportunity for large scale development and the associated proportionate benefits (including the following) will be lost:] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enabling a pedestrian river crossing from the River Lea west of Bidder Street to its east bank in the London Borough of Tower Hamlets (it is noted that this forms an aspiration of the existing S12 Site Allocation but has not been carried forward into Site Allocation N5.SA5);</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed due to the introduction of a safeguarding for a bridge landing point. Please see the new wording in Site Allocation N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/041	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[3.16 More widely, the redesignation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site to LMUA and the aspiration to incorporate a granular movement network within the site means that the opportunity for large scale development and the associated proportionate benefits (including the following) will be lost:] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Grounding or rerouting the existing pylon cables that constrain development along this part of Bidder Street (as identified above);</li> </ul>	Comment noted. We do not agree that the landswap would influence the ability to underground the pylons.  The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for Canning Town Riverside. This now maps the area containing the power lines as a sensitive edge within the site allocation. Any application for the site will need to demonstrate how this sensitive edge has been responded to in the design of new proposals.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/042	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[3.16 More widely, the redesignation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site to LMUA and the aspiration to incorporate a granular movement network within the site means that the opportunity for large scale development and the associated proportionate benefits (including the following) will be lost:] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The roll out of local fibre internet connectivity;</li> </ul>	Comment noted. We do not agree that the landswap would influence the ability to roll out of local fibre internet connectivity. Data centres are currently accepted as Class B8 uses, proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/043	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[3.16 More widely, the redesignation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site to LMUA and the aspiration to incorporate a granular movement network within the site means that the opportunity for large scale development and the associated proportionate benefits (including the following) will be lost:] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improvements to local power resilience; and</li> </ul>	Comment noted. We do not agree that the landswap would influence the ability to improve local power resilience. Data centres are currently accepted as Class B8 uses, proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/044	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design principles			[3.16 More widely, the redesignation of the Mayer Parry Wharf site to LMUA and the aspiration to incorporate a granular movement network within the site means that the opportunity for large scale development and the associated proportionate benefits (including the following) will be lost:] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sizeable public realm improvements to Bidder Street.</li> </ul>	Comment noted. We do not agree that the landswap would influence the ability to improve the public realm that surrounds the site. However, the site allocation wording has now changed to secure active travel improvements along Bidder Street and Stephenson Street, improving the pedestrian and cycling environment along these roads. Please see the new wording in the Design Principles for site allocation N3.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/045	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Site profile			3.17 The constraints of the pylon cables and the substandard tidal defences that apply to the site should be clearly acknowledged in Site Allocation N5.SA5.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for Canning Town Riverside. This now maps the area containing the power lines as a sensitive edge within the site allocation. Any application for the site will need to demonstrate how this sensitive edge has been responded to in the design of new proposals.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/046	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design principles			[3.17 The constraints of the pylon cables and the substandard tidal defences that apply to the site should be clearly acknowledged in Site Allocation N5.SA5.] The site allocation should also seek mitigation of these constraints	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for Canning Town Riverside. This now maps the area containing the power lines as a sensitive edge within the site allocation. Any application for the site will need to demonstrate how this sensitive edge has been responded to in the design of new proposals.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/046	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[3.17 The constraints of the pylon cables and the substandard tidal defences that apply to the site should be clearly acknowledged in Site Allocation N5.SA5. The site allocation should also seek mitigation of these constraints] and, consistent with Site Allocation S12, should seek the delivery of strategic benefits from development proposals, such as a river crossing.	This policy approach has now changed due to the introduction of a safeguarding for a bridge landing point. Please see the new wording in Site Allocation N3.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/047	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside					<p>Pre-application engagement on Mayer Parry Wharf</p> <p>3.18 Pre-application discussions for large scale redevelopment of the Mayer Parry Wharf site, in keeping with its adopted SIL designation, have been in progress with Newham Council since September 2021. Site Allocation N5.SA5's shift away from enabling a large-scale strategic development of the site substantially undermines proposals for the site which have received support at pre-application stage. We consider that given the advanced level of pre-application discussions that have taken place in relation to the site, the shift in development brief for the site allocation is contrary to the NPPF's guidance which states that Local Plan should be shaped by early, proportionate and effective engagement between planmakers and communities, local organisations, businesses, infrastructure providers and operators and statutory consultees.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. In this case, the employment land review sets out in further detail the reasons for the proposed landswap of the existing SIL designation to a Local Mixed Use Area. Crucially, were the site remaining designated as a SIL, it would allow any industrial use to come forward on this site, including non-neighbourly industrial uses. A LMUA designation hence creates a more neighbourly buffer within the site allocation and therefore make better use of this site to create a buffer between the SIL and the residential development at the remainder of the site as discussed in the Employment Land Review.</p> <p>A data centre is considered as an employment use and proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/049	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				[Recommendations to a revision to the draft policies 3.19 Given the above, our recommendations for changes to the draft Local Plan are as follows:] • Revise the boundaries of the newly introduced Manor Road neighbourhood (N5) to exclude land at Mayer Parry Wharf (which should fall into the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood). This will ensure coherence between the Mayer Parry Wharf and Crown Wharf sites.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/050	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			[Recommendations to a revision to the draft policies 3.19 Given the above, our recommendations for changes to the draft Local Plan are as follows:] The vision for the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood (at page 343-344 of the Local Plan) should incorporate the aims of Newham Sparks by aspiring for digital and data economy uses to be delivered at sites along and near to Bidder Street.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the delivery of data and digital growth is covered in Local Plan Policy W4, the Inclusive Economy policies and is already addressed in the Neighbourhood Policy. However, the policy has changed as policy W4 will now be focusing on digital connectivity infrastructure and other projects under Newham Sparks will be covered by the Inclusive Economy policies and the neighbourhood policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/051	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside	Neighbourhood profile				[Recommendations to a revision to the draft policies 3.19 Given the above, our recommendations for changes to the draft Local Plan are as follows:] Site Allocation N5.SA5 should not be split across two neighbourhood areas as this has the potential to harm coherent masterplanning and undermine the delivery of developments that should function as and take on the identity of a consolidated part of the Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood.	This policy approach has now changed to include the whole of the site allocation in the Canning Town neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary on the policies map.
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/052	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles			[Recommendations to a revision to the draft policies 3.19 Given the above, our recommendations for changes to the draft Local Plan are as follows:] The site allocation should emphasise the suitability of (or safeguard) the Mayer Parry Wharf site for digital and data economy uses, to ensure that the aims of Newham Sparks are delivered and that the consistency of position on support for data centre uses on this site, as established through pre-application discussions, is accommodated for.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as data centre is currently widely accepted as Use Class B8 which is a use class that allowed in LMUAs, LILs and SILs. Proposals will be subject to requirements as set out in the Inclusive Economy Policy and various development principles in the local plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/053	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside					[Recommendations to a revision to the draft policies 3.19 Given the above, our recommendations for changes to the draft Local Plan are as follows:] Replace Site Allocation N5.SA5 with the Strategic Site Allocation S12 as it currently exists in the adopted Newham Local Plan.	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comment are set out in response to individual issues raised above.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/002	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			<p>Background</p> <p>The Site address is River Christian Centre, Vincent Street, London, E16 1LZ. The Site area is 0.56ha.</p> <p>The Site currently provides facilities to deliver a range of community services, including youth and sports facilities, workshop and garages, café, hostel, Minister's house and study and religious services from the Grade II listed Chapel of St George and St Helena. In addition, an area of private open space in the centre of the Site for its users provides a secure and contemplative outdoor recreation space.</p> <p>The Site continued as a social and community-led centre for Canning Town even whilst the wider areas saw WW2 damage and slum clearance, which led to the call for better housing conditions. Unfortunately, whilst the Site's social contact has remained an anchor for residents, the Site's buildings are in desperate need of regeneration. Ongoing delays to their regeneration have resulted in the buildings falling into disrepair to the extent that their continued use is unsustainable and, in some cases, derelict. As a result, RCC has had to undertake temporary bracing work to</p>	Comment noted.

<b>Comment Response</b>	
<b>Comment</b>	reinforce buildings pending redevelopment.
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/003a	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			The Opportunity Since 2008, several development options have been considered for the Site, including amalgamation with the adjacent regeneration sites and engagement with Newham Council and planning officers. Following IXO's multi-million pound investment and RCC's grant of the 999-year lease to IXO, there is now the opportunity and momentum to deliver the exemplary community mixed-use scheme, maintaining the Site's historic purpose whilst meeting the current and future community's needs. IXO's residential proposals provide homes that contribute to Newham's housing needs and crucially act to cross-subsidise the extensive re-provision of community facilities for RCC.	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/003b	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			A high-quality public realm, including a new east-west pedestrian link through the Site, is now considered part of the broader masterplan principles.	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/003c	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			The principle of the proposed mixed-use development broadly aligns with the longer-term land-use objectives for the Site and the strategic allocation in the emerging Newham Local Plan DPD.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/003d	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			Due regard must be given to the Chapel as a Grade II heritage asset. However, as there are no significant views into the Site, the focus is to avoid impacting the overall character and setting within the Site boundary. On the contrary, the proposals enhance the character and setting of the listed buildings currently surrounded by dilapidated buildings and structures. Furthermore, the provision of replacement facilities preserves and enhances the heritage significance as it is nationally designated by Historic England for its Historic interest as “the architectural, ceremonial and spiritual heart of the former Dockland Settlement, a centre for missionary and social work which rose to national prominence in the 1920s and 30s under the leadership of Reginald Kennedy-Cox and was revived in the 1950s by the Revd. David Sheppard, later Bishop of Liverpool and a leading social campaigner within the Church of England.”	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as any impacts to this designated heritage asset will need to be assessed against the requirements of Policy D10 through a planning application or pre-application.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/003e	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East					IXO has been proactively working with Newham Council to improve the scheme following the pre-application planning discussions in January 2022 and will be submitting a planning application later in 2023. The Masterplan, including principles for our site, has been endorsed by Council officers, the Design Review Panel and Members of the Planning Committee, who voted in favour of the delivery of Phase 1 on 14/02/22 (Application Ref. 22/02615/LA3).	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-E-101/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			<p>Masterplanning and Design</p> <p>The Site is located within the existing Strategic Allocation 15 (S15) in the adopted Local Plan. It is proposed that it remains an allocated site in the draft consultation document. An indicative Masterplan for the strategic site area has already been agreed upon and endorsed by the Council, Design Review Panel and local members of the Planning Committee, who resolved to approve 'Phase 1' of Newham's Estate Regeneration scheme on the 14/02/23. The Masterplan has therefore established a clear direction of travel for the area, and the draft policy should take this into consideration where existing work has already been undertaken and where the delivery of a site could be put into question.</p>	Comment noted. Responses to each of the concerns highlighted in the comments provided on differences from the masterplan are set out in response to individual issues raised above.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/003a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development Principles			After 125 years of providing local support, the River {Christian Centre site is considered an anchor to residents within the neighbourhood. The redevelopment offers a unique opportunity to modernise the existing Site to revive its historic community impact and save its future as a vibrant community heart, supporting the wider estate regeneration area. [originally commented on the Key Diagram]	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/004a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development Principles			The River Christian Centre site will make significant contributions to the Council's housing delivery, including the re-provision to meet various housing needs of local residents.  [originally submitted against BNF1 Spatial Strategy]	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/004a b	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			<p>The redevelopment will enhance the Heritage Significance of this site while sensitively refurbishing the Grade II listed Chapel of St George and St Helena, providing modern facilities for community use, and improving inclusive access to this Heritage Asset. It will also revitalise the overall Site through design to enable RCC to meet residents' future needs better and thereby achieves RCC's charitable objectives.</p> <p>[originally submitted against BNF1 Spatial Strategy]</p>	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/005a	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development Principles			<p>The River Christian Centre site is located in the centre of the wider regeneration area, which already benefits from excellent public transport links and town centre facilities seen in Canning Town. Its redevelopment would enhance the area in meeting the objectives of the "15-minute city" by delivering high-density high-quality community-led development to meet the needs of local residents. [originally submitted against BNF1 Spatial Strategy]</p>	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/008a	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			We have been proactively engaging with Design Review Panels for the Site [River Christian Centre] to ensure the development is well-guided by urban design and townscape grounds. The Site will contribute to achieving the Council's Vision to deliver Good Growth with [exceptional social infrastructure, incubator job facilities] and an attractive environment and landscape." [originally submitted against BNF1 Spatial Strategy]	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/008b	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development Principles			The Site will contribute to achieving the Council's Vision to deliver Good Growth with exceptional social infrastructure, incubator job facilities [and an attractive environment and landscape." [originally submitted against BNF1 Spatial Strategy]	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/017	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development Principles			We welcome the continued identification for large-scale redevelopment in site allocation N5.SA1 (Canning Town East) of the draft Plan for residential development, community uses and open space. The Site will support the Vision for Canning Town to transform into a thriving and vibrant centre with optimised community uses, improved connections and quality new housing. The following indicative masterplan	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										accompanies the allocation on page 346.	
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/018	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Development principles			We support the reprovision of community facilities as per the Development Principles; ...	Support noted.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/019	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			...however, the accompanying map appears incomplete and unduly prescriptive for the RCC site, in marked contrast to the wider land also designated within the site allocation falling under separate ownership. We raise several matters which we request are taken into consideration further:...	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/020	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			<p>....- The linking of a route through the site from Minnie Baldock Street to Vincent Street, organised for the convenience of the design of the Hallsville Quarter, rather than the existing route along Tant Avenue, has already controversially split the RCC site and integrated charity facilities asunder. This has adversely impacted the significance of the designated heritage asset and limited the available options for the Site. It is, therefore, unacceptable to further limit design options on the site with the additional constraints and impact of a further pedestrian route running SW-NE across the site. Furthermore, it separates the site into a small grain, not repeated anywhere else in the N5.SA1 masterplan area...</p>	<p>A change to the site allocation wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Minnie Baldock Street to Vincent Street route is a key route through the site, introducing an important, legible connection between the site and the adjacent district centre and nearby Canning Town station.</p> <p>The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/021	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			...- The proposed opportunity for active frontages, the opportunity for open spaces, plus the proposed SW-NE pedestrian route across the site imposes too many conflicting demands on the site. Active frontages would then be expected both to the internal (off the SW-NE route) and external (road) facing spaces, which would conflict with sensitive and integrated community uses to be re-delivered....	The policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections and active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/022	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			...- The proposal to retain a small part of an existing building (indicated in pink) would appear as an anomaly in the future context of both the listed building and the wider development of the site. The buildings on the site should be appraised on the basis of a sound understanding of their significance, with proposals for the site design and significance-led, including taking into account present and future uses of the site....	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as any proposed loss of a non-designated heritage asset will need to be assessed against the requirements of Policy D10 through a planning application or pre-application.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/023	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Site profile			.... Furthermore, the text mentions “the locally listed Christian Care Centre” despite not being mentioned in the Council’s schedule of locally listed buildings. This misrepresentation and conflict in the documentation are likely to lead to misunderstanding....	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the building is on the Council's list of Locally Listed Buildings under the name 'Mayflower Docklands Settlement'. However, the site profile has changed to also reflect the name in the Council's published Local List. Please see the new wording in the site profile for site allocation N3.SA1.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/024	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			...- In our view, this level of specification is not appropriate for a plan indicating development principles, and only the listed building should be marked on the map as a statutorily designed heritage asset...	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as locally listed buildings are notable heritage features within the site boundary, and require assessment in accordance with the requirements of policy D10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/025	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			<p>...The area indicating the opportunity for open space has been drawn without any consideration of the significance of the site or the setting of the Grade II chapel building. It, therefore, conflicts with the Design principles listed regarding the need to conserve and enhance the Chapel....</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/026	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			<p>...- The Plan fails to note the considerable open space and existing trees to the northwest of the RCC site or indicates that they should be retained...</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to more closely align greenspace on the site allocation with the masterplan for the site approved by cabinet. This reduction in space is supported by policy GWS1.2, which allows for the loss of communal amenity land on existing housing estates, where it can be demonstrated that the reconfiguration of the site would deliver both improved biodiversity and functional open space value for the residents. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.</p> <p>Retention of existing trees and greenspaces on the site are, in most cases, not referenced within the site allocation requirements. This is because it is a requirement of the policies that any loss of existing greenspace meets the requirements of policy GWS1.2. The exception to this is where existing trees acts as a buffer to noise and pollution sources, for example the referencing of the existing mature trees which act as a buffer to the A13.</p>
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/027	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			<p>...We question why the opportunities for active frontage and the existing building to be retained are only marked on the RCC site and not in the key locations across the wider allocation.</p>	<p>The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how existing buildings and active frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/028	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			We have a number of concerns regarding the proposed design principles for this Site which have the potential to stifle the delivery of development. We specifically object to the following principles and request that they are removed from the Plan:	Comment noted. Responses to each issue highlighted are provided separately.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/029	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			We specifically object to the following principles and request that they are removed from the Plan: [- We strongly object to the proposed pedestrian route cutting SW-NE across the site and request that it is removed from the Plan to allow unrestricted design improvements of the Site as detailed designs are progressed. There are also management considerations regarding the inclusion of a public route through the Site, given the sensitivity and security concerns of the community uses.]	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/030	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			We specifically object to the following principles and request that they are removed from the Plan: [- We are open to exploring the possibility of an East-West link which has been considered in more detail within the now-agreed Masterplan for the area.]	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site, which includes an east-west link through the River Christian Centre site. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-012	IXO (New River Place) LLP	Reg18-K-012/031	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design principles			We specifically object to the following principles and request that they are removed from the Plan: [- We object to the proposed Opportunity for Open space to the east of the church building without detailed consideration of the heritage significance of the listed building. The listed building was not designed to be exposed in this unconsidered manner but rather to sit in the Vincent Street street scene. This can be seen in the pre-war photograph shown below. This concern was explicitly expressed by the Council's own Design Review Panel. ]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/037c	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			Vision			Neighbourhoods [The Authority notes the new section within the draft Local Plan focused on the Neighbourhoods. Three of the neighbourhoods incorporate parts of the Regional Park within their areas;] namely...'Canning Town and Custom House'. [The vision section for each of the above should include a reference to the Regional Park.]	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the neighbourhood profile. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile for N5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/042a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure requirements			<b>Canning Town and Custom House</b> The Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood includes two site allocations that are outside the Regional Park boundary but could have an impact on the Park at Bow Creek Ecology Park (BCEP) and potentially East India Dock Basin, (EIDB) which lies within the LB of Tower Hamlets on the boundary with Newham. These sites are N5.SA4 Limmo situated to north east of EIDB...	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policies GWS1, GWS2 and GWS3 all contain clauses which take into consideration the impact of neighbouring development on sites of ecological value.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/042b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure requirements			[The Canning Town and Custom House neighbourhood includes two site allocations that are outside the Regional Park boundary but could have an impact on the Park at Bow Creek Ecology Park (BCEP) and potentially East India Dock Basin, (EIDB) which lies within the LB of Tower Hamlets on the boundary with Newham.] These sites are...N5.SA5 Canning Riverside situated to the north of Bow Creek Ecology Park.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policies GWS1, GWS2 and GWS3 all contain clauses which take into consideration the impact of neighbouring development on sites of ecological value.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/043b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			The proposal for a riverside walk which links to the Ecology Park in the north west is welcomed and should be discussed further with the Authority to ensure an appropriate link can be delivered as part of development.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/044	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			The Canning Town Riverside site is of interest to the Authority as it offers opportunities to provide new open space and a walkway along the edge of the River Lee that could connect to the Bow Creek Ecology Park. These points are included in the Design Principles and Infrastructure requirements and therefore supported by the Authority.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/045c	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Site profile			For all the above site allocations the Regional Park should be listed in the pro-forma as a relevant site designation with reference to the PDF to ensure future development can take into account the provisions of the PDF.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the site allocation profile. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/045d	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Site profile			For all the above site allocations the Regional Park should be listed in the pro-forma as a relevant site designation with reference to the PDF to ensure future development can take into account the provisions of the PDF.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the site allocation profile. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA4.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/005	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		4.27				p341 notes poor air quality along the Silvertown Way. Has the plan considered the Silvertown Tunnel will worsen this? Has this been taken into account in the proposal to 'create new public spaces'?	The Local Plan addresses this topic in policy N1 by requiring the impact of Silvertown Tunnel to be appropriately mitigated in terms of traffic generation, noise and air quality. However, it cannot deliver the change you have delivered as the Silvertown Tunnel was approved by the Mayor of London and is under construction

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											therefore the influence of the Local Plan is limited.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/006	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5.d			p343 - the area under the A13 flyover was intended to be for leisure and events and as part of the proposal to connect north and south of Barking Road. However, it is now a dump with rough sleeper + rubbish, poor lighting and generally unsafe. How will this be altered?	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting enhancements and activation of the public realm under the A13 and the Silvertown Way viaduct. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues: - Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council - Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/007	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			23			There's a need to consider greater health facilities - GP practices, urgent care, etc in Canning Town and Custom House	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirement for new health centres in the

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											neighbourhood is set out in the policy and in the site allocation for N5.SA6.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						I rent a garage in the area an want to continue to do so. Can consideration be given to those who rent garages to have a small allocation in the vicinity please?	Unfortunately, this is not something the Local Plan can address unless it is an industrial or employment uses which would be addressed through the Local Plan employment policies. Our colleagues in the council's property and assets team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/contact-information/contact-newham-council/1">https://www.newham.gov.uk/contact-information/contact-newham-council/1</a>
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						Can we be kept up to date with transport plans affecting the area especially with better advertising of events please	You can sign up to the Local Plan consultation database on the Council's planning policy website to hear about future planning policy consultations as well as signing up to Newham Co-Create to hear about other consultations, including sustainable transport proposals.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/010	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House		Maps				The map p346 (& others) does not have street names. It is very hard to get a fix/locate what streets are affected	This policy approach has now changed due to review of the maps and the inclusion of road names on the maps. Please see the new maps that accompany the site allocations.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Map			Is the dark blue line the A13?	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/013	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			The "monastery" (? St Alphage) off Murdoch Close E16 and off Ruscoe Road does not appear to be mentioned. This is ? Listed building. Any proposals?	Comment noted. If this comment is referring to the Franciscan Friars of the Renewal this site is located outside of the site allocation boundary.

Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/025	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Limmo - needs green space allotments	<p>Comment noted. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough's green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham's green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments</p>
-------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	--------------------------------------	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/137	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			SA1. Canning Town station - improve connections	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design requirements of this site allocation seek improved connectivity to Canning Town Station.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/138	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Infrastructure Requirements			No buses - Rogers Road - loud buses, bumps in the road	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Transport policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Highways department are able to help.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/139	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Design Principles			Tant Avenue/Vincent Street Garage - Edwin Street A13 Backs onto houses. Can I keep garage - use it to park a car	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the suitability of such a proposals should be determined through a planning application or pre-application.
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/007	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Site profile			Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N5.SA4 Limmo Asset Description ZR ROUTE TWR (063A - 93): 400Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: BARKING - WEST HAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and Design Principle and Phasing and Implementation of Neighbourhood Policy N3.
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/019	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			N5.SA4 Limmo The reconfiguration of Canning Town Bus Station with residential above is supported in principle	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
				Custom House							
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/020	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			However, this should include a requirement to make improvements to the northern pedestrian access to the station and the environment around the A13 flyover.	This policy approach has now changed to include wording on the need to improve connectivity and legibility to the northern pedestrian access to bus station and train station. Please see the new wording in the design principles of site allocation N3.SA4.
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/021	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			There should also be a requirement for this site to include the Limmo/ Goodluck Hope pedestrian bridge.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this landing point is safeguarded through the site allocation at Thameside West.
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/022	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development Principles			N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside The Association welcomes the designation of this site for a mix of residential, employment and open space, and the continuation of the Leaway Walk through the site.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/023	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			However, the development principles should include preserving a landing point for the proposed Mayer Parry Bridge as well as connections through the site between this new bridge and the northern entrance to Canning Town Station.	This policy approach has now changed due to the introduction of a safeguarding for a bridge landing point. The site allocation now also provides additional clarification on expectations for connectivity enhancements between the site and Canning Town station. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/024	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			Securing design enhancements to the existing underpass at Wharfside Road is welcomed but there should also be obligations to implement design enhancements to the route beneath the A13 flyover and pedestrian access into Canning Town Station.	This policy approach has now changed to provide additional clarification on expectations for connectivity enhancements between the site and Canning Town station. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/057	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						On the proposed river crossings in this neighbourhood...please refer to the PLA's comments above on page two [It must be made clear that any proposed crossing situated over navigable waterways ensures that the public right of navigation is maintained, that safe navigation can continue and that the PLA are involved in the development of such proposals where these cross over areas of the PLA's jurisdiction and / or landownership at an early stage, so that amongst other matters, the height of any proposed bridge can be understood]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the detail related to consultees on transport infrastructure projects is included in policy T1. The implementation text for T1.1 has been amended to include references to bridges and the role of the PLA.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/060a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			19			Support the aim to maximise access to existing green spaces and the River Lea in this neighbourhood...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/060b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and			11			Support the requirement to extend the Leaway path from Cody Dock south along the River Lea to Canning Town.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
				Custom House							
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/061	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			N5.SA4 (Limmo): Highlighted on page 356 that the site requires a new river wall, to note there will likely be a requirement for a river works licence with the PLA to carry out such works, and the PLA should be consulted at the appropriate time.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/062	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			N5.SA5 (Canning Town Riverside): Support the infrastructure requirement to address open space deficiency in this allocation by providing new open space and a walkway along the edge of the River Lea.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/063	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Any new riverside path must also include appropriate riparian life saving infrastructure as highlighted in policy GWS2 above.	A change to this site allocation has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this requirements is set out in policy GWS2.

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/002	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Site profile			<p>The Site and Surroundings</p> <p>Holiday Inn Express, Canning Town (hereafter referred to as 'the Site') is located along the A1011 at Canning Town, Newham and comprises a 5-storey Holiday Inn Express Hotel with a car park to the rear and additional disabled parking to the front.</p> <p>The Site benefits from excellent public transport accessibility, with the majority of the Site having a Public Transport Accessibility Level ("PTAL") of 6a and a portion to the south having a PTAL of 4. The PTAL is forecast to improve to a PTAL of part 6a, part 5 by 2031. George Street bus stop is located on the A1011 directly outside the site, and Canning Town underground station approx. 300m north. The Site is approx. 170m to the west of an area of public open space 'Keir Hardie Recreation Ground.'</p> <p>The Site is not within a conservation area and does not include any statutorily or locally listed buildings.</p> <p>The Site is situated within Flood Zone 3 but benefits from local flood defences.</p> <p>The Site is immediately adjacent to business and industrial premises to the east; a car wash to the south and new-build high-rise residential blocks to the north and west, which each contain commercial uses at the ground floor, including retail and restaurants. The immediate area is undergoing</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	--------------	--	--	--	----------------

									<p>significant redevelopment and identified in the Draft Local Plan as a designated District Centre which will comprise significant commercial, community and employment uses alongside residential in the form of high-rise blocks which will primarily border the central spine road (A1011). Recent consents within this area, the majority of which have also now been built out or are in the process of being built out, range in height from 8 to 26 storeys.</p> <p>The current use of the Site has been somewhat 'left behind' by the pace and character of new development in the immediately surrounding area. The hotel is on a different scale to other buildings on Silvertown Way. The design and single use of the building has become rather drab and uninspiring now it is surrounded by multi storey, mixed use and high quality new buildings. It has therefore been identified by our client for redevelopment as part of a comprehensive review and consolidation of their hotel portfolio. The new Local Plan offers an opportunity to reconsider the Site and make a far more beneficial contribution to land use need in Newham, whilst also creating a building and public realm that better compliments the much changed Silvertown Way.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn				<p>Background and Context</p> <p>In April 2022, as part of the Local Plan Review, we completed and submitted the Council's Land Availability Questionnaire in relation to the Site. This confirmed, that the Site is indeed available and could provide an opportunity for redevelopment, to include the delivery of much-needed new homes within 5-10 years; thereby well within the new Plan period up to 2038.</p> <p>In June 2022, following confirmation from the Council that the Site had been identified as potentially available, suitable and deliverable as part of the Local Plan review, we provided Policy Officers with some initial design feasibility work to support the capacity testing exercise. This set out our client's early vision for the Site. Whilst we did not receive any feedback on the work we submitted, we still hope to work collaboratively with the Council going forward to ensure that the site allocation inspires a good outcome for Canning Town.</p> <p>The publication and approval of the Draft Local Plan by Cabinet in December 2022 confirmed the draft allocation of the Site for redevelopment and as part of ref. N5.SA3 'Canning Town Holiday Inn'. This is identified for "residential development, industrial and employment uses, a Town Centre use and open space". This inclusion of the</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	--	--	---	----------------

									<p>Site within the Draft Local Plan is very much welcomed by our client. Therefore, as part of a coordinated approach to the promotion of the Site and testing of the emerging design and development parameters, we submitted a pre-application advice request to the Development Team at the end of January. This seeks formal pre-application advice on the initial design feasibility work shared with the Policy Team last year.</p> <p>The focus of these representations is therefore to continue to promote the Site for redevelopment and provide support for the preparation of the Draft Local Plan, to include the Council's allocation of the Site as part of this.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn					We note that the Site forms part of a larger allocation which includes land to the rear which is under the freehold ownership of the Council but subject to a number of leasehold interests. Whilst initial discussions have taken place with the Council's Property Team in support of a comprehensive approach to delivery and it is intended that these will continue, at this stage, these representations are focused primarily on the redevelopment of the Site in terms of what is considered achievable.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/005	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles		<p>These representations follow a review of the emerging policy considerations for the Site to include the design and development principles that sit under the draft allocation more specifically, such as height, density and comprehensive delivery. This is with regard to the initial capacity work that has been undertaken by Whittam Cox and the emerging proposals currently subject to pre-application advice, which can be summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Residential-led mixed use scheme</li> <li>· 388 new homes, to include both private and affordable provision (Class C3)</li> <li>· Approximately 644 square metres of commercial space at ground floor (Class E)</li> <li>· Heights of between 11 and 28 storeys</li> <li>· Private and communal external amenity space</li> <li>· Maximisation of dual-aspect homes</li> <li>· A mix of unit types, to include three-bedroom family homes</li> <li>· New public realm and urban greening.</li> </ul> <p>We look forward to continuing to engage with the Planning Policy Team, in parallel with Development Management officers, and set out our comments in respect of the Draft Local Plan and current evidence base documents below.</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	----------------

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/006	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			<p>Planning Commentary on the Draft Local Plan</p> <p>Draft Site Allocation N5.SA3 'Canning Town Holiday Inn'</p> <p>a. Development Principles (Land Uses)</p> <p>The draft allocation is identified for the delivery of "residential development, industrial and employment uses, a Town Centre use and open space. The type and quantity of town centre uses should be consistent with a District Centre designation". The proposed mix of land uses are all considered appropriate in principle and supported</p>	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/007	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			<p>[The proposed mix of land uses are all considered appropriate in principle and supported] with the exception of reference to “industrial”. The wording goes on to state that “development on the site should deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing”.</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable. More broadly, the employment policies have now changed to require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham.</p> <p>Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to remove reference to the need to deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA3 and policies J2 and J3.</p>

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/008	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles		<p>We note that under draft Policy BFN1 (Spatial Strategy), the draft allocation N5.SA3 is also specifically identified as development that will create new jobs and deliver a modern, green and inclusive economy through a requirement for new industrial and employment floorspace.</p> <p>The Site currently provides employment-generating floorspace in the form of a town centre use (Class C1). We understand that there is a mix of employment floorspace to the rear of the Site, within the north-eastern part of the draft allocation. However, we understand these are limited in nature to a vehicle repair shop; a stage and theatre school; commercial premises for a construction firm, and a business centre (Class E, Class F and Sui Generis). Therefore, they comprise employment-generating uses as opposed to general industrial or storage / distribution processes (Class B2 and B8). In addition, we understand that a number of these uses are no longer operational and/or have temporarily closed which questions the suitability of and demand for such uses within the draft allocation, particularly on a quantitative replacement basis as per the current draft wording.</p> <p>In light of the above and the Site's town centre location which over time has evolved to comprise a high proportion of residential alongside hospitality related services, we would question whether the Site is</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable. More broadly, the employment policies have now changed to require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(es) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to remove reference to the need to deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA3 and policies J2 and J3.</p> <p>Given the site contains a heavier industrial use in the form of a vehicle repair workshop, the allocation allows for the re-provision of suitable heavier B class uses, albeit the loss of this use can be justified in</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---

										<p>appropriate for industrial uses (Class B2 and B8). This is particularly given the potential conflict in the compatibility of these land uses with existing and future residential development.</p>	<p>line with the aforementioned policy requirements.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/009	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			Whilst there are some light industrial process which can be carried out in residential areas (Class E(g) (iii)) without causing detriment to the amenity of the area, the appetite for such on the Site as part of a mixed use development would need to be tested giving regard to operator requirements and the fact that this will introduce additional air quality, noise control and transport considerations.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as these impacts will be considered and should be mitigated under the requirements of policy J2 (New employment floorspace) and D6 (Neighbourliness).

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/011	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles		<p>Nonetheless, for both scales of town centres, it states that local business and workspace accommodation as well as smaller-scale officers, to include flexible provision for small and medium enterprises should be prioritised. The policy goes on to confirm that the co-location of these uses with residential development is supported. Consistent with the functional requirements of Canning Town, it is agreed that these are considered appropriate forms of employment floorspace for the Site and are reflective general approach set out in the Employment Land Review (2022). It is therefore recommended, as set out below, that the wording of the draft allocation as well as draft Policy BFN1 is amended to ensure there is no ambiguity regarding prescriptive requirements for industrial uses on the Site and that this is linked directly to draft Policy J1.</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable. More broadly, the employment policies have now changed to require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to remove reference to the need to deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA3 and policies J2 and J3.</p> <p>Given the site contains a heavier industrial use in the form of a vehicle repair workshop, the allocation allows for the re-provision of suitable heavier B class uses, albeit the loss of this use can be justified in</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/013	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles		<p>It is for the same reasons as set out above, and as is already being evidenced through the commercial premises to the rear of the Site, that the requirement in the draft allocation for equivalent replacement business and industrial provision is not considered to be reasonable or justified in the context of the residential-led mixed use redevelopment of the Site. This blanket requirement (which is not supported by any viability or feasibility testing at this stage) is likely to hamper the ability to bring forward a deliverable scheme. This would in turn will challenge the effectiveness of the allocation against NPPF 35. Therefore, whilst the principle of providing some employment-generating floorspace at ground floor is agreed, it is recommended that the development principles are amended to allow for greater flexibility in the future. The feasibility work undertaken to date has demonstrated the potential quantum of non-residential floorspace that could feasibly come forward within the Site and this has confirmed a lesser provision than the current main town centre use when seeking to also satisfy other and sometimes competing policy objectives. Therefore, in relation to the form and scale that the employment-generating use will take, it is a level of detail that can only be fully understood as part of a developed design for the Site.</p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable. More broadly, the employment policies have now changed to require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(es) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to remove reference to the need to deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA3 and policies J2 and J3.</p> <p>Given the site contains a heavier industrial use in the form of a vehicle repair workshop, the allocation allows for the re-provision of suitable heavier B class uses, albeit the loss of this use can be justified in</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/019	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles		<p>[Recommendations  For consistency with the national planning policy and in the interest of ensuring effective and positively prepared policies in accordance with NPPF 35, we would recommend the following amendments to draft policy and allocation wording:]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Allocation N5.SA3 (Para. 1) – “Residential development, <del>industrial and employment</del> <b>generating floorspace, which could include light industrial (where it can be demonstrated that it can be carried out in residential areas (Class E(g) (iii)) without causing detriment to the amenity of the area), and/or main town centre uses, a Town Centre use</b> and open space. The type and quantity of town centre uses should be consistent with a District Centre designation.”</li> </ul>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable. More broadly, the employment policies have now changed to require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(es) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to remove reference to the need to deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA3 and policies J2 and J3.</p> <p>Given the site contains a heavier industrial use in the form of a vehicle repair workshop, the allocation allows for the re-provision of suitable heavier B class uses, albeit the loss of this use can be justified in</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/020	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles		<p>[Recommendations</p> <p>For consistency with the national planning policy and in the interest of ensuring effective and positively prepared policies in accordance with NPPF 35, we would recommend the following amendments to draft policy and allocation wording:]</p> <p>· Allocation N5.SA3 (Para. 3) – “The <del>industrial and employment-generating</del> floorspace should provide <del>a range of employment</del> spaces <b>of an appropriate form and scale</b> to support the growth of new <b>and existing</b> economic sectors <b>consistent with Policy J1 and to include employment-generating main town centre uses (as defined by the NPPF) consistent with Policies HS1 and HS2.</b> <del>within E(g) and B use classes.</del> Development on the site should deliver <b>a viable quantum of employment-generating floorspace as part of a residentialled mixed use scheme which is of an improved quality than existing and supported by an Economic Strategy in accordance with Policy J1</b> <del>the same quantity of business and industrial as existing.”</del></p>	<p>The comment you have provided have not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as the provision of employment uses is required through the site allocation, as per the requirements policy J1. This requirement in the site allocation will help to meet the policy objective of no net-loss of employment uses in the borough, allowing for re-provision of existing employment uses on the site where suitable. More broadly, the employment policies have now changed to require that any existing uses that cannot be incorporated within the development are required to provide Relocation Strategy to demonstrate that reasonable endeavours have been made to relocate the existing business(is) to a suitable alternative site in Newham. Developments will also need to comply with Policy J3, which allows for a loss of industrial floorspace in circumstances where applicants can demonstrate that there is no demand for a use or no impact that creates a deficiency in the 15-minutes network.</p> <p>However, the site allocation wording has now changed to remove reference to the need to deliver the same quantity of business and industrial as existing. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA3 and policies J2 and J3.</p> <p>Given the site contains a heavier industrial use in the form of a vehicle repair workshop, the allocation allows for the re-provision of suitable heavier B class uses, albeit the loss of this use can be justified in</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/021	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Design Principles			<p>[Recommendations  For consistency with the national planning policy and in the interest of ensuring effective and positively prepared policies in accordance with NPPF 35, we would recommend the following amendments to draft policy and allocation wording:]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Allocation N5.SA3 (Para. 7) – “The <del>industrial and</del> employment-generating floorspace should be designed to be neighbourly to surrounding uses, including residential. These uses should be provided <del>on to</del> the ground floor, <del>to the rear of the Town Centre use.”</del></li> </ul>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to be less prescriptive about the location of industrial uses. Please see the new wording in the design principles for Canning Town Holiday Inn site allocation.</p> <p>Given the site contains a heavier industrial use in the form of a vehicle repair workshop, the allocation allows for the re-provision of suitable heavier B class uses, albeit the loss of this use can be justified in line with the policy requirements set out in the Inclusive economy policies.</p>

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/032	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>c. Infrastructure Requirements</p> <p>The draft allocation states that “development should address existing open space deficiency by providing new open space”. It is expected that any proposals for the redevelopment of the Site will need to accommodate for the needs of future residents and users and initial design work has demonstrated capacity for private and communal amenity space within the development as well as new public realm and urban greening at street level.</p> <p>Development proposals cannot be expected to address existing deficiencies in the area but where meeting the test of NPPF 57 (and CIL Regulation 122 compliant) can deliver improvements either through new or enhanced provision on the Site or through contributions towards off-site provision.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, it is interesting to note that the Characterisation Study states that “multiple quality parks and green spaces exist in the area...” (p. 196). Therefore further analysis should be undertaken to understand the availability of existing spaces in the local area and this will in turn inform the emerging development proposals for the Site. On this basis, it is recommended that the infrastructure requirements associated with the draft allocation are broadened to allow for</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan’s Site Allocations.</p> <p>Whilst the Canning Town and Custom House N5 neighbourhood has many green spaces, the population density is such that the amount of space per head of population is low relative to other London boroughs.</p> <p>Over the Plan period, Newham’s population is projected to increase by just over 27 per cent. Assuming that publicly accessible green space provision remains the same (i.e. current provision is sustained and no new publicly accessible greenspace sites are added) publicly accessible greenspace in Newham will fall to 0.57 hectares per 1,000 residents in 2038. If Newham is to enjoy the same, or greater, level and quality of provision over the Plan period, we need to deliver more publicly accessible green space. Just to sustain provision at the 2023 standard we will need to create 61 hectares of additional publicly accessible green space.</p> <p>The Local Plan therefore seeks to protect all existing green space (including spaces not designated on the Policies Map), maintain the quality and distribution of spaces; as well as creating new space to</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---	--

										<p>more flexibility for this further assessment work to be undertaken.</p>	<p>meet the additional demand from new development. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy sets out that Canning Town North (2022 Ward) requires an additional 11.32 hectares of publicly accessible green space to meet the current average provision and a further 17.91 hectares to sustain that average by 2038.</p> <p>The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/033	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Infrastructure Requirements		<p>Recommendations</p> <p>To ensure the Local Plan can be considered to be consistent with national policy, in accordance with NPPF 35, we would recommend the following amendments to draft policy and allocation wording:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Allocation N5.SA3 (Para. 15) – “Development <b>should be designed to meet the needs of its future residents and users through the delivery of good quality external amenity space, public realm and urban greening on site. Where necessary and justified in accordance with NPPF 57, proposals should contribute to the delivery of new or improved public open space either on-site or through financial contributions to off-site provision within the local area</b> <del>address existing open space deficiency by providing new open space</del>”.</li> </ul>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan’s Site Allocations.</p> <p>Whilst the Canning Town and Custom House N5 neighbourhood has many green spaces, the population density is such that the amount of space per head of population is low relative to other London boroughs.</p> <p>Over the Plan period, Newham’s population is projected to increase by just over 27 per cent. Assuming that publicly accessible green space provision remains the same (i.e. current provision is sustained and no new publicly accessible greenspace sites are added) publicly accessible greenspace in Newham will fall to 0.57 hectares per 1,000 residents in 2038. If Newham is to enjoy the same, or greater, level and quality of provision over the Plan period, we need to deliver more publicly accessible green space. Just to sustain provision at the 2023 standard we will need to create 61 hectares of additional publicly accessible green space.</p> <p>The Local Plan therefore seeks to protect all existing green space (including spaces not designated on the Policies Map), maintain the quality and distribution of spaces; as well as creating new space to</p>
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	--

											<p>meet the additional demand from new development. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy sets out that Canning Town North (2022 Ward) requires an additional 11.32 hectares of publicly accessible green space to meet the current average provision and a further 17.91 hectares to sustain that average by 2038.</p> <p>The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/034	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Phasing and Implementation			<p>d. Phasing and Implementation</p> <p>The draft allocation indicates that the redevelopment of the Site could take place in the medium term. As set out in our response to the Land Availability Questionnaire, subject to securing planning permission, there is a realistic prospect of development coming forward on the Site within 5-10 years which will positively contribute to the Council's identified housing and employment needs.</p>	<p>Comment noted. The methodology for site phasing is set out in the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology note' that supports the plan. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation section of site allocation N3.SA3.</p>

Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/035	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Phasing and Implementation		<p>Noting the Site forms part of a wider draft allocation, the remainder of which is not within our client's control, it is considered that a phased approach to the delivery of the draft allocation could be taken. This could facilitate the early delivery of the Site as part of the first phase with the remainder of the draft allocation to come forward through a further single or multiple phases. This would align with NPPF 69 which encourages Council's to "work with developers to encourage the sub-division of large sites where this could help to speed up the delivery of homes".</p> <p>The draft allocation encourages a comprehensive approach to development through the design principles and parameters that are set out and with which any future proposals would be expected to accord. In addition, the Council would still ultimately have the control to secure this at Development Management stage and through an assessment of emerging proposals against the requirements of the draft allocation and relevant policies of the Local Plan, more widely. It is therefore recommended, at this early stage, that there is greater flexibility through the timescales specified in the draft allocation, to ensure that areas beyond our client's control do not unnecessarily prevent the upfront delivery of both housing and employment uses on the Site.</p>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	----------------------------	--	---	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/036	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Phasing and Implementation			<p>Recommendations</p> <p>To ensure a positively prepared Local Plan which is consistent with national policy, in accordance with NPPF 35, we would recommend the following amendments to draft policy and allocation wording:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Allocation N5.SA3 (Para. 16) – Phase 1 – Short Term, Phase 2 – Medium Term.</li> <li>· Allocation N5.SA3 (Map) – Identification of the Site as Phase 1 and identification of the remainder of the draft allocation as Phase 2.</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis, without needing to identify this on the site allocation mapping. The site allocation remains phased in the medium term given that the site allocation does not yet benefit from planning permission, consistent with the housing trajectory methodology.
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/056	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Development principles			<p>Conclusion</p> <p>The allocation of the Site within the Draft Local Plan for redevelopment is welcomed and supported. It is agreed that it presents an opportunity to make efficient use of a highly accessible and sustainably located site which could contribute towards the delivery of housing and employment-generating floorspace within the first 5-10 years of the new Local Plan period.</p>	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-078	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Reg18-E-078/057	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn					In support, these planning representations seek to respond to areas of the Draft Local Plan where it is considered further technical impact assessment and exploration is required and therefore it is important policy wording and allocations are not unduly pre-empting or constraining future development. This is in the interest of ensuring the Local Plan meets all the test of "soundness" in accordance with NPPF 35.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-118	Resident	Reg18-T-118/004	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add to it] Canning Town has deteriorated in terms of increased litter and fly tipping. This must be resolved.	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-E-006	Resident	Reg18-E-006/001	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-006	Resident	Reg18-E-006/005	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for the Limmo site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-006	Resident	Reg18-E-006/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-006	Resident	Reg18-E-006/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-006	Resident	Reg18-E-006/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			Conversely, we strongly submit that the area [Limmo Peninsula] should be used for commercial purposes. This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.
Reg18-E-007	Resident	Reg18-E-007/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-007	Resident	Reg18-E-007/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for the Limmo site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-007	Resident	Reg18-E-007/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-007	Resident	Reg18-E-007/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-007	Resident	Reg18-E-007/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			Conversely, we strongly submit that the area [Limmo Peninsula] should be used for commercial purposes. This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.
Reg18-E-008	Resident	Reg18-E-008/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-008	Resident	Reg18-E-008/005	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for the Limmo site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-008	Resident	Reg18-E-008/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-008	Resident	Reg18-E-008/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-008	Resident	Reg18-E-008/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			Conversely, we strongly submit that the area [Limmo Peninsula] should be used for commercial purposes. This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.
Reg18-E-010	Resident	Reg18-E-010/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-010	Resident	Reg18-E-010/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for the Limmo site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-010	Resident	Reg18-E-010/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-010	Resident	Reg18-E-010/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-010	Resident	Reg18-E-010/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			Conversely, we strongly submit that the area [Limmo Peninsula] should be used for commercial purposes. This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.
Reg18-E-013	Resident	Reg18-E-013/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-013	Resident	Reg18-E-013/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for the Limmo site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-013	Resident	Reg18-E-013/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-013	Resident	Reg18-E-013/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-013	Resident	Reg18-E-013/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			Conversely, we strongly submit that the area [Limmo Peninsula] should be used for commercial purposes. This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.
Reg18-E-029	Resident	Reg18-E-029/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			We are writing to you to express our disagreement in relation to the above project [Limmo Peninsula] (please note that we are supported by many other residents). There are various reasons for which we would like to contend a residential planning permission to the Limmo peninsula	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-029	Resident	Reg18-E-029/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Limmo Peninsula] Increase of TFL noise. Many residents (including us) have already started a complaint because of the high noises. From the above it follows that adding another building in the proximity will cause inevitably the already loud noise to become even louder which will add cause to the complaint.	This policy approach has now changed to strengthen wording and considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line. Please see the new wording in the Design principles for site allocations N3.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-029	Resident	Reg18-E-029/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Limmo Peninsula] Overcrowding/Further increase of a too densely populated area. The area is already densely populated because of the newly build buildings and they are not fully inhabited yet. However, once they will become fully inhabited there will be a significant increase in the local population. Simply put, this will cause an increase in the demands which will not be sustainable. As a result, there will be a decline in the quality of the services and the standard of livelihood in the area.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-029	Resident	Reg18-E-029/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			In light of the above-mentioned reasonings, we strongly believe that you should not allow the area [Limmo Peninsula] to be used for residential use.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-029	Resident	Reg18-E-029/006	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			How about using the area [Limmo Peninsula] for commercial purposes? This not only would prevent the area from becoming overcrowded but it will allow all the nearby residents to enjoy the area further while the newham council can still benefit from the tax revenues. Furthermore, it would clearly not affect the TFL noise complaint.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach. The site allocation wording has now also been strengthened around considerations related to noise impacts from the DLR and Jubilee line.

Reg18-E-029	Resident	Reg18-E-029/007	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles		Another option would be to use it as a green space, quite scarce in the area at the moment.	<p>Comment noted. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough's green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham's green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------	--	------------------------	--	---	--

Reg18-K-005	Resident	Reg18-K-005/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles - Bullet point N5.SA4 Limmo (p.344)		Hi Team. If there is a new development planned in the Limmo, this will really disrupt lives in and around Canning town station as there is already a lot of tall buildings being developed with no leisure centre and no green space nearby.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>With regards to community uses, this policy approach has now change to allow greater flexibility with regard to the location of small scale social infrastructure (smaller than 1,000 sqm GIA), as set out in Policy SI2. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038.</p> <p>The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	---





												<p>certain types of social infrastructure will naturally change over time. However, it will provide a baseline against which developers and providers can consider what is most needed, alongside a requirement to undertake thorough resident engagement.</p> <p>Through these mechanisms we will ensure that community facilities, of the type required, are delivered where they are wanted and where there is a provider to operate them</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-010	Resident	Reg18-T-010/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			[Change it] The proposal of developing residential buildings in the Limmo Peninsula has nothing to do with all the ex-housing objectives that it wants to achieve [Originally submitted in response to H1]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood.
Reg18-T-010	Resident	Reg18-T-010/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design principles			[Change it] Another thing that I find concerning is that we are thinking of building again another tall building when the are clearly suffers from long-standing issues such as: the ugly power lines that should have been addressed long time ago;	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed to reflect the agent of change principle by mapping sensitive edges within the site allocation. Please see the new site allocation map for Limmo. This now maps the area containing the power lines as a sensitive edge within the site allocation. The Phasing and Implementation text for the site also requires early engagement with National Grid at the pre-application stage around the potential impact of the overhead lines

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-010	Resident	Reg18-T-010/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			<p>[Change it] The Limmo Peninsula sits in an awkward piece of land to be reached and it is not suitable for hundreds of flats; as an example, there is the City Island site, where thousands of people have to cross every day a bridge and walk through a Tube station in order to access basic services for them (which are in Newham rather than Tower Hamlets). [Originally submitted in response to H1]</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site allocation also sets out a number of key routes and the requirement to deliver a new bridge in the site to Canning Town District Centre, which will help to improve accessibility to and from the site.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-010	Resident	Reg18-T-010/011	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			[Change it] As for the re-configuration of the Canning Town bus station with residential spaces, again: is it necessary to build in order to have a better bus station in Canning Town? It is two years that we have scaffolding inside the station, without any signs of works being done. Is it too much for the Newham Borough to ask TfL to restore the station at least as it was? [Originally submitted in response to H1]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for different land uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. Given we have one of the largest housing targets in the whole of London, we need to ensure we are optimising housing delivery on all suitable available sites. This part of the site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, and is therefore included as a development option in the development principles for the site allocation.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/052	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East					N	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/053	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East					[Add to it] M	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/054	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and	N5.SA3 Canning Town					[Add to it] M	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
				Custom House	Holiday Inn						
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/055	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo				[Add to it] N	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.	
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/056	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				[Add to it] N	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.	
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/057	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1				[Add to it] N	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.	
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/058	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2				[Add to it] N	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.	

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/044	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/045	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--	--------------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/046	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/047	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--	---	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/048	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--	-----------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/049	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/050	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/051	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/052	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--



Reg18-T-079	Resident	Reg18-T-079/002	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles		[Please share any feedback you have with us.] The Limmo Peninsula should be a public park	<p>Comment noted. Newham’s Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough’s green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham’s green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham’s departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--	-----------------	--	---------------------------	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-096	Resident	Reg18-T-096/008	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Add to it] Few things	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No additions have been made.
Reg18-T-113	Resident	Reg18-T-113/001	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles			[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.] I disagree with the Limmo Peninsula, its project must not go ahead since it will affect many residents of the area negatively. A proposal of a green area would be much more appreciated	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/009	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			18			[Change it] Maximise green area	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the amended wording in N3 and N5 as well as the infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhoods' site allocations.
Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/011	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			9			[Change it] Something to add to help with the crime aspect too.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N5.

Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/012	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			[Change it] Maximise green area	<p>Comment noted. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough's green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham's green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---------------------------------	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/014	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[Change it] Something to add to help with the crime aspect too.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N3.

Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/015	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			[Change it] Maximise green area	<p>Comment noted. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the borough's green, water, play and community growing spaces. It has determined those areas of the borough that are currently under-provided with access to green and water space, play space, community growing space, natural and semi-natural greenspace and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. As such, the Strategy has informed and evidenced the Local Plan policies, Neighbourhood Chapter and Site Allocation requirements in order to reduce the gaps in provision.</p> <p>The Strategy sets out 11 principles, which provide a template for how we should protect, manage and monitor Newham's green and water spaces. It also includes an Action Plan which identifies key actions we need to undertake to deliver better green and water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p> <p>We have provided the Parks team with your comments.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---------------------------------	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/017	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			[Change it] Something to add to help with the crime aspect too.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes and the design of sites to increase overlooking and feelings of safety in N3.
Reg18-T-116	Resident	Reg18-T-116/018	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			5			[Add to it] Please also make sure that there are more than one places to sell one thing. For example there is only the copy center and the library for someone to print documents. Because it is only one shop to do this with colour for example, prices are expensive.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in its town centres and by managing the number of certain uses, such as betting shops or hot food takeaways, to create vibrant and healthy high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct certain types of businesses to locate in a high street.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/046	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/060	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			9. Site Allocation N5.SA5 This allocation should be more explicit that new residential development will not be supported adjacent to SIL.	This policy approach has now changed to include further clarification on appropriate buffering to the neighbouring Strategic Industrial Land. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/047	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Development principles			N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East – Loss of gym and boxing club is proposed. Since the Built Leisure Needs Assessment is not completed these sites cannot be considered surplus to current and future needs and, as it does not appear that these facilities would be replaced elsewhere, Sport England considers that this allocation is contrary to National Policy and Sport England Policy.	Comment noted. The Regulation 18 leisure allocations were informed by the early modelling work for the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. This has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for leisure which are set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations- Please see the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's leisure needs.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/048	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Development principles			N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2 – It is not clear from the wording on pages 363 and 365 whether Hallsville Primary School and its playing field (and any ancillary sporting facilities) are included within the allocation. If it is included, and since the playing field does not appear to be replaced in the allocation, this site would appear contrary to the NPPF, Paragraph 99 and Sport England's Playing Field Policy.	<p>Comment noted. The Regulation 18 leisure allocations were informed by the early modelling work for the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. This has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for leisure which are set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations- Please see the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's leisure needs.</p> <p>The Local Plan also seeks to protect all existing green space (including spaces not designated on the Policies Map), maintain the quality and distribution of spaces; as well as creating new space to meet the additional demand from new development. However, it should be noted that this does not preclude any future development on green space from happening. Please see Policy GWS2 for the</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											exceptional circumstances which need to be met in order to develop on green space.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/015	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/016	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East					[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/165	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/166	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/167	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/168	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA1 Canning Town East		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/169	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/170	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/171	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/172	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA2 Silvertown Way East		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/173	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/174	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/175	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/176	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA3 Canning Town Holiday Inn		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/177	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/178	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/179	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/180	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/181	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: There is significant amount of development in this area so the cumulative impact needs to be considered.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/182	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: There is a sewer in the boundary of the proposed development that may require a buildover.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Design Principle and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/183	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/184	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/185	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/186	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/187	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/188	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/189	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/190	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/191	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/192	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/193	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/194	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure and Phasing and Implementation of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/195	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/196	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/197	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/198	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/199	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/200	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Infrastructure Requirements			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/201	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/202	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Infrastructure Requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/203	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA8 Custom House Phase 3		Infrastructure Requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/100	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			17			We welcome support for capacity improvements to Canning Town station. Development contributions are required to enhance the station's ability to manage crowds. The implications of planned increases to frequencies on the DLR must be taken into account, as well as demand for access to/from the station and areas to the west and north.	Support noted. The funding source has not been included within the policy clause. The borough's Sustainable Transport Strategy states that the Council will continue to work in partnership with Network Rail, TfL and others to further develop plans for the expansion and redevelopment of Canning Town stations and will engage constructively with the Department for Transport over funding.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/101	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House						Developments in this area, including the Limmo site, must consider the requirements for a potential DLR extension to Thamesmead, including a potential turnback on the peninsula.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the proposed location of the turnback sits outside of the boundary of the site allocation, and should not significantly impact its delivery.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/102	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Any reconfiguration of the bus station will need to ensure conformity with London Plan Policy T3 and London Plan Guidance on Sustainable Transport, Walking and Cycling. TfL, as owner and operator of the bus station, must take a leading role in developing any proposals. Ultimately, it will be TfL's decision whether to proceed with any changes put forward. The bus station is currently operating at capacity, therefore the existing capacity must be safeguarded and enhanced to cater for future demand.	This policy wording has now changed to clarify that bus station capacity must be safeguarded through development proposals. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA4 (Infrastructure requirements).
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/103	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			17			Bus infrastructure in the neighbourhood must be retained. and the agent of change principle applied to sensitive development. Canning Town bus station is within the footprint of N5.SA5. Manor Road bus stand is within the Neighbourhood boundary, north of site N5.SA4 and east of N5.SA5. Peto Street Rail Replacement bus stands are east of N5.SA4. Hermit Road bus stands are north of the N5.SA1 site.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus infrastructure is protected by transport policies as strategic infrastructure and Canning Town bus station is addressed through the Limmo site allocation.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/104a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			15			Provision of bus services in the area must ensure it serves new developments and existing communities...,	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/104b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House			10			...and improved permeability for people walking and cycling in needed throughout the area.	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N3 and N5.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/105	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Comments in N5 above regarding potential DLR extensions, reconfiguration of Canning Town bus station and the need to protect bus infrastructure apply to this site as well [see Reg18-E-095/101]	Regarding potential DLR extensions, and the potential need for a turnback on the Limmo peninsula, a change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the proposed location of the turnback sits outside of the boundary of the site allocation, and should not significantly impact its delivery.  This policy approach has now changed to clarify that bus station capacity must be safeguarded through development proposals. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA4 (Infrastructure requirements).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/106	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			In 'Infrastructure requirements' it is not clear where in Canning Town the proposed new bridge would connect to. The wording should be clarified to refer to the proposed walk route over the rail tracks as follows: Development should provide a new bridge connection from <del>Canning Town</del> <u>the Limmo site</u> through to Brunel Street Works, providing 24 hour non-fare paying access to the Town Centre.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the Limmo site allocation.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/107	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Canning Town bus station must be retained and improved to support development in this area. We understand that the Council are progressing a public realm and active travel improvement scheme for Bidder and Stephenson Streets area. Hence mitigation measures/contributions to help deliver this will be expected. Any proposals must maintain bus access in this area alongside improvements to infrastructure and/or services where necessary.	This policy approach has now changed to provide additional clarification on expectations for connectivity enhancements between the site and Canning Town station. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/108	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Design Principles			The river, A13 and the railway all cause severance and developments should contribute towards reducing these barriers, including through improving the A13 underpass, the routes either side of the A13 and the crossing beneath the viaduct at the roundabout.	This policy approach has now changed to provide additional clarification on expectations for connectivity enhancements between the site and Canning Town station. Please see the new wording in site allocation N3.SA5
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/109	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Infrastructure Requirements			Until and unless an alternative alignment for the proposals for the Mayer Parry walk and cycle bridge over the Lea are agreed, the site should safeguard a landing point and provide for a continuous riverside walk and cycleway.	This policy approach has now changed due to the introduction of a safeguarding for a bridge landing point. Please see the new wording in Site Allocation N3.SA5.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/110	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Infrastructure Requirements			Under 'Infrastructure requirements' the following should be added: <u>'Development should provide bus standing and drivers' facilities.'</u>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements of site allocation N5.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/111	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA6 Custom House Phase 1		Map			The map should clarify if a new primary vehicle route is proposed to connect to Victoria Dock Road (a bus only circulation route is proposed in the emerging planning application for the site).	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/112	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and	N5.SA6 Custom		Design Principles			Update – change Crossrail to Elizabeth line.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the N5.SA1 site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
				Custom House	House Phase 1						
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/002	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo					Limmo Peninsula TfL are the landowners of the Limmo Peninsula which is located on the eastern side of the River Lea, within the administrative area of LB Newham. Until last year the site had been used by Crossrail as a works site for the Elizabeth Line. The site falls within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area, and is also identified as a Strategic Site in Newham's Local Plan.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/003	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles			An emerging masterplan for the site is being developed by TTLP and a series of pre-application meetings with LB Newham have already taken place. The redevelopment of the site has the potential to deliver significant public benefits including 1,500 homes (including affordable), complementary commercial uses, a significant amount of public open space, a pedestrian and cycle route along the river and improvements to pedestrian and cycle connectivity.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/004	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Site profile		<p>As part of the design-led process several significant site-specific constraints have been identified including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· <u>Underground UKPN cable runs</u> are prominent along the eastern and southern boundaries of the site and require an easement to enable future access.</li> <li>· <u>Overhead power lines and pylons</u> along the eastern boundary of the site prohibit building around the pylon base to ensure permanent access to these is maintained. Allowances need to be made to accommodate the cable swing. Planting and development height is also restricted beneath the cables.</li> <li>· <u>Crossrail tunnels and shafts</u> form the beginning of a number of constraints along the southern part of the site.</li> <li>· <u>Gas and Thames Water mains</u> run east-west just north of the Lower Lea Crossing highway. Permanent access along the entire path of these is required. Head house and DLR substation buildings are required to be retained. Vehicular access must be maintained, and the head house shaft capping can only take very limited loadings.</li> <li>· <u>River wall structure and large underground drainage pipes</u> run along the western boundary of the site and create as much as a 15m deep constraint from the river edge.</li> <li>· <u>London City Airport Noise Contours</u> apply to the site. All noise-sensitive developments need to comply with</li> </ul>	Comment noted. Site constraints have been taken into consideration in the drafting of the site allocation.
-------------	--	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	--------------	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/004	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>[As part of the design-led process several significant site-specific constraints have been identified including:]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· <u>Infrastructure Delivery</u> – The current policy context requires significant levels of infrastructure development to improve accessibility to the site for pedestrians and cyclists. TTLP have been engaging with LBN regarding the delivery of connectivity infrastructure projects that would improve connectivity of the site to Canning Town centre, neighbouring development sites and nearby bus stops.</li> </ul> <p>The above constraints present a challenging context in terms of deliverability and viability, and set the context of our representations on the Draft Local Plan below.</p>	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/005	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Phasing and Implementation			Canning Town Bus Station The bus interchange at Canning Town Station has been identified as having the future potential to accommodate a development to provide a reconfigured bus station on the ground floor with residential and / or commercial uses above. TTLP will need to work with our operational colleagues within TfL to explore the operational feasibility of this and ensure that both existing and future capacity is accommodated. The design work at the Limmo Peninsula does not currently include the Bus Station, but this is programmed to come forward at a later date.	This policy approach has now changed to reflect that works to reconfigure the bus station at Canning Town are an option being considered for development, rather than something which is required to be delivered on the site allocation.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/012	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			Our site at Limmo provides an existing opportunity to deliver new connections into Canning Town town centre, stitching the site back into the local area through the delivery of an extension to the Leaway Walk and through bridge / ramp infrastructure to Brunel Street Works and the Lower Lea Crossing.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/014	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			As part of pre-application discussions, planning consultants Avison Young have undertaken an Open Space Assessment of the Limmo Peninsula area to determine the quantum of space which is needed to be delivered at the site to provide a significant contribution to the Lea River Park (a requirement of the current Strategic Site 18 Allocation in the Local Plan (2018)). This builds on various data sources including the Newham Open Space Assessment (2010) and the draft Lea River Park Primer, Design Manual and Curatorial Approach documents (2016). The conclusions of this analysis, which has been agreed with officers during pre-application discussions, is that the site can accommodate c.2ha of public open space through a variety of spaces including a continuous riverside park and a central park within the scheme which would be sufficient to address local deficiencies. Taking the above into account, the proposed wording in the draft policy requiring a 'Local Park' 2ha in size does not take into account the form of open space that would be provided at the site (2ha of open space through a variety of spaces).	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/017	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo					As such the following amendments are requested: <u>Separation of the N5.SA4 (Limmo) allocation into distinct parts (e.g. one allocation for the Limmo site and one for the station site)</u>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/040	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			At Limmo (allocation N5.SA4) there is a requirement to deliver on site open space. However, Draft Policy BFN1 indicates that a 2ha Local Park is anticipated to be delivered at Limmo. Such inconsistencies (raised above), need to be addressed in the draft Local Plan.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/041a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			Draft Policy N5: Canning Town and Custom House Neighbourhood TTLP strongly support the vision for Canning Town and Custom House, which will be achieved by: - supporting improvements to increase the capacity of Canning Town Station and the reconfiguration of the bus station as part of N5.SA4 (Limmo)	Support noted.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/041b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements			[TTLP strongly support the vision for Canning Town and Custom House, which will be achieved by:] - maximising the provision of new open space and green infrastructure and the opportunity to provide a new part at Limmo	Support noted.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/041c	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Design Principles			[TTLP strongly support the vision for Canning Town and Custom House, which will be achieved by:] - maximising access to the River Lea Limmo has a significant role to play in the transformation of this neighbourhood, in line with the objectives above. The continued inclusion of Limmo as a site allocation in the Local Plan is strongly supported.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/041d	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development Principles			The continued inclusion of Limmo as a site allocation in the Local Plan is strongly supported.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/042	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Site profile			<p>Draft Policy Site Allocation N5.SA4 Limmo</p> <p>Site Details With regard to the heritage designations related to the site, reference is made to the Royal Oak Public House (Grade II listed), which from our review of the Historic England map, is not located within the immediate vicinity and should be removed.</p> <p>In addition the two locally listed buildings on Barking Road are a considerable distance from the site, and we question whether these are relevant to include in the site details of the draft site allocation.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as these matters will need to be considered more fully through the submission of a planning application and/or a pre-application for the site.</p>

Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/043	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo				<p>Allocation Boundary</p> <p>TTLP question the appropriateness of the draft Site Allocation N5.SA4 area boundary, which currently includes the Limmo Peninsula site (to the north of the Lower Lea Crossing), land to the north of Limmo (up to the red bridge) as well as Canning Town Station and the bus station.</p> <p>A site ownership plan was submitted by TTLP to LBN as part of the Call for Sites in 2021 which confirms that the land boundary ownership of relevance to the Limmo site. This includes land to the south of the Lower Lea Bridge, and excludes land to the north of the site (up to Canning Town Station Rotunda), which is owned by the Council. In our view it would be appropriate to separate the Limmo site from the Canning Town Station site and have two separate Site Allocations in the draft Local Plan to reflect site ownership and likely delivery timescales (i.e. a single allocation for Limmo including land to the south of the Lower Lea Crossing).</p> <p>The current inclusion of various development sites within a single allocation boundary is not considered to be justified, particularly in light of draft Policy BFN2 which requires co-ordinated masterplanning.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.</p>
-------------	--	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/044	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Map			Proposed Map N5.SA4 The Draft Local Plan should be more explicit about the status of the maps included for each of the site allocations, confirming whether these are indicative diagrams which show one way in which future development on the site might be delivered.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections, opportunities for open space and sensitive edges are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA4.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/045a	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Map			[Proposed Map N5.SA4] Notwithstanding, the following inconsistencies need to be addressed in an updated diagram: - The proposed east / west pedestrian route in orange which is intended to pass through Canning Town Station is currently located too far south and should be repositioned further northwards.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA4.
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/045b	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Map			[Proposed Map N5.SA4] [Notwithstanding, the following inconsistencies need to be addressed in an updated diagram:] - The brown dashed lines are supposed to represent 'setbacks from existing buildings', however there are no existing buildings immediately adjoining the site (the boundary is the Lower Lea Crossing road to the south and the railway lines to the east). As such the key should be re-labelled as set back from surrounding infrastructure.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how sensitive edges are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N3.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/046	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Development principles			<p>Development Principles</p> <p>TTLP support the proposed development principles for the delivery of residential development and open space. However, we consider that as part of a residential led masterplan, a mix of ground floor uses, including non-residential uses should also be introduced into the development principles to enable the scheme to create successful places across the site.</p> <p>The Limmo site is of a suitable scale to accommodate a range of alternative uses, such as commercial and industrial uses, and therefore flexibility to explore an optimum mix of ground floor uses should be incorporated into this section of the allocation, to ensure that a viable scheme, which delivers necessary infrastructure requirements, can come forward.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reasons set out within the 'Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note' published as part of the Local Plan's evidence base. This document sets out which site allocations were considered suitable for employment and town centre uses, and the evidence base documents that were used to inform these decisions. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development, which will help to cross subsidise the delivery of a new local park in the neighbourhood. The site is also well served by Canning Town District Centre, which is located in proximity of the site. The Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. Industrial uses have primarily been directed to designated employment sites, in line with the findings of the Employment Lane Review.</p>

Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/048	Neighbourhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo		Infrastructure Requirements		<p>Infrastructure Requirements</p> <p>The draft identifies that 'development should provide a new bridge connection from Canning Town through to Brunel Street Works, providing 24 hour non-fare paying access to the Town Centre'. TTLP have held several pre-application meetings with officers regarding improving access and connectivity to the Limmo site. A detailed Connectivity Options Study was prepared in 2020 which reviewed various connectivity routes to and from the Limmo site. Through this work it was agreed with Officers, that the most beneficial to the existing and planned neighbourhoods in the local area, taking account of practicality and deliverability is through the provision of a new pedestrian bridge to Brunel Street Works, a new cycle and pedestrian ramp to the Lower Lea Crossing and a new cycle and footpath to Thameside West.</p> <p>Whilst TTLP welcome that the site allocation explicitly refers to the proposed bridge connection to Brunel Street Works, it is requested that it is acknowledged that the delivery of such significant infrastructure has a substantial impact on scheme viability, and therefore the text is updated to state that 'subject to viability, development should provide a new bridge connection from Canning Town through to Brunel Street Works, providing 24 hour non-fare paying access to the Town Centre, which may necessitate exploring alternative</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the provision of a bridge connection on this site to Brunel Street Works is considered necessary to make the scheme acceptable in planning terms. The viability of the scheme and proposed infrastructure will be comprehensively assessed through the submission of a planning application for the site.</p>
-------------	--	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/052	Neighborhoods	N5 Canning Town and Custom House	N5.SA4 Limmo					[Conclusion In summary, TTLP:] - Consider that the Limmo site allocation boundary (N5.SA4), should reflect the red line boundary submitted in the Call for Sites exercise, rather than include the Canning Town station and bus station and adjoining land.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements of policy BFN2 (Co-designed masterplanning) would allow for delivery of the scheme on a phase-by-phase basis.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/019	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road			Vision			N6 Manor Road including Cody Dock The Trust welcomes the vision to increase permeability to walking and cycling and connections across to Tower Hamlets. Any new bridge proposals will require consultation with the Canal & River Trust, and potentially commercial arrangements with the Truststates and Utilities teams.	Support noted.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/054	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road						Road crossings?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already requires new crossings at Twelvetees Crescent and Manor Road to reduce severance in the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/055	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road						Walking?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already requirements improvements to the quality, legibility and permeability of streets and walking and cycling routes in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						Residents should make use of facilities that already exist	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improvements to existing community facilities when a planning application is made. It cannot deliver the change you have suggested as we are required to plan to meet current and future needs for different uses, including facilities to support residents such as community facilities.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/057	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road		4.29				Make Cody Dock a social hub - activities and coffee shop	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Cody Dock is outside of a town centre where these types of uses should be directed to. Any proposal for these uses would be assessed against the high street and community facility policies.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/058	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside		Development principles			Waterside eateries and bars in Crown Wharf	A change to the site allocation wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Retail and Leisure Study 2022 indicated retail growth need can be accommodated within the Town Centres Network and there is no need to consider additional edge of centre or out of centre sites. The policy directs retail and leisure uses to the Town Centres Network, as recommended by the Retail and Leisure Study and in line with NPPF and London Plan town centre first approach.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/059	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road		4.32				Manor Road feels congested	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood profile already recognises heavy traffic on some of the neighbourhood's roads.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road		4.32				A lot of roadworks slowing down buses	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/119	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			7			[Add] Manor Road. No connection across B164	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already requires new crossings at Twelvrees Crescent and Manor Road to reduce severance in the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/120	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			7			[Change] Manor Road. Congestion	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy addresses this issue by improving conditions for walking, cycling and using public transport across the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/121	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Add] Manor Road. Youth services	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Manor Road neighbourhood is primarily designated industrial land where this type of facility would not be supported.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/122	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			4			[Add] Manor Road. Higher skilled jobs in ONW [Our Newham Work]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy works alongside the employment policies which provide further detail on the types of industrial uses and therefore jobs that would be supported in the neighbourhood as well as Local Plan policy J4, which secures training and employment opportunities for Newham's residents from new developments.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/220	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			8			[Keep] Trees - right type of greenery	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/221	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			6			[Keep] number 6 - extending cycles	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N6.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/222	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Dividing line - different types of development	These neighbourhoods have been identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be subdivided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character such as the look and feel of an area and their function such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were then shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/223	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						Keeping communities together	Comment noted. The neighbourhood boundaries were identified through the preparation of the Newham Characterisation Study. Engagement was undertaken as part of this work to ensure the neighbourhood boundaries reflected areas identifiable to residents and local communities.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/224	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			12			[Change] Construction noise. Conditions? Time limits	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D7 which states that where necessary, neighbourliness measures will be secured by condition and/or legal agreement.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/225	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			6			[Change] Bike lanes. North/West	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N6.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/226	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Change] Community toilets - things taken away, not brought back	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones. However, it cannot deliver the change you want. You can find out more about the Newham Community Toilet Scheme here: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/public-toilets-newham">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/public-toilets-newham</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/227	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Change] Lettings	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy H3 and its requirements for different types of affordable housing. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Housing may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness</a>
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/228	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road			4			[Add] Inclusive employment policy	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan Policy J4 which sets requirements to ensure that all of our residents can take an active part in Newham's economy.
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/229	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Add] Floods - SuDs	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change necessary as SuDS are addressed through the flood risk policy and through design principles in site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ac-001	Canning Town and Custom House Assembly	Reg18-Ac-001/230	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Add] Demand? New public services - doctors and schools	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Manor Road is an industrial neighbourhood. The need for new schools and health centres are addressed through site allocations in other neighbourhoods.
Reg18-E-094	Poplar HARCA	Reg18-E-094/018	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			Vision			N6 Manor Road Poplar HARCA supports the Vision and in particular that development include "...improved connections to Tower Hamlets via new bridges across the River Lea and through the extension of the Leaway south of Cody Dock."	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/058	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			5			On the proposed river crossings in this neighbourhood...as well as the Manor Road (N6)...neighbourhoods please refer to the PLA's comments above on page two [It must be made clear that any proposed crossing situated over navigable waterways ensures that the public right of navigation is maintained, that safe navigation can continue and that the PLA are involved in the development of such proposals where these cross over areas of the PLA's jurisdiction and / or landownership at an early stage, so that amongst other matters, the height of any proposed bridge can be understood]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the detail related to consultees on transport infrastructure projects is included in policy T1. The implementation text for T1.1 has been amended to include references to bridges and the role of the PLA.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/064	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			2			Support the aim contained in both neighbourhood policies which supports the delivery of new residential moorings in suitable locations, with appropriate infrastructure. To confirm the PLA should be consulted on any new moorings proposals as they come forward.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/066	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			10			Support aim to maximise opportunities to access the riverside including through new and improved walking and cycling routes and east to west access points to the river path.	Support noted.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/053	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road					[Add to it] safe and clean up	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	-------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/054	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road	N5.SA5 Canning Town Riverside				[Add to it] safe and clean pls	<p>With regards to safety, the Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>With regards to cleanliness, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	--------------------------------	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/030	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Change it] Safety concerns	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/004	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Add to it] The immediate area around West Ham station is not fit for purposes: it has very few shops and is ill-designed, with cars backing up and blocking each other in what should be a pedestrian-first area.	Comment noted. This area is not within the Manor Road neighbourhood. Please see policy Local Plan policy N3 as well as the high streets which addresses the neighbourhood parade by the station.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/005	Neighborhoods	N6 Manor Road						[Add to it] Also, the amenities within memorial park (next to Barney Bears nursery), such as the cafe, are unused which is a shame - it would be much better if re-developed	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's Parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/060	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			2		<p>10. N6 Manor Road Neighbourhood Part 2 of Policy N6 states that the vision for Manor Road will be achieved by:</p> <p><b>“supporting the delivery of new residential moorings in suitable locations with appropriate ancillary facilities;”</b> This is highly inappropriate as the waterway runs along the SIL, which is not a suitable environment for living accommodation, especially living accommodation that has not been designed and purpose built to protect inhabitants from a noise environment where businesses will be operating on a 24/7 basis. SEGRO has direct experience of boat owners taking action against the use of yards and buildings during the night-time in proximity to the canal, despite the fact that these businesses have been operating from the same location for many years and the moorings have only recently been introduced. Residential moorings will introduce a sensitive receptor which will be very harmful to the operation of the SIL, and certainly to any plans to intensify it. This policy will undermine the council’s strategy with regards to accommodating jobs and economic activities in this area (N6.3) – an objective that SEGRO shares. <u>N6.2 should be removed or reworded to actively resist new residential moorings in this location</u></p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this Local Plan Policy GWS2 provides further detail on where residential moorings can be located.</p>
-------------	-----------	-----------------	----------------	---------------	--	--	---	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/061	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			4			Part 4 of policy N6 refers to supporting appropriate development of “heavier industrial uses” on the Cody Road SIL site. <u>SEGRO objects to the reference to ‘heavier’ industrial uses, as SIL compliant uses include modern economic activities which should be accommodated in these areas, in addition to the more ‘traditional’ industrial activities which may be more readily described as ‘heavy’.</u>	A change to this policy wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy provides further detail on the types of industrial uses, including modern industrial development, and will work alongside the employment uses.
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/062	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			5			Part 5 of policy N6 refers to providing new bridges across the River Lea, including the Lochnagar Bridge. <u>SEGRO supports the general thrust of policy which aims to improve the quality of environment and the connectivity of the area. However, as a major landowner in the area it is important that SEGRO understands more about the detail of these proposals and looks forward to engaging further on this.</u>	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/113	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road			7			We support the principle of measures to address severance and provide new and improved connections, eg to West Ham station. These should be delivered through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/114	Neighbourhoods	N6 Manor Road						West Ham station may require interventions to address crowding and increase capacity because platforms are currently accessed by a single stairway. This should be added as an infrastructure requirement for N7 sites. Station improvements will need to be funded through development contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Comment noted. This is addressed through the infrastructure requirements of the sites in the N7 neighbourhood.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/020a	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			N7 Three Mills It would be helpful for this section to explicitly support improvements to the Lee Navigation towpath and access to it, in the same way that it refers to the Greenway and Leaway. LLDC are already supporting this, with a feasibility study has begun to explore options around improved access at Three Mills Lane, and it would be sensible for these aspirations to be consistent in the Newham Local Plan.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Lee Navigation towpath. Please see the new wording in N7.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/020b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			All of the following Strategic Sites should contribute to improvements to the adjacent waterways and connections to them: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N7.SA1 Abbey Mills</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already a design principle for the site allocation and works alongside Local Plan policy GWS2 which sets standards and requirements for development adjacent to water spaces.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/020c	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			All of the following Strategic Sites should contribute to improvements to the adjacent waterways and connections to them: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N7.SA2 Parcelforce</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already a design principle for the site allocation and works alongside Local Plan policy GWS2 which sets standards and requirements for development adjacent to water spaces.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/020d	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Design principles			All of the following Strategic Sites should contribute to improvements to the adjacent waterways and connections to them: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N7.SA3 Sugar House Island</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already a design principle for the site allocation and works alongside Local Plan policy GWS2 which sets standards and requirements for development adjacent to water spaces.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/006	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			This area has a lot of heritage and should be protected...	This policy approach has now changed due to additional detail being added to the design principles to ensure the heritage assets are conserved and enhanced.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/007	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			...surrounding area is highly developed and should continue to focus on residential and small commercial spaces to cater to residents as populations naturally increase.	Support noted. The site is allocated for residential and community facilities alongside open space.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/008	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			High quality housing...	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D1 on design standards for new development and H11 for housing design quality, as well as the design principles in the neighbourhood policy and site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/010	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			...with sustainability and urban greening principles utilised...	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study. This evidence has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and has provided further detail to the design principles and infrastructure requirements for N7.SA2. Please see the new wording in N7.SA2. However, the approach to sustainability as not been made as this is addressed through the Climate Emergency policies.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/011	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			...more play spaces for children or small garden space to encourage natural habitats to flourish.	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study. This evidence has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. This includes the need for play and community growing space, which has fed into the design based capacity testing and has provided further detail to the infrastructure requirements for N7.SA2. Please see the new wording in N7.SA2. Further detail on play space and the standards for private and communal amenity spaces are included in policies GWS5 and H11.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/017	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			A MAJOR NEW PARK IN THE WEST: N7.SA1 (partially MOL) – site north of Crows Road – this is allocated for residential, open space and community floorspace but no detail is given on proportions.	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which provides evidence on the need for different types and size of open space on site allocations. Please see the updated wording in the infrastructure requirements.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/018	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			This is well connected to neighbouring sites which are still green and it (or a significant part of it) should be set aside for a large green open space / habitat – connected with neighbouring sites etc.	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which provides evidence on the need for different types and size of open space on site allocations. Please see the updated wording in the infrastructure requirements. The design principles already require improved connectivity with the wider area.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/019	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			Added to this, N7.SA2 (designated a SINC) – <u>the gas holder site</u> in the west of the borough should be safeguarded for conversion to green open space.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be appropriate as this is a brownfield site suitable for development. However, the site allocation requires the design and layout of the site to protect the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation on the site, increase access to nature and address open space deficiency by providing new open space, including a local park to service nearby residential neighbourhoods.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/020	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	New site		Development principles			And the <u>waterworks site at Abbey Lane / The Greenway</u> should be opened up and allocated for an accessible green / open space.	A change has to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site contains strategic infrastructure which is still in use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/061	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			N7.SA1 (partially MOL) – site north of Crows Road – this is allocated for residential, open space and community floorspace but no detail on proportions.	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which provides evidence on the need for different types and size of open space on site allocations. Please see the updated wording in the infrastructure requirements.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/062	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			This is well connected to neighbouring sites which are still green and it (or a significant part of it) could be set aside for a large green open space / habitat – connected with neighbouring sites. etc.	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which provides evidence on the need for different types and size of open space on site allocations. Please see the updated wording in the infrastructure requirements. The design principles already require improved connectivity with the wider area.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/063	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			N7.SA2 (designated a SINC) – gas holder site. This is allocated for “Residential development, industrial and employment uses, community and education uses, open space and town centre uses.” Again it is unclear how much will be retained and it feels like there is a huge opportunity to safeguard this in its entirety or at a minimum safeguard a large section for open space and/or habitat.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be appropriate as this is a brownfield site suitable for development. However, the site allocation requires the design and layout of the site to protect the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation on the site, increase access to nature and address open space deficiency by providing new open space, including a local park to service nearby residential neighbourhoods.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/017	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N7.SA1 Abbey Mills - 7 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + fluvial) + Breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Channelsea River, Bow Creek (?) - SPZ 1 - Other constraints include Protected habitat (mudflat), 2 x abstraction licence, 5 x boreholes, protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/018	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N7.SA2 Parcelforce - 19.97 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + fluvial) + Breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – Bow Creek - Other constraints include Protected habitat (mudflat), protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/019	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N7.SA3 Sugar House Island - 10 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + fluvial) + Breach + Tidal defence boundary - Watercourse – River Lea, Three Mills Wall River, Prescott Channel - Other constraints include 3 x borehole, protected species, aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/037b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			Neighbourhoods [The Authority notes the new section within the draft Local Plan focused on the Neighbourhoods. Three of the neighbourhoods incorporate parts of the Regional Park within their areas;] ...namely 'Three Mills'...[The vision section for each of the above should include a reference to the Regional Park]	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the neighbourhood profile. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile for N7.

Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/039	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills					<p><b>Three Mills</b></p> <p>The following additions should be included for the Three Mills Neighbourhood given it includes a large section of the Regional Park. Under the Vision policy section an additional bullet point should be added as follows to reflect the Authority's objectives for Three Mills Island as set out in the PDF Area Proposals:</p> <p><i>"Support the delivery of a visitor hub at Three Mills Island as part of the Lee Valley Regional Park, with historical features of special interest within the landscape and associated with the waterways to be conserved and interpreted and views to key landmarks within and outside the Regional Park protected. In particular protect the heritage interest and value of built structures such as the Grade I House Mill, the Grade II listed Clock Mill and the waterside spaces within the Three Mills and the adjacent Sugar House Lane Conservation Areas. Protect views to the House Mill and Clock House and to the Abbey Mills Pumping Station (Grade II listed) on Mill Meads".</i> Whilst it is recognised that the Vision policy does include statements supporting the restoration and conservation of buildings at Three Mills there needs to be reference to the importance of the specific heritage assets given their status and their role in relation to the Regional Park and its recreational and leisure offer, both for the local community and visitors.</p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary due to the potential duplication of clauses relating to conserving the neighbourhood's heritage assets. However, the policy wording has been amended to support the delivery of a visitor hub and to better promote access and understanding of the heritage buildings.</p>
-------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/040a	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			The Authority welcomes the Design Principles set out for the Abbey Mills [...] site allocations (N7.SA1 [...]) which require development to conserve and enhance the Three Mills Conservation Area and listed buildings.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/040b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			The Authority supports the proposal for a significant area of the Abbey Mills site adjoining the waterway (on the western part of the site) to be set aside for open space and that the development of both sites should address open space deficiency by providing new open space and a local park. This should be designed to cater for the needs of future residents and offer a variety of recreational and play spaces.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new publicly accessible open space is addressed through Local Plan GWS1 and GWS5. This includes which includes play and space for informal recreation. Local Plan policy H11 sets the standards and requirements for private and communal amenity spaces.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/040c	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			The Authority also supports the requirement that for both allocations, the future layout of development should protect the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, increase access to nature and enable the continuation of the Leaway Walk through the sites.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/041a	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			[The Authority welcomes the Design Principles set out for] [...] and Parcel Force site allocations ([...] N7.SA2 respectively) [which require development to conserve and enhance the Three Mills Conservation Area and listed buildings.] [...]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/041b	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The Authority also supports the requirement that for both allocations, the future layout of development should protect the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, increase access to nature and enable the continuation of the Leaway Walk through the sites.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/041c	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The Authority supports the proposal... that the development of both sites should address open space deficiency by providing new open space and a local park. This should be designed to cater for the needs of future residents and offer a variety of recreational and play spaces.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new publicly accessible open space is addressed through Local Plan GWS1 and GWS5. This includes which includes play and space for informal recreation. Local Plan policy H11 sets the standards and requirements for private and communal amenity spaces.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/045a	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Site profile			For all the above site allocations the Regional Park should be listed in the pro-forma as a relevant site designation with reference to the PDF to ensure future development can take into account the provisions of the PDF.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the site profile. Please see the new wording in the site profile for N7.SA1.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/045b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			For all the above site allocations the Regional Park should be listed in the pro-forma as a relevant site designation with reference to the PDF to ensure future development can take into account the provisions of the PDF.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the site profile. Please see the new wording in the site profile for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/038	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	New site					N7 Three Mills Neighbourhood. The LLDC Local Plan includes a site allocation for the Three Mills Studios area which promotes appropriate development in the context of its heritage status to support long-term use of the studios and the historic buildings. This site allocation is not included in the draft Newham Plan and it would be helpful to understand why it is intended to delete this site allocation. Preference would be that it is included in the Newham Local Plan.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. assessment of the existing LLDC Local Plan site allocations in Newham has been undertaken during the preparation of the Draft Newham Local Plan as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The assessment of this site concluded that the site did not meet the criteria to be a site allocation as the same objectives could be achieved by other means and the objectives of the site allocation were added to the neighbourhood policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/001	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills			13			The Community Trust land on the Abbey Mills alongside the adjacent sites should be made accessible for the public via walkways, Waterways and Crows Road bridge should be upgraded to allow the free flow of traffic on both directions (Abbey Road and Crows Road)	The accessibility of the site is addressed through the site principles for the N7.SA1 site allocation. Any changes to the highway would be addressed through the pre-app and planning application process.
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/002	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills			16			Tennis courts and football pitches to be prioritised over housing on the abbey Mills site	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study which has refined and finalised the type of green space required on site allocations alongside requirements for different types of play space and food growing opportunities. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N7.SA1. The change you have requested has not resulted in a change as the Playing Pitch Strategy has not identified a need for these uses in this location. The site has also been assessed as being suitable for housing alongside community facilities and green space.
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/003	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills			17			Walkways and Waterway to be facilitated to interlink public and residents to and from the site on the Abbey Mills	The accessibility and connectivity of the site with the surrounding area and the water is addressed through the site principles for the N7.SA1 site allocation. Any changes to the highway would be addressed through the pre-app and planning application process.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/004	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			Community space to be allocated to cater for the social and religious needs as well as local heritage of the community around the site	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires the re-provision of the existing community facility floorspace and works alongside Local Plan policies S11 and S12 which provide further requirements on the type of community facility to be provided.
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/006	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			Priority should also include the need for a multi-purpose community Centre [this representation was made by the same person on the same part of the plan x3]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires the re-provision of the existing community facility floorspace and works alongside Local Plan policies S11 and S12 which provide further requirements on the type of community facility to be provided.
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/007	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			The London Markaz Abbey Mills Riverine Centre has previously submitted a master-plan for open space and greenery and a purpose built community Centre. Although housing was previously a priority due to the overnight S10 policy which resulted in intervention from the Planning Inspectorate, the need for a fair amount of housing together with educational and recreational space is still the preferred choice by the locals. [Originally submitted on N7.SA2]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as there has been no change to the site being allocated for residential and community facilities alongside open space.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-028	London Markaz Abbey Mills Trust Land	Reg18-K-028/008	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design Principles			Canning Road leading up to the Abbey Mills should be left open to help ease the Traffic to and from the site. Restricting traffic is impractical for the residents in the upcoming developments on the site [Originally submitted on N8.12]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the impact on the local road network of traffic to and from the site is something that would be assessed when a planning application is submitted.
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/005	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N7.SA2 Parcelforce Asset Description 400kv Underground Cable route: CITY ROAD - WEST HAM 400kv Underground Cable route: PUDDING MILL LANE - WEST HAM 1 400kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 2 400kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and the design principles and phasing and implementation section of N8.SA7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/006	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N7.SA1 Abbey Mills Asset Description 400Kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 2 400Kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and the design principles and phasing and implementation section of N7.SA1.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/059	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						On the proposed river crossings in this neighbourhood...neighbourhoods please refer to the PLA's comments above on page two [It must be made clear that any proposed crossing situated over navigable waterways ensures that the public right of navigation is maintained, that safe navigation can continue and that the PLA are involved in the development of such proposals where these cross over areas of the PLA's jurisdiction and / or landownership at an early stage, so that amongst other matters, the height of any proposed bridge can be understood]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the detail related to consultees on transport infrastructure projects is included in policy T1. The implementation text for T1.1 has been amended to include references to bridges and the role of the PLA.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/065	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			8			Support the aim contained in both neighbourhood policies which supports the delivery of new residential moorings in suitable locations, with appropriate infrastructure. To confirm the PLA should be consulted on any new moorings proposals as they come forward.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/067	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			17			Support aim to maximise opportunities to access the riverside including through new and improved walking and cycling routes and east to west access points to the river path.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/068a	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			N7.SA1 (Abbey Mills): Support the statement that the design of the site should take into account the waterside setting and increase access to the waterways	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/068b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			[access to the waterways] This should be reflected in the associated infrastructure requirements section.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is addressed through the design principles and works alongside Local Plan policy GWS2.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/069	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			The infrastructure requirements section should also make reference to the neighbourhood policy aim on the delivery of new residential moorings in suitable locations with appropriate ancillary facilities here	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is addressed through the neighbourhood policy and works alongside Local Plan policy GWS2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/070	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			N7.SA2 (Parcelforce): Support the aim that the layout of the site should enable the continuation of the Leaway Walk through the site, and that the design of the site should take into account the waterside setting and increase access to the waterways.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-033	Port of London Authority	Reg18-E-033/071	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Infrastructure requirements			As with the Abbey Mills allocation the infrastructure requirements section should also be expanded to reflect these riverside elements as part of any future development.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is addressed through the design principles and works alongside Local Plan policy GWS2 as well as the neighbourhood policy.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/055	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Add to it] please keep safe and clean	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/056	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles		[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------	--	-------------------	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/057	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/058	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/031	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Change it] Inaccessible	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as both the neighbourhood policy and the site allocations address connectivity and permeability of the neighbourhood and the severance called by the transport infrastructure through requirements for new walking and cycling routes and bridges.
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/032	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					[Change it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/047	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-K-053	Resident/Community Group Rep/Developer	Reg18-K-053/002	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					The history of the Abbey Mills site including the green are alongside the waterways should be taken into consideration when developing this site. Housing will congest this site and therefore it should be kept to the bare minimum and feasibility studies should be reviewed.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles already require development on the site to consider the waterways.
Reg18-K-053	Resident/Community Group Rep/Developer	Reg18-K-053/003	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills		4.35				The waterway should be utilised to interconnect travellers to other parts of the borough to reduce carbon through eco friendly means of transport	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the use of the water is addressed through the transport policies and Local Plan policy GWS2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-053	Resident/Community Group Rep/Developer	Reg18-K-053/004	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills		4.36				Walkways with lighting etc should be prioritised to interconnect residents and commuters to and from both the Berkeley Site alongside the Abbey Mills Community Trust Land.	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles for both sites require a route between the two sites (N7.SA1 and N7.SA2).
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/012	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					The Bromley by Bow gasholder site is located between West Ham and Bromley by Bow and measures 9.15 ha in total. It contains seven Grade II listed gasholders, the only kind in the world and four residential dwellings located to the north-eastern corner of the Site. A site plan is enclosed at Appendix 2. [See Appendix 2]	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/013	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					The Site is a significant and strategic site located within the Poplar Riverside Opportunity Area and allocated within the existing Local Plan under Site Allocation S11 Parcelforce and within the draft Local Plan (Regulation 18) as Site Allocation N7.SA2 Parcelforce. A copy of the existing site allocation can be found at Appendix 3 and the draft site allocation at Appendix 4. [See Appendix 3 and 4].	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/014	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					As noted above, Bromley by Bow Gasworks shares this strategic site allocation with the adjoining Twelvetimes Park development, a 10.69ha site which is owned by a separate division of the Berkeley Group (Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited, and which is already subject to an extant planning permission.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/015	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					St William are looking to bring the Site forward for redevelopment in line with the requirements of the site allocation. It is an important site for the borough, as an underutilised, vacant brownfield site that is allocated within both the current adopted Plan and draft Local Plan and it provides a significant opportunity to assist Newham with delivering against its Local Plan and Corporate objectives. In line with Site Allocation S11 and draft Site Allocation N7.SA2 this includes the delivery of much needed housing, employment floorspace that meets a local need, the provision and completion of new connections between Bromley by Bow and West Ham, new open space and importantly the viable re-use of the listed gas holders which are currently inaccessible to the public. Importantly, Bromley by Bow Gasworks has the ability to join together a number of disparate sites and land uses in this part of the Lower Lea Valley, delivering an iconic development and creating a more coherent sense of place.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/016	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					St William have commenced pre-application discussions with planning and policy officers at LBN in relation to the redevelopment of the Site and intends to submit a planning application for the comprehensive redevelopment of this site in May 2023 with the first homes coming forward within the first five years of the adopted Local Plan.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/027	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					The Twelvvetrees Park site, is a large tract of derelict brownfield land, adjacent to a major transport interchange at West Ham. It presents a significant opportunity to create a new piece in Newham's urban fabric in a highly accessible and sustainable location. The Site has an extant hybrid planning permission (reference: 17/01847/OUT) for the comprehensive redevelopment of the site, which has been implemented in part.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/028	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					Twelvvetrees Park represents significant investment in the Borough and includes new transport infrastructure, a new London scale park, significant housing delivery and a new Local Centre. The proposals are founded in BHSEL's commitment to quality and placemaking which is reflected in the landscaping and architectural quality proposed by the development.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/235	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						The Berkeley Group supports the 15-minute neighbourhood concept that underpins Newham's proposed spatial strategy and considers it a sound approach when considering development proposals, looking beyond individual sites and providing the ability to shape growth at a more local and detailed scale.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/236	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						The inclusion of the Bromley by Bow and Twelvetrees Park sites (N7.SA2) (within Neighbourhood N7 Three Mills) is welcomed and we consider that these sites in combination can collectively deliver the components needed to create a new neighbourhood.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/237	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			The Berkeley Group is pleased that the overall vision for Neighbourhood N7 'Three Mills' is for a high level of growth to be delivered through the transformation of these sites which will include an uplift in homes, employment generating uses, community facilities and a new Local Centre. This aligns with the consented development proposals at Twelvetrees Park and the emerging development proposals at Bromley by Bow.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/238	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			We also support the suggestion that this proposed transformation will take account of the historic waterside settings and the neighbourhood's heritage assets. This aligns with the approach that the Berkeley Group is taking with their emerging development proposals for the Bromley by Bow gasholder site which seek to retain and refurbish the seven listed gasholders, ensuring they are central to the regeneration of the proposed redevelopment of the Bromley by Bow Site.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/239	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			The objective to enhance the neighbourhood's riverside location including providing access to the water is supported;	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/240	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			however, it should be noted that this [providing access to the water] may not always be possible owing to technical considerations and/or site constraints and wording such as 'where possible' should be included. Proposed policy wording change: The neighbourhood's riverside location will be enhanced, including improving views across the water, access to the water <u>where possible or feasible</u> , and the natural environment along the waterspaces. Walking and cycling routes, particularly the Greenway and the Leaway, will be improved.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as this part of the policy sets the overall vision of the neighbourhood. Requirements for particular sites will be assessed against any site allocation requirements, the neighbourhood policy and against Local Plan GSWS2 which provides further detail on access to and along the water.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/241	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			The aspiration for new bridges to help reduce the severance caused by the existing transport infrastructure within this neighbourhood will also be dependent on site ownership and delivery constraints and should also incorporate wording that states 'where possible or feasible'. Proposed policy wording change: The severance of the neighbourhood's transport infrastructure will be reduced through new bridges <u>where possible or feasible</u> , and improved wayfinding and easy to follow, safe, green and accessible walking and cycling connections to public transport and the neighbourhood's open spaces.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as this part of the policy sets the overall vision of the neighbourhood. Requirements for particular sites will be assessed against any site allocation requirements and the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/242	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			Vision			Whilst enhancing and conserving heritage assets is supported, it should be noted that N7 Three Mills offers a fantastic opportunity to deliver high quality housing in a highly sustainable location and in an area designated for taller buildings. This will support the delivery of much-needed new homes in Newham.	Comment noted. This is recognised in the neighbourhood policy.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/243	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			1	<p>Whilst generally supportive of the proposed vision for the Three Mills neighbourhood (N7) the Berkeley Group provides the following detailed comments: Point 1 notes that the vision for Three Mills will be achieved by supporting a moderate uplift in density where development enhances the neighbourhood's existing character...taking into consideration the prevailing lower rise context in some parts of the neighbourhood and its heritage assets. The Berkeley Group supports the principle of delivering and achieving contextual development however considers the reference to achieving moderate uplift in density to be at odds with the overall vision to deliver high levels of growth in this neighbourhood and not reflective of the characteristics of most of the Site which is separated from most adjoining uses by roads, railway lines or infrastructure nor the extant planning permission at Twelvvetrees Park. The Berkeley Group therefore considers it appropriate to update the reference to 'moderate uplift in density' to 'significant uplift in density' and it should also be noted that this should follow a design led approach that optimises development in line with Policy D3 of the adopted London Plan. A more significant uplift in density in this neighbourhood also aligns with the designation of a Tall Building Zone in this location, acknowledging both of these Site's highly accessible locations within an opportunity area (Poplar Riverside). Proposed policy wording</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N7. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	----------------	--	--	---	---	--

										<p>change: supporting a <del>moderate</del> <u>significant</u> uplift in density, <u>subject to a design led approach</u>, where development enhances the neighbourhood's existing character through an appropriate mix of typologies to the site's context, taking into consideration the prevailing low rise context in some parts of the neighbourhood, <del>and</del> its heritage assets <u>and any extant planning permissions which will evolve the character of the Site</u>;</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/244	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			8			Point 8 outlines an aspiration for new residential moorings in suitable locations with ancillary facilities. The principle of this aspiration is supported however it should be noted that this should be subject to feasibility and deliverability. There may be technical reasons relating to flood levels, the tidal nature of the River Lea, the need for river walls and other site constraints that may preclude the ability to deliver residential moorings. and the text here should acknowledge that this aspiration can only be achieved where possible or subject to feasibility. Proposed policy wording change: supporting the delivery of new residential moorings <u>where feasible</u> in suitable locations with appropriate ancillary facilities;	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy clause does not set out specific requirements for these uses to be delivered. The policy works alongside GWS2 which provides further detail on where these uses would be supported.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/245	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			11			Points 11, 12 and 14 outline the general aspiration for improving legibility, permeability and access and connections within the neighbourhood including aspirations for a new crossing on Twelvetees Crescent, and the implementation of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood. Whilst the Berkeley Group wholeheartedly supports the principle of improving connections in this area, as noted previously this can only be achieved where feasible and where this is within their site ownership. Proposed policy wording change: improving the legibility, permeability and safety of streets and walking and cycling routes between N7.SA1 Abbey Mills, N7.SA2 Parcelforce and N7.SA3 Sugar House Island and public transport and employment and industrial uses in the wider network of neighbourhoods <u>where feasible</u> ;	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as this part of the policy sets the overall vision of the neighbourhood. Requirements for particular sites will be assessed against any site allocation requirements and the neighbourhood policy. However, the policy has changed as a result of consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and site allocations and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording in N7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/246	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			12			Points 11, 12 and 14 outline the general aspiration for improving legibility, permeability and access and connections within the neighbourhood including aspirations for a new crossing on Twelvetrees Crescent, and the implementation of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood. Whilst the Berkeley Group wholeheartedly supports the principle of improving connections in this area, as noted previously this can only be achieved where feasible and where this is within their site ownership. Proposed policy wording change: requiring new crossings and station access at Twelvetrees Crescent and Manor Road to reduce severance and to improve connectivity to the wider network of neighbourhoods <u>where feasible</u> ;	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as this part of the policy sets the overall vision of the neighbourhood. Requirements for particular sites will be assessed against any site allocation requirements and the neighbourhood policy. However, However, policy has changed as a result of consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and site allocations and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording in N7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/247	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			14			Points 11, 12 and 14 outline the general aspiration for improving legibility, permeability and access and connections within the neighbourhood including aspirations for a new crossing on Twelvetimes Crescent, and the implementation of a Low Traffic Neighbourhood. Whilst the Berkeley Group wholeheartedly supports the principle of improving connections in this area, as noted previously this can only be achieved where feasible and where this is within their site ownership. Proposed policy wording change: supporting the implementation of Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and new and improved modal filters <u>where feasible</u> ;	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside the Local Plan transport policies. See the implementation text for Local Plan policy T2 for how individual development sites will be required to respond to Low Traffic Neighbourhoods.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/248	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						The Berkeley Group would also support the adoption of any local roads that would enable improvements to be made for the benefit of the wider neighbourhood.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/249	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			16			Point 16 outlines a requirement to retain existing mature trees, maximise the provision of new open space and green infrastructure and enhance existing Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation. The Berkeley Group fully supports the principle of this objective however the exceptional circumstances of gasholder sites means that the required remediation to enable the redevelopment of these sites potentially necessitates the removal of all existing vegetation including trees in order to make the site safe and publicly accessible. Proposed policy wording change: retaining existing mature trees <u>except in exceptional circumstances</u> and maximising the provision of new open space and green infrastructure and the opportunities to increase biodiversity and enhance existing Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation;	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy GWS4 sets out the requirements where there are exceptional circumstances to justify the loss of trees.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/250	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			16			The requirement to maximise the provision of new open space is also a duplication of point 6.	The policy wording has now changed to reduce duplication between policy clauses relating to providing new open space. Please see the new wording in Policy N7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/251	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce					The Berkeley Group provides the following comments on the draft N7.SA2 Parcelforce site allocation and also provide the suggested revisions to the site allocation in track changes further below for ease of reference. It should be noted that comments on the draft N7.SA2 Parcelforce site allocation are in relation to both the Bromley by Bow gasholder site and the Twelvetrees Park site (owned by Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited).	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/252	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Allocation Name: this should be updated to reflect the extant consent at Twelvetrees Park and the emerging proposals at Bromley by Bow.	The site name has now changed to reflect both the Former Gasworks and Twelvetree Park. Please see new site name for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/253	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Heritage Designations: clarification is suggested as to which heritage designations fall within the Site and which assets are within the vicinity of the Site. Proposed policy wording change: <u>Within the Site:</u> = Bromley by Bow Gasholders (Grade II) <u>Within the vicinity of the Site</u> <del>Adjacent to:</del>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is considered that the site profile is clear on which heritage assets are within the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/254	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Heritage Designations: The list of heritage assets as currently drafted is missing the Statue of Corbett Woodhall (Grade II) and the Dowgate Wharf P B Burgoyne and Company Limited Warehouse (Grade II). Proposed policy wording changes: Heritage assets: <u>Statue of Corbett Woodhall (Grade II)</u> <u>Dowgate Wharf P B Burgoyne and Company Limited Warehouse (Grade II)</u>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the site profile on N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/255	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Relevant planning history: as currently drafted the planning history (which relates to the Twelvetrees Park site only) only refers to the original planning application and does not acknowledge or reflect the applications that have been submitted since the original grant of consent.	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/256	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile		<p>Relevant planning history: The planning history for the Site will continue to change and be updated, we therefore suggest that the planning history is updated to refer to the original reference number only with the addition of 'as varied' for simplicity. This will ensure that the site allocation remains up to date as the extant planning permission changes..</p> <p>Proposed policy wording change:  <del>Planning application 17/01847/OUT as varied; Hybrid planning application comprising detailed planning application for phase 1 to include proposed demolition of existing buildings and structures, the erection of</del>  buildings, including tall buildings, comprising 1,020 residential units, 689 sqm of B1 floorspace, 5400 sqm of retail floorspace and 12,004 sqm of community and leisure floorspace including a school use. Associated infrastructure including a new bridge connection to West Ham Station and two footbridges across Manor Road; Alterations to the existing access road and vehicle bridge; Streets, open spaces, landscaping and public realm; Car, motorcycle and bicycle parking spaces and servicing spaces; Utilities including energy centre and electricity substations; and Other works incidental to the proposed development.</p> <p>Outline planning application (all matters reserved) for the balance of the site for: The proposed demolition of existing buildings and structures;</p>	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.
-------------	---	-----------------	---------------	----------------	--------------------	--	--------------	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/257	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Existing uses: Reference to the Former Parcelforce Depot should be removed and instead made to the Site's extant planning permission for residential led mixed use development which is being implemented and under construction. Proposed policy wording change: Former gasholders and associated infrastructure, <u>scrubland and hardstanding</u> and four residential dwellings in the north of the <u>Bromley by Bow gasholder site. Scrubland and hardstanding. Construction of the residential led mixed use development at Twelvetrees Park (Former Parcelforce Depot). Part of site under construction.</u>	This wording has been made. Please see the new wording in the existing uses of N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/258	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map – we have a number of comments on the proposed map and for ease have drafted a proposed alternative to help illustrate our comments. Overall, it is felt that there is too much detail on the proposed map and the approach taken within the adopted site allocation would be more appropriate. [See proposed map in Appendix 13]	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East	Reg18-E-136/259	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - Specifically, we suggest the following amendments: The title of the map should be updated from Parcelforce to Twelvetrees Park and Bromley by Bow Gasworks.	The site name has now changed to reflect both the Former Gasworks and Twelvetree Park. Please see new site name for N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	London Limited										
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/260	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - The dog leg of the linear park that extends southwards between the Twelvetrees Park site and the Bromley by Bow gasholder site is not reflective of what is likely to come forward here which is more likely to be a new connection or public realm.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how opportunities for open space are represented on the site allocation maps. However, the change you suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the location of the open space is indicative and informed by finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Study, which has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations, and by the design-based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024). Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/261	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - With reference to the green space, in reality, any part of the Twelvetrees Park and Bromley by Bow gasholder sites that will not have built form will seek to maximise open space, landscaping or public realm where possible.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/262	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - The listed building key should be updated to refer to heritage assets or listed buildings <u>and structures</u> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the key for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/263	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - Furthermore, as currently drafted the park to the south of the Site has been highlighted as a listed building which is incorrect. There are two heritage assets located within this park: the War Memorial and the Statue of Corbett Woodhall. The map should be updated to include dots for these two heritage assets rather than suggesting that the entire park is a heritage asset, as it is not.	This map change has been made. Please see the new map for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/264	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - The 'opportunity for commercial frontage' is not located in areas where commercial frontages are likely to be focused and on the basis that there should be flexibility for where commercial frontages can be delivered. The Berkeley Group therefore suggest that this is removed from the map to allow for the location of commercial activity to come forward in line with demand and be located in the optimum locations for the purposes of placemaking.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/265	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - The proposed pedestrian and vehicular access routes are too detailed. The Berkeley Group requests that the approach taken within the adopted site allocation is adopted instead.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/266	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - Furthermore, the connection that is shown over the River Lea where the existing bridge is located is incorrect. This bridge is for maintenance purposes only for the gas infrastructure and it will therefore not be possible to utilise it for pedestrian access.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/267	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Map			Map - Connections over the River Lea can only be safeguarded for as these proposed connections are outside of the Berkeley Group's (St William's) ownership.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/268	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			Development principles – the Berkeley Group provides the following comments on the development principles: The proposed mix of uses is supported	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/269	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			albeit it is requested that references to industrial are removed and employment uses is amended to state 'employment-generating uses' to acknowledge that a wide range of uses are capable of delivering jobs. Proposed policy wording change: Residential development, <del>industrial</del> and employment-generating uses, community and education uses, open space and town centre uses.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is not consistent with how employment uses are referred to across the Local Plan. However, the policy wording has changed due to a change to how employment uses are referred to in site allocations to add clarity and ensure consistency with the employment policies. Please see the new wording in N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/270	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			The requirement for the type and quantity of town centre uses to be consistent with a Local Centre designation is supported	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/271	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			albeit the Berkeley Group would welcome clarity that some town centre uses would also be appropriate within the vicinity of the Local Centre that is being created at West Ham i.e. within the Bromley by Bow gasholder site as well as within the wider Twelvvetrees Park site.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as town centre uses should be located within the proposed local centre in line with the town centre first principle, as set out in the High Street policies and the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper, and to ensure an appropriate level of town centre uses come forward in this location.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/272	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			The requirement for industrial and employment floorspace on the gasholder site should be updated to remove reference to industrial and instead refer to employment generating floorspace (which could include light industrial uses). Large scale industrial uses would not be feasible on this site on the basis that the seven listed gasholders are being retained. Proposed policy wording change: The <del>industrial and employment-generating</del> floorspace on the Bromley by Bow Gasholder site <del>should</del> provide a range of light industrial workspace suitable for micro-businesses and small and medium enterprises <u>subject to market and employment need at the time of the floorspace coming forward.</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to appropriate as it is not consistent with how employment uses are referred to across the Local Plan. However, the policy wording has changed due to a change to how employment uses are referred to in site allocations to add clarity and ensure consistency with the employment policies. Please see the new wording in N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/273	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			Furthermore, the provision of employment generating uses should be subject to market and employment/skills training needs at the time of development coming forward and also be complimentary to the existing industrial uses to the south and in the surrounding area. Proposed policy wording change: Proposed policy wording change: [The industrial and employment-generating floorspace on the Bromley by Bow Gasholder site should provide a range of light industrial workspace suitable for micro-businesses and small and medium enterprises] <u>subject to market and employment need at the time of the floorspace coming forward.</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this site is required to provide employment uses given the history of the site allocation and the development principles already address market testing for the uses that could be provided on the site. Local Plan Policy J1 also requires applications to submit an Economic Strategy to demonstrate market needs.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/274	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			In line with the above comments, reference to industrial floorspace on the Twelvetrees Park site should be removed as no such floorspace has been secured within the extant consent. This is therefore incorrect.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the development principle is consistent with the mix of uses secured in the planning permission.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/275	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			Additionally, the requirement to deliver the same amount of business and industrial floorspace as the permitted scheme should be amended to the same employment-generating floorspace as the latest permitted scheme. Proposed policy wording change: Development on the <del>former Parcelforce depot site</del> <u>Twelvetrees Park site</u> should deliver the same quantity of business and industrial, <u>employment-generating</u> floorspace as the <u>latest</u> permitted scheme.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the development principle is consistent with the mix of uses secured in the planning permission.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/276	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Development principles			In addition to the above, St William requests that the text included within the adopted site allocation S11 in relation to the use of the listed gasholders is carried forward into the new Local Plan. St William requests that an additional point is added to the development principles section that states: Proposals will require an assessment of, and an appropriate viable strategy for, the Grade II listed gasholders.	This policy approach has not been changed. This change was not considered necessary as the site allocation sets out a series of development and design principles that recognise the role of the gasholders and the use of the site. The site allocation will work alongside Local Plan H3 and BNF4 which address the viability of sites on a case by case basis.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/277	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			<p>The Berkeley Group supports the principle of comprehensive design and development however as evident by the fact that the Twelvetrees Park development secured planning permission in 2018 and is already under construction and the Bromley by Bow site does not yet benefit from a planning permission it is not always possible to design and develop sites comprehensively in line with the requirements of proposed draft Policy BFN2. In these instances, it would be more appropriate to rely on a Design Code secured as part of the planning application process and Reserved Matters Applications to secure comprehensive design principles. This would also avoid the risk of one site holding up the other from coming forward for development. Suggested amendments to this wording can be found in the mark up of the site allocation. Proposed policy wording change: The site should be <u>subject to a Design Code that seeks to ensure adjoining developments are considered designed and developed comprehensively in accordance with Local Plan Policy BFN2.</u></p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the requirements for co-designated masterplans ensure that the development and design principles and infrastructure infrastructures will be delivered on sites across multiple ownerships as well as phased sites and will not cause delays to different parts of the site coming forward.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/283	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The requirement for ground floor active frontages within the Local Centre should be extended to be a requirement for the remaining part of the site allocation as well. Proposed policy wording change: The Twelvotrees Local Centre should be located <del>on the former Parcelforce depot</del> in proximity to West Ham station. and provide <u>Ground floor active frontages should be provided throughout the Site.</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is consistent with indicative future boundary set out on the policies map and evidenced in the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/284	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			St William support the requirement for development to recognise the role of the gasholders as heritage and character assets and their potential contribution to place making. This aligns with the approach they are taking to the emerging redevelopment proposals for the Site.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/285	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The site allocation must also acknowledge that a viable strategy for the reuse of the gasholders is needed to enable the retention and reuse of the gasholders as heritage and character assets. This is in line with the wording within the adopted site allocation and is particularly important in relation to gas holder no. 4 which is subject to enhanced listing. Proposed wording change: Development should recognise the role of the Gasholders as heritage and character assets and their potential contribution to place making, particularly gas holder 4 due to its enhanced listed <u>whilst finding a viable strategy for their reuse.</u>	This policy approach has not been changed. This change was not considered necessary as the site allocation sets out a series of development and design principles that recognise the role of the gasholders and the use of the site. The site allocation will work alongside Local Plan H3 and BNF4 which address the viability of sites on a case by case basis.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/286	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			Whilst the protection of SINC is wholly supported in principle, the unique circumstances of gasholder sites and the required remediation mean that impact on SINC is unavoidable. Significant remediation is required to enable the Bromley by Bow gasholder site to come forward for redevelopment and to make it publicly accessible. The mitigation hierarchy that is outlined within the London Plan should be applied in this instance and subject to following the mitigation hierarchy there should be acknowledgement that it may not always be possible to re-provide SINC in its current location for sites of this nature but compensatory SINC and/or biodiversity and ecological enhancements will be provided.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan Policy GWS3.6 already provides a clear mitigation hierarchy to minimise development impacts on SINC.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/287	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The desire to increase access to the waterways is supported but should be subject to technical considerations and feasibility. Proposed wording change: The design of the site should take into account the waterside setting and increase access to the waterways, <u>where technically feasible</u> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as it increasing access to the water is a key design principle for the site and the feasibility of it being achieved in different parts will be considered at the pre-application or application stage. Local Plan GSWS2 which provides further detail on access to and along the water.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/288	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The requirement to establish a connected network of streets and spaces that connect to the wider street network is supported in principle but it should be noted that achieving this will be subject to land ownership. Proposed wording change: Development should establish a connected network of streets and spaces that connect to the wider street network <u>where possible</u> and should establish a street hierarchy.	This wording has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is a key design principle for the site. However, the wording has now changed to add further clarity to this design principle. Please see the new wording in N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/290	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			Infrastructure requirements: the requirement for a health centre that is a minimum of 1,500 sqm designed to meet NHS needs and standards doesn't appear to reflect current NHS requirements for local health services. The text should be revised to only require a health centre where there is a need at the time of delivery. Proposed wording change: Development on the <u>Twelvvetrees Park site</u> <del>former Parcelforce depot site</del> should provide a secondary school <del>and a health centre of a minimum of 1,500 sqm designed to meet NHS needs and standards.</del>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the infrastructure requirements for the site are based on evidence provided by NHS partners. However, the policy wording has changed due to a change to offer more flexibility as to where this health centre should be located and for it to be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery. Please see the new wording in N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/397	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills		4.34				<p>Proposed policy wording change: 4.34</p> <p>The Three Mills neighbourhood has a mix of rich industrial heritage, industrial uses and housing. Its industrial heritage is closely connected to its location on the River Lea and the Three Mills is a former working mill. Three Mills Island is designated as a conservation area and is home to a number of listed buildings including the House Mill, the offices opposite the Clock Mill and the Clock Mill itself. Three Mills Island is now home to industrial and employment uses for creative and digital industries, including 3 Mills Studios and Three Mills Park. Other heritage assets in the neighbourhood include the Abbey Mills Pumping Station and associated buildings, the Sugar House Lane conservation area and the seven <u>Grade II</u> listed Bromley by Bow Gasholders. The Canning Town/Newham Way, River Lea and Stratford Langthorne Abbey Archaeological Priority Areas are in the neighbourhood.</p>	The wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile of N7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/398	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			2			Proposed policy wording change: supporting development that conserves the character of the Three Mills and Sugar House Lane Conservation Areas and the neighbourhood's heritage assets and their settings <u>as well as securing any viable reuse of relevant heritage assets;</u>	This policy approach has not been changed. This change was not considered necessary as the site allocation sets out a series of development and design principles that recognise the role of the gasholders and the use of the site. The site allocation will work alongside Local Plan H3 and BNF4 which address the viability of sites on a case by case basis.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/399	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			4			Proposed policy wording change: supporting the transformation of N7.SA1 Abbey Mills, N7.SA2 Parcelforce, N7.SA3 Sugar House Island, in accordance with the Abbey Mills, West Ham Station and Stratford High Street Tall Building Zones and Local Plan Policy D4 and having significant regard to their riverside context, <del>and</del> the neighbourhood's heritage assets and the Site's location <u>within a Tall Building Zone and Poplar Riverside Opportunity Area;</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy clause already references tall building zones.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/400	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Site profile			Proposed policy wording change: <u>30.19</u> <del>19.97</del> hectares	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the current size is correct based on the site allocation boundary.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/401	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			Proposed wording change: <del>The design and layout of the site should minimise the impact on noise from the railway line and Pressure Reduction System on residential amenity.</del>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this would remove specific design principles to address potential amenity impacts.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/402	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			Proposed wording change: The layout of the site should enable the continuation of the Leaway Walk through the site along Crows Road and through to N7.SA1 Abbey Mills <u>where feasible and within site ownership.</u>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as enabling this connection to N7.SA1 is a key design principle and the relationship between the two sites can be addressed through the masterplanning requirement set out in BNF2.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/403	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			Proposed wording change: <del>Design measures should minimise exposure to poor air quality on Manor Road.</del>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this would remove specific design principles for the site.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/404	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Infrastructure requirements			Proposed wording change: <del>The development should provide a local park to service nearby residential neighbourhoods.</del>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the finalised Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022) has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations and provides the evidence for the need for a park on this site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/410b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			[Policy D3] The site allocations for Bromley by Bow, Twelvetrees Park, Beckton and East Ham do not make specific reference to needing to follow a design-led approach and the Berkeley Group would request that LBN ensure this design led approach is transferred through to the site allocations for consistency.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary Local Plan policy D3 applies to all types all of development, including site allocations, and it is therefore unnecessary duplication for it to be referred to in the site allocations.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			11			[Keep]. Sustainable drainage and improved public realm coming out of West Ham station leading up to Stratford. Large walls protecting the garden and very concrete on Manor Road	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its design and green infrastructure policies which require improvements to the borough's public realm and to increase green infrastructure as part of new developments and in their surrounding area. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/063	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			16			[Keep] Trees - greenery	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/064	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			13			[Keep] Greenway	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/065	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			11			[Keep] 11 - good	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West	Reg18-As-001/066	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			12			[Keep] 12 - good	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Ham Assembly										
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/067	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			13			[Keep] 13 - good	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/068	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			5			[Change] 5 - detail is too much	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is considered to be an important design principle for the neighbourhood and will be required only where appropriate.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/069	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			5			[Change] 5 - reference to industrial heritage isn't appropriate	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is considered to be an important design principle for the neighbourhood and will be required only where appropriate.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/070	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			5			[Change] 5 - design code delivered by other	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is considered to be an important design principle for the neighbourhood and will be required only where appropriate.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			7			[Change] 7 - reinforce - more specific going north to south	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. Routes are addressed elsewhere in the neighbourhood policy and in the design principles of the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/072	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Change] community building on N7.SA1	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The site allocation requires the re-provision of the existing temporary community facility and is supported by evidence in the Community Facilities Needs Assessment about the need for additional community facilities in this neighbourhood.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/073	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Change] car parking for N7.SA1	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the level of car parking for all types of development is set out in Local Plan policy T3.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/074	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			[Add] N7.SA1 - community centre + education 'putting people on the right track'	This policy wording has now changed due to an updated methodology on approach to community facilities on site allocations. Please see the new wording in the development principles. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have evidence on the need for education uses on this site.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			[Add] N7.SA1 -gym outdoor in the parkland areas	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study which provides evidence on the need for different types of play space on site allocations. Please see the updated wording in the infrastructure requirements.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/076	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			[Add] More active frontages along High Street and fewer "dead" frontages	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site is not located on the High Street.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			2			[Add] Preserve gas workers memorial	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy N7 and D10 and the site allocations in the neighbourhood which set out the requirement to conserve heritage assets. This includes the gas workers memorial.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/239	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			and education and religious use	This policy wording has now changed due to an updated methodology on approach to community facilities on site allocations. Please see the new wording in the development principles. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have evidence on the need for education uses on this site.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/240	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			housing be given facilities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the development principles and infrastructure requirements set out the requirements for different uses on the site, including open space and playspace. The site allocation also works alongside other policies such as the housing and transport policies that require facilities such as amenity space or cycle parking.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/241	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			housing be affordable	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/242	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Phasing and implementation			much better from the original proposals but the issue of contaminated land remains	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy CE1 requires development to remediate contaminated land.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/243	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			keep the space green - no new houses!	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site has been assessed to be suitable for housing in accordance with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The development and design principles protect the SINC and MOL located on the site and set requirements for a new park.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/244	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Phasing and implementation			P.s clean it up	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy CE1 requires development to remediate contaminated land.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/245	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			Lower density housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this site has been identified in the Newham Characterisation Study as being suitable for transformation which means establishing a new character. The site must also follow the design-led approach to optimising the amount of development on the site and the design principles of the site allocation provide detailed requirements for the quality of development on the site.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/246	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			More greenery	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as the site allocation already requires the design and layout of the site to protect the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation on the site, increase access to nature and address open space deficiency by providing new open space, including a local park to service nearby residential neighbourhoods.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/017	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/018	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/204	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/205	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/206	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/207	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/208	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			Internal comments: The proposed development is located within 20m of a Thames Water Sewage Pumping Station. Given the nature of the function of the pumping station and the close proximity of the proposed development to the pumping station we consider that any occupied premises should be located at least 20m away from the pumping station as highlighted as best practice in our Codes for Adoption .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the site profile, design principles and phasing and implementation of N7.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/209	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Development principles			Internal comments: The amenity of those that will occupy new development must be a consideration to be taken into account in determining the application as set out in the National planning Policy Framework (NPPF) at paragraphs 170 and 180. Given the close proximity of the proposed development to the pumping station we consider that it is likely that amenity will be impacted and therefore object.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the site profile, design principles and phasing and implementation of N7.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/210	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Phasing and Implementation			Internal comments: Not with standing this objection, in the event that the Local Planning Authority resolve to grant planning permission for the development, we would request that the following informative is attached to the planning permission: "The proposed development is located within 20m of a Thames Water Sewage Pumping Station and this is contrary to best practice set out in Codes for Adoption ( <a href="https://www.thameswater.co.uk/developers/larger-scale-developments/sewers-and-wastewater/adopting-a-sewer">https://www.thameswater.co.uk/developers/larger-scale-developments/sewers-and-wastewater/adopting-a-sewer</a> ). Future occupiers of the development should be made aware that they could periodically experience adverse amenity impacts from the pumping station in the form of odour; light; vibration and/or noise."	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the site profile, design principles and phasing and implementation of N7.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/211	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: SW expected to be discharged to watercourse.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/212	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/213	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/214	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/215	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/216	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: Surface water is expected to be discharged to the watercourse.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/217	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/218	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/219	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/220	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/221	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/115	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills			12			Comments on West Ham station in N6 also apply to this area. We support the principle of measures to address severance and provide new and improved connections, eg to West Ham station. These should be delivered through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Support noted. This is addressed through the infrastructure requirements of the sites in the N7 neighbourhood.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/116	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills						[Comments on West Ham station in N6 also apply to this area]. West Ham station may require interventions to address crowding and increase capacity because platforms are currently accessed by a single stairway. This should be added as an infrastructure requirement for N7 sites. Station improvements will need to be funded through development contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the site allocations in N7 contributing to active and public transport upgrades, including access to and capacity at West Ham and/or Abbey Road stations. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for the site allocations in this neighbourhood.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/117	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Map			The primary vehicle route which connects to Manor Road and is shown on the plan as a dotted line has, in the past been, been questioned by DLR colleagues due to concerns about the quality of bridge structures.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/118	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			Any proposals for this site must deliver improvements to sustainable and active travel, including access to/from West Ham and Abbey Mills stations and improvements within either or both stations.	This policy approach has now changed due to include improvements to West Ham and/or Abbey Road station in the infrastructure requirements. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements of N7.SA1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/119	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Design principles			Significant improvements for people walking and cycling will be needed to link this site with the surrounding area, including across the River Lea into Tower Hamlets.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles already address connectivity with the wider area.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/120	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills		Infrastructure requirements			The suggested bridge link to West Ham station should not have a direct entrance into the station as this would require an additional ticket hall.	A change to this policy approach has been made to clarify this should be an improved connection to West Ham station. Please see the infrastructure requirements for the site.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/121	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Infrastructure requirements			Development is currently underway on this site under an extant consent. This includes access for bus services and the delivery of the new western entrance to West Ham station. Any additional/revised proposals for this site must ensure that these improvements are realised	This policy wording has changed to clarify that the site should provide access to the entrance of West Ham station. This would be required should another application is received.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/122	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			[Development is currently underway on this site under an extant consent. Any additional/revised proposals for this site must realise] the significant improvements for people walking and cycling that link the site with the surrounding area (including across the River Lea into Tower Hamlets).	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles already address connectivity with the wider area.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/123	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA2 Parcelforce		Design principles			The agent of change principle and infrastructure protection measures should be applied to development close to existing rail lines and bus infrastructure, including West Ham bus garage.	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the bus infrastructure in the design principles. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N7.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/124	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Design principles			Development should improve walking and cycling conditions on Stratford High Street.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/125	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Design principles			Development should improve the public realm on Stratford High Street through improved frontages.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-055	Vasint BV	Reg18-E-055/004a	Neighborhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Development principles			Proposed Uses We support the identification of the site for mixed-use development of residential, employment and industrial, retail and open space.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-055	Vasint BV	Reg18-E-055/005	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Map			The Site Allocation N7.SA3 seems to relate to both the entire Sugar House Island comprehensive redevelopment site approved under Planning Permission 12/0036/LTGOUT, and currently under construction with approximately a third completed (as identified on The Map at page 373), however within this is what appears to be a separate allocation specifically relating to the North West corner of the site known as Mu3 (Map on page 387). Having two maps on two different OS bases is rather confusing. We consider a better solution would be to have one map showing both parts. It would be helpful if the OS base used for the map reflected the development thus far (which is currently the base for the sitewide map but not the Mu3 map).	This site allocation map has now changed to better reflect the entirety of the site allocation of the map. Please see the new map for N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-055	Vasint BV	Reg18-E-055/006a	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Map			We object to the location of the pedestrian and vehicular routes through.... on the Mu3 map (page 387) This is too prescriptive and should be deleted. A more flexible approach would allow scope for the best design to be achieved.	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-055	Vasint BV	Reg18-E-055/006b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Map			We object to the location of....and the blue shading identifying an opportunity for commercial /employment frontage on the Mu3 map (page 387)This is too prescriptive and should be deleted. A more flexible approach would allow scope for the best design to be achieved.	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA3.
Reg18-E-055	Vasint BV	Reg18-E-055/004b	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Development principles			but request that hotel use is added to this list as hotel use was approved as part of the original comprehensive development (Ref 12/0036/LTGOUT) and there is a current Reserved Matters Application (15/00481/REM ) pending determination and correctly referred to as such in the Planning History section of this site allocation (page 384)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The uses for plot MU3 have been assessed and considered against the site's planning history as well as up to date evidence and the policy approach to certain uses set out in Newham Local Plan. This includes the recommendation in the Employment Land Review for this site and the town centre first principle in the Draft Local Plan. Any further proposals for a hotel would need to be assessed against the locations and requirements of Local Plan Policy HS8.
Reg18-E-055	Vasint BV	Reg18-E-055/004c	Neighbourhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA3 Sugar House Island		Development principles			We also request that Further Education is added to the range of possible uses as the wider site is identified in the London Legacy development Corporation Local Plan as suitable for Further Education (see LLDC LP SA4.2) and the overall development is constantly being reviewed as end users come forward.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we have no evidence on the need for Further Education uses in this location and education provision has already been provided on this site. Any proposal for these uses would be assessed against Local Plan policy SI4.

Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/002	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill					<p>1. Bellway's Sites</p> <p>The sites are located in the Pudding Mill Lane area of the London Borough of Newham, they currently are within the administrative boundaries of the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) as the planning authority. They are located at the junction of Barbers Road and Cooks Road extending south towards Bow Back River. The wider Pudding Mill Lane area is an island site bounded on the three sides by the waterways and to the north by the railway line/Crossrail land. Primarily accessible by the DLR station, the site is a short walk to the Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park and is set back from Stratford High Street. The site also adjoins the Legacy Community Scheme PDZ8 land to the north east, which is currently occupied by the temporary ABBA Arena, and the Vulcan Wharf development site to the south west. Pudding Mill Lane is part of the pre-industrial landscape of Pudding Mill Lane, which is now redundant, leaving prime land for regeneration, the potential of which is at the early stage of realisation. It provides an opportunity to coordinate its new amenities and public realm with the delivery of high quality landscapes, enriching biodiversity and biophilic benefits. Key destinations of Stratford Centre / High Street and the Queen Elizabeth Olympic park are within close reach of the site; active travel access connections to which must be</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	-----------------------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	----------------

										enhanced by the landscape's strategic design.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/004	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design principles			Key public transport links exist at the new Pudding Mill DLR and Underground station, and bus routes on Stratford High Street. Access and circulation to these key transport nodes must be strengthened through careful public realm and streetscape design, helping to assist efforts to reduce the use of private transport in favour of greener, more energy efficient and healthier alternatives.	Comment noted. These issues are addressed through the design principles of the site allocation.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/005	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Site profile			Bellway's land interests site has been split into three separate planning applications, two of which have been granted consent (Phase 1 LPA ref. 16/00534/VAR and Phase 2 LPA ref. 21/00395/FUL). An application for Phase 3 (LPA ref. 21/00460/FUL) was submitted in November 2021 and is pending determination. Phase 3 seeks permission for the comprehensive redevelopment of the site to provide up to 411 residential units (Use Class C3) and up to 5,395 sqm of flexible Class E floorspace and is subject to ongoing discussions with the LLDC.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/006	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles		<p>Current Policy Position</p> <p>The site falls within the planning jurisdiction of the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC), formally the Olympic Delivery Authority (ODA). The ODA was formed in April 2012 as part of the London 2012 Olympic Games bid. The aim of the ODA was to transform one of the most challenged areas in the UK into a sustainable, thriving neighbourhood. Once the games concluded, the authority was renamed the LLDC and in 2020 the Legacy's Corporation Board agreed that LLDC's town planning powers and functions would return to the four neighbouring boroughs of Newham, Hackney, Tower Hamlets and Waltham Forest, by the end of December 2024. The site is also allocation in the LLDC's Local Plan (2020) and a SPD has also been adopted for Pudding Mill (March 2017). While the SPD does not make new policy, it does draw on much of the evidence and background information that was used to develop the LLDC's Local Plan. The adopted Local Plan identifies Pudding Mill as a development site (Site Allocation SA4.3: Pudding Mill) which is envisioned as:</p> <p>"A new medium-density, mixed-use area, including a significant and diverse element of new and replacement business floorspace, including spaces suitable for small- and medium-sized businesses; a new Local Centre adjacent to Pudding Mill Lane DLR Station and Pudding Mill Lane; new</p>	Comment noted.
-------------	-----------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	---------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	----------------

										<p>homes including a significant element of family housing; new Local Open Space, playspace and public realm. Cumulatively across the Pudding Mill Site Allocation, 25 per cent non-residential floorspace should be achieved, with a predominantly industrial floorspace use mix in the area to the west of Cooks Road and around the Crossrail portal."The allocation sets out key guidance as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ non-residential uses should be focused along a new central east-west street (which City Mill Passage will form part of);</li> <li>▪ development should allow for improved east-west connections through the site;</li> <li>▪ provision should be made for key connections, including a new bus/cycle/ pedestrian connection from Stratford High Street to Marshgate Lane and a new pedestrian/cycle connection from Wrexham Road over the A12 and River Lea;</li> <li>▪ land should be safeguarded for DLR North Route Double Tracking phase 2; and</li> <li>▪ regard will need to be had to not prejudicing the operation of the safeguarded rail freight site to the west.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/007	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			In the interest of consistency and clarity for developers, many of whom already own or have contractual positions on the site, the emerging policy within the Newham Local Plan should align with the key aspirations of the existing policy. However there is opportunities to update the vision to make it less prescriptive to allow design innovation and the delivery of development which meets need in successfully designed places.	Comment noted. The site's planning history and the adopted policy position has been taken into consideration alongside the design-led capacity testing for sites as well as updated evidence on the need for different uses in Newham.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/008	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill					The LLDC prepared an SPD for the Pudding Mill area, which was adopted in March 2017. As this is part of the LLDC's development plan, we assume that this will be revoked upon the adoption of the Newham Local plan and planning powers returning to Newham. We would welcome confirmation of this	The LLDC Local Plan and SPD have been taken into consideration as part of the preparation of the Newham Local Plan and the site allocation N8.SA9. Upon adoption of the Newham Local Plan, we will seek to revoke any SPDs which are no longer considered necessary.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/009	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill					2. Draft Allocation – N8.SA9 (Page 420-421) The site is covered by allocation N8.SA9 – Pudding Mill within the London Borough of Newham draft Local Plan (2022). This is proposed to align with the existing site allocation; SA4.3: Pudding Mill as identified by the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) Local Plan (2020).	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Site profile		<p>The draft allocation outlines the planning history (Page 240) for the site shown below. However, the planning history within the document does not provide an accurate reflection of the current position, and should be updated. It is suggested that the site address for each application be included within the descriptions below in the interest of clarity. The following amendments and additions are proposed by us:</p> <p>“21/00395/FUL: Demolition of existing buildings and structures and redevelopment of the site to provide buildings ranging from six to nine storeys in height, comprising of 196 residential units including affordable housing (Use Class C3), 2,258 sqm GIA floorspace to accommodate commercial, business and service (Use Class E), together with associated car parking, open space, hard and soft landscaping and infrastructure work. [Delete:Pending consideration –] Permission Granted - 28 November 2022.</p> <p>21/00455/FUL: Planning application for demolition of existing buildings, and the erection of eight buildings ranging from 3 to 12 storeys in height, comprising a total of 254 residential dwellings and 4,257 sqm GIA of B1 (business) floorspace, together with basement, access, servicing, car parking, cycle parking, cycle storage, plant, open space and landscaping. Permission Granted – 3 November 2022.</p> <p>21/00460/FUL: Hybrid planning</p>	<p>This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.</p>
-------------	-----------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	---------------------	--	--------------	--	--	---

										<p>application for comprehensive regeneration comprising: Outline planning permission (all matters reserved) for the demolition of existing buildings and erection of 6- buildings ranging between eight (8) to 23-storeys in height to provide: residential units, including affordable housing (Use Class C3), and flexible commercial floorspace (Use Class E), together with associated blue badge car and cycle parking, public open spaces, landscaping and infrastructure works; and full planning permission for the erection of one building, extending to nine (9) storeys in height, to provide affordable units (Use Class C3) and flexible commercial floorspace (Use Class E), together with associated car and cycle parking, public open space, landscaping, public realm improvements and infrastructure works. Pending Consideration.”</p> <p>22/00384/FUL: Application for full planning permission for the redevelopment of the site to provide 496 residential units (Use Class C3), 8,752 sqm (GIA) OF storage and distribution/light industrial (Use Classes B8 and E(g)(iii)) and 184 sqm (GIA) OF retail floorspace (Use Class E (a-c)), with car and cycle parking and associated hard and soft landscaping. This application is accompanied by an Environmental Statement (ES) submitted pursuant to the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations (2017). Pending Consideration.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/011	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			Figure 1: Site Allocation Map – Pudding Mill. (Page 422) The site allocation map shown in Figure 1 fails to include the solid teal line which runs throughout the wider site allocation in the key. We are therefore unsure as to what this line denotes and request clarity surrounding such.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/012	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			Figure 1: Site Allocation Map – Pudding Mill. (Page 422) Furthermore, the “cleared/avoided zone” is unclear. We would request further information regarding what this area is and the rationale behind it being an avoided zone, this will help build a picture of the surround amenity.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9 which includes areas marked as sensitive edge which include factors such as infrastructure or pollutants that need to be considered during the design of any development.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/013	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			Development Principles (Page 423) Overall we welcome the approach taken in the emerging local plan to set out development principles, to ensure that there is clarity of the expectations for the land. Furthermore we are pleased to note that the guidance is less prescriptive than the LLDC’s Local Plan allocation and SPD.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/014	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			<p>Under the heading “development principles” (Page 423) for the site, it is noted that “<i>development outside of the land on Pudding Mill Lane should provide the same quantity of business and industrial floorspace as the permitted schemes.</i>” Bellway objects to this statement and believes that it is not reasonable or viable to re provide the same quantity of floor space as existing, as a prerequisite requirement of the sites. Currently across the site there is some existing waste floorspace which could not be successfully integrated with a residential development due to negative impacts on residential amenity and impacts on noise and highways which would ultimately be to the detriment of residents. Therefore, waste uses are not considered to be appropriate within close proximity of residential units.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is important to maintain the permitted level of industrial floorspace on sites to meet our need for industrial floorspace set out in the Employment Land Review and to avoid it being reduced on a piecemeal basis. Existing industrial uses will be assessed against the development principles as well the employment policies, which has been clarified in the site allocation. This policy approach to the waste use has now changed to move this text to the development principles and to add further detail on the requirements for the waste use. Please see the new wording in N8.SA9. The change you have requested as not been made as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as waste sites are strategic pieces of phasing and implementation on site allocations that need to be considered in accordance with the requirements of the London Plan. Adequate consideration of the loss of these uses will be a key consideration in determining the acceptability of any planning application on the site allocation.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/015	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			In order for the forthcoming Local Plan to be considered sound, it must pass the test of soundness in accordance with paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021). The test of soundness notes that plans are 'sound' if they are positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. Bellway believes that the re-provision of the same quantity is not justified and does not take into account reasonable alternatives, particularly as this has not been applicable to previous applications on site. Therefore, Bellway requests that the above requirement is removed from the draft allocation.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is important to maintain the permitted level of industrial floorspace on sites to meet our need for industrial floorspace set out in the Employment Land Review and to avoid it being reduced on a piecemeal basis. Existing industrial uses will be assessed against the development principles as well as the employment policies, which has been clarified in the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/016	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design Principles			<p>Design Principles</p> <p>The draft allocation states that the site should be designed and developed in accordance with Local Plan Policy BFN2. Policy BFN2 – Co-designed master planning, requires all applications on site allocations to undertake co-designed site master planning. This is an onerous requirement and should not be a prerequisite that could stifle development coming forward in a timely manner.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as masterplans are an important tool and is a current Local Plan requirement used in pre-application discussions and development management decisions to secure the delivery of key Plan objectives. It does not prevent parcels of land owned by different landowners coming forward for development on their own timescales. It does ensure coordination, prevents developments from prejudicing each other and secures the optimum use of land. It is a key requirement for site allocations to ensure the coordination of the development and design principles as well as infrastructure requirements.</p>
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/018	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design Principles			<p>Heritage Assets. The approach to heritage assets is welcomed provided that a pragmatic approach on impact is taken to ensure that design innovation and townscape creation is not stifled.</p>	<p>Support noted. The approach to the impact on heritage assets will be consistent with the NPPF and Local Plan policies D8, D9 and D10.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/019a	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design Principles			Street Network In respect of the street network outlined in Figure 1 above, the sub text under design principles notes that <i>“development should establish a connected network of streets and spaces that connects to the wider street network and establish a street hierarchy.”</i> Bellway supports this ethos and understands the importance of good connectivity.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/019b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			However, it should be noted that the proposed connectivity diagram does not correspond with applications which have already received a grant of permission. The following highlighted route [see p6 of rep] is proposed to be a secondary vehicle route. This does not correspond with phases 2 and 3, of which the former has received a grant of permission. Figure 3 below indicates how the route should be re-aligned in accordance with Phase 3. It is requested that the route highlighted above is removed from the allocation map, in order to ensure the plan can be considered justified in accordance with paragraph 35 of the NPPF.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/020	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			Sustainable Drainage Bellway agrees that sustainable drainage is considered from the outset, however they consider that a joined up approach between the land parcels should be promoted.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change necessary because supporting text to CE8 requires drainage solutions and mitigation to be a consideration in site masterplans which are a requirement for all site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/021	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure Requirements			<p>Infrastructure Requirements</p> <p>In terms of infrastructure, it is noted that “<i>development should address existing open space deficiency by providing new open space</i>”. Figure 1 above shows allocated areas in the wider area which should provide an opportunity for open space. Bellway encourages the provision of open space as a part of placemaking and the locations proposed spaces are felt to be logical in the context of the growth proposed. However, it is submitted that the areas of proposed open space as per Figure 1 should not be prescriptive or absolute, and open space should be delivered following a site by site analysis, which would ultimately provide a more cohesive open space and placemaking strategy. The following amendment is therefore requested: “Development should address existing open space deficiency by considering new open space needs on a site by site basis.”</p>	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the open space requirements are informed by the finalised by the Green and Water Study. The locations of open space shown on the site allocations are indicative and the exact locations across different landownerships would be assessed and determined through the masterplanning process.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/022	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure Requirements			The allocation seeks 2,000sqm of health floorspace to meet NHS needs. The allocation should direct this to the heart of the masterplan area and closest to the DLR station.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles state the health centre should be located in the local centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/023	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure Requirements			It is also noted that “bridges should be provided to create a new bus, walking and cycling connection from Stratford High Street to Marshgate Lane and a new pedestrian/cycle connection from Wrexham Road over the A12 and River Lea”. Bellway supports the proposed routes which would improve connectivity to the site from surrounding areas. However, this requirement should be caveated with paragraph 57(c) of the NPPF which notes that planning obligations must only be sought where they are considered to be fair and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development. In accordance with paragraph 57(c) the provision of bridges should not render a development unviable. The authority would need to ensure that the collected monies are spent.	Support noted. The change you have requested has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocations do not specify the funding source and therefore at the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round at the point of application and in line with policy BFN4.
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/024	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure Requirements			Further information is also requested regarding the precise location of the required bridges. Bellway requests further clarification regarding such.	The map has now changed due to a change in how key routes and pieces of infrastructure are shown on the map. Please see the new map for N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/025	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Phasing and Implementation			<p>Phasing and Implementation</p> <p>In terms of delivery, the allocation requires delivery to come forth in the short to medium term. While Bellway supports these timescales, they should be indicative to allow for flexibility in delivery as the entire allocation is owned by a number of different land owners. LBN should outline how they will assist in bringing landowners together for a collaborative approach across the masterplan area. Therefore, it is proposed to amend this wording to the following:</p> <p>“The entire allocation should be delivered within the short to medium term, subject to site phasing and ownership matters being dealt with.”</p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site phasing methodology is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The masterplanning process will assist landowners to work together collaboratively on sites.</p>

Reg18-E-108	Bellway Homes Limited	Reg18-E-108/026	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Phasing and Implementation		<p>It is further noted in this section that <i>“any application to redevelop the waste site” at Barbers Road “must provide evidence that the maximum throughput of the Barbers Road waste site has been re-provided elsewhere within London. The maximum achievable throughput of the existing waste site needs to have been fully re-provided before redevelopment of the existing waste site at Barbers Road can take place, in accordance with London Plan (2021) Policy SI 9.</i>”Bellway’s live application for their Phase 3 (LPA ref. 21/00460/FUL) contains a waste transfer facility on site. A waste report was prepared by Axis and submitted as part of the above hybrid application. The report concluded that 97% of waste imports at the Barbers Road WTS can be satisfactorily catered for within “alternative waste management sites in Greater London”. This satisfies the thrust of local and national safeguarding policies. By way of update the Waste Transfer Facility closed down in April 2022. It has therefore been demonstrated that there is sufficient excess capacity within London to accommodate the loss of capacity currently on site. Moreover, it was also concluded that the loss of the site would not impact the ability of the London authorities to meet Greater London’s strategic waste needs. Therefore, Bellway requests that this text is removed from site allocation N8.SA9, as it is covered by London Plan policies in the development plan, and not necessary to be repeated.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to move this text to the development principles and to add further detail on the requirements for the waste use. Please see the new wording in N8.SA9. The change you have requested as not been made as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as waste sites are strategic pieces of phasing and implementation on site allocations that need to be considered in accordance with the requirements of the London Plan. Adequate consideration of the loss of these uses will be a key consideration in determining the acceptability of any planning application on the site allocation.</p>
-------------	-----------------------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	---------------------	--	----------------------------	--	---	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/020e	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N8 Stratford and Maryland We support the vision of improving walking and cycling network connectivity across the neighbourhood, and the Local Plan should mention how improvements to the Lee Navigation towpath here could support this...</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Lee Navigation towpath. Please see the new wording in N8.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/020f	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N8 Stratford and Maryland ...and the Local Plan should mention how improvements to the Lee Navigation towpath here could support this [walking and cycling connectivity].</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Lee Navigation towpath. Please see the new wording in N8.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/021	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			14			New bridge across the River Lea at Bows Good Yard - the location of this is not indicated but any new bridges across the Canal & River Trustwaterspaces will require our formal agreement. Early design engagement will be essential to ensure that all potential sensitive receptors and other concerns are properly assessed and mitigated for, including overshadowing, habitat disturbance, potential for antisocial behaviour.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/022	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Design principles			N8.SA8 - Bridgewater Road This development should support better access from the Greenway to Otter Close and Blaker Road at the western end, and help to address the existing severance here.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as these have not been identified as key routes as part of the design-led capacity study work and the existing site allocation for Bridgewater Road.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-143	Canal and River Trust	Reg18-E-143/023	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design principles			N8.SA9 - Pudding Mill As above, this strategic site could also help to enable an improved connection to Otter Close and Blaker Road from the Greenway.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as these have not been identified as key routes as part of the design-led capacity study work and the existing site allocation for Pudding Mill.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/012	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.37				Appendices with an indicative plan for land that is currently under LLDC control and how these will contribute to future development.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as a review of the sites currently allocated in the LLDC Local Plan has been undertaken. This assessed the current status of each LLDC site and assessed whether they needed to be carried forward into the new Newham Local Plan, based on the current status and timescales for future development. The majority of these sites have been carried forward into the new Newham Local Plan and have been updated to reflect our up to date evidence base and to be consistent with the overall approach to site allocations set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. These sites can be found in the sites section of N8 Stratford and Maryland.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.39				More focus on Stratford and Maryland as the cultural hubs of the borough and encouragement of the arts and arts based development here with high quality infrastructure in place.	The policy wording has changed to add further detail to the particular locations where cultural uses will be supported. Please see the new wording for N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			Stratford is already very dense as an area, ...	Comment noted. It is recognised that Stratford is a high density area, responding to its town centre location and high public transport accessibility. The design principles have been informed by the Characterisation Study which has identified areas suitable for transform, enhance and conserve, which will inform appropriate densities in different parts of the neighbourhood, based on the character and other characteristics. Appropriate densities will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to the guidance in the Characterisation Study, the design policies and the neighbourhood policies, which will also manage the impact on the neighbourhood's heritage assets.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/018	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			...and concentration of more residential housing over student accommodation which is becoming clustered in this part of the borough.	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the requirements for student accommodation in the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood are set out in Local Plan policy H8. In the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood very high levels of student bed spaces have been permitted. To prevent further over-concentration of student bed spaces in this neighbourhood, additional policy limitations apply in Stratford and Maryland around the delivery of new student accommodation. The exception to this is where accommodation delivery is linked to a campus in Newham, which is likely to result in wider economic benefits being delivered in the borough. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy H8.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/019	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.d			Reword d. to say "retaining and enhancing the indoor market and surrounding promenade along the Grove."	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the public realm is addressed through another policy clause. However, reference to improving the public realm on the Grove has been added to the design principles of N8.SA1. The neighbourhood policy, site allocation and high street policies protect the indoor market.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/058	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			N8.SA7 – car park at Rick Roberts Way: support development here.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/059	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			However, though it includes plans for some green space, it's not clear how much or what quality it will deliver? This should be clarified and delivered in line with a standard for green space / amenity open space per person and more generally the need to increase green/open space in the borough.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation specifies the amount of open space to be provided on the site. Open space on this site will need to meet the requirements of Local Plan policies GWS1, GWS3, GWS4 and GWS5 which sets requirements for open space, biodiversity, trees and play space.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/060	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			The road should be narrowed for general traffic (e.g. bus or cycle lanes introduced) to reduce pollution and noise impact.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires improvements to walking and cycling conditions and the public realm on Rick Roberts Way and to improve the junction so that it is safe and welcoming.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-065	DB Cargo (UK) Ltd	Reg18-E-065/018a	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design principles			Site Allocation N8.SA9 Pudding Mill – is located in close proximity to the Bow East Goods Yard. The principle of the requirement on this site to have regard to ensuring it does not prejudice the operation of the Goods Yard are well established. This is reflected in the determination of the most recent application for the Pudding Mill site in 2022 and conditions imposed. References in the Policy that require consideration to be given to ensure the development does not prejudice the operation of the safeguarded rail freight site are very much welcomed and supported.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-065	DB Cargo (UK) Ltd	Reg18-E-065/018b	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Again, it is flagged that there is an omission on the Policies Map in that currently it does not identify the extent of the 'Safeguarded Rail Freight Site' and should do so to ensure this and other policies can be appropriately interpreted and applied.	This change to the Policy Map has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as relevant extent of the Strategic Industrial Location is provided on the Policy Map with priority use as the safeguarded rail head listed out in Local Plan Policy J1. However, the Local Plan policy has changed to enhance the protection on safeguarded rail freight site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy T1 that supports the safeguarding of strategic rail heads.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/020	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA1 Stratford Central - 21 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) - SPZ 1 - Other constraints include borehole, aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/021	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA2 Stratford Station - 11.7 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Watercourse – Looks like culvert - but cant tell the name - SPZ 1 - Other constraints include borehole, aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/022	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District - 10.8 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Watercourse – Looks like culvert - but cant tell the name - SPZ 3 - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/023	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall - 0.63 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) + breach - SPZ 3 - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/024	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West - 34.5 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) - SPZ 1 - Other constraints include historic landfill, aquifers, boreholes and abstraction licence	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/025	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South - 5.8 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Watercourse – City mill river, Waterworks river - SPZ 2 - Other constraints include Defences (not consultation boundary though) aquifer, protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/026	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way - 4.3 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) + breach - Other constraints include historic landfill and aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/027	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road - 4.01 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Watercourse – City mill river, Waterworks river - SPZ 3 - Other constraints include Defences (not consultation boundary though) aquifer, protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/028	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA9 Pudding Mill - 15.68 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) + breach - Watercourse – River Lea, City Mill River, Bow Back River, City Mill Lock - Other constraints include 2 x IPPC sites, 2 x boreholes, aquifers, protected species	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/029	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North - 1.22 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) - SPZ 3 - Other constraints include Aquifers	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/205	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central					The following four site allocations fall within Source Protection Zone 1 (SPZ1): N8.SA1, N8.SA2, N8.SA5 and N15.SA2.	This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N8.SA1 and Local Plan policy CE1.

Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206a	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central				<p>SPZs and the associated risk of development in these areas need to be identified and added into the 'Natural environment designations' columns for these sites. Areas in SPZ1 are the catchment areas for sources of potable, high quality water supplies usable for human consumption. As such, sites within SPZ1 are particularly sensitive with respect to groundwater. Additional constraints will be placed on development proposals in these areas. With respect to the Environment Agency's Approach to Groundwater Protection, the following position statements would apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• D1-General principles-all storage facilities</li> <li>• D2-Underground Storage (and associated pipework)</li> <li>• D3-Subwater table storage</li> <li>• G2- Sewage Effluent Discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G4- Trade effluent and other discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G8-Sewage pipework</li> <li>• G13- Sustainable Drainage systems</li> <li>• N7- Hydrogeological risk assessment</li> <li>• N8-Physical disturbance of aquifers in SPZ1</li> </ul> <p>Please note, we would recommend planning conditions for any piled foundation proposals for allocated sites within SPZ1. The use of piled foundations would require a robust supporting Foundation Works Risk Assessment demonstrating that they are appropriate at the particular location and would not result in a deterioration of groundwater quality.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N8.SA1 and Local Plan policy CE1.</p>
-------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	--	--	--	---

										<p>Without such a risk assessment we would object to the use of piled foundations.</p> <p>For allocated sites in close proximity to potable groundwater abstractions, we would strongly advise that the abstraction licence holder are also consulted with respect to piled foundation proposals.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					The following four site allocations fall within Source Protection Zone 1 (SPZ1): N8.SA1, N8.SA2, N8.SA5 and N15.SA2.	This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N8.SA2 and Local Plan policy CE1.

Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206c	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station				<p>SPZs and the associated risk of development in these areas need to be identified and added into the 'Natural environment designations' columns for these sites. Areas in SPZ1 are the catchment areas for sources of potable, high quality water supplies usable for human consumption. As such, sites within SPZ1 are particularly sensitive with respect to groundwater. Additional constraints will be placed on development proposals in these areas. With respect to the Environment Agency's Approach to Groundwater Protection, the following position statements would apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• D1-General principles-all storage facilities</li> <li>• D2-Underground Storage (and associated pipework)</li> <li>• D3-Subwater table storage</li> <li>• G2- Sewage Effluent Discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G4- Trade effluent and other discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G8-Sewage pipework</li> <li>• G13- Sustainable Drainage systems</li> <li>• N7- Hydrogeological risk assessment</li> <li>• N8-Physical disturbance of aquifers in SPZ1</li> </ul> <p>Please note, we would recommend planning conditions for any piled foundation proposals for allocated sites within SPZ1. The use of piled foundations would require a robust supporting Foundation Works Risk Assessment demonstrating that they are appropriate at the particular location and would not result in a deterioration of groundwater quality.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N8.SA2 and Local Plan policy CE1.</p>
-------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	--	--	--	---

										<p>Without such a risk assessment we would object to the use of piled foundations.</p> <p>For allocated sites in close proximity to potable groundwater abstractions, we would strongly advise that the abstraction licence holder are also consulted with respect to piled foundation proposals.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206d	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					The following four site allocations fall within Source Protection Zone 1 (SPZ1): N8.SA1, N8.SA2, N8.SA5 and N15.SA2.	This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N8.SA5 and Local Plan policy CE1.

Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206e	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West				<p>SPZs and the associated risk of development in these areas need to be identified and added into the 'Natural environment designations' columns for these sites. Areas in SPZ1 are the catchment areas for sources of potable, high quality water supplies usable for human consumption. As such, sites within SPZ1 are particularly sensitive with respect to groundwater. Additional constraints will be placed on development proposals in these areas. With respect to the Environment Agency's Approach to Groundwater Protection, the following position statements would apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• D1-General principles-all storage facilities</li> <li>• D2-Underground Storage (and associated pipework)</li> <li>• D3-Subwater table storage</li> <li>• G2- Sewage Effluent Discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G4- Trade effluent and other discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G8-Sewage pipework</li> <li>• G13- Sustainable Drainage systems</li> <li>• N7- Hydrogeological risk assessment</li> <li>• N8-Physical disturbance of aquifers in SPZ1</li> </ul> <p>Please note, we would recommend planning conditions for any piled foundation proposals for allocated sites within SPZ1. The use of piled foundations would require a robust supporting Foundation Works Risk Assessment demonstrating that they are appropriate at the particular location and would not result in a deterioration of groundwater quality.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N8.SA5 and Local Plan policy CE1.</p>
-------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---

										<p>Without such a risk assessment we would object to the use of piled foundations.</p> <p>For allocated sites in close proximity to potable groundwater abstractions, we would strongly advise that the abstraction licence holder are also consulted with respect to piled foundation proposals.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					Redevelopment of shops on Maryland Point/Leytonstone Road. Too many low quality buildings. Potential for 4/5 storey mixed-use - residential/retail/community	This site has been assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This included landowner engagement. The site was discounted due to its availability for development. Other sites in the area have been considered as part of the Small Sites work - further information can be found in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add] More public space needed in Maryland. Pocket parks!	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/040	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Sort out waste storage around Heniker Point	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/041	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			19			[Keep] Opportunity to intensify/redevelop/renew schools in Maryland - make them real community hubs	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as our evidence base has not identified the need for any additional school sites beyond the requirements the site allocations N8.SA2 and N8.SA7. However, should a school site be redeveloped it would be assessed against Local Plan policy CE4 which requires schools and higher education facilities to be designed and managed to meet a variety of community uses.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Keep] Cycling routes between neighbourhoods need to be improved - safe, segregated, signposted, continuous routes	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/043	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						How can we predict who uses space? Intel design	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy BNF2 requires major applications and site allocations to undertake co-designed masterplanning, which includes meeting the objective of buildings and public spaces whose use and design reflects and meets the needs of Newham's diverse population.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/044	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Intelligent design needed	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy BNF2 requires major applications and site allocations to undertake co-designed masterplanning, which includes meeting the objective of buildings and public spaces whose use and design reflects and meets the needs of Newham's diverse population.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/045	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Why put a clock in the middle of roundabout it is being misused attracting street drinkers?	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy D2 setting requirements and standards for the installation of public art. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in street-based anti-social behaviour department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/public-health-safety/anti-social-nuisance-behaviour">https://www.newham.gov.uk/public-health-safety/anti-social-nuisance-behaviour</a>
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/046	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Maryland - need to get rid of the long wall on Forest Lane Boundary Lane	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its design and green infrastructure policies which require improvements to the borough's public realm and to increase green infrastructure as part of new developments and in their surrounding area. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/047	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					[Change] High opportunity in Maryland for development. Maryland Point/Leytonstone Road	This site has been assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This included landowner engagement. The site was discounted due to its availability for development. Other sites in the area have been considered as part of the Small Sites work - further information can be found in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add] Pocket Parks	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] High quality developments	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D1 on design standards for new development and H11 for housing design quality.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/050	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Social housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/051	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Noise pollution/noise transfer	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D7 on neighbourly development and through the design principles in site allocations where they are located near noisy uses.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/052	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] HMOs	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements for new HMOs are set out in Local Plan policy H9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/053	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] How to prevent people avoiding public spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy N8 supports improvements to the safety of walking and cycling routes, public realm and public spaces, particularly in the town centre and will work alongside a range of Local Plan policies which seek to improve safety and feelings of safety is addressed including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/054	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.d				[Keep] Residents want the market to be support and a local focal point	Support noted.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/055	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.d				[Keep] Instead of 4d retaining the Stratford Market it should be improved	This policy approach has now changed due to support for improvements to the indoor market. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/056	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.b				[Change] Stratford creative cultural quarter needs a new lease of life	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy N8 and the site allocation for N8.SA1 already support new and improved cultural uses and public realm in the Cultural Quarter.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/057	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Change] Making Maryland safer to walk and cycle: wider pavements, cycle lanes on Leytonstone Road, Maryland Point	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.

Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/058	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland				12		[Change] Roads are barriers between LTNs	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	----	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/059	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Change] Greening roads	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies which will enable the creation of green links in the neighbourhood. Please see the amended wording in N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Affordable housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add] No development on parks. Parks must be protected and saved for people in entirety	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development on open space is addressed through Local Plan Policy GWS1. The approach to site allocations and open space is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Dev around Canning Town	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. Policy N5 addresses Canning Town.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/063	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		Vision				[Change] Maryland vision missing - plans are all about Stratford	A change to this policy approach has been made to add further detail about the uses that will be supported in Maryland Local Centre as well as an improved public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/064	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Keep] We agree with points 17	Support noted.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/065	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Keep] We agree with points 15	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/066	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			18			[Keep] We agree with points 18	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/067	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] More affordable nurseries	The Local Plan addresses this topic through SI4 and early years' provision in certain site allocations where a need has been identified. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the education department are able to help with options for free or reduced childcare <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/children-families/childcare-early-years-education">https://www.newham.gov.uk/children-families/childcare-early-years-education</a> .
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/068	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add] We would like more open spaces (i.e. local parks)	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/069	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Add] More cycle lanes and cycling facilities	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/070	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] We would like the concrete wall along the rail lines to be removed and green bushes or trees to be put in its space	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its design and green infrastructure policies which require improvements to the borough's public realm and to increase green infrastructure as part of new developments and in their surrounding area. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		Neighbourhood boundary				We should be entirely within Maryland - UEL [see annotation on map on rep Reg-Af-001b]	This neighbourhood boundary has now changed to include the UEL campus and the conservation area in the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/073	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.76				If we want better air quality we have to limit private use of cars	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments and the transport policies which set the standards and requirements for car parking in new developments.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/076	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			Should help improve cycling routes between Maryland and Olympic Park, including the wider area	This policy wording has now changed to require the site to improve connectivity to the wider Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA10.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep] Are we rubber stamping the council's plans?	The purpose of the Local Plan Assemblies was to hear local residents' views on the draft neighbourhood policies and site allocations. These comments have been considered alongside other comments received during the consultation on the Draft Local Plan and changes to the policies and site allocations have been made. The responses to those comments are set out in this consultation report. A further round of consultation will be undertaken where residents have another opportunity to comment on the Local Plan policies.

Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/078	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			12		[Keep] Issue with the traffic calming scheme - is it improving pollution?	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	----	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Keep] A community garden for Maryland (like the one in Forest Gate)	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhood's site allocations have also been updated to reflect the need for different types of open space. Please see the new wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Keep] We need better cycling routes in town centres	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			16			[Change] Have they tested the air quality on Forest Lane, especially houses on the left side	The Local Plan addresses this topic through policies which require new development to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the noise and pollution department are able to help, with information on air quality monitoring in the borough: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/public-health-safety/air-quality-newham#:~:text=Newham's%20Network%20of%20Air%20Quality,fine%20particles%20and%20carbon%20monoxide">https://www.newham.gov.uk/public-health-safety/air-quality-newham#:~:text=Newham's%20Network%20of%20Air%20Quality,fine%20particles%20and%20carbon%20monoxide</a> .

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/082	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] When are we going to start using sustainable materials for building houses?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Climate Emergency policies, particularly Local Plan policy CE1 which requires development to be resource-efficient, which includes considering the use of materials.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/083	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Recycling - underground recycling points?	The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.  We have also provided them with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/084	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Recycling - free bulk collections	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy. You can book a bulky waste collection for large unwanted items including hard plastics and bulky goods such as furniture, fridges, freezers or TVs.</p> <p>We have also provided them with your comments.</p>
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/085	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Recycling - explanation of what happens to rubbish/recycling	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through Waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council does not control where waste is transported for disposal as we are only a waste collection authority.</p> <p>Once the waste has been collected by the Council, it is sent for disposal by the East London Waste Authority (ELWA). ELWA are a separate organisation to the Council, and their website provides further information on what happens to waste once it is disposed of.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/086	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					[Add] The council to c/p requisition disused delapidated/illegally used buildings and turn them into pocket parks or social housing e.g. the land opposite the railway tavern (angel cottage)	This site has been assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. It has been discounted as a potential site allocation on the basis that the same outcomes could be achieved by other means because the site has planning permission.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/087	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					[Add] [The council to c/p requisition disused delapidated/illegally used buildings and turn them into pocket parks or social housing] e.g. the 'hotel' (ex tool hire place)	This site has been assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This included landowner engagement. The site was discounted due to its size and existing permission. It has been considered as part of the Small Sites work - further information can be found in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/088	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						What stage are we at in the plan?	The Local Plan has undergone two rounds of consultation - the Issues and Options and the Draft Local Plan. The Draft Local Plan gave residents an opportunity to comment on the draft policies and site allocations. These comments have been considered and changes to the policies and site allocations have been made. The responses to those comments are set out in this consultation. A further round of consultation will be undertaken where residents have another opportunity to comment on the Local Plan policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/089	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Renewal of Forest Gate	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy N15 which addresses Forest Gate.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/090	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Way to avoid dealing with issues	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/091	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Contribution to local resources?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy BNF4 requires development to pay Community Infrastructure Levy charges applicable to their land use and location and to enter into Section 106 agreements to provide affordable housing requirements and any other requirements to mitigate the impact of their development. These can then fund improvements to infrastructure and the environment and ensure current and future residents have access to the facilities they need.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/092	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Add] Cycle lanes - taking popular routes/scenic routes	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/093	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Concern over HMOs - preferential treatment	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirements for new HMOs are set out in Local Plan policy H9.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/100	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Division between Stratford and Maryland	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood vision is to bring the distinct areas of the neighbourhood together, bringing together new and existing communities. This includes better walking and cycling routes between Stratford and Maryland in particular.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/101	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			Bicycle routes - how to figure out	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/005	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					In some cases, the DLP lacks consistency with the adopted development and design principles of the LLDC Local Plan which many sites, including IQLN, have been using in bringing forward plan-led development.	The site allocations in the Draft Newham Local Plan have been drafted to take account of the planning history of sites, the adopted LLDC Local Plan and the evidence base produced to support the Draft Local Plan to develop the development and design principles and infrastructure requirements for each site.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/006	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			Hadley supports the overarching vision of the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood to be a "safe, fair and lively neighbourhood with a mix of uses".	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			It also supports that Policy N8 should reflect the ambition in Figure A1.1 of the London Plan and Policy 3.1: Stratford Metropolitan Centre of the LLDC Local Plan that Stratford Town Centre will be promoted to function as a potential future International Centre.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this policy clause already recognises the potential of the international centre and has been informed by the Newham Retail and Leisure Needs Study 2022. However, please see the updates to the vision for Stratford and Maryland and the development principles of the relevant site allocations which has been amended to further highlight the potential of the international centre.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/008	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			In this context, Policy N8 should be updated to reflect the principles in the LLDC Local Plan, such as directing large-scale town centre uses to within the centre boundary; supporting growth in office floorspace; and delivering new residential accommodation in appropriate locations throughout the centre. This will meet the objectives of London Plan Policy SD8 Town Centre Network which recognises there is high commercial and residential growth potential in this strategic area for regeneration.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this policy clause already reference opportunities for growth in homes and office and recognises the potential of the international centre and has been informed by the Newham Retail and Leisure Needs Study 2022. However, please see the updates to the vision for Stratford and Maryland and the development principles of the relevant site allocations which has been amended to further highlight the potential of the international centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/009	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		1.14				In making these changes, the policy would also be consistent with paragraph 1.14 of the DLP that Stratford Metropolitan Centre "has the potential to become an International Centre on a par with London's central centres"	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this policy clause already recognises the potential of the international centre and has been informed by the Newham Retail and Leisure Needs Study 2022. However, please see the updates to the vision for Stratford and Maryland and the development principles of the relevant site allocations which has been amended to further highlight the potential of the international centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			Stratford Town Centre's current status as a Metropolitan Centre and its potential to become an International Centre is not reflected by the statement in N8.1 that the neighbourhood can accommodate a "moderate" uplift in density. The word "moderate" should be deleted as any uplift in density should be considered in the context of a wider range of issues, including the changing status of the area as an international centre and its potential to accommodate growth.	This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/012	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			<p>Instead, the policy should be amended to reflect Government policy paragraph 86 which seeks to support the role of town centres, taking a positive approach to their growth management and adaptation; a town centre first approach. This will help make optimal use of the potential of each site as set out in Paragraph 125 of the NPPF, and London Plan policies GG2 and D3 to make the best use of land.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			The approach to “moderate” uplift in density is inconsistent with the approach of Part 2 of Policies BFN1 and D3 of the DLP, which apply a design-led approach to making the best use of land and optimising development of sites.	This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/014	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			Furthermore, "moderate uplift" is a vague and ambiguous phrase that could be differently interpreted and debated, so it is inconsistent with the plan-making approach advocated in the NPPF Paragraph 130 which seeks to optimise the potential of the site to accommodate and sustain an appropriate mix of development and support local facilities and transport networks.	This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Hadley supports the inclusion of the development sites in the N8 neighbourhood and highlights that development proposals brought forward for the sites will help to increase Stratford Town Centre's significance as a potential international centre, as per London Plan Town Centre Network and future potential network classification	Support noted.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.b			Hadley is encouraged by the support for night-time activities and a mix of uses in Stratford Metropolitan Town Centre as this aligns with its goals for IQLN.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/017	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			10			Hadley supports the provision of new small-scale employment floorspace to support a 15-minute network of employment uses, which reflects its plans for workspace at IQLN	Support noted.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/018	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			It also supports the principle of maximising opportunities for new public open space while optimising use of land and improving walking and cycling routes.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/019	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					Since April 2022, Hadley has been working collaboratively with the LLDC and other stakeholders to develop proposals for IQLN in accordance with planning policies in the LLDC Local Plan	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/020	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Map			It is close to agreeing a masterplan for the site, so Hadley suggests that the map on page 409 of the DLP should reflect emerging plans, rather than previously approved plans for the site	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/021	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Map			In particular, Hadley suggests that a zone of employment frontage is included on International Way, rather than on Penny Brookes Street	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/022	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Map			The routes through the site and extent of open space should also be amended to reflect design development and preapplication discussions held to date.	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/023	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Map			Hadley proposes that a meeting should take place with LBN's policy team to agree how the N8.SA5 map should reflect plans for its land.	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/024	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Map			It requests that the key in all site allocation maps in the DLP is updated to specifically state that the yellow areas are those which are allocated for development	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/025	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					Hadley generally supports the ambition in Site Allocation N8.SA5, which broadly aligns with Site Allocation SA3.1 for Stratford Town Centre West in the LLDC Local Plan	Support noted.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/026	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			However, it considers that the first paragraph should reflect the potential for the town centre to become an International Centre as per the London Plan aspiration and should also emphasise that the “scale of development” should be consistent with the town centre location	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the potential of Stratford to become an International Centre. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/027	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Whilst Hadley is supportive of the approach for IQLN to provide “residential, office, town centre uses in the form of ground floor active frontages and open space and provide a transition to the residential to the north of the site”. It proposes that “office” should be replaced with “workspace” to reflect the various nature of office/employment accommodation that could come	This policy wording has changed to include reference to workspace as a type of office that could be provided on this site. This is consistent with Local Plan Policy J1.

<b>Comment Response</b>	
<b>Comment</b>	forward in response to existing demand and future needs.
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/029	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Whilst Hadley is supportive of the provision of open space across the Site Allocation, the map on page 409 and text on page 410 suggest that IQLN will be the main location for providing public open space in the area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The site allocation provides an indicative location for the green space, which will be further informed by the masterplanning of the site. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA5 and associated site allocation map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/030	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Hadley objects to the use of IQLN to meet the open space deficiency of the Stratford and New Town Area and requests the deletion of “open space” from the third paragraph and that the map is amended following further discussions with LBN’s policy team to reflect design development and discussions held with LLDC.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan’s Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough’s green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The site allocation provides an indicative location for the green space, which will be further informed by the masterplanning of the site. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA5 and associated site allocation map.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/035	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			Hadley supports the design principles set out for the Site Allocation to provide ground floor active frontages.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/036	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			However, discussion to date with LLDC officers has led to directing most of the active frontage to International Way with more ad-hoc non-residential uses along Penny Brookes Street, thereby reflecting the transition to the residential area to the north of the site. Hadley would therefore recommend this approach is reflected in the map supporting the Site Allocation.	This site allocation map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/037	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Site Allocation N8.SA5 requires development to address an existing open space deficiency “by providing new open space, including a community garden at International Quarter North”. Whilst Hadley recognises the need for open space provision at IQLN, it requests that more flexibility is given in the site allocation for the location and type of open space within the area of Stratford Town Centre West	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan’s Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough’s green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The site allocation provides an indicative location for the green space, which will be further informed by the masterplanning of the site. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA5 and associated site allocation map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/038	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			The location of open space has to be determined through an assessment of need and a masterplanning process for the area as a whole to ensure development is optimised.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The site allocation provides an indicative location for the green space, which will be further informed by the masterplanning of the site. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA5 and associated site allocation map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/039	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Furthermore, the LLDC Local Plan which is what the evolving scheme for the sites is being assessed against, does not include open space at the sites in Site Allocation SA3.1: Stratford Town Centre West. It should be noted that the wider Stratford City redevelopment, including IQLN, was allocated as a comprehensive masterplan.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The site allocation provides an indicative location for the green space, which will be further informed by the masterplanning of the site. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA5 and associated site allocation map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/040	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			In this context, the overall requirements for the masterplan have been transferred across the sites forming part of the masterplan. Given the provision of open space has been masterplanned, it is not considered appropriate to introduce extra requirements on a site-by-site basis without considering this wider context.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirements for this site also taken into consideration of the LCS and the site's planning history. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The site allocation provides an indicative location for the green space, which will be further informed by the masterplanning of the site. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA5 and associated site allocation map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/041	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Hadley broadly supports the allocation for Rick Roberts Way and the broad development principles for the site to deliver “residential, employment and industrial, community and education facilities and open space”.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/042a	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			However, it believes that the reference to industrial use should be deleted...	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The approach is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation that supports some business use and would re-provide the existing temporary storage use on the site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/042b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			...and that the quantum of open space required should be reconsidered given recent planning developments.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirements for this site also taken into consideration of the LCS and the site's planning history. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/043	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Hadley recognises the need for the existing employment use and community facility to be re-provided within the site allocation	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/044	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			It requests further clarification on which uses and parts of the site allocation this applies to, highlighting that flexibility is needed to deliver housing and employment on the site subject to changing market demands.	The policy approach has now change due to further clarification on the type of employment and community facilities to be provided on the site, to ensure consistency with Local Plan J1 and to reflect the finalised evidence in the Green and Water Infrastructure Study 2024 and the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. The site allocation provides further clarity on where the school should be providing but the exact location of other uses will be determined through the masterplanning exercise, including through engagement with landowners across the site.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/045	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			. The LLDC Local Plan site allocation (SA3.6) sets out a position for loss of industrial land to require a 50% affordable housing requirement. Given the requirements of the development to provide both a 50% affordable housing requirement, in addition to the Portfolio requirements of the 2011 Legacy Communities Scheme ('LCS') permission, there should not be a further requirement for replacement industrial land within this site allocation.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The approach is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation that supports some business use and would re-provide the existing temporary storage use on the site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/046	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			It is also apparent that there may have been confusion over the Rick Roberts Way site allocation which does not include the now designated Local Industrial Location at Rick Roberts Way North at Policy J1: Employment Growth	There has not been confusion over whether the site includes the adjacent industrial uses on Rick Roberts Way.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/047	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Hadley proposes that discussions should take place with LBN's policy team about the extent of open space that should be included in the site allocation.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirements for this site also taken into consideration of the LCS and the site's planning history. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/048	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			The proposed amount of open space should reflect how open space is provided on other LCS sites, so that an accurate position is established about the contribution that the Rick Roberts Way allocation should provide	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The requirements for this site also taken into consideration of the LCS and the site's planning history. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/049	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			Hadley supports the design principles set out for the site allocation to provide an active frontage onto Stratford High Street and to make the junction safe and welcoming	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/050	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			However, it objects to the need for perimeter blocks “to provide enclosure to the street and public spaces”. Hadley requests that this point is removed to enable flexibility in the layout of the site, to ensure a high quality, sustainable design can be delivered.	This wording change has been made to remove reference to perimeter blocks in the design principles. Please see the new wording for the design principles for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/052	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Site Allocation N8.SA7 requires development to address open space deficiency “by providing a minimum of 1.2 hectares of open space”. As stated above, Hadley proposes that discussions should take place with LBN about the extent and location of open space at Rick Roberts Way and other LCS sites.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan’s Site Allocations. The requirements for this site also taken into consideration of the LCS and the site’s planning history. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (2024) to ensure it is deliverable with the other elements the site is providing. Please see the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough’s green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Please the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/053	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Map			The allocation requires that development provides a Special Education Needs and Disability school. The site allocation map on page 415 should be updated to confirm the position of the school on land owned by LBN.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map and infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-130	Hadley Property Group	Reg18-E-130/054	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Infrastructure requirements			The requirement to retain the district heating network connection located in the RRW site is noted but Hadley requests that the text acknowledges the need for flexibility on this connection as technology improves and alternative solutions may come forward.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation. Energy proposals for the site will be assessed against the Climate Emergency policies.
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/009	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			3			Again, in relation to the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood, we consider there is the possibility that the envisaged quantum of development will have an adverse effect on the historic environment in an area that contains the borough's greatest concentration of heritage assets. While we would acknowledge that the town centre has seen significant development in recent years and continues to evolve in character, we also consider that understanding the significance of heritage assets and townscape character and how it will be affected by future proposals is an important first step in developing a Plan that can appropriately conserve them. As set out in Historic England's advice note on this issue (see here), we consider that greater precision is required to successfully guide new development.	This policy approach has now changed due to the addition of more detailed design principles which reference the importance of conserving and enhancing the significance of heritage assets. A reference to the relevant Character Appraisal and Management Plans has been included. The wording of Local Plan Policy D4 and relevant site allocation design principles has been changed to clarify how development proposals of tall building in proximity to sensitive areas could respond to the historic environment and manage the transition between conserve and transform areas. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8, Table 1: Tall Building Zones, implementation text D4.3 and the design principles relevant site allocations in N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			However, a number of heritage assets within the borough that are currently on the HAR register that have proved difficult to resolve over a number of years are in close proximity to site allocations in the draft Plan – for example Alice Billings House and West Ham Courthouse in relation to N8.SA1 Stratford Central...	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the assets in site allocations which are currently on the Heritage at Risk register. Please see the new wording in the site allocation profile and the design principles of site allocation N8.SA1.

Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		3		<p>As indicated above, we consider that the issues already outlined create a degree of uncertainty as to potential effects of development on the local historic environment, particularly in relation to the Stratford &amp; Maryland neighbourhood. Given the presence of a number of heritage assets either within the site allocation (N8.SA1) or adjacent to it, this is a sensitive location and we consider that the draft Plan should be unambiguous (NPPF, para 16b) in how heritage significance will be conserved and enhanced by development proposals not least due to the ongoing development pressure prevalent in the area.</p> <p>Together with the modifications referred to above in relation to policy D4 Tall Buildings, we would suggest that further detail as to how development proposals (including those for tall buildings) will achieve the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment should be included within the site allocation text. This could further draw on analysis undertaken as part of the characterisation study as well as the relevant conservation area appraisals and management plans adopted by the Council. What we would envisage from such an approach would be to ensure that potential building heights in specific locations are clearly understood, and any adverse effects on individual heritage assets, including through their setting, are identified and</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the addition of more detailed design principles which reference the importance of conserving and enhancing the significance of heritage assets. A reference to the relevant Character Appraisal and Management Plans has been included. The wording of Local Plan Policy D4 and relevant site allocation design principles has been changed to clarify how development proposals of tall building in proximity to sensitive areas could respond to the historic environment and manage the transition between conserve and transform areas. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8, Table 1: Tall Building Zones, implementation text D4.3 and the design principles relevant site allocations in N8.</p>
-------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	---	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/014	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			We also recommend that the site allocation text should explicitly identify the HAR status of West Ham Courthouse and Alice Billings House and encourage development proposals that would include works to resolve this. Historic England would be keen to further discuss both these and other assets on the HAR Register to help identify potential solutions.	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the assets in site allocations which are currently on the Heritage at Risk register. Please see the new wording in the site allocation profile and the design principles of site allocation N8.SA1.
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			As already indicated, we would acknowledge that the development context in Stratford is dynamic and that the local built environment continues to undergo significance change. However, we consider that there remains an opportunity to use analysis and understanding of the concentration of heritage assets and existing historic townscape character to help inform this emerging context. Active application of this understanding would align with London Plan policy HC1 and help in establishing the positive strategy for the historic environment required by the NPPF.	This policy approach has now changed due to the addition of more detailed design principles which reference the importance of conserving and enhancing the significance of heritage assets. A reference to the relevant Character Appraisal and Management Plans has been included. The wording of Local Plan Policy D4 and relevant site allocation design principles has been changed to clarify how development proposals of tall building in proximity to sensitive areas could respond to the historic environment and manage the transition between conserve and transform areas. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N8, Table 1: Tall Building Zones, implementation text D4.3 and the design principles relevant site allocations in N8, including N8.SA1 Stratford Central.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/028	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						N8 Stratford & Maryland. Please see comments in main body of letter.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/002	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					Our client has a commercial interest in the Borough, specifically an area identified for comprehensive regeneration and change. These representations relate to Chobham Farm North, specifically the southern portion of the site, as defined on the Site Location Plan included at Appendix 1.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					Prior to commenting specifically on the Draft Newham Local Plan, we set out a high level overview of our clients interest in the Borough, identifying the land that is subject to my clients interest, its context and history. Hollybrook are in the process of securing the purchase of the land identified in red below, shown on a Site Local Plan at Appendix 1.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/008	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					The site comprises ~0.40 ha of land split into 2 levels via a retaining wall. The eastern part of the land falls within the ownership of London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) and so is publicly owned land. According to planning policy, it is currently in Class B8 storage and distribution use. Access is via Liberty Bridge Road. The western part of the site is owned by London Continental Railways (LCR) and sits ~2-3m below street level. It is made up of scrubland, and includes supports for the road over rail bridge and a tarmac access road. The site currently falls under the authority of London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC), albeit we note that as of November 2024 LB Newham will retain planning powers pertained to this particular land parcel.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/009	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					The western part of the site – the LCR land – is subject of outline planning permission as part of a much larger land parcel known as Chobham Farm, for which hybrid planning permission, ref. 12/00146/FUM, was granted on 27 February 2014 (as amended on 2 April 2015).	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					The entire site (along with surrounding land) is allocated for redevelopment under LLDC's Local Plan 'Sub Area 2: North Stratford and Eton Manor'. The vision for the area is as a "thriving neighbourhood and an area of new high-quality housing, with generous new Local Open Spaces set alongside the parklands of Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park. This is a family focused area of vibrant new communities, a place for families to grow and stay".	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					Accordingly, the principle of redevelopment is established through both the adopted position and supported by the Draft Newham Local Plan. The details of the proposed allocation under the Draft Newham Local Plan are discussed in further detail below.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/012	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North					Draft Site Allocation: N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North We strongly support the intention for LB Newham to allocate the land which is the subject of my client's interest as part of the proposed Chobham Farm North allocation.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Map			We welcome the proposed allocation Map, with indicative movement routes and uses defined.	Support noted. However, this map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA10.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/014	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Map			We support the inclusion of the proposed pedestrian and vehicular movement routes and whilst in principle support the proposals, we seek that when finalised it is made clear that these movement strategies are indicative and detailed design will allow for enhanced analysis which may result in deviation from the simplistic grid network proposed being deemed more appropriate.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA10.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			My client welcomes the inclusion of land for non-residential uses and support the indicative location principally fronting the railway line, however we again seek flexibility once detailed design is undertaken.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Map			We support the reference of the nonresidential floorspace being referred to as 'opportunity for commercial / employment frontage	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/017	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			We would seek that LB Newham are not overly prescriptive about the precise quantum or exact Use Class of the employment / commercial floorspace, to enable a market driven response, as was the intention of national Government through the introduction of Use Class E.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Local Plan Policy J1 directs offices primarily to the town centres and then the edge-of-centre Micro Business Opportunities Areas. This is to manage the oversupply of office floorspace in the borough especially in the LLDC area, as demonstrated in the Employment Land Review, and to support the town centre first approach. No further office space is required in the borough, and site allocations only include land uses required to meet identified needs. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/018	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			<p>The site is not designated as a Strategic Industrial Location or Local Industrial Locations and is in close proximity to Stratford Metropolitan Centre. Therefore, we would advocate that the site would be better suited to non-residential uses such as office or well-defined SME workshops, Use Class E (c).</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Local Plan Policy J1 directs offices primarily to the town centres and then the edge-of-centre Micro Business Opportunities Areas. This is to manage the oversupply of office floorspace in the borough especially in the LLDC area, as demonstrated in the Employment Land Review, and to support the town centre first approach. No further office space is required in the borough, and site allocations only include land uses required to meet identified needs. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/019	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			We do not support the reference to the site allocation including industrial floorspace, noting the land is not protected industrial land presently and the redevelopment does not lend itself to heavier / dirtier industrial uses	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and is consistent with the evidence in the Employment Land Review (2022), which demonstrates the need for the strong protection and safeguarding of industrial land, ensuring it is managed for intensification and growth as well as ensuring existing premises and viable floorspace is sufficiently protected with a no net loss position. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/020	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			In any event the northern portion of the proposed site allocation would be most appropriate for industrial uses, outside my client's ownership, as this land sits adjacent to industrial uses that are set to remain, unlike the southern portion of the site, whereby residential uses will ultimately characterise the area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as development principles set out requirements for the employment uses to provide a buffering to the railway line and the uses to the north and the exact locations of different uses will be determined through the masterplanning exercise, including across different land ownerships.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/021	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			We are therefore hesitant to give full support to the proposed development principles, as set out within the draft allocation, as my client does not consider it appropriate to require industrial floorspace in this location	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and is consistent with the evidence in the Employment Land Review (2022), which demonstrates the need for the strong protection and safeguarding of industrial land, ensuring it is managed for intensification and growth as well as ensuring existing premises and viable floorspace is sufficiently protected with a no net loss position. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/022	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			Whilst the existing use is arguably industrial in nature, this is a low intensity use with a poor job yield.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and is consistent with the evidence in the Employment Land Review (2022), which demonstrates the need for the strong protection and safeguarding of industrial land, ensuring it is managed for intensification and growth as well as ensuring existing premises and viable floorspace is sufficiently protected with a no net loss position. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/023	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			As set out above, the proposed redevelopment of the site would be better delivered with more compatible ground floor uses, such as office or well-defined SME workshops.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Local Plan Policy J1 directs offices primarily to the town centres and then the edge-of-centre Micro Business Opportunities Areas. This is to manage the oversupply of office floorspace in the borough especially in the LLDC area, as demonstrated in the Employment Land Review, and to support the town centre first approach. No further office space is required in the borough, and site allocations only include land uses required to meet identified needs. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/024	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			The site was not proposed to include industrial land uses under the adopted LLDC site allocation and we consider the introduction of non-compatible land uses will limit the deliverability of high quality residential environments.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and the development and design principles alongside the Local Plan policies on employment and design provide further requirements for co-location and buffering. This should also be addressed through the masterplanning exercise for the site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/025	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			In order to deliver industrial uses, both the residential quality and the industrial offer are compromised to appease each other	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and the development and design principles alongside the Local Plan policies on employment and design provide further requirements for co-location and buffering. This should also be addressed through the masterplanning exercise for the site.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/026	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			We therefore strongly advocate for the intensification of existing designated industrial land through the local plan, whilst creating residential neighbourhoods elsewhere (such as our client site), whereby non-residential floorspace is that which is deemed most compatible with residential (i.e offices)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as Local Plan Policy J1 directs offices primarily to the town centres and then the edge-of-centre Micro Business Opportunities Areas. This is to manage the oversupply of office floorspace in the borough especially in the LLDC area, as demonstrated in the Employment Land Review, and to support the town centre first approach. No further office space is required in the borough, and site allocations only include land uses required to meet identified needs. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/027	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			We note that the development principles seek for the proposed employment and industrial uses to be delivered in accordance with Local Plan Policy J3, a policy we comment on in detail below	A change to this policy wording has been made, with a cross-reference to policy J1 which sets the spatial strategy and functional requirements for employment uses in the borough.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/028	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			In summary Policy J3 allows for alternative uses to be delivered where justified and we would encourage the Council to add this flexibility into the wording of the draft allocation for Chobham Farm North to ensure that future development proposals are not overall restricted by policy requirements which ultimately result in an unviable scheme due to the market challenges surrounding co-location developments which are inclusive of industrial uses at ground.	A change to this policy wording has been made, with a cross-reference to policy J1 which sets the spatial strategy and functional requirements for employment uses in the borough.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/029	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			We are supportive of the overarching design principles defined in the draft allocation.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/030	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			We welcome the flexibility given with regard to development heights, layouts and massing	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/031	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			We consider that development design is best detailed at planning application stage when the consultant team have considered detailed design matters, however we welcome the overarching vision.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-068	Hollybrook Homes	Reg18-E-068/086	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			However, we would ask that the Council are not overly prescriptive in their requirements for specific types of employment floorspace for site allocations, including N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North, to ensure that proposals can provide an appropriate and up to date response to market conditions and challenges, alongside other key objectives such as optimising site capacity.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and is consistent with the evidence in the Employment Land Review (2022), which demonstrates the need for the strong protection and safeguarding of industrial land, ensuring it is managed for intensification and growth as well as ensuring existing premises and viable floorspace is sufficiently protected with a no net loss position. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-105	IQL South	Reg18-E-105/001	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					<p>We are instructed to submit representations on the London Borough of Newham's Draft Local Plan on behalf of the International Quarter London (IQL) South.</p> <p>IQL South is located in the Stratford Metropolitan Centre currently within the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) local planning authority and will be incorporated into the London Borough of Newham Local Plan Refresh. IQL South is the main commercial office district of the Stratford City Outline Planning Permission (SC OPP) (ref: 10/90641/EXTODA) and when complete will provide a range of commercial, retail, leisure and residential uses appropriate to the Metropolitan Centre designation. To date three large Grade A offices have been completed and occupied (Plots S5, S6 and S9), with another in construction (Plot S4) and three approved in outline to be subject to future reserved matters applications (Plots S2, S3 and S10). In total IQL South will comprise 320,000sqm of commercial (office) floorspace and forms the main strategic office hub in Stratford and a key driver for the local economy and employment in the area.</p>	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-105	IQL South	Reg18-E-105/004	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					Subsequently, our representations are focussed around ensuring the emerging policy is consistent with existing consents across IQL South and the long-term interest in the successful growth of Stratford Metropolitan Centre.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-105	IQL South	Reg18-E-105/007	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			IQL South is the main commercial office district in Stratford and the focussing of major office floorspace in the Stratford Metropolitan Centre is supported to help establish and strengthen the strategic office hub in Stratford.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-105	IQL South	Reg18-E-105/019	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			IQL South is a mixed-use district comprising commercial offices, leisure, retail and residential plots in a highly accessible location with a PTAL of 6b.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-105	IQL South	Reg18-E-105/043	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					IQL South supports the general principles for the Site Allocation	Support noted.
Reg18-E-105	IQL South	Reg18-E-105/045	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Furthermore, research and development (Class E(g)(ii)) would be a compatible and complementary use in the Metropolitan Centre and we would recommend adding this use to the IQL South development principles.	This policy approach has now changed to add office-related research and development to the development principles, which is consistent with Local Plan Policy J1. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-096	L&Q	Reg18-E-096/027	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		Neighbourhood boundary				<p>L&amp;Q has many properties across the borough. This includes individual properties on streets, housing estates as well as new flatted residential developments. We have a large concentration of properties in and around the Stratford area, where our head office is located. The stock falls across 2 neighbourhood areas - Stratford and Maryland Neighbourhood area (ref N8) and West Ham Neighbourhood area (ref N9). <u>Neighbourhoods</u></p> <p>[...] We consider the area behind The Broadway, around Victoria Street, and along West Ham Lane up to Stratford Park to share characteristics of the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood as a mixed-use area, with access (within 15 minutes) to the Metropolitan Centre of Stratford. As such, we believe the boundary for the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood area should be changed to reflect this. This would enable comprehensive change in the area, including the improvement of permeability and accessibility through the area, and natural surveillance in line with LBN's aspiration for the neighbourhood.</p>	<p>A change to this neighbourhood boundary has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position in the Newham Characterisation Study and maintains the difference in character between the high street character on West Ham Lane and the character to the east and west. The neighbourhood boundary will not prevent any site coming forward for development and the masterplan requirements would address concerns about comprehensive development and routes to improve permeability and accessibility.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-067	LCR	Reg18-E-067/001	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					I am writing on behalf of Network Rail (NR) and LCR to make a representation on the London Borough of Newham (LBN) Draft Local Plan (Regulation 18) in respect of the property known as 'Land to the south of Anthems Way, Stratford, E20' ('the Site'). We have previously submitted a representation in respect of the Site through the LBN Call for Sites process. I have attached a copy of our submission dated 14 December 2021 as Annex 1 [see attachments]. This submission argued for the Site to be considered for a high-density residential development. We understand the Site was assessed by LBN but dismissed due to concerns around the impact of rail noise on the suitability of the Site.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-067	LCR	Reg18-E-067/002	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					<p>[review also attachments] As part of the Regulation 18 consultation, we would ask that the decision not to allocate the Site is reconsidered. In support of this representation we include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We have not replicated the information and case made as part of the Call for Sites submission but would ask that this and the arguments made are considered as part of the Regulation 18 consultation process;</li> <li>2. Since the call for sites submission was made, a series of pre-application meetings were held with the London Legacy Development Corporation (LDDC) which concluded that development of the Site for a residential led mixed use development was acceptable in principle, but with caveats about justifying the potential height of building. I have attached a copy of the pre-application feedback letter received dated 18 July 2022 as Annex 2;</li> <li>3. In respect of the concerns around noise, we would submit that in a high-density urban location such as London there are numerous examples of successful residential development next to railways lines, and indeed such developments are important to meeting pressing housing needs in the capital. In Stratford in particular, which is crossed by both NRIL, DLR and HS1, there are already several consented examples of residential schemes next to railway tracks including: East Village; Chobham Farm; Stratford Bus Layover;</li> </ol>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. The information submitted at Regulation 18 has been considered. Environmental issues regarding the site were highlighted but a further assessment of the site has concluded not to allocate this site for development because it is considered that the same outcomes could be achieved by other means, such as through the development management process. Further information about the approach to allocating sites is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The decision to not allocate the site does not prevent an application being made for development.</p>
-------------	-----	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	----------	--	--	--	--	---	--

										Glasshouse Gardens; and Manhattan Lofts Gardens to name a few;	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Reg18-E-067	LCR	Reg18-E-067/003	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site				<p>We understand that detailed noise assessments have not been undertaken on behalf of the borough in concluding this. It is should be noted that in September 2021, Network Rail appointed Robinson Kenning &amp; Gallagher (RKG)/Acoustics Plus Ltd (APL)<sup>1</sup> to provide an ambient noise assessment in connection with the site's suitability for residential development on the core Site. The report included an external noise survey and ambient noise assessment and considered relevant noise policy. The report concluded that 'With the [above] policies / principles in mind and based on the background noise levels documented, RKG / APL are satisfied that acoustically the site can be considered suitable for residential redevelopment'. With good acoustic design it is possible to mitigate noise to acceptable levels, particularly when coupled with the building thermal improvements required under the Building Regulations. RKG were satisfied that acoustically the Site can be considered suitable for residential development; 2</p> <p>1 Robinson Kenning &amp; Gallagher (RKG) are design architects and were appointed by Network Rail to provide an overview of the current external noise climate adjacent to Stratford International Station. Acoustics Plus Ltd (APL) were RKG's acoustic engineers. APL are registered members of The Association of Noise Consultants (ANC).</p> <p>2 Report on deals with triangle site – the call for sites was also for over track</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. The information submitted at Regulation 18 has been considered. Environmental issues regarding the site were highlighted but a further assessment of the site has concluded not to allocate this site for development because it is considered that the same outcomes could be achieved by other means, such as through the development management process. Further information about the approach to allocating sites is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The decision to not allocate the site does not prevent an application being made for development.</p>
-------------	-----	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	----------	--	--	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-067	LCR	Reg18-E-067/004	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					<p>In summary, the Site is in a highly accessible location with a PTAL of 6b and is close to several tall high-density developments. It is currently not allocated but provides an excellent opportunity for development in a sustainable location providing much needed homes. The development of the Site also provides an opportunity for a new active urban corner that will improve legibility, wayfinding, and the experience of a key north-south route along Olympic Park Avenue. We believe the Site should be allocated for development, or as a minimum the boundary of Site Allocation N8.SA5 (Stratford Town Centre West) be amended to include the Site. We would be happy to provide further information or clarification or to meet as necessary during the next stage of the process to discuss the proposed development of the Site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. The information submitted at Regulation 18 has been considered. Environmental issues regarding the site were highlighted but a further assessment of the site has concluded not to allocate this site for development because it is considered that the same outcomes could be achieved by other means, such as through the development management process. Further information about the approach to allocating sites is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The decision to not allocate the site does not prevent an application being made for development.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/037a	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			<p><b>Neighbourhoods</b> The Authority notes the new section within the draft Local Plan focused on the Neighbourhoods. Three of the neighbourhoods incorporate parts of the Regional Park within their areas; namely 'Stratford and Maryland'... The vision section for each of the above should include a reference to the Regional Park.</p>	This policy approach has now changed to include reference to the Regional Park in the neighbourhood profile. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile for N8.
Reg18-E-097	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Reg18-E-097/038	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						<p><b>Stratford and Maryland</b> The following would be appropriate for the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood vision policy section: <i>“Support the ongoing development and enhancement of the Lee Valley VeloPark on the QEOP as a world class cycling venue for track, BMX, road and mountain biking and as a venue for major events”</i>. This would sit alongside the existing vision policy under bullet 17 which states: “retain existing mature trees and maximising the provision of new open space and green infrastructure and the opportunities to increase biodiversity and improve access to the Olympic Park” and under bullet 18 which states: “Improving exiting access points and creating new access points to the Greenway” both of which are endorsed by the Authority.</p>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-012	Lidl	Reg18-E-012/009	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			Only one of Lidl's existing stores are within an allocated site, and this is within the Stratford Centre (site N8) which is proposed to be maintained as part of the allocation. As such, no concerns are raised regarding this allocation.	Comment noted.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/015	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			16			Stratford - air quality is an issue	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/016	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Stratford - public toilets provision for health and wellbeing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/017	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Stratford - % affordable housing for low income	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/052	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Sad to see MSG approved. It's the last thing that Stratford needs	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/054	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Support the MSG sphere. Don't like the Council's attitude towards it as democratic decision at LLDC. N8.SA5	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/055	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Will MSG affect local people with light pollution. Also how is that light level environmentally friendly	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/056	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			9			Alice Billings House - support this for workspace	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/057	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			MSG will do a lot of good for Stratford - known as a concert venue and will be available for community facility and employ local people	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Corner plot - Henniker Road - was a pub. Not taller than 3 storeys	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this site is not being taken forward as a site allocation and the Local Plan does not specify building heights for unallocated sites. The site is not in a tall building zone and should any development come forward on this site it would be assessed against the design policies as well as the neighbourhood policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study.
Reg18-E-134	London Borough of Waltham Forest	Reg18-E-134/020	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Neighbourhoods N1 - N16 The London Borough of Waltham Forest are supportive of the approach taken in the Neighbourhoods chapter of the draft Plan and support the implementation of development on each of the identified sites as set out in this chapter. Shared boundaries are shown specifically at N8 (Stratford and Maryland) [...], where the London Borough of Waltham Forest would ask to be engaged in any in masterplanning etc. to ensure the consistent delivery of high quality design and cohesive, joined up delivery of 15-minute neighbourhoods across both boroughs.	Support noted. We will continue to engage with Waltham Forest on cross-borough issues as part of on-going Duty to Cooperate and would be consulted on any relevant schemes adjacent to their borough. BFN2 has been amended to include the need for landowners to engage with relevant stakeholders which would include any neighbouring authorities.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/009	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						However, with the LLDC's continuing strategic role in the future growth of Stratford and its surroundings, these also focus on the vision for and approach to Stratford within the Plan.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						The LLDC continues to work to deliver a significant contribution to the development of Stratford and the wider area that builds on its delivery of the Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park and operation of the legacy venues, along with its wider development delivery programme.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/012	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					Another key area of its strategic work continues to be, alongside the Council, Network Rail and TfL, to develop the business case for long term development of Stratford Station to ensure that it is suitable for the projected increase in use while creating a new mixed-use neighbourhood; with greater connection and access between the two parts of the Metropolitan Centre.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						With the recent completion in development of the new Chobham Manor Community, the ongoing construction of the cultural quarter at East Bank and the advanced planning for the development of Stratford Waterfront residential, Pudding Mill and the Bridgewater Road area, along with joint working on the future plans for the sites at Rick Roberts Way, there are significant contributions from the work of the LLDC that will influence growth and change in and around Stratford.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			It is also worth highlighting the LLDC also supports delivery of the cultural and education quarter at East Bank. The advanced stage of delivery of the East Bank project bringing higher education institutions alongside the cultural venues of the V&A East, BBC Music and Sadlers Wells also underline the unprecedented scale of investment and change that is underway and will help to contribute to growing access to employment, education and business opportunities for communities in Newham and more widely in east London. The LLDC Local Plan captures this planned change in its strategy, policies and in the way it plans for sites, town centres and employment areas.	This policy approach has now changed to make reference to the role of the centre as an area of culture and higher education uses and to make reference to the East Bank. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			While it is understood that the approach in the new Newham Local Plan will need to be different, it will also be important that it maintains and develops these ambitions and continues to support and promote the ambitions and growth that will enable Stratford to become the International Centre that it has the potential to be.	This policy approach has now changed to make reference to the role of the centre as an area of culture and higher education uses and to make reference to the East Bank. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/017	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		Vision				In particular, it is felt that the Plan could and should express a greater ambition for the future of Stratford and its surrounding area and incorporate the aspirations set out in the Council's Stratford Vision. This vision has particularly influenced the joint work on the Stratford Station Urban Design Framework and the Stratford Station Outline Business Case and reflecting this scale of ambition in the draft Plan would be of significant help in promoting the case for investment in the infrastructure and new development that these seek to achieve.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as various policies in the Local Plan support increased capacity and associated infrastructure improvements at the station. The site allocation for Stratford Station has been informed the by work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Businesses Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/039	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			N8 Stratford and Maryland Neighbourhood. It is considered that this section of the draft Plan could be more effective by highlighting the potential and emerging reality in Stratford that would see it emerge as an International Centre with significant benefit for local communities and more widely for East London. The scale of change that is underway with delivery of projects such as East Bank helping to make Stratford a significant cultural and education, events and sports hub alongside its continued growth a major commercial centre.	This policy approach has now changed to be consistent with other parts of the policy which identify the potential of Stratford to be an International Centre. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N8.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/040	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			N8 Stratford and Maryland Neighbourhood. There is also an opportunity here to use the Plan to more strongly promote Stratford Station, where key infrastructure improvements and new public spaces, housing, office, and community uses are proposed as a new neighbourhood. This would align with the work being jointly developed by the Council, LLDC, Network Rail and TfL.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision and neighbourhood policy already reference improvements to the station and it is not appropriate to reference the area around the station as its own neighbourhood as it suggests it is set apart from the rest of Stratford and Maryland. The site allocation sets out the development principles and infrastructure requirements which manage the uses required on the site. These have been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Businesses Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/041	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			N8 SA2 Stratford Station. The inclusion of this site allocation is welcomed, as is the inclusion of the principles from the Stratford Station Design Framework.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/043	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			N8 SA2 Stratford Station. The approach in the site allocation that restricts the 'triangle' site adjacent to Montfichet Road is also questioned as this does not reflect the mixed-use opportunity identified in the Framework document and would have a negative effect on the contribution this land might make to the overall station project.	This policy approach has now changed due to the role this part of the site plays in supporting the delivery of the site's infrastructure requirements. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements of N8.SA5. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed uses for the triangle site in the Reg 18 version were determined by a detailed assessment of appropriate uses for the site, including consideration of the town centre boundary, the constrained nature of the site and our evidence for different types of uses.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/044	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			NA8 SA5 Stratford Town Centre West. This site allocation would benefit from being framed in terms of the role of this area in achieving future status as an International Centre (rather than current Metropolitan Centre designation) and the significant benefits that this will bring.	This policy approach has now changed to recognise the potential of Stratford to become an International Centre. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/045	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			NA8 SA5 Stratford Town Centre West. It should be more explicit about the need and plans to significantly improve Westfield Avenue and Montfichet Road in terms of public realm, walking and cycling and connection to and beyond Stratford Station.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the design principles in N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/046	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Site allocation boundary			N8 SA6 Stratford Waterfront South. This site allocation encompasses the area covered by the outline permission for the new UCL East campus. Its boundary reflects the area within the equivalent LLDC Local Plan site allocation. However, the actual boundary of the scheme does not reflect that of the development which has now been permitted at the eastern end of Pool Street. A revision of this boundary would be welcomed.	This policy approach has now changed to align with the most up to date boundary. Please see the new boundary in N8.SA6.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/047	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Site allocation boundary			N8 SA6 Stratford Waterfront South. This is also adjacent to the site identified in the LLDC Call for Sites consultation response of 17 December 2021, the Aquatic Triangle site. This would involve an element of change to the open space area bordering Carpenters Road, with re-provision within the significant areas of hard standing in this location but would also result in a more effective use of the hard standing areas and the environs of Carpenters Road in this location. It is therefore requested that the site identified in the call for sites response is also included within the site allocation boundary.	A change to this site boundary has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site was assessed following the Call for Sites exercise and it was concluded that the site did not meet the criteria for a site allocation given the impact any development would have on the existing open space and the setting of the aquatics centre.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/048	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Infrastructure requirements			N8 SA6 Stratford Waterfront South. It is also worth noting that the LLDC Infrastructure Delivery Plan identifies projects for improvements to connectivity infrastructure to improve walking and cycling opportunities and general public safety in this area, and particularly for routes close to or underneath the Great Eastern Railway lines and road infrastructure. It would be helpful to identify this within the site allocation.	The policy wording has now changed to reflect opportunities for better walking and cycling conditions, particularly close to railway lines. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N8.SA6.

Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/049	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site				<p>N8 Bow Goods Yard. The LLDC Local Plan has a site allocation which, while recognising the designation of the site as Strategic Industrial Land, also promotes modernisation and consolidation of the rail and industrial uses within the site and allows consideration of future release for non SIL uses in the future. This is partly intended to help secure the infrastructure that would enable this change, including a bridge connection to Bow West Goods Yard, which would enable site related industrial traffic from Bow Goods Yard to be directed away from the new community developing at Pudding Mill and the nearby Bobby Moore Academy schools. No site allocation has been included in the draft Newham Local Plan and this effectively means that the existing site allocation would be deleted before any development of the site, and the infrastructure that would support development, has come forward. The LLDC's response to the Newham Local Plan Call For Sites consultation response, 17 December 2021, identified this as a site allocation that it consider should be carried forward into the new Newham Plan. A site allocation here is seen as an import way to promote positive planning for the future of the site, including potential enclosure of the current open storage and redirection of HGV traffic that would result in significant environmental and townscape benefits alongside delivery of high quality buildings, a positive frontage to</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's significant needs for industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review in line with the approach to SIL borough-wide. A site allocation is not required to deliver these objectives.</p>
-------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	----------	--	--	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/051	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			N8.SA9 Pudding Mill Furthermore, the site allocation wording should go further to support the co-location of residential and employment uses given its status as a future local centre, where permitted schemes have been environmentally tested, and have good levels of public transport, walking and cycling accessibility to ensure the objectives of the 15-minute neighbourhood policy can be delivered in this location.	This policy approach has now changed to add clarity that the co-location of industrial and residential in the development around the DLR station would be supported. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA9. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to appropriate as the uses appropriate for local centres is set out in the high street policies and Local Plan policy J2 and this does not include industrial uses.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/129	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					The LLDC Local Plan includes a site allocation that includes the Bow East Goods Yard. There is no equivalent site allocation within the draft Newham Local Plan which would effectively result in the deletion of the existing site allocation. It would be helpful to understand how this deletion has been considered and justified.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's significant needs for industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review in line with the approach to SIL borough-wide. A site allocation is not required to deliver these objectives.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/130	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site		14			The LLDC Local Plan site allocation includes provision for new road infrastructure to allow a vehicular connection westward to the A12 and away from Marshgate Lane where heavy traffic entering and leaving the site comes into conflict with pedestrians and cyclists moving to and from Pudding Mill, the Bobby Moore Academy schools and the wider Queen Elizabeth Park and its venues. A site allocation here provides a strong link between the future development and use of the site and the provision of this infrastructure along with the modernisation and enclosure of activities associated with the site, improving environmental conditions. It is suggested that a site allocation is included in the Newham Local Plan to allow this link and case for infrastructure funding and delivery to be made.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's significant needs for industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review in line with the approach to SIL borough-wide. A site allocation is not required to deliver these objectives. The policy clause in the neighbourhood policy requires new and improved connections across the river Lea, to the A12 and measures to reduce vehicular traffic and is clear that this is required at Bows Good Yard.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/131	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. There is some updating, and correction needed here to ensure accuracy: Incorrect statistics on busiest station - Stratford is not currently the busiest station in Britain.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile of N8.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/132	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. There is some updating, and correction needed here to ensure accuracy: The estimated passenger numbers are incorrect	This wording has now changed to remove passenger figures to avoid them being out of date.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Corporation										
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/133	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. Suggest amending to add pre and post Covid numbers	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we have removed passenger figures to avoid them being out of date.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/134	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. Suggest also acknowledging that the bus station is the busiest in the UK and utilising some of the statistics around the importance of public transport usage to LBN residents	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we have removed passenger figures to avoid them being out of date.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/135	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. Suggest including growth figures from TfL for rail and bus	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we have removed passenger figures to avoid them being out of date.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/136	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. Suggest including the dates at which the station would need drastic intervention and what this would constitute e.g closing gatelines - and therefore the criticality of intervention and also the opportunity. This information is available through the joint work on the Stratford Station Business case and can be provided if required.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policies across the plan, including N8 and the site allocation N8.SA2, recognise the importance of improvements to the station. These have been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Businesses Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/137	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				4.42. This text should also highlight and promote the key objective for Stratford International Station, for this to be served by international train services as a part of Stratford's emerging international centre status. This is highlighted as a key transport objective within the LLDC Local Plan and is worth continuing to highlight.	A change to this neighbourhood profile has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is not included in the Newham Sustainable Transport Strategy and would not require permission from the Council.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/138	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.40				4.40 Minor correction: name of Park incorrect: Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park not Queen Elizabeth Park.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in policy N8.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/139	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			Vision for Stratford and Maryland – this does not fully illustrate the opportunity around the station as a new neighbourhood in the potential future international centre; it is important to recognise that this not solely a transport project. The business case proposals for development around Stratford Station will be consented and delivered over a 10 -15 year period. The Plan needs to be cognisant of this longer-term growth within the vision and site allocation, beyond a single Plan period, and should recognise the strategic opportunity that is presented within the Urban Design Framework and the business case.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision and neighbourhood policy already reference improvements to the station and it is not appropriate to reference the area around the station as its own neighbourhood as it suggests it is set apart from the rest of Stratford and Maryland. The site allocation sets out the development principles and infrastructure requirements which manage the uses required on the site. These have been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Business Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/140	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend the vision first paragraph, last line descriptor of 'improvements to Stratford Station' to match business case ambitions.</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision and neighbourhood policy already reference improvements to the station. The site allocation sets out the development principles and infrastructure requirements which manage the uses required on the site. These have been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Businesses Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/141	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand the vision to be achieved to align with the business case e.g. to include: creation of a new neighbourhood with new offices, employment, homes and retail. For example the proposition for the most significant option in the business case is to add 150,000m2 (by mid2030s).</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision and neighbourhood policy already reference improvements to the station and it is not appropriate to reference the area around the station as its own neighbourhood as it suggests it is set apart from the rest of Stratford and Maryland. The site allocation sets out the development principles and infrastructure requirements which manage the uses required on the site. These have been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Businesses Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/142	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			10			Currently, point 10. suggests support only for new small scale employment floorspaceand does not align with the function of Stratford as a CAZ overspill area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as other neighbourhood policy clauses support the provision of major and small scale office development in Stratford Town Centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/143	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Point 1 of the vision “achieved by” list starts with 'supporting a moderate uplift in density'. To make this more appropriate to the opportunities presented in the Metropolitan Centre and for Stratford Station area in particular, it is suggested that an additional point is added below this “supporting higher densities in locations that have the highest accessibility and connections to public transport connectivity within the Metropolitan Centre”.</li> </ul>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/144	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			LLDC would be happy to provide the most up-to-date text on the vision for the place that has been agreed with LB Newham, Transport for London and Network Rail through the business case work and the development of the Stratford Station Urban Design Framework (UDF) for this area.	Comment noted. Consideration has been given to the UDF as well as our own evidence base when developing both the site allocation design and development principles and associated map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/145	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			Draft Site Allocation N8.SA2: Stratford Station should place greater emphasis on the challenges and opportunities at Stratford Station. Draft Policy HS1 identifies that Stratford Metropolitan Centre has the potential to be an International Centre, with this site allocation functioning as the key transport hub and point of arrival, enabling transformation. Likewise, modelling shows that without improvements to Stratford Station, it would require regular gateline controls (e.g., regularly closing the station to passengers) beyond 2031, and significant upgrades are therefore required to meet the estimated annual passenger movements of 190 million. Wording should be added to the effect that development in this location should be of a scale and quality to enable to support the transformation of Stratford to an International Centre, in accordance with Draft Policy HS1, and to support the scale of development envisaged within sub area N8.	The policy wording has changed to make clear the importance of the station upgrades in the development principles and for uses to support the transition of the centre to an International Centre, while continuing to respond to local need. However, the change you have requested as not been made as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as we consider that town centre uses should only be used to activate the new public spaces as we have not received any evidence on the demand for a greater scale of town centre uses in this location. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/146	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			As per the Urban Development Framework (UDF), the policy should place greater emphasis on enabling connectivity to/from the station, across the wider Metropolitan Centre. A number of connectivity enhancements are included in the LLDC's Infrastructure List (May 2022) that could be included here (73. Jupp Road Bridge (also in LBN's IDP);	The policy approach has now changed to add reference to Jupp Road Bridge in the design principles. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/147	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			A number of connectivity enhancements are included in the LLDC's Infrastructure List (May 2022) that could be included here 76-82: Stratford Station improvements;	This change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation is drafted to support improvements and increase capacity at Stratford Station.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/148	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			A number of connectivity enhancements are included in the LLDC's Infrastructure List (May 2022) that could be included here 101. Montfichet Road/Westfield Avenue highways/public realm works (also in LBN's IDP)). This could be addressed in terms of draft policy N8.SA2's link to adjacent site allocations.	The policy wording has changed to make reference to improving the public realm and highways on Montfichet Road and similar wording has been added to N8.SA5. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/149	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			The draft allocation could reference inter-connectivity with N8.SA5 (e.g. new station entrance at Montfichet Road (North) as well as Montfichet Road (West), improved pedestrian/cycling environment along Montfichet Road and Westfield Avenue, and the pedestrian bridge link to development to the East of Montfichet Road);	This change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is adequately addressed through the design principles and infrastructure requirements.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/150	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			The draft allocation could reference inter-connectivity with N8SA.3 (upgraded Jupp Road Bridge to enable cycle access)	The policy approach has now changed to add reference to Jupp Road Bridge in the design principles. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/151	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			The draft allocation could reference inter-connectivity with N8.SA1 (re-opening entrance at Great Eastern Road)	The policy wording has now changed to require improvement connections between the Stratford Central site and N8.SA1. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/152	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					Overall, the site allocation should be amended to align with the Stratford Station Urban Design Framework (UDF) and the business case ambitions	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation sets out the development principles and infrastructure requirements which manage the uses required on the site. These have been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Businesses Case as well as our own borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/153	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			[alignment with UDF] this includes reviewing the following the uses proposed on the Carpenter's Triangle site which is currently identified as industrial but suggest should be a town centre site and be suitable for commercial / residential uses;	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the role of this site in enabling the rest of the infrastructure to come forward and its role as a construction compound site. Please see the new wording in the development principles and the infrastructure requirements. The change you have requested did not result in change as we did not consider this appropriate as it is not a town centre location and we have not received any evidence on the need for these uses in that location.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/154	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			[alignment with UDF] aligning Station Street bridge with Westfield Avenue/Montfichet Road junction;	The map has now changed to make it clearer how the bridge relates to Montfichet Road. Please see the new map for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/155	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			[alignment with UDF] reviewing the bus station plot and showing (to align with the text) that bus stops will be provided on street and only bus stands underneath the building.	The policy wording has now changed to reflect updated information from TfL on the location of different parts of the bus station. Please see the new wording for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/156	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			Suggest updating the maps including clarifying the two levels shown	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach how the different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are shown and to provide greater clarity on the two levels shown. Please see the new map for N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/157	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			[updating the maps] making clear how the level relate to open space, public realm/connections and active frontage;	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach how the different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are shown and to provide greater clarity on the two levels shown. Please see the new map for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/158	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			[updating the maps] adding in the consented proposal at Jubilee House in terms of active frontage;	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map and design principles for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/159	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			[updating the maps] including active frontage on Meridian Steps site (NE of the Town Centre Link Bridge).	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map and design principles for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/160	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			[updating the maps] incorrectly showing pedestrian route at ground floor level across the rail tracks	A change to the map has made due to the change in approach in how key routes and connections are shown on the site allocation maps. Please see the new map for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/161	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			Suggest reviewing the text and aligning the Development principles text with the vision/principles of the UDF so the principle of connectivity/routes/public realm comes through clearly	The policy approach has now changed to add further detail about the key routes and improvements to connectivity required from the site allocation. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/162	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			Suggest reviewing the text and aligning the Development principles text with the vision/principles of the UDF how the combination of uses will deliver the international centre envisaged.	The policy wording has changed to make clear the importance of the station upgrades in the development principles and for uses to support the transition of the centre to an International Centre, while continuing to respond to local need. However, the change you have requested as not been made as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as we consider that town centre uses should only be used to activate the new public spaces as we have not received any evidence on the demand for a greater scale of town centre uses in this location. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/163	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			The text and map should also clearly explain the principle of connecting Carpenters Estate with the town centre via non-paying links.	The map has now changed due to a change in approach to how key routes and connections are shown on the map. Please see the new map for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/164	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			Suggest that the infrastructure requirements relating to the station should be enhanced(beyond mentioning the ticket hall/station entrance) to relate to the station street bridge and its role as a concourse and in passenger movements	The policy wording has now changed to add further detail on the infrastructure requirements for the site and to make clearer the role of these improvements as part of the development principles for the site. Please see the new wording for N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/165	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			LLDC would be happy to forward the relevant UDF text and provide the UDF floor plans to aid the updating of the maps	Comment noted. Consideration has been given to the UDF as well as our own evidence base when developing both the site allocation design and development principles and associated map.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/166	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Development principles			The development principles do not currently make reference to the principles that would apply to housing as part of the strategy for the Carpenters Estate and are contained in the outline planning application for the estate which is currently being determined. It would be helpful to include principles relating to the provision and expansion of residential floorspace, including those for affordable housing in a similar way that the draft principles address other uses.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the development principles require residential uses, including refurbishment and is consistent across all site allocations. All sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/167	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Map			The site allocation map indicates a route in the location of Jupp Road Bridge which does not appear to be addressed in the map key. Clarification of the intended approach to the bridge would be welcomed.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/168	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Infrastructure requirements			The infrastructure requirements section should also include a reference to a new or improved Jupp Road Bridge and improvement to the Carpenters Road underpass below the Great Eastern Railway lines. Add <u>"Development should deliver a new or improved Jupp Road Bridge for pedestrians and cyclists"</u> and <u>"Development should contribute to the improvement of the underpass at Carpenters Road for pedestrians and cyclists."</u>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in in the infrastructure requirements and design principles for N8.SA3.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/169	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			The policy could emphasise the potential for the site to contribute to the transformation of Stratford Metropolitan Centre to Stratford International Centre. Suggest an amendment along the following lines: 'The type, <u>design quality</u> and quantity of town centre uses should be consistent with a Metropolitan Town Centre, <u>recognising the potential for Stratford to become an International Centre.</u> '	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as design quality is expected on all sites, regardless of their town centre designation. However, the policy has changed to recognise the potential of Stratford to become an International Centre. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/170	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Map			The policy could be clarified by delineating sub-areas mentioned in the text on the accompanying map ('Development to the east of Montfichet Road'; 'Development at International Quarter North'; 'Development at International Quarter South')	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N5.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/171	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Under the Infrastructure requirements section, add ' <u>Improve the environment for pedestrians and cyclists along Montfichet Road and Westfield Avenue, including reducing carriageways and repurposing residual space.</u> '	This wording change has not been made as we considered it more appropriate for similar wording to be added to the design principles. Please see the new wording in the design principles in N8.SA5. See also wording in the design principles for N8.SA2 given the relationship between the two sites.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/172	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Under the Infrastructure requirements section, Add: " <u>Development should improve connectivity to the adjacent N8.SA2, including the Station Street Bridge at the junction of Montfichet Road, and the potential for new station entrances at Montfichet Road (West) and Montfichet Road (North).</u> "	The policy wording has not been made as did not consider it appropriate to be added to the infrastructure requirements as they are not requirements of N8.SA5. However, connectivity between this site to N8. S2 has been added to the design principles. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/173	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Under the Infrastructure requirements section, A reference to the LB Newham and LLDC project to improve Westfield Avenue public realm and walking and cycling provision should also be referenced.	This wording change has not been made as we considered it more appropriate for similar wording to be added to the design principles. Please see the new wording in the design principles in N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/174	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			It is noted that the text "Development to east of Montfichet Road should be mixed use including residential, town centre uses in the form of ground floor active frontages and open space" is significantly different to the current proposals for the site indicated for which there is a resolution to grant planning permission. Should this scheme be granted permission and commence it may be necessary to revisit the list of uses proposed as relevant here.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed mix of uses are based on an assessment of appropriate uses for the site's context and based on our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different uses. The site does not yet have planning permission.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/175	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Site allocation boundary			It is noted that the Stratford Waterfront site is not included within the site allocation boundary. This site encompasses the new cultural end education hub with buildings for London College of Fashion, V&A East, BBC Music and Sadlers Wells, all of which are under construction. However, there is also an outline approval for residential development in this location at the northern end of the site and so there continues to be a logic for this location to be within a site allocation, as it is within the LLD Local Plan. Extending this wider site allocation to include Stratford waterfront would also be consistent with the inclusion (or future inclusion)	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the boundary to incorporate this site in the boundary of N8.SA5. Please see the amended site boundary for N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										of the area within the Metropolitan Centre boundary.	
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/176	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Site allocation boundary			[extending the boundary to include Stratford waterfront] In doing so the site allocation should reflect the consented parameters under planning permission reference 18/00470/OUT.	A change to this policy approach has been made to include the northern section of the LLDC Stratford Waterfront North site in N8.SA5 in order to provide further policy guidance for this site. The planning history of the site has informed the design and development principles. Please see the new site allocation boundary and design and development principles for N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/177	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Site allocation boundary			The site allocation reflects the equivalent existing site allocation boundary within the LLDC Local Plan. It is also the area that has an outline and elements of detailed planning permission for the UCL East campus, the first phases of which are complete and nearing completion. However, as drawn at its eastern end it does not now reflect the site boundaries delineated by the delivery of Pool Street and that of the remaining outline site at Pool Street and so needs to be adjusted.	This policy approach has now changed to align with the most up to date boundary. Please see the new boundary in N8.SA6.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/178	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Site allocation boundary			In December 2021 LLDC responded to the Local Plan Call for Sites, which included Aquatics Triangle site which is planned to be brought forward by LLDC for mixed use development. This site has not been included in a site allocation and it is requested that, as it borders the eastern end of this site allocation, that the boundary of this site allocation is further expanded to include this. Development of the site would improve the setting and levels of activity along this edge of Carpenters Road and help bring activity to its frontages. The reconfiguration of the current open space area, with compensatory provision in the immediate hard standing areas at this southern end of the Aquatics Centre would also be beneficial to its setting and this requirement could be captured in the principles of the site allocation.	A change to this site boundary has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site was assessed following the Call for Sites exercise and it was concluded that the site did not meet the criteria for a site allocation given the impact any development would have on the existing open space and the setting of the aquatics centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/179	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Development principles			<p>The phased UCL East development provides the scope for meanwhile uses, with an Interim Use Strategy having been submitted pursuant to the S106 agreement for 17/00235/FUL. Additional wording in the site allocation text should be considered to recognise this, for example: 'Appropriate meanwhile uses will be supported at the site, to ensure vitality and community benefit, as individual plots come forwards'</p>	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as BNF2 requires phased sites to be supported by meanwhile use strategies to show out vacant and underused plots will be activated and it is not necessary for this to be repeated in individual site allocations.</p>

Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/180	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Design principles		<p>The LLDC's Southern Connectivity study area, a RIBA stage 3 study by NRP has identified scope to reconfigure the street layout to deliver an improved pedestrian/cycling environment at the area surrounding Pool Street (included as Items 103 and 106 in the LLDC's Infrastructure Delivery Plan Project List). These improvements have the potential to address severance caused by the railway infrastructure, and to ensure integration with draft site allocation N8.SA3: Greater Carpenters District, particularly at the Carpenters Road underpass, which has the potential to link up with a two-way cycle track being delivered as part of Stratford Water Front. These improvements will be especially valuable for the local community, providing improved pedestrian and cycle links from the Carpenter's Estate and points south into QEOP and to local leisure, academic and employment opportunities for local communities. It is suggested that these improvements are captured in the site allocation wording by adding text along the following lines: <u>"Development in Pool Street and its surrounds should improve the environment for pedestrians and cyclists, including the potential to reduce the carriageway at Pool Street and to deliver a continuous two-way cycle path along Carpenters Road."</u></p>	<p>The policy approach has changed to make reference to improvements on Pool Street and Carpenters Road. Please see the wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA6. This wording change has been made.</p>
-------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/181	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			<p>The site allocation development principles include "The existing employment and industrial use and community facility should be re-provided. The provision of the community facility floorspace should meet the requirements of CF1 and CF2 and be informed by the Newham Community Facility Needs Assessment." It is worth noting that the only permanent is the gas pressure reduction station. Other uses have been permitted on a temporary basis, with related temporary structures prior to plans for future use being brought forward. It is, therefore, requested that the site allocation is revised to reflect the temporary nature of uses on the site and aspiration for a residential-led mixed use development as set out in the LLDC Local Plan (2020) site allocation SA3.6.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. This has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for leisure which are set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. Please see the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) which is evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's leisure needs, including on N8.SA7. Please see the new wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7. However, the change you have requested has not resulted in a change due to this updated evidence, as well as the existing site allocation supporting business space. All uses on the site have been subjected to a design-led capacity study.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/182	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Map			In 2021-2022, LLDC undertook the production of a joint Urban Design and Landscape Framework for the site with the London Borough of Newham and St William. Although the document is not a policy document, it sets out the vision of the three landowners for the site, including indicative location of uses, access and connections. We suggest the diagram accompanying N8.SA7 should reflect the development principles in the UDLF, such as location of pedestrian routes, distribution of open space across both LLDC and LBN's sites, active frontage to be provided at the junction between landownerships along the pedestrian routes, and enabling secondary vehicle route to access the LLDC site to ensure residential use are appropriately serviced etc.)."	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/183	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Map			The site allocation map shows a 'cleared/avoided' area. This is an area of allotments and so it would be helpful for these to be identified in addition or in place of this delineation.	The map has now changed to make it clearer the 'cleared/avoided area' refers to the allotments. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/184	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Development principles			It is also noted that there is a small encroachment of Metropolitan Open Land, Site of Importance for Nature Conservation and Local Open Space designation shown in its north western corner which does not reflect the current boundary for MoL along the Greenway and so will need correcting, particularly as it conflicts with the current proposals for the site as set out in the application reference 21/403/OUT .	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the proposed MOL boundary at this site follows the existing SINC boundary for the site. The greenspace meets two of the four criteria set out in London Plan Policy G3. The land is clearly distinguishable from the adjoining built up areas. The green space forms part of a strategic corridor / link in the network of green infrastructure. As such, it deemed appropriate to extend the MOL boundary to reflect the existing SINC designation.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/185	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Map			There are a number of other inconsistencies with the current proposals for the site which should also be reflected in the site allocation diagram: The proposed Primary Vehicle Route should be amended as connection to the Greenway is not proposed, nor would it be desirable/feasible given the levels change and status of this key pedestrian and cyclist link.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how routes are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/186	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Map			There are a number of other inconsistencies with the current proposals for the site which should also be reflected in the site allocation diagram: The 'pedestrian' route shown next to the allotments should allow for a section to be 'secondary vehicle route' as per the relevant Parameter Plan in the recent outline application for the site. "	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how routes are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA8.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/187	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure requirements			The site allocation identified the current relevant permissions and applications for Pudding Mill. The most significant of these is the LLDC Outline scheme for Pudding Mill which includes delivery of the new Local Centre and a mix of housing, employment and community floorspace. It is noted that that site allocation provides for 2,000 sqm of healthcare floorspace. However, the current and previous proposal for this scheme include approximately 1,000 sqm of healthcare floorspace with this provision captured in the relevant S106 agreement, subject to need being identified by the CCG. This figure may therefore need to be revised to reflect the specific proposals that are permitted/coming forward in this location.	This policy wording has now changed to reflect the requirement of a health centre up to 2000sqm and for it to be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery to offer more flexibility to respond to NHS requirements at the time a site comes forward.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/188	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			The site allocation wording should go further to ensure that the co-location of the permitted uses (employment and residential) can come forward within the boundaries of the development parcels, within the Local Centre. All permitted uses have been environmentally tested, and there has been market consultation over the co-location of such uses in this area. In line with the principles of the 15-minute neighbourhood policy, co-location of such uses is key to ensure that new local centres that are delivered can survive whilst helping to address the environmental drivers of such policies.	This policy approach has now changed to add clarity that the co-location of industrial and residential in the development around the DLR station would be supported. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA9. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to appropriate as the uses appropriate for local centres is set out in the high street policies and Local Plan policy J2 and this does not include industrial uses.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/190	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			The extent of the Local Centre is not included in the site allocation map	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how frontages are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/191	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			site of Marshgate Phase 1 development need excluding from shading as is completed development.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how base maps represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9. It should be noted that the site allocation boundary has not been amended.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/192	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			site of Cooks Road Phase 1 development need excluding from shading as is completed development.	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how base maps represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9. It should be noted that the site allocation boundary has not been amended.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/193	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			The development principle that refers to “Development outside of the land on Pudding Mill Lane should provide the same quantity of business and industrial floorspace as the permitted schemes”, should be modified to allow sufficient flexibility for modifications to the outline scheme to the extent that can adapt to future circumstances, remain viable and, for example be able to adapt to the needs of occupiers as they are secured.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is important to maintain the permitted level of industrial floorspace on sites to meet our need for industrial floorspace set out in the Employment Land Review and to avoid it being reduced on a piecemeal basis. Existing industrial uses will be assessed against the development principles as well the employment policies, which has been clarified in the site allocation.
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/194	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Site profile			The planning history text might benefit from reference to Zone 5 of the Chobham Farm scheme permitting employment uses only in this location.	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/002	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South Asset Description 400Kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 2 400Kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and the design principles and phasing and implementation section of N8.SA6.
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/003	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N8.SA9 Pudding Mill Asset Description Electrical Substation: PUDDING MILL LANE 400KV 400Kv Underground Cable route: CITR4 - PUDM4 1 CABLE SECT 38 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and the design principles, infrastructure requirements and phasing and implementation section of N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/004	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way Asset Description 400Kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 2 400Kv Underground Cable route: HACKNEY - WESTHAM 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and the design principles and phasing and implementation section of N8.SA6.

Reg18-E-019	Network Rail - Bow Goods Yard	Reg18-E-019/003	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site				<p>Bow Goods Yard</p> <p>Bow Goods Yard comprises an existing industrial and logistics site currently occupied by London Concrete, a ready-mix concrete supplier located on the western portion of the Site, and Bow East Logistics Centre situated on the larger parcel of land on the east. There is a rail head providing access and a freight function to both parts of the Site. The River Lea cuts through the centre of the Site. The parcel of land on the east of the River Lea falls within the London Borough of Newham, and land to the west is situated within the London Borough of Tower Hamlets. The Site is located within the Planning Authority of the LLDC. As per the adopted LLDC Policies Map, the Site is subject to the following designations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safeguarded Rail Site;</li> <li>• Strategic Industrial Land;</li> <li>• Site Allocation 4.5- Bow Goods Yards East and West – Consolidation and intensification of rail, industrial and other appropriate employment uses would present the opportunity in the long-term for an element of release of land at Bow East for alternative uses. Provide an equivalent amount of SIL function capacity as the current landarea, create a buffer of employment uses between the rail and industrial uses and any residential use and provision of a significant biodiverse open space buffer along the waterway edge;</li> <li>• Bow Goods Yard East Employment Cluster (ref. B.1a2);</li> <li>• Fish Island South including Bow</li> </ul>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's needs on industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review.</p>
-------------	-------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	----------	--	--	--	---	--

										<p>Midland West Rail Site Employment Cluster;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Part within the Crossrail Safeguarding Line;</li> <li>• Adjacent to Metropolitan Open Land;</li> <li>• Adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation; and</li> <li>• Adjacent to strategic cycle network and strategic walking routes.</li> </ul> <p>The western part of the Site falls within the administrative boundary of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets (LBTH) and is subject to the following designations under the adopted Local Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waste Management Sites: Fish Island - Strategic Industrial Location B1a2 (LLDC);</li> <li>• Strategic Industrial Location: Fish Island (SIL); and</li> <li>• Archaeological Priority Area: Lea Valley (Tier 3).</li> </ul> <p>Within the draft Local Plan, the land within LBN is subject to the following designations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strategic Industrial Location Ref. SIL.6;</li> <li>• Waste site identified in the evidence base for the East London Joint Waste;</li> <li>• Prevailing height above 21m but below 32m (7-10 storeys);</li> <li>• Adjacent to Open Space; and</li> <li>• Adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation.</li> </ul> <p>Below we set out our representations in response to the draft Local Plan document.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-019	Network Rail - Bow Goods Yard	Reg18-E-019/012	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					As outlined above, Bow Goods Yards is currently subject to an adopted site allocation which includes residential use. Therefore, the site could help with meeting the borough's housing delivery if it were considered as a mixed use site within the Local Plan.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's needs on industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review.
Reg18-E-019	Network Rail - Bow Goods Yard	Reg18-E-019/017	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					We strongly recommend that the adopted LLDC site allocation which allows for the introduction of residential use on the site, be considered as part of the Local Plan Review so that a mixed use approach could come forward in the future. Whilst the focus should be on protecting the site as a SIL and intensifying industrial uses as appropriate, introducing some residential use could be an important consideration during the plan period if market conditions dictate this.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's needs on industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/001	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			Newham draft local plan This is a lengthy document so I will restrict my brief comments to my local area, Stratford and Maryland - N8 SA1 and nearby. My comments mainly relate to improving the environment for users of the area.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/005a	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			<b>Great Eastern Road</b> Consider environmental improvements. Additional trees and planters. There are fewer trees than before the games, ten years ago. Remove pedestrian barriers on the old town centre side alongside the cycle path.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improvements to the public realm in the town centre and requiring development at Stratford Central and Stratford Station to improve connections along Great Eastern Road. Great Eastern Road in Stratford had a segregated cycle lane installed in 2018 as part of the removal of the Stratford Gyratory. In future years, the Council will deliver improved connections from Stratford to Ilford, Leyton, West Ham and Plaistow with segregated cycle lanes along Romford Road, Leyton High Road and West Ham Lane. Our colleagues in transport and/or highways may be able to help. We have provided them with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/005b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			<b>Great Eastern Road</b> Improve the pavement from Maryland to the rail Station.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. You can report a wide range of issues with paving slabs, issues with tree pits, damaged utility or drain covers, or issues with street lighting – on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2</a> We will inspect the problem within two working days and carry out any repairs that are our responsibility within 28 days. We have provided the highways team with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/005c	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			<b>Great Eastern Road</b> Remove pedestrian barriers on the old town centre side alongside the cycle path.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improvements to the public realm in the town centre and requiring development at Stratford Central and Stratford Station to improve connections along Great Eastern Road. Great Eastern Road in Stratford had a segregated cycle lane installed in 2018 as part of the removal of the Stratford Gyratory. In future years, the Council will deliver improved connections from Stratford to Ilford, Leyton, West Ham and Plaistow with segregated cycle lanes along Romford Road, Leyton High Road and West Ham Lane. Our colleagues in transport and/or highways may be able to help. We have provided them with your comments.
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/006a	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			<b>Cycling</b> Aim to make cycling a safer and positive experience. Improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists on the Great Eastern Road cycle path.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting conditions for cycling and new cycling routes. Great Eastern Road in Stratford had a segregated cycle lane installed in 2018 as part of the removal of the Stratford Gyratory. In future years, the Council will deliver improved connections from Stratford to Ilford, Leyton, West Ham and Plaistow with segregated cycle lanes along Romford Road, Leyton High Road and West Ham Lane. Our colleagues in transport and/or highways may be able to help. We have provided them with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/006b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			<p><b>Cycling</b> [Aim to make cycling a safer and positive experience.] Pot holes in West Ham Lane and Abbey Lane and narrow or non existent cycle lanes could be upgraded at low cost.</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council works to ensure that roads and footways are safe and accessible for all users – with work across the borough to ensure a well maintained highway. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue - you can report a wide range of issues with roads – including potholes, damaged gully or drain covers, damaged utility covers, damaged kerbs, worn road markings or issues with street lighting – on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2</a>. We will inspect the problem within two working days and carry out any repairs that are our responsibility within 28 days. We have provided the highways team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/007	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			My recent proposals about the Great Eastern Road cycle lane have been rejected by Council officers. I will not repeat these here but they are relevant. This route has currently been closed for two weeks with no explanation, an example of the current lack of interest in cycling.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improvements to the public realm in the town centre and requiring development at Stratford Central and Stratford Station to improve connections along Great Eastern Road. Great Eastern Road in Stratford had a segregated cycle lane installed in 2018 as part of the removal of the Stratford Gyratory. In future years, the Council will deliver improved connections from Stratford to Ilford, Leyton, West Ham and Plaistow with segregated cycle lanes along Romford Road, Leyton High Road and West Ham Lane. Our colleagues in transport and/or highways may be able to help. We have provided them with your comments.
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/008	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.b			<b>Salway Road and Cultural Quarter</b> Develop a plan to restore the environment alongside the Picture House, Pizza Express, Stratford Circus (youth centre), Stratford East Theatre, UEL and Gerry Raffles Square.	The Council works to ensure that roads and footways are safe and accessible for all users – with work across the borough to ensure a well maintained highway.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/009	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.b			[Salway Road and Cultural Quarter] Service the low level planters (just weeds and rubbish at present), replace the large red planters which are generally described as hideous. Move the cycle racks back to an area where bikes are more visible to users, to reduce bike crime. Bring back the twelve trees which were removed. This area was part of City Challenge two decades ago, it was celebrated at the time, but has been run down and neglected by the Council over the last decade.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy N8 and the site allocation for N8.SA1 already support new and improved cultural uses and public realm in the Cultural Quarter.
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/010	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			<b>Rail Station</b> Restore trees to Meridian (Station) Square; and introduce an outside cafe.	This policy wording has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study which has informed the requirements for open space and green infrastructure on site allocations and to add further detail about providing new green space and public spaces as part of a station square. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/011	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			[Rail Station] Consider access to the Olympic Park. The railway divides parts of Stratford from the Olympic site; as a result many Newham residents do not use the park (except perhaps to support West Ham AFC).	Comment noted. The site allocation includes a number connection both north and south to improve accessibility across the town centre and the wider area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/012	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			Morgan House Give further consideration to refurbishment. Demolishing will cause unknown pollution for residents, with little advantage except to a developer.	This policy approach has now changed to require developers of the site to explore the re-use of Morgan House for workspace. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N8.SA1.
Reg18-E-123	Resident	Reg18-E-123/014	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						<b>Maryland Station exterior</b> Make further environmental improvements; aim to make it a place to spend and enjoy time.	A change to this policy approach has been made to add further detail about the uses that will be supported in Maryland Local Centre as well as an improved public realm.
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/011	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			Stratford bus station: The centre paragraph of p 399 is perverse. Why should bus stands be able to be under significant cover, but not bus stops? Why does LBN think people are less deserving of protection against rain than buses?	The approach to the location of different bus infrastructure is informed by the Urban Design Framework being produced for Stratford Station and the needs of Transport for London. The policy wording has been updated to reflect TfL's requirements.
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/013	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			(Ordinary bus shelters are not large enough at high-usage places like Stratford bus station, and rely anyway on rain falling near-vertically.)	Comment noted. The detailed design of any future bus infrastructure will be informed by TfL requirements and guidance.
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/014	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			There is in any case a major design problem with that bus station - there is no covered way between the two parts of it, and moving between the two is made artificially difficult even then.	Comment noted. The aim of the site allocation is to address transport capacity issues at Stratford Station and improve connectivity across the site and the wider area.
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/015	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			Nor is there a rain-free route between them and the rail station.	Comment noted. The detailed design of any future bus infrastructure will be informed by TfL requirements and guidance.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/016	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			Yet although there's talk of redevelopment at the bus station, there's no indication in the Plan of any concern for the downsides of the current layout.	Comment noted. The detailed design of any future bus infrastructure will be informed by TfL requirements and guidance.

Reg18-E-098	Resident	Reg18-E-098/061	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		Neighbourhood boundary			Some 15 Minute Neighbourhoods are too big -- eg Stratford.	<p>The Local Plan neighbourhoods were identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022, as well as feedback from the Reg 18 consultation. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be sub-divided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character, such as the look and feel of an area and their function, such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like. The main purpose of the neighbourhood policies is to provide detailed spatial guidance for a defined area of the borough and as a result will vary in size depending on the variety of character in different parts of the borough. They are not intended to be standalone 15 minute neighbourhoods. The Local Plan's spatial strategy seeks to deliver a network of 15-minute neighbourhoods. The ultimate goal of 15 minute neighbourhoods is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15-minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services. The spatial strategy is not intended to create isolated and self-sustaining areas but to</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-104	Resident	Reg18-E-104/005	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			The Stratford Waterfront consent (now known as East Bank) is subject to a Unilateral Undertaking (UU) given under S106 and includes planning obligations to provide affordable housing across a portfolio of sites, due to the lack of low-cost housing on the East Bank site. The UU requires the provision of 50% affordable housing and that Rick Roberts Way is to provide the required number of affordable habitable rooms and tenure split to achieve the portfolio requirements. The UU also requires the delivery of a proportion of affordable housing prior to market sale units and the use of reasonable endeavours to pepper pot affordable housing within each development. The contents of this UU should be explicitly referenced in the local plan to ensure affordable housing previously promised by an LLDC developer via s106 is delivered.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. This change is not considered to be necessary as the obligations set out in the Unilateral Undertaking will transfer from the LLDC to the Council as local planning authority; the obligations the developer has committed to remain extant and should be complied with. Should the developer wish to amend any part of the planning obligation (the Unilateral Undertaking) this would need to be the subject to an application. Any application would need to be accompanied by a robust justification and would be thoroughly assessed including vigorous viability testing if needed. The Council is working with the LLDC to ensure that we are provided with the details of all extant obligations linked to permissions in the LLDC area, so that we can continue to ensure their delivery.
Reg18-E-104	Resident	Reg18-E-104/009	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			In practical terms it means affordable housing should be much more than 50% of homes delivered on the RRW site. This must be written into the site allocation for Rick Roberts Way so it is not forgotten through staff turnover or other lapses in institutional memory.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. This change is not considered to be necessary as the obligations set out in the Unilateral Undertaking will transfer from the LLDC to the Council as local planning authority; the obligations the developer has committed to remain extant and should be complied with. Should the developer wish to amend any part of the planning obligation (the Unilateral

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Undertaking) this would need to be the subject to an application. Any application would need to be accompanied by a robust justification and would be thoroughly assessed including vigorous viability testing if needed. The Council is working with the LLDC to ensure that we are provided with the details of all extant obligations linked to permissions in the LLDC area, so that we can continue to ensure their delivery.
Reg18-K-004	Resident	Reg18-K-004/003	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			The potential for the "civic centre" renovation (old town house and magistrate court area) is tremendous, as a way to add local shops, workshops, community space, and green space (with the courtyard), and benefit from the beauty of those heritage buildings! [A:2]	This policy approach has now changed to add reference to this site specifically within the development principles of the site allocation, in addition to the wording that was already included in the neighbourhood policy. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N8.SA1.
Reg18-E-137	Resident	Reg18-E-137/001	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Development principles			I live next to the development area (River Heights – E152FU). I am a favour of the development	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-137	Resident	Reg18-E-137/006	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Infrastructure requirements			As Stratford is getting very very busy – there is not enough transport for people or schools to accommodate new coming students. It's a very concerning topic as the number of people coming to live here is far more than the infrastructure can accommodate and handle. Not enough investment for GP, transport or education. 16 floors block will join the same GP as me and the waiting time to see a GP is currently 3 weeks.	Comment noted. The Local Plan Review is supported by a range of evidence base documents including the Sustainable Transport Strategy. As part of the Local Plan Review we have worked with NHS partners to identify future requirements for health centres in the borough. These are set out in the site allocations, including in 3 sites in Stratford. We have also worked with our Education colleagues as part of their Pupil Place Planning to identify future requirements for both primary and secondary schools and these are reflected in the site allocations.
Reg18-E-137	Resident	Reg18-E-137/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Infrastructure requirements			[The Bridgewater size should be very minimal – very low rise and clean like EastVillage] Otherwise, you are just going to approve another disaster and the local community will have access to fewer public resources.	Comment noted. The Local Plan Review is supported by a range of evidence base documents including the Sustainable Transport Strategy. As part of the Local Plan Review we have worked with NHS partners to identify future requirements for health centres in the borough. These are set out in the site allocations, including in 3 sites in Stratford. We have also worked with our Education colleagues as part of their Pupil Place Planning to identify future requirements for both primary and secondary schools and these are reflected in the site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-034	Resident	Reg18-T-034/050	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Add to it] Protect retail and shopping facilities along the high street over residential conversions on the high street.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be as the policy already addresses this by retaining the existing level of comparison retail floorspace and supporting an increase in convenience retail floorspace. The policy works alongside the Local Plan High Streets policies which manage the mix of uses in the town centre. However, some uses can change to residential without the need for planning permission through national permitted development rights which limits the control the council has to manage the loss of these uses.
Reg18-T-034	Resident	Reg18-T-034/051	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add to it] Create community use spaces.	This policy approach has now changed to add support for new community facilities when in conformity with SI2. Please see the new wording in N8 as well as the development principles in the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-034	Resident	Reg18-T-034/052	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add to it] Add to green space along the high street.	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhood's site allocations have also been updated to reflect the need for different types of green space. Please see the new wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-T-051	Resident	Reg18-T-051/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Change it] Extend green spaces	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhood's site allocations have also been updated to reflect the need for different types of green space. Please see the new wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-051	Resident	Reg18-T-051/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Change it] Extend [green spaces] and play facilities	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The infrastructure requirements in the neighbourhood's site allocations have also been updated to reflect the need for different types of playspace. Please see the new wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/059	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add to it] keep safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/060	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/061	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/062	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	------------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/063	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	---	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/064	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean up all rubbish	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/065	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/066	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	-------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/067	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	-------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/068	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	---------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/069	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-085	Resident	Reg18-T-085/005	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Please share any feedback you have with us.] Why is the old Stratford Centre closed at night, other than to stop people sleeping in there? It is less safe to walk round the shopping centre late at night, especially for women	This policy approach has now changed to require development on the site to maintain a route through the Stratford Centre. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N8.SA1.
Reg18-T-086	Resident	Reg18-T-086/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-086	Resident	Reg18-T-086/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					[Keep it] More trains on Crossrail	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council.
Reg18-T-099	Resident	Reg18-T-099/001	Introduction	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Please provide any comments and feedback on the *Introduction*.] Hamfrith Road E15 needs urgently make over	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the neighbourhood vision and neighbourhood policy which set out how the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood will change and develop up to 2038. Any planning application received on this street will be assessed against the neighbourhood policy as well as any relevant borough-wide policy. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/033	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change it] Poverty,	The Local Plan addresses this topic by through its spatial strategy of directing development across its 17 neighbourhoods and requiring the delivery of affordable housing and requiring high quality homes for Newham's residents that meet their needs as well as requiring new development to provide employment opportunities as part of the Community Wealth Building.
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/034	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change it] unaffordable housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/035	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change it] and crime	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/036	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central					[Change it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/037	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					[Change it] Lack of street cleaning	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/038	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall					[Change it] Graffiti on parking signs,	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/039	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall					[Change it] no street cameras	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes. Design policies address the use of CCTV.
Reg18-T-103	Resident	Reg18-T-103/040	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West					[Change it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/006	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Add to it] The civic center (old town hall, old magistrate court) should be a site on it's own right, with a huge potential for redevelopment with both housing and open space. Stratford Vision has good ideas on this front and it would make sense to have them included in the local plan	This policy approach has now changed to add reference to this site specifically within the development principles of the site allocation, in addition to the wording that was already included in the neighbourhood policy. Please see the new wording in the development principles of the site allocation.
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Add to it] Add specific development plan for the Civic Centre (see my comment above)	This policy approach has now changed to add reference to this site specifically within the development principles of the site allocation, in addition to the wording that was already included in the neighbourhood policy. Please see the new wording in the development principles of the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-111	Resident	Reg18-T-111/001	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			[Please share any feedback you have with us.] I am concerned that there was no mention of what would replace the bingo hall and the community benefits that it provides.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the current use is not a protected use in the Local Plan and is a main town centre use outside of the town centre.
Reg18-T-111	Resident	Reg18-T-111/002	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			[Please share any feedback you have with us.] How will the impacts of construction be mitigated for residents	The impact of construction on residents will be managed through a range of Local Plan policies and conditions placed on any planning permission granted. This includes policies on neighbourliness, air quality and transport.
Reg18-T-111	Resident	Reg18-T-111/003	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall					[Please share any feedback you have with us.] and how can residents get involved in any proposals?	Residents have the opportunity to provide comments on the Regulation 19 Publication Version of the Local Plan to further shape the site allocation. There will also be an opportunity to comment during the Development Management process on any future planning application. More information can be found in the Newham Statement of Community Involvement 2022.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/048	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/005d	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.41				[In particular, SEGRO suggests that the profiles for]...N8 Stratford and Maryland.... are reviewed to reflect the significant industrial holdings contained within these areas.	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood profiles contains a sufficient summary of the different types of uses in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/049	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Development principles			N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District – The allocation appears to result in the loss of ‘The Cage’. It is not clear if this facility has been identified as surplus therefore Sport England questions whether this allocation aligns with the NPPF, Paragraph 99, and its Planning Policy.	This policy approach has now changed to make specific reference to protecting and enhancing the existing sports facility on the site Please see the new wording in the development principles for N8.SA3.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/050	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way – The site contains Stratford Padel club which does not appear to be protected or replaced in this allocation. Sport England, therefore, considers this allocation is contrary to the NPPF, Paragraph 99, and its Planning Policy.	This policy approach has now changed to make specific reference to protecting and enhancing the existing sports facility on the site as well as requiring new sports facilities based on the evidence in the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N8.SA7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/025	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way					The Site was part of a larger former gasworks, located between Rick Roberts Way and Abbey Lane and measures 0.98 ha in total. 0.07 of the Site, including the Pressure Reduction Station, electricity mast and sub-station will be retained on the site. A site plan is enclosed at Appendix 10. The remainder of the Site, comprising 0.91 ha, currently contains various infrastructure associated with the former use as a gasworks site and comprises the developable area. The gasholder frames have already been dismantled and the remainder of the gasholder infrastructure will be removed from the site. [See Appendix 10].	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/026	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way					The Site is a significant site located, allocated in the Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) Local Plan (Adopted July 2020) and allocated in the draft Newham Local Plan (Regulation 18) as part of Site Allocation N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way. A copy of the draft site allocation at Appendix 11. As noted above, the gasworks site shares this strategic allocation with the land to the north west, owned by LLDC and the London Borough of Newham. [See Appendix 11].	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/291	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						St William supports the designation of the N8 Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood, and in particular the inclusion of the Rick Roberts Way site allocation (N8.SA7) which is one of several site allocations included within this proposed neighbourhood area.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/292	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						The designation of 15-minute neighbourhoods is supported and considered to be a sound strategy when considering the approach to development proposals looking beyond individual site allocations to ensure the needs of Newham can be sustainably met. This is particularly important in the case of proposed neighbourhood N8 Stratford and Maryland which is made up of a number of individual site allocations which will collectively strive to achieve the same aim of delivering a new neighbourhood in this area.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/293	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			St William therefore fully supports the vision for this area which seeks to create a safe, fair and lively neighbourhood with a mix of uses that recognise its unique and important role in both Newham and for London. A high level of growth that will deliver new housing is supported.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/294	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			<p>St William makes the following comments on the proposed vision: Point 1: given the strategic nature of this new neighbourhood, its location within an opportunity area and the significant change and transformation that has occurred already support should be outlined for a significant uplift in density rather than a moderate uplift in density. This should still seek to enhance the character of the neighbourhood and consolidate its urban form. Proposed wording change: supporting a <del>significant moderate</del> uplift in density where development enhanced the character of the neighbourhood and consolidates the urban form;</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/295	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			19			Point 19: the requirement for education provision in the form of a new Special Educational Needs and Disabilities school should be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery and based on a comprehensive assessment of the characteristics of the Site. Proposed wording change: providing education provision in the form of a new Special Educational Needs and Disabilities school at N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way <u>subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery</u> ;	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new Special Educational Needs and Disability Schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the draft Local Plan continues to require the delivery of this school on this site. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/296	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Overall, St William welcomes the general approach to the Rick Roberts Way site allocation N8.SA7 which seeks to encourage a mix of uses including residential, employment and industrial, community and education facilities, and open space.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East	Reg18-E-136/297	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way					St William therefore only has a number of minor comments on the draft N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way site allocation as set out below.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	London Limited										
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/298	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Map			Map: It should be made clear that the secondary vehicle route shown across the St William land is to serve the St William site only rather than being a through route connecting to Abbey Lane.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/299	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Map			Map: Furthermore, the southern portion of the St William land adjacent to the greenway which is identified as an opportunity for open space is contrary to the 'Development Principles' outlined on page 416 which state that the 1.2 ha of open space should be provided on the publicly owned land (ie. not the St William site). The Map should be updated accordingly.	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how opportunities for open space are represented on the site allocation maps and to reflect a change in the amount of green space to be provided on this part of the site, which has also informed the capacity testing for this site. It consistent with the Urban Design Framework for this site and has been informed by finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Study, which has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. Please see the new site allocation map for N7.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/300	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			It is not clear what existing industrial uses are being referred to where it states that “the existing employment and industrial use and community facility should be re-provided.” It is worth noting that the St William land is a surplus former gas site which does not provide any industrial floorspace. Any on-going operational and infrastructure requirements will however be retained (including the gas Pressure Reduction Station); Proposed wording change: <u>The site is a surplus former gas site with no provision of industrial or employment floorspace.</u> <del>The existing employment and industrial use and community facility should be re-provided.</del>	A change to this policy wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation does not consider the gasholder to be an industrial use that should be reprovided on the site.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/301	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			The provision of 1.2 ha of open space on the publicly owned land is supported.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/305	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			Development is expected to improve walking and cycling conditions and the public realm on Rick Roberts Way, Stratford High Street and Abbey Lane including through improved frontages. This should be only 'where possible' as it may not be possible to deliver wholesale improvements given the level changes and presence of a historic wall which is likely to be retained. Proposed policy wording: Development should improve walking and cycling conditions and the public realm on Rick Roberts Way, Stratford High Street and Abbey Lane, including through improved frontages, <u>where possible</u> .	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this approach is consistent with the UDF. The extent to which improvements are made along each street will be determined during the pre-application and application stage.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/306	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			<p>The requirement for improvements to the stairs in the south-eastern corner of the Site should be removed to allow alternative options / locations for an accessible connection to be explored. Proposed policy wording change: Development should establish a connected network of streets and spaces that are well connected to the existing street network and establish a street hierarchy. The design and layout of the site should provide new and improved routes for walking and cycling including two routes either side of the school site to connect the Greenway and Rick Roberts Way. Improvements should also be made to provide a fully accessible connection between Abbey Lane and the Greenway and the stairs in the south eastern corner of the site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is considered a key connection for the site and is consistent with the UDF that has been produced for the site.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/307	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Infrastructure requirements			The provision of a Special Educational Needs and Disability School should be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery and full assessment of the Site characteristics.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new Special Educational Needs and Disability Schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the draft Local Plan continues to require the delivery of this school on this site. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/308	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Infrastructure requirements			If required, the Special Educational Needs and Disability School will be located on publicly owned land.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East	Reg18-E-136/309	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Phasing and implementation			Phasing and implementation: Phasing should be short and medium term. Proposed wording change: <u>Short</u> /Medium term.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is not consistent with the phasing methodology for site allocations set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	London Limited										
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/310	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Phasing and implementation			Phasing and Implementation: We understand that the Hazardous Substances Consent has been revoked so the final sentence should be removed. Proposed wording change: <del>Development on the former Gasholders site can take place once the Control of Major Accident Hazards Zone has been removed.</del>	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the phasing and implementation of N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/405	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Site profile			Proposed wording change: <u>Existing</u> Public Transport Accessibility	This policy approach has now changed due to include a consistent approach to reflecting where PTAL is to change in the future, based on existing TfL mapping. Please see the neighbourhood profiles for site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/406	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Site profile			Proposed wording change: <u>Within site allocation</u> : River Lea Archaeological Priority Area (Tier 3) In proximity of: Three Mills Conservation Area 116 – 130 Abbey Lane (Grade II) Gate Lodge at Abbey Mills (Grade II) Former Superintendent House at Abbey Mills (Grade II) Chimney Stacks at Abbey Mills (Grade II) Abbey Mills Pumping Station (II*)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is considered that the site profile is clear on which heritage assets are within the site allocation.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/407	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Site profile			Proposed wording change: <u>Within site allocation</u> : Air Quality Management Area Open Space Deficiency Adjacent to: Greenway Site of Importance for Nature Conservation,	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is considered that the site profile is clear on which designations apply directly to the site allocation.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/001	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			Hackney Wick a good example of old and new integration	Comment noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/002	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Design principles			[Add] Carpenters estate - ensure that the new housing development integrates with the Elizabeth, Stratford Station and High Street so that is not isolated like now	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles and infrastructure requirements require improved connections to Stratford Station and to Stratford High Street.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/004	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			18			[Keep] Important to keep a link to the Greenway - very important to provide access for walking and cycling	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/005	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Need more social housing on this site to make up for Eastbank	A change to this policy approach has not been made. This change is not considered to be necessary as the obligations set out in the Unilateral Undertaking will transfer from the LLDC to the Council as local planning authority; the obligations the developer has committed to remain extant and should be complied with. Should the developer wish to amend any part of the planning obligation (the Unilateral Undertaking) this would need to be the subject to an application. Any application would need to be accompanied by a robust justification and would be thoroughly assessed including vigorous viability testing if needed. The Council is working with the LLDC to ensure that we are provided with the details of all extant obligations linked to permissions in the LLDC area, so that we can continue to ensure their delivery.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/006	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Previously banked together with other sites with 50% affordable housing to compensate for Eastpoint. Don't forget the need to deliver additional affordable housing.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. This change is not considered to be necessary as the obligations set out in the Unilateral Undertaking will transfer from the LLDC to the Council as local planning authority; the obligations the developer has committed to remain extant and should be complied with. Should the developer wish to amend any part of the

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											planning obligation (the Unilateral Undertaking) this would need to be the subject to an application. Any application would need to be accompanied by a robust justification and would be thoroughly assessed including vigorous viability testing if needed. The Council is working with the LLDC to ensure that we are provided with the details of all extant obligations linked to permissions in the LLDC area, so that we can continue to ensure their delivery.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			Like links to the Greenway	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/008	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			Harsh environment at the moment - needs to be a transformation of the streetscape to allow for SEND school and to make sure the site is accessible	Comment noted. The site allocation requires improvements to Rick Roberts Way, Stratford High Street and Abbey Lane as well improvements to the site's accessibility from the Greenway.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Map			Identifying some of these uses on these maps - i.e. the school location isn't obvious	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map and infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Map			Doesn't indicate where the school would be	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how different development and design principles and infrastructure requirements are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map and infrastructure requirements for N8.SA7.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			Is there a listed gasholder in proximity - even if not listed they are majestic. Need protecting	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the gasholders on this site are not listed and have been removed from the site.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Design principles			Making sure new and old uses can co-exist - e.g. Travis Perkins and printing works	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary because the relationship between new uses on this site and the surrounding uses will be managed through Local Plan policy D7 which addresses a range of neighbourliness issues. The site allocation also requirements improvements to the environment for walking and cycling on Rick Roberts Way.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Map			An excess of vehicular routes	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			Need to emphasise green pedestrian routes to link up old and new Stratford	This policy wording has now changed to require the site to improve connectivity to the wider Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA10.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			Need to link up older residential and newer parts of the Olympic Park	This policy wording has now changed to require the site to improve connectivity to the wider Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA10.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/017	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Design principles			Need a transition between the new and old bits of Stratford	This policy approach has now changed due to additional detail about the transition between the east and west of the site. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N8.SA10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/019	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			Doesn't make sense to keep industrial uses in the location. Very residential context	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and is consistent with the evidence in the Employment Land Review (2022), which demonstrates the need for the strong protection and safeguarding of industrial land, ensuring it is managed for intensification and growth as well as ensuring existing premises and viable floorspace is sufficiently protected with a no net loss position. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies and the relationship between industrial and residential uses will be managed through the employment and design policies as well as the design and development principles in the site allocation.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/020	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Map			Green lines may reflect bus routes	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			If the use class is industrial that could be very varied - i.e. could be a much heavier use	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as requiring the replacement of the employment floorspace is consistent with the existing LLDC site allocation and is consistent with the evidence in the Employment Land Review (2022), which demonstrates the need for the strong protection and safeguarding of industrial land, ensuring it is managed for intensification and growth as well as ensuring existing premises and viable floorspace is sufficiently protected with a no net loss position. The type of employment to be provided will be subject to the development principles set out in the site allocation as well as the requirements of the Local Plan employment policies and the relationship between industrial and residential uses will be managed through the employment and design policies as well as the design and development principles in the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			Consider expanding area available for GRT - G + Traveller	A change to this site allocation has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is allocated for general needs housing and Local Plan Policy H10 sets out that we will seek to meet the need for new pitches for members of the whose housing needs fall outside of the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites definition. We will seek to do this through the Council's Small Sites Options Appraisals and Modular construction programme.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[See annotation on As-001b]	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/025	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.d			4.d Improvement supportive	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/037	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Add] Not enough services in Stratford i.e. supermarkets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy N8 already addresses this by supporting an increase in convenience retail floorspace and requiring the provision of a consolidated supermarket as part of site allocation N8.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/105	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Keep] Stratford shopping centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the site allocation requires the provision of retail as part of any development on this site and will work alongside other policies in the Local Plan that protect existing retail uses to maintain the vitality and viability of Stratford Town Centre. Development on this site could include the re-provision or refurbishment of the Stratford Centre but this would be assessed when an application comes forward.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/107	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Highway licensing for temporary events (facilitate it)	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting the activation of the public realm in policy N8 and supporting markets and events/pop-up spaces through Local Plan policy HS4. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the pavement licence team can help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/8">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/8</a> and street trading licence.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/108	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] temporary events (facilitate it)	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting the activation of the public realm in policy N8 and supporting markets and events/pop-up spaces through Local Plan policy HS4. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the pavement licence team can help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/8">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/8</a> and street trading licence.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/109	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] tables out (faster- cost effective)	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting the activation of the public realm in policy N8 and supporting markets and events/pop-up spaces through Local Plan policy HS4. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the pavement licence team can help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/8">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/8</a> and street trading licence.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/110	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Change] facilitate activation	This policy approach has now changed due to a consistent approach to shop fronts across all neighbourhoods and Local Plan policies D6 and HS2. The amendment you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this to be appropriate following this consistency change.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/111	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Add] Stratford Centre is in the heart of local community	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the site allocation requires the provision of retail as part of any development on this site and will work alongside other polices in the Local Plan that protect existing retail uses to maintain the vitality and viability of Stratford Town Centre. Development on this site could include the re-provision or refurbishment of the Stratford Centre but this would be assessed when an application comes forward.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/113	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			[Add] Improve/increase public realm and greening	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve the public realm, including through supporting the creation of new public spaces, activation of the public realm and increased green infrastructure. This is also a design principle in site allocation N8.SA1. It will work alongside Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for public realm.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/114	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.b			[Add] More night time economy in Stratford town centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already addressed through N8 which supports the role of Stratford as an area of night-time activity. The policy also works alongside Local Plan policy HS5 which identifies the

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											town centre as an evening and night time economy zone.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/115	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			[Add] More greening - trees, public realm	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve the public realm, including through supporting the creation of new public spaces, activation of the public realm and increased green infrastructure. This is also a design principle in site allocation N8.SA1. It will work alongside Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for public realm.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/116	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			[Add] More seating	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve the public realm, including through supporting the creation of new public spaces, activation of the public realm and increased green infrastructure. This is also a design principle in site allocation N8.SA1. It will work alongside Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/117	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Include local actors as important to consult	The Local Plan Consultation and Engagement Strategy sets out the wide range of methods we have used to reach as many as of Newham's residents and other stakeholders as possible. This has included engaging with local community groups or representatives through specific workshops and council networks. Please see the Local Plan consultation report for a summary of the methods used and a summary of who we engaged with based on demographic data.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/118	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			[Add] More clarity about what is changing - concrete examples	The neighbourhood vision sets out how the neighbourhood will develop and change over the plan period and how this will be achieved through the application of the neighbourhood policy. Planning applications will be assessed against the relevant neighbourhood policy as well as borough-wide Local Plan policies. The Local Plan also contains site allocations, which set out specific requirements for certain development sites.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/119	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			[Change] Ensure less divide between the ward boundary of Leyton Road (Maryland and Stratford Olympic Park)	Comment noted. The boundary of the neighbourhood incorporates Stratford and Maryland to better integrate and connect these areas. This is reflected in the neighbourhood vision and supporting policy clauses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/120	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			[Change] More public art	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve the public realm, including through supporting the creation of new public spaces, activation of the public realm and increased green infrastructure. This is also a design principle in site allocation N8.SA1. It will work alongside Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for public realm.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/121	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] More public services for those w/ learning difficulties	The Local Plan addresses this topic by requiring two sites to deliver Special Educational Needs and Disabilities School. However, it cannot deliver then change you have requested. The Newham Families website may be able to help: <a href="https://families.newham.gov.uk/kb5/newham/directory/localoffer.page?localofferchannel=0">https://families.newham.gov.uk/kb5/newham/directory/localoffer.page?localofferchannel=0</a>
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/122	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			13			[Keep] Improved bridge connections over the railway lines	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/123	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.a				[Keep] Protect Stratford Centre indoor market!!!	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to also include support for improvements to the indoor market. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/124	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Change] Stop the MSG sphere	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/125	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Space for church and mosque in Olympic Park	<p>The Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022) explored the provision of religious meeting places across the borough and is the first such evidence base for Newham to provide any understanding of our landscape of community facilities. The report has addressed the location of places of worship to the depth required for this evidence base, which has considered a broad range of community facilities and not just those which are places of worship. The Assessment identified that there is an uneven distribution of community facilities and places of worship across the borough and that such facilities or not necessarily located closest to the communities which may need to access them. As a result, the policies in the Social Infrastructure chapter provide greater flexibility in where community facilities, including places of worship can be location. The policy approach in N8 has changed to support community facilities when in conformity with SI2. Some of the neighbourhood's site allocations have also been updated to require community facilities and this provision should be informed by the gaps identified in the Community Facilities Needs Assessment and further community engagement. Please see the new wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			[Add] How will we balance the different needs of long term residents and massively increased student accommodation and the threat of rising residential accommodation	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the requirements for student accommodation in the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood are set out in Local Plan policy H8. In the Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood very high levels of student bed spaces have been permitted. To prevent further over-concentration of student bed spaces in this neighbourhood, additional policy limitations apply in Stratford and Maryland around the delivery of new student accommodation. The exception to this is where accommodation delivery is linked to a campus in Newham, which is likely to result in wider economic benefits being delivered in the borough. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy H8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/127	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Linking in with relevant services	The drafting of the Local Plan has been prepared working with a range of partners, including engagement with internal council teams as well as external partners such as the NHS. This is reflected across the Local Plan policies and particular the infrastructure requirements in the site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/128	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			[Add] Chobham Farm might be an appropriate place for a larger G&T site - existing site is incredibly small	A change to this site allocation has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is allocated for general needs housing and Local Plan Policy H10 sets out that we will seek to meet the need for new pitches for members of the whose housing needs fall outside of the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites definition. We will seek to do this through the Council's Small Sites Options Appraisals and Modular construction programme.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			6			[Add] library space in East Village!	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as East Village itself is within the 15-minute catchment of Stratford Library. The borough, in general, does have good access to library provision. That said, the Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022) does identify that the west of Stratford is one of only a handful of neighbourhoods that are not within a 15-minute walking distance from a library (the others being Royal Victoria and Gallions Reach as well as the very east of Manor Park and the south west of East Ham). We acknowledge the desire to be within easier access of a library in East Village. Libraries are much valued social infrastructure, trusted places which are free to enter and open to all. Library services are provided and funded by the Council. We are proud to deliver this service in the face of unprecedented pressure in response to changing customer demand and budget constraints. Our core priority is to deliver a viable, sustainable and quality library service across the borough within available Council budgets. At this time, there is no provision to provide any additional library space in the borough.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			6			[Add] Council owned leisure space in East Village/surrounding area	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the legacy of the London 2012 Olympics means that there is significant leisure provision of a high quality in and around East Village and the surrounding area. The Local Plan has a duty to ensure that those living in Newham have access to leisure services. The NPPF requires the Local Plan to allocate a range of suitable sites to meet the anticipated need for leisure over the Plan period. The Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) assesses a range of sports provision - covering all those with a per head of population target as well as some locally prioritised sports. Now this has been completed, this has informed the Local Plan where relevant.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Change] 15. Repair the current cycle structure and ensure it takes priority over car infrastructure	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/133	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			[Change] 1. Ensure parking (if any) is only over underground	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the starting point for new development is car-free. Parking standards are addressed in the Local Plan transport policies.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.b				[Change] 4.b 'remove visitor accommodation'	A change to this policy approach has not been made as visitor accommodation is supported in this location given its role as a Metropolitan Centre and future International Centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			16			[Change] 16. Adding more tree lined streets to help meet net o	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/137	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Ensure leisure for each development	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the leisure needs of the borough have been informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. This has informed the infrastructure requirements in the site allocations based on the identified need. This includes requirements for the Rick Roberts Way site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add] Ensure green space for each development	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N8 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/140	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Add] Ensure MSG sphere is not built	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/141	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			[Add] Lower the amount of advertising	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/142	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Keep] Quiet streets throughout Maryland for kids to play and to ensure decrease in pollution	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes reference to Strategic Cycling Corridors. Please see the amended policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Keep] Stratford centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the site allocation requires the provision of retail as part of any development on this site and will work alongside other policies in the Local Plan that protect existing retail uses to maintain the vitality and viability of Stratford Town Centre. Development on this site could include the re-provision or refurbishment of the Stratford Centre but this would be assessed when an application comes forward.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/144	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Change] Sphere - do not allow/approve	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/147	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Services that people can use locally	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the spatial strategy for the Local Plan is based on the 15-minute neighbourhood concept whereby the aim is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15-minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/149	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Change] How to get the high street more active?	This policy approach has now changed due to a consistent approach to shop fronts across all neighbourhoods and Local Plan policies D6 and HS2. The amendment you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this to be appropriate following this consistency change.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/151	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			12			[Change] No car zone in town centre	<p>The London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>/LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted.</p> <p>More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:  <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	----	--	--	-------------------------------------	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/152	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Improvement to Forest Lane - murals against fences along railway/more lighting	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its design and green infrastructure policies which require improvements to the borough's public realm and to increase green infrastructure as part of new developments and in their surrounding area. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/153	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			[Change] The Sphere seems excessive in scale	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/154	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			[Change] The Sphere - lighting looks terrible for light pollution	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/155	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Change] The Sphere - footfall will increase traffic	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/156	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Change] The Sphere - noise also an issue	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/157	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Add] More social housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/159	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Include more access routes along high street	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy and relevant site allocations already address improved connections to and along the High Street.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/160	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			[Add] More trees along high street	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve the public realm, including through supporting the creation of new public spaces, activation of the public realm and increased green infrastructure. This is also a design principle in site allocation N8.SA1. It will work alongside Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for public realm.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/161	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			13			[Add] Green bridges over roads/rail	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as other policy clauses provide detail on green infrastructure, as well as the design principles in the relevant site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/162	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Keep] Old shopping centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the site allocation requires the provision of retail as part of any development on this site and will work alongside other polices in the Local Plan that protect existing retail uses to maintain the vitality and viability of Stratford Town Centre. Development on this site could include the re-provision or refurbishment of the Stratford Centre but this would be assessed when an application comes forward.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/163	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Keep] Reanimate the high street shop fronts	This policy approach has now changed due to a consistent approach to shop fronts across all neighbourhoods and Local Plan policies D6 and HS2. The amendment you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this to be appropriate following this consistency change.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/167	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Add] More trees greenery	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/168	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			3			[Keep] Important to conserve old building - Old dispensary - it's neglected	A change to this policy approach has been made to add further detail about how the heritage assets in the neighbourhood should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the amended wording in Local Plan Policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/169	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			3			[Keep] Grade II listed houses on Romford Road	A change to this policy approach has been made to add further detail about how the heritage assets in the neighbourhood should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the amended wording in Local Plan Policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/170	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			[Keep] Town hall + Magistrates	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/171	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			[Keep] Balance of old and new is important	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/172	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep] Tiny museum - keep that on Romford Road - important (owned by UEL)	A change to this policy approach has been made to add further detail about how the heritage assets in the neighbourhood should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the amended wording in Local Plan Policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/173	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			[Keep] Keep policies on safe connections for pedestrian	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/174	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Keep] How to make cyclists obey traffic lights	The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences (such as speeding) is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/175	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			9			[Keep] Number 9 is good - Alice Billings + public realm	Support noted.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/176	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep] One stop shop for homeless	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic through its housing policies. The policy approach in Policy H2 has now changed to clarify the circumstances under which family homes can be converted for a temporary period to a House in Multiple Occupation or Large House in Multiple Occupation for the sole use by Newham's Homelessness service for people who are owed a homelessness duty. The policy approach was originally introduced under the Regulation 18 Local Plan to help address the significant number of people in temporary accommodation in the borough. Please see the new wording in policy H2. Policy H6 also sets out policy requirements relevant to the provision of more specialist and supported forms of accommodation for homeless people and rough sleepers. The location and quality of these forms of housing should be informed in discussion with relevant commissioning teams in the Council. Separate to the Local Plan, our colleagues in the Adults and Health and Housing directorates in the Council work with residents who are, or are at risk of, rough sleeping or homelessness, including through our Homelessness Prevention and Advice Service (HPAS). Newham also has a published Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2021-2026, which sets out an intelligence-led, public health approach to tackling homelessness, and improving access to, and the quality of, housing within the borough.</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/177	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Change] Concerns about approach - this is top down in which this is presenting an appropriate to rubber stamp development	The consultation on the Draft Local Plan provided the opportunity for residents and other stakeholders to comment on policies and site allocations. This followed the engagement during the Issues and Options where residents were given the opportunity at an early stage in the plan-making process to identify issues they thought the Local Plan should address. The engagement strategy for the Local Plan Review as including elements of co-production to ensure residents are given the opportunity to shape policies in a meaningful way. The Local Plan will be subject to a further round of consultation before it is submitted to the central government for independent examination.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/179	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Change] Pedestrian + cycle safety/traffic lights especially in front of the Stratford Centre especially Eastern Street - the 2 way system is not safe	The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences (such as speeding) is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service. The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/180	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Change] Traffic/safety in street	The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences (such as speeding) is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service. The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/182	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Add] Mental health hub	The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/vi-sit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/vi-sit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/185	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			3			[Add] Conservation - town hall, magistrates, old dispensary	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail on how the neighbourhood's heritage assets should be conserved and enhanced, including those assets in the neighbourhood's two conservation areas. Please see the new wording in N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/186	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			15			[Add] Traffic + pedestrian/cycling	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording for N8.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/187	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland				9		[Add] Alice Billings - use as one-stop for homeless	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic through its housing policies. The policy approach in Policy H2 has now changed to clarify the circumstances under which family homes can be converted for a temporary period to a House in Multiple Occupation or Large House in Multiple Occupation for the sole use by Newham's Homelessness service for people who are owed a homelessness duty. The policy approach was originally introduced under the Regulation 18 Local Plan to help address the significant number of people in temporary accommodation in the borough. Please see the new wording in policy H2. Policy H6 also sets out policy requirements relevant to the provision of more specialist and supported forms of accommodation for homeless people and rough sleepers. The location and quality of these forms of housing should be informed in discussion with relevant commissioning teams in the Council. Separate to the Local Plan, our colleagues in the Adults and Health and Housing directorates in the Council work with residents who are, or are at risk of, rough sleeping or homelessness, including through our Homelessness Prevention and Advice Service (HPAS). Newham also has a published Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2021-2026, which sets out an intelligence-led, public health approach to tackling homelessness, and improving access to, and the quality of, housing within the borough.</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	---------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	---	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/188	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.a			[Add] Keep indoor market at Stratford Centre	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to also include support for improvements to the indoor market. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/189	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			17			[Keep] Trees but prune them as they are H&S hazard	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting existing trees. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Requests for tree management can be requested here: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/conservation-areas-listed-buildings-newham/4">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/conservation-areas-listed-buildings-newham/4</a>
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/190	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.a			[Keep] Retain indoor market	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to also include support for improvements to the indoor market. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/191	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			11			[Keep] Stratford Station - more accessible, less H&S hazard	Support noted. The accessibility, connectivity and capacity of the station is addressed in more detail in the site allocation N8.SA2.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/192	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep] Stratford East - more cosmopolitan performances such as 'Trivial Act'	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting cultural uses in the Cultural Quarter and at East Bank. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/193	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			12		[Change] No more LTNs - creating more car pollution as routes are longer	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	----	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/194	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[Change] No to Sphere - it increases light pollution	Comment noted. This site does not yet have planning permission and the applicant have now withdrawn their application for the MSG sphere. Newham currently does not have planning powers over this part of Stratford, which are administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation until December 2024. Newham did and does not support the MSG sphere proposal. As this draft Local Plan starts to be used in decision making, any application for this site will need to meet the requirements of this new Local Plan and the draft site allocation for N5.SA5 sets out the uses that would be acceptable on the part of the site which is currently proposed to be the MSG sphere, should another application be submitted. These housing, office and other main town centre uses, and open space uses are considered to be an appropriate mix of uses based on the site's context and our borough-wide evidence base on the need for different land uses.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/195	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Change] Less chicken shops (reduce)	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/196	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4			[Change] Less barber shops (reduce)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan can only manage the type of uses such as retail or food and drink uses and cannot specify specific types of businesses or services that occupy buildings.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/197	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			4.b			[Change] More art spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy N8 and the site allocation for N8.SA1 already support new and improved cultural uses and public realm in the Cultural Quarter.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/199	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			9			[Add] Alice Billings as living museum	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan supports the on-going project to provide workspace at this site.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/200	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland				12		[Change] Article 12: Low Traffic Neighbourhoods - introducing visitor permits that can enter the area and allowing roads to exempt disabled users and taxis to filter during that time	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	----	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/201	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Development principles			[See annotation on rep As-001b2] Work with the Gypsy Roma travellers and get their opinion	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy BFN2 requires co-designed masterplanning of site allocations, which should include engagement with communities surrounding an allocated site.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/202	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			Put up loads of signs to East Bank - get people local there	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles and infrastructure requirements will improve connectivity in the wider neighbourhood. Other policies in the design and transport policies address wayfinding.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/203	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			How can we get them walking? Get them to east bank	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles and infrastructure requirements will improve walking and cycling conditions to connectivity in the wider neighbourhood. Other policies in the design and transport policies address wayfinding.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/204	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			2012 legacy - bridges	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the infrastructure requirements already set out the requirements for new bridges as part of the development of the site and to address connectivity and capacity issues at the station.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/205	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			Road names on maps	This policy approach has now changed due to review of the maps and the inclusion of road names on the maps. Please see the new maps that accompany the site allocations.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/206	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						East Bank - 11/23 Sadlers Wells East Ballet opening soon - important to open it.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting cultural uses in the Cultural Quarter and at East Bank. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Further information about the opening of facilities at the East Bank can be found on the Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park website: <a href="https://www.queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk/east-bank">https://www.queenelizabetholympicpark.co.uk/east-bank</a>
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/207	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			East Bank via High Street NOT Westfield or Stratford High Street	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site allocation includes a number of principles for increasing connectivity via the High Street.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/208	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					N8.SA2 support	Support noted
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/209	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			Wayfinding important - make it easy	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles and infrastructure requirements will improve connectivity in the wider neighbourhood. Other policies in the design and transport policies address wayfinding.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/210	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Development principles			Open space - need to provide more parameters and limitations - many 'open' spaces are glorified patches of grass	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The site allocation requirements work alongside the Green Spaces policies which set further standards and requirements for the quality of new open spaces. Please see the new wording in N8.SA7.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/212	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			Housing should be affordable and that should be realistic. The Stratford workshops are currently not	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/213	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			Why has the University Conservation Area been ignored?? The King visited the area today so it is important	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail on how development proposals should conserve and enhance St John's and the University Conservation Area. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA1.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West	Reg18-As-001/214	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford	N8.SA1 Stratford Central					Active [see annotation on As-001f2]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Ham Assembly			and Maryland							
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/215	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			Connect [see annotation on As-001f2]	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/216	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			More space/public realm for creative uses/art/festivals	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail about the locations of improved public realm as well as infrastructure requirements for open space, based on the finalisation of the Green and Water Study. Please see the new wording in the design principles and infrastructure requirements in N8. However, the change you have requested has not resulted in a change as this is addressed in the neighbourhood policy and Local Plan policy D2 as well as the high street policies on temporary and pop-up events.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/217	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			Question of how this will be achieved without significantly impacting the shopping centre? The shopping centre is the heart of the community	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the site allocation requires the provision of retail as part of any development on this site and will work alongside other policies in the Local Plan that protect existing retail uses to maintain the vitality and viability of Stratford Town Centre. Development on this site could include the re-provision or refurbishment of the Stratford Centre but this would be

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											assessed when an application comes forward.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/218	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall					Active [see annotation on As-001fg2]	This is addressed through the design principles that require the design and layout of the site to improve the public realm and street scene along Stratford High Street.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/219	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			Greenery? [see annotation on As-001fg2]	This is addressed through the design principles that require the design and layout of the site to improve the public realm and street scene along Stratford High Street, including through the provision of green infrastructure.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/220	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			Set back? To create more active frontage + new public realm [see annotation on As-001fg2]	This is addressed through the design principles that require the design and layout of the site to improve the public realm and street scene along Stratford High Street.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/221	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			More support is needed on this stretch from DLR down to Greenway (lighting, activation, frontages, greenery)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles set out improvements required as part of the site allocation and Local Plan policy D2 which addresses improvements to the wider public realm.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/233	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			Moonfishes Road crossing absolutely vital	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/234	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Development principles			Affordable workspace offers	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as affordable workspace is addressed through Local Plan Policy J4 and is supported on site allocations, subject to the requirements of J4.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/236	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Design principles			Ensure street level activation is welcoming to pedestrians and bikes	Comment noted. This is addressed in the neighbourhood policy and the design principles for the site allocation. The policy works alongside the transport and design policies which provide further detail on conditions for walking and cycling.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/237	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Ground floor shops/workspace - active frontages	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles in the site allocation require provide ground floor active frontages and the uses would be consistent with the site's location in the Metropolitan Town Centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/238	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			Affordable workspace provision	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation supports office in this location and any proposal for affordable workspace will be supported on site allocations provided that it meets the criteria set out in Local Plan policy J4.
Reg18-E-107	Stratford City Business District Limited	Reg18-E-107/002a	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					SCBD Ltd put forward the IQL South Triangle site located in a central location between the Metropolitan Centre, UCL East and Stratford Station (the "Site") to the December 2021 Call for Sites consultation for town centres uses and welcome the incorporation into Site Allocation N8.SA2 Stratford Station.	Support noted. However, policy approach for this part of the site has now changed due to the role this part of the site plays in supporting the delivery of the site's infrastructure requirements. This has been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Business Case as well as our borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses. Please see the new wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-107	Stratford City Business District Limited	Reg18-E-107/002b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			The proposed industrial and employment uses for the Site are supported...	Support noted. However, policy approach for this part of the site has now changed due to the role this part of the site plays in supporting the delivery of the site's infrastructure requirements. This has been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Business Case as well as our borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses. Please see the new wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements of N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-107	Stratford City Business District Limited	Reg18-E-107/004	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					Overall, SCBD Ltd considers the Site offers the potential to transform a vacant site in a key strategic location to both support the improvements to Stratford Station and provide a wider contribution to the local area. Therefore, SCBD Ltd welcomes the opportunity to discuss the potential for the Sites further with the London Borough of Newham through the Local Plan Review process.	Support noted. However, policy approach for this part of the site has now changed due to the role this part of the site plays in supporting the delivery of the site's infrastructure requirements. This has been informed by the work on the Urban Design Framework and the Outline Business Case as well as our borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses. Please see the new wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/002	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Stratford East is the developer for the residential element of Stratford Waterfront and the Bridgewater Triangle site in the LLDC area. Planning permission (in the form of a hybrid planning permission (HPP) was granted by the LLDC for comprehensive, phased, mixed use development at Stratford Waterfront (also known as East Bank) within Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park on 25 July 2019 (ref: 18/00470/OUT) as varied in May 2021 (ref: 20/00274/VAR). The detailed element of the HPP is in construction, with the discussions commenced on the detailed design of the outline residential element to be subject to a reserved matters application later this year. See Site Location Plan in Figure 1 below, with the outline residential element shaded in red.	Comment noted. This policy approach has now changed to amend the boundary of N8.SA5 to include the northern part of the LLDC Stratford Waterfront North site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/003	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road					Similarly, there is an outline planning application for Bridgewater Triangle, which received resolution to grant planning permission in July 2022 (ref: 21/00403/OUT) and decision expected imminently for a residential-led mixed-use passed development. The Bridgewater Triangle Site Location Plan is provided in Figure 2 below.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/004a	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road					Both sites benefit from existing allocations [SA3.2: Stratford Waterfront North] and SA3.5: Bridgewater Road in the LLDC Local Plan 2020.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/004b	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						Both sites benefit from existing allocations SA3.2: Stratford Waterfront North [and SA3.5: Bridgewater Road] in the LLDC Local Plan 2020.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/032	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West				<p>Stratford Waterfront is subject to an existing Site Allocation in the LLDC Local Plan 2020, Site Allocation SA3.2: Stratford Waterfront North for a: <i>“Comprehensive, phased mixed-use development providing cultural, education, leisure, retail or community functions incorporating residential to provide for strategic housing requirements.”</i> A hybrid planning permission was approved in July 2019 covering the site (ref: 18/00470/OUT), following which construction of the cultural and educational detailed element has commenced. The residential element to the north for at least 600 homes is still at this moment due to be detailed in a reserved matters application but will be built out during Newham’s Local Plan period from 2024. In addition to the Site Allocation, Figure 6 and Table 4 of the LLDC Local Plan 2020 show the future expansion of the Metropolitan Centre to cover Stratford Waterfront. Therefore, for the reasons set out above, Stratford East recommend extending the wider N8.SA5 allocation covering the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to include Stratford Waterfront and reflect the consented parameters under planning permission reference 18/00470/OUT. This would also be consistent with the inclusion (or future inclusion) of the area within the Metropolitan Centre boundary.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has been made to include the northern section of the LLDC Stratford Waterfront North site in N8.SA5 in order to provide further policy guidance for this site. The planning history of the site has informed the design and development principles. Please see the new site allocation boundary and design and development principles for N8.SA5.</p>
-------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/033	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road					Stratford East supports the inclusion of the Bridgewater Triangle Site as Site Allocation N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/034	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Site boundary			Bridgewater Triangle is subject to an outline planning application with resolution to grant and a decision anticipated to be issued imminently (ref: 21/00403/OUT). Whilst, the application has been referenced, the proposed spatial diagram and description are not consistent with the planning application and should be amended in the following manner: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site Boundary – this should include the Warton Road section of housing to the north of the river;</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed to amend the boundary to include the part of the site on Warton Road. Please see the amended site boundary for N8.SA8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-124	Stratford East London Partners LLP	Reg18-E-124/035	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA8 Bridgewater Road		Development principles			<p>Bridgewater Triangle is subject to an outline planning application with resolution to grant and a decision anticipated to be issued imminently (ref: 21/00403/OUT).</p> <p>Whilst, the application has been referenced, the proposed spatial diagram and description are not consistent with the planning application and should be amended in the following manner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development principles – references are made to protecting existing open space. However, there is no existing open space, only the Metropolitan Open Land to the south and allotments in the north west. Either the reference to existing open space should be removed or further clarified.</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site contains open space as part of the allotments and as part of the MOL and SINC designation. The proposed MOL boundary at this site follows the existing SINC boundary for the site. The greenspace meets two of the four criteria set out in London Plan Policy G3. The land is clearly distinguishable from the adjoining built up areas. The green space forms part of a strategic corridor / link in the network of green infrastructure. As such, it is deemed appropriate to extend the MOL boundary to reflect the existing SINC designation.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/019	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/020	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/021	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station					[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/222	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/223	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/224	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/225	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/226	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/227	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA10.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/228	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/229	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/230	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA10 Chobham Farm North		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/231	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/232	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/233	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/234	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/235	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/236	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/237	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/238	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA3 Greater Carpenters District		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/239	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/240	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/241	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/242	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/243	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/244	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/245	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/246	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA5.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/247	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure requirements of N8.SA7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/248	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA7.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/249	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/250	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way		Phasing and Implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/251	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/252	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Phasing and Implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N8.SA9.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/253	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/254	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/255	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			Internal comments: The proposed development is located within 20m of a Thames Water Sewage Pumping Station. Given the nature of the function of the pumping station and the close proximity of the proposed development to the pumping station we consider that any occupied premises should be located at least 20m away from the pumping station as highlighted as best practice in our Codes for Adoption .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in site profile, design principles and phasing and implementation of N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/256	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Development principles			Internal comments: The amenity of those that will occupy new development must be a consideration to be taken into account in determining the application as set out in the National planning Policy Framework (NPPF) at paragraphs 170 and 180. Given the close proximity of the proposed development to the pumping station we consider that it is likely that amenity will be impacted and therefore object.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in site profile, design principles and phasing and implementation of N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/257	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Phasing and Implementation			Internal comments: Not with standing this objection, in the event that the Local Planning Authority resolve to grant planning permission for the development, we would request that the following informative is attached to the planning permission: "The proposed development is located within 20m of a Thames Water Sewage Pumping Station and this is contrary to best practice set out in Codes for Adoption ( <a href="https://www.thameswater.co.uk/developers/larger-scale-developments/sewers-and-wastewater/adopting-a-sewer">https://www.thameswater.co.uk/developers/larger-scale-developments/sewers-and-wastewater/adopting-a-sewer</a> ). Future occupiers of the development should be made aware that they could periodically experience adverse amenity impacts from the pumping station in the form of odour; light; vibration and/or noise."	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in site profile, design principles and phasing and implementation of N8.SA9.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/258	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: SW expected to be discharged to watercourse.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/126	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			11			Major development in this area needs to be cognisant of its impact on Stratford station, which is already severely congested at peak times and on event days.	Comment noted. This will be assessed on a case by case basis in accordance with Local Plan Policy T1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/127	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			11			We support the delivery of capacity enhancements at the rail station.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/128	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			11			Development proposals in the area should ensure that land is made available and funding is provided towards these enhancements [at the rail station].	The site allocation N8.SA2 addresses the land for Stratford Station capacity improvements. The funding source has not been included within the site allocation nor the neighbourhood policy. The Sustainable Transport Strategy states the Council will continue to work in partnership with Network Rail, TfL, the LLDC and other to further develop plans for the expansion and redevelopment of Stratford and will engage constructively with the Department for Transport over funding.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/129	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			13			We support the principle of measures to address severance and improve connections.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/130	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.42				'Britain's busiest station' should be revised to 'one of Britain's busiest stations' as this was a Covid impact and the 2021/22 figures are different.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile of N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/131	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						It will be crucial to protect the ability to widen the DLR alignment between Stratford and Bow Church to allow for future double tracking to enhance capacity and frequency on this corridor.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/132	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			Bus infrastructure in the neighbourhood that needs to be protected include the following: Stratford Regional bus station is within site N8.SA2 (and is TfL's busiest bus station).	The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as it is already a development principle to protect the bus station. However, the wording has changed to reflect TfL's comments. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/133	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			Bus infrastructure in the neighbourhood that needs to be protected include the following: Stratford City and International bus stations are within N8.SA5.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in 'infrastructure requirements'.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/134	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			In the second paragraph of the vision the first sentence should be amended to read 'The distinct areas of the neighbourhood will be brought together into a place with strong sense of place and character and through safe, accessible and easy to navigate <u>public transport</u> , walking or cycling routes.'	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the vision in policy N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/135	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			11			Point 11 should be amended to read 'increasing the capacity of Stratford station and preventing development which would inhibit future station <u>and</u> interchange improvements'	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in policy N8.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/136	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			14			Point 14 imposes a requirement for new and improved connections across the River Lea at Bow Goods Yard. Further explanation would be helpful; a new vehicular connection is required to reduce the traffic impact of any development on the N8.SA8 and SA9 sites.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy clause requires new and improved connections across the river lea, to the A12 and measures to reduce vehicular traffic and is clear that this is required at Bows Good Yard.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/137	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			14			However, we note that Bow Goods Yard is not identified as a Site Allocation, despite the LLDC's designation of this site. While both the continued function of the strategic rail freight facility and capacity of the Strategic Industrial Land should be safeguarded, improvements to and intensification of these functions could release land for development. Within this context, there is a need to co-ordinate both development and the new vehicular link with the Bow Goods Yard West site in Tower Hamlets.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site is designated as SIL for consolidation and intensification of the existing industrial uses including the safeguarded rail head, concrete batching plant and other employment floorspace, with the potential for redevelopment in form of large floorplate industrial accommodation to address the borough's needs on industrial floorspace as identified in the Employment Land Review. The policy clause in the neighbourhood policy requires new and improved connections across the river lea, to the A12 and measures to reduce vehicular traffic and is clear that this is required at Bows Good Yard.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/138	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			11			TfL's Interchange Best Practice Guidelines should be followed, particularly for the relationship with the bus station and services.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/139	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			In 'Development principles' (the last sentence of the first paragraph) the text should be amended to read 'Any redevelopment of Stratford bus station should retain the function of <u>a consolidated bus station</u> and <u>meet TfL's future requirements.</u> '	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/140	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			In 'Design principles' (fifth paragraph), the text should be amended to read 'The design and layout of the redevelopment of Stratford bus station should locate bus stops <u>in the open but consolidated in a single off-highway location to facilitate easy and efficient interchange away from the over-station development.</u> Bus stands can be located under over-station development, <u>but access to daylight is essential in the facilities for TfL staff and bus drivers.</u> '	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the design principles of N8.SA2, although it should be noted that the change relating to daylight is considered too detailed for the site allocation and would be assessed at application stage.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/141	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Design principles			In the penultimate paragraph of 'Design principles,' the text should be amended to read 'The design and layout of the site should mitigate the impact of noise from <u>the railway transport operational uses.</u> '	This wording change has been made. Please see the wording in the design principles for N8.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/142	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			In 'Infrastructure requirements', the following amendment should be made: 'Increased station <u>and interchange</u> capacity through <u>improved circulation</u> , ticket hall and station entrances.'	This wording change has been made. Please see the wording in the infrastructure requirements for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/143	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Phasing and implementation			In 'Phasing and implementation' the following amendment should be made: 'No development can take place on the Network Rail maintenance depot, <u>London Underground operational areas</u> or bus infrastructure including <u>bus stations</u> until <u>their</u> function is re-provided or re-located <u>in line with stakeholders' requirements</u> .'	This change has been made. Please see the new wording in the phasing and implementation of N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/144	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Map			It should be clarified that the proposal for Jupp Road Bridge is for a walk bridge rather than a 'primary vehicular route'.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/145	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Infrastructure requirements			In 'Infrastructure requirements' the following should be added: ' <u>Safeguard land for double tracking DLR route</u> .'	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in N8.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/146	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Site profile			The list of existing uses should be amended to read 'Stratford International station, Westfield shopping centre, <u>Stratford City bus station</u> , <u>Stratford International bus station</u> , <u>coach and taxi provision</u> , vacant land, office, retail and leisure uses.'	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in 'existing uses'.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/147	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Infrastructure requirements			In 'Infrastructure requirements' the following should be added: ' <u>Retention of bus stations and interchange functions.</u> '	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in 'infrastructure requirements'.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/148	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Map			The map should include a dotted line for Marshgate Lane bus/walk/cycle connection (it is included in LLDC's Area Action Plan and in 'Infrastructure requirements').	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/149	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA9 Pudding Mill		Infrastructure requirements			The final paragraph of 'Infrastructure requirements' includes 'a new pedestrian/cycle connection from Wrexham Road over the A12 and River Lea' and the dotted line alignment shown runs through the Elizabeth line substation. This is not something TfL would support given the access required across Elizabeth line infrastructure.	This policy approach has now changed due to how key routes and connections are shown on the map. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/008	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			Stratford Station Along with Network Rail, DLR and London Underground (as a subsidiaries of TfL) are the freehold owners of Stratford Station. TfL also have a long leasehold on the bus station. The site falls within the Olympic Legacy Opportunity Area and is identified as a Strategic Site in Newham's Local Plan. There is a significant opportunity to redevelop this wider area to provide a much-improved transport interchange, enhanced public realm and delivery of mixed used development in a highly sustainable location. TTLP support the aspirations for this area and would like to continue to work with LB Newham and LLDC to identify options for redevelopment in this area.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/049	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			Draft Policy Site Allocation N8. SA2 Stratford Station TfL are the long leaseholders of Stratford Bus Station. TTLP supports the inclusion of Stratford Bus Station in this site allocation. There is the opportunity to redevelop the bus station, in conjunction with station redevelopment and Network Rail land, to provide an improved facility which would be colocated with new mixed use development in order to realise the potential of this accessible site. The redevelopment of Stratford Bus Station, one of the busiest in London, would provide opportunities to improve services for passengers, to provide better public space, and to improve the interchange with Stratford Underground, DLR and Regional Station.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/050	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Development principles			DLR (as a subsidiary of TfL) are the freehold owners of Stratford Station, including the western entrance to the station and land surrounding this, both of which are included in this site allocation and TTLP support this. This site borders Carpenters estate which is identified as draft Policy Site Allocation N8.SA3 and the station's western entrance should interrelate with the wider Carpenters Estate to optimise opportunities. This is in part reflected by the requirement in N8.SA3 for development to create improved access to Stratford Station but wider opportunities for mixed use development in this location should be considered as well.	Support noted. The approach to uses surrounding the new western entrance of the station are set out in the development principles of the site allocation for Carpenters (N8.SA3).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-102	Unibail-Rodamco-Westfield	Reg18-E-102/001	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			<p>We write on behalf of our client, Unibail-Rodamco-Westfield (URW), who own and operate the wider Stratford City Estate (SCE). This land is currently within the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) boundary but we are cognisant of the return of planning powers back to London Borough of Newham (LBN) in December 2024 and the objective to have the draft Local Plan in place at this point of transition. We are also in early pre-application discussions with LLDC officers in relation to a residential development on the largely vacant Plot M7B of the Stratford City Masterplan (the Site). An aerial view of the Site in the context of surrounding development is provided at Appendix 1 to this letter. The Site benefits from outline planning consent for office development under the Stratford City Masterplan and reserved matters consent for an office building (LLDC ref 16/00653/REM).</p> <p>We have reviewed the Draft Local Plan in the context of URW's interests in relation to residential development of Plot M7B and provide our recommendations to the policies to ensure that they meet the tests of soundness in Paragraph 35 of the</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed. The site was assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note and development and design principles relating to the site have been added to N8.SA5. Please see the new wording in N8.SA5.</p>

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	<p>National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).</p>
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-102	Unibail-Rodamco-Westfield	Reg18-E-102/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA5 Stratford Town Centre West		Development principles			[see attached plan in appendix] Draft Site Allocation N8.SA5 (Stratford Town Centre West), where the Site is located, sets out a series of proposed principles for the area. These principles and the Site Allocation map on page 409 focus on International Quarter North, International Quarter South and land around Montfitchet Road. The largely vacant M7B Site should also be highlighted as having the potential for residential-led mixed-use development in a tall building. <u>Recommendation 1: Amend Site Allocation N8.SA5 to identify the M7B Site as a development site with the potential for residential-led mixed-use development in a tall building.</u>	This policy approach has now changed. The site was assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note and development and design principles relating to the site have been added to N8.SA5. Please see the new wording in N8.SA5.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-119	Unite Group plc	Reg18-E-119/050	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			<p>LP Policy N8 – Stratford and Maryland and Site Allocation N8.SA2 Stratford Station</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whilst Unite broadly support the vision and identification of the Stratford and Maryland area for new development, Unite would advise on removing the word ‘moderate’ in relation to the proposed uplift in density in the area.</li> </ul>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-119	Unite Group plc	Reg18-E-119/051	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In addition, the delivery of PBSA, BTR and co-living should be identified as suitable uses as the Stratford area is wholly suitable for the delivery of these uses given the excellent transport links.</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the approach to different types of housing product is set out in the relevant housing policies.
Reg18-E-054	University College London	Reg18-E-054/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		4.39				UCL welcomes mention of UCL East as a key cultural facility within the N8 Stratford and Maryland neighbourhood, and notes the inclusion of UCL within site allocation N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-054	University College London	Reg18-E-054/016a	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Development principles			UCL welcomes the inclusion of a like-for-like site allocation when compared to that included within the LLDC Local Plan. This is important given Phase 1's construction and with a further four remaining plots coming forward in Phase 2 which includes student accommodation, academic, and retail uses. UCL welcomes mention of the UCL East Outline Consent for UCL East (LLDC ref. 17/00235/OUT) and Reserved Matters Consent for Marshgate Plot 1 (LLDC ref. 18/00424/REM) as key relevant planning histories for the site.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-054	University College London	Reg18-E-054/016b	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Site profile			However, with Phase 1 also including One Pool Street and the associated Public Realm, UCL recommends that the Newham Local Plan also recognises these relevant consents (One Pool Street (LLDC ref. 18/00425/REM) and Public Realm (LLDC ref. 18/00426/REM).	This policy wording now changed due to the deletion of planning histories from site allocation profiles. However, regard has been had to the planning history of sites when drafting the site allocations.
Reg18-E-054	University College London	Reg18-E-054/017	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA6 Stratford Waterfront South		Development principles			UCL object to the N8.SA6 site allocation stating that no further student housing will be permitted beyond the existing permissions, and instead consider that it should allow for some flexibility in order to respond to changing circumstances.	This policy approach has now changed due to ensure consistency with Local Plan policy H8 and the provision of student accommodation in Stratford. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N8.SA6.
Reg18-E-117	University of East London	Reg18-E-117/009	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland		Neighbourhood boundary				Neighbourhoods - N8 – Stratford and Maryland Neighbourhood N8 in the Draft Plan sets out the neighbourhood boundary for Stratford and Maryland. It should first be noted that the boundary dissects the existing UEL Stratford Campus at Water Lane, effectively positioning University House into a separate neighbourhood area and its associated vision. UEL therefore proposes that the boundary of Neighbourhood N8 is amended to include the entirety of the UEL Stratford Campus to ensure consistency in how the area vision is applied across the Stratford Campus.	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the neighbourhood boundary to include the UEL Stratford Campus in the Stratford neighbourhood rather than the West Ham neighbourhood. Please see the amended neighbourhood boundary for N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-117	University of East London	Reg18-E-117/010	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					Our client has also raised some concern that the proposals for the redevelopment of the UEL Stratford Campus would represent one of the only major development schemes with the proximity of the new Elizabeth Line station at Maryland, unlike the surrounding areas of the majority of other Elizabeth Line stations which have seen expansive development growth, reflecting their increased accessibility and connectivity resulting from proximity to the new Elizabeth Line. Our client considers that development opportunities within Neighbourhood N8, including the UEL Stratford Campus, should be recognised in the Draft Plan, potentially through the allocation of additional appropriate sites within the N8 boundary.	This site has been assessed in line with the methodology set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. It has been discounted as a potential site allocation on the basis that the same outcomes could be achieved by other means through the application of Local Plan policies - N8 and the student housing policy. This does not preclude a site coming forward for development.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-117	University of East London	Reg18-E-117/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			Vision			At the heart of Stratford East is the Stratford Youth Zone (Theatre Square, Salway Place, Stratford). The Stratford Youth Zone aligns directly with the strategic growth and diversification of UEL's School of Arts & Creative Industries (ACI). Using connections back into the cultural sector, the aim of the School of ACI will be to work closely with the Youth Empowerment Service to develop and deliver meaningful creative opportunities for young people as well as develop the culture of the building, ensuring young people are at the heart of everything at the Youth Zone. UEL would wish to reaffirm its commitment to the Stratford Cultural Quarter and therefore suggests that the vision for Neighbourhood N8 is amended to set out the importance of reinvigorating the immediate area, focusing on measures to attract footfall and discourage anti-social behaviour.	This policy approach has now changed to add specific development principles relating to the Cultural Quarter in the site allocation N8.SA1. Please see the new wording in N8.SA1. However, the change you have requested as not been made as this is already addressed in the neighbourhood policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-127	West Ham United FC	Reg18-E-127/005	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					This site [WUHFC] sits within one of the most sustainable locations in London, very close to Stratford town centre and a high level of public transport connectivity, including the recently opened Elizabeth Line, as well as walking and cycle routes and facilities, to other parts of the Borough and beyond. This allows the amenities and facilities in the <b>Opportunity Area</b> to be used by all, and WHUFC is keen to help ensure that regeneration benefits all residents and businesses in the Borough	Comment noted. These aspirations align with objectives of the Local Plan and the objectives of policies relating to Community Wealth Building and Social Value as well as the vision for the Stratford and Maryland vision.
Reg18-E-127	West Ham United FC	Reg18-E-127/008	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	New site					Future investment in and around the Stadium area can be a part of this growth aspiration and should be recognised through a <b>specific allocation in Section 4 of the Plan</b> . WHUFC would welcome discussions with the Council about the framing of such an allocation, building on the <b>'Enhance Area' designation at Policy BFN1</b> (see above), and how it can be delivered.	The area in and around the Stadium has not been included as a site allocation due to the lack of clarity around the proposals at this stage in the plan-making process. Any proposals at the stadium would be assessed against the N8 neighbourhood policy as well as a range of other policies in the Local Plan, including the community facility policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-026	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Ltd	Reg18-E-026/003	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			<p><u>Development Principles</u>  Morrison's are pleased to observe that draft site allocation N8.SA1 would continue to promote a wide variety of town centre uses including residential development, retail, food and drink, leisure and employment uses that would contribute to the enhancement of Stratford Metropolitan Centre, as well as helping to fulfil its regional role and planned future international role as set out in overarching draft Policy N8 – Stratford and Maryland.</p>	Support noted.

Reg18-E-026	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Ltd	Reg18-E-026/004	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Infrastructure requirements		<p>It is also noted in the development principles section of the draft site allocation that specific reference is made to the Morrisons site, stating, "[the site] should provide a consolidated supermarket, a health centre and residential [uses]". It goes on to add under the infrastructure requirements that the Morrisons site should provide a minimum of 2,500sqm of floorspace for a health centre designed to meet NHS needs and standards. Whilst Morrisons support the draft site allocation's principle to consolidate the existing supermarket and enable the delivery of residential uses without parameters, further clarity is sought on the need for a health centre specifically on their site with a minimum floorspace requirement. We have referred to the available evidence base supporting the draft Local Plan Review to understand this need, specifically the Community Facilities Needs Assessment 2022. However, this document confirms that for the purposes of the study, community facilities are defined as assets with community meeting places. The need for uses relating to health that fall under Use Class E(e) (e.g. health centres) are set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) instead. The most recent iteration of the IDP was published in July 2022. This document identifies infrastructure requirements across the borough, setting out what is needed, where and when. It includes projects relevant to health and is a document used to</p>	<p>This policy approach has changed to include the need for health centre delivery to be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery. Please see the new wording in N8.SA1. However, the change you have requested has not been made as the infrastructure requirements are based on up to date evidence on need provided by NHS partners and is reflected in the updated IDP which is published alongside the Local Plan.</p>
-------------	------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	--

									<p>support the Local Plan. The section on health care confirms that the North East London Clinical Commissioning Group (NELCCG) is responsible for planning and commissioning a range of health services, including primary and secondary care, community and mental health services for Newham residents and visitors. It also includes the spatial implications for health care, noting that it is often difficult to find new sites for health care provision. The IDP sets out that the approach taken in Newham is to include re-modeling the existing estate, and ensuring that strategic sites provide appropriate space/premises to meet needs arising, including wider strategic need and co-location with other services. However, the strategic and non-strategic sites earmarked to deliver health care projects relate to the adopted Local Plan 2018 and not the Local Plan Review. Therefore, despite the overall IDP being updated in 2022, the specific section relating to health care appears to be out of date and unjustified. We do note from the current iteration of the health care section in the IDP that a health care project is identified for Stratford which is referred to as New Stratford Centre. This facility would provide a new building for approximately 35,000 patients to meet the needs of the existing and new population of Stratford. It would enable the relocation of existing GP practices, specifically Stratford Health Centre, Stratford Village Practice, West Ham Lane Health Centre and 29 Romford</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

										<p>Road into a single modern premises. However, it remains unclear how this translates into a minimum floorspace requirement as set out in draft allocation N8.SA1. We urge the Council to update the health care section within the IDP to align with the most up-to-date NELCCG requirements. This will ensure that the evidence base appropriately reflects the current and future need for Newham, and indeed the Local Plan Review. Should the need identified for the adopted Local Plan have changed, then the IDP should be updated accordingly, including floorspace requirements, if they are to continue being referred to in the Local Plan Review.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-026	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Ltd	Reg18-E-026/006	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Infrastructure requirements			In addition to need, we also urge the Council to reconsider the way in which a health centre may be delivered within Stratford. Rather than specifically referring to the Morrisons site to deliver a health centre, it should be mentioned as a requirement for the overall strategic allocation. This would remove the land limitation allowing it to come forward sooner should another site within the strategic site allocation of N8.SA1 be able to deliver a health care facility.	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the infrastructure requirements are based on up to date evidence on the need provided by NHS partners and consideration of the delivery timescales of sites.
Reg18-E-026	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Ltd	Reg18-E-026/007	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Design principles			<u>Design Principles</u> This section of our representations reviews the design principles set out within draft allocation N8.SA1. The first paragraph states that, “the site should be designed and developed comprehensively in accordance with [draft] Local Plan Policy BFN2 [Co-designed Masterplanning]”. The Council should consider revising this paragraph to make it clearer that it relates to individual sites within the strategic allocation as to comprehensively redevelop the entirety of the area earmarked as Stratford Central would likely be impossible to coordinate and deliver during the plan period.	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as site allocations must consider and provide a strategy for how the required land uses and infrastructure will be delivered across the whole allocation or site, as well as appropriate phasing to ensure infrastructure is provided.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-026	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Ltd	Reg18-E-026/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Development principles			<u>Summary and Conclusions</u> Morrison's are pleased to observe that the Council are proposing to continue allocating land in their ownership within draft site allocation N8.SA1.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-026	Wm Morrison Supermarkets Ltd	Reg18-E-026/012	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA1 Stratford Central		Infrastructure requirements			However, further clarity is sought on the need for health care provision that aligns with up-to-date evidence provided by the NELCCG, and to ensure that its delivery is not merely restricted to the Morrison's site if another suitable site becomes available sooner.	This policy approach has changed to include the need for health centre delivery to be subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery. Please see the new wording in N8.SA1. However, the change you have requested has not been made as the infrastructure requirements based on up to date evidence on the need provided by NHS partners and consideration of the delivery timescales of sites.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/002	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			ZSUT consider the redevelopment of the Buzz Bingo Site represents a fantastic opportunity for an underutilised, accessible brownfield plot of land and can produce a large range of public benefits to the local area, and therefore these representations seek to ensure the emerging Local Plan supports its viable and deliverable redevelopment.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/003a	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			Site Allocation N8.SA4 'Stratford High Street Bingo Hall' ZSUT are fully supportive of the inclusion of Site Allocation N8.SA4 'Stratford High Street Bingo Hall' into the draft Local Plan, and the acceptance of the principle of redevelopment of the Site for a mixed-use scheme that accepts the removal of the existing bingo / gambling arcade use.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/003b	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			Our client is supportive of the principle of a residential-led development at the Site...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/003c	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			...and supportive of the principle of employment and light industrial workspaces at lower levels to complement the existing workshops offer along Burford Road.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/004	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			<p>Nonetheless, it is noted that the Site does not fall within a designated employment area, and due to the large size of the Site, its immediate adjacency to the Stratford Metropolitan Centre and DLR Station, and its key frontage along Stratford High Street, it is considered that a wider range of potential commercial uses could be acceptable for the lower levels of a redevelopment scheme. Furthermore, it is considered prudent to allow greater flexibility for a range of potential uses at lower levels to best futureproof adaptability and avoid long-term vacancies. As such, it is recommended that the allocation's non-residential uses are expanded to include a potential retail (Class E(a)), restaurants and cafes (Class E(b)), services (Class E(c)) or community (Classes E(e), E(d)) use fronting onto Stratford High Street and Cam Road. This would provide a clear placemaking benefit through the activation of this important corner of the site with an active frontage onto the High Street, as well as diversify the mix of commercial uses present at the site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it would introduce new town centre uses outside of the town centre. Any application for those type of uses would be assessed against the requirements of the High Street policies and against the development principles in the site allocation. The Retail and Leisure Study did not recommend an extension of the town centre.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/005	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Development principles			Should any retail related unit be under 300sqm in size it would follow the approach set out within draft Policy HS3 (Edge-of-Centre and Out-of-Centre retail, restaurants, cafes and services) for uses appropriate in the site's edge of centre location where a sequential test would not be necessary.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it would introduce new town centre uses outside of the town centre. Any application for those type of uses would be assessed against the requirements of the High Street policies and against the development principles in the site allocation. The Retail and Leisure Study did not recommend an extension of the town centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/011	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			<p>The draft site allocation also includes the requirement to 'provide a route through the site from Cam Road to Burford Road and from the High Street to Cam Road'. It is not considered that this approach has been well evidenced and justified. Currently there is not a sufficiently clear enough natural desire line for pedestrian movement from Cam Road to Burford Road and from the High Street to Cam Road to necessitate having through routes cutting through the site and significantly limiting the potential layout and form of development that can be achieved. It is considered that instead greater emphasis should be given to improving the public realm along Cam Road, Stratford High Street and Burford Road / Burford Place, and that there are benefits to reinstating strong building lines along the edges of the plot. This is already recognised in the draft wording of the Site Allocation with "the design and layout of the site should improve the public realm and street scene along the High Street, Cam Road and Burford Road, including through the provision of open space to create a break along the High Street and to provide a visual connection to the Channelsea path".</p>	<p>This policy wording has now changed due to a change in approach to how routes are described in the design principles for site allocations. This removes reference to the route through the site but still requires enhanced routes from Cam Road to Burford Road due to the relationship between this site and the existing industrial uses at Stratford Workshops.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/012	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Site profile			<p>Table 1: Summary of Recommended Changes to the Allocation N8.SA4:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing Wording: 'Existing uses: Bingo Hall and Car Park'</li> <li>Proposed Change: Change wording to: 'Existing uses: Bingo Hall, gambling arcade and car park'</li> </ul>	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary to provide an accurate description of the site's existing use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/013	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Site profile			<p>Table 1: Summary of Recommended Changes to the Allocation N8.SA4:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing Wording: 'Development principles: Residential development with employment industrial floorspace. The employment and industrial floorspace should provide space for light industrial uses and business workspaces and complement the offer at Stratford Workshops on Burford Road.'</li> <li>Proposed Change: Change wording to: 'Development principles: Residential development with employment industrial floorspace <u>and/or flexible retail / commercial / leisure</u>. The employment and industrial floorspace should provide space for light industrial uses and business workspaces and complement the offer at Stratford Workshops on Burford Road. <u>Any flexible retail / commercial / leisure space should be focused on Stratford High Street and Cam Road.</u>'</li> </ul>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it would introduce new town centre uses outside of the town centre. Any application for those type of uses would be assessed against the requirements of the High Street policies and against the development principles in the site allocation. The Retail and Leisure Study did not recommend an extension of the town centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/015	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Design principles			Table 1: Summary of Recommended Changes to the Allocation N8.SA4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing Wording: 'Design Principles: ...the design and layout of the site should provide a route through the site from Cam Road to Burford Road and from the High Street to Cam Road. Development should improve connectivity and access to the Channelsea Path'</li> <li>Proposed Change: Remove this text entirely</li> </ul>	This policy wording has now changed due to a change in approach to how routes are described in the design principles for site allocations. This removes reference to the route through the site but still requires enhanced routes from Cam Road to Burford Road due to the relationship between this site and the existing industrial uses at Stratford Workshops.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/016	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland	N8.SA4 Stratford High Street Bingo Hall		Map			Table 1: Summary of Recommended Changes to the Allocation N8.SA4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing Wording: Map Visual</li> <li>Proposed Change: Remove the 'Proposed Pedestrian Route' through the Site.</li> </ul>	This map has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. Please see the new site allocation map for N8.SA3.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/029	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			Stratford and Maryland Vision (Policy N8) ZSUT again support the identification of the Stratford and Maryland area as suitable for an uplift in density where the development enhances the character of the neighbourhood and consolidates the urban form.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/030	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			<p>However, the use of the term 'moderate uplift' is not appropriate considering some sites, such as the one at Buzz Bingo, Stratford High Street, currently represent significantly underdeveloped and underutilised, sustainable brownfield land in accessible locations that should be optimised.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/032	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			5			Our client support the policies promotions for improvements to the Town Centre's public realm through improved green infrastructure, the activation of the existing public realm and the creation of new public spaces.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/033	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			8			The desire for the creation of an innovation quarter around Stratford High Street DLR station through the delivery of affordable and cultural and creative workspaces is also supported.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/034	Neighborhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			8			However, in line with the comments on the site allocation it is considered that the policy should allow for the potential for retail (Class E(a)), restaurants and cafes (Class E(b)), services (Class E(c)) or community / leisure (Classes E(e), E(d)) uses fronting onto Stratford High Street.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it would introduce new town centre uses outside of the town centre. Any application for those type of uses would be assessed against the requirements of the High Street policies and against the development principles in the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/035	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			1			<p>Table 3: Summary of Recommended Changes to Policy N8 (Stratford and Maryland Vision)</p> <p>- Existing Wording: '1. Supporting a moderate uplift in density where development enhances the character of the neighbourhood and consolidates the urban form'</p> <p>- Proposed Wording: Change wording to: '1. Supporting an <del>moderate</del> uplift in density where development enhances the character of the neighbourhood and consolidates the urban form'</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N8. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-100	Zirconia Stratford Unit Trust	Reg18-E-100/036	Neighbourhoods	N8 Stratford and Maryland			8			<p>Table 3: Summary of Recommended Changes to Policy N8 (Stratford and Maryland Vision)</p> <p>- Existing Wording: '8. supporting major and small scale office development in the Town Centre and the creation of an innovation quarter around Stratford High Street DLR station through the delivery of affordable and cultural and creative workspaces...'</p> <p>- Proposed Wording: Change wording to: 8. supporting major and small scale office development in the Town Centre and the creation of an innovation quarter around Stratford High Street DLR station through the delivery of affordable and cultural and creative workspaces, <u>supported by other appropriate retail / commercial / leisure uses where appropriate...</u>'</p>	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it would introduce new town centre uses outside of the town centre. Any application for those type of uses would be assessed against the requirements of the High Street policies and against the development principles in the site allocation.
Reg18-E-148	City of London	Reg18-E-148/031a	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			1			We support the strategic vision outlined in Draft Policy N9 (West Ham) which seeks to support development that enhances and responds to local character...	Support noted.
Reg18-E-148	City of London	Reg18-E-148/031b	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			We support the strategic vision outlined in Draft Policy N9 (West Ham) which seeks to support development that...conserves the area's heritage assets and their settings...	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-148	City of London	Reg18-E-148/031c	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham			10			We support the strategic vision outlined in Draft Policy N9 (West Ham) which seeks to support development....maximises the provision of green infrastructure, open space and opportunities to increase biodiversity. As noted above, City of London is currently exploring opportunities to open-up part of the Site as new green space, which in effect would form part of West Ham Park, thereby contributing to the objectives of Draft Policy N9.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/030	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N9.SA1 Plaistow North - 3.3 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial) + breach - Other constraints include Aquifers	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-044	Friends of West Ham Park	Reg18-E-044/002	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		4.44				We note and very much endorse the character description of the West Ham area of the Borough. In particular the recognition of the role played by West Ham Park as being key a focus for the local community, a highly prized local asset and for many people a key factor in choosing to live in the area around the park....Summary West Ham Park is a much valued facility which enhances its community and provides 12% of the Borough's Public Open Space.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-044	Friends of West Ham Park	Reg18-E-044/003	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				<p>Neighbourhood Boundaries</p> <p>We note that the draft Local Plan neighbourhood boundaries splits West Ham Park between the West Ham and Forest Gate neighbourhoods. Also that parts of Ham Park Road and several streets leading from Romford Road directly into West Ham Park are not contained within the West Ham area. Splitting the area of West Ham Park, wholly owned by the same Authority, makes no sense. The total area of the park should be a single entity protected as public open space, and included in the plan as part of the West Ham neighbourhood. Residents of the streets mentioned above identify strongly with the park as “their” neighbourhood.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to a review of the neighbourhood boundary to include the whole of West Ham Park in one neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for West Ham.</p>
Reg18-E-084	London Historic Parks and Gardens Trust	Reg18-E-084/020	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				<p>LPG also agrees that the draft Local Plan should maintain the entirety of West Ham Park including the former plant nursery within a single neighbourhood boundary.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to a review of the neighbourhood boundary to include the whole of West Ham Park in one neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for West Ham.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/001	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			11			[Change] Silvertown Tunnel and air quality concern	The Local Plan addresses this topic in policy N1 by requiring the impact of Silvertown Tunnel to be appropriately mitigated in terms of traffic generation, noise and air quality. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as the Silvertown Tunnel was approved by the Mayor of London and is under construction therefore the influence of the Local Plan is limited.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			11			[Change] Also building projects can cause poor air quality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments. Local Plan policies D7 and CE6 also manage the impact of construction.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Change] Are there ways to improve greenery?	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies which will enable the creation of green links in the neighbourhood. Please see the amended wording in N9.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/004	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Keep] Greenway connections key	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/006	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Change] Whole length of Manor Road very car orientated - into Canning Town. New footbridges planned	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N9. Bridges are referenced in relevant policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Change] [Whole length of Manor Road very car orientated - into Canning Town] opportunity for green wall. Air pollution	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N9. Other parts of the policy address the requirements for improving air quality and increasing green infrastructure.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/013a	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Site next to Plaistow station: more residential properties [with bridge across to Greenway.]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as residential use will be required as part of the site allocation N9.SA1 as set out in the Development Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/013b	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Site next to Plaistow station: [more residential properties] with bridge across to Greenway.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/013c	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Site next to Plaistow station: [more residential properties with bridge across to Greenway.] Nursery.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the requirement for community facilities is already set out in the Development Principles. However, wording change has been made to make it clear that the provision of community facilities should follow Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022) and meet requirements of Local Plan Policies S12. Please see the new wording in Development Principles of site allocation N9.SA1.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			Bridge to Greenway	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/015	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			4			Shops	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/017	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			9			LTN	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/018	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			9			Health streets	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. Healthy street principles are supported by both the design and transport policies in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/019	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			11			Improve air quality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. It will work alongside Local Plan policy CE6 which sets requirements for improving air quality in new developments.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/020	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			1			Terraced housing - keeping local character	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			Conserve heritage assets	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Site around P station - local shops, town centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as main town centre use will be required as part of the site allocation N9.SA1 and located on Plaistow Road as part of the Plaistow North Local Centre with type and quantity consistent with the local centre designation and Local Plan Policy HS1, as set out in the Development and Design Principles.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Greenway access	Support noted. Development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			1			No more [arrow upwards] building	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood policy and the design policies addresses building heights by directing tall buildings to tall building zones. This is based on evidence on appropriate locations for tall buildings set out in the Characterisation Study.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/025	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			7			Step free access	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			4			Leisure	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/027	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			4			Retail	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			Greenway access	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/029	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			7			[Keep] Accessibility - step free access	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Keep] Bridge to Greenway	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			1			[Keep] Keep typology of terraced buildings	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			[Keep] Heritage to keep - not to lose heritage	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Keep] Cycle lanes on Greenway	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N9, which includes improving access to the Greenway.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Keep] Access points to Greenway	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/035	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Boundaries to match community neighbourhoods - we are not in West Ham	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood boundaries have been informed through consultation and analysis undertaken as part of the Characterisation Study. This analysis considers the Community Neighbourhood boundaries as well as a range of other factors including character, different planning designations and land uses and ward boundaries.

Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/037	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham				9			[Change] LTNs - creates more traffic and congests streets - emergency services cannot get through	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	---	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Change] Cycle lanes in Plaistow not recommended as roads are restricted in width	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the policies are informed by the borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Consultation will take place on specific schemes.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Change] Increasing links to Greenway will increase possible anti-social behaviour	This policy approach has not been changed. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Greenway is a key walking and cycling route in the borough. Security issues are addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan, including the design and transport policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/040	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Mental health centres (emergency spaces)	The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			4			[Add] Quality of shopping options	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular business to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/042	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Police station/one stop/pop up police station - local service	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.







Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						What are you doing to interact with people with disabilities/mobility issues who can't move around	The Local Plan consultation strategy and report sets out the wide range of consultation methods used to reach as many residents as possible. This includes both digital and non-digital methods to ensure the consultation was accessible as possible. Residents not able to attend a local library to view the documents was able to request a document to be sent to them.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/046	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			We need secondary education and secondary school at the station. There is a lack of secondary education in Newham already, what is going to happen of regen. Secondary school at the station.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/073	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham			7			[Keep] We were supportive of policy 7. It was felt that this is a big problem currently	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/074	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Keep] Generally supportive of #10 like increasing in greening	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			11			[Keep] Supportive of #11. Air quality is a problem	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/076	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Keep] Supportive of better walking and cycling safer and better routes through the area	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Change] 6. Access points not necessarily the problem. Would be interested in setting up the infrastructure on the green way	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as increasing access across the neighbourhood remains a priority and is supported by recommendations in the Sustainable Transport Policy. Another part of the policy has been amended to reflect the evidence in the Green and Water Study about where green infrastructure should be improved in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Change] 10. food growing spaces should be explicitly mentioned	This policy approach has changed due to updated evidence on the need for community growing spaces in different parts of the borough. Newham has 14 allotments and community growing spaces with a total area of 16.81 hectares. The National Allotment Society recommends the provision of 0.125 hectares per 1,000 residents. The borough currently provides 0.046 hectares per 1,000 residents. Both the current and projected rates of provision in 2038 are below the recommended standards. The Green Infrastructure Strategy evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for community growing spaces on site allocations in the Local Plan. Please see the amended infrastructure requirements for site allocations, where a need for growing space has been identified.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			5			[Add] More supportive of local employment - there is a policy number J4	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already addressed through the neighbourhood policy clause on the neighbourhood's employment areas. The neighbourhood policy will work alongside policy J1, which sets out where new employment uses should be focussed.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Add] There was a feeling that there should be greater focus on the greenway - feeling that the investment wasn't equal along the whole route.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as increasing access across the neighbourhood remains a priority and is supported by recommendations in the Sustainable Transport Policy. Another part of the policy has been amended to reflect the evidence in the Green and Water Study about where green infrastructure should be improved in the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/082	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			[Add] [Greenway] also issues of feeling unsafe in the night time	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as safe walking and cycling routes are including the neighbourhood vision and as part of the development of the Local Plan, the Council has been working on a borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. The Local Plan will support the delivery of the Sustainable Transport Strategy through the transport and neighbourhood policies. The improvement you have suggested is part of the Sustainable Transport Strategy and the strategy will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in future.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Feeling that the footway on the bridge area rail tracks is unsuitable and needs upgrading - it's too narrow currently	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the neighbourhood policy which supports improvements to conditions for walking and through Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for improvements to the public realm.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			7			[Keep] Make Plaistow station accessible	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/144	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			Vision			Comment underlines 'West Ham Park'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/145	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			Vision			Comment underlines 'Stratford Park'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/146	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			Vision			Comment circles 'Plaistow Station'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/147	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			Vision			Comment underlines 'local shops and local services in Plaistow North Local Centre'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/148	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham				1		1. When are we moving to P [comment is not finished]	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/149	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham				2		2. What will happen to this building	This policy clause relates to the neighbourhood's heritage assets. Any proposals relating impacting on these assets will be assessed against this policy clause as well as the design policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/150	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			1			Comment marks a cross by 1.	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/151	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			Comment marks a cross by 2	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/152	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			3			Comment marks a cross by 3	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/153	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			4			Comment marks a cross by 4	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/154	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			5			Comment marks a cross by 5	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/155	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			6			Comment marks a cross by 6	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/156	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			7			Comment marks a cross by 7	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/157	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			Comment marks a cross by 8	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/158	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			9			Comment marks a cross by 9	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/159	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			Comment marks a cross by 10	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/160	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			11			Comment marks a cross by 11	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/161	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			4			Comment underlines number 4	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/162	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			9			Comment underlines number 9	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/163	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			Comment underlines number 10	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/164	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			8. Comment circles cycling	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-004	Resident	Reg18-K-004/004	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham			11			Making those road 20mph would go a long way to reduce nuisance, pollution and improve safety along the busy streets [A:4]	The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences (such as speeding) is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service. The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out. We have provided the highways team with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-011	Resident	Reg18-K-011/001	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham		4.44				<p>Parks not homes are required, encroaching homes in a space, designated to the local people needs to remain, untouched. West Ham Park is a valuable asset to the community, supporting health and well-being. Why not use the site for education purposes, there are multiple options re schools, that can feed into this. Use the space to help the community.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the completion of the Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024), which has informed our approach to this site. This extensive piece of evidence base work has looked at all of the borough's green and water spaces and sought to regularise our approach to green space designation. As such, the nursery site, in recognition of its Historic Park Status and last lawful use as a plant nursery, has been designated as a community growing space. Please see the amendment to the Proposals Map which now designates the West Ham Park as community growing space.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-045	Resident	Reg18-K-045/003	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			<p>I am a local resident who uses the park on a daily basis for recreation and to walk our dog. I appreciate increasingly the benefit that this green space affords to park users and to the general well-being of the local population. The open spaces and sports and leisure facilities it provides have always been valuable but the need for them has grown over the past few years of the pandemic, as the park has become a green life line for so many more people. At the same time, the ever increasing housing density in the area neighbouring the park associated with the many high density housing projects under development means that the park is already over-stretched and stressed in terms of its usage. The local population in Newham is being ill-served by the shortage of green open space, any decision to reduce the green space for local people provided by West Ham Park is outrageous.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the completion of the Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024), which has informed our approach to this site. This extensive piece of evidence base work has looked at all of the borough's green and water spaces and sought to regularise our approach to green space designation. As such, the nursery site, in recognition of its Historic Park Status and last lawful use as a plant nursery, has been designated as a community growing space. Please see the amendment to the Proposals Map which now designates the West Ham Park as community growing space.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/001	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			Vision section on p. 429; there is disconnect between stated goals and reality. Stated goals: "... maximising provision of ... green infrastructure ... to increase biodiversity, particularly through street greening ...". Reality: submitted detailed, well researched masterplan for restoration of tree vegetation on Morley Road (see MASTERPLAN: RESTORATION OF VEGETATION ON MORLEY ROAD), had surveying work done; Green Spaces team put the project on hold for a year without giving any explanation and going on complete radio silence.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting existing trees and supporting the planting of new trees, particularly in locations identified as part of green links in the finalised Green and Water Study. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and though working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. We have provided the Parks team with your comments regarding the desire for trees on Morely Road. Our colleagues in the Parks department are able to help should you have any further concerns related to a particular request for regarding street trees.
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/002	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Plaistow North site on pp. 431-432. Irrational, inconvenient and inefficient design for a second bridge over railway. We already have a bridge — just make a direct pedestrian and CYCLING connection with a RAMP (not stairs) to it.	This policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1 and the bridge will be necessary to establish the connection across the rail track. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/003a	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Also opportunity to clean up the nuisance junkyard adjacent to it...	<p>This policy change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this area falls outside the site allocation N9.SA1 and the Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/003b	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			[Also opportunity to clean up the nuisance junkyard adjacent to it] and CONNECT Holbrook Road to it.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/004	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Already sent a detailed plan called PROPOSAL: PLAISTOW PLAICE CONNECTIVITY TO GREENWAY ET AL which was clearly ignored.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to the wider area in the neighbourhood and the borough via Greenway.
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/005	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			The section also goes on about “addressing existing open space deficiencies” — utter nonsense — there is plenty of open space and dedicated parks like West Ham in the neighbourhood; or take the massive open vast expanses of Memorial Recreation Ground nearby.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the deficiency of open space is set out in the Green and Water Infrastructure Study. The policy is now changed due to adding referencing of the open space deficiency in the Site profile of relevant site allocations. Please see the new wording in site allocation N9.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/006	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			<p>Plaistow North is meant to act as a HIGH-DENSITY HIGH-VALUE TOWN CENTRE with commercial, educational, scientific and civic architecture; which is fitting for such strategic premium land around the station.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There is no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).</p> <p>Also, Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. There is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough. There is no need for this site to deliver education facilities.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/007	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			<p>Also sent two detailed proposals on this called PROPOSAL: REVITALISATION OF PLAISTOW PLACE DEVELOPMENT and PROPOSAL: DIFFERENT SCHOOL CHOICE FOR PLAISTOW PLACE— again evidently ignored.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham’s Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham’s Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-through school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p> <p>Regarding university, there is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/008	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Approach TOP BANKS about setting up their East offices here (BNP Paribas, Deutsche Bank, UBS, RBS, Commerzbank, Standard Chartered, Lloyds),	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There is no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/009	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Approach [TOP BANKS about setting up their East offices here (BNP Paribas, Deutsche Bank, UBS, RBS, Commerzbank, Standard Chartered, Lloyds),] TOP UNIVERSITIES setting up their East campuses (Imperial, LSE, King's) or London campuses (Cambridge, Oxford, Edinburgh, St Andrew's), or UK campuses (Harvard, MIT, ETH Zurich, LMU, TUM, Sorbonne);	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There are no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).  Also, there is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/010	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			could make space for a science and innovation park, invite TOP SCHOOLS like the nearby Brampton Manor Academy or others (Westminster, St Paul's, King's College, City of London and University College).	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposal for science and innovation park is considered an employment-led development that would consist of large scale research and development (Class E(g)(ii)) floorspace and would be delivered in designated employment locations and the Stratford Town Centre as informed by the findings in the Employment Land Review (2022).
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/011	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Vision for Plaistow North presented looks completely uninspired, much worse than the previous Plaistow Place proposal, and all that "open space" just seems like a cheap filler.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the open space to be delivered at the site is optimised with consideration on the needs on other uses or infrastructure as supported by evidences. However, wording change has been made to incorporate the open space requirement to reflect the update on our evidence base - Water and Green Infrastructure (2024).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-023	Resident	Reg18-E-023/013	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Please have a look at documents attached, especially 1, 3 and 4. Four documents attached: 1. Tree Masterplan 2. School selection 3. Greenway Masterplan 4. Proposal: Revitalisation of Plaistow Place Development	Comment noted.
Reg18-T-051	Resident	Reg18-T-051/018	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Change it] Extend green spaces	This policy approach has now changed to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study to add further detail about where improvements to green infrastructure will be supported. Please see the new wording in N9.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/070	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/071	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North					safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------------	--	--	--	--	------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/031	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/008	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Add to it] Traffic disturbance on Portway should be reduced, maybe with a 20mph zone? And neighbouring streets could be restricted to local traffic as well.	The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences (such as speeding) is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service. The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out. We have provided the highways team with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/009	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			[Add to it] The church square (triangle square) is also a significant opportunity for improvement, as it sits within an Area of Townscape Value and is well positioned to serve the neighbourhood ; however it is currently uninviting to say the least, with heavy traffic, and a parking lot using up most of the space of the square.	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail about how new development should conserve the Areas of Townscape Value and other heritage assets in the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in N9.
Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/018	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Change it] Maximise green area	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N9. Other parts of the policy address the requirements for increasing green space in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-114	Resident	Reg18-T-114/020	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Change it] Something to add to help with the crime aspect too.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/049	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[See annotation on As-001d]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/027	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Keep] Improve cycling infrastructure (Abbey Lane going up to DLR)	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable

<b>Comment Response</b>	Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N9.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Keep] Improve Romford Road - not safe, prostitution	The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). These policies ensure that best practice is followed when designing and locating public seating and other landscaping features, recognising the important social role these play. Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Public Health may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/news/article/1053/council-s-public-health-approach-to-shape-new-sex-work-strategy-to-reduce-stigmatisation-and-exploitation">https://www.newham.gov.uk/news/article/1053/council-s-public-health-approach-to-shape-new-sex-work-strategy-to-reduce-stigmatisation-and-exploitation</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Change] Building residential only if infrastructure for it	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the infrastructure needed to support development in the borough as well as evidence base documents such as the Community Facilities Needs Assessment and our engagement with the Education team and NHS partners to identify future requirements and to allocate sites that provide the facilities to meet these needs.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			9		[Change] Ensure small businesses are not affected by LTNs	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	--	--	---	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			Vision			[Change] Vision does not reflect West Ham, only mentions locations	Comment noted. The vision and the rest of the neighbourhood policy have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study as well as 2 rounds of engagement with local stakeholders so that it reflects local priorities and aspirations. The vision sets out how the neighbourhood will change and develop during the plan period up to 2038.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						Local plans to meet aspirations - specific needs? National [arrow] Mayor	A Local Plan responds to local needs and priorities, which are informed by the Council's priorities set out in Building in a Fairer Newham as well as the wide range of evidence documents produced to support the Local Plan. However, the Local Plan must also be consistent with national planning policy and be in general conformity with the Mayor of London's London Plan,
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/036	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Better design in streets for safety	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Better monitoring and delivery	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan includes monitoring indicators to monitor the effectiveness of the Local Plan and its policies. This will be published in the Authorities Monitoring Report.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Add] Football/basketball pitch	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study, the Playing Pitch Strategy and the Built Leisure Needs Assessment which provides the evidence on improvements to existing green spaces and sports facilities. Please see the new wording in N9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/040	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Mental health hub	<p>The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/vi-sit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/vi-sit-our-well-newham-hubs</a></li> <li>- Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council</p>
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[See annotation on As-001f]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			[Change] Greenway connectivity (do not need 2nd bridge) - Plaistow North	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1 and the bridge will be necessary to establish the connection across the rail track. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/043	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			<p>[Change] Plaistow North - commercial (top banks e.g. BNP Paribas &amp; top educational institutions e.g. Imperial East End campus)</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There are no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).</p> <p>Also, there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p> <p>Regarding university, there is no evidence</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	---------------	-------------	-----------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/044	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			[Add] Street greening - improve communication (Morley Road)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Morley Road falls outside site allocation N9.SA1 and street greening will have to meet requirements as set out in the design policies and Policy GWS4 in the Local Plan. Proposals should support the vision for retaining and maximising green infrastructure in the neighbourhood as set out in Local Plan Neighbourhood Policy N9.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			8			[Keep] 8. Cycling and walking routes	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Change] Utilise and connect existing open space	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies which will enable the creation of green links in the neighbourhood. Please see the amended wording in N9.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	Neighbourhood boundary					[Change] Revise boundary of neighbourhood	This policy approach has now changed due to a review of the neighbourhood boundary to include the whole of West Ham Park in one neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for West Ham.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			[Change] Improve existing assets - area around church and triangle	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail about how new development should conserve the Areas of Townscape Value and other heritage assets in the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in N9.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/051	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			[Add] Potential to create green corridor linking open space	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies which will enable the creation of green links in the neighbourhood. Please see the amended wording in N9.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/052	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Add] Protection of places of worship - Vicarage Lane area in particular (mosque)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as places of worship are protected under the borough-wide community facility policy set out in Local Plan Policy SI1.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/053	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Map				[Add] Community facilities to be included within map	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the purpose of the map is to show the neighbourhood boundary and the neighbourhood's site allocations. Mapping of the borough's community facilities (as a snapshot in time) can be found in the Community Facility Needs Assessment evidence base.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/054	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				Amend boundary [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	This change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position in the Newham Characterisation Study that Ham Park Road and West Ham park provide Upton Lane remains the boundary between the two neighbourhoods. However, the boundary has change to include West Ham Park in its entirety in the West Ham neighbourhood.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/055	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				Amend boundary [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	This policy approach has now changed due to a review of the neighbourhood boundary to include the whole of West Ham Park in one neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for West Ham.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				Amend boundary.Friends of WHP link with schools [see annoation on map on rep As-001i]	A change to this neighbourhood boundary has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as further analysis of the neighbourhood boundary maintains the position in the Newham Characterisation Study that Upton Lane remains the boundary between the West Ham boundary and Green Street boundary.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/057	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			2			Church environment [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail about how new development should conserve the Areas of Townscape Value and other heritage assets in the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in N9.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/058	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			Create green corridor [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies which will enable the creation of green links in the neighbourhood. Please see the amended wording in N8.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/059	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			10			Trees planting on Morley Road [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting existing trees and supporting the planting of new trees, particularly in locations identified as part of green links in the finalised Green and Water Study. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. We have provided the Parks team with your comments regarding the desire for trees on Morely Road. Our colleagues in the Parks department are able to help should you have any further concerns related to a particular request for regarding street trees.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Masterplan [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan Policy BFN2 set out the requirement that all applications on site allocations must undertake masterplanning.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Local facilities/commercial development (Imperial College Campus etc. Banks, e.g BNP Paribas) [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There are no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).</p> <p>Also, there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p> <p>Regarding university, there is no evidence</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Improve access to Greenway including Memorial Park [see annotation on map on rep As-001i]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway, including Memorial Park.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/082	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Proposal: Plaistow Plaice Connectivity to Greenway et al [see annotation on rep As-001i] There are currently three problems with the Plaistow Place's south east section [see map on rep As-001i]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles		<p>Plot A: a closed pocket.          Secluded spot for students to smoke and do drugs          Secluded spot for criminal activity          Pocket for rubbish accumulation          Since it is a dead-end, reduced pedestrian traffic flow and public utilisation of south western space of Plaistow Place          Contributor to wider community fragmentation</p>	<p>For waste management, the Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:          - Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council          - Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council          Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council          We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p> <p>Regarding safety and security, the Local Plan addresses this topic through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			<p>Plot B: existing junkyard. A nuisance, an eye sore and an industrial hazard for the current historical neighbourhood. Blocking access to Plaistow Place, Greenway and Memorial park Potential cause for the disuse of local centre left opposite of it A pocket for garbage and waste accumulation [see map on rep As-001] Contributor to wider community fragmentation</p>	<p>This policy change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this area falls outside the site allocation N9.SA1 and the Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p> <p>Development at site allocation N9.SA1 should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/085	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Plot C: Blocker preventing community access to school and amenities Potential criminal pocket Isolated green space; underutilised, not fully connected to surrounding community Contributor to wider community fragmentation Impeded access to West Ham station [see map on rep As-001]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/086a	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Solution: school and community access to rugby and football pitches in Memorial Park;	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1 and the bridge will be necessary to establish the connection across the rail track. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway, including the Memorial Park.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/086b	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			[Solution:] increased utilisation of public green spaces and improved community health	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires open space to be provided at the site as set out in the Development Principles and Infrastructure Requirements. For utilisation of public green spaces outside the site allocation, it can be improved by delivering high quality spaces following the requirements set out in Design and Green and Water Space Policy in the Local Plan.</p> <p>Community health and wellbeing is addressed in the Local Plan Policy BF3.</p>
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/087	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Solution: pupil access to Olympic Park Aquatics Centre, UCL, V&A via Greenway	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and the borough via Greenway.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Solution: increased dynamic pedestrian flow could revitalise and reactive a locally listed public house, making it an informal community centre as well as a potential stop for cyclists [see photo on rep As-001]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the locally listed public house falls outside the site allocation boundary is protected through the design policies in the Local Plan. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve pedestrian access to wider area in the neighbourhood and the borough via Greenway.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/089a	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			<p>Evidence: This proposal has been put together by [redacted information] but there is evidence of wider community support as seen from an online comment on the Plaistow Place planning application form 21/03194/OUT: This development should deliver much needed homes and school for Plaistow, and along with the Plaistow Hub project should improve the area round the station. [The lack of access to the Greenway from the south end of the site is disappointing and should be safeguarded for future delivery if it can't be included at this stage. This would make it easier for pupils to reach the school via greener and safer routes, and also to access the Memorial Recreation Ground. ]</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation requires residential uses to be delivered at the site as set out in the Development Principles.</p> <p>There is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/089b	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			<p>[Evidence: This proposal has been put together by [redacted information] but there is evidence of wider community support as seen from an online comment on the Plaistow Place planning application form 21/03194/OUT: This development should deliver much needed homes and school for Plaistow, and along with the Plaistow Hub project should improve the area round the station.]</p> <p>The lack of access to the Greenway from the south end of the site is disappointing and should be safeguarded for future delivery if it can't be included at this stage. This would make it easier for pupils to reach the school via greener and safer routes, and also to access the Memorial Recreation Ground.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway including the Memorial Park.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Proposal: Revitalisation of Plaistow Place Development. In April 2022 LocatED withdrew their planning application for the 259 Plaistow Road (Plaistow Place), following discussions with planning officer at London Borough of Newham. Therefor the application is currently not available for comment on the planning portal. The project should not be completely scrapped, since it reflects some local needs; and has already gone through three consultation phases.	The site allocations in the Draft Local Plan have been reviewed and updated in light of the site's planning history, landowner intentions and evidence on the need of different uses or infrastructure. Further consultation will be conducted when there is planning application submitted on this site in the future.

Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/091	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles		<p>The key to area's prosperity area: high quality education and high quality jobs. The general principle of having a mix of an education institutions + high rises (reducing in height away from station), though ideally commercial rather than residential, is a good one.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There are no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).</p> <p>Also, there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p> <p>Regarding university, there is no evidence</p>
--------------	---------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/092	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			<p>LocatED pulling out is detrimental to the local community and even nation's education. Alternatively we could invite top independent schools to develop the plot, whilst asking for doing work that would benefit wider community infrastructure in the process: Westminster School, St Paul's School, King's College School, City of London School, University College School.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p> <p>Regarding university, there is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/093	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			It does not have to be a secondary school, could target pre-eminent local educational institutions without East End campus presence: Imperial College London, LSE, King's College London. There is also a trend for out-of-London universities to open campuses in the East; could approach: Cambridge University, Oxford University, Edinburgh University, St Andrew's University. Could even brainstorm to invite top world universities to open up a London campus here: Harvard, MIT, ETH Zurich, LMU, TUM, Sorbonne.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. There is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough. There is no need for this site to deliver education facilities.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/094	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Could convince one of top universities or colleges to open a science park here (see Trinity Cambridge Science Park, St John's College Innovation Park, St John's College Innovation Park)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposal for science and innovation park is considered an employment-led development that would consist of large scale research and development (Class E(g)(ii)) floorspace and would be delivered in designated employment locations and the Stratford Town Centre as informed by the findings in the Employment Land Review (2022).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/095	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Residential development are dime a dozen in Stratford and the East; they do not add much value and do not compliment Plaistow hub development, which is already primarily residential. To make this a true hub, high-rises near Plaistow Road should be marked for commercial use. There are still some financial institutions with no presence in the east, which presents an excellent opportunity to invite them: Deutsche Bank, UBS, BNP Paribas, RBS, Commerzbank, Standard Chartered, Lloyds	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(1)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There is no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/222	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Greenway connections welcome	Support noted.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/223	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			But 65% of the 50% "affordable" housing is just INSANE	Comment noted. Policy H3 in the draft Local Plan set a target for 50 per cent of all new homes delivered across the Plan period to be affordable housing. While we understand concerns around the delivery of social rent homes, there is a need in Newham to provide private housing and some intermediate housing. In policy H3 we have sought to maximise the delivery of social rent homes aligned with need, while still ensuring the plan's affordable housing target is viable to deliver.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/225	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Invite commercial banks (BNP, UBS, Deutsche, Standard CH, Lloyds)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that the proposal for commercial use at the site is not in line with our policy intention in directing major office (Class E(g)(l)) development into the Stratford Metropolitan Centre to support the Central Activities Zone. There is no identified needs to deliver new offices as there is oversupply of offices in the pipeline across the borough as informed in the Employment Land Review (2022).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/226	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Top schools (Brampton Manor Academy, St Paul's, Westminster, University College)	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that there is no identified need for this site to deliver education facilities based on our evidence. Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-though school in N3 Canning Town, on Site Allocation N5.SA2 Custom House - Coolfin North. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.</p> <p>Regarding university, there is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/227	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			University East Campus (Imperial, LSE, Kings)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the reason that there is no evidence demonstrating the need on university and the location in the borough.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/228	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Development principles			Science Park, Start-Up Incubator, Free Trade Zone (0% Corporation Tax)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposal for science park is considered an employment-led development that would consist of large scale research and development (Class E(g)(ii)) floorspace and would be delivered in designated employment locations and the Stratford Town Centre as informed by the findings in the Employment Land Review (2022). Local Plan Policy J1 allow start-ups to be accommodated within the town centre designation at the site subject to the requirements as set out in the policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/229	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Clear junk yard	<p>This policy change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this area falls outside the site allocation N9.SA1 and the Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/230	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Cycling ramp/disabled	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. Local Plan Policy D2 requires all public realm to consider accessibility for a range of mobility needs and preferences including cyclists and the disabled. The bridge design with detail routes or accesses would be dealt with in the planning application stage.
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/231	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Ideally one bridge (existing)	This policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1 and the bridge will be necessary to establish the connection across the rail track. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/232	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Design principles			Connect to Hollbrook	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development should provide a bridge landing on Whitelegg Road for walking and cycling connecting to the Greenway as set out in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N9.SA1. The proposed connection to Greenway at the site will improve public access to wider area in the neighbourhood and borough via Greenway.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/022	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/023	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Blank			[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/259	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Water comments: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N9.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/260	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Phasing and implementation			Water comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N9.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/261	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Waste comments: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/262	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Phasing and implementation			Waste comments: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/263	Neighborhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/150	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham			7			See comments on N9.S1: Plaistow North which apply to this wider area. We welcome the provision of step-free access to Plaistow station as a requirement of this site allocation. This would need to be wholly funded through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Support noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Sustainable Transport Strategy did not identify this as a necessary requirement for development to be optimised. Therefore, at the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Transport improvements and projects will be considered at application stage and on an application specific basis, weighing up viability constraints and other site specific requirements. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/151	Neighbourhoods	N9 West Ham	N9.SA1 Plaistow North		Infrastructure requirements			We welcome the provision of step-free access to Plaistow station as a requirement of this site allocation. This would need to be wholly funded through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now been changed to remove the requirement from infrastructure requirements of the site allocation as the Sustainable Transport Strategy did not identify it as necessary for the development to come forward. The requirement is still included in the neighbourhood policy and all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Transport improvements and projects will be considered at application stage and on an application specific basis, weighing up viability constraints and other site specific requirements. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure. Please see the wording in the neighbourhood policy and site allocation N9.SA1.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/069	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Development principle			N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre site. Allocated for "Reconfiguration of leisure centre, car park and open space to provide a new leisure centre, residential and the re-provision of open space."–	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/070	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Development principle			This allocation is unclear about how much space will be retained as green space and more generally it is a proposal to build residential on green space which we strongly object to.	This wording change has been made to add minimum size requirement for the open space provision at the site. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirement of site allocation N10.SA3. Development should improve the quality and functionality of the existing open space as set out in the Design Principles.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/071&72a	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Development principles			This should not be allocated for residential development - if the leisure centre is to be re-provisioned, no green or open space (we including the very large car park) should be lost [and car parking should all be returned to green space, with only disabled parking retained, to support sustainable transport targets. ]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space and supports the Development Principles as set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. This site allocation is considered suitable for reconfiguration of leisure centre, car park and open space to provide a new leisure centre, residential and open space. However, a wording change has been made as to make it clearer that development should improve the quality and functionality of the existing open space as set out in the Design Principles, as informed by the Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/072b	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Development principles			if the leisure centre is to be reprovisioned, [no green or open space (we including the very large car park) should be lost and ]car parking should all be returned to green space, with only disabled parking retained, to support sustainable transport targets.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space and supports the Development Principles as set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. This site allocation is considered suitable for reconfiguration of leisure centre, car park and open space to provide a new leisure centre, residential and open space. However, a wording change has been made as to make it clearer that development should improve the quality and functionality of the existing open space as set out in the Design Principles, as informed by the Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024). All new development should meet the car-free requirement set out in Local Plan Policy T3 while car parking amount at the site should be consolidated and reduced according to the Development Principle. At the application stage, the Council will push developers for the lowest quantity of parking required for the development.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/073	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Development principles			N10.SA2 - Newham Sixth Form college site. This is allocated for "Residential development and open space"	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/074&075	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Development principles			We do not believe this site should be allocated for housing. The green/open space should be retained for its amenity value.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space and supports the Development Principles as set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential with open space.</p> <p>However, a wording change has been made to improve clarity on the requirement that development should protect and enhance the existing open space at the site including the existing sports pitch. Please see the new wording in the Development Principles, Design Principles and Infrastructure Requirements in site allocation N10.SA2.</p>
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/031	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Site profile			<p>Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 0.44 hectares</li> <li>- Other constraints include Aquifer</li> </ul>	<p>This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/032	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N10.SA2 Newham Sixth Form College - 1.6 hectares - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/033	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre - 6.9 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (fluvial), FZ2 (fluvial + tidal), breach - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/034	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N10.SA4 Balaam Street Health Complex - 0.44 hectares - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-K-040	Newham 6th Form College	Reg18-K-040/004	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Development principles			"We support the designation of the southern part of NewVIc's campus for residential development to cross fund new education floorspace at the campus.  The College is reviewing the viability of its Masterplan and requires long term flexibility to release part of its campus for residential development."	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/008	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow		4.48				What will happen to this Passmore Edwards building (current library) when library moves into new building	The Council's property team are considering the future use of this building.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			1			Let homeowners extend their homes. Double storey rear extensions should be a norm not blocked by the council we need space!	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy criteria set within Local Plan policies D1 and D7 are considered effective at addressing the design quality for a range of small scale developments, including extensions, while having due regards to each site's unique context and potential impacts. Each case is considered on its merits, and independent of existing similar builds in the vicinity. Please note a range of flexibilities are also provided to homeowners wishing to expand under permitted development rights rules, which do not require planning permission for certain types of applications. Please see: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			14			14 - concerns with overdeveloping crowded area	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as development on this site is required to support improvements to the educational facilities on the site. Any proposal on the site will be assessed against the design principles in the neighbourhood policy, the design policies and the site allocation to ensure an appropriate level of development.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			4			Stock Street: support (4)	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/047	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Phasing and implementation			N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form - will be housing soon if they can replace it	Comment noted. A wording change have been made to improve clarity on the requirement that residential development on this site should improve the wider campus and can only take place after the re-provision of education uses on the remaining campus footprint. Please see the new wording in the Development Principles and Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA2.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Will leisure centre in West Ham new complex include a swimming pool	This policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1. Delivery of facilities in the new leisure centre should be informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) according to Local Plan Policy S13.

Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles			<p>Compelety disagree!! With the proposal as there is plenty of housing not enough leisure centre for younger generation</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---





Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/050	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles			Totally disagree - Balaam Street leisure centre - housing - there is no local leisure centre	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/051	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Development principles			This is too vague regarding additional number for education	Comment noted. Wording change have been made to improve clarity on the requirement that residential development on this site should improve wider campus and can only take place after the re-provision of education uses on the remaining campus footprint. Please see the new wording in the Development Principles and Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA2.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/052	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Add sauna facilities to leisure centres (Newham)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as facilities to be delivered in a leisure centre should be informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) as set out in Local Plan Policy SI2. The policy has now changed as to make it clear in the site allocation that the provision of sports and recreation facilities should follow Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) and meet requirements of Local Plan Policies SI2 and SI3. Please see the new wording in Development Principles of site allocation N10.SA3.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/053	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Re-provide running track in Cumberland school (as building needs refurbishment) aka the Hub	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Cumberland School is outside the site allocation boundary. Existing community facilities are protected in accordance with Local Plan Policy SI1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/054	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Add multi-faith prayer rooms to leisure centre	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as facilities to be delivered in a leisure centre should be informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) as set out in Local Plan Policy SI2. The policy has now changed as to make it clear in the site allocation that the provision of sports and recreation facilities should follow Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024) and meet requirements of Local Plan Policies SI2 and SI3. Please see the new wording in Development Principles of site allocation N10.SA3.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/055a	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Development principles			Balaam Street surgery - housing	Support noted. Residential use will be required as part of the site allocation N9.SA1 as set out in the Development Principles.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/055b	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Development principles			[Balaam Street surgery] [- housing ] - why it can not stay in the new redevelopment?	This policy approach has now changed due to setting out clearer requirement that development should re-provide a health centre designed to meet NHS needs and standards, and meet the requirements of Local Plan Policy SI2. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure Requirement of site allocation N10.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Infrastructure requirements			Funding support to help improve the 'adjacent' community garden to offer 'social' prescription services	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as stipulating the funding of a specific community garden is not a level of detail provided in the Local Plan, nor is it something the Local Plan can insist on.</p> <p>However, it should be noted that Local Plan Policy BFN4 of the Local Plan requires development to pay the Community Infrastructure Levy charges applicable to their land use and location. Over the last two years, the Council's 'People Powered Places' programme has allocated £1.6 million of Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funding to the Community Assembly programme. Most of these funds were directed at green and water infrastructure projects, mainly through the People Powered Places programme. Principle 8 of Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) 'Work Together: Inclusive and Collaborative Planning' recommends that we continue to implement the 'People Powered Places' programme to give local people ownership over their neighbourhoods. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/057	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Infrastructure requirements			Plaistow Eco Hub [see drawings on Reg18-Ap-001m] this is a valuable green space for the local community which needs more support. More integrated health care service.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as stipulating the funding of a specific community garden is not a level of detail provided in the Local Plan, nor is it something the Local Plan can insist on.</p> <p>However, it should be noted that Local Plan Policy BFN4 of the Local Plan requires development to pay the Community Infrastructure Levy charges applicable to their land use and location. Over the last two years, the Council's 'People Powered Places' programme has allocated £1.6 million of Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funding to the Community Assembly programme. Most of these funds were directed at green and water infrastructure projects, mainly through the People Powered Places programme. Principle 8 of Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) 'Work Together: Inclusive and Collaborative Planning' recommends that we continue to implement the 'People Powered Places' programme to give local people ownership over their neighbourhoods. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.</p>
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/058	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			2			[Keep] 2	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/059	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			4			[Keep] 4	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			6			[Keep] 6	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			7			[Keep] 7	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] 9	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/063	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			12			[Keep] 12	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/064	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			13			[Keep] 13	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/065	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			14			[Keep] 14	Support noted.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/066	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			3			[Change] 3 more option for larger chain shops e.g Tesco, Lidl	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan can only manage the type of uses such as retail or food and drink uses and cannot specify specific types of businesses or services that occupy buildings. The Local Plan supports foodstores through Local Plan policies HS1 and HS2, including in the local centre and neighbourhood parades in the Plaistow neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/067	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			1			[Add] 1. Open up loft space on terrace housing to extra space for bigger families and still keep within conditions	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy criteria set within Local Plan policies D1 and D7 are considered effective at addressing the design quality for a range of small scale developments, including extensions, while having due regards to each site's unique context and potential impacts. Each case is considered on its merits, and independent of existing similar builds in the vicinity. Please note a range of flexibilities are also provided to homeowners wishing to expand under permitted development rights rules, which do not require planning permission for certain types of applications. Please see: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2</a>
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/068	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			2			[Add] 2. Improve and develop the park (invest in the development of the basketball court)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this policy clause relates to the neighbourhood's heritage assets, such as its listed buildings. However, the policy approach has changed to reflect the finalised evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy which has been used to inform the improvements to be made to green spaces and sports and leisure facilities in

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in policy N10.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/069	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			5		[Add] 5. Better lighting		This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Support for public realm improvements have also been added to the policy. Further detail on the type of public realm improvements to be provided will be addressed through the

<b>Comment Response</b>	design policies on public realm. Please see the new wording in N10.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/070	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			11			[Add] 11. Improve Balaam Street traffic, roads	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new policy wording for N10.

Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			8			[Add] 8. No we do not agree with this	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	---	--	--	---------------------------------------	---

											<p><a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p> <p>We have provided the highways team with your comments.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/072	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			10			[Add] 10. Be more secure/security issues	The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The neighbourhood vision also addresses safety. The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council

<b>Comment Response</b>	perspective, however the MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow		4.48				[Keep] Plaistow library	The Council's property team are considering the future use of this building.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/085	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Change] Littler	The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues: - Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council - Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/086	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Change] Anti-social behaviour	The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). However, The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council take all reports of noise and ASB seriously and will take appropriate steps to abate reported nuisances. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/087	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow		4.48				[Change] Future of old Plaistow library?	The Council's property team are considering the future use of this building.

Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Add] Youth centre	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/089	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Add] Leisure centres	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the site allocation for Newham Leisure Centre, which will improve leisure facilities.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			5			[Add] Bicycle lanes	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/091	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			11			Need to reduce pollution in front of our schools - Tunmarsh school	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Local Plan policies on air quality and education facilities.







Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/093	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] All tree pits	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy supports the retention of existing mature trees and works alongside Local Plan Policy GWS4 which provides further detail on how trees will be protected.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/094	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] Every inch of greenery	Support noted. Any loss of open space will be assessed against the criteria in GWS1.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/095	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] Paddling pools	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its green and water spaces policies. However, it cannot deliver what you have requested. Our parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure</a>
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/096	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] Funding for children's playground refurb	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its green and water spaces policies. However, it cannot deliver what you have requested. Our parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure</a>
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/097	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			3			[Change] Less chicken shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/103	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			6			[Add] New zebra crossings - New City Road	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/104	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			6			[Add] New zebra crossings - Turnmarsh Lane	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/105	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			6			[Add] New zebra crossing - EVERYWHERE!	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.







Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/108	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Add] Improve the PRU	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its education policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our Education colleagues may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education">https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education</a>
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/109	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			6			[Add] Add zebra crossings	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/110	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			5			[Add] Bicycle lanes	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/111	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			7			[Change] Connect north and south - Plaistow bus lanes	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/112	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			5			[Change] Connect Plaistow to Forest Gate, Prince Regent, New City	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N10.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/113	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Change] Don't allow the [comment not finished]	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/118	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Add] Open spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N9 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/119	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			8			[Change] LTN	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	---	--	--	--------------	---

											<p><a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p> <p>We have provided the highways team with your comments.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/120	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow			5			[Change] Walking	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to increase walking and cycling and the use of public transport across the borough and reduce the reliance on cars.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/121	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Change] Public transport	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services as well as supporting infrastructure requirements such improving capacity at Stratford Station, Canning Town Station and the extension of the DLR to Beckton Riverside. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/122	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow			Vision			[Keep] Successful?	Support noted.

Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/123	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Keep] Community facilities	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/125	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Keep] Schools	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan does not propose the loss of any school sites and this would be addressed via Local Plan policy SI4.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow		Vision				Vision not accurate	The vision for the neighbourhood has been informed by a range of evidence base documents, particularly the Characterisation Study. Both the Characterisation Study and the Local Plan have been subject to community engagement and the findings from these rounds of consultation have shaped the vision for the neighbourhood to ensure it reflects the needs and aspirations of local stakeholders.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/129	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] No building on green sites	Support noted. Any loss of open space will be assessed against the criteria in GWS1.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] Importance to parks to address flood risk	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			9			[Keep] More allotments for food	This policy approach has changed due to updated evidence on the need for community growing spaces in different parts of the borough. Newham has 14 allotments and community growing spaces with a total area of 16.81 hectares. The National Allotment Society recommends the provision of 0.125 hectares per 1,000 residents. The borough currently provides 0.046 hectares per 1,000 residents. Both the current and projected rates of provision in 2038 are below the recommended standards. The Green Infrastructure Strategy evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for community growing spaces on site allocations in the Local Plan. Please see the amended infrastructure requirements for site allocations, where a need for growing space has been identified.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Keep] Better design for safety in streets i.e. better lighting	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes. Design policies address the use of lighting.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Change] Safe spaces in public areas	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy D2 and the green and water spaces policies which address design standards and requirements for open spaces, including designing for safety.







Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/141	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Add] Youth spaces in public, open spaces	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy D2 and the green and water spaces policies which address design standards and requirements for open spaces, including designing for safety.
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/142	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow				9		[Add] Focus on food growing spaces/services	This policy approach has changed due to updated evidence on the need for community growing spaces in different parts of the borough. Newham has 14 allotments and community growing spaces with a total area of 16.81 hectares. The National Allotment Society recommends the provision of 0.125 hectares per 1,000 residents. The borough currently provides 0.046 hectares per 1,000 residents. Both the current and projected rates of provision in 2038 are below the recommended standards. The Green Infrastructure Strategy evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for community growing spaces on site allocations in the Local Plan. Please see the amended infrastructure requirements for site allocations, where a need for growing space has been identified.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Add] Policy only about children and young people	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as planning for the needs of children and young people is embedded throughout the Local Plan. Section 1 of the Local Plan contains the Vision and Objectives and Objective 6 sets out how the development in the borough is expected to support young people to have the best start in life and reach their potential.
Reg18-E-051	Resident	Reg18-E-051/003	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						I once found Plaistow an attractive place to live but your plan indicates that you have given up on the Abbey Arms neighbourhood. Very sad.	Comment noted. The neighbourhood vision and supporting policy clauses set out how the neighbourhood will develop and change during the plan period. This includes improvements to the neighbourhood's local centres, including Abbey Arms.
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/001	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow		10				Access to the greenway for cycling is really hard work, Beaumont green should have a cycleway, and many connections to the greenway should be improved. From Plaistow, It is impossible to go to the market, Canning Town or the train station cycling with the children. I was a cyclist in Hackney, and moving to newham I practically had to stop as roads are so bad for cyclists. [Originally submitted on N10.2]	Comment noted. The neighbourhood policy supports improving existing access points and creating new access points to the Greenway. This is supported by the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future.
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/002	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			4			"How do you say this, when you are building more and more and more in the area? Cement and building brings a great deal of pollution and more people will bring a great deal of more cars. I object all and every construction as we are on a climate emergency. "	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the climate emergency is addressed through a range of Local Plan policies which set the standards and requirements for how development should improve the borough's environment, air quality, reduce emissions, mitigate the impacts of climate change and minimise and avoid the risk of all sources of flooding. These policies apply to all neighbourhoods.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/003	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			6			"Stop being a car centric borough. Let's flush out most of the cars and give people real alternatives. An example of car centric poor planning is New city green who is surrounded by 3 cul du sacks/ dead ends, where cars come to do idling constantly, smoke spliffs, trucks come to stop for a wee in the park, young adults come to sell drugs, and people come and unload tones of fly tipping/ unwanted items to dump in the park. it is super dispensable that these 3 roads and little entrances are there. Put children first. Put some barriers here, so the kids can play ball games and feel safe. "	Comment noted. The Local Plan addresses the need to reduce car use through its transport policies, which require car-free development and requirements for development to improve walking, cycling and access to public transport. This is supported by the neighbourhood policies which set out neighbourhood specific policies for improving conditions for walking, cycling and using public transport. These policies are supported by the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/005	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			13			What leisure centre? My leisure centre was Balaam and that has closed. Do you mean the newham? Do you want to build lots and lots of cement in front of it? It is criminal. I strongly object this bit of grass is for the children and locals to play in, don't build on it please. [Originally submitted on N10.4]	This policy clause relates to Newham Leisure Centre. A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation sets out the approach to retaining green space on the site. However, the infrastructure requirements have been updated in light of the finalisation of the Green and Water Study 2024 and require enhancement to the open space so that it functions as a local park. Please see the new wording in N10.SA3.
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/006	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow		4.49				Why is always the focus Plaistow Park??? We have in Plaistow pocket parks that have been neglected and underfunded for years as all the focus and picture opportunities go to Plaistow park. Read the research regarding the importance of pocket parks for community cohesion. Please invest in small parks namely new city park. Add cycle lanes to connect to it. Add bike locks, add investment and green gyms in the small pocket parks. The state of the Beaumont green is a disgrace. In front of a PRU and a Community centre....[Originally submitted on N10.14]	The neighbourhood profile provides a description of the features of the neighbourhood and has been updated to reflect the finalisation of the Green and Water Study. Please see the new wording in the neighbourhood profile of N10. The neighbourhood policy has also been updated to reflect the Green and Water Study, Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Leisure Needs Assessment to reflect priorities for improving green spaces across the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in policy N10.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/007	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			11			<p>"11. Improve air quality in and around primary schools NOW with real urgency and leadership. We have the most polluted schools in London namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- add green screens of ivy and trees around schools,</li> <li>- add greenery in playgrounds</li> <li>- implement an active travel plan to all schools</li> <li>- implement healthy streets in all the schools, limiting traffic</li> <li>- implement LTN near and around all schools</li> </ul> <p>Please take this matter seriously our children are dying with asthma and as a result of poor planning, my child is 6 year old is on 4 medications for asthma. He needs to walk the most polluted road to go to school, new city road. He gets asthma attacks. "</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as these points are addressed in various policies in the Local Plan, including the neighbourhood policy, the climate emergency policies, the transport policies and the green and water policies. The Local Plan also works alongside the Air Quality Action which sets out specific measures for monitoring and improving air quality in Newham.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/008	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			Vision			<p>It is not a successful neighbourhood. Is a dirty neighbourhood full of HMOs, sublets of sublets, and it has all of the most polluted schools in the entire London. Tunmarsh school being the most polluted secondary in London, and new city school being the most polluted primary. ( recent data from friends of the earth) Council has been tarmac's roadside tree pits when trees fall or get Sick and need cutting, so there are lots of gaps in the trees cannope, giving it a broken window feel to the houses.. A lot has to improve in Plaistow. There is not a single zebra crossing, and crossing the road is very complicated, with many rat runs in Plaistow, such as new city road, boundary road and Tunmarsh lane. I have seen a lollipop lady being run over. Accidents are a constant.</p> <p>[Originally submitted on N10.1]</p>	<p>The neighbourhood vision sets out how the neighbourhood will develop and change over the plan period and how this will be achieved through the application of the neighbourhood policy. Planning applications will be assessed against the relevant neighbourhood policy and borough-wide policies. The policy addresses some of these issues, including improving air quality and better connections for walking and cycling.</p>

Reg18-E-051	Resident	Reg18-E-051/001	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles			<p>You have invited comments on the local plan so I am responding as I feel very strongly that a massive mistake is being made in the plan to build housing on the site of Balaam Leisure Centre. [...] The worst aspect of this is that I attended a community consultation on this topic at Plaistow Library some years ago, after the Balaam Centre was closed when some convenient 'structural faults' were found. All present agreed that there should be a PERMANENT swimming pool and leisure centre on this site. We were told we would be kept informed of developments but since then have heard NOTHING. There has been no rationale given for turning the site over to housing and no rationale for reducing access to leisure facilities. [...] My request is that you change the plan so that there is a permanent leisure centre on the Balaam site, including swimming pools.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	---





Reg18-E-141	Resident	Reg18-E-141/001	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles		<p>I had the following issues with the plans for Plaistow:</p> <p>1. Cancelling existing leisure facilities especially leisure centres without creating new ones</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre •</li> <li>N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre •</li> <li>N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex</li> </ul> <p>The Balaam Leisure centre is closed for a while and is hugely missed.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---





Reg18-E-141	Resident	Reg18-E-141/002	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles		<p>The planning plan could be a good opportunity to find a solution. Instead of that, it does not create any leisure centres, gyms, or swimming pools, even though the existing facilities are not sufficient for the current neighbourhood.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---





Reg18-E-141	Resident	Reg18-E-141/003	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Design principles			<p>Newham adopted the 15-minute city policy, however, none of the solutions suggested by planners on the event (Newham Leisure Centre and Canning Centre) is from 15 minutes from me, especially not if you try to go there with children (it is much further by walking and you can not put buggies on overcrowded buses).</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate following the completion of the Built Leisure Needs Assessment evidence base (BLNA, 2024). The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand. The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A recommendation to invest in new facilities in Canning Town and Beckton, including swimming pools, sports hall and fitness.</li> <li>• A recommendation to invest in a new leisure centre on the existing Newham Leisure Centre but on a larger scale than the current facility.</li> </ul> <p>Our core priority is to deliver a viable, sustainable and quality leisure services across the borough within available Council budgets. It is important to note that the 15-minute neighbourhood approach is not intended to create isolated and self-sustaining areas, but rather encourage close proximity to resources and infrastructure for everybody. Beyond those resources that are needed on the doorstep, there is an acknowledgement of broader networks forming part of the neighbourhood approach, whereby resources across other neighbourhoods and even boroughs can support the</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-141	Resident	Reg18-E-141/004	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles			At the moment I go to our loved Plaistow library (which is a library and not a gym) for Zumba class since none of the other facilities has this class which is not overbooked.	The Local Plan addressed this topic through Community Facilities Policy. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Active Newham will be able to help with the type of activities to be held in the leisure centre. Please visit <a href="https://www.activenewham.org.uk/all-sports">https://www.activenewham.org.uk/all-sports</a> or contact Active Newham for more information.

Reg18-E-141	Resident	Reg18-E-141/005	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Development principles		As you can see there is a lack of nearby leisure facilities at the moment even without further residents and the plans do not help this	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	---	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-024	Resident	Reg18-K-024/004	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Infrastructure requirements			<p>Please bring LTN we are so far behind. The whole new city estate triangle should be a LTN. And add ZEBRA CROSSINGS.... Please! Have respect for pedestrians. Lisbon has zebra crossings every 30 meters in neighbourhoods such as this.</p>	<p>Comment noted. The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. We have provided the highways team with your comments.</p> <p>The London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. Consideration of an LTN in the borough involves extensive data collection and consideration of feedback from local residents and businesses. The Council also consults with statutory stakeholders (London Ambulance Service, Met Police, London Fire Brigade and others). More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/072	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/073	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/074	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College				[Add to it] safe and clean for all users	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/075	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/076	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	---------------------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/033	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/034	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/035	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Blank			[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/036	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Blank			[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-106	Resident	Reg18-T-106/010	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow			3			[Change it] Just wished to emphasize that Plaistow station area is well suited for a market (being remote from Queen's market and Stratford market). This market/shopping parade can be brought along with the current residential developments	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this markets through Local Plan policy HS4 which broadly supports new markets being set up in Town and Local Centres, which would include local centres in the Plaistow neighbourhood.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/050	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Keep it]	Support noted.

Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/051	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			<p>N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre – The site appears closed however the allocation appears to propose its loss to residential use. This, therefore, would appear to be contrary to the protection policies earlier in the Draft Local Plan and National Policy. As a result, this site, if lost would need to be replaced or identified as surplus to current and future needs in emerging strategies for this allocation to be considered sound.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as there is no need to deliver a leisure centre at this site as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, this policy approach has now changed due to adding requirement for a leisure centre to be provided in the N3 Canning Town neighbourhood before this site can be released for housing. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N10.SA1.</p> <p>The forced closure of Balaam Leisure Centre in 2018 exacerbated an already existing deficit of built leisure facilities within the borough. The Council subsequently commissioned a Built Leisure Needs Assessment (BLNA) to provide an evidence base to support the emerging Local Plan and to inform decisions on the need for the improvement of leisure services across Newham. The BLNA (2024) considers the quality of the existing provision and how the projected growth over the Local Plan period (to 2038) will impact the need for future provision and where such facilities should be located to meet demand.</p> <p>The BLNA (2024) is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Assessment identified a number of findings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient pay and play accessible sports hall, swimming pools and health and fitness provision to meet current and future demand (by 2038);</li> </ul>
-------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	--	--	--	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/052	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Infrastructure requirements			N10.SA2 Newham Sixth Form College – Sport England is not clear if any indoor sport facilities are affected however it appears that the allocation would result in the loss of cricket nets. The allocation should indicate where the nets would be replaced to align with the NPPF, Paragraph 99, and Sport England’s Planning Policy.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the Development Principles of site allocation N10.SA2.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/053	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre – Sport England welcome that the allocation seeks to protect the outdoor sports facilities and that any redevelopment/configuration should be informed by the emerging Built Leisure Needs Assessment.	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/024	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/067	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/068	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/069	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/070	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA1 Balaam Leisure Centre		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/071	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N10.SA2.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/072	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N10.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/073	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/074	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/075	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/076	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N10.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/077	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N10.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/078	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/079	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/080	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA3 Newham Leisure Centre		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/081	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/082	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/083	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/084	Neighborhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA4 Balaam Street Surgery Complex		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/152	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow						Newham General Hospital bus stands east of N10.SA2 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation or neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/153	Neighbourhoods	N10 Plaistow	N10.SA2 Newham 6th Form College		Infrastructure requirements			Newham General Hospital bus stands east of N10.SA2 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through Local Plan Policy T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation N10.SA2. However, Local Plan Policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy T1 of the Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/002	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site					Following the representations submitted for the Site during consultation for the Issues and Options and Call for Sites stages of the Local Plan review, LB Newham requested further representations to be submitted for the Site as part of the Regulation 18 consultation. Most relevant to this submission are draft policies GWS1 and GWS3 which detail LBNs greening and open space policy intentions, as well as J1 and J2 which detail strategic policies in relation to employment.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/003	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Site profile			<p>The land known as 'Beckton Alp' is located in the Beckton Ward, within the administrative boundary of the London Borough of Newham. The Site is located directly on the south-eastern corner of the junction between the A13 and A117. The Site is also located directly to the east of the existing designated strategic industrial site, 'SIL 1', within the Newham Local Plan (2018). Historically, the Site was used as a toxic waste disposal site for the former Beckton Gas Works, before a dry ski slope was opened on the Site in the late 1980s, remaining in operation until 2001. The Site has remained vacant since and has more recently sought planning permission for use as an indoor ski slope and leisure facility. This permission was never lawfully implemented as the 'Snow World' indoor ski facility proved to be unviable at the time. Furthermore, due to the historic use as a toxic waste disposal, the Site is considered to be contaminated land, requiring remediation as part of any redevelopment.</p>	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/007	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			Notwithstanding these designations, it is also considered that there is a case for the Site to be deemed as Previously Developed Land ('PDL'), meaning that the previous use of the Site as a dry ski slope could be re-instated using existing structures without the need for planning permission.	Comment noted. Noting the current features on the site and site history we would likely conclude that any prior use has been abandoned. Any new use on the land would therefore require planning permission.
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/008	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Site profile			The site currently consists of an area of grassland and trees and is designated entirely as Protected Green Space comprised of a local park (Site ID: GS228) and a Site is Importance for Nature Conservation in the Newham Local Plan (2018). Previously, the Site benefitted from public access, however this has since been removed due to the concerns over toxic waste from the Site's previous use and incidents of anti-social behaviour occurring on the Site. The green space is now therefore inaccessible to the public. Within the Regulation 18 Consultation draft, the Site is allocated once more as an Open Space (Amenity Green Space) and as Borough level Site is Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC).	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/010	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Site profile			Additionally, the London Industrial Park to the east is allocated as a Strategic Industrial Location (SIL) once more.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/012	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>This representation submission focuses once more on the following key considerations for this Site in line with the draft policies set out within the Regulation 18 consultation draft: Improving accessibility and functionality of the green space allocation enabling much needed employment and community uses to come forward. Despite being allocated as protected green space in the draft Local Plan, the Site is not currently considered to deliver the benefits associated with this allocation.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/013	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>[This representation submission focuses once more on the following key considerations for this Site in line with the draft policies set out within the Regulation 18 consultation draft:] Promotion of an employment-led scheme. This submission outlines the benefits to the community and environment which could be achieved if the Site (or part thereof) were to be redeveloped as an employment-led scheme.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/014	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			The representations have sought to include additional supporting information following the submission of the previous representations relating to the Call for Sites and Issues and Options Consultation in December 2021. The following letter also addresses the reasons Beckton Alp did not previously meet the designation criteria which were given as follows: • Open Space Designation; and, • SINC Designation	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/048	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>Given the current limited value of the green space, as discussed above, in conjunction with the location of Beckton Alp adjacent to the thriving allocated SIL, London Industrial Park, this submission once more highlights the appropriateness of the Site to accommodate industrial uses in any future redevelopment. This would allow for part of the Site to be brought forward as employment floorspace, positioned in a highly advantageous location close to strategic transport links and would allow for the delivery of advanced manufacturing uses, including highly skilled jobs and training in a cutting edge and emerging industry.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to: • Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary. • Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's. Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/050	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>The Site is considered to not only provide greater employment opportunities for the Borough in this important location, but also to allow for improved legibility, healthy and community benefits within the wider site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/051	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Design principles			<p>A development of new commercial and employment floor space on the southern and eastern parts of the Site would also help to improve the appearance, presence and long-term viability of London Industrial Park and could allow for the appropriate masterplanning of the Site, in compliance with draft Policy BNF2 (Co-designed Masterplanning). This south-eastern part of the site is more deliverable as it is flatter and would be in keeping with its surroundings due to its proximity to the London Industrial Park.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/053	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		Additional 6 acres of employment land and public open space provision could therefore be provided in an area of the borough directly adjacent to an existing employment area, as opposed to somewhere less suited to this use.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/054	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		Further improvements would also be made to the Borough with the promotion of sustainable transport options by reconnecting to the Greenway and installation of EV rapid charging as a response to the on-going climate crisis.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/055	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			Overall, initial, baseline calculations indicate that the redevelopment of the site could provide employment floorspace for approximately 365 new local jobs in this location, as well as 367 direct and 356 indirect jobs during the construction / decontamination phase of the scheme, both directly and indirectly. It is hoped that through intensification of employment uses these figures could be increased.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Employment Land Review has undertaken a review of suitable employment locations in the borough and this has informed the designations and spatial strategy for these uses.
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/056	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			Land Contamination and Air Quality As previously discussed, it is understood that the Site is known to have been raised with a variety of wastes from contaminative uses, such as the Beckton Gasworks. These wastes were mounded into the current feature and capped with approximately 5m of clay material. This means that the Site could be actively gassing and producing noxious leachate which have the potential to impact on the nearby sensitive receptors.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/057	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			An Air Quality Technical Review, prepared by Hydrock concluded that there are areas of the Site where this clay cap is compromised and contaminated materials have been reported as visible. This area of compromised capping is a potential risk to public health due to elevated concentrations of hydrocarbons and cyanide. The report therefore concludes that the redevelopment of the Site would be highly beneficial when compared to a 'do-nothing' approach. Any remediation strategy that effectively removes the contaminants present will reduce any potential risk of air quality impacts by removing the source. Similarly, any remediation that effectively disrupts the pathway between the source and receptors would also reduce any risk of air quality impacts compared to the current Site. Positively, no elevated concentrations of gases associated with gasworks wastes were encountered at the Gas Vents on site and due to the distance of the highly sensitive receptors, PM concentration of contaminated dust is predicted to be less than 20% of that at the point of generation.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/060	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			It therefore must be considered that the remediation and associated redevelopment of the Site would be a considerable improvement to the current situation on Site, with the Clay cap subsiding and threatening public health. The benefits of remediation are considered to outweigh any potential impact on the ecological value of the Site.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/061	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			Community Consultation In addition to additional technical assessments, the applicant has also recently engaged with the local community and key stakeholders. There is clear support for the proposed redevelopment from all stakeholders. In February 2023, 2 in-person surveys were carried out at the Beckton Retail Park to understand residents' knowledge of Beckton Alp in response to the Newham Local Plan review. A further survey was then carried out online via YouGov following this. Over half of the 181 respondents highlighted a desire to see the Site opened to the public once more and over 75% of respondents highlighted a desire to see the contamination of the Site remediated. Most significantly, over 80% (146) of respondents thought that the regeneration of the Beckton Alp would improve the wider Beckton area, of which the same proportion thought the wider area was in need of improvements. Responses from stakeholders indicate a wider support for the re-development of Beckton Alp.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/064	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Design principles			<p>The Site could make a significant contribution to improving this part of Beckton, not only through high quality design but also through remediation of contaminated land and opening up of currently closed off public open space.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/065	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		Furthermore, it is important to note that the benefits for the Site will also ensure the delivery of wider community benefits. Any redevelopment will seek to incorporate community assets within the improved green open space, with a focus on encouraging physical activity and promoting healthy lifestyles.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/066	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>The proactive management of the Green Open space alongside Green Space Managers such as The Land Trust would ensure that there is an evolving educational programme for local schools and that the recreational facilities are designed with multiple stakeholders and users in mind. The proactive management of the Green Space would be paid for entirely by the Service Charge contributed by the occupiers of the employment space and would therefore be of zero cost to the Council. This will support the key visions set out within draft Policy BFN3 (Social Value and Health Impact Assessment, delivering social value, health and wellbeing) where all developments are encouraged to maximise social value and make a positive contribution to the health and wellbeing of Newham's communities</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/069	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>It would provide economic opportunities through additional employment, environmental improvements through remediation of the contaminated land and social benefits through the creation of accessible and functional public open space.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/070	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		<p>Furthermore, the proposals would support draft policy J4 (Delivering Community Wealth Building and Inclusive Growth) by demonstrating commitment to principles of Community Wealth Building, maximising economic and training opportunities for Newham residents including young people and helping Newham residents access high-quality employment.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	---	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/071	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		<p>Summary In conclusion, it is considered that there is a strong opportunity for the currently underused green space within the Site to provide not only employment benefits but much needed community benefits, which support the policies found within the Regulation 18 Consultation Draft of the Newham Local Plan Review.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	---	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/072	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		<p>Redevelopment offers the opportunity for partial decontamination of the Site as well as retention of the Site's higher quality green space, to avoid unnecessary loss of the much-needed asset.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/073	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>Redevelopment could bring back a vacant and underutilised public open space to benefit the local community and economy and public health, to a far greater extent than is currently the case.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/074	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			Further information has been sought to support the redevelopment of the Site, including evidence of general support from local stakeholders, as well as additional comfort from the ecological survey carried out to review the SINC designation.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/075	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			Air quality assessment also confirms that the redevelopment to extend the SIL would benefit the public by remediating the contaminated land.	Comment noted.

Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/076	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles			<p>Redevelopment of the southern and eastern parts of the site for employment-led uses would allow for the much-needed extension and improvement of the London Industrial Park, and to achieve an extension to the designated SIL.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/077	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site		Development principles		For these reasons, the Council are invited to consider the Site as a suitable location for industrial uses within the next stages of the Local Plan Review.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	---	---



Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/078	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site				Submission of Representation to LB Newham On behalf of our client, we enclose a red line plan showing the extent of land which could be included in the revised allocation on the Site.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>The Local Plan does not consider this to be a suitable site for development since the proposed site is, in its entirety, a SINC and as such it is not being designated as a Site Allocation. It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site.</p>
-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	----------	--	--	--	---	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/079	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site					APPENDIX 1 – SUPPORTING ECOLOGY NOTE, PREPARED BY ASPECT'. Aspect Ecology has been commissioned to assess the ecological deliverability of any new development at the site and provide an overview of any likely ecological constraints and opportunities.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-099	Beckton Alp Real Estate Ltd	Reg18-E-099/080	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New Site					APPENDIX 2 – AIR QUALITY TECHNICAL REVIEW, PREPARED BY HYDROCK Hydrock have been commissioned by Beckton Alps Real Estate Ltd (the client) to prepare an Air Quality Technical review to form part of the evidence base for the submission of representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBoN) council.	Comment noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/009	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			5			New town centre sounds good	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/010	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			6			[Change] point 6. what is meant by intensification of industrial space? What type of industries? Heavy industries could bring noise, pollution etc.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as employment uses are direct to various designations according to Local Plan Policy J1 and supported by the Employment Land Review. The impact of these uses will be managed by a range of policies in the Local Plan, including the employment and design policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/011	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			5			[Change] change of town centre is good indeed but needs to offer more services/retail. At the moment there is not even a coffee shop.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation for the town centre requires small and medium units, a consolidated supermarket, leisure and cultural uses and the development of a local evening and night-time economy in order to diversify the offer in the town centre.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/012	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New site					[Add] In an old version of the plan a change of use was envisaged for the current Alpine Way retail park - to be transformed into residential/tall buildings. Is it still an option?	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/013	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			[Keep] Keep first 5 bullet points [Reconfiguration of the town centre to provide new homes, shops, community facilities and open space. A diverse shopping and leisure offer including a supermarket, a range of shop sizes, leisure and cultural uses and a local evening and night-time economy. A new health centre and re-provision of existing community facilities. A central public square and open space. Reduced car parking to make the entre better for walking and cycling].	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/040	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			Vision			response underlines 'less car dependent'	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/064	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			14			[Keep] Mitigating odor from sewage	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/065	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			14			[Keep] [Mitigating odor from sewage] More info in buffering and design solution	Support noted.

Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/066	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			13		[Add] Bring back ski slope	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this site has been identified as green space and a SINC. An assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis of aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022). It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site. Any application would be assessed against the policies in the adopted Local Plan.</p>
--------------	----------------------------------	------------------	---------------	-------------	--	--	----	--	----------------------------	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/067	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			12			[Add] Activity centre in park	This policy approach has now changed due to the updating of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N11. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is not something the Local Plan can deliver. Our Parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2</a>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/068	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Add] More sports facilities	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Built Leisure Needs Assessment which has identified a need for a leisure centre in Beckton if not delivered as part of the Beckton Riverside development. Please see the new infrastructure requirements for N11.SA1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Add] Provide public toilets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/072	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			Vision			[Keep] Vision	Support noted.

Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/073	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			[Keep] Get rid of car park at Asda specifically due to anti-social behaviour	<p>This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the car park at Asda will be consolidated under East Beckton Town Centre Site Allocation.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be</p>
--------------	----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/074	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Keep] Need parking space for big shop	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Keep] Like cycle routes - improvement	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/076	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			7			[Keep] Like more connection points between Royal Docks Road and Royal Albert Way - would like it to be greener and safer	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			3			[Keep] Vision 3 Winsor Terrace	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/078	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			3			[Keep] Vision 3 - but also extend to conserving and regenerating beyond iron gates to make a safer, cleaner pedestrian and cycle route to Winsor Park Estate, Gallions Primary, Gallions Reach and buses	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as other parts of the neighbourhood policy address improved connections. However, the neighbourhood policy has been updated due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording in N11.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			7			[Keep] 7	Support noted.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		Vision				[Change] Clearer explanation of vision around better connections + severance	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is considered that the vision is clear on the need for improved connections and reduced severance and further detail is provided in

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											the rest of the policy and the site allocations.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			7			[Change] Relocate crossing at Tollgate roundabout	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording in N11. However, the comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as this level of detail would be considered during the development of any scheme.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			11			[Change] 11 - Extend this to improve the paths to Claps Gate make cleaner and safer	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as other parts of the neighbourhood policy address improved connections. However, the neighbourhood policy has been updated due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording in N11.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			13			[Change] 13 - Include reopening Newham City Farm to include the council commitment to an 'animal offer' - permanent - as a resource for new SEN school, Richard House, schools, families, volunteers, training, education at all levels	Comment noted. In September 2021 the Cabinet made a decision to close Newham City Farm (meeting held on 7 September 2022). The Council has been working with residents and community stakeholders to create a future vision for the Beckton Parks Masterplan area, of which the farm is a key area. The community has been an integral part of co-designing the future of this area. On Tuesday 30 January 2024 Newham Council Cabinet approved the Beckton Parks Masterplan. The Masterplan proposes that the former Newham City Farm site is redesigned to create a new community farm with green skills hub. The site will be reconfigured and an operator will be sought to take on management of the new offer. More information can be found here: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan</a>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/085	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			8			[Add] safer for pedestrians and cyclists - improving access to Asda	This policy approach has now changed due to including reference to Tollgate Road and Woolwich Manor Road. Please see the new wording in N11.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/086	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site					[Add] extend and join the two retail parks	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/087	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site					[Add] [extend and join the two retail parks] improve access, safer, smoother, greener	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Add] get rid of subway	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the new wording in N11. However, the comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as this level of detail would be considered during the development of any scheme.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/089	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Add] Increase public transport and bus facilities to match increased density of population	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			7			[Add] Better connections for pedestrians and cyclists across main roads - e.g. junction of Woolwich Manor Way at A13	This policy wording has now changed to include reference to Woolwich Manor Way. Please see the new wording in N11.

Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/091	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			13		[Add] What's happening to Beckton Alps - protected as green space. When will it reopen/be improved?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this site has been identified as green space and a SINC. An assessment of Newham's SINCs was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINCs (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis of aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINCs and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINCs and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINCs to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINCs.</li> </ul> Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022). It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site. Any application would be assessed against the policies in the adopted Local Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/092	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			12		[Add] Speed up regeneration of Beckton Parks area including Newham City Farm	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting the implementation of the Beckton Parks masterplan in the neighbourhood policy but cannot deliver

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the parks team are able to help: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmas-terplan">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmas-terplan</a>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/093	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						Would like more clarity	Unfortunately, it was not clear what clarity you wanted to see in this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/096	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Design principles			Safer, greener, cleaner access for pedestrians and cyclists	This wording change has not been made. We do not consider this change to be necessary as the Design Principles of site allocation N11.SA2 clearly set out the requirements related to accessibility improvement. Meanwhile, improvement of cycle routes and footways within the borough is set out as one of the Strategy Actions of the Sustainable Transport Strategy for promoting active travel. All proposals should support the objectives and actions in Sustainable Transport Strategy as set out in Local Plan Policy T1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/097	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Map			Primary vehicle route doesn't seem to connect with a suitable road left hand side	The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. The wording change has also been made to improve clarity on the required routes and connections. Please see the new map and

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											wording in the Design Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/098	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			Proper cafes not just fast food	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as East Beckton Town Centre will be subject to redevelopment to introduce diversified town centre offer in the town centre to meet the key function as set out in Local Plan Policy HS1 and deliver healthy food choices according to Local Plan Policy HS6. The type and quantity of main town centre uses should be consistent with the East Beckton District Centre designation and Local Plan Policy HS1 as set out in the Development Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/099	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Also provision for proper waste disposal and waste recycling	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy. If you have feedback on a specific location for the team you can use the following link: Complain, compliment or suggest – Newham Council <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/contact-information">https://www.newham.gov.uk/contact-information</a></p> <p>We have also provided them with your comments.</p>
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/100	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Design principles			Do not run main vehicle access past public main square	<p>The site mapping for the allocation has now changed due to a change in the approach to how key routes and connections are represented on the site allocation maps. The routes shown on the site allocation map have now changed to only show key routes through the site. The wording change has also been made to improve clarity on the required routes and connections. Please see the new map and wording in the Design Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/101	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Provide public WC	The policy approach has changed as to make it clear that the development should deliver community facilities considering all types to address needs as informed by the Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022). Please see the new wording in Development Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/176	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		4.56				Also the National Cycle route continuing from the Beckton corridor but not clear between Beckton DLR, Newark Knok/Winsor Terrace to Gallions Reach/Primary/roundabout + Claps Gate + Greenway	Comment noted. The neighbourhood policy supports new and improved cycling routes.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/177	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		4.53				Cohesion between this Local Plan + Beckton Parks masterplan designs which include reinstatement of Newham City Farm (so not 'former') with animal provision	Comment noted. In September 2021 the Cabinet made a decision to close Newham City Farm (meeting held on 7 September 2022). The Council has been working with residents and community stakeholders to create a future vision for the Beckton Parks Masterplan area, of which the farm is a key area. The community has been an integral part of co-designing the future of this area. On Tuesday 30 January 2024 Newham Council Cabinet approved the Beckton Parks Masterplan. The Masterplan proposes that the former Newham City Farm site is redesigned to create a new community farm with green skills hub. The site will be reconfigured and an operator will be sought to take on management of the new offer. More information can be found here: <a href="https://newhamco-">https://newhamco-</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmas terplan
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/178	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		4.52				Winsor Terrace heritage gasworks houses - extend to improving beyond the iron gates	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail about how new development should conserve the Areas of Townscape Value and other heritage assets in the neighbourhood. Please see the new wording in N1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/179	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Infrastructure requirements			Restoration of Newham City Farm of community facility which benefited young people from JFK + Richard House among many	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the former Newham City Farm falls outside the site allocation boundary and is a subject under the Beckton Parks Masterplan project. Proposals to be delivered under the Beckton Parks Masterplan will be supported as set out in the Local Plan Neighbourhood Policy N11. For more information on the Beckton Park Masterplan please visit: Newham Co-create - Beckton Park Masterplan <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/187	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		4.53				[Newham City Farm] It makes sense to reinstate it as it was	Comment noted. In September 2021 the Cabinet made a decision to close Newham City Farm (meeting held on 7 September 2022). The Council has been working with residents and community stakeholders to create a future vision for the Beckton Parks Masterplan area, of which the farm is a key area. The community has been an integral part of co-designing the future of this area. On Tuesday 30 January 2024 Newham Council Cabinet approved the Beckton Parks Masterplan. The Masterplan proposes that the former Newham City Farm site is redesigned to create a new community farm with green skills hub. The site will be reconfigured and an operator will be sought to take on management of the new offer. More information can be found here: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/becktonparksmasterplan</a>
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/065	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Development principles			N11.SA2 - vacant land and greenspace at Ferndale Street, E6 6FS. This is allocated for "Residential development with greenspace reconfiguration and re-provision. There should be no loss of open space."	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/066	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Development principles			However, it is difficult to see how 'no loss of green space' can be achieved with this site, if it is to be used for residential development. More generally, given the lack of green space in the borough, it should be retained for public green space.	A wording change has been made to make it clear that development at the site should protect existing green space complying with Local Plan Policy GWS1. Please see the new wording in the Development Principles of site allocation N11.SA2.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/067	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Development principles			N11.SA3 –green space at Royal Road. This is allocated for "Education, residential and re-configuration of greenspace."	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/068	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Development principles			We believe this allocation should be deleted – this green space should not be built on and should be used to accommodate much needed recreation or sports needs.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Regulation 18 green space allocations were informed by the Interim Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022). This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for green space and supports the Development Principles as set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. This site allocation is considered suitable for residential development with open space. Also, there is no need for this site to deliver recreation and sports facilities as informed by the Built Leisure Needs Assessment (2024). However, a wording change has been made to make it clear that development at the site should protect existing green space complying with Local Plan Policy GWS1. Please see the new

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											wording in the Development Principles of site allocation N5.SA4.
Reg18-E-053	Department for Education	Reg18-E-053/016a	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Development principles			The department supports the existing site allocation ref N11.SA3 Land at Royal Road for Connaught Special School,	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-053	Department for Education	Reg18-E-053/016b	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Development principles			[The department supports the existing site allocation ref N11.SA3 Land at Royal Road for Connaught Special School, ]but objects to the stipulation that there should be no on-site staff parking. Due to the specialist nature of the teaching staff and visiting professionals we have agreed with Newham's Principal Transport Planner that some limited on-site operational parking can be provided for multi-agency workers who will be required to travel across the Borough to provide services for other schools, e.g. children physiotherapists, speech therapists, plus parent/care drop offs etc. Clear justification will be provided in any subsequent planning application.	This policy approach has not been changed as the requirement on car parking provision is set out based on the car free policy in the Local Plan Policy T3. The scheme which has been permitted will still be able to be implemented and all future planning applications will be subject to case-by-case assessment during the development management process.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/057	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			13		[Add] Use the vacant Alps	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this site has been identified as green space and a SINC. An assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis of aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022). It should be noted that this would not preclude an application for development coming forward on this site. Any application would be assessed against the policies in the adopted Local Plan.</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------	-------------	--	--	----	--	---------------------------	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/035	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre - 5.4 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + breach - Other constraints include Boreholes and Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/036	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N11.SA2 Cyprus - 1 hectare - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + breach - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/037	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N11.SA3 Land at Royal Road - 1.62 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal) + breach - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/002	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Site profile		<p><u>Site Location.</u> Beckton Retail Park is located in the south-east of Newham Borough, to the south of Alpine way, east of Woolwich Manor Way and north of Windsor Terrace: A site plan is appended to these representations.</p> <p><u>Current Allocation</u> Newham adopted the current Local Plan in 2018, which contained allocation SA02 'Alpine Way', designating Beckton Retail Park for mixed use development, consolidating the neighbourhood centred on Winsor Terrace together with employment uses along Alpine Way complementing the SIL to the north and east. The allocation provides an indicative height of 6-8 storeys.</p> <p>The following designations are also relevant to the redevelopment of the retail park:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E01/J01: Employment Hub allocation, covering the northern third of the site and the wider area to the north, west and south-east of the retail park.</li> <li>• GS246: Small open amenity space with SINC designation along the western boundary of the site.</li> <li>• GS257: Landscape buffer with SINC designation on the southern boundary of the site.</li> </ul> <p><u>Draft Proposals</u> The site was the subject of detailed discussions with London Borough of Newham in 2018 and early part of 2019 regarding a mixed-use development for commercial/employment space and residential. Whilst the scheme design was not fully developed, we</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
-------------	--	-----------------	----------------	----------------	----------	--	--------------	--	---	---

										<p>understand the principles of the scheme were supported by Newham and the GLA. This support came partly from the site allocation S02 for the site for mixed use development as set out in the 2018 Local Plan which is referenced above.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/003	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			<p><u>Removal of Site Allocation</u> The draft local plan does not include a site allocation for Beckton Retail Park. The Newham planning policy team confirmed on 25/01/2023 that the site had been removed from the emerging local plan on the basis that insufficient information was available regarding the availability, suitability and deliverability of the site.</p> <p><u>Representations Overview</u> We would like to submit representations to the current local plan consultation to: 1. Promote the re-insertion of a site allocation for mixed-use development on Beckton Retail Park on the basis that the site is available, suitable for development and deliverable.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/005	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			3. Support Draft Policy N11: Beckton and clarify the range of uses acceptable under a mixeduse redevelopment proposal.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.

Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/006	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles		<p>We believe that the site allocation for mixed use development on Beckton Retail Park should be reintroduced to the local plan on the following basis: <u>Availability and Deliverability</u></p> <p>We can confirm that Beckton Retail Park is deliverable for intensification and redevelopment. There is currently a mix of occupancy, with the longest lease expiring in 2031, however a strategy has been developed to enable part vacant possession on the western half of the site by the end of 2023. The scheme prepared by Rolfe Judd which was discussed with the Council and GLA in 2018/19 allows for the western and southern side of the site to be developed as Phase 1 and whilst this is occurring, the eastern side will remain trading as retail until lease expiry. This approach would allow for a phased delivery programme, with the western Phase 1 starting on site by Q2 2025, delivering approximately c.550 residential units over a 5 to 6 year build out programme. This would then allow for the implementation of the eastern Phase 2 in 2031, delivering a further c.375 residential units and c.7,400 sqm GIA of commercial/light industrial space.</p> <p>The scheme referenced above is in draft and there is scope to adjust the unit total, non-residential floorspace and potential alternative uses informed by a design-led approach in accordance with London Plan Policy D3, however this clearly demonstrates that the site has significant potential to deliver homes and non-residential floorspace.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
-------------	--	-----------------	----------------	-------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	---	---

Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/007	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			<p><u>Suitability</u> Although the site allocation has been removed from the emerging local plan, the evidence base provides robust justification to demonstrate that the Beckton Retail Park site is suitable for redevelopment.</p> <p><i>Newham Characterisation Study 2022</i> The study offers guidance on where and how future growth could be delivered in the borough to support the review of the Local Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 'Evaluation: built form quality and character map' on p.142 identifies the site and wider area as 'less successful quality'.</li> <li>• The 'Evaluation: sensitivity to change map' on p.144 identifies the site as a mix of 'not sensitive to change' and 'somewhat sensitive to change', with the surrounding are subject to the same designations.</li> <li>• The 'Layers of assessment Part 1A – Functional Character and Emerging Context' on p.145 identifies the site as not having a sensitive character.</li> <li>• The 'Evaluation: opportunity for growth map' on p.146 identifies the site as having high opportunity for growth.</li> <li>• The matrix on p.149 identifies sites with this combination of characteristics as an area for transformation to establish a new character.</li> </ul> <p>Overall the characterisation study identifies an opportunity for total redevelopment of the site.</p> <p><i>June 2022 Strategic Housing Market Assessment</i> The SHMAA identifies the target for</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
-------------	--	-----------------	----------------	----------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	---

										<p>housing delivery in Newham as 4,760 dwellings per annum.</p> <p>Draft policy H1: Meeting housing needs, states that the Borough will enable a net increase of between 46,633 and 52,133 homes between 2021 and 2038, equating to between 3,108 and 3,475 homes per annum assuming a 15-year plan period, falling short of the London Plan housing target.</p> <p>As discussed in detail below, the Beckton Retail Park has capacity to make a significant contribution to this shortfall within the next 5 to 10 years. The extant allocation SA02 does not specify a residential capacity, but the design work for the draft proposals from 2018/19 indicate that approximately 925 to 1,100 residential units could be delivered on the site.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/008	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles		<p>[Suitability] Local Plan Reg 18 Policies Map The draft policies map identifies the East Beckton District Centre directly to the south of the site and Winsor Terrace, stretching to the west and incorporating the Beckton Asda and adjacent buildings. Policy HS1 identifies East Beckton as a which meets the District Centre local catchment needs for retail, leisure, services and community uses. The policy also identifies that 'all homes in Newham should be within a maximum five minute walk (3prox.. 400 metre radius) of at least one designated parade or centre, or be within a 15 minute walk of at least two designated parades or centres', indicating that Beckton Retail Park would be a suitable location to build housing and that this would complement and enhance the district centre allocation.</p> <p>The district centre boundary aligns closely with site allocation N11.SA11 East Beckton Town Centre, which is allocated to be reconfigured to provide residential, town centre uses, community facilities and open space.</p> <p>Policy D4: TBZ4 Beckton Tall building Zone covers the whole of Beckton Retail Park and the northern half of site allocation N11.SA11. This is discussed in more detail in the separate section below, however the extension to TBZ4 to include part of the proposed district centre and Beckton Retail Park indicates that an allocation for the retail park would enhance the East Beckton District Centre and N11.SA11</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
-------------	---	-----------------	---------------	----------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---

											allocations by creating a wider area of comprehensive redevelopment.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/009	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			<p><i>Summary of Evidence Base Position</i></p> <p>Overall draft plan evidence base strongly supports the intensification and redevelopment of this site, which would also provide an opportunity to transition from the allocated strategic industrial area to the north-east and the N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre allocation to the south-west. We have set out in the following section what we consider to be an appropriate mix, quantum and distribution of uses on the site.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/010	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			<p>[See plans attached to submission and table of indicative allocation] <i>Proposed Allocation Contents</i></p> <p>On the basis that the principle of redevelopment of the site is strongly supported by the local plan evidence base and design work completed under the 2018/19 draft proposals, we propose that the site is allocated for mixed use redevelopment and intensification.</p> <p>A draft site allocation for Beckton Retail Park is appended to this letter and we propose that this is included within the Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Local Plan.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/016	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			6			This policy sets out the neighbourhood profile and sets out a vision for Beckton. Part 6 of the policy supports the intensification of existing retail and leisure parks for industrial, logistics and distribution and related functions as part of mixed-use development. We support the principle of introducing mixed-use development on existing retail parts, but request that this wording is adjusted to acknowledge that mixed-use development can include residential, retail and commercial elements, in addition to the industrial, logistics and distribution uses referenced by policy.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the approach is consistent with the employment policies and supported by the Employment Land Review. The site allocation for the Beckton Retail Park sets out the uses that will be supported on that site.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/017a	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			4			On the basis that Beckton Retail Park is suitable to be included as a site-allocation, we also request that reference to 'N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park' is added to vision points 4... on p. 450.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. The relevant parts of the neighbourhood policy have also been updated to reflect the new site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4 and Local Plan N11.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/017b	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			5			[On the basis that Beckton Retail Park is suitable to be included as a site-allocation, we also request that reference to 'N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park' is added to] vision points...and 5 on p. 450.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. The relevant parts of the neighbourhood policy have also been updated to reflect the new site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4 and Local Plan N11.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/018	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			<p><u>Conclusions</u> In conclusion, Beckton Retail Park is available, deliverable and suitable for redevelopment. The draft plan evidence base strongly supports the intensification and redevelopment of this site, which would also provide an opportunity to transition from the allocated strategic industrial area to the north-east and the N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre allocation to the south-west. We therefore request that the site is included as an allocation within the emerging Newham Local Plan.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/019	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Development principles			<p>[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <u>Development principles</u> Mixed-use consolidating the neighbourhood centred on Winsor Terrace, with employment, commercial and mixed uses within the northern portion of the site and residential uses focused within the southern part of the site. Development should consolidate and reduce the amount of car parking.</p>	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/020a	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Design principles			[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <a href="#">Design principles</a> The site should be designed and developed comprehensively in accordance with Local Plan Policy BFN2.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/020b	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Design principles			[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <a href="#">Design principles</a> Development should provide a buffer to the Strategic Industrial Land to the north and east and a transition between the industrial area to the north-east and N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre allocation to the south-west.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/020c	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Design principles			[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <a href="#">Design principles</a> The predominantly residential area on the southern end of the site will have its own dedicated access and improved links to the East Beckton town centre and through to the residential areas to the east and west.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/020e	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Design principles			[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <u>Design principles</u> Design measures should ensure an air quality neutral approach and minimise exposure to poor air quality on Woolwich Manor Way.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/021f	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Infrastructure requirements			[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <u>Infrastructure requirements</u> Development should address existing open space deficiency by providing a central public square and open space.	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-E-109	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Reg18-E-109/022	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	New site		Phasing and implementation			[Appendix 2: N11.SA4 Beckton Retail Park illustrative allocation - table extract] <u>Phasing and implementation</u> Short to medium term. Phase 1 (west) projected to be complete by 2031. Phase 2 (east) projected to be completed by 2036/7	This policy approach has now changed due to updated information on the deliverability of the Alpine Way site and it is now included as a site allocation. Please see site allocation N11.SA4.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/099	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			Greatly in favour of N11.SA1 redevelopment	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/101	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Design principles			Worried about cars - parking concerns	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the existing car park will be reconfigured under site allocation N11.SA1 as set out in the development principles.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/102	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Bus service and taxi services to be improved	This policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the borough-wide improvement of bus and taxi services is set out in the Strategy Actions of the Sustainable Transport Strategy for promoting public transport as sustainable travel means. All proposals should support the objectives and actions in Sustainable Transport Strategy as set out in Local Plan Policy T1.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/103	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Community facilities. Globe essential community centre closed down. Need it back ASAP!	This wording change has been made to improve clarity on the requirement that development at this site should reprovide existing community facilities meeting the requirements of Local Plan Policy SI1. Please see the new wording in the Development Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/104	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Community facilities. NHS existing surgery struggling	This policy approach has now changed due to setting out clearer requirement that development should re-provide a health centre designed to meet NHS needs and standards, subject to a need based assessment at the time of delivery and meet the requirements of Local Plan Policy SI2. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/105	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Dentists - shame to lose	This policy approach has now changed due to setting out clearer requirement that development should re-provide a health centre designed to meet NHS needs and standards, subject to a need based assessment at the time of delivery and meet the requirements of Local Plan Policy SI2. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/106	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		New site			Support redevelopment Beckton Retail Park	This policy approach has now changed due to updated landowner information. Please see the new site allocation N11.SA3 Alpine Way.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/107	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Site boundary			Connections between two centres are poor. Development together [Beckton Retail Park and N11.SA1]	This policy approach has now changed due to updated landowner information. Design and layout of the site should establish connection to East Beckton Town Centre as set out in the Design Principles of the Alpine Way site allocation. Please see the new site allocation N11.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/108	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Public toilets please	The policy has change as to make it clear that the development should deliver community facilities considering all types to address needs as informed by the Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022). Please see the new wording in Development Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/116	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		Neighbourhood boundary				Neighbourhood - N11 splits RA5	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-K-043	Resident	Reg18-K-043/002	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton		4.55				This is currently not a town centre, it's basically ASDA with a huge parking lot, a post office, a mac donald and a pharmacy. there is huge room for improvement.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation for the town centre requires small and medium units, a consolidated supermarket, leisure and cultural uses and the development of a local evening and night-time economy in order to diversify the offer in the town centre.
Reg18-K-043	Resident	Reg18-K-043/004	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			6			we need a more spread out retail offer - we can't rely on those horrible retail parks only. we need independent (and even non independent) shops which are walking distance and where you don't risk to be ran over by car when walking around.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The reduction of retail on retail parks is supported in Local Plan to encourage their redevelopment for industrial uses. Town centre uses should be directed to the town centre. The site allocation for the town centre requires small and medium units, a consolidated supermarket, leisure and cultural uses and the development of a local evening and night-time economy in order to diversify the offer in the town centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-043	Resident	Reg18-K-043/005	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton			7			zebra crossing at the roundabout between tolgate road, woolwhich manor way and winsor terrace please. lots of people cross there - including families with children. it is currently very dangerous cause cars hardly stop. you need to put zebra crossing there.	This policy wording has now changed to include reference to Woolwich Manor Way. Please see the new wording in N11.
Reg18-K-010	Resident	Reg18-K-010/004	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Design principles			...the landscaping done between Kingsford School and the Globe is extremely hazardous which sharp cornered blocks and trip hazards. It will become a dumping ground for litter and drinkers.	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (Local Plan Policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-036	Resident	Reg18-K-036/002	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			I love it, can't wait for it to happen!	Support noted.
Reg18-K-043	Resident	Reg18-K-043/006a	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			this is good and very much needed. there is not even a coffee shop in the area, how is this possible??	Support noted. East Beckton Town Centre will be subject to redevelopment to introduce diversified town centre offer in the town centre to meet the key function as set out in Local Plan Policy HS1 and deliver healthy food choices according to Local Plan Policy HS6. The type and quantity of main town centre uses should be consistent with the East Beckton District Centre designation and Local Plan Policy HS1 as set out in the Development Principles of site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-K-043	Resident	Reg18-K-043/006b	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Development principles			however, please discourage betting shops and chicken shops. we don't need a dodgy high street.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as impacts on these uses will be managed through Local Plan Policy HS6.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-027	Resident	Reg18-T-027/003	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						[Change it] The use of the land directly adjacent to the water treatment plant is absurd and doesn't appear to be suggested by advisors who have visited the site on regular basis. The smell from the treatment plant doesn't make the location practical for residential and should be used for industrial purpose. This site should be used to gradually support the relocation and consolidation of the industrial activities from West Silvertown.	Comment noted. We are working with Thames Water and surrounding landowners to address odour impacts and design measures to minimise exposure to odour from Beckton Sewage Treatment works to a suitable level are a prerequisite for development at N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside to occur.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/077	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/078	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/079	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------	----------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/080	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road				[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------	--------------------	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/054	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre – The site allocation includes East London Gymnastics Centre. The allocation should be clear that this site is protected or replaced because at present this is not the case and therefore cannot be considered sound.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in site allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/055	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre. The allocation is also adjacent (albeit this is the current gymnastic club site) to a floodlit Artificial Grass Pitch therefore, as per the Agent of Change principle, the impact on any new residential use from evening and weekend use, in particular, should be considered at an early stage. Sport England is likely to object to any proposal that limits the operation of the Artificial Grass Pitch.	Comment noted. All proposals should be in line with the Agent of Change principles as covered in Local Plan Policy D7.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/085	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N11.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/086	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/087	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N11.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/088	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/089	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N11.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/090	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N11.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/091	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater network or wastewater treatment infrastructure capability in relation to this site/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/092	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/093	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/094	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/095	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/096	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N5.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/097	Neighborhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA3 Royal Road		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of Site Allocation N5.SA4.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/154	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			4			We welcome part 4 which support redevelopment of East Beckton town centre and the intensification of existing retail and leisure parks where this maximises the benefits of existing and planned transport connectivity and capacity provided by proximity to DLR and bus stations.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/155	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			5			We welcome part 5 which support redevelopment of East Beckton town centre and the intensification of existing retail and leisure parks where this maximises the benefits of existing and planned transport connectivity and capacity provided by proximity to DLR and bus stations.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/156	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton			6			We welcome part 6 which support redevelopment of East Beckton town centre and the intensification of existing retail and leisure parks where this maximises the benefits of existing and planned transport connectivity and capacity provided by proximity to DLR and bus stations.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/157	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						East Beckton bus station to the south-east of N11.SA1 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/158	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton						Although there are no current proposals, we would encourage you to identify and protect the path of a future potential DLR or rail extension from Gallions Reach/Beckton Riverside northwards to Barking (along the corridor of the River Roding/North Circular) if any plans for this link were revived.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as at present, there are no live proposals for a northern extension of the DLR towards Barking. TfL has stated that the design of a future DLR extension to Beckton Riverside and Gallions Reach would include provision for a northern extension in future. Improvements to north-south public transport in the east of the borough are being implemented - an express bus route (Superloop SL2) will launch in March 2024, running from Walthamstow to North Woolwich via Barking.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/159	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA1 East Beckton Town Centre		Infrastructure requirements			East Beckton bus station to the south-east of N11.SA1 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through Local Plan Policy T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation N11.SA1. However, Local Plan Policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy T1 of the Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/160	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Infrastructure requirements			Capacity issues at Cyprus DLR station need to be considered alongside any other improvements necessary to mitigate impacts.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the Infrastructure Requirements of site allocation N11.SA2.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/161	Neighbourhoods	N11 Beckton	N11.SA2 Cyprus		Infrastructure requirements			Walk and cycle links should be improved, including linkages across rail and road corridors.	This wording change has not been made. We do not consider this change to be necessary as the Design Principles of site allocation N11.SA2 clearly set out the requirements related to accessibility improvement. Meanwhile, improvement of cycle routes and footways within the borough is set out as one of the Strategy Actions of the Sustainable Transport Strategy for promoting active travel. All proposals should support the objectives and actions in Sustainable Transport Strategy as set out in Local Plan Policy T1.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South		Neighbourhood boundary				[See annotation on rep East Ham south boundary]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/029	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South		Neighbourhood boundary				[See annotation on rep East Ham south boundary]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			More park for young people so they don't use knives or sell drugs	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Keep] Parks	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			2			[Keep] Old history buildings	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Keep] Leisure centre	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as leisure centres are protected through Local Plan policies S11, S12 and S13.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/034	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Change] Derelict buildings need to be changed into places for residents to use	The Local Plan can only influence the use of sites where there is a planning application on the site and cannot force a landowner to bring a site back into use. If a site is in council ownership our property team may be able to help.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/035	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Mental hub for vulnerable residents	The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/036	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] More parks and open spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N12 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/037	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Activities for children	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities SI1, SI2 and SI3 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of activity. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks and Leisure department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			3			[Add] Different cultural cuisine	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan can only manage the type of uses such as retail or food and drink uses and cannot specify specific types of businesses or services that occupy buildings. The Local Plan supports food and drink uses in policy N12 and works alongside other policies in the Local Plan, particularly HS1, HS2 and HS5 which support food and drink uses in town centres.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Youth clubs/places for young places	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/040	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Recognise hidden disability - education centre for us all to understand mental health so we can help loved ones and what to do if someone falls ill in public	The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			1			Characterisation - why so many flats being built?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as developments are required to optimise sites and deliver sufficient density to help meet Newham's significant housing target. Flats play a role in this and appropriate densities and typologies on sites will be determined through the application of the design principles in the neighbourhood policies as

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											well as the design policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South					10 - 12 East Ham library		Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/043	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						Mental health - many people feel like they are living on an island	<p>The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/vi-sit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/vi-sit-our-well-newham-hubs</a></li> <li>- Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/044	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			1			Overcrowding - moderate uplift?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The design principles have been informed by the Characterisation Study which has identified areas suitable for transform, enhance and conserve, which will inform appropriate densities in different parts of the neighbourhood, based on the character and other characteristics. Appropriate densities will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to the guidance in the Characterisation Study, the design policies and the neighbourhood policies, which will also manage the impact on the neighbourhood's heritage assets.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						The problem is the council not working holistically	The Local Plan has been produced through engagement with a wide range of council departments and external stakeholders to ensure it is delivering the Council's Building a Fairer Newham vision.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						<u>Barking Road</u>	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			How reduce traffic?	<p>A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted.</p> <p>More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:  <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			10			[Keep] 10. Access to walking through cycling and walking	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/050	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			5			[Keep] 5. New crossings at Newham Way and North Circular	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/051	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			1			[Keep] 1. Enhance the neighbourhood character	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/052	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			11			[Keep] 11. Air quality	More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/053	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			4			[Change] 4. Regenerate industrial locations	The approach to industrial areas is set out in the neighbourhood policies as well as the employment policies.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/054	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			1			[Change] Increasing where numbers already over guidelines	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The design principles have been informed by the Characterisation Study which has identified areas suitable for transform, enhance and conserve, which will inform appropriate densities in different parts of the neighbourhood, based on the character and other characteristics. Appropriate densities will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to the guidance in the Characterisation Study, the design policies and the neighbourhood policies, which will also manage the impact on the neighbourhood's heritage assets.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/055	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] East Ham station a boundary	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed change to the neighbourhood boundary would end the neighbourhood at East Ham station. The analysis and engagement undertaken to inform the boundaries as part of the Characterisation Study found that the railway line to be a hard boundary and that the area to the north of the town centre had a similar character. Amending the boundary which would also split the town centre between two neighbourhoods. For these reasons the neighbourhood boundary has not been changed.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			3			[Add] 3. Supporting a 'quality' 'useful' diverse mix...local retail	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular business to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/058	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] Outdoor gym (Central Park)	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improvements to existing open spaces and sports facilities where improvements have been identified in the Green and Water Study as well as in the Built Leisure Needs Assessment and Playing Pitch Strategy. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/outdoors">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/outdoors</a>
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/059	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Electric car charging points	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy T3 supports and sets the standards for the delivery of electric vehicle charging points in new developments. Further information about the roll out of electric vehicle charging points in the borough can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/electric-vehicle-charging-points">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/electric-vehicle-charging-points</a>
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/060	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Car club spaces RPZ (financial incentives)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy T3 supports and sets the standards for the delivery of car club bays in new developments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/061	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] A13 bus routes - East Ham	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/062	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] A13 bus routes - Roman Road to Canning Town	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/063	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Public transport east of Newham	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services as well as supporting infrastructure requirements such as improving capacity at Stratford Station, Canning Town Station and the extension of the DLR to Beckton Riverside. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL as

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/001	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Keep] Allow people to extend their properties within reason (double storey rear extension)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy criteria set within Local Plan policies D1 and D7 are considered effective at addressing the design quality for a range of small scale developments, including extensions, while having due regards to each site's unique context and potential impacts. Each case is considered on its merits, and independent of existing similar builds in the vicinity. Please note a range of flexibilities are also provided to homeowners wishing to expand under permitted development rights rules, which do not require planning permission for certain types of applications. Please see: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Change] Add more trees to roads	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] More trees on Green Street to replace bollards	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/008	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Public toilets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] More greenery	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study 2024. This evidence has been refined and finalised the evidence on the need for open space in the borough and has informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the new wording in N12.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] Redevelopment of local parks	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's Parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/013	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] CCTV	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes. Design policies address the use of CCTV.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			More road closures for encouraging walking	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/015	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			[Add] Bus routes - more frequently on 325	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/016	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			[Add] Bus stops	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/017	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] Plant more trees along Green Street	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/019	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] More seating/benches	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for improvements to the public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/022	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] especially dirty/littered streets	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/023	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South			2			[Keep] Keep historical places	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/024	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Keep] Keep mixed of community	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/025	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South			5			[Keep] Keep crossing	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			[Keep] Low traffic neighbourhoods	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/027	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Keep] Provision of open spaces	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			10			[Keep] Maximising opportunities to see water	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] More cameras for safety	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes. Design policies address the use of CCTV.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			9			[Add] More cycle tracks that are secure	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N12.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/035	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Community centres provide good exercise classes	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities SI1, SI2 and SI3 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of activity. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks and Leisure department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/036	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Classes in community centres - cooking class, yoga - beneficial for mental health	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities SI1, SI2 and SI3 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of activity. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks and Leisure department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/037	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			[Add] Some buses (147 or 58) not regular (every 20 mins)	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/038	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Change] Too many stalls on pavement on Green Street	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its market policy by ensuring proposals for new markets don't have significant adverse amenity and transport impacts. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our markets team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets/3">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets/3</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/039	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Change] Improve schools - assume there are good teachers - good provision of food	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan SI4 which sets the standards and requirements for new schools and the design of education facilities. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Education department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education">https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/040	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Change] For over 60s - more learning programme like computer, English class speaking, listening and writing	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities SI1, SI2, SI3 and SI4 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of activity. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Adult and Community Education department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education/adult-community-education-1">https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education/adult-community-education-1</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/043	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South			6			[Add] More buses for the route of 147 + 58	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/045	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Warm housing scheme for pensioners	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the Climate Emergency policies which sets the standards and requirements for more environmentally efficient development. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Public Health department can help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/public-health-safety/energy/9">https://www.newham.gov.uk/public-health-safety/energy/9</a>

Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] Community centres are good to meet different people - good to meet people for mental health	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham's social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-----------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/114	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add] would like to see enhanced green spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study 2024. This evidence has been refined and finalised the evidence on the need for open space in the borough and has informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the new wording in N12.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/115	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			9			[Add] would like to see better connections between parks (walking, cycling) and existing green spaces - or making corridors more biodiverse	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. The neighbourhood policy has also been amended to include green infrastructure improvements identified in the finalised Green Infrastructure Strategy. Please see the amended policy for N12.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/116	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			9			[Add] improving access between North and South of the borough - especially through green spaces and corridors	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. The neighbourhood policy has also been amended to include green infrastructure improvements identified in the finalised Green Infrastructure Strategy. Please see the amended policy for N12.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/117	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			7			[Add] safety of greenway - lighting could be improved. This will help residents access it	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as safe walking and cycling routes are including the neighbourhood vision and as part of the development of the Local Plan, the Council has been working on a borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. The Local Plan will support the delivery of the Sustainable Transport Strategy through the transport and neighbourhood policies. The improvement you have suggested is part of the Sustainable Transport Strategy and the strategy will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in future.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/119	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] flytipping hot spots - planing can't stop fly tipping but waste facilities can be improved	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/120	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add] circular economy and better construction	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the circular economy and standards for new developments are dealt with in a range of policies in the Local Plan, including the Employment, Climate Emergency and the Waste and Utilities policies.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-010	Resident	Reg18-K-010/001	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South		4.64				Suggestion to make a conversation area to protect the listed buildings.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate the designation of conservation areas is managed by separate legislation to the Local Plan. Heritage assets in the neighbourhood are protected through both the neighbourhood policy and Local Plan policies D8, D9 and D10.
Reg18-K-010	Resident	Reg18-K-010/002	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South		4.65				Plasht Jewish Cemetery cannot really be listed here as a green space and included as part of any assessment of green space in the area - it is not open to the public and never generally open.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as for the purposes of the Local Plan, open space means spaces in either public or private ownership, which can have unrestricted access, partially-restricted access or restricted areas. This includes burial grounds, whether or not they are accessible to the public.
Reg18-T-071	Resident	Reg18-T-071/017	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Change it] How does a pensioner get from the end of Roman Road to Canning Town. Check it out.	Comment noted. The DLR from Bow Church station allows easy, accessible and frequent transport from Roman Road to Canning Town. There are also several frequent and accessible buses that serve the local area. The Sustainable Transport Strategy includes efforts to improve public transport, including improved north-south bus routes.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/081	Neighborhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-098	Resident	Reg18-T-098/021	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			8			[Add to it] Area needs major improvements; lack of greenery,	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study 2024. This evidence has been refined and finalised the evidence on the need for open space in the borough and has informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Please see the new wording in N12.
Reg18-T-098	Resident	Reg18-T-098/022	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			9			[Add to it] Area needs major improvements; [lack of greenery,] cycle lanes and recreational offer;	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. The neighbourhood policy has also been amended to include green infrastructure improvements identified in the finalised Green Infrastructure Strategy. Please see the amended policy for N12.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-098	Resident	Reg18-T-098/023	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South			3			[Add to it] Area needs major improvements; [lack of greenery, cycle lanes and recreational offer;] broaden offer of quality restaurants, cafes and entertainment, such as cinema or music venues	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan can only manage the type of uses such as retail or food and drink uses and cannot specify specific types of businesses or services that occupy buildings. The Local Plan supports food and drink uses in policy N12 and works alongside other policies in the Local Plan, particularly HS1, HS2 and HS5 which support food and drink uses in town centres. The neighbourhood policy also supports new community facilities when in conformity with Local Plan SI2, which includes cultural facilities.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/037	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/052	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-132	River Roding Trust	Reg18-E-132/003	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South		10				The River Roding Trust very strongly supports the inclusion of improving connectivity between the East of the Borough and the River Roding. In particular, the references to increasing connectivity with the River Roding on the East Ham Neighbourhood Plan (pages 465-66) and East Ham South Neighbourhood Plan (page 462). These proposals very much link in with our 'Roding Edgeland's' plan to link up the existing green/blue spaces along the Roding Valley as one green corridor, protect and restore them for nature and increase public access to these spaces ( <a href="https://riverrodingtrust.org.uk/edgelands/">https://riverrodingtrust.org.uk/edgelands/</a> ).	Support noted.
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/005c	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South		4.58				[In particular, SEGRO suggests that the profiles for]...N12 East Ham South are reviewed to reflect the significant industrial holdings contained within these areas.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood profile already identifies the industrial uses in the south east corner of the neighbourhood.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/162	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						White Horse bus stands on Rancliffe Road junction with High Street South will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/163	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						Newham Town Hall bus stands on Wellington Road will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/164	Neighbourhoods	N12 East Ham South						Although there are no current proposals, we would encourage you to identify and protect the path of a future potential DLR or rail extension from Gallions Reach/Beckton Riverside northwards to Barking (along the corridor of the River Roding/North Circular) if any plans for this link were revived.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as at present, there are no live proposals for a northern extension of the DLR towards Barking. TfL has stated that the design of a future DLR extension to Beckton Riverside and Gallions Reach would include provision for a northern extension in future. Improvements to north-south public transport in the east of the borough are being implemented - an express bus route (Superloop SL2) will launch in March 2024, running from Walthamstow to North Woolwich via Barking.

Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/012	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>The Site Description</p> <p>3.1. This site comprises 6.08ha of land currently vacant located between East Ham to the west and Barking to the east. The site was formerly utilised as a recreational ground, and it is understood that there has been previous development related to this use in the form of a running track, tennis courts and associated pavilion however this use has long since ceased and is now comprised of overgrown scrubland and grazing land, with no existing buildings present. The site is not publicly accessible. It is located to the east of Burges Road which forms part of a large development of early 20th century terraced housing. The North Circular (A406) is located to the immediate east and its junction with the A124 to the south. A line of electricity pylons also runs along the eastern boundary. A gap between 295 and 301 Burges Road provides an opportunity for vehicular and pedestrian / cycle access from the west, whilst there is a further opportunity for vehicular and pedestrian / cycle access from Watson Avenue to the north. In terms of wider connectivity, the A406 provides connections to the M11 and wider connections to the M25. In terms of public transport, tube services are available from East Ham station (District, and Hammersmith and City lines) which is located approximately 1km to the west and rail (London Overground, c2c) and tube services</p>	<p>Comment noted. It should be noted that the allocation at N13.SA3 does not include the removal of the site from MOL. It involves a minor boundary change as a result of a review of Newham's MOL/GB. The development principles are clear that development should take place on the land outside of the MOL.</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--

										<p>(District Line, Hammersmith and City) are available from Barking Station. Bus stops are present on the A124 approximately 300m to the south. The site is therefore accessible and has good connectivity with the surrounding area through existing roads and public transport. 3.4. The Site is identified as being in Flood Zone 3, with the highest risk of fluvial flooding and is designated Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC). 3.5. The Site is not within or adjacent to a Conservation Area and does not contain or lie adjacent to any Listed Buildings or Scheduled Ancient Monuments. 3.6. The Site is located to the south of proposed allocation N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks and shares many similar characteristics including its former recreational use and existing overgrown condition. This allocation includes a removal from the MOL.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/013	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>Opportunity</p> <p>3.7. This Site could provide circa 200 units of which circa 70+ could be affordable housing. This quantum of development represents around 50% utilisation of the site area with a largely low-density flatted scheme. The remainder of the site would be retained for publicly accessible open space and ecological enhancements. The open space areas would be located on the most accessible and environmentally beneficial areas of the Site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/014	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>3.8. A significant proportion of the Site will come forward as useable open space providing opportunities to maximise biodiversity net gain, create public open space, incorporate landscaping buffers, SUDS and connect green links to the surrounding areas. The Site is available immediately and as such could be realised as soon as planning permission was granted; it could be delivered quickly.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/015	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>3.9. Historically, the Site has been used for recreational uses, and more recently for the unauthorised grazing of horses. Therefore, the risk of contamination is very low and site remediation would be minimal. It could come forward promptly, efficiently and sustainably</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/016	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Design principles			<p>3.10. All aspects of the detailed design of the proposed development would normally be dealt with through the planning application process, although we would welcome a meeting to discuss the options for the Site prior to this.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/107	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			1		<p>12. NEIGHBOURHOODS</p> <p>a. N13 East Ham - Would you keep, change or add something to this policy?</p> <p>The vision for East Ham will be achieved by:</p> <p><i>1. supporting development that enhances the neighbourhood's character and is sympathetic to and respects the character of its historic terraced streets through form, detailing and materials. Moderate uplift in density will be supported where it enhances the character of the area, particularly in areas of mixed urban form;</i></p> <p><b>Object</b> - The policy should seek more than a '<i>Moderate uplift</i>' in density, which limits the potential of sites within a location well served by public transport to deliver new housing. Making the most effective use of land is supported and is in accordance with section 11 of the NPPF. The policy as worded is highly prescriptive and prevents the opportunity for the promotion of regeneration and change as per paragraph 124 of the NPPF. Suggested revised wording</p> <p><i>1. supporting development that enhances the neighbourhood's character and is sympathetic to and respects the character of its historic terraced streets through form, detailing and materials. [delete: Moderate] An uplift in density will be supported where it enhances the character of the area, particularly in areas of mixed urban form;</i></p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N13. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for different types of growth: conserve, enhance and transform. These categories provide a framework for the delivery of the design-led approach set out in the London Plan, within Newham. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	---	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/108a	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			<p><i>12. retaining existing mature trees and maximising the provision of new open space and green infrastructure and the opportunities to increase biodiversity. <b>Support</b> - it is important that new development provides open space to support health and wellbeing in accordance with NPPF paragraph 98 as well as provide habitats to enhance ecology on the Site.</i></p>	Support noted.

Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/108b	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>Lady Trower Playing Fields is currently not accessible and therefore provides no public benefit. If included as a site allocation for housing, this Site could also incorporate elements of new public open space, which would clearly have wider public benefit. Equally, this could provide ecological enhancements to the SINC and therefore providing environmental benefits and opportunities to increase biodiversity.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	------------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/109	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			14			<p><i>14. securing public access to green and blue spaces currently inaccessible to the public, particularly along the River Roding and at N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks; Support</i> desire to secure public access to green spaces currently inaccessible to public <u>subject to recreational and biodiversity improvements to these spaces</u>. The release of Lady Trower Playing Fields alongside the former East Ham Gasworks which are both currently within private ownership and closed to the public will secure this aim. Where it passes East Ham the River Roding lies outside of the LBN.</p>	<p>Support noted. However, a change to the policy approach at Lady Trower Playing Fields has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022). London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp; Associates to ensure that the</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--	--	----	--	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/110	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			15			<p><i>15. improving air quality and reducing exposure to poor air quality, particularly in the Air Quality Focus Area and along the North Circular Road, Ron Leighton Way, High Street South and High Street North. Paragraph 186 of the NPPF states “Opportunities to improve air quality or mitigate impacts should be identified, such as through traffic and travel management, and green infrastructure provision and enhancement. and so far as possible these opportunities should be considered at the planmaking stage. So far as possible these opportunities should be considered at the plan-making stage, to ensure a strategic approach and limit the need for issues to be reconsidered when determining individual applications”</i> Policy identifies opportunity for air quality improvement, however, does not set out mitigation measures and leaves uncertainty as to how addressed at planning application stage.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan Policy CE6 provides further detail on the requirements planning applications will be required to meet.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/111	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Phasing and implementation			<p>b. N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks - Would you keep, change or add something to this site allocation? The NPPF (Paragraph 68) requires the Council have a clear understanding of the land available for housing in the borough and to identify a sufficient supply and mix of sites, considering their availability, suitability and likely economic viability. The Local Plan should identify a supply of specific, deliverable sites for years one to five of the plan period and specific, developable sites or broad locations for years 6 – 10 and, where possible, for years 11 – 15 of the plan. Whilst the Local Plan identifies sites for allocation it fails to detail the level of housing that would be expected within each site or the likely phasing for delivery within the plan.</p>	<p>Comment noted. The approach to identifying and allocating sites is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. It also sets out how site allocations have been phased. A change to the policy approach at Lady Trowers Playing Field has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp; Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review does not recommend amendments to the existing designation at the Lady Trower Playing Fields therefore, the MOL remains in place.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/112	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Support Allocation - This Site is located to the immediate north of Lady Trower Playing Fields and as a former recreation ground currently inaccessible to the public shares many similar characteristics.	Comment noted. A change to the policy approach at Lady Trower Playing Fields has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff & Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review does not recommend amendments to the existing designation at the Lady Trower Playing Fields therefore, the MOL remains in place.

Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/113	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>In addition to the above, an additional site allocation should be added to the Local Plan as an independent allocation, namely Lady Trower Playing Fields. Given the different land ownership of the two sites, they should not be under the same allocation, but it is evident that the two sites are closely related as they have similar land use designations. By including further land to the south of N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks, it will provide the opportunity for a larger more comprehensive redevelopment strategy in this part of the Borough, including improvements to MOL and the provision of a useable and attractive green link, whilst recognising the different private landownership of each site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/114	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>[N13.SA3] Development should take place on the 1.6 hectares of developable land outside the Metropolitan Open Land. Development should protect the openness of the adjacent Metropolitan Open Land and maintain the site's role in providing a continuous chain of open space along Newham's eastern boundary. The 1.6 hectares of identified developable land consist of land that formerly consisted of tennis courts, sports pavilion and a gas holder. As with Lady Trower Playing Fields, which also formerly comprised a tennis court, running track and sports pavilion, these uses have long since ceased and the land is now comprised of overgrown scrubland. The inclusion of Lady Trower Playing Fields alongside the allocation will further the support the provision of a continuous chain of accessible open space within LBNS eastern boundary.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/115	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			<p>[N13.SA3] <i>Development proposals should ensure that flood risk is minimised and mitigated informed by a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.</i> This Site sits within flood zone 2 and 3. The policy provides an evidence led approach to flood risk management, that could be continued on Lady Trower Playing Fields (located within Flood Zone 3).</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	----------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	--



Reg18-E-070	Aston Mansfield	Reg18-E-070/117	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Design principles			<p>[N13.SA3] <i>Development should establish a connected network of streets and spaces and that connects into the existing street network.</i> The allocation of Lady Trower Playing fields provides a further opportunity for vehicular connections between Watson Avenue and Burges Road and pedestrian and cycle connections between Watson Avenue and Newham Way (A13).</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as an assessment of Newham's SINC's was undertaken between June-August 2022 to inform the Local Plan. This involved a desk-top review of existing information about Newham's SINC's (including those within the area currently administered by the London Legacy Development Corporation) and analysis or aerial imagery followed by site visits to existing SINC's and other sites identified by the desk-top study. The specific purpose of the Newham SINC review was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the current SINC's and identify potential changes to boundaries or status, and justify these changes as necessary.</li> <li>• Identify and justify potential new SINC's to reduce areas of deficiency, contribute to strategic green corridors or complement existing SINC's.</li> </ul> <p>Newham took the SINC Review (2022) to the September 2023 London Wildlife Sites Board. At this meeting, the work was praised for its quality and thoroughness. There was unanimous agreement from the Board to approve the Newham SINC Review (2022). Therefore, the existing SINC designation for this site remains in place. Please see the Newham SINC Review (2022).</p> <p>London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp;</p>
-------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	--	-------------------	--	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-072	Barking and Dagenham Council	Reg18-E-072/010	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			Regarding the individual neighbourhoods set out in the draft Plan, we have particular interest in N13 East Ham [and N1 Gallions Reach] as bordering areas with residential site allocations proposed...We welcome continued close working with LBN on delivery and placemaking in this area, and to ascertain any implications that this may have on the social infrastructure needs of the significant developments being delivered/proposed on both sides of the River Roding.	Comment noted. We will continue to work with Barking and Dagenham to address these issues as part of Duty to Cooperate.
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/014	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A MAJOR NEW PARK IN THE EAST: The Leigh Road Sports Ground N13.SA3 should not be allocated in any part for housing and instead the entire site should be allocated for sports / park / recreation in line with its MOL status (extend MOL status to any sections not currently designated).</li> </ul>	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development and the Local Plan has to balance the need to protect existing and deliver more accessible green space with the need to deliver housing, in line with our London Plan housing target.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/015	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			[N13.SA3] This should connect to the site to the south – the green site to the east of Burges Rd (possibly owned by a charity)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff & Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review does not recommend amendments to the existing designation at the Lady Trower Playing Fields therefore, the MOL remains in place.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/016	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			and it should all be included as a site allocation and given a clear identity as a major new park and nature reserve/habitat. This can become part of a new River Roding Park Edgelands and we urge Newham to work with River Roding Trust and the community to make this a reality for future generations.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff & Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review does not recommend amendments to the existing designation at the Lady Trower Playing Fields therefore, the MOL remains in place.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/001	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				[See annotation on rep East Ham boundary 01]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed change to the neighbourhood boundary would end the neighbourhood at East Ham station. The analysis and engagement undertaken to inform the boundaries as part of the Characterisation Study found that the railway line to be a hard boundary and that the area to the north of the town centre had a similar character. Amending the boundary which would also split the town centre between two neighbourhoods. For these reasons the neighbourhood boundary has not been changed.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Site boundary			[See annotation on rep East Ham boundary 01]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Keep as green space	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. The site is also required to publicly accessible open space.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/004	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Plus home for Newham Cricket Club	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/005	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Prioritise opening up Back River Roding	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/006	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Ensure there is defensible space in this housing development	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this will be considered during any pre-app and planning application and will be managed through the Local Plan's design policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study. The design principles in the site allocation also provide further detail on how development should integrate with the surrounding context.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/007	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Leave space for re-opening and using land for sport	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/008	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Infrastructure requirements			Will this include GP provision?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site is required to provide new community facilities. The type of community facility will be informed by the Community Facility Needs Assessment evidence base at the time an application is submitted. At this stage we have no evidence that the NHS require a health centre on this site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/009	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Infrastructure requirements			Concern about the lack of facilities in the area e.g. shops, GP services, meeting places	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site is required to provide new community facilities. The type of community facility will be informed by the Community Facility Needs Assessment evidence base at the time an application is submitted. At this stage we have no evidence that the NHS require a health centre on this site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/010	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Need some attention to detail about <u>how</u> this site will connect with the River Roding. River Roding Trust happy to advise	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Keep the sports fields	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Add a cricket pitch	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/013	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Do a proper environmental assessment of building on this site	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. Please see the conclusions from the Integrated Impact Assessment which assessed the impact of allocating this site for development: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh/5">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh/5</a>
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Opportunity for open green space	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the development principles and infrastructure requirements set out the requirements for open space.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/015	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Opportunity for sports field	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/016	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Love the idea of being to access the River Roding and to open up the old back river - we could have our own river in East Ham	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/017	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Will the sports facilities be re-implemented in this site?? I run Newham Cricket Club. We have the ONLY cricket square in Newham. There used to be 12. Newham Youth are cricket mad - not enough pitches!!	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/018	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Save the birds, trees, beetles and butterflies on the gas works site	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/019	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			The site should be re-wilded and opened to the public. There is very little wild space in the area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. The site is also required to publicly accessible open space.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/020	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Re-open the ancient course of the 'Back River' which was East Ham's channel of the River Roding and used to flow through this site, as part of opening a public park/wetlands	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Want the space opened up but unsure about the housing. Not enough detail about the housing.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. The site is also required to publicly accessible open space.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Worried about the impact of the new housing on the environment - noise, light pollution	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this will be considered during any pre-app and planning application and will be managed through the Local Plan's neighbourliness policy and through the Climate Emergency policies. The design principles in the site allocation also provide further detail.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			V low transport links to this site! No bus goes to the Burges estate	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/024	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Will there still be space for sport? Historic cricket and football pitches here.	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/025	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Design principles			Against another high density development	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site has been identified in the Characterisation Study as suitable for transformation which means a new character can be established. The design principles require the adjacent heritage assets to be conserved and enhanced.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/026	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Development principles			No green space	A change to this policy approach has now changed to do the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022) which has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The need for improvements to green infrastructure and exploring the opportunity for a pocket park are included in the design principles, given the constrained nature of the site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/027	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Infrastructure requirements			Lacking infrastructure to support increased population	The Local Plan is supported by a range of evidence base documents that identify the required infrastructure needed to support growth in the Local Plan as well as an infrastructure delivery plan. The site allocations in the Local Plan have been informed by these evidence base documents as well as our work with the Education team and NHS partners to plan for community facilities, health centres, schools and childcare facilities, sports and recreation facilities, green space and transport infrastructure upgrades.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/047	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			Digital economy - do we need it?	The digital sector is identified in the London Plan (2021) as one of the key growth sectors. The support for the data and digital economy will facilitate the provision of local good quality jobs in the borough, which help developing a fairer, more balanced and more equal community as mentioned in the Employment Land Review.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/065	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Development principles			More detail needed about community facility	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the existing floorspace is protected and should re-provided, as well as a childcare facility. The type of community facility is to be informed by the evidence in the Community Facility Needs Assessment and the requirements set out in Local Plan policies SI1 and SI2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/066	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Development principles			Any provision for green space?	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study which has updated the green infrastructure requirements for the site. The design principles have also been updated to include a requirement to deliver green infrastructure improvements to address open space deficiencies. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N13.SA1.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/067	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			This health centre leaves N13 area without any GP provision	This policy approach has now changed due to a change in the site boundary which removes the Hartley centre portion of the centre because it is under construction. The development will provide a health centre. Please see the new wording in N13.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/068	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Change] Parks need an uplift to make them more pleasant	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's Parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/069	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Add] We need to create space for a farmers market - Wansted example	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the policy already supports temporary uses such as markets but cannot specify the type of market.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			14			[Keep] Access to the River Roding as long its safe, well lit. Like in Stratford	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/072	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			14			[Keep] [Access to the River Roding] And provide parking access to it	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/074	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Development principles			[Change] Primark space to green space for socialising with a café	A change to this policy approach has now changed to do the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022) which has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The need for improvements to green infrastructure and exploring the opportunity for a pocket park are included in the design principles, given the constrained nature of the site.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/075	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			13			[Add] Elderly cannot access walking/public transport	Comment noted. Transport for London offers a wide range of accessible transport for those who cannot find it difficult to use public transport – including Taxicard, Dial-A-Ride as well as Passenger Assistance. Londoners aged over 60 years of age are entitled to a Freedom Pass which provides free public transport.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/076	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Remember there is still a need for parking	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			1			[Change] Significant housing focus, changing the character of the area	This policy wording has now changed to add further detail to the design principles, based on the evidence in the Newham Characterisation Study. Please see the new wording in N13. However, the comment you have provided has not resulted in a change. The design principles have been informed by the Characterisation Study which has identified areas suitable for transform, enhance and conserve, which will inform appropriate densities in different parts of the neighbourhood, based on the character and other characteristics. Appropriate densities will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to the guidance in the Characterisation Study, the design policies and the neighbourhood policies, which will also manage the impact on the neighbourhood's heritage assets.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/078	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change] Not enough affordable housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change] How to attract better shops? Have to drive further, there is nothing local that I can access and draws me	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change] Pretty is not good value for money, need the high street to be accessible for everyone, including people needing to use car transport	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets and create public realm that is accessible to everyone as well as improving conditions for walking, cycling and using public transport.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change] Protecting people's access to daylight and sunlight	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through the Local Plan design policies and H11 for housing design quality.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change] Homes should be provided as a range of options, not just flats. Too many flats coming forward.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as developments are required to optimise sites and deliver sufficient density to help meet Newham's significant housing target. Flats play a role in this and appropriate densities and typologies on sites will be determined through the application of the design principles in the neighbourhood policies as

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											well as the design policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Development principles			[Keep] Keep the car park - it is only one left that services High Street North businesses	The car park is not included in the site boundary. However, the policy approach has now changed to require any development of this site at the time it comes forward to explore the opportunity to incorporate the car park to enable a more comprehensive development. Please see the new wording in the development principles and phasing and implementation of N13.SA2.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/086	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Infrastructure requirements			[Add] A green space to make the area more beautiful and socially accessible	A change to this policy approach has now changed to do the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2022) which has informed the latest requirements for green space set out in the Local Plan's Site Allocations. The need for improvements to green infrastructure and exploring the opportunity for a pocket park are included in the design principles, given the constrained nature of the site.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/087	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Infrastructure requirements			[Change] Accesibility to the park, who can access it and how?	As part of any development on the site the developer would need to provide a publicly accessible park.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			[Keep] Need to activate the park. Make it well designed	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/089	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Keep the housing element to a minimum	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site has been identified as suitable for housing as it meets the criteria set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. However, development can only take place in the area of the site outside of the Metropolitan Open Land.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				[See annotation on rep East Ham boundary 02]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed change to the neighbourhood boundary would end the neighbourhood at East Ham station. The analysis and enagementment undertaken to inform the boundaries as part of the Characterisation Study found that the railway line to be a hard boundary and that the area to the north of the town centre had a similar character. Amending the boundary which would also split the town centre between two neighbourhoods. For these reasons the

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											neighbourhood boundary has not been changed.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/091	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] GP surgeries	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as a new health centre is being delivered on the former Hartley Centre on Barking Road.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/092	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] All parts of 15 minute neighbourhoods	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/093	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			3			[Keep] Conservation areas	Support noted. This policy wording has now changed to add to further detail as to how the neighbourhood's heritage assets should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the new wording in N13.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/094	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Keep] Clear framework for signage	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing shop fronts as set out in the high streets and design policies. Please see the new wording in N13.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/096	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change] Limit the number of similar shops within 5 minutes of each other	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/097	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				[Change] Railway line divides the borough - this makes the 15 minute concept flawed	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the analysis and engagement undertaken through the Characterisation Study identified different barriers and boundaries across the neighbourhood, such as railway lines. This analysis helped identify neighbourhood boundaries and also informed design principles reflected in the neighbourhood policies to improve connectivity and reduce severance between different parts of the borough so that residents have better access to the 15-minute network of facilities.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/098	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change] GP surgery by foot	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as a new health centre is being delivered on the former Hartley Centre on Barking Road.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/099	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			3			[Change] Historic building respect? Why so many flats?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as developments are required to optimise sites and deliver sufficient density to help meet Newham's significant housing target. Flats play a role in this and appropriate densities and typologies on sites will be determined through the application of the design principles in the neighbourhood policies as well as the design policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/100	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Add] More green space	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/101	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			[Add] Widened pavements in populated areas	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the neighbourhood policy which supports improvements to conditions for walking and the public realm and through Local Plan policy D2 which sets standards and requirements for improvements to the public realm.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/102	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Community centres - elderly people	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the major centre and local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham's social</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/103	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Parking for local businesses	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/104	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Add] More usable green spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/105	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				Rail line dividing boundary	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed change to the neighbourhood boundary would end the neighbourhood at East Ham station. The analysis and engagement undertaken to inform the boundaries as part of the Characterisation Study found that the railway line to be a hard boundary and that the area to the north of the town centre had a similar character. Amending the boundary which would also split the town centre between two neighbourhoods. For these reasons the neighbourhood boundary has not been changed.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/106	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						The 15 concept works because of 16	Support noted.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/107	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Community centres	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the major centre and local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	-------------------	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/108	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						GP surgery by foot	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan's neighbourhood policies and site allocations set out the requirements for new health facilities based information of future needs provided by NHS partners. It should be noted that a health centre is due to be delivered as part of the development on the former Hartley Centre.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/109	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Parking for local businesses	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/110	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			More usable green space	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/111	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			7			[Keep] Point 7	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/112	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Keep] Point 12	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/113	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			[Keep] Point 13	This policy wording has now changed to consolidate the clauses related to walking and cycling along the River Roding. Please see the new wording in N13.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/114	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			14			[Keep] Point 14	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/115	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			1			[Keep] Point 1	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/116	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			3			[Keep] Point 3	Support noted. This policy wording has now changed to add to further detail as to how the neighbourhood's heritage assets should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the new wording in N13.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/117	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			5			[Keep] Point 5 - historic shop front	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed due to a consistent approach to shop fronts across all neighbourhoods and Local Plan policies D6 and HS2. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N13 which removes this clause.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/118	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Keep] except 'b'	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/119	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.a			[Change] Overdevelopment of East Ham Market	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan cannot change planning permissions that have already been granted or delivered.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/120	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change] Policing of planning	The Planning Enforcement team is responsible for investigating breaches of planning permission. They can be contacted via the council's website: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/121	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change] Safety - young people don't feel safe	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/122	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		6.b				[Change] Late night spaces? Ghost town because everything shuts	A change to this policy approach has been made to add support the development of a local evening and night-time economy. This aligns with Local Plan Policy HS5.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/123	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		6.c				[Change] Too many betting shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to creating a healthy high street environment, including requirements for betting shops and hot food takeaways. Please see the new wording in N14 and HS6. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/124	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.c			[Change] Too many fast food shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to creating a healthy high street environment, including requirements for betting shops and hot food takeaways. Please see the new wording in N14 and HS6. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			7			[Add] Point 7 - add additional concerns like crime/ASB	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/127	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			5			[Add] Protection of heritage site in Newham	This policy approach has now changed due to a borough-wide approach to shop fronts, which is addressed through Local Plan policy D5. It should be noted that the neighbourhood's heritage assets are addressed through clauses in the neighbourhood policy and these have been amended to add further detail. Please see the amended wording in N13.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/128	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Add] Cultural spaces	This policy approach has now changed to include support for new community facilities based on evidence in the Community Facilities Needs Assessment. Cultural facilities are a type of community facility. Please see the new wording in N13.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/129	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Cheap spaces to practise	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the community facility policies.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Crime and ASB - young people feel unsafe	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] More community facilities	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the major centre and local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Add] Green space	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/133	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Cricket, other sports facilities	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study, the Playing Pitch Strategy and the Built Leisure Needs Assessment which provides the evidence on improvements to existing green spaces and sports facilities. Please see the new wording in N13.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			14			[Add] Course of the original River Roding (the Back River) has been built over. Possible planning condition	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			[Keep] Cycle lanes on the Barking Road kept clear	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting new and improved walking and cycling routes to improve conditions for people to walk, cycle and use public transport in the neighbourhood. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the transport and highways team may be able to help. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. Parking is not allowed on footways or footpaths, pavements or grass verges – unless specifically exempted. Our parking enforcement officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) to vehicles parked in contravention or parked dangerously. You can report a problem vehicle on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines">https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines</a>
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/137	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.c			[Change] 6.c Reduce same type of outlets in the length of the high streets	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the neighbourhood policy and high street policies to support a mix of uses in the town centre. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct specific types of businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Change] 12. Trees to have a better care program as the in the pased they was none.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting existing trees. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Requests for tree management can be requested here: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/conservation-areas-listed-buildings-newham/4">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/conservation-areas-listed-buildings-newham/4</a>
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/139	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] More focus	Unfortunately it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/140	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			3			[Keep] Protection of historic building	Support noted. This policy wording has now changed to add to further detail as to how the neighbourhood's heritage assets should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the new wording in N13.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/141	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			7			[Keep] 7. Well placed street furniture is important and should have been initiated years ago through council assets	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/142	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			1			[Change] Any uplift in housing density should be with council housing with secure tenancies	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			7			[Change] Well placed street furniture should have been initiated years ago through the community assembly	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this sets requirements from new developments, alongside the requirements in the public realm policy D2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/144	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6.a			[Add] Add to section 6 - protecting the markets as a historical focal point	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan protects existing markets and supports new markets but cannot change previous planning decisions or developments that have already been delivered.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/145	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	New site		Development principles			[Add] Land to the south of SA3 should be developed for housing and potential dog park	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. A desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt was undertaken by Jon Sheaff & Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review does not recommend amendments to the existing designation at the Lady Trower Playing Fields therefore, the MOL remains in place.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/146	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			5			[Add] Highlight the historic of the high street as landmarks	This policy approach has now changed due to a borough-wide approach to shop fronts, which is addressed through Local Plan policy D5. It should be noted that the neighbourhood's heritage assets are addressed through clauses in the neighbourhood policy and these have been amended to add further detail. Please see the amended wording in N13.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/147	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Public toilets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/148	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Additional playgroup/childcare facilities needed (e.g sure start etc)	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the major centre and local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	---





Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/149	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Also youth clubs	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the major centre and local centres in the neighbourhood. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/150	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			3			[Change] More concern over concentration/heritage assets	Support noted. This policy wording has now changed to add to further detail as to how the neighbourhood's heritage assets should be conserved and enhanced. Please see the new wording in N13.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/151	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision				<p>[Change] Concern the concept of a 15 minute neighbourhood? What are the long term plans?</p>	<p>The ultimate goal of 15 minute neighbourhoods is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15 minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services. Residents are of course welcome to travel further afield to reach a wider range of facilities. At the moment some parts of our borough are very isolated and do not have easy access to shops and facilities. The Plan aims to change this, through introducing new locations for shops, community facilities and parks and by creating new routes to increase access to existing facilities. You can read more about this concept in the Local Plan (page 299) and the characterisation study (page 135). There is no plan, intention or objective to limit residents to accessing one part of the borough or one set of facilities. To better reflect the intentions behind this objective, this principle is now referred to as a network of well-connected neighbourhoods, in the Local Plan. It is important to note that the 15 minute neighbourhood concept is not the same as the delivery of traffic reduction proposals, such as low traffic neighbourhoods. Low traffic neighbourhoods are about making it easier to walk and cycle between locations and reducing the use of cars for short journeys. All locations can still be reached by car, but you may need to go a slightly longer way round. As with other London boroughs, we're working to encourage fewer journeys by car – and increased walking, cycling and use of public transport. Through delivering both these</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--------	--	--	--	---	--



Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/152	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			[Change] Vagueness over what is a 15 minute city	<p>The ultimate goal of 15 minute neighbourhoods is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15 minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services. Residents are of course welcome to travel further afield to reach a wider range of facilities. At the moment some parts of our borough are very isolated and do not have easy access to shops and facilities. The Plan aims to change this, through introducing new locations for shops, community facilities and parks and by creating new routes to increase access to existing facilities. You can read more about this concept in the Local Plan (page 299) and the characterisation study (page 135). There is no plan, intention or objective to limit residents to accessing one part of the borough or one set of facilities. To better reflect the intentions behind this objective, this principle is now referred to as a network of well-connected neighbourhoods, in the Local Plan. It is important to note that the 15 minute neighbourhood concept is not the same as the delivery of traffic reduction proposals, such as low traffic neighbourhoods. Low traffic neighbourhoods are about making it easier to walk and cycle between locations and reducing the use of cars for short journeys. All locations can still be reached by car, but you may need to go a slightly longer way round. As with other London boroughs, we're working to encourage fewer journeys by car – and increased walking, cycling and use of public transport. Through delivering both these</p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--------	--	--	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/155	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] More cross-communication between departments	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The Local Plan has been produced through engagement with a wide range of council departments and external stakeholders to ensure it is delivering the Council's Building a Fairer Newham vision.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/156	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			9			[Add] More emphasis on small businesses	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to necessary as the policy clauses addresses employment spaces for this type of business. Further requirements can be found in the employment policies.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/157	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Add] More greenspace	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green Infrastructure Strategy. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The Strategy has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the amended wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/158	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			[Add] How does this link to governmental policy?	Policies in a Local Plan need to be consistent with national planning policy. This will be assessed during the Examination in Public as part of the tests of soundness.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/159	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			[Add] Increased transparency	A number of rounds of consultation have taken place on the Draft Local Plan and a further opportunity to have your say is during the Reg 19 consultation. The Statement of Community Involvement provides further information on how information relating to planning policy and development management decisions will be made available.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/160	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			[Add] Improved communication	The Local Plan Engagement Strategy sets out the methods used to consult and engage with residents during the Local Plan Review. The Newham Statement of Community Involvement sets out the full range of methods used by the council to inform residents about planning in the borough.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/161	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Improved meeting for consultation	Feedback on the running of the neighbourhood assemblies will be considered as part of the planning of any future Local Plan events. Please see the Local Plan consultation report for a summary of the other methods used to seek feedback on the Draft Local Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/162	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			[Add] Examples of what has been achieved	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood policy sets out how the neighbourhood will change in the future. We are required to monitor the effectiveness of our policies and this will be set out in the Authority Monitoring Report.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/189	Neighbourhoods			Neighbourhood boundary				Environment - some 15 minute neighbourhood too big	"The Local Plan neighbourhoods were identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022, as well as feedback from the Reg 18 consultation. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be sub-divided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character, such as the look and feel of an area and their function, such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like. The main purpose of the neighbourhood policies is to provide detailed spatial guidance for a defined area of the borough

<p><b>Comment Response</b></p>	<p>and as a result will vary in size depending on the variety of character in different parts of the borough. They are not intended to be standalone 15 minute neighbourhoods. The Local Plan's spatial strategy seeks to deliver a network of 15-minute neighbourhoods. The ultimate goal of 15 minute neighbourhoods is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15-minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services. The spatial strategy is not intended to create isolated and self-sustaining areas but to support access to services and facilities for everybody, through a network of connected neighbourhoods, which give residents a choice in where they access different facilities and services, whether that is within their own neighbourhood or within the wider network. You can read more about this concept in the Local Plan (page 299) and the characterisation study (page 135)." To better reflect the intentions behind this objective, this principle is now referred to as a network of well-connected neighbourhoods, in the Local Plan.</p>
<p><b>Comment</b></p>	
<p><b>Implementation</b></p>	
<p><b>Justification</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause</b></p>	
<p><b>Introduction</b></p>	
<p><b>Site allocation</b></p>	
<p><b>Policy</b></p>	
<p><b>Chapter</b></p>	
<p><b>Comment Reference</b></p>	
<p><b>Representor</b></p>	
<p><b>Representation Reference</b></p>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/191	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6.c			[Keep] 6.c takeaways reduction	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/193	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Keep] 6. Keep	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/194	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			4			[Keep] 4. Local opportunities for local residents	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Local Plan policy on Delivering Community Wealth Building and Inclusive Growth.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/195	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			11			[Keep] Other mitigation measures as well as road closures where it is not practical	Support noted.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/196	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			10			[Change] Be sensible with bus prioritisation	This policy approach has now change due to updated evidence from the Sustainable Transport Strategy on where bus prioritisation is required. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change as appropriate as bus prioritisation in some parts of the borough as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/198	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			2			[Change] Disagree with number 2	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as these sites have been identified in the Newham Characterisation Study as being suitable for transformation. The type of development that comes forward on these sites will be managed through the design principles in the relevant site allocations as well as Local Plan policy D4 Design-led residential site capacity optimisation.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/199	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6.a			[Change] Don't knock down the market - part of the market was not kept open	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan protects existing markets but cannot change previous planning decisions or developments that have already been delivered.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/200	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6.a			Encourage small market stalls where the market was so we keep small shops	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan protects existing markets and supports new markets but cannot change previous planning decisions or developments that have already been delivered.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/201	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add] Police presence in the High Street to deal with large groups conegration	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/202	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Add] Local authority to monitor the use of its own shops	The Local Plan addresses this topic by including monitoring indicators which will monitor the effectiveness of the Local Plan policies in delivering its objectives. This will be published in the Authorities Monitoring Report. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/203	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham		Vision				Digital economy?	Further detail can be found in Local Plan Policy W4 and the employment policies.

Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/204	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			Vision			the level of traffic on the neighbourhood's main roads will be reduce' how	<p>This will be achieved through schemes to improve conditions for walking, cycling and public transport. In addition, a Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted.</p> <p>More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:  <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--------	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/209	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		Neighbourhood boundary				[See annotation around site on rep East Ham boundary 03]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the proposed change to the neighbourhood boundary would end the neighbourhood at East Ham station. The analysis and engagement undertaken to inform the boundaries as part of the Characterisation Study found that the railway line to be a hard boundary and that the area to the north of the town centre had a similar character. Amending the boundary which would also split the town centre between two neighbourhoods. For these reasons the neighbourhood boundary has not been changed.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/210	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Area above East Ham station needs abit more development in terms of animation - e.g. more retail, clothes shops	Comment noted. The neighbourhood vision and the neighbourhood policy set out how the neighbourhood will change and develop over the plan period. The neighbourhood provides detail on the uses that will be supported in the town centre, informed by the Retail and Leisure Needs Study, so that residents can meet their shopping needs. This is supported by public realm improvements.
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/211	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Local GP about to move from old buildings so build a [comment not finished]	Unfortunately, it is not clear what change or addition you wanted to this part of the Local Plan. It should be noted that a health centre is due to be delivered as part of the development on the former Hartley Centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/212	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			Opening Back River for wildlife	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/038	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway - 0.98 hectares - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/039	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N13.SA2 East Ham Primark - 0.5 hectares - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/040	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks - 9.5 hectares - Flood Zone 3 (tidal + tidal/fluvial)+ breach - Watercourse – Ordinary watercourse - SPZ 2 - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of N13.SA3 and Local Plan policy CE1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-012	Lidl	Reg18-E-012/010b	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.b			[It is noted that there are no sites allocated for a foodstore of a size large enough for a discount foodstore]...East Ham (N13)...allocates a between 500 and 800 sqm GIA for a supermarket – which is below the minimum requirements for a discount foodstore (1400-2500 sqm). In order to encourage local residents to shop locally and increase competition within these communities, the allocated site's should be increased in allocated floorspace to ensure the adequate provision of a foodstore which can be used by the local community whilst providing jobs and boosting the local economy.	This policy approach has now changed, to allow for more flexibility in the scale of foodstore provision that can be located in Local Centres, recognising the variety of business models for small and medium convenience store operators. Please see the new wording in policy HS1 and N13.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Police on High Street	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						East Ham - rubbish	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. The neighbourhood policy for East Ham sets the vision for how East Ham will change over the next 15 years and addresses its strengths, challenges and opportunities.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/029	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						East Ham - libraries good	Comment noted.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			East Ham - improved High Streets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets as well as supporting improvements to the public realm.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Need more youth facilities in the area	This policy approach has now changed to add reference to supporting new community facilities when in conformity with Local Plan Policy S12, in light of the evidence in the Community Facilities Needs Assessment. However, it should be noted that the policy cannot specify the type of community facility to be provided.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Availability of affordable housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as all sites are required to address affordable housing requirements, including social rent housing, set out in Local Plan policy H3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Cleanliness	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			All facilities in the town centre are too crowded (East Ham)	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic by planning to meet future needs for retail and leisure uses and supporting a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy limit visitors to existing businesses.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/035	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			12			When sites are providing local parks we should be providing exercise facilities like the ones in West Ham. People look after it. Want it in East Ham too	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for new publicly accessible open space is addressed through Local Plan GWS1 and GWS5. This includes which includes play and space for informal recreation which can include fitness areas and outdoor gym equipment.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/036	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			11			Improvements on traffic - too much traffic problems here	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this policy clause works alongside other policy clauses which support walking and cycling and public transport to reduce the reliance on cars.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/037	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			More better shops from the area	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			1			Developments in High Street North area increasing density too much	This policy wording has now changed to add further detail to the design principles, based on the evidence in the Newham Characterisation Study. Please see the new wording in N13. However, the comment you have provided has not resulted in a change. The design principles have been informed by the Characterisation Study which has identified areas suitable for transform, enhance and conserve, which will inform appropriate densities in different parts of the neighbourhood, based on the character and other characteristics. Appropriate densities will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to the guidance in the Characterisation Study, the design policies and the neighbourhood policies, which will also manage the impact on the neighbourhood's heritage assets.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						More health centres - G.P	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as a new health centre is being delivered on the former Hartley Centre on Barking Road.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						East Ham. Need to improve East Ham station. Ugly/dowdy. Attracts a lot of traffic. Lifts and space inside good. Needs improving	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its transport policies as well as the Sustainable Transport Strategy, which support improvements to public transport. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this would be led by Transport for London.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.c			East Ham - too many betting shops and unhealthy fast food shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to creating a healthy high street environment, including requirements for betting shops and hot food takeaways. Please see the new wording in N14 and HS6. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/043	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Sites [allocations] ok if people use public transport	Support noted.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/044	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Feel like I am already live in a 15 minute neighbourhood - parks, shops, town hall, all within 15 minute like central park	Support noted.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			8			East Ham. More use of pop-ups outside Sainsbury's - bigger programme of pop-ups	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy supports the animation of the town centre through temporary uses such as markets but cannot specify the exact location of these uses.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Development principles			Need to keep Primark N13.SA2	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already requires a retail unit to be reprovided.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/047	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			8			East Ham - food markets, flower and plant markets, want on Myrtle Road - emphasise this.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy supports the animation of the town centre through temporary uses such as markets but cannot specify the exact type or location of these uses.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/048	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						East Ham health facilities - difficulties in recruiting/getting an appointment. NHS pop-up/van with services outside of Sainsburys. Support health pop-ups	The Local Plan addresses this topic through allocating sites where new health facilities should be provided. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested because it cannot specify the particular health services to be provided.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/050	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Design principles			Ron Leighton Way - want to improve the green space there N13.SA1	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study which has updated the green infrastructure requirements for the site. The design principles have also been updated to include a requirement to deliver green infrastructure improvements to address open space deficiencies. Please see the new wording in the design principles for N13.SA1.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/051	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			East Ham - shops - can't control the type is for the cheaper shops - crowds at Iceland, Primark, Lidl - demand at lower end of the market	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/058	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						Police on High Street North	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/059	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						[Police on High Street North] agree with this	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/060	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						Anti-social behaviour on High Street	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3, BFN4 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However , the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety Enforcement department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community</p>

<b>Comment Response</b>	Safety Enforcement department may be able to help.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Anti-social behaviour on High Street] agree with this	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3, BFN4 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However , the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety Enforcement department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community</p>

<b>Comment Response</b>	Safety Enforcement department may be able to help.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Old fire station - bottom half sat empty. Could be a restaurant - rents to support this?	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its high street policies on affordable rents for some types of development. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this site has already been delivered.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/066	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			7			Pedestrianise East Ham High Street - make it nicer - planters, trees, flowers etc.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improved conditions for walking, cycling and using public transport and by supporting the implementation of Low Traffic Neighbourhoods. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot influence highway changes. Our colleagues in highways or the transport team may be able to help.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/067	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.c			Get rid of all of the gambling shops on High Street	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to creating a healthy high street environment, including requirements for betting shops and hot food takeaways. Please see the new wording in N14 and HS6. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Invest in East Ham - it feels neglected and gone to seed	Comment noted. The neighbourhood vision and the neighbourhood policy set out how the neighbourhood will change and develop over the plan period. The neighbourhood provides detail on the uses that will be supported in the town centre, informed by the Retail and Leisure Needs Study, so that residents can meet their shopping needs. This is supported by public realm improvements. The Council's regeneration team may also be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/newham-high-streets-programme">https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/newham-high-streets-programme</a>
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			7			Planters on High Street	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate for the level of detail covered in the neighbourhood policy. Improvements to green infrastructure and the public realm are supported.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/092	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			7			More bins in High Street North	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p>

Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/156	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						Urgent need for a homeless shelter in East Ham. People are using the library and don't have facilities	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic through its housing policies. The policy approach in Policy H2 has now changed to clarify the circumstances under which family homes can be converted for a temporary period to a House in Multiple Occupation or Large House in Multiple Occupation for the sole use by Newham's Homelessness service for people who are owed a homelessness duty. The policy approach was originally introduced under the Regulation 18 Local Plan to help address the significant number of people in temporary accommodation in the borough. Please see the new wording in policy H2. Policy H6 also sets out policy requirements relevant to the provision of more specialist and supported forms of accommodation for homeless people and rough sleepers. The location and quality of these forms of housing should be informed in discussion with relevant commissioning teams in the Council. Separate to the Local Plan, our colleagues in the Adults and Health and Housing directorates in the Council work with residents who are, or are at risk of, rough sleeping or homelessness, including through our Homelessness Prevention and Advice Service (HPAS). Newham also has a published Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2021-2026, which sets out an intelligence-led, public health approach to tackling homelessness, and improving access to, and the quality of, housing within the borough.</p>
-------------	--------------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/157	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						Williams Green Community Garden - New Legacy were awarded £20k from the community assembly but now allowed a portacabin on the land - why not?	The People Powered Places Team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/council/people-powered-places">https://www.newham.gov.uk/council/people-powered-places</a>

Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/158	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						Temporary homeless shelter - safe space for people. Portakabins - good location - new legacy run homeless shelter.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic through its housing policies. The policy approach in Policy H2 has now changed to clarify the circumstances under which family homes can be converted for a temporary period to a House in Multiple Occupation or Large House in Multiple Occupation for the sole use by Newham's Homelessness service for people who are owed a homelessness duty. The policy approach was originally introduced under the Regulation 18 Local Plan to help address the significant number of people in temporary accommodation in the borough. Please see the new wording in policy H2. Policy H6 also sets out policy requirements relevant to the provision of more specialist and supported forms of accommodation for homeless people and rough sleepers. The location and quality of these forms of housing should be informed in discussion with relevant commissioning teams in the Council. Separate to the Local Plan, our colleagues in the Adults and Health and Housing directorates in the Council work with residents who are, or are at risk of, rough sleeping or homelessness, including through our Homelessness Prevention and Advice Service (HPAS). Newham also has a published Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2021-2026, which sets out an intelligence-led, public health approach to tackling homelessness, and improving access to, and the quality of, housing within the borough.
-------------	--------------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/182	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			Improve East Ham retail offer	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.
Reg18-E-140	National Grid	Reg18-E-140/011	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks					Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified that one or more proposed development sites are crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets. Details of the sites affecting National Grid assets are provided below. N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks Asset Description ZBH ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: BARKING - REDBRIDGE 1 [see attachment for this site]	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy W4 and the design principles and phasing and implementation section of N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-009	Resident	Reg18-E-009/002	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						This leaflet actually made me laugh, first off all I wanted to make a complaint about EAST HAM, High street, Heigham Road, Bendish Road and some off the road around the high street and near the station.	Comment noted. The neighbourhood vision and supporting policy clauses set out how the neighbourhood will develop and change during the plan period. This includes improvements to the town centre - its mix of uses and its public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-009	Resident	Reg18-E-009/004	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6.c			[East Ham High Street] so many betting shops - alcoholic(Drinking every corner off the high street and side roads)	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to creating a healthy high street environment, including requirements for betting shops and hot food takeaways. Please see the new wording in N14 and HS6. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-E-074	Resident	Reg18-E-074/002	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I would prefer if the housing development not take place and thus spoil the view from our back window.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site has been identified as suitable for housing as it meets the criteria set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. However, development can only take place in the area of the site outside of the Metropolitan Open Land.
Reg18-E-074	Resident	Reg18-E-074/003	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			However, if there is housing built I would prefer a separation between the new proposed road and our back wall to avoid would-be burglars.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this will be considered during any pre-app and planning application and will be managed through the Local Plan's design policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study. The design principles in the site allocation also provide further detail on how development should integrate with the surrounding context.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-074	Resident	Reg18-E-074/004	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I would prefer that the open space that is currently there be turned into a field for sport or leisure as it was before and then it could benefit the community.	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-083	Resident	Reg18-E-083/004	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Provision of parking space is already limited in that area, and we wish to understand the impact of proper resourcing that sudden amount of people in a small cramped area will already have	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the starting point for new development is car-free. Parking standards are addressed in the Local Plan transport policies.
Reg18-E-083	Resident	Reg18-E-083/005	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Noise Pollution from the A406 is already very high, our concern is the excess levels.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this will be considered during any pre-app and planning application and will be managed through the Local Plan's neighbourliness policy and through the Climate Emergency policies. The design principles in the site allocation also provide further detail.
Reg18-E-083	Resident	Reg18-E-083/006	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			What exactly are community provisions.	This policy wording has now changed to add further detail about the size of community facility to be provided. The type of facility should be informed by the Community Facility Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/001	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I specifically want to comment on this section of the local plan as I live at [...] Leigh Road East Ham E6 2AS. My garden overlooks the gasometer site. I've lived here for 24 years but never stepped foot onto this site. I do, however, love the wildlife there, especially the birds & my garden attracts a surprising amount of wildlife - butterflies, birds, foxes - despite the proximity to the polluting and noisy North Circular. I love this site. The amount of bird song from the site gives some indication of the huge amount of wildlife in there. Last year I submitted an objection to cutting down protected trees around the site perimeter.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation.
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/002	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			So I've got a lot to say about your proposals. I don't agree with a housing development being built on this land. Already housing is densely built up in this area & I am concerned about the impact of building on the land would have on the wildlife & nature there.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/003	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I want full transparency, a full report on the impact of a housing development on the site.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. Please see the conclusions from the Integrated Impact Assessment which assessed the impact of allocating this site for development: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh/5">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh/5</a>
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/004	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I'm not happy that the housing may block out sunlight to my house & also the effects of noise pollution from any new housing .	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles in the site allocation manage the relationship between the site and the surrounding context. The site allocation works alongside other policies in the Local Plan, such as the design policies, which manage the impact on factors such as daylight and sunlight.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/005	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I don't know why you would want to build housing anyway on this site - it's far too near to the north circular- how could this be good for the health of the people living in any new housing put up .	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the design principles in the site allocation manage the relationship between the site and the surrounding context, including air quality. The site allocation works alongside other policies in the Local Plan, such as the design and climate policies, which manage the impact of noise or poor air quality.
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/007	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			There's also no amenities eg GP surgeries, shops , meeting places . It's badly cut off from everything here . We don't need the housing development but we do need open space .	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. The site is also required to provide new community facilities as well as publicly accessible open space.
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/008	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			In the last 2 weeks I've heard a lot of memories from local people about this site - playing sports there , fetes , games . This land belongs to the community, it does not belong to the private developer looking to build housing on it .	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as an assessment of this site has concluded that the land outside of the Metropolitan Open Land boundary is suitable for development. The development and design principles in the site allocation will manage the impact of development on the Metropolitan Open

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Land and the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation.
Reg18-E-086	Resident	Reg18-E-086/009	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			I do agree that the land should be opened up to give people access to green spaces & the river Roding .	Support noted.
Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/005	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham					Characterisation Study	[regarding 15 min neighbourhood and Characterisation Study] Chapter 6 p129 The rail lines are perceived as community boundaries in the same way the A13 is. The illustration does not show this. This has been further confirmed this in conversation with other residents and a recorded comment in the consultation at the end of the document and noted on p243	The diagram indicates key themes raised through the engagement that took place during the preparation of the Characterisation Study, rather all of the factors taken into consideration when setting the neighbourhood boundaries. The feedback from the assemblies on neighbourhoods has been taken into account and considered. The response to the suggested boundary changes are set out in this report.

Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/006	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham					Characterisation Study	[regarding 15 min neighbourhood and Characterisation Study] Chapter 6 p131 The East Ham neighbourhood is too big to be a 15 minute neighbourhood. This is proven by using online walking distance maps produced on TravelTime.com . Justification for large neighbourhoods cannot be “well it is walking or cycling” My next door neighbour is elderly and a 15 minute walk is 30 minutes or more for him.	The Local Plan neighbourhoods were identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022, as well as feedback from the Reg 18 consultation. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be sub-divided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character, such as the look and feel of an area and their function, such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like. The main purpose of the neighbourhood policies is to provide detailed spatial guidance for a defined area of the borough and as a result will vary in size depending on the variety of character in different parts of the borough. They are not intended to be standalone 15 minute neighbourhoods. The Local Plan's spatial strategy seeks to deliver a network of 15-minute neighbourhoods. The ultimate goal of 15 minute neighbourhoods is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15-minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services. The spatial strategy is not intended to create isolated and self-sustaining areas but to
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	------------------------	--	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/007	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham					Characterisation Study	[regarding 15 min neighbourhood and Characterisation Study] p243 Strengths highlighted such as 'greenspace and parks' and 'high density of social and community facilities not upheld by the community facilities needs assessment or Newham Green & water spaces Strategy. No mention of very poor TPAL scores in Wall End Ward.	Comment noted. The Characterisation study and the Community Facilities Needs Assessment (CFNA) come to similar conclusions regarding a high density of social and community facilities in the East Ham Local Plan Neighbourhood Area, which is that it is higher than the Newham average (page 48). Please note the CFNA does then review the quantity of facilities per Community Neighbourhood Area and notes that the East Ham Community Neighbourhood Area (an area much larger than the East Ham Local Plan Neighbourhood Area) has a low number of facilities per head of population (page 50). The CFNA was unable to consider number of facilities per head of population for the local plan neighbourhood areas as population figures are not available at that scale. The Green and Water Infrastructure Study considers two measures for access to open space – proximity and by head of population by ward. It notes that all three east ham wards have a deficiency in quantity of open space per head of population however it does have good access to different types of open space, which is the measure reflected in the Characterisation Study. PTAL is addressed in the functional character section of the neighbourhood design principles chapter for both East Ham and East Ham South neighbourhoods.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/008	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham					Characterisation Study	[regarding 15 min neighbourhood and Characterisation Study] P245 In opportunities no mention of lack of access to natural environment	This is addressed in the natural infrastructure section of the neighbourhood design principles.
Reg18-K-010	Resident	Reg18-K-010/003	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			4			This contradicts point 4.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy clause supports tall buildings where significant regard is had to the neighbourhood's heritage assets. Tall buildings will be further managed through the Local Plan tall building's policy.
Reg18-K-042	Resident	Reg18-K-042/001	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		4.66				Recent high rise building is not appropriate for the area and puts an unacceptable strain on the already inadequate local amenities, particularly green space.	The design principles in the neighbourhood policy have been informed by the Characterisation Study which has identified areas suitable for transform, enhance and conserve, which will inform appropriate densities in different parts of the neighbourhood, based on the character and other characteristics. Appropriate densities will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to the guidance in the Characterisation Study, the design policies and the neighbourhood policies, which will also manage the impact on the neighbourhood's heritage assets.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-042	Resident	Reg18-K-042/002	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		4.67				Loss of the market - against information in the planning application- has reduced local choice for consumers and opportunity for micro and start-up businesses. This contradicts published aspirations re supporting enterprise, 15 minute neighbourhoods etc and adds to the current cost of living burden.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan protects existing markets and supports new markets but cannot change previous planning decisions or developments that have already been delivered.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/078	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change it] Be bolder. East Ham and the area around the station feels like a slum in a less developed country.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy and its vision set out how East Ham will change and develop up to 2038 and covers a range of topics including housing, design, the town centre, public transport, walking and cycling, community facilities and green and water infrastructure requirements.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/079	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change it] Absurd extensions and shacks are plonked down on residential and commercial buildings all over Newham because there has been inadequate planning enforcement or coherent planning for years.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by requiring high quality development that meets the standards set out in a range of policies, including the housing, high streets and design policies. Development will be required to meet these requirements alongside the design principles set out in each neighbourhood policy. Breaches of planning can be reported to the planning enforcement team: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-enforcement</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/080	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change it] Streets are filthy,	The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues: - Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council - Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/081	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		6.c				[Change it] it is impossible to get clean and healthy food anywhere,	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy HS6 which aims to create healthy food environments on our High Streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct specific types of businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/082	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			[Change it] and few families want to spend anytime walking around the local area.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy will ensure the neighbourhood will benefit from better conditions for walking and cycling to encourage more residents to enjoy and access their neighbourhood.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/083	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change it] Most people I know just leave the area on weekends and spend their money and time in Wanstead, Leytonstone, East Village, for example, because they can't get what they want for their families here.	Comment noted. The neighbourhood vision and the neighbourhood policy set out how the neighbourhood will change and develop over the plan period. The neighbourhood provides detail on the uses that will be supported in the town centre, informed by the Retail and Leisure Needs Study, so that residents can meet their shopping needs. This is supported by public realm improvements.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/084	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change it] It's just betting shops, greasy fast food chicken shops and awful shops with nasty signage everywhere.	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. The policy also sets standards for new shop fronts. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/085	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change it] The Local Plan talks about 'new shops' but what kinds of shops will these be	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area. Further detail can be found in the High Streets policies.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/086	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change it] and what about the existing shops?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. Existing retail uses in the town centre will continue to be protected, subject to the requirements of the Local Plan high streets policy. Planning policy cannot influence existing shops unless a planning application is made, such as change of use.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/087	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change it] Newham needs quality healthy independent eateries, cafes and shops that instil a sense of pride and aspiration like you see everywhere in Wanstead, East Village, Hackney, Waltham Forest.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets, including food and drink uses and supporting the growth in the evening and night-time economy. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/088	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change it] You need to incentivise the right small businesses with a track record of success in better areas to set up shop here. I do not see mention of this in the Local Plan.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.
Reg18-T-002	Resident	Reg18-T-002/089	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham			6			[Change it] A few decades ago High Street North was aspirational with an M&S and quality independent shops where now it is like a slum. Please take bold action fast!	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular businesses to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-051	Resident	Reg18-T-051/019	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Change it] Extend green spaces	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study 2024. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The study has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the new wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-051	Resident	Reg18-T-051/020	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			12			[Change it] Extend [green spaces] and play areas	A change to this policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Study 2024. This evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for the different types of green space needed from the Local Plan's site allocations. The requirement for green space (including the need for play and community growing space) has fed into the design based capacity testing and the infrastructure requirements for the neighbourhood's site allocations. The study has also informed specific green infrastructure improvements set out in the neighbourhood policies. Both the site allocations and neighbourhood policies will increase the amount of open space in Newham. Please see the new wording in N13 and the neighbourhood's site allocations.
Reg18-T-062	Resident	Reg18-T-062/003	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Change it] ok	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/082	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/083	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/084	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/085	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	-------------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-073	Resident	Reg18-T-073/005a	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			[Add to it] [Want to see more detail about the proposals for the Gasometer site, which has great potential if developed well] - with...developing healthcare/community facilities in this under-resourced corner of the borough.[Originally submitted on N13 East Ham]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation includes development, design and infrastructure requirements which set out how the site should come forward for development.
Reg18-T-073	Resident	Reg18-T-073/005b	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			[Add to it] Want to see more detail about the proposals for the Gasometer site, which has great potential if developed well - with housing...[Originally submitted on N13 East Ham]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation includes development, design and infrastructure requirements which set out how the site should come forward for development.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-073	Resident	Reg18-T-073/005c	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			[Add to it] [Want to see more detail about the proposals for the Gasometer site, which has great potential if developed well] - with...access to green space (rediscover the Back River) recreation..., environmental enhancement, opening up the Roding Edgelands...[Originally submitted on N13 East Ham]	This policy approach has changed to reflect the support both in the Council and with residents for the Back River project. Both East Ham South and East Ham neighbourhoods now include a clause in their vision to support the restoration of the ancient course of the Back River. Site allocation N13.SA3 has been amended to include in the development principle for the site, the requirement for developers to explore the restoration of the Back River. N13.SA3 is the only site allocation in the Local Plan which includes a portion of the historic Back River route. Newham's Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) also supports the Back River initiative. The Strategy includes an Action Plan which includes key actions we need to undertake to deliver better water infrastructure across the borough. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy, such as the delivery of the 'Back River' project extend beyond the scope of planning. The Strategy will be published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-073	Resident	Reg18-T-073/005d	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Infrastructure requirements			[Add to it] [Want to see more detail about the proposals for the Gasometer site, which has great potential if developed well] - with...attracting transport links...[Originally submitted on N13 East Ham]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site allocation includes development, design and infrastructure requirements which set out how the site should come forward for development.
Reg18-T-073	Resident	Reg18-T-073/006	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			[Add to it] As above - just want to see more detail. This site was, within living memory, a thriving sports ground, but is now fenced off and derelict. It has great potential, which must not be squandered.	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-T-074	Resident	Reg18-T-074/012	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add to it] Not sure	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No additions have been made.
Reg18-T-098	Resident	Reg18-T-098/024	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add to it] See previous answer	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/038	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/039	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/040	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/041	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks					[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/077	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add to it] Again Newham is overcrowded and suffering from... overcrowding	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan's policies requiring the delivery of affordable and family-sized homes, including 5% four bedroom homes on site allocations, will help to address issues of overcrowding in the borough.
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/078	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded] and suffering from noise pollution,	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy D6 (Neighbourliness) requires developments to avoid unacceptable exposure to noise.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/079	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						<p>[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded and] suffering from [noise pollution, overcrowding] and ASB</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council takes all reports of noise and ASB seriously and will take appropriate steps to abate reported nuisances. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/080	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						<p>[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded] and suffering from [noise pollution, overcrowding and ASB] as well as flytipping</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/081	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						<p>[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded] and suffering from [noise pollution, overcrowding and ASB as well as flytipping] and crime.</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council perspective, however the</p>

<b>Comment Response</b>	MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/082	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					[Add to it] Again Newham is overcrowded and suffering from...	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan's policies requiring the delivery of affordable and family-sized homes, including 5% four bedroom homes on site allocations, will help to address issues of overcrowding in the borough.
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/083	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded] and suffering from noise pollution,	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy D6 (Neighbourliness) requires developments to avoid unacceptable exposure to noise.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/084	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					<p>[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded and] suffering from [noise pollution, overcrowding] and ASB</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council takes all reports of noise and ASB seriously and will take appropriate steps to abate reported nuisances. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/085	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					<p>[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded] and suffering from [noise pollution, overcrowding and ASB] as well as flytipping</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-109	Resident	Reg18-T-109/086	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					[Add to it] Again Newham is [overcrowded] and suffering from [noise pollution, overcrowding and ASB as well as flytipping] and crime.	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2), to deliver social benefits for Newham's residents (Policies BFN3 and J4), and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The detection, prevention and investigation of criminal offences is within the remit of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). The council work in partnership with the MPS to review crime data and offer any support from a Council perspective, however the</p>

<b>Comment Response</b>	MPS would lead on crime reduction measures.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/053	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham						[Keep it]	Support noted.

Reg18-T-127	Resident and community facility development manager	Reg18-T-127/005	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham		Neighbourhood boundary			<p>Characterisation Study</p> <p>[Please provide your comments on the Characterisation Study below.] Chapter 6 p131 The East Ham neighbourhood is too big to be a 15 minute neighbourhood. This is proven by using online walking distance maps produced on TravelTime.com . Justification for large neighbourhoods cannot be “well it is walking or cycling” My next door neighbour is elderly and a 15 minute walk is 30 minutes or more for him.</p>	<p>The Local Plan neighbourhoods were identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022, as well as feedback from the Reg 18 consultation. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be sub-divided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character, such as the look and feel of an area and their function, such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don’t like. The main purpose of the neighbourhood policies is to provide detailed spatial guidance for a defined area of the borough and as a result will vary in size depending on the variety of character in different parts of the borough. They are not intended to be standalone 15 minute neighbourhoods. The Local Plan’s spatial strategy seeks to deliver a network of 15-minute neighbourhoods. The ultimate goal of 15 minute neighbourhoods is to ensure that all residents can live within a 15-minute walk of key facilities such as shops, schools, parks and workspaces. This is so that residents do not have to travel so far to reach these essential services. The spatial strategy is not intended to create isolated and self-sustaining areas but to</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	--------------	--	------------------------	--	--	---	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-132	River Roding Trust	Reg18-E-132/002	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			The River Roding Trust very strongly supports the inclusion of improving connectivity between the East of the Borough and the River Roding. In particular, the references to increasing connectivity with the River Roding on the East Ham Neighbourhood Plan (pages 465-66) and East Ham South Neighbourhood Plan (page 462). These proposals very much link in with our 'Roding Edgeland's' plan to link up the existing green/blue spaces along the Roding Valley as one green corridor, protect and restore them for nature and increase public access to these spaces ( <a href="https://riverrodingtrust.org.uk/edgelands/">https://riverrodingtrust.org.uk/edgelands/</a> ).	Support noted. However, this policy wording has now changed to consolidate the clauses related to walking and cycling along the River Roding. Please see the new wording in N13.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/056	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Development principles			N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway – There appears to be a sports facility on site which does not appear to be protected or replaced. Again this allocation appears to be contrary to National and Sport England Planning Policy.	This policy approach has now changed to make specific reference to protecting and enhancing the existing sports facility on the site. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/057	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks – Although this site does not appear to have been used for many years its last use was a playing field. As a result, its loss would need to align with the NPPF, Paragraph 99, and Sport England’s Playing Field Policy and is therefore not considered sound. Since the Playing Pitch Strategy has not been finished (and identifies the site as surplus), the site does not appear to be replaced nor is the allocation for a sports facility, the allocation is currently contrary to National and Sport England Planning Policy.	This policy approach has now changed due to new evidence in the Playing Pitch Strategy which means the site allocation now requires development to protect and enhance the existing playing pitches. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/023	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks					The former East Ham gasholder site is located between East Ham and Barking stations in the eastern part of the Borough. The Site is bound to the north by Stevenage Road and associated residential uses, to the east by the North Circular Road (A406), to the south by Watson Avenue and associated residential uses, and to the west by Leigh Road and associated residential uses. A site plan is enclosed at Appendix 8. The Site comprises of a gasometer and associated gas operational equipment located on previously developed land accessed of Leigh Road. It is located within a wider setting of unmaintained open space designated as Metropolitan Open Land that is not currently accessible to the public. There are also multiple gas easements and Thames Water structures which cross the site. [See Appendix 8]	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/024	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks					The adopted Local Plan (2018) identifies the principal areas of previously developed land, and the MOL classification (GS82). The Site is not subject to a site specific allocation within the adopted Local Plan. The Site has been allocated within the East Ham neighbourhood (N13 East Ham) and as a new site allocation N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks within the Local Plan Refresh Regulation 18 draft (copy enclosed at Appendix 9). [See Appendix 9]	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/315	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			1			<p>Summary of Proposed Amendments: Increased density. Proposed wording change: supporting development that enhances the neighbourhood's character and is sympathetic to and respects the character of its historic terraced streets through form, detailing and materials. Moderate uplift in density, <u>when informed by a design led approach</u>, will be supported where it enhances the character of the area, particularly in areas of mixed urban form;</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to clarify where in the neighbourhood this policy clause applies. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N13. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the neighbourhood design principles have been informed by the Newham Characterisation Study which analysed the borough and the opportunities for change through borough-wide intensification. This took into consideration sensitivity to change, urban form quality and character, opportunity for growth and socio-economic and cultural significance. This concluded in the identification of areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and these categories provide a framework for the design-led approach set out in the London Plan. The neighbourhood specific design principles set out how this intensification should be delivered in each neighbourhood within the three categories. The Characterisation Study provides further guidance on areas suitable for conserve, enhance and transform and the neighbourhood policies provide further detail to Local Plan policy D3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/317	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Amend the previously developed land calculation (surface) from 1.6ha to 2.04ha.	This policy approach has now changed due to removing reference to the amount of land outside of Metropolitan Open Land. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/319	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Include the following text: Development should acknowledge the associated costs of decommissioning the gasworks and the relocation of any significant equipment and address any environmental pollution and on-site decontamination requirements caused by the gas works.	This policy approach has now changed to require new residential developments on sites with the capacity to deliver ten dwellinghouses or more to provide 50 per cent of the total residential units as social rent housing and 10 per cent of the total residential units as affordable home ownership housing. This change has been made to respond to the ever increasing need for social rented homes in the borough, along with the significant and multiple affordability challenges our residents face. There is no variation in affordable housing delivery requirements according to land use in the amended policy. Please see the new wording in Policy H3. Where this target or family housing targets cannot be met, applicants will need to robustly justify this through the submission of a viability assessment. With regards to surplus utilities sites, exceptional costs associated with decontamination will need to be factored into a development's residual land value (with scenarios provided demonstrating appraisals for the scheme with and without

<b>Comment Response</b>	the decontamination cost), as well as taken into consideration in a development's benchmark land value.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/338	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			13			Requiring new and improved walking and cycling links to the neighbourhood's network of green spaces and nature and to and along the River Roding in partnership with London Boroughs of Redbridge and Barking and Dagenham – a major policy objective that we can assist with, but with change to the MOL designation.	Comment noted. We do not consider improving connectivity along the River Roding is dependent on a change in the MOL designation at N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/339	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham			14			Securing public access to green and blue spaces currently inaccessible to the public, particularly along the River Roding and at N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks is a policy objective that is supported but will require development to facilitate and fund these improvements.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/340	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			The development principles of residential development and open space are supported.	Support noted.

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/341	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			LP Policy H1 designates surplus gasworks sites as a strategic source of housing supply. Residential development will meet a particular housing need in Newham. The Council's Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note (December 2022) confirms that Newham is unable to demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply (5YHLS). 4.6.2. Table 10 shows that Newham is unable to demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply when measured against the adopted London Plan housing target. This position is worsened when a 5% buffer is applied to the borough's capacity derived housing target. As per national guidance, shortfall against Newham's previously adopted housing requirement figure has been added to the 5 year supply target (the Sedgfield approach). Taking the shortfall and buffer into consideration Newham only has a five year land supply of 2.69 years. Table 8 demonstrates that Newham also does not have sufficient identified housing capacity to meet the Borough's London Plan housing requirement over the course of the London Plan period, with a shortfall of 15,721 units. The Council can only demonstrate a 2.69 housing land supply, and confirms that "Newham also does not have sufficient identified housing capacity to meet the Borough's London Plan housing requirement over the course of the London Plan period, with a shortfall of 15,721 units". This is significant, and	This policy approach has now changed due to evidence from the desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt, which was undertaken by Jon Sheaff & Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review recommends a minor amendment to the MOL boundary at East Ham Gasworks. Please see the new MOL boundary on the Policy Map. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change appropriate as the desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt recommends the MOL remains in place with the exception of the minor boundary change. The approach to the Council's 5-year housing land supply and housing trajectory is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology note.
-------------	---	-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------------------------------	--	------------------------	--	--	--	--

										would constitute an exceptional circumstance to review the MOL boundaries at this site to help meet this shortfall.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/342	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			We would like to work with the Council to understand the reference to a community facility. Proposed wording change: Residential development, open space and community facility, <u>subject to a needs based assessment</u> .	This policy approach has now changed to a new methodology in how sites have been allocated for community facilities, which now is subject to demonstrating that the needs of the community have already been met. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/343	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Reference is made to 1.6ha of previously developed land outside MOL within the text of site allocation N13.SA3. The area of surface previously developed land, as denoted on the adopted proposals map, and measured below at Figure 4 comprises 2.04ha, not 1.6ha. This excludes the wider curtilage and substructure. The figure of 2.04ha should be used. [See Figure 4]. Proposed wording change: Development should take place on the <del>1.6</del> <u>2.04</u> hectares of developable land outside the Metropolitan Open Land.	This policy approach has now changed due to removing reference to the amount of land outside of Metropolitan Open Land. Please see the new wording in the development principles of N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/344	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			<p>The area should be increased as part of a MOL boundary review, and an assessment of the area of previously developed land taking into account the other policy objectives that could be achieved at this site which we comment on within section 6 below. The Framework recognises that previously developed land can comprises land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land (although it should not be assumed that the whole of the curtilage should be developed) and any associated fixed surface infrastructure.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to evidence from the desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green Belt, which was undertaken by Jon Sheaff &amp; Associates to ensure that the existing designations reflected the NPPF, London Plan policy and Newham's strategic requirements for green infrastructure. In accordance with London Plan Policy G3, this work has been undertaken in consultation with the Mayor and adjoining boroughs. London Plan Policy G3 stipulates that MOL boundaries should only be changed in exceptional circumstances when this is fully evidenced and justified, taking into account the purposes for including land in MOL set out in Part B of the Policy. Please see the Newham MOL and Green Belt Review (2024) which evidences our policy approach. The review recommends a minor amendment to the MOL boundary at East Ham Gasworks. Please see the new MOL boundary on the Policy Map. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change appropriate as the desktop review of Newham's MOL/Green recommends the MOL remains in place with the exception of the minor boundary change.</p>

Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/346	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles		<p>Framework paragraph 120 gives “substantial weight to the value of using suitable brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs, and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated or unstable land.” LP Footnote 59 also recognises that “some surplus utilities sites are subject to substantial decontamination, enabling and remediation costs. If it is robustly demonstrated that extraordinary decontamination, enabling or remediation costs must be incurred to bring a surplus utilities site forward for development, then a 35 percent affordable housing threshold could be applied, subject to detailed evidence, including viability evidence, being made available”. Former gasworks sites benefit from specific London Plan policies, and the full weight of the Framework. They represent an opportunity to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict and contaminated land and are subject to substantial decontamination, enabling and remediation costs. The following wording should therefore be included to the Site Allocation:- <u>“Development should acknowledge the associated costs of decommissioning the gasworks and the relocation of any significant equipment and address any environmental pollution and on-site decontamination requirements caused by the gas works2.”</u> This wording was proposed and adopted in the Tower</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to require new residential developments on sites with the capacity to deliver ten dwellings or more to provide 50 per cent of the total residential units as social rent housing and 10 per cent of the total residential units as affordable home ownership housing. This change has been made to respond to the ever increasing need for social rented homes in the borough, along with the significant and multiple affordability challenges our residents face. There is no variation in affordable housing delivery requirements according to land use in the amended policy. Please see the new wording in Policy H3. Where this target or family housing targets cannot be met, applicants will need to robustly justify this through the submission of a viability assessment. With regards to surplus utilities sites, exceptional costs associated with decontamination will need to be factored into a development’s residual land value (with scenarios provided demonstrating appraisals for the scheme with and without the decontamination cost), as well as taken into consideration in a development’s benchmark land value.</p>
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------	--------------	----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	---	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/347	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Map			The inset diagram will be informed by further strategic discussions regarding the site and we recognise that it is a high level schematic at this stage. The diagram, replicated at Figure 5 below does not identify the previously developed land, and incorrectly applies a green wash across the whole site. [See Figure 5]	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the minor changes to the MOL boundary. However, the change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as it is appropriate for the site allocation to continue to reflect the MOL boundary.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/408	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Development principles			Proposed wording change: The provision of community facilities should meet the requirements of Local Plan policies CF1 and CF2 and be informed by the Newham Community Facility Needs Assessment evidence base <u>as well as being subject to a needs based assessment at the time of delivery.</u>	This policy approach has now changed to a new methodology in how sites have been allocated for community facilities, which now is subject to demonstrating that the needs of the community have already been met. Please see the new wording in the development principles for N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/409	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			Proposed wording change: <del>The</del> <u>Any</u> community facility <u>provided</u> should be located where there are good connections to the existing street network and in proximity to the open space.	This wording change has not been made.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/410a	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Phasing and implementation			Proposed wording change: <del>Long</del> <u>Medium</u> term.	The phasing has been updated. Please see the new wording in N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/410d	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Design principles			[Policy D3]The site allocations for Bromley by Bow, Twelvetrees Park, Beckton and East Ham do not make specific reference to needing to follow a design-led approach and the Berkeley Group would request that LBN ensure this design led approach is transferred through to the site allocations for consistency.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary Local Plan policy D3 applies to all types all of development, including site allocations, and it is therefore unnecessary duplication for it to be referred to in the site allocations.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/025	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/026	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/098	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/099	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Phasing and Implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/100	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/101	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA1 East Ham Western Gateway		Phasing and Implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/102	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/103	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Phasing and Implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/104	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/105	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark		Phasing and Implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/106	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/107	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Phasing and Implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N13.SA3.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/108	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N13.SA3.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/109	Neighborhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks		Phasing and Implementation			<p>Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a>.</p>	<p>This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and implementation of N13.SA3.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/165	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Ron Leighton Way bus stands on both sides of the highway on west side of N13.SA2 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation or neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/166	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham						Although there are no current proposals we would encourage you to identify and protect the path of a future potential DLR or rail extension from Gallions Reach/Beckton Riverside northwards to Barking (along the corridor of the River Roding/North Circular) if any plans for this link were revived.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as at present, there are no live proposals for a northern extension of the DLR towards Barking. TfL has stated that the design of a future DLR extension to Beckton Riverside and Gallions Reach would include provision for a northern extension in future. Improvements to north-south public transport in the east of the borough are being implemented - an express bus route (Superloop SL2) will launch in March 2024, running from Walthamstow to North Woolwich via Barking.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/167	Neighbourhoods	N13 East Ham	N13.SA2 East Ham Primark					Ron Leighton Way bus stands on both sides of the highway on west side of N13.SA2 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation or neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.
Reg18-T-084	Business Owner	Reg18-T-084/015	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change it] Make a multi storey car park on Shaftesbury Road	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as one of the objectives of the Local Plan is to reduce car use in the borough and increasing walking, cycling and the use of public transport.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-084	Business Owner	Reg18-T-084/016	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change it] and improve lighting and pavements	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. You can report a wide range of issues with pavements – including uneven or broken paving slabs, issues with tree pits, damaged utility or drain covers, or issues with street lighting – on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2</a> We will inspect the problem within two working days and carry out any repairs that are our responsibility within 28 days. We have provided the highways team with your comments.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/001	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Development principles			Why is a NHS site being redeveloped with residential housing?	This site is considered suitable for reconfiguration and re-provision of the health complex with residential development to deliver the imminent housing need in our borough. The redevelopment of the health care facilities need to meet NHS needs and requirements as set out in the Infrastructure Requirement in site allocation N14.SA2 and is supported by the Community Facilities Needs Assessment (2022).

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/205	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			<p>[Keep] Queen's Market - older people can access so much but it's been taken away. Important to the borough's economy - stalls, shoppers. The market saved the community during Covid-19. It's where people got their vegetables. Different cultures, traditions, wedding accessories, nail technicians, head dresses and is affordable. 70% of the shoppers are women. Want the market to stay, want the jobs to stay.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/206	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Keep] Queen's Market - already taken away the pride and joy of the West Ham area - move these people [football fans]. Taken away the diversity and culture. Want the dignity to stay - do not understand the community, that disabled people can't speak for themselves	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ae-001	East Ham Assembly	Reg18-Ae-001/207	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Change] the council is not aware about how people are treated	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/041	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N14.SA1 Queen's Market - 1.91 hectares - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has now changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation. Please see the amended site profile, design and development principles.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/042	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road Health Complex		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road Health Complex - 0.73 hectares - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/004	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					<p>We have been told by Ellie Kuper Thomas that planners “are consulting on the contents of the whole draft Plan, so while the draft Local Plan includes site allocations, they are still all up for discussion and we welcome feedback on them.” This being so, we continue to oppose the allocation of the Market site as major redevelopment</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/006	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					Plans for Queen's Market are following a top-down model in which where shoppers and traders are not real "co-creators". A major redevelopment on the site is not supported by the vast majority of people. The public is lacking adequate information and will not be shown any further plans until August this year, not even a record of last year's consultation.	Comment noted. Our colleagues in Regeneration are able to provide information on the Strategy, including from previous rounds of engagement: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/regeneration-project-green-street/3">https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/regeneration-project-green-street/3</a> . Local Plan policy BFN2 includes requirements for all new major development to undertake co-designed masterplanning with residents.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/007	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					Queen's Market as we know it would be lost in the event of the scale of development proposed, due to the way such projects are financed. There would be need to be sell-off of the site and experience shows it is impossible that the current rent levels would remain, which enable the low prices passed on to customers of the Market.	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/008	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Infrastructure requirements			We note that a Health Centre of 3000 sqm is a part of the allocation text. This has not been agreed or decided. The plans that the public has been shown to date show proposed locations for the health centre which will be detrimental to the Market.	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. We have received submissions from the NHS indicating that there is a need for a health centre in this area. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/009	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					<p>We were told by the new Mayor's planning team that they were bound by the then new Local Plan which established the Market site as a development site. We were assured that the Plan could be changed in due course. Now that you have a new Plan, you have instead created a feedback loop: the Plan's policy now relies on the Council's redevelopment intentions for the Market ! We therefore ask that this allocation is changed and amended accordingly and would be glad to discuss this further.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/010	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			While there are some positive policies within the Vision, we question the wording in 4a “protecting and enhancing the role of Queen’s Market”. What does this mean and why is its role not described here? Our contention is that the preservation of the “market” could mean many different things in practice, some of which will not preserve it. This vague wording does not help clarify the situation.	This policy approach has now changed due to the deletion of the Queen's Market site allocation. The neighbourhood policy has been updated to include further guidance on how the role of the Queen's Market will be enhanced and will work alongside other policies in the Local Plan which protect markets. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/015	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			<p>The Night-time economy on the Queen's Market site has never been discussed with the public and should not be included as a policy. Within the market this presumably means bars and restaurants, yet there has never been any collaborative working to see whether people agree to this and how it would affect the operation of the market.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. N14 has been amended to clarify the evening and night-time economy for the whole of the town centre. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-131	Friends of Queens Market	Reg18-E-131/017	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			<p>We believe the Council is in principle against the Queen's Market car parks. The Council have never listened to us about how car use supports the market: people in cars are able to buy in bulk, often to feed often large families and drive to the market less often. The overall benefit of being able to buy affordable healthy food should be weighed against car emissions. There are also plenty of other ways that the market could offset the emissions and benefit the environment, such as food composting. Many people, especially older people, have been put off using the car park because of its app-centred payment method which doesn't allow cash; others have found the car park charges too high - this has resulted in less use of the car park, affecting the Market's trade, which has lead the Council to claim that parking can be reduced or replaced. This should be re-thought.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. The approach to parking as part of any development at Queen's Market will be guided by the car parking requirements in the Local Plan.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/006	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Change] Redo Queen's Market redevelopment	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/010	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Add] Building flats on top of Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			9			[Add] Green Street: more bus stops near Tesco	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/018	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Add] Toilets and washing facilities around Green Street - not portaloos but attended in and around Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. Policy HS2 encourages major developments in town and local centres to incorporate new publically accessible toilets.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/048	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Keep] Lift for disabled access in car park	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. Any new development would have to ensure compliance with accessibility requirements.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/049	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			[Keep] 4.a - retain the character, architecture and diversity	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/050	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						Green Street library should be in a bigger place	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/051	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] cycle parking	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for cycle parking are set out in the Local Plan transport policies.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/052	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Change] Too much pressure is proposed on Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come

<b>Comment Response</b>	forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/053	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Change] The pop-up idea on Queen's Market would remove the space for kid's playing area	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/054	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			[Add] Toilet facilities	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											improvements to the market facilities, including toilets.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/055	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			8			[Add] Upton Park station needs lift for disabled	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already supports improving step-free access at this station.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Create more eyes on neighbourhood	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the design and high street policies which support good design through active frontages.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/057	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Add] Queen's Market to become more like mall	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/058	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Enhancing relationship teenager/schools with council	The Local Plan addresses this topic by requiring developers to engage with the local community during any masterplanning and through the Local Plan consultation strategy, which has also ensured we have engaged with young people in the borough. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our Youth Empowerment Service may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/children-families">https://www.newham.gov.uk/children-families</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/059	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Kids don't have clubs/East Ham	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities SI1, SI2 and SI3 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of activity. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks and Leisure department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity</a>

Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Provide more facilities for kids/arts	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centre and to allow greater flexibility with regard to the location of small scale social infrastructure (smaller than 1,000 sqm GIA), as set out in Policy SI2. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul>
--------------	-----------------------	------------------	----------------	------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/062	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Police presence	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/063	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Support for mental health for community	The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/064	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4			[Keep] Underestimate what Green Street is - famous for shopping. If you went to Scotland people would know Green Street, coach from Holland came to visit. Need to preserve the history, needs modernising.	Support noted. The neighbourhood policy recognises the importance of Green Street and its retail offer for both Newham and a wider area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/065	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Keep] Library	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. There are no proposals to remove the library.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/066	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] Pedestrianise unused spaces to create green spaces for social development	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and other modal filters in neighbourhoods across the borough and improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning policy can influence. Our colleagues in Highways may be able help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/068	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Design principles			[Change] Women feel very unsafe in the evenings. Groups hanging around. Would like some gates, lighting.	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. The Local Plan also addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security

<b>Comment Response</b>	measures (see Polices D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1).
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/069	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] Landlords should be more responsible of the aesthetics and repair of their properties	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan D1 design standards and H11 housing design quality which set standards for new development. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the housing licensing department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/renting-privately-%E2%80%93-tenancy-1/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/renting-privately-%E2%80%93-tenancy-1/2</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/070	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] Too many flats rising in the area	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as developments are required to optimise sites and deliver sufficient density to help meet Newham's significant housing target. Flats play a role in this and appropriate densities and typologies on sites will be determined through the application of the design principles in the neighbourhood policies as well as the design policies and guidance in the Characterisation Study.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] Needs to be pedestrainised, family-friendly - close off roads by mini roundabout	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and other modal filters in neighbourhoods across the borough and improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning policy can influence. Our colleagues in Highways may be able help:

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											<a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/072	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4			[Change] Needs to be a high street	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Green Street continues to be designated as a town centre and the neighbourhood policy sets out how its unique character and specialised shopping function will be supported. The neighbourhood policy works alongside the High Street policies which provide further details on the mix of uses in the town centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/073	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			12			[Add] With all the housing they need to a park for children	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure and open spaces has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The neighbourhood policy has also been updated to include improvements to sports facilities based on the finalised Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Leisure Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/074	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Change] Queen's Market - not modern, smells, no lighting. Not everyone wants to go to Stratford. Cleaner, needs to be safe, modernised.	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.d			[Change] Too many cheap fast food places affecting children's and adults health	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/076	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] Change commercialisation place to local livelihood place	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. The Green Street neighbourhood will continue to have a mix of uses and the high street policies alongside the neighbourhood policy will continue to protect retail that meets the needs of the local community as well as those who travel to shop in the town centre.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			10			[Keep] Traffic - where does it go?	<p>A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted.</p> <p>More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page:  <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/078	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			8			[Keep] Upton Park tube station good for step free	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Development principles			Green space is needed	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as due to the size constraint, this site cannot incorporate green space. However, the policy has now changed to reflect requirements in the Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy where Local Area of Play will be delivered in form of playable public realm. Please see new wording in site allocation N14.SA1.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] Concern about the impact on older people - in relation to traffic management and parking. Sustainable transport is often only accessible for younger people.	Comment noted. Traffic management may mean that residents have to take a slightly different route to get from the main road to their property. All properties remain accessible by car. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a> . Transport for London and Newham are working to ensure that the public transport network is accessible to all, increasing the quantity of accessible stations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] Pedestrianising certain areas seems counter productive, when there is a need for bus routes to improve access	The neighbourhood policy does not contain any proposals to pedestrianise Green Street. It sets out measures to improve conditions for walking, cycling and public transport.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/082	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] Other parking needs outside of blue badges	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Change] Seating areas encourage exposure to pollution	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the exact location of any seating would be considered during the design process and the Local Plan includes policies to improve air quality in the borough.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] Have to encourage pedestrian access	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and other modal filters in neighbourhoods across the borough and improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning policy can influence. Our colleagues in Highways may be able help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/085	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] Have to develop community projects	The Local Plan address this topic by requiring masterplans for sites to be co-produced with the local community. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. The People Powered Places team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/council/people-powered-places">https://www.newham.gov.uk/council/people-powered-places</a> . The priorities developed through this process have also been considered as part of the preparation of the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/086	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Change] Cycling lanes a seem under-used. Are there more ways we can encourage cycling? The infrastructure is important	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N11.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/087	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Add] Seating area outside Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Add: a type of neighbourhood ecology that looks at 1.sustainability performance - that has a resource strategy both in terms of construction material and in terms of use of resources that a neighbourhood requires for its operations	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the concept of sustainable development is embedded throughout the Local Plan, including the neighbourhood policies, in order for it to be conformity with national planning policy. The Local Plan is also subject to an Integrated Impact Assessment which assesses how the Local Plan may impact social, economic and environmental factors in Newham and how any negative impacts may be mitigated.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/089	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] I would add a neighbourhood-based strategy that takes into account planetary issues that has a direct connection with everyday concerns such as affordability	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the concept of sustainable development is embedded throughout the Local Plan, including the neighbourhood policies, in order for it to be conformity with national planning policy. The Local Plan is also subject to an Integrated Impact Assessment which assesses how the Local Plan may impact social, economic and environmental factors in Newham and how any negative impacts may be mitigated.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			1			[Add] Add to 1: supporting new development that will establish district heating i.e air source and/or ground source heat pump in tackling the cost of living	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as energy sources is addressed in the Climate Emergency policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/091	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			2			[Add] Add to 2: neighbourhoods are also about atmosphere, locality, quality of place there for new development are to be more resilient and all-round more pleasant place to live	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as these factors, amongst others, have been considered as part of the preparation of the Local Plan and the drafting of the neighbourhood policy and vision to improve the borough during the lifetime of the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/092	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Add] Add to 11: to have a careful design of public space that would facilitate the connection of the neighbourhood's network of green and blue spaces and create a connection	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy works alongside design policies and this is addressed in Local Plan policy D2 which sets requirements for public realm.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/093	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Have a new approach to planning that also looks at how people make a living and looks at movement and change, both of materials and natural flows of people and their values	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider The Local Plan is supported by a range of evidence base documents, including the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, Employment Land Review and the Sustainable Transport Strategy. These documents consider the flow of people, the economic makeup of the borough as well as transport movement in the borough. This evidence has informed the approach in the Local Plan in both the neighbourhood policies as well as other policies in the housing, transport and employment policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/094	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			[Add] Add to 4a - improvement to public realm to consider the relationship of the station with the market - currently entrance/exit to the station is on green str	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy already requires better wayfinding between the market and the station.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/095	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			9			[Add] Add - supporting bus priority and consider non-car days from Barking Road to Plashet Grove once a week as a trial/pilot test - this could become an event day	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting bus prioritisation measures in some parts of the neighbourhood. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning can influence.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/096	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Add - to establish a neighbourhood-based strategy that takes into account planetary issues that has a direct connection with everyday concerns, such as affordability, moisture and draught, security and the well being of children	The spatial strategy is based on the principle of a network of 15 minute neighbourhoods and must contain a range of issues and the needs of stakeholders. The Climate Emergency chapter contains a range of policies to address some of these topics and the Local Plan is also subject to an Integrated Impact Assessment which assesses the Local Plan to check how it, and possible alternative options, may impact social, economic and environmental factors within Newham.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/097	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] sustainability performance	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the performance of buildings is addressed in the Climate Emergency policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/098	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] conditions of sociability - introduce and/or improve socio-physical features that creates the conditions for sociability and conviviality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting community facilities and supporting facilities and spaces that give people the opportunity to meet. See Local Plan policy D2 in particular.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/099	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] affordability and inclusiveness - crack dow on gentrified development that will drive local residents out of their successful neighbourhood and ensure that private developments has inclusiveness at their heart - which includes affordable rents that are long term -review BTR developments strategy to rental rates	Comment noted. Gentrification is a process where an increase in high income residents to an area changes its character, displacing existing residents and businesses due to increasing rents and house prices. Even where the previous population may not be displaced, the changes in population and character, businesses and spaces can make long term residents feel unwelcome or priced out of participating in community spaces and activities. To address this phenomenon the Local Plan includes policies to deliver affordable housing across the borough; to increase the number of affordable retail units in new town centres (so independent and local business can afford to open in them); creating greater flexibility on where smaller community facilities can be located, so they are in areas where it may be cheaper to rent or purchase space and located more evenly across the borough; to require developments delivering space for businesses to sign up to the Community Wealth Building pledges and provide priority access to jobs and fund training for local residents; to ensure new community facilities are accessible to all residents and are designed to meet the needs of the local community. The Plan also requires that all significant developments are masterplanned alongside the existing community - so that the community are

<b>Comment Response</b>	central to shaping the borough as it changes.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/100	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] adaptability - take into account how this neighbourhood can adapt to cater for new demands, new insights, new ideals	National policy requires Local Plans to be deliverable over the plan period and policies should be flexible enough to accommodate needs not anticipated in the plan and to enable a rapid response to changes in economic circumstances. The Local Plan has been drafted to meet these requirements and to respond to evidence base which looks at needs up to 2038.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/101	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Create a type of neighbourhood ecology that looks at [comment not finished]	Unfortunately it was not clear what change or addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/102	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Change] Keep the car parks but make them greener - more trees/shubbery	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/103	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Keep] Queen's Market - elevate and improve - modernise the market (including the public realm around the site]	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/104	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Infrastructure requirements			[Add] Alternative sources of heating (ground source) - to help with cost of living and rising fuel prices	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as energy sources

<b>Comment Response</b>		is addressed in the Climate Emergency policies.
<b>Comment</b>		
<b>Implementation</b>		
<b>Justification</b>		
<b>Clause</b>		
<b>Introduction</b>		
<b>Site allocation</b>		
<b>Policy</b>		
<b>Chapter</b>		
<b>Comment Reference</b>		
<b>Representor</b>		
<b>Representation Reference</b>		

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/105	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					[Add] Consultation with traders of Queen's Market, young people, schools around Green Street - so that it serves the whole community	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable and we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner to be able to demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well supports the uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. Our colleagues in Regeneration are able to provide information on the Strategy and the engagement taking place:

<b>Comment Response</b>	<a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/regeneration-project-green-street/3">https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/regeneration-project-green-street/3</a>
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/106	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Add] Community wealth - community, schools, markets - learn and try new things together	Comment noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/107	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			A farmers market/pop-up market with local produce - would encourage local residents to attend	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. The Local Plan cannot deliver this change but we

<b>Comment Response</b>	have passed your comments to the regeneration team.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/108	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Add] School workshops - how the food is obtained how it grows, the agricultural journey	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. The Local Plan cannot deliver this change but we have passed your comments to the regeneration team.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/109	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			[Add] Farmers market alongside normal market - similar to Walthamstow	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. The Local Plan cannot deliver this change but we have passed your comments to the regeneration team.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/110	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex					[Keep] As long as community engagement alongside the development	Comment noted. Proposal for new and re-provided community facilities is expected to conduct early consultation with the users of the space and apply co-design approach according to Local Plan Policy SI2 in the Local Plan.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/111	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Infrastructure requirements			[Keep] Will there be further development of the infrastructure to meet the needs of the additional residents to the site Have looked at expansion of services and modernisation	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Infrastructure Requirement of site allocation N14.SA1. Development should re-provide a health centre designed to meet NHS needs and standards and meet the requirements of Local Plan Policy SI2.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/112	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Infrastructure requirements			Clarification: the quality of the building will be improved not expanded	This policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as site allocation N14.SA1 is intended for the redevelopment of the health complex and reconfigure its layout to co-locate with residential development, which aims at improving the quality of the existing building as well as to meet the housing need in the borough.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/113	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Design principles			Stepped density is good - appropriate scale	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/121	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street		Neighbourhood profile				Parks - lights in Plashet Park - it is open at night but no lights. Good access.	Comment noted. The Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024) has assessed the quality of Newham's Parks. The accompanying Action Plan to the Strategy seeks to deliver improvements to the borough's existing parks. Of the 39 parks assessed for quality, only one was assessed as being of 'Excellent' standard (the QEII Olympic Park). 16 sites were assessed as being of 'Good' quality and 19 were assessed as being of 'Fair' condition'. The Strategy was devised through consultation with residents and through working across Newham's departments with responsibility for green and water space and the health and wellbeing of our communities. This means it is far reaching and as a consequence some of the actions in the Strategy extend beyond the scope of planning and will be taken forward by other departments in the council, partners and community groups. The Strategy is published alongside the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/122	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			8			[Keep] Upton Park Station step-free access	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/123	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Keep] Widen the streets/pavement with enforcement	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/124	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Keep] Cycle lanes segregated	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N11.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/125	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.d			[Keep] Restriction on betting shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			7			[Keep] Affordable workspaces	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/127	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.c			[Keep] Keep night-time economy but no to increase in public houses (pubs)	Support noted. The type of uses supported as part of the evening and night-time economy will be managed through Local Plan HS5.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/128	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.b			[Keep] Extend operating hours for Queen's Market	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting improvements to facilities and uses at Queen's Market. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our markets team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets/3">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets/3</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/129	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Keep] Keep number 5	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			12			[Keep] Improve green infrastructure	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure and open spaces has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The neighbourhood policy has also been updated to include improvements to sports facilities based on the finalised Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Leisure Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			12			[Keep] Keep SuDS	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure and open spaces has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. SuDS are addressed in the design principles of site allocations as well as the flood risk policies. Please see the new wording in N14.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			13			[Keep] Retain number 13	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/133	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			15			[Keep] Retain number 15	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Keep] Neighbourhood hub	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] Make Green Street one way	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and other modal filters in neighbourhoods across the borough. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning policy can influence. Our colleagues in Highways may be able help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets</a>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/136	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street		4.c				[Change] No more supermarkets but support local retailers (shops)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan can only manage the use such as retail or shop but cannot control the type of business that operate the use. The need for supermarkets is informed by the evidence in the Retail and Leisure Study to ensure residents can meet their shopping needs.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/137	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street		4.d				[Add] Fast food restrictions	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.d			[Add] Promote healthy options retail	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan policy HS6 which aims to create healthy food environments on our High Streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct specific types of businesses to locate in an area.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/139	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street				5		[Add] Enhance lighting in Green Street	The Council has recently completed a programme of replacing street lighting with more energy efficient and longer lasting LEDs. You can report any issues with street lighting – such as lights not working, or dark areas – on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2</a>  We will inspect the problem within two working days and carry out any repairs that are our responsibility within 28 days.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/140	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			[Add] 3. More toilets in Green Street (maintenance fund)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks improvements to the market facilities, including toilets.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/141	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street				15		[Add] Point 15 - add neighbourhood hub	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this part of the policy addresses the requirements for health facilities. Other parts of the policy address different types of community facilities.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/142	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street		Neighbourhood boundary				Boundaries does not correlate to how people uses the area and 15 minute neighbourhood	These neighbourhoods have been identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be sub-divided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character such as the look and feel of an area and their function such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were then shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.d			[Keep] No hot food takeaway - betting shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/144	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			15			[Keep] Health centre on Queen's Market site	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/145	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			Vision			[Keep] Generally in support of vision and policies	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/146	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			[Keep] Toilets in market good	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/147	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			10			[Change] Road improvements needed - need to understand wider impact across neighbourhoods	Consideration of an LTN in the borough involves extensive data collection and consideration of feedback from local residents and businesses. The Council also consults with statutory stakeholders (London Ambulance Service, Met Police, London Fire Brigade and others). If the Council decides to proceed with an experimental scheme, we install temporary modal filters. During this stage, we gather traffic and air quality data to measure the impact of the low traffic neighbourhood. We also collect feedback to help us decide whether or not to make the scheme permanent. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/148	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Change] Widen pavements - how?	This would be delivered through any public realm projects in the town centre or as part of any development sites that come forward. Further detail is provided in Local Plan Policy D2.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/149	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			8			[Change] Need to improve public transport	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N11.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/150	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Change] How do you do all policies? Conflict	The neighbourhood vision sets out how the neighbourhood will develop and change over the plan period and how this will be achieved through the application of the neighbourhood policy. Planning applications will be assessed against the relevant neighbourhood policy as well as relevant borough-wide Local Plan projects. Planning applications are assessed on a case by case basis and should be determined in accordance with the development plan (the Local Plan) unless material planning considerations indicate otherwise.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/151	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			12			[Change] Include more green spaces within Green Street neighbourhood boundary	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure and open spaces has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The neighbourhood policy has also been updated to include improvements to sports facilities based on the finalised Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Leisure Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/152	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			12			[Add] Green space (more)	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure and open spaces has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The neighbourhood policy has also been updated to include improvements to sports facilities based on the finalised Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Leisure Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in N14.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/153	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Add] Street lighting	Support noted.
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/154	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Safety (enforcement, CCTV), more police/enforcement officer	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as we do not have the evidence to demonstrate there is a need for new police stations and the Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services. However, we work with the police to understand their Estates Strategy and future requirements as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/155	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Add] Cleanliness/more bins	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p>
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/156	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			[Add] Limiting on street trading - encroachment on footway	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through its market policy by ensuring proposals for new markets don't have significant adverse amenity and transport impacts. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our markets team may be able to help:</p> <p><a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets/3">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/newham-markets/3</a></p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ag-001	Green Street Assembly	Reg18-Ag-001/157	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add] Parking freedom - more parking	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/001	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						I am writing to you on behalf of the Green Street Traders Association which represents the majority of the small businesses on Green Street. The reason I am writing to you today as I have been informed about a meeting last week at the Katherine Road Community Centre . I and the majority of our members were unaware of the meeting so do not know if it was a Community assembly or a consultation on the new Local Draft Plan being formatted by the council. Could you please let me know the reason and agenda for the meeting.	Comment noted. A separate response has been provided to the Green Street Traders Association that explained the purpose of the assembly.
Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/002	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						As it is I would have been unable to attend the meeting as [redacted personal information]. So If there were any minutes made of the meeting it would be appreciated if you could provide them to me.	The slides presented at the meeting have previously been shared with the Green Street Traders Association. The comments made by residents attending the assembly are presented in this consultation report along with the council's response on how the comments have influenced changes to the Local Plan policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/003	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						<p>I have also been informed from a couple of different sources that during the meeting there was a discussion about Pedestrianising Green Street. Can you please confirm whether this is true and who led or was involved in the discussion? If this is indeed the case I would like it put on record that I and the members of the Green Street Traders Association who are key stakeholders on Green Street would strongly reject such a proposal on our section of Green Street. We feel it would undermine our years of investment and hard work and destroy the local economy. It would also demonstrate a total lack of understanding of how Green Street functions as a market and be taken as an attack on our community. Several of our members are already suspicious as to the agenda of the council due to the lack of investment on Green Street despite our lobbying and highlighting of the problems we face on a day to day basis, so any such policy would likely lead to protests and demonstrations by local businesses and residents alike.</p>	<p>Comment noted. A separate response has been provided to the Green Street Traders Association which explained the context to the discussions around Green Street, where one table told us how they would like to see Green Street pedestrianised to improve the high street, make it more family friendly and create green spaces. This is not a proposal from the Council and was just one idea that attendees told us they would like to see for the Green Street and East Ham South neighbourhoods. It has not been taken forward in the Local Plan. Other tables talked about a range of issues but some examples included wanting more community facilities, improving safety and restricting the number of fast food outlets.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/004	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4			I am well aware that the council has Government guidelines to adhere to and that one of these is to create 15 minute neighbourhoods around local High streets. I would however point out that not one size fits all and in Green Street should not be viewed as a local High Street. It is in fact a visitor destination that specialises in catering for people from the Asian sub continent and its customer base come from all over London, the surrounding counties, UK wide and even Europe. We therefore request that Green Street be recognised as unique in its offering and be supported in a suitable manner in the new Local Plan.	Comment noted. The neighbourhood profile and the neighbourhood policy and vision recognise the important role Green Street plays in serving its local community as well as those who travel to the area for shopping and services.

Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/005	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						Therefore we would like it put on record that the following are our recommendations and we hope that they be considered and implemented into the New Local Plan for Green Street. Based on the results of our Traders survey as well as our day to day experience of Green Street and feedback from other businesses and customers we have highlighted 3 major areas that require urgent action. 1. Car parking and traffic management. In order to alleviate car traffic in the side roads which causes pollution and the inconvenience to local residents by people parking in their bays we feel Green Street needs more car parking spaces for businesses, their customers and local visitors which needs to be both convenient and affordable. Our suggestion for a long-term solution for these problems is to build a destination multi storey car park on the existing Car park on Shaftesbury Road which would include electric car charging points and a bike park. If the council does not have the funds to do so we know of a couple of companies who would be willing to buy or lease the site from the council to redevelop it subject to planning being granted in principle. Please let us know if you would like to have further information on these proposals.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan aims to reduce car usage in the borough and increase opportunities for walking, cycling and using public transport. These priorities are included in the neighbourhood policy and is supported by the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
-------------	----------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/006	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			5			<p>[Based on the results of our Traders survey as well as our day to day experience of Green Street and feedback from other businesses and customers we have highlighted 3 major areas that require urgent action] 2. Public facilities. This does not mean just public toilets which would be great to have but also better lighting , pavements that get repaired regularly , festival lights, public maps and signage and finally a better street environment. We suggest that the council install new lighting, more litter bins on each block and renew the paving as soon as possible.</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. You can report a wide range of issues with pavements – including uneven or broken paving slabs, issues with tree pits, damaged utility or drain covers, or issues with street lighting – on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/new-roads-pavements/2</a> We will inspect the problem within two working days and carry out any repairs that are our responsibility within 28 days. We have provided the highways team with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-150	Green Street Traders Association	Reg18-E-150/007	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Based on the results of our Traders survey as well as our day to day experience of Green Street and feedback from other businesses and customers we have highlighted 3 major areas that require urgent action] 3. Better security. There have been several incidents of crime on or around the street this year especially by gangs of pickpockets targeting Green Street. We cannot afford to ignore this as if Green Street gets a reputation as a dangerous place we will lose even more custom. We have had several cctv cameras removed by the council and hardly see a police on the road anymore despite having one their main stations on the Street. We suggest reinstatement of cctv cameras at key junctions on the street and more visible Community police officers to tackle the pickpockets.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm, safe walking and cycling routes. Design policies address the use of CCTV. The Local Plan cannot deliver particular types of police services.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-012	Lidl	Reg18-E-012/010c	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.c			[It is noted that there are no sites allocated for a foodstore of a size large enough for a discount foodstore]...Green Street (N14)...allocates a between 500 and 800 sqm GIA for a supermarket – which is below the minimum requirements for a discount foodstore (1400-2500 sqm). In order to encourage local residents to shop locally and increase competition within these communities, the allocated site's should be increased in allocated floorspace to ensure the adequate provision of a foodstore which can be used by the local community whilst providing jobs and boosting the local economy.	This policy approach has now changed, to allow for more flexibility in the scale of foodstore provision that can be located in Local Centres, recognising the variety of business models for small and medium convenience store operators. Please see the new wording in policy HS1 and N14.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/018	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						Please remember that although 'Green Street' things should not revolve around this one street - on Katherine Road shops serve the local community as opposed to being a 'shopping destination'. Planning decisions have been having terrible effects upon our Katherine Road community area	This policy approach has now changed to add further detail about the uses that will be supported in the new local centre designations on Katherine Road. Please see the new wording in Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/019	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						Too many incorrectly licenced Houses of Multiple Occupation causing residents problems with unsocial behaviour	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its policy for new Housing in Multiple Occupation. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in property licensing may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/rented-property-licensing">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/rented-property-licensing</a>
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/020	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						Sort out the unlicenced/incorrect licenced Houses of Multiple Occupation	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its policy for new Housing in Multiple Occupation. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in property licensing may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/rented-property-licensing">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/rented-property-licensing</a>
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street		Neighbourhood boundary				Residents Associations fulfill an arduous and time consuming role. We have Monega Area Residents Association which crosses between two suggested boundaries. We are also interested in a neighbourhood plan.	Comment noted. Further information about neighbourhood planning can be found on the Council's website - <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/neighbourhood-planning/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/neighbourhood-planning/2</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/091	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						More bins in Green Street	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/110	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Design principles			Queen's Market needs a facelift - uplift the façade, cross-ventilation and rubbish bins	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/111	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			Protect Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/113	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Design principles			Need better entrance to Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/115	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			Queen's Market - more space for performances in the market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/116	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Development principles			Queen's Market - music shops in Queen's Market	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. A change in the type of shops may not require planning permission but if they do, policies in the high streets chapter of the plan would apply.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/117	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Design principles			Queen's Market - develop car park and garages but need ventilation and right orientation for sunshine	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14. Ensuring high quality design with sufficient sunlight and ventilation is a requirement of policies D1 and H11.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ap-001	Plaistow Assembly	Reg18-Ap-001/133	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			8			[Keep] Make Upon Park accessible	Support noted.
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/017	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			Queens Market: On p 477, 4a talks of protecting & enhancing it, with improved toilets & other facilities. Which is great,	Support noted.
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/018	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			why has the council repeatedly resisted & delayed doing just such things, running it down, when the traders have been crying out for them?	There is currently on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the Queen's Market site. Recent improvements have been made to the public realm in the area. Find out more: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/queens-market-strategic-site">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/queens-market-strategic-site</a> .

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-090	Resident	Reg18-E-090/019	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			<p>The trouble is, mostly over the last couple of decades the council has seemed to be waging a war of attrition against the market, jeopardizing their viability &amp; demoralising them with destructive 'regeneration' plans to make it more up-market.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-011	Resident	Reg18-T-011/027	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			10			[Add to it] I would like to see a 20mph speed limit enforced on Green Street.	The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out. We have provided the highways team with your comments.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/086	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/087	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and please clean up	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/088	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Design principles		[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	------------------	--	--	-------------------	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-098	Resident	Reg18-T-098/025	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			12			[Add to it] Area needs major improvement; currently unsafe, unattractive and lacking greenery; current improvement plans are a good start, but could go further	This policy approach has now changed due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to green infrastructure and open spaces has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy. The neighbourhood policy has also been updated to include improvements to sports facilities based on the finalised Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Leisure Needs Assessment. Please see the new wording in N14.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-098	Resident	Reg18-T-098/026	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					[Add to it] Allocate further funding than currently plans; requires major improvement and uplift	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/042	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/043	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					[Keep it]	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-110	Resident	Reg18-T-110/008	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.]Green Street needs a total overhaul. It should be made one way, and (at least) semi-pedestrianised like East Ham High Street. [Originally submitted on the Vision and Objectives]	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and other modal filters in neighbourhoods across the borough and improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning policy can influence. Our colleagues in Highways may be able help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets</a>
Reg18-T-110	Resident	Reg18-T-110/009	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			9			[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.]Priority should be given to buses and cycles. [Referring to Green Street] [Originally submitted on the Vision and Objectives]	Comment noted. This is addressed through the transport clauses in the neighbourhood policy which will improve conditions for walking, cycling and public transport, including bus prioritisation.
Reg18-T-110	Resident	Reg18-T-110/010	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4			[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.]Shoppers should be encouraged to use public transport to visit. [Referring to Green Street] [Originally submitted on the Vision and Objectives]	The Local Plan addresses this topic by improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport as well as supporting improvements to public transport, including step-free access at Plaistow Station.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-110	Resident	Reg18-T-110/011	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			11			[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.]The parking options needs review and improvement including introducing one way systems to control flows (particularly the parking lot on Shaftesbury Road). [Referring to Green Street] [Referring to Green Street] [Originally submitted on the Vision and Objectives]	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting Low Traffic Neighbourhoods and other modal filters in neighbourhoods across the borough and improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is outside of the scope of what planning policy can influence. Our colleagues in Highways may be able help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-110	Resident	Reg18-T-110/012	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street			4.a			<p>[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.]Any planned developments at Queens Park need to ensure that existing traders are protected and not priced out. [Referring to Green Street] [Referring to Green Street] [Originally submitted on the Vision and Objectives]</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-110	Resident	Reg18-T-110/013	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			4			[Please provide any comments and feedback on *Section 2: Vision and Objectives*.]The Green Street area has a specific and vibrant culture. The council should be working to protect, promote and enable this. We should have festivals for Diwali and Eid including fireworks in the park, mehndi stalls, musical events, to capitalise on the shopping trade from out of town and provide a space for the cultural buzz that already exists but has no proper support or facilitation. [Originally submitted on the Vision and Objectives]	Comment noted. The neighbourhood profile and neighbourhood vision recognise the importance of Green Street for both local residents and the wider community. The policy protects the existing retail and supports improvements to the uses and facilities at Queen's Market as well as improvement's to the area's public realm.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/054	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street						[Add to it]	Unfortunately, it was not clear what addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No additions have been made.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/055	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					[Keep it]	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/027	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street						[Keep it]	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-063	Student	Reg18-T-063/028	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA1 Queen's Market					[Keep it]	This policy approach has now changed due to the on-going work the Council is undertaking with the local community as part of the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy. The Strategy is looking at what uses and what type of development may take place across the site allocation and these options were included in the Draft Local Plan. However, as this work has not yet concluded at the time the submission draft is being finalised, the site allocation has been removed from the Local Plan. This is because we must be able to demonstrate that a site is suitable, available and achievable and is therefore deliverable. To demonstrate this to a Planning Inspector at the Local Plan Examination, we require certainty on which option is being progressed by the Council as the landowner. The removal of the site allocation does not prevent the options being looked at from coming forward and policies in the Local Plan continue to protect the market, support improvements to the facilities at the market and its public realm as well as support a range of uses that may come forward on the site. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N14.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/110	Neighborhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: The scale of development/s in this catchment is likely to require upgrades of the water supply network infrastructure.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N14.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
					health complex						
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/111	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing phasing plan. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The housing phasing plan should determine what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s in this catchment. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N14.SA1.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/112	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N14.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
					health complex						
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/113	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a> .	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N14.SA1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/168	Neighbourhoods	N14 Green Street			8			We support the vision of Green Street to include provision of step-free access at Upton Park station. This would need to be wholly funded through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is currently not able to commit funding.	Support noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Sustainable Transport Strategy did not identify this as a necessary requirement for development to be optimised. Therefore, at the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Transport improvements and projects will be considered at application stage and on an application specific basis, weighing up viability constraints and other site specific requirements. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.
Reg18-E-148	City of London	Reg18-E-148/033	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			Vision			We support the strategic vision outlined in Draft Policy N15 (Forest Gate) which seeks to improve the neighbourhood's network of green spaces and community facilities.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-148	City of London	Reg18-E-148/034	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			1			We note that the Draft Policy supports 'development that enhances and responds to the local character and is sympathetic to the predominant historical terraced housing typology through similar scale and typologies. Moderate uplifts in density will be supported where it enhances the local character.' As per our comments under Draft Policy D3, we consider that the redevelopment of this previously developed land for new residential and/or employment uses with increased density, would meet an identified need within the Borough and would make best use of the Site.	This policy approach has now changed due to the completion of the Green and Water Infrastructure Strategy (2024), which has informed our approach to this site. This extensive piece of evidence base work has looked at all of the borough's green and water spaces and sought to regularise our approach to green space designation. As such, the nursery site, in recognition of its Historic Park Status and last lawful use as a plant nursery, has been designated as a community growing space. Please see the amendment to the Proposals Map which now designates the West Ham Park as community growing space.
Reg18-E-148	City of London	Reg18-E-148/035	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			We support the requirement under Draft Policy N15 to maximise the provision of green infrastructure, open space and opportunities to increase biodiversity within Forest Gate. As noted above, City of London is currently exploring opportunities to open-up part of the Site as new green space, which in effect would form part of West Ham Park.	This policy approach has now changed due to a review of the neighbourhood boundary to include the whole of West Ham Park in one neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for West Ham.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/020	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			Vision			"Add maintain the conservation area and encourage development of low rise, high quality development that replicates and compliments the brick structures along the high streets and residential streets. New developments should be sympathetic to the surrounding area and should not seek to be overly dense and out of step with new developments already designed and built.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this addressed through the neighbourhood policies. However, further detail has been added to how development should enhance the character of the neighbourhood and conserve and enhance the neighbourhood's heritage assets.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/021	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			Vision			There should be an encouragement to develop homes which are sustainable, use materials that were sourced as locally as possible, promote urban greening and are exemplary in design and quality.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary these issues are addressed through borough-wide principles covering green spaces, design and the climate emergency. The neighbourhood policies include neighbourhood-specific detail, including design principles and policies to increase the amount of green infrastructure in the neighbourhood.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/043	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre - 0.19 hectares - SPZ 2 - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/044	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Site profile			Environment Agency's constraints for site allocations identified in the Newham draft Local Plan N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West - 1.98 hectares - SPZ 1 - Other constraints include Aquifer	This policy approach has changed. Additional detail regarding the site's environmental and flood risk constraints have been added to the site allocation.
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206f	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Site profile			The following four site allocations fall within Source Protection Zone 1 (SPZ1): N8.SA1, N8.SA2, N8.SA5 and N15.SA2.	This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan Policy CE1. Please see the new wording in the site profile of site allocation N15.SA2 and Local Plan Policy CE1.

Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/206g	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Design principles		<p>SPZs and the associated risk of development in these areas need to be identified and added into the 'Natural environment designations' columns for these sites. Areas in SPZ1 are the catchment areas for sources of potable, high quality water supplies usable for human consumption. As such, sites within SPZ1 are particularly sensitive with respect to groundwater. Additional constraints will be placed on development proposals in these areas. With respect to the Environment Agency's Approach to Groundwater Protection, the following position statements would apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• D1-General principles-all storage facilities</li> <li>• D2-Underground Storage (and associated pipework)</li> <li>• D3-Subwater table storage</li> <li>• G2- Sewage Effluent Discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G4- Trade effluent and other discharges within SPZ1</li> <li>• G8-Sewage pipework</li> <li>• G13- Sustainable Drainage systems</li> <li>• N7- Hydrogeological risk assessment</li> <li>• N8-Physical disturbance of aquifers in SPZ1</li> </ul> <p>Please note, we would recommend planning conditions for any piled foundation proposals for allocated sites within SPZ1. The use of piled foundations would require a robust supporting Foundation Works Risk Assessment demonstrating that they are appropriate at the particular location and would not result in a deterioration of groundwater quality.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to referencing source protection zones in the site profile of relevant sites and adding requirements to protect source protection zones to Local Plan Policy CE1. Please see the new wording in site profile relevant site allocations and Local Plan Policy CE1.</p>
-------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------	-----------------	------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	---

										<p>Without such a risk assessment we would object to the use of piled foundations.</p> <p>For allocated sites in close proximity to potable groundwater abstractions, we would strongly advise that the abstraction licence holder are also consulted with respect to piled foundation proposals.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/001	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West					I felt quite frustrated by the Durning Hall development poster as it looked like we might be able to influence things but we can't	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the future planning application at this site would have to follow the requirements in the site allocation as set out in the Local Plan. Comments will be sought in the consultation during the planning application stage.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Keep] Great transport links!	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as there are no proposals in the Local Plan to reduce transport links in Forest Gate.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			[Keep] Green space	Support noted.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/004	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			[Keep] Protect existing green space	Support noted.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/005	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			1			[Keep] Keeping Victorian architecture where we have it	Support noted.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/006	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			1			[Keep] Victorian terraces - 'patchwork' of houses part of its charm	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			1			[Keep] Protecting existing buildings e.g. pubs including facades. Old Spotted Dog, Manbey Arms, The Old Pharmacy	Support noted. The protection of pubs is addressed through the community facility policy.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			6			[Change] People-friendly pavements	This change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already supports improvements to the conditions for walking and cycling and to improved street furniture. Local Plan Policy D2 provides further guidance on the design of public realm.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/010	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			6			[Change] More cycle parking	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the standards and requirements for cycle parking are set out in the Local Plan transport policies.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			[Add] Green space (we need more)	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already supports the provision of new open space in the neighbourhood and will work alongside the neighbourhood's site allocations' requirements for open space.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			5.c			[Add] Diverse nature of borough - adding more diversity in e.g. local markets - diversity important part of E6	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in its town centre as well as supporting and protecting existing markets. Policy BNF2 also requires masterplans to demonstrate how a site will deliver buildings and public spaces whose use and design reflects and meets the needs of Newham's diverse population. It should be noted that the Local Plan cannot direct specific businesses to locate in an area.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/013	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			[Add] Need more allotments	This policy approach has changed due to updated evidence on the need for community growing spaces in different parts of the borough. Newham has 14 allotments and community growing spaces with a total area of 16.81 hectares. The National Allotment Society recommends the provision of 0.125 hectares per 1,000 residents. The borough currently provides 0.046 hectares per 1,000 residents. Both the current and projected rates of provision in 2038 are below the recommended standards. The Green Infrastructure Strategy evidence has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for community growing spaces on site allocations in the Local Plan. Please see the amended infrastructure requirements for site

<b>Comment Response</b>	allocations, where a need for growing space has been identified.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] Affordable and accessible community space	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	---





<b>Comment Response</b>	
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	Maryland Assembly
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/016	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			11			[Change] LTN on Norwich Road creating more congestion	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	----	--	--	---	--



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/017	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			6			Footpath is used to park the cars near Margery Park Road on Romford Road	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. Parking is not allowed on footways or footpaths, pavements or grass verges – unless specifically exempted. Our parking enforcement officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) to vehicles parked in contravention or parked dangerously. You can report a problem vehicle on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines">https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines</a> . We have provided the parking enforcement team with your comments.

Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/018	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] Community space hub one shop	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/019	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			[Add] More trees	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/020	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] Transform derelict buildings into housing for extended family	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy criteria set within Local Plan policies D1 and D7 are considered effective at addressing the design quality for a range of small scale developments, including extensions, while having due regards to each site's unique context and potential impacts. Each case is considered on its merits, and independent of existing similar builds in the vicinity. Please note a range of flexibilities are also provided to homeowners wishing to expand under permitted development rights rules, which do not require planning permission for certain types of applications. Please see: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] More social housing with for people struggling mental health	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports the provision of specialist housing through Local Plan policy H6.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			13			[Add] More trees	A change to the policy approach has been made due to the finalisation of the Green and Water Infrastructure Study (2024) which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for street trees has been reflected in the neighbourhood policy.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		5.b				[Keep] 5.b limiting fast foods	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Keep] Community space hub - wellbeing, cohesiveness	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/025	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Keep] Planning permission to extend to accommodate families - garages	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy criteria set within Local Plan policies D1 and D7 are considered effective at addressing the design quality for a range of small scale developments, including extensions, while having due regards to each site's unique context and potential impacts. Each case is considered on its merits, and independent of existing similar builds in the vicinity. Please note a range of flexibilities are also provided to homeowners wishing to expand under permitted development rights rules, which do not require planning permission for certain types of applications. Please see: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/planning-permission/2</a>
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/026	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		5.b				[Change] Change to having a percentage of takeaways - limit the takeaways	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Change] Housing developments taking too long	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan has limited influence over when developers decide to bring forward sites for development and how long it takes for them to be built out. Site allocations provide an indicative timeframe of when we expect them to come forward.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			Vision			[Add] More provision for economic growth in the neighbourhood	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is already addressed through the neighbourhood policy clause on the neighbourhood's employment areas. The neighbourhood policy will work alongside policy J1, which sets out where new employment uses should be focussed.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] More surveillance cameras (not all agreed)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as improving safety and feelings of safety is addressed through a range of policies in the Local Plan including design policies, high street policies, green and water spaces policies and through the neighbourhood policies which require improved public realm and safe walking and cycling routes. Design policies address the use of CCTV.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/033	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] More public toilets	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through the Community Facilities policies which protect existing toilets if there was a proposal to redevelop where they are located and requires some types of development to provide new ones.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/034	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] Recycle warehouse - provision for recycling to reuse furniture etc	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.</p> <p>You can book a bulky waste collection for large unwanted items including hard plastics and bulky goods such as furniture, fridges, freezers or TVs.</p> <p>We have also provided them with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/035	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate			6			[Add] 6. Add Norwhich Road	The policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of causes related to the town centre. Please see the new wording in N15. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as the policy clauses relates to public realm improvements in the town centre.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/036	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate			6			[Add] More lighting (not all agreed)	This change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already supports improvements to street lighting as part of improvements to the public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/037	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add] Recycle furniture free of charge - warehouse storage	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.</p> <p>You can book a bulky waste collection for large unwanted items including hard plastics and bulky goods such as furniture, fridges, freezers or TVs.</p> <p>We have also provided them with your comments.</p>
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/072	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		4.72				Woodgrange Road, Upton Lane and Romford Road all cross Forest Gate...but Romford Road is a huge barrier to where people go, where they feel they belong, what facilities they use	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as policy N15 already acknowledges Romford Road as a barrier in the neighbourhood by requiring new or improved crossings on Romford Road to improve connectivity in the neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/074	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Development principles			Housing above Lord Lister must be in majority for public use on waiting list for social housing for mental health patients	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the acceptable housing mix, based on scheme viability, should be determined through a planning application or pre-application on a case-by-case basis. The requirement for supported and specialist housing are set out in Local Plan Policy H6.
Reg18-Af-001	Forest Gate and Maryland Assembly	Reg18-Af-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Development principles			Social housing costs a lot. Are you happy for rates increase?	The Local Plan addresses the topic of social housing through housing policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as the Local Plan do not control on the council tax. For more information, please refer to Council Tax - Newham Council at <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/council-tax">https://www.newham.gov.uk/council-tax</a> .

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		Neighbourhood boundary				Monega Residents Association boundary crosses into Green Street and Forest Gate	Comment noted. The neighbourhood boundaries have been identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be sub-divided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries, planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas, and their character such as the look and feel of an area and their function such as the type of uses in an area. The boundaries were then shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-134	London Borough of Waltham Forest	Reg18-E-134/021	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Neighbourhoods N1 - N16 The London Borough of Waltham Forest are supportive of the approach taken in the Neighbourhoods chapter of the draft Plan and support the implementation of development on each of the identified sites as set out in this chapter. Shared boundaries are shown specifically at] [...] and N15 (Forest Gate), where the London Borough of Waltham Forest would ask to be engaged in any in masterplanning etc. to ensure the consistent delivery of high quality design and cohesive, joined up delivery of 15-minute neighbourhoods across both boroughs.	Support noted. We will continue to engage with Waltham Forest on cross-borough issues as part of on-going Duty to Cooperate and would be consulted on any relevant schemes adjacent to their borough. BFN2 has been amended to include the need for landowners to engage with relevant stakeholders which would include any neighbouring authorities.
Reg18-E-087	Resident	Reg18-E-087/018	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		4.74				Forest Gate ...it states ' <u>Durning Hall which is due to be redeveloped alongside new housing</u> '. This is misleading. The proposal from Ashton Mansfield is not to redevelop Durning Hall alongside new housing but to develop it as housing and shops with limited community facility.	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as further detail about the types of uses required on this site should a new planning application be received is set out in site allocation N15.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-087	Resident	Reg18-E-087/019	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		4.74				In addition the section on Forest Gate states <u>the area has the third highest density of community facilities in the borough</u> . But it does not state what this includes. For example does this include the Woodgrange church and community hall which are currently closed for redevelopment? Does it include the current Durning Hall or the community space with the projected closure of Durning Hall?	This data is from the Community Facility Needs Assessment evidence base. It provides an audit of the borough's existing community facilities and considers how the future growth of Newham's neighbourhoods may influence the need for new services, to make it easier to live well, in better connected communities. The study provides information on the buildings and spaces which provide community meeting places. These include shared space within education facilities, community centres, youth zones, social clubs, libraries, public halls and exhibition halls, public houses, public toilets, places of worship, music venues, cinemas, theatres, galleries, museums (Use class F and Sui generis - 2020).
Reg18-E-087	Resident	Reg18-E-087/022	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						I didn't see any mention of The Spotted Dog in the Forest Gate section. Surprised because of its Heritage Value. I gather a planning permission is in place but I suspect that this will run out before we see work.	The neighbourhood profile provides a description of the features of the neighbourhood but do not list all heritage assets in a neighbourhood. Heritage assets are protected under the relevant clauses in each neighbourhood policy as well as the community facility policies.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-098	Resident	Reg18-E-098/051	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			5			P486 of the DP refers to the “Urban Village” character of Forest Gate. This together with much of the accompanying text can only be taken as a shorthand way of expressing approval and encouragement for the gentrification that the DP promotes in Forest Gate and presumably elsewhere. This is not acceptable.	A wording change has been made to remove reference to a 'urban village' character. Please see the new wording in N15.
Reg18-K-041	Resident	Reg18-K-041/003	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate		Neighbourhood boundary				Why is the top corner of West Ham Park (the old Nursery Site) in Forest Gate and not West Ham where the rest of the park is???? It also looks like it isn't listed as park/open space - it is definitely on the old maps as part of West Ham Park!! Put it back where it belongs and group the ENTIRE PARK AS ONE SPACE. This just looks like you are trying to hide the proposed sale of this valuable corner of the park	This policy approach has now changed due to a review of the neighbourhood boundary to include the whole of West Ham Park in one neighbourhood. Please see the new neighbourhood boundary for West Ham.
Reg18-E-087	Resident	Reg18-E-087/021	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Development principles			I greatly support the redevelopment of the Lord Lister Centre as a new Health Centre with living accommodation – it would be great if that could be affordable housing earmarked for Key Health Professionals	Support on redevelopment is noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the acceptable housing mix, based on scheme viability, should be determined through a planning application or pre-application on a case-by-case basis. The Local Plan address the topic of affordable housing through the housing policy.

Reg18-T-011	Resident	Reg18-T-011/028	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						<p>[Add to it] I would like to see a commitment on improving the amount of community space available. We have lost Durning Hall for the time being and the centre attached to Forest Gate Methodist Church</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---





Reg18-T-018	Resident	Reg18-T-018/015	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add to it] Places for teenagers	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the district centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------------	---





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
					Health Centre						
Reg18-T-057	Resident	Reg18-T-057/060	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West					[Add to it]	Comment noted.

Reg18-T-065	Resident	Reg18-T-065/007	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			11		[Change it] STOP LTNS	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	--	--	----	--	-----------------------	--



Reg18-T-065	Resident	Reg18-T-065/008	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West					[Change it] STOP LTNS	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. The London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area.</p> <p>A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys.</p> <p>LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	--	--	--	--	-----------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/089	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/090	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Design principles			[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/091	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Design principles		[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5).</p> <p>There are also a number of different programs in place to reduce fly tipping on the Borough. Community Safety team work in partnership with Cleansing, Waste and Recycling, Housing, Private Rented Service, Greenspace, Neighbourhoods and Planning to tackle fly tipping and littering. Community Safety Enforcement Officers are authorised to investigate and enforce against all illegal waste dumping. Fixed penalty notices and prosecutions are used to address fly tipping and littering. The Community Safety Enforcement Officers can also, where appropriate, issue Community Protection Warning Notices/Notices and Fixed Penalty Notices to persistent beggars and buskers, or people displaying acts of antisocial behaviour. However, Officers are required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/044	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/045	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-105	Resident	Reg18-T-105/046	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West					[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/056	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate						[Keep it]	Support noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/057	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre					[Keep it]	Comment noted.
Reg18-T-126	Resident	Reg18-T-126/058	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West					[Keep it]	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-As-001	Stratford and West Ham Assembly	Reg18-As-001/165	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			11			[Change] Forest Gate like living in open prison - LTN needs to be reassessed - invades people's lives severely and we now have no police, ambulance or fire brigades in the area. Can't reach own home easily	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as all properties remain accessible by car. Residents may have to take a slightly different route to get from the main road to their property. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a> We have provided the highways team with your comments.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/114	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N14.SA2 Shrewsbury Road health complex		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/115	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/116	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/117	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding wastewater networks in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/118	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Phasing and implementation			Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/119	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre		Infrastructure requirements			Internal comments: These comments are based on foul flows connecting to the public sewer by gravity (not pumped) and no surface water flows being discharged to the public sewer.	Comment noted. All major development will be expected to undertake engagement with Thames Water at pre-application stage to ensure utilities networks and connections can serve the development according to Local Plan Policy W4.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/120	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Infrastructure requirements			Water response: On the information available to date we do not envisage infrastructure concerns regarding water supply network infrastructure in relation to this development/s.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/121	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Phasing and implementation			Water response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to advise of the developments phasing. Please contact Thames Water Development Planning, either by email <a href="mailto:Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk">Devcon.team@thameswater.co.uk</a> tel: 02035779998 or in writing Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Maple Lodge STW, Denham Way, Rickmansworth, Hertfordshire, WD3 9SQ	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as it is being dealt with in Local Plan Policy W4 which requires early engagement with utility providers.
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/122	Neighborhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Infrastructure requirements			Waste response: The scale of development/s is likely to require upgrades to the wastewater network.	This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N15.SA2.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-028	Thames Water	Reg18-E-028/123	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA2 Woodgrange Road West		Phasing and implementation			<p>Waste response: It is recommended that the Developer and the Local Planning Authority liaise with Thames Water at the earliest opportunity to agree a housing and infrastructure phasing plan. The plan should determine the magnitude of spare capacity currently available within the network and what phasing may be required to ensure development does not outpace delivery of essential network upgrades to accommodate future development/s. Failure to liaise with Thames Water will increase the risk of planning conditions being sought at the application stage to control the phasing of development in order to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of development. The developer can request information on network infrastructure by visiting the Thames Water website <a href="https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development">https://developers.thameswater.co.uk/Developing-a-large-site/Planning-your-development</a>.</p>	<p>This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in Phasing and Implementation of site allocation N15.SA2.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/169	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate			12			We welcome support for provision of step-free access at Wanstead Park station, particularly as this offers a short walking route to interchange with the Elizabeth line at Forest Gate. This would need to be wholly funded through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Support noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Sustainable Transport Strategy did not identify this as a necessary requirement for development to be optimised. Therefore, at the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Transport improvements and projects will be considered at application stage and on an application specific basis, weighing up viability constraints and other site specific requirements. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/170	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate						Wanstead Park station, Woodford Road bus stands on the east of N15.SA1 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation or neighbourhood policy. However, policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in T1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/171	Neighbourhoods	N15 Forest Gate	N15.SA1 Lord Lister Health Centre					Wanstead Park station, Woodford Road bus stands on the east of N15.SA1 will need to be protected.	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as bus stands are strategic transport which is protected through Local Plan Policy T1 and this protection does not need to be repeated in the site allocation N15.SA1. However, Local Plan Policy T1 has been amended to make specific reference to bus stands. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy T1 of the Local Plan.
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/022	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			Vision			Improve shop frontage to attract new businesses to the area improve the look and feel of the high-street.	This policy approach has now changed due to a consistent approach to shop fronts across all neighbourhoods and Local Plan policies D6 and HS2. The amendment you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this to be appropriate following this consistency change.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-016	Cllr James Beckles	Reg18-K-016/023	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			Expanding safer cycle lanes and sustainable active travel	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. Further information about the Romford Road LUF scheme can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-012	Lidl	Reg18-E-012/010d	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.a			[It is noted that there are no sites allocated for a foodstore of a size large enough for a discount foodstore.]....Manor Park & Little Ilford (N16) allocates a between 500 and 800 sqm GIA for a supermarket – which is below the minimum requirements for a discount foodstore (1400-2500 sqm). In order to encourage local residents to shop locally and increase competition within these communities, the allocated site's should be increased in allocated floorspace to ensure the adequate provision of a foodstore which can be used by the local community whilst providing jobs and boosting the local economy.	This policy approach has now changed, to allow for more flexibility in the scale of foodstore provision that can be located in Local Centres, recognising the variety of business models for small and medium convenience store operators. Please see the new wording in policy HS1 and N16.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/117	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			9			Manor Park - improve walking and cycling by enforcing parking restrictions, cycle lanes and 20mph speed limit (enforced)	The Council is committed to reducing road danger and the number of people killed or seriously injured on our streets. We also want people to feel more comfortable walking and cycling on Newham's streets. Newham Council is planning to introduce a 20mph speed limit on most streets across the borough. Lower speed limits can help to reduce the number of traffic collisions, reduce the likelihood of serious injuries in collisions, especially for pedestrians, reduce noise and pollution from motor traffic, and encourage people to walk and cycle more. Physical measures or traffic calming measures such as road humps or speed cushions will be considered for streets or areas where speeding is occurring frequently. Before implementing any physical measures, informal consultations with residents and businesses as well as statutory consultations will be carried out. We have provided the highways team with your comments. Parking is not allowed on footways or footpaths, pavements or grass verges – unless specifically exempted. Our parking enforcement officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) to vehicles parked in contravention or parked dangerously. You can report a problem vehicle on our website here.

<b>Comment Response</b>	<a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines">https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines</a>
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/160	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Manor Park - not being consulted - want to letter everyone.	The Local Plan consultation strategy and report sets out the wide range of consultation methods used to reach as many residents as possible. Details of the Local Plan consultation were published in the Newham Mag which is delivered to every house in the borough. Postcards were also sent to some parts of the borough to address under-representation from some residents.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/161	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Manor Park - difficult to park	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, as the Council's Parking team works closely with the Highways and Sustainable Transport team to manage existing parking demand, and provide alternatives to using the private car, namely walking, cycling and public transport. Newham operates Residential Parking Zones across the borough to manage the demand for parking and making it easier for residents, businesses and visitors to park. From August 2023, the Council moved to an emissions based parking permit system for all parking tariffs (residents, business, staff business, visitors and paid-for parking sessions). This is in line with the Mayor's Air Quality Action Plan, which helps to reduce traffic and congestion and encourages walking within the borough and the use of public transport and encourages alternative

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											sustainable methods of travelling borough-wide.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/162	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Manor Park - bad bus service - 147 overground - need more buses	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies which support enhancing the reliability, accessibility, attractiveness and ease of public transport services. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as this is not within the control of the Council. The Council will continue to work positively with TfL to prioritise buses and to improve

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											bus services, as set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/163	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Manor Park - businesses need places to park	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments, including employment and town centre uses.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/164	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Manor Park - lack of parking	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan seeks to reduce car use and car parking. Local Plan T3 sets the standards for car parking for new developments.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/165	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			Manor Park - lack of business rates incentives limiting high streets + local business success	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot set business rates. Our colleagues in business rates may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/business-licensing-regulation/business-rates-1/3">https://www.newham.gov.uk/business-licensing-regulation/business-rates-1/3</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/166	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			Manor Park - concerns on takeaways + betting shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/167	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Manor Park - details of design	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The neighbourhood policy sets out the design principles for the neighbourhood and works alongside the design policies. Further guidance on design is also provided in the Newham Characterisation Study.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/180	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Manor Park] Plashet Park works well	Comment noted.
Reg18-D-001	Local Plan Drop-In	Reg18-D-001/181	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			Improve Manor Park retail offer	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular business to locate in an area.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/001	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			Dog specific areas in parks	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan policy GWS1.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/002	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.79				Manor Park community garden built in 2012 - not the newest (only open on Saturday)	A change to this policy wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the text states it is one of the newest in the borough.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/003	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.79				Barrington Fields unused - no more sports facilities	A change to this policy wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood profile provides an overview of the spaces and places in the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/004	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		Neighbourhood boundary				What connection does the north have with the south - huge density disparities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the boundaries were identified through the Newham Characterisation Study. This evidence recognises the differences in character in different parts of the borough but includes design principles to bring together the different areas into a neighbourhood with a united and clear identity. This has been reflected in the neighbourhood vision for Manor Park and Little Ilford.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/005	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Parking in Romford Road	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, as the Council's Parking team works closely with the Highways and Sustainable Transport team to manage existing parking demand, and provide alternatives to using the private car, namely walking, cycling and public transport. Newham operates Residential Parking Zones across the borough to manage the demand for parking and making it easier for residents, businesses and visitors to park. From August 2023, the Council moved to an emissions based parking permit system for all parking tariffs (residents, business, staff business, visitors and paid-for parking sessions). This is in line with the Mayor's Air Quality Action Plan, which helps to reduce traffic and congestion and encourages walking within the borough and the use of public transport and encourages alternative sustainable methods of travelling borough-wide.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/006	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Romford Road] Cycling lanes	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/007	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			9			Browning Road cannot be used for people that live in borth of Manor Park (LTN?)	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as all properties remain accessible by car. Residents may have to take a slightly different route to get from the main road to their property. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/008	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			Let's get a nature inspired play area with the CoL land opposite the new meanwhile space	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisures uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/009	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Keep] Nice buildings - library that was knocked off	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy and Local Plan policy D10 conserve the neighbourhood's heritage assets. The current use of the building is temporary whilst the council considers the long-term use of the building.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/010	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Dentist	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate because dentists fall within Use Class E (e) and the Local Plan can only support particular uses rather than specifying the particular health services to be provided. Health uses are supported under Local Plan policies SI1 and SI2.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/011	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] More support to have access to affordable housing	The Local Plan addresses this topic through affordable housing requirements in Local Plan policy H3. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. See <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness</a> for advice on Newham low-cost and council housing.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/012	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Housing: bigger houses to avoid overcrowding of flats	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy H4 sets borough-wide requirements for family-sized housing.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/013	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Better food provision including using existing facilities like community facilities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets and through the high street and neighbourhood policies it sets out where additional food stores are needed. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular business to locate in an area.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/014	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Opportunities to recycle clothes	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.</p> <p>TRAID provides a free clothing collection service to residents and businesses in the London Borough of Newham. As part of your main clothing donation, you can also include a bag of small Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) items.</p> <p>We have also provided them with your comments.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/015	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] More exercise class	The Local Plan addresses this topic through supporting new community facilities SI1, SI2 and SI3 which support and protect facilities which may provide this type of activity. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks and Leisure department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/physical-activity</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/016	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			[Keep] 3.b reducing takeaways and betting shops	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/017	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Keep] Limits on tall buildings	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/018	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Change] Maintain play equipment better	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is not something the Local Plan can deliver. Our Parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2</a>

Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/019	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Community hubs and provision for younger children	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/020	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Add] Leisure centres - not close enough and there isn't young children provision there	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already supports the delivery of the new leisure centre.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/021	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Add] There are green spaces but there's no facilities like toilets and play equipment. Only one park is good now.	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisures uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/022	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add] Bank facilities	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate because banks fall within commercial, business and service Use Class E (c) and the Local Plan can only support particular uses rather than specifying the particular service to be provided. Commercial, business and service uses are supported by policy N16 and through Local Plan policy HS2 which manages the mix of uses in town and local centres.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/023	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add] Not a lot on Romford Road - not a lot of variety	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular business to locate in an area.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/024	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Change] Replace the swings	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is not something the Local Plan can deliver. Our Parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/025	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.c			[Change] Something else than chicken shop - something healthier	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to reduce the number of takeaways and betting shops in the town centre. It will work alongside Local Plan policy HS6 which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/026	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Change] Stay away from the megaschools	Unfortunately, it is being not clear what change you wanted to make this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.

Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/027	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] More spaces for teenagers	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/028	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Improve waste management	The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.  We have also provided them with your comments.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/029	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Keep] Improved cycling routes	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/030	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Keep] Protection of green spaces	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/031	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Keep] Enhancement to the high streets (Romford Road)	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/032	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		3.c				[Keep] Meanwhile spaces	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/033	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Keep] Preserve local architecture and heritage	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/034	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Keep] Consultation for changes in community facilities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the loss of community facilities is addressed through Local Plan policies SI1, SI2, SI3, SI4.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/035	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Keep] Large family-sized social housing	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy H4 sets borough-wide requirements for family-sized housing.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/036	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] Improved lighting in street	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/037	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Keep] More spaces in developments for rubbish	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as waste in new developments is addressed through Local Plan policy W3.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/038	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Keep] Street cleanliness enhancements	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/039	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			All the playgrounds are broken	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be appropriate as this is not something the Local Plan can deliver. Our Parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/parks-green-spaces/2</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/040	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.c			Only unhealthy food options	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already seeks to reduce the number of takeaways and betting shops in the town centre. It will work alongside Local Plan policy HS6 which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/041	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Change] Poor maintenance of social housing	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Local Plan D1 design standards and H11 housing design quality which set standards for new development. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the housing repairs department are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/repairs-1">https://www.newham.gov.uk/housing-homes-homelessness/repairs-1</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/042	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Change] Less storeys	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside Local Plan policy D4 which sets out the appropriate locations for tall buildings. There are no tall building zones in the Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/043	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Change] Maximise use of community facilities	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the provision of community facilities in this location would be assessed against the employment policies and the community facilities given the neighbourhood's industrial character and its employment designations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/044	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Change] Schools are too large - Little Ilford school	The Local Plan addresses this topic by allocating some sites for education use to meet the need set out in Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022). However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Education team are able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education">https://www.newham.gov.uk/schools-education</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/045	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Change] Not enough secondary schools	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Newham's Places for All Strategy (2022) and our work with Newham's Education department already identified the need for new secondary schools and the best locations for them. This need has not changed since the publication of the Regulation 18 Local Plan and in response to this evidence, the draft Local Plan continues to require the delivery of two secondary schools. One in N17 Gallions Reach, on Site Allocation N17.SA1 Beckton Riverside, and the other is an all-through school in N5 Canning Town and Custom House, on Site Allocation N5.SA7 Custom House Phase 2. We have made a wording change to Policy SI4 to reflect the requirement for applicants, on sites where a school is required, to undertake an assessment at the time of application to ensure the latest data on identified need informs delivery. This will ensure the right size of school is delivered.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/046	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Add] Little Ilford Park	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policies GWS1 and GWS5. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/047	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Add] Need a leisure centre	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy already supports the delivery of the new leisure centre.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/048	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add] No bank facilities	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate because banks fall within commercial, business and service Use Class E (c) and the Local Plan can only support particular uses rather than specifying the particular service to be provided. Commercial, business and service uses are supported by policy N16 and through Local Plan policy HS2 which manages the mix of uses in town and local centres.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/049	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			Really hard to reach retail without car	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan's spatial strategy of creating a network of 15-minute neighbourhood will ensure residents have access to a range of facilities close to where they live and works alongside other Local Plan policies to reduce car use and to improve conditions for using public transport, walking and cycling in order to access these facilities.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/050	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Facilities to exchange/re-use things	The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/051	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			Vision			[Add] Put Manor Park in the picture	A change to this policy approach has not been made. The neighbourhood policy sets out how Manor Park and Little Ilford will develop and how these changes will be delivered and works alongside the other neighbourhood policies to deliver the benefits of growth and community wealth building across the borough.

Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/052	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Early years and teenager facilities	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/053	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Purpose built facilities for facilities	<p>This policy approach has now changed to support community facilities as part of the role and function of the local centre. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant Site Allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. The Local Plan must plan for all types of social infrastructure. How we assess the need for facilities and secure delivery differs between different types and providers of social infrastructure, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social infrastructure we have a statutory duty to provide: schools; some early years provision</li> <li>• Social infrastructure with a nationally set target: playing pitches; built leisure facilities; health facilities</li> <li>• Council delivered Social infrastructure: youth zones; libraries; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres</li> <li>• Community/charity/market-led: wider youth provision; faith facilities; private built leisure facilities; some early years provision; public houses; some community halls, galleries, museums and theatres.</li> </ul> <p>To understand, protect and to guide the future development of Newham’s social infrastructure we have undertaken a</p>
--------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--





Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/054	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Add] Improvements to Atherton Leisure Centre	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as this leisure centre is not in the Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood and improvements to existing centres are set out in the Newham Built Leisure Needs Assessment and are supported by Local Plan policy SI3.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/055	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			[Keep] Pt 3.b - welcomed (takeaways)	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/056	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Keep] Pt 13 - welcomed (playgrounds)	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/057	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Change] 2) high-rise developments	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside Local Plan policy D4 which sets out the appropriate locations for tall buildings. There are no tall building zones in the Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/058	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Change] Keeping the heritage of the area	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Newham Characterisation Study 2022 has identified these as areas that have a high quality and valued character that should be maintained and this policy provides neighbourhood-specific detail to enable this.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/059	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Change] Pt 8 - how long and what is the vision?	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as further information about the Romford Road LUF scheme can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/060	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			10			[Change] Pt 10 - cleaner streets - where/what?	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/061	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			Vision			[Change] Manor Park to become an artistic hub (new Hackney)	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as employment uses are directed to various designations according to Local Plan Policy J1. J1 sets out the priority uses for different areas and the sectors that these uses would be supported. This approach is supported by the Employment Land Review.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/062	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Change] Little Ilford Park - use the cricket pavillion for more events	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan cannot deliver requirements for events in specific venues. However, the policy has changed to support an urban sport offer and play zone in Little Ilford Park based on evidence in the Built Leisure Needs Assessment on the need for different sports and leisure uses.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/064	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Change] Lack of children's playgrounds	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisures uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/065	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			Vision			[Change] EASY ENGLISH TO UNDERSTAND VISION	The Local Plan has been drafted to be clear and concise and uses as plain English as far as possible so that it can be used and understood by a range of users. However, it is also a statutory planning document so this the document and policies are required to use planning language.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/066	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Add] Bins and cleanliness	The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and if you have feedback on a specific location for the team you can use the following link: Complain, compliment or suggest – Newham Council
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/067	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Keep] 1. Save our heritage and history	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/068	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Keep] community gardens	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/069	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			17			[Keep] 17	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little	Reg18-Am-001/070	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and			15			[Keep] 15	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Ilford Assembly			Little Ilford							
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/071	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Keep] 16	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/072	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Keep] Levelling Up Fund	The council continues to deliver projects to be funded by the Levelling Up Fund and these projects are referenced in the neighbourhood policies, where appropriate.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/073	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			[Keep] 3. Reduce takeaways	Support noted. However, this policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/074	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.c			[Keep] 3. Pop-up street markets	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little	Reg18-Am-001/075	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and			3			[Keep] 3. Diverse businesses	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Ilford Assembly			Little Ilford							
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/076	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Keep] Parks - Little Ilford park pavillion	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan continues to protect open spaces. However, the policy has changed to support an urban sport offer and play zone in Little Ilford Park based on evidence in the Built Leisure Needs Assessment on the need for different sports and leisure uses.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/077	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Change] 2. not too high-rise development like Stratford	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside Local Plan policy D4 which sets out the appropriate locations for tall buildings. There are no tall building zones in the Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/078	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Change] 8.	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as the proposals are part of the Romford Road LUF scheme. More information can be found on the Council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/079	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			10			[Change] 10. Rat run needs to be cut	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/080	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Change] 3. more playgrounds	The Local Plan addresses this topic by requiring site allocations to provide different types of playspace based on the need identified in the finalised Green and Water Study as well as through its green spaces policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Parks team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/outdoors">https://www.newham.gov.uk/community-parks-leisure/outdoors</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/081	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Change] 8. more clearer text what these mean	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as further information about the Romford Road LUF scheme can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/082	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			10			[Change] 10. more clearer text what these mean	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/083	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Keep] 12. yes but also city of london landowner	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/084	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Keep] 1	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/085	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Keep] 2	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/086	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Keep] 3	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/087	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Keep] 13 + play on the way	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/088	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			14			[Keep] 14	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/089	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			15			[Keep] 15	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/090	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Keep] 16	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/091	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Keep] 3	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/092	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Keep] 3 - but the local centre should include other outdoor 'services' such as parks, play areas and community gardens	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy supports improved green infrastructure in the local centre and works alongside other policies which have been informed by the updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/093	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/094	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4 - but explicit mention of sustainable drainage should be added	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as sustainable drainage is addressed through other policies in the plan.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/095	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4 - but explicit mention of play on the way should be added	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as playspace is addressed through other policies in the plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/096	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			5			[Keep] 5	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/097	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			5			[Keep] 5 but promote it more so that more people go	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/098	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			6			[Keep] 6	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/099	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Keep] 7	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/100	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Keep] 8	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/101	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			9			[Keep] 9	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little	Reg18-Am-001/102	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and			10			[Keep] 10 - 100% important	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Ilford Assembly			Little Ilford							
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/103	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			11			[Keep] 11	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/104	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		Vision				All sounds good but given the conversation on the table better links between Little Ilford and Manor Park would help community cohesion and balance investment and growth that benefits all	Comment noted. The neighbourhood vision is supported by policy clauses which set out the links between different parts of the neighbourhood will be delivered.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/105	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						What's the priority? What's the best approach that can facilitate the right development without too much hand holding?	The neighbourhood vision sets out how the neighbourhood will develop and change over the plan period and how this will be achieved through the application of the neighbourhood policy. Planning applications will be assessed against the relevant neighbourhood policy and borough-wide policies. The Vision and Objectives section of the Local Plan also set out the priorities for development.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/106	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			Green spaces must be protected	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan seeks to protect all existing green space (including spaces not designated on the Policies Map), maintain the quality and distribution of spaces; as well as creating new space to meet the additional demand from new development. However, it should be noted that this does not preclude any future development on green space from happening. Please see Policy GWS1 for the exceptional circumstances which need to be met in order to develop on green space.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/107	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			More green space	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policies GWS1 and GWS5. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/108	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Spaces for interaction - balconies and walkways	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D1 on design standards for new development and H11 for housing design quality.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/109	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Dual aspect flats are key	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D1 on design standards for new development and H11 for housing design quality.

Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/112	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Homelessness hub - housing and support services	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan addresses this topic through its housing policies. The policy approach in Policy H2 has now changed to clarify the circumstances under which family homes can be converted for a temporary period to a House in Multiple Occupation or Large House in Multiple Occupation for the sole use by Newham's Homelessness service for people who are owed a homelessness duty. The policy approach was originally introduced under the Regulation 18 Local Plan to help address the significant number of people in temporary accommodation in the borough. Please see the new wording in policy H2. Policy H6 also sets out policy requirements relevant to the provision of more specialist and supported forms of accommodation for homeless people and rough sleepers. The location and quality of these forms of housing should be informed in discussion with relevant commissioning teams in the Council. Separate to the Local Plan, our colleagues in the Adults and Health and Housing directorates in the Council work with residents who are, or are at risk of, rough sleeping or homelessness, including through our Homelessness Prevention and Advice Service (HPAS). Newham also has a published Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy 2021-2026, which sets out an intelligence-led, public health approach to tackling homelessness, and improving access to, and the quality of, housing within the borough.</p>
--------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/114	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Overlooking for safety	A change to this policy approach has not made. We did not consider this to be necessary as this is addressed through Local Plan D1 on design standards for new development and H11 for housing design quality.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/118	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add] 3. Earl of Essex pub	The Local Plan addresses this topic through Policy HS1 that enlarges the boundary of the Local Centre to include frontages in the intersection of Romford Road and High Street North, including Earl of Essex Pub, and through policy HS2 and the neighbourhood policy that enables a mix of uses to be supported within the Local Centre, including more leisure and shops. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot be applied retrospectively to established uses or to approved planning applications being delivered.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/119	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Keep] Junction of Romford Road/Station Road. This should be kept and sympathetically restored as the centre and example to develop the 15 minute 'centre' around it	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as the proposals are part of the Romford Road LUF scheme. More information can be found on the Council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/120	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4. Keep as a priority	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/121	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Keep] 8.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/122	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Keep] 8. Make sure the development of the Romford Road/Station cross roads is not at the expense of the 'listed' pub	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as the proposals are part of the Romford Road LUF scheme. More information can be found on the Council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/123	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Change] Write in plain English - all of it.	The Local Plan has been drafted to be clear and concise and uses as plain English as far as possible so that it can be used and understood by a range of users. However, it is also a statutory planning document so this the document and policies are required to use planning language.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/124	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4. add the word flying tipping and litter being the top priority for everyone/council	The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/125	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Add] 2. Re-word so its clearer	The policy wording has now changed to add further clarity on which locations this policy clause applies to. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/126	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Add] 2. No high-rise buildings - we don't want another Stratford	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside Local Plan policy D4 which sets out the appropriate locations for tall buildings. There are no tall building zones

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											in the Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/127	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			11			[Keep] 11. Keep	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/128	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Keep] 13, especially Little Ilford Park - highly neglected	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan continues to protect open spaces. However, the policy has changed to support an urban sport offer and play zone in Little Ilford Park based on evidence in the Built Leisure Needs Assessment on the need for different sports and leisure uses.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/129	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			17			[Keep] 17	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/130	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Keep] 7	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/131	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Change] 1 - Irrelevant except for those in that immediate locality	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Newham Characterisation Study 2022 has identified these as areas that have a high quality and valued character that should be maintained and this policy provides neighbourhood-specific detail to enable this. Other parts of the neighbourhood will be subject to policy clauses that seek to enhance the character of the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/132	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			5			[Add] 5 - Area around Jack Cornwell centre must be improved	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/133	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		3.b				[Add] 3.b. Remove betting shops	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/134	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Keep] 2. Keep	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/135	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Keep] 2. but needs more clarification	Support noted. The policy wording has now changed to add further clarity on which locations this policy clause applies to. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/136	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.a			[Keep] 3.a fine	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/137	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			[Keep] 3.b fine	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/138	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4. commendable	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/139	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			5			[Keep] 5. commendable	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little	Reg18-Am-001/140	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and			10			[Keep] 10. commendable	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
	Ilford Assembly			Little Ilford							
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/141	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			11			[Keep] 11. commendable	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/142	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Keep] 12. seems ok	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/143	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Keep] 12. seems ok	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/144	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			15			[Keep] 15. commendable	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/145	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			17			[Keep] 17. commendable	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/146	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Change] 1. Too localised (Durham Road cons centre) should be widened to include more of the environs	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Newham Characterisation Study 2022 has identified these as areas that have a high quality and valued character that should be maintained and this policy provides neighbourhood-specific detail to enable this. Other parts of the neighbourhood will be subject to policy clauses that seek to enhance the character of the neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/147	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.c			[Change] 3.c What is the point of temporary pop-ups/street markets? There have been 3 (or 4?) markets in 2022 , too small as only 9 or 10 stalls	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan supports these uses as a means of supporting spaces for short-licence, affordable and flexible premises (or outdoor spaces) for local entrepreneurs, to enable ongoing innovation in the offer of Town and Local Centres.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/148	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			6			[Change] 6. Never heard of Aldersbrook Local Mixed-Use Area	This was an error and has now been corrected. Please see the new wording in Local Plan policy N16.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/149	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Change] 7. Emphasis walking <u>not</u> cycling	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Local Plan supports measures to increase and improve both walking and cycling as a means of increasing active travel and reducing car use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/150	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Change] 8. Please explain how this can happen on Romford Road is a major red route.	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The comment you have provided has not resulted in a change as we did not consider this change to be necessary as further information about the Romford Road LUF scheme can be found on the council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/151	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			9			[Change] 9. Impact on local residents who have cars but no children	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as all properties remain accessible by car. Residents may have to take a slightly different route to get from the main road to their property. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a>
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/152	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			14			[Change] 14. Is the garden junction scheme working?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as improved walking and cycling connections to the wider area and the River Roding are a key component of the vision for Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/153	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Change] 16. The fitness didn't work so why should it improve even with a new fitness centre?	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/154	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Maintaining the integrity of the Epping Forest triangle area (Forest View Road/Forest Drive/Aldersbrook Road) - no children's playground at a corner of the triangle (am sure Epping Forest Conservators would have something to say about this)	This policy approach has now changed to reflect the findings of the Green and Water Study which is the evidence base to support our policy approach to the borough's green, water, access to nature, play and growing space needs. The evidence on neighbourhoods and locations for improvements to existing open spaces. Please see the new wording in N16, which addresses Manor Park. In addition, this site continues to be designated as a SINC and Green Belt.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/155	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			[Keep] 3.b	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/156	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.a			[Keep] 3.a	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/157	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Keep] 4	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/158	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			5			[Keep] 5	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/159	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			11			[Keep] 11	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/160	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			16			[Keep] 16	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/161	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			17			[Keep] 17	Support noted.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/162	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Keep] 13 first part	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/163	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			[Change] 1 napp - not there	Unfortunately it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/164	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Change] 2	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/165	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		3.c				[Change] 3.c	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/166	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			6			[Change] 6	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/167	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Change] 7	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/168	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8			[Change] 8	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as the proposals are part of the Romford Road LUF scheme. More information can be found on the Council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a>

Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/169	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford				9		[Change] 9	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the London Borough of Newham currently has five permanent Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), as well as potential LTN in Woodgrange and Capel, and exploration of options to create more people-friendly streets in the West Ham Park area. A Low Traffic Neighbourhood is predominantly residential area that is bounded by main roads, in which a set of modal filters has been installed to prevent motor vehicles from using the residential area as a shortcut. Excess motor vehicle traffic in residential areas causes noise, road danger and pollution; and creates a poor environment for walking and cycling. LTNs aim to improve street environments and local neighbourhoods for walking and cycling by reducing traffic volumes, improving the quality of life for local residents, and making it easier for residents to choose walking or cycling for local journeys. LTNs are enforced by automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) camera and non-exempt motor vehicles driving through them will receive a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN). These operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. All addresses can be reached in and amongst LTNs without receiving an FPN, although routes may differ to avoid modal filters. Pedestrians, cyclists and wheelchair users can go through modal filters unrestricted. More information about Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in Newham is available on the Newham LTN web page: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood">https://www.newham.gov.uk/transport-streets/low-traffic-neighbourhood</a></p>
--------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	---	--	------------	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/170	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Change] 12	Unfortunately, it was not clear what change you wanted to make to this part of the Plan. No changes have been made.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/171	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			10			[Change] 10	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the Council has been working on a borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. The Local Plan will support the delivery of the Sustainable Transport Strategy through the transport and neighbourhood policies. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and site allocations. The strategy will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in future.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/172	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			15			[Change] 15	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/173	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			14			[Change] 14	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as improved walking and cycling connections to the wider area and the River Roding are a key component of the vision for Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/174	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			13			[Change] 13 - no more to gardens	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to different types of open spaces and sports and leisure uses and updated evidence from the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, Built Leisure Needs Assessment and the Playing Pitch Strategy. This policy works alongside Local Plan policy GWS5 on play and informal recreation. Please see the new wording in policy N16.
Reg18-Am-001	Manor Park and Little Ilford Assembly	Reg18-Am-001/175	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add] Common sense to changes	Unfortunately it was not clear addition you wanted to make to this part of the Plan.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-021	Manor Park Pop Up Market	Reg18-E-021/003	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						Secondly, I'm keen to understand if the council has any aims in improving Station Road, I know the Meanwhile_space project at the top near the station will be a great addition but there is more room to upgrade other areas. Anyone visiting, especially at a weekend can see it's not really set up as a community high street with many inactive units. Are there any budgets available to help improve the shopfronts along here? What about shops left empty/inactive for so long? How can we try and get these taken up by actual active businesses. New shopfronts and signage can make a huge difference on the appearance of a street. Something I work in/have experience in, and would like to see if anything can be done in this respect.	The Local Plan addresses these topics through the thematic policies HS2 Managing Change in Town and Local Centres and D6 Shopfronts and Advertising and through the neighbourhood policies which support improvements to the local centre's public realm. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as the Local Plan cannot be applied to existing uses or buildings unless these are brought forward for development that requires planning permission. Our colleagues in the Regeneration team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/newham-high-streets-programme">https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration-1/newham-high-streets-programme</a>
Reg18-E-066	Resident	Reg18-E-066/001	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			Vision			Thought I'd offer my support to the vision you have for Manor Park and Little Ilford and I welcome changes that have already been made.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-066	Resident	Reg18-E-066/003	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						2. Another bottle neck effect for any improvement to the area is The Blakesley Arms, which sits at a prime location by the train station and is not offering an environment that would welcome the majority of the residents, hence we leave the area and any community building that could happen in a good public house is lost.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting pubs as community facilities. However it cannot deliver the change you have requested as it cannot direct changes to particular businesses as policies in the Local Plan only apply should there be a planning application for development involving that site.
Reg18-E-066	Resident	Reg18-E-066/004	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.a			3. I would definitely welcome a supermarket. You can only sustain yourself on the local convenience stores for so long and it is more costly and has less opportunity for a healthy and varied diet. Many people keep their cars for this reason or order online which causes yet more pollution.	Support noted.
Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/001a	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.81				I think you've got the measure of Manor Park and most of the issues it faces.	Comment noted.
Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/001b	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.a			I'm interested to know where the new supermarket could be located and who it will be operated by...[Originally submitted on paragraph 4.81]	A supermarket would be supported in the primary shopping area of the town centre, the boundary of which can be found on the Policies Map. The Local Plan cannot specify who it would be operated by as this is outside the remit of the planning system.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/002	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.82				<p>...There is an intense need for improvements to Romford road. The levels of traffic, illegal parking/stopping which cause tailbacks which in turn increases car idling and pollution is staggering. We have several primary schools in the area, and it can't be good for the kids never mind the rest of us...[Originally submitted on paragraph 4.81]</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through the Climate Emergency and Transport policies. However, many sources of poor air quality – such as vehicular traffic – are outside of the remit of the Planning system. The Council has a statutory duty to regulate air quality throughout the borough, from sources such as industrial processes, planning &amp; development, transport infrastructure, energy and heating and domestic wood burning. The Council's Environmental Health team permits and inspects industrial facilities, reports and acts upon pollution, investigates bonfires and dark smoke nuisances and works with developers to ensure that new development achieves 'air quality neutral'. Other teams across the Council – including the Highways and Public Health teams – work to improve air quality through other efforts including electrification of the road network.</p>

Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/003	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			8		<p>...I'm very interested to know what the new road plan is for the junction with High St North/Station road , and what the traffic calming measures it will entail. The existing wide pavements on Romford, Station &amp; Carlton Rds provide ample opportunity for pocket parks and enhanced planting - but there needs to be a commitment (and budget) to maintain them. I would suggest funding a neighbourhood gardener post. A local person employed to maintain planting in the area and spearhead engagement from local volunteers to encourage the community to come together for weeding/litter picking/mulching/planting days etc. This has been done elsewhere in London, and will no doubt prove more cost effective and useful than a sporadic service. This post could support and boost the existing community engagement around the Manor Park community garden. NB - a pocket park on Carlton / Romford Rd corner could help tackle the street drinking and littering that happens in that area daily. Combined with a large public art wall mural on the side of the corner shop, and revamped signage for the village, it would add some much needed colour and vibrancy to the area and be an opportunity to pay homage to the history/heritage of Manor Park/Newham. It should be combined with red lines to stop the illegal and double parking that happens daily on both sides of Carlton Rd (outside and opposite the corner shop), causing</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council's Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. Please see the amended policy for N16. The change you have suggested has not resulted in a change as the proposals are part of the Romford Road LUF scheme. More information can be found on the Council's website: <a href="https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4">https://newhamco-create.co.uk/en/projects/luf-romford/4</a></p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------------	--	--	---	--	---	--

											<p>bottle neck for people entering and leaving the village streets with the knock on effect of backing up traffic on Romford rd. Again - more idling engines and pollution....[Originally submitted on paragraph 4.81]</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/004	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.81			<p>...I would like to see a concerted effort to address antisocial behaviour, street drinking, rough sleeping and loitering on Carlton rd and corner of Romford/Station rd. I think the liquor licenses of the two corner shops on Romford Rd (corner of Shaftesbury &amp; Carlton rds) need to be reviewed because of the behaviour of their most common customers....</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). However, The Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested as off-licence shops cannot be separate in the planning system from other shop premises (as they are all the same Use Class) and therefore cannot be separately controlled through planning. These premises are controlled through Trading Standards and Licensing. There is a Borough wide public space protection order (PSPO) in place. The order can be enforced appropriately should individuals drink alcohol in the street and act in an anti-social manner. Authorised Officers can ask for the alcohol to be surrendered, if refused, fixed penalty notices can be issued where appropriate. Also should individuals persistently drink and behave in an anti-social manner, fixed penalty notices can be issued where appropriate. Officers are also required to make necessary referrals to outreach services, such as, Change Grow Live (CGL) and Street Population, for individuals that are vulnerable, rough sleeping or living with addiction. The Council take all reports of noise and ASB seriously and will take appropriate steps to abate reported nuisances. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department and Trading Standards and Licensing department may be able to help.</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--	------	--	--	---	--

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/005	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.8				<p>...There is a real need for an improvement in the quality and variety of shops in manor park. There are very limited options for fresh food at a variety of price points. There are few options for leisure and socialising with family and friends in the area. The local community has been trying to engage with the land banking owners of the Earl of Essex for years now to encourage them to open a viable pub/restaurant space welcoming to a wide range of clientele - rather than the concentration on turning the place into flats. Although construction has finally begun, there is still a refusal to speak with us about their plans...[Originally submitted on paragraph 4.81]</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in the local centre, including a small to medium food store and supporting temporary or pop-up uses. This policy works alongside the High Street policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as the Local Plan cannot require particular businesses to locate in an area.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/006	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.8				<p>...The concentration on fast, low quality eating options is a concern for the health of the community and a missed business opportunity for a forward thinking entrepreneur...[Originally submitted on paragraph 4.81]</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses the over-concentration of betting shops (together with other forms of gambling premises) and hot food takeaways through policy HS6, which includes a multitude of criteria to prevent worsening of impacts in areas with already too many of such uses, particularly on Newham's high streets. This includes ability to enforce against change of use where it does not have planning permission. The draft policy continues the established and successful Local Plan approach in Newham, and adds additional layers of protection by requiring quality of operation criteria in cases where new uses are proposed outside of existing areas of concentration. However, the Local Plan cannot be applied retrospectively to existing, lawfully established betting shops and hot food takeaways. These uses are a known concern for the Council, as reflected in the 50 Steps to a Healthier Newham strategy, and other departments of the Council are working collaboratively to improve the quality of the offer of these premises and mitigate their impacts.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-023	Resident	Reg18-K-023/007	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford		4.81				<p>...Finally, I'm yet to read anything about HMOs and bad private landlords providing low quality over crowded accommodation in the area. eg The 'flat's behind the shops on Romford Rd at the junction with Manor Park Road provide no bin facility for their residents - so piles of refuse are regularly left at the corner of the alleyway outside the flats of 10 Manor Park Road. These bags are inevitably attacked by foxes and trash strewn. Flats above and behind shops generally continue to be an issue - but overcrowded flats elsewhere can't be ignored. The licensing process seems to have little affect - many are still over crowded. The process of getting larger bins seems to have been made more difficult on the MyNewham website. There should be a way for neighbours to request bigger bins for houses in the area which are causing trash problems when landlords and/or residents are unable or unwilling to do so. Waiting for them to get responsible and apply is the wrong way to go about it.</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-K-026	Resident	Reg18-K-026/001	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			<p>I welcome the reduction of betting shops in the area - and would encourage the council to place limitations in the number of licences</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										given to such establishments in the first place.	environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.
Reg18-K-026	Resident	Reg18-K-026/002	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			This is very needed and a welcome move - a key consideration would be to assess whether a cycle lane can be installed - with clear signage so that drivers respect this, particularly on the Romford Rd and High St North. Part of the sustainability of this is also to provide some education around benefits of cycling/reducing car use - this would require longer term investment, but potentially garner more buy in from residents/drivers.	Support noted.
Reg18-K-039	Resident	Reg18-K-039/001	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			6			The Kudhail industrial estate is located in a highly residential area. Has expansion of operation on this industrial estate considered the impact of those living on Eighth Avenue and Little Ilford Lane?	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as it is consistent with our approach to protecting and intensifying industrial land based on our evidence of need for these uses. The relationship between these uses and residential will be managed the neighbourliness Local Plan policy.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-046	Resident	Reg18-K-046/001	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			1			It is not OK to focus all character improvement on one area of the neighbourhood at the expense of the other areas which are degraded and unloved. The whole of the Manor Park area has good terraced housing stock but this quality is being eroded by terrible planning rules and lack of regulation around the sorts of changes that are made to buildings e.g. poor extensions, covering over brickwork, front porch lights and the tarmacing of front gardens. Newham needs to stop focusing on tiny pockets and be fairer about where resources are allocated. I have been working for 2 years to get some trees in our area through the community assemblies and there is still not a single tree that has been planted, meanwhile the Durham Road conservation area has loads happening to it. Please don't be elitist and consider implementing the traffic calming measures, planting schemes and street improvements to the avenues and other areas too. Otherwise it will not feel like a cohesive neighbourhood but the haves and the have notes.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as this policy clause focusses on the neighbourhood's designated heritage assets, such as its conservation area. Other policy clauses set out improvements to parts of the neighbourhood outside these areas and address improvements to the neighbourhood's green infrastructure, walking and cycling routes and public realm.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-046	Resident	Reg18-K-046/002	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			<p>Please also focus on reducing the number of car garages that plague Romford Road and create terrible health and safety issues on our pavements with cars turning in and parking all over the pavements. Diversifying the offer of shops and businesses is essential in creating a high street which isn't just row after row of the same stuff.</p>	<p>The need to designate this space is further substantiated by the lack of community growing space in the borough. Newham has 14 allotments and community growing spaces with a total area of 16.81 hectares. The National Allotment Society recommends the provision of 0.125 hectares per 1,000 residents. The borough currently provides 0.046 hectares per 1,000 residents. Both the current and projected rates of provision in 2038 are below the recommended standards. Spaces for community growing (including allotments) are important, not only do they deliver direct health and environmental benefits, but also enhance social connection and may deliver climate benefits through reduced food transportation and improved biodiversity.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-046	Resident	Reg18-K-046/003	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			<p>This sounds great, but needs to properly exclude cars for it to be a success. People are selfish around here and put their cars before other people, choosing to usurp rules and kerbs to park their cars wherever they like as long as it is convenient to them, nevermind the pavement users. Smart design will be essential to block cars. Beautiful rocks have been placed at the entrance to parks in Hackney, and this could be a good idea here too.</p>	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. Parking is not allowed on footways or footpaths, pavements or grass verges – unless specifically exempted. Our parking enforcement officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) to vehicles parked in contravention or parked dangerously. You can report a problem vehicle on our website here.  <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines">https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines</a></p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-046	Resident	Reg18-K-046/004	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			Please ensure all cycling and walking improvements are fully segregated from cars so as to stop parking on pavements and the incessant use of the pavements by delivery drivers which is a real safety hazard. People will only use walking and cycling routes if they are truly safe from cars, especially the type of drivers in this area and who use Romford Road and the locale.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. Parking is not allowed on footways or footpaths, pavements or grass verges – unless specifically exempted. Our parking enforcement officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) to vehicles parked in contravention or parked dangerously. You can report a problem vehicle on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines">https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines</a>
Reg18-K-046	Resident	Reg18-K-046/005	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			9			Please do this, especially around the avenues as this is a terrible hotspot for people cutting in and around Romford Road.	Support noted.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/026	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.a			[Add to it] Where is the main shopping area? I agree Manor Park needs a large supermarket. To me there are no central places to go shopping.	The boundaries of the local centre and its primary shopping areas can be found on the Policies Map.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/027	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add to it] No proper bakery,	This wording change has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate because bakeries fall within commercial, business and service Use Class E (a) and the Local Plan can only support particular uses rather than specifying the particular shop to be provided. Commercial, business and service uses are supported by policy N16 and through Local Plan policy HS2 which manages the mix of uses in town and local centres.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/028	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add to it] shops are sub standard,	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan supports a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct particular business to locate in an area.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/029	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3.b			[Add to it] too many take away shops, they are responsible for the litter around their shop.	This policy approach has now changed to rely on the borough-wide approach to managing the number of takeaways and betting shops set out Local Plan policy HS6, which aims to create a healthy high street environment by setting thresholds for these uses. However, it should be noted that the Local Plan can only manage these types of use when there is a planning application for a new use.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/030	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Great improvement on Manor park Road. Great design. Welcoming.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy already sets out improvements to the local centre, which includes the area around the station.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/031	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Add to it] Manor park to Ilford on Romford Road needs a big improvement. Not to accommodate more cars but to welcome people with an ecological design. For now it looks more like a shanty town.	Comment noted. The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in the town centre and improving the public realm, including on Romford Road. The policy has also been updated to reflect the finalisation of the Transport Strategy which has provided further evidence for improvements to walking and cycling conditions, including on Romford Road. Please see the new wording for N16. The neighbourhood policy works alongside the high street policies which addresses areas of Romford Road outside of designated town centres.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/032	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Add to it] Public pavements and private pavements need to be looked at. Be bold! 75% of privately own pavement space is misused. It is an eye sore for the Borough.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through the transport policies, however it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Highways department are able to help with this issue. Good and safe footways are important for pedestrians. We try to keep our footways, clean, clear of obstructions and safe for all our residents and visitors. Parking is not allowed on footways or footpaths, pavements or grass verges – unless specifically exempted. Our parking enforcement officers can issue a Penalty Charge Notice (PCN) to vehicles parked in contravention or parked dangerously. You can report a problem vehicle on our website here. <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines">https://www.newham.gov.uk/parking-permits/parking-enforcement-fines</a> . We have provided the parking enforcement team with your comments.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/033	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			4			[Add to it] All the car drivers using the road think that all Newham looks like this Road. [Referencing Romford Road]	Comment noted. The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in the town centre and improving the public realm, including on Romford Road. The policy has also been updated to reflect the finalisation of the Transport Strategy which has provided further evidence for improvements to walking and cycling conditions, including on Romford Road. Please see the new wording for N16. The neighbourhood policy works alongside the high street policies which addresses areas of Romford Road outside of designated town centres.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/034	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add to it] Be bold and we will support you. Create a shop association to raise the standard. Pick up the best shop and best restaurants to be mentors for the other doing less well. Show them that with better front door they will generate more trade.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by supporting a mix of uses in Newham's town centres and high streets. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested as planning policy cannot direct associations or business improvement districts. Our colleagues in Regeneration may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration">https://www.newham.gov.uk/regeneration</a> -1

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/035	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			3			[Add to it] Create parking spaces on the pavements where people can stay a maximum of 30 minutes so that they can shop and go.	A change to the policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary, as the Council's Parking team works closely with the Highways and Sustainable Transport team to manage existing parking demand, and provide alternatives to using the private car, namely walking, cycling and public transport. Newham operates Residential Parking Zones across the borough to manage the demand for parking and making it easier for residents, businesses and visitors to park. From August 2023, the Council moved to an emissions based parking permit system for all parking tariffs (residents, business, staff business, visitors and paid-for parking sessions). This is in line with the Mayor's Air Quality Action Plan, which helps to reduce traffic and congestion and encourages walking within the borough and the use of public transport and encourages alternative sustainable methods of travelling borough-wide.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/036	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			12			[Add to it] We need more tree prevention orders. I cannot stop seeing trees being cut down for no reason... in cemeteries mostly.	The Local Plan addresses this topic by protecting existing trees. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. More information about making TPOs can be found here: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tree-preservation-procedures-guidance">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tree-preservation-procedures-guidance</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/037	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Create more recycling stations. In France they have them underground.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Waste department are able to help, and are more broadly looking at scope for improved recycling as part of the development of Newham's Public Realm Waste and Cleansing Strategy.  We have also provided them with your comments.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/038	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Give people with no car a discount on their council tax and penalise household with cars with higher council tax.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through reducing car use and car parking in new developments and encouraging the use of public transport and increasing walking and cycling through the requirements set in Local Plan policy T3. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/039	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			2			[Add to it] Council flat houses look very sad! They need to be brighten up or taken down and built with more floors. 7 floors instead of 3. Not higher as we do not want to become like canning Town.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the policy works alongside Local Plan policy D4 which sets out the appropriate locations for tall buildings. There are no tall building zones in the Manor Park and Little Ilford neighbourhood.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/040	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Develop rent a car stations, 1 per street.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as Local Plan policy T3 supports and sets the standards for the delivery of car club bays in new developments.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/041	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			7			[Add to it] Turn streets for car in pedestrian streets. There is no need for cars in Manor Park with the Queen Elizabeth Line. We have great connections. Train, bus and tube lines.	Support noted.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/042	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] More community initiatives are needed.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through its social value policy BFN3. The drafting of the Local Plan has taken into consideration the community priorities set out in the Community Assemblies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the People Powered Places team may be able to help: <a href="https://www.newham.gov.uk/council/people-powered-places">https://www.newham.gov.uk/council/people-powered-places</a>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/043	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Use older generation to mentor younger generations. Will help mental health.	The Local Plan addresses the need to improve resident's mental health through a range of policies in the Local Plan, in particular the Design, Homes, Community Facilities and Green and Water Spaces chapters of the plan. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. There are additional resources available on the Council's website regarding health and wellbeing support and Well Newham Hubs, which are available at the following links: - <a href="https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs">https://www.wellnewham.org.uk/advice/visit-our-well-newham-hubs</a> - Well Newham website and Hubs – Health and wellbeing in Newham – Newham Council There is also further information on Social Care Mental Health Teams at the following link: Social care mental health teams – Mental health support services – Newham Council
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/044	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Educate people on keeping our street cleaned.	The Local Plan addresses this topic through waste policies. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in the Public Realm run a programme of door knocking across the borough, and attend schools and local events to engage and educate residents on all elements of waste and recycling management.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/045	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Be extremely strict with fly tipping.	<p>The Local Plan addresses this topic through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance beyond the site. However, it cannot deliver the change you have requested. Our colleagues in Waste department are able to help if you have concerns related to a particular site. Please see the following links for reporting issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recycling, waste and bin collections – Newham Council</li> <li>- Report fly-tippers – Fly-tipping: Reporting and removal – Newham Council</li> </ul> <p>Further information about fines for people who litter can be found here: Street Litter – Newham Council</p> <p>We have also provided the Waste team with your comments.</p>
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/046	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] Local residents needs to get back their old library. It was gifted to the people of Manor park by Andrew Cargenie and the current tenant does not respect the agreement where they were supposed to give the old library access to local residents. Bow arts completely hijacked the building.	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy and Local Plan policy D10 conserve the neighbourhood's heritage assets. The current use of the building is temporary whilst the council considers the long-term use of the building.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/047	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] What about having a cafe inside. [Reference to old library]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy and Local Plan policy D10 conserve the neighbourhood's heritage assets. The current use of the building is temporary whilst the council considers the long-term use of the building.
Reg18-T-026	Resident	Reg18-T-026/048	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] The architecture is magnificent. So sad [Reference to old library]	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood policy and Local Plan policy D10 conserve the neighbourhood's heritage assets. The current use of the building is temporary whilst the council considers the long-term use of the building.

Reg18-T-072	Resident	Reg18-T-072/092	Neighborhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						[Add to it] safe and clean for all	<p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of safety and security through a range of policies, such as requiring developments to have proactively design in safety and security measures (see Policies D1, D2, D6, GWS1), and have Secure by Design accreditation (Policy D1). Planning obligations will also be sought to support physical policing infrastructure (Policy D2) and to build capacity in local partnerships addressing high streets safety coordination (Policy HS5). The Metropolitan Police Service (run by the GLA), is also a consultee for the Local Plan and as part of decisions on major planning applications, and have dedicated designing-out-crime officers who are trained to conduct environmental visual audits to identify methods of making a place less attractive for criminal behaviour. Please also see responses we have provided to their comments on the draft Local Plan. However, the Local Plan cannot deliver the change you have requested. The Council's Community Safety department regularly collect and review data around ASB in the borough, responding to hotspot locations through targeted and focused operations in conjunction with the Police and others partners. Our colleagues in Community Safety Enforcement department may be able to help. We have also provided them with your comments.</p> <p>The Local Plan addresses the topic of cleanliness through our policy on public realm net gain (policy D2), which seeks for major developments to make a proportionate contribution towards public realm enhancement and maintenance</p>
-------------	----------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------	---



Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
				Little Ilford							
Reg18-E-017	Rt Hon Sir Stephen Timms MP	Reg18-E-017/002	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			Vision			[welcome the commitment to improved provision for cycling] I note in particular the observation on page 495 that Little Ilford “will have a network of easy to use, safe, green and accessible walking and cycling routes which improve north-south connectivity and that provide access to the Local Centre, public transport and the River Roding and the neighbourhood’s network of green spaces and community facilities”.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-017	Rt Hon Sir Stephen Timms MP	Reg18-E-017/003	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford						I have discussed with Richard Wadey the idea of an extension south into Newham of the Roding Valley Way, a walking and cycling route in Redbridge which currently stops when it reaches Newham.	This policy approach has now changed due to the consolidation of policy clauses relating to improving conditions for walking, cycling and public transport and to reflect updated evidence from the finalised borough-wide Sustainable Transport Strategy. Development of the strategy involved using modelling to understand walking, cycling, public transport and highway improvements that would be required to support future development. The outcomes of the strategy informed the neighbourhood policies and will guide the delivery of sustainable transport schemes by the Council’s Highways and Sustainable Transport team in the future. This includes walking and cycling routes along the River

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
											Roding. Please see the amended policy for N16.
Reg18-E-095	Transport for London	Reg18-E-095/172	Neighbourhoods	N16 Manor Park and Little Ilford			11			We welcome support for provision of step free access at Woodgrange Park station. This would need to be wholly funded through developer contributions or other funding sources because TfL is not currently able to commit funding.	Support noted. A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as at the point of application all planning obligations will be considered in the round and in line with policy BFN4. Transport improvements and projects will be considered at application stage and on an application specific basis, weighing up viability constraints and other site specific requirements. The Council will also support the exploration of additional sources of funding to enable the delivery of the required infrastructure.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/057	Neighborhoods	HS1 Town Centre Network	N1.SA1 Beckton Riverside		Development principles			Note also that <u>Policy HS1 (2a)</u> states “Resisting incremental change to the composition of existing Gallions Reach Shopping Park, which remains an out of centre retail park” makes it unclear whether or not this site is allocated for development, which it does appear to be under Policy N1.SA1.)	This policy approach has not changed. Until the DLR contract is let and transformational development can occur in the northern part of the site allocation, including Gallions Reach Shopping Park, the shopping park remains an out of town centre retail park and will be treated as such in policy. To do otherwise risks entrenching a car-dependent and unsustainable retail location, potentially undermining the delivery of a more sustainable town centre network across the borough and East London. It is considered there will be sufficient time for phases of development to occur during the works to build out the DLR and enable the development of a sense of place and activation, especially if a masterplan, suitably conditioned to acknowledge the range of potential transport outcomes and related development options for the site, is pursued by both landowners in advance.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-K-001	Abrdn	Reg18-K-001/016	Neighbourhoods							Abrdn suggest further clarification is required in respect of the purpose of the Neighbourhood Policies including Policy N1 – Gallions Reach. The Policy is very detailed however does not provide any specific requirements in respect of potential quantum of development (unit numbers), housing mix, and distribution of land uses. It is unclear how these Regulation 18 neighbourhood policies will be used to maximise the development potential of the site, without delaying delivery through layers of policy, masterplanning and phasing. Abrdn will provide separate detailed comments on this policy.	The neighbourhood policy, including its vision, sets out the overall approach to how the neighbourhood will change and development up to 2038. This includes design principles for how sites should be optimised for housing as well as requirements for different types of use. The neighbourhood policy is supported by the site allocation for N17.SA1 which provides further detail on the requirements for different phases of development on the site. The borough-wide housing mix policies will apply to the site.
Reg18-E-121	Barratt London	Reg18-E-121/021	Neighbourhoods			Neighbourhood boundary				We note that in our representations to the Issues and Options Consultation of the Local Plan Refresh, submitted in December 2021, we requested that the Council engage with us to bring forward neighbourhoods which are deliverable and fully realise the potential of allocated Sites. We would like to take the opportunity to reiterate the benefit of engagement with stakeholders including Barratt London, in the development of the neighbourhood character areas.	Comment noted. The neighbourhoods and site allocations have been drafted on the basis of extensive consultation on the Issues and Options and engagement undertaken specifically during the production of the Characterisation Study. Changes have been made to both the neighbourhood policies and site allocations as a result of comments received on the Draft Local Plan. Please see the Neighbourhoods chapter.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/181	Neighborhoods				Maps			The maps could be more clearer	This policy approach has now changed due to review of the maps and the inclusion of road names on the maps. Please see the new maps that accompany the site allocations.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/185	Neighborhoods				Maps			Need to label roads on these maps	This policy approach has now changed due to review of the maps and the inclusion of road names on the maps. Please see the new maps that accompany the site allocations.
Reg18-Ab-001	Beckton and Royal Docks Assembly	Reg18-Ab-001/186	Neighborhoods							I don't know if this is even feasible, but is there any plan to put something in place to mitigate the noise coming from A13?	The impact of noise was considered as part of the site assessment process for the site allocations in the Local Plan and is addressed, where relevant, in the design principles of the site allocations. It is also addressed through other Local Plan policies, including Local Plan policy D7.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/005	Neighborhoods							All proposals / site allocations which propose building on green space should be deleted.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the approach to site allocations on green space is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This sets out how open space was considered during the site sifting and site assessment stages of allocating sites. The development principles of the few sites involving open space require the re-provision in accordance with GWS1. The site allocations have also been informed by the finalised Green and Water Study which has informed the infrastructure requirements for different types of open space on sites, which include parks of different scales across the borough. Please see the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note for further information and the wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements of site allocations.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/006	Neighborhoods							A number of Site Allocations incorporate proposals to build on green space: these should be deleted and/or revised to state that all amenity green space will be retained due to the acute shortage in the borough	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the approach to site allocations on green space is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This sets out how open space was considered during the site sifting and site assessment stages of allocating sites. The development principles of the few sites involving open space require the re-provision in accordance with GWS1. The site allocations have also been informed by the finalised Green and Water Study which has informed the infrastructure requirements for different types of open space on sites, which include parks of different scales across the borough. Please see the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note for further information and the wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements of site allocations

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-040	CPRE	Reg18-E-040/007	Neighborhoods							More generally, the plan should be revised to remove/edit any Site Allocations so no existing green space is lost.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the approach to site allocations on green space is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. This sets out how open space was considered during the site sifting and site assessment stages of allocating sites. The development principles of the few sites involving open space require the reprovision in accordance with GWS1. The site allocations have also been informed by the finalised Green and Water Study which has informed the infrastructure requirements for different types of open space on sites, which include parks of different scales across the borough. Please see the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note for further information and the wording in the development principles and infrastructure requirements of site allocations

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-151	Cllr Islam, Cllr Beckles, Cllr Choudhury, Cllr Corben, Cllr Master, Cllr Sarley Pontin	Reg18-E-151/045	Neighbourhoods							This includes, for instance, the urban village concept for Forest Gate Town Centre, which seems to have as its driver the gentrification of Forest Gate and dispossession of its already marginalised communities.	A change to this policy approach has been made. Please see revised wording in Neighbourhoods policy N15. Gentrification is a process where an increase in high income residents to an area changes its character, displacing existing residents and businesses due to increasing rents and house prices. Even where the previous population may not be displaced, the changes in population and character, businesses and spaces can make long term residents feel unwelcome or priced out of participating in community spaces and activities. To address this phenomenon the Local Plan includes policies to deliver affordable housing across the borough; to increase the number of affordable retail units in new town centres (so independent and local business can afford to open in them); creating greater flexibility on where smaller community facilities can be located, so they are in areas where it may be cheaper to rent or purchase space and located more evenly across the borough; to require developments delivering space for businesses to sign up to the Community Wealth Building pledges and provide priority access to jobs and fund training for local residents; to ensure new community facilities are accessible to all residents and are designed to meet the needs of the local community. The Plan also requires that all significant developments are

<b>Comment Response</b>	masterplanned alongside the existing community - so that the community are central to shaping the borough as it changes.
<b>Comment</b>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>Clause</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Site allocation</b>	
<b>Policy</b>	
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>Comment Reference</b>	
<b>Representor</b>	
<b>Representation Reference</b>	

Reg18-E-151	Cllr Islam, Cllr Beckles, Cllr Choudhury, Cllr Corben, Cllr Master, Cllr Sarley Pontin	Reg18-E-151/074	Neighbourhoods							This lack of engagement is demonstrated by the mapping of neighbourhoods which are not recognised by residents.	The neighbourhood boundaries were identified through the Newham Characterisation Study (2022) and informed by public engagement which took place in autumn 2021 and winter 2022. The boundaries of the neighbourhoods were identified through an analysis of the different ways the borough can be subdivided. This included factors like administrative boundaries such as ward boundaries and planning designations such as town centre boundaries or conservation areas. The analysis also considered their character including the look and feel of an area and their function such as the type of uses in an area as well as demographic information. The boundaries were further shaped by public engagement and feedback from residents, businesses and local organisations on what they perceive to be their neighbourhood, what is important to them and what they like and don't like. There was also workshops with officers from across the council and a Councillor workshop. The findings of this consultation can be found in the Newham Characterisation Study.
-------------	--	-----------------	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-151	Cllr Islam, Cllr Beckles, Cllr Choudhury, Cllr Corben, Cllr Master, Cllr Sarley Pontin	Reg18-E-151/092	Neighbourhoods							<p>In the Plan we note that there is significant focus on allocated sites for housing development at the edges of the borough, we are disappointed that land owned by the Council and Housing (a significant land holder especially in core areas of the borough) is not considered in terms of in-fill development or regeneration. There is significant potential for new housing to meet the needs of residents, but this option does not seem to have been explored in comparison to other boroughs in London and we would be interested to know why.</p>	<p>The spatial strategy directs development to all of Newham's neighbourhoods at an appropriate scale and design. The Plan includes site allocations where significant levels of growth are anticipated, however a significant proportion of housing development will come forward on unallocated sites (known as windfall sites).</p> <p>The approach to site allocations is set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Note. This outlines the process and criteria used to identify site allocations. The neighbourhood's 45 site allocations are distributed across the borough with a site allocation in each neighbourhood, with the exception of three neighbourhoods: Manor Road, East Ham South and Manor Park and Little Ilford. No sites are included in the Manor Road neighbourhood given its industrial character and whilst sites in East Ham South and Manor Park and Little Ilford were assessed, they did not meet the requirements for a site allocation. This was mainly due to their size and as they are already coming forward as part of the Council's housing programme and can be delivered without the need for a site allocation.</p> <p>Many of the site allocations are on public land in Council ownership, particularly in</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										<p>the Custom House, North Woolwich, Beckton and Plaistow neighbourhoods.</p> <p>In addition to the site allocations, the neighbourhood policies set out where increased densities will be supported, based on the identification of areas suitable for enhancement as part of the Newham Characterisation Study.</p> <p>We have also undertaken work on Small Sites, in collaboration with the assets team, and produced guidance on how these sites could come forward. Further information about this work can be found in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note.</p>	
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/202	Neighbourhoods			Introduction			<p>[Correction]</p> <p>In reference to the Map of Newham on page 297, a correction is required. It states, 'Map of Newham's 16 Site Allocations and 44 Site allocations.' This should read 'Map of Newham's 16 neighbourhoods and 44 Site Allocations.'</p>	<p>This wording change has been made. Please see the new wording in the map of Newham's neighbourhoods in the Neighbourhoods chapter.</p>	

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-145	Environment Agency	Reg18-E-145/203	Neighbourhoods				Design principles			Flood risk We welcome the inclusion of the following design principle for development within flood zones: 'The design and layout should take account of risk of flooding from all sources and meet the requirements of Local Plan Policy CE7'	Support noted.
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/008	Neighbourhoods							We note that a number of the site allocations identified within the respective neighbourhood sections are extremely broad in nature – N8.SA1 appears to include most of Stratford town centre for example. While relevant heritage assets are largely identified and referenced within each site allocation (although please see comments in relation to individual sites at Appendix A), there does not appear to have been any analysis of the potential effects of new development on the significance of nearby heritage assets, or the application of such analysis to help guide emerging design parameters.	This policy approach has now changed due to the addition of more detailed design principles which reference the importance of conserving and enhancing the significance of heritage assets. A reference to the relevant Character Appraisal and Management Plans has been included. The wording of Local Plan Policy D4 and relevant site allocation design principles has been changed to clarify how development proposals of tall building in proximity to sensitive areas could respond to the historic environment and manage the transition between conserve and transform areas. Please see the new wording in Local Plan Policy N8, Table 1: Tall Building Zones, implementation text D4.3 and the design principles relevant site allocations in N8, including N8.SA1 Stratford Central.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-147	Historic England	Reg18-E-147/010	Neighbourhoods							<p>We note that there are no references to Heritage at Risk (HAR) within the draft site allocations. [...] We would therefore suggest that the emerging Plan offers an opportunity to help address the issue of HAR in the borough, while also reflecting the requirements of the NPPF for the sufficient provision for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment (para 20) and a positive strategy for it (para 190). The inclusion of text within the relevant site allocations to make clear that development proposals that would help resolve outstanding HAR cases will be supported (subject to satisfying other relevant policies in the Plan) would be an appropriate mechanism to address this.</p>	<p>This policy approach has now changed to recognise the assets in site allocations which are currently on the Heritage at Risk register. Please see the new wording in the site allocation profile and the design principles of site allocations N3.SA1, N2.SA1, N1.SA1 and N8.SA1.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-126	IXDS Ltd	Reg18-E-126/037	Neighbourhoods				Design principles			3.14 The defining of movement networks for the site allocations (and indeed other site allocations within the plan) is an overly rigid approach at Local Plan level and has the potential to unnecessarily constrain development opportunities. The indicative movement networks do not appear to adhere to ownership patterns, which could further complicate land assembly and preclude comprehensive, masterplanned redevelopment schemes which are a requirement of draft Policy BFN2 (Co-designed Masterplanning).	This approach has now changed due to a change in approach to how key routes and connections are shown on the site allocation maps. Please see the new maps for the site allocations.
Reg18-E-084	London Historic Parks and Gardens Trust	Reg18-E-084/021	Neighbourhoods			Site profile				Newham Local Plan Neighbourhoods  Please consider inserting a row in the tables against each allocated site to indicate where an allocated site is adjacent to/in the vicinity of public open space.	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this level of detail necessary for the site profiles and the impact of development next to green space will be assessed against Local Plan Policy GWS1.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-052	London Legacy Development Corporation	Reg18-E-052/020	Neighbourhoods		New site					<p>It is apparent from a review of the draft Newham Local Plan and the draft accompanying Policies Map that this either changes or removes proposals, sites and designations that are currently in place and captured in the LLDC Local Plan. There are also two site allocations that have been deleted without the planned development having yet come forward. These are individually set out in the appended table of comments, however, it is worth noting that changing or removing existing land use designations and site allocations will have specific consequences for what will and will not be acceptable from a land-use and development point of view with an impact for those with an interest in those sites and locations and how they develop or manage these. Where these have a specific impact on the interests of the LLDC it would be helpful to be able to discuss these further in order to explore whether these changes require amendment or can be supported.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. An assessment of the existing LLDC Local Plan site allocations in Newham has been undertaken during the preparation of the Draft Newham Local Plan as set out in the Site Allocation and Housing Trajectory Methodology Note. The majority of the site allocations have been included and updated to ensure alignment with our approach to sites and to reflect our borough-wide evidence on the need for different uses. This process also identified some sites that were no longer required due to their delivery status. Two further sites did not meet the criteria to be included as site allocations and a more detailed response on these sites are included elsewhere in this consultation report.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-091	Resident	Reg18-E-091/003	Neighbourhoods							Comment 1: Local Plan, Site Allocations (Page 297): Typically, site allocation information doesn't provide much useful information to help developers understand the implications of ecology at RIBA stage 0/1. The Viability Report emphasises that "The Council should work closely with developers to ensure that landowners' expectations of land value are appropriately framed by the local policy context"	A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Local Plan is taking forward the London Plan (2021) approach as set out in Policy G5 Urban Greening. See Local Plan Policy GWS3.5.
Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/002	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation Study	Section: Introduction Comment on evidence base documents: a) Characterisation study Maccreanor Lavington, Dec 2022 General comment: The 15 minute neighbourhood needs more explanation to highlight the interaction of wider urban infrastructure needs. I feel this is a considerable weakness for its conclusions as the 15 minute framework is not used effectively to evaluate deficits .	Comment noted. The 15-minute neighbourhood concept is explained in the Local Plan (page 299) and the characterisation study (page 135). It is also used as a framework to assess deficits within the Characterisation Study and across all the Local Plan evidence base documents assessing social infrastructure provision, including the Green and Water Infrastructure Study, the Community Facilities Needs Assessment, the Build Leisure Needs Assessment and the Town Centre Network Review Methodology Paper. Further details are provided in each of those documents.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/003	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation Study	[regarding 15 min neighbourhood and Characterisation Study] Good access to Primary and community Health and Education are assumed to be able to be accommodated and there is clearly existing social pressures with the current levels of population locally.	Comment noted. The Local Plan addresses the need for social infrastructure through protecting existing community facilities and health facilities (SI1) and supporting new social infrastructure (SI2, SI3 and SI4). The Neighbourhood chapter and relevant site allocations have also been updated to reflect the evidenced need for community facilities, education facilities, childcare facilities, built leisure facilities and playing pitches. This is based on updated evidence which has considered the existing social infrastructure and considered the impact of population growth to the end of the Local Plan period in 2038. Land has been allocated within site allocations to accommodate new facilities to meet this need.
Reg18-E-139	Resident	Reg18-E-139/004	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation Study	[regarding 15 min neighbourhood and Characterisation Study] The tenure of residents and effect of low income households is also not evaluated in its effects and additional supports for community wellbeing.	Comment noted. Chapter 5 of the Characterisation Study considers the impact of various socio-economic factors, including tenure and income and concludes there is an increased need for community facilities.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-127	Resident and community facility development manager	Reg18-T-127/004	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation study	[Please provide your comments on the Characterisation Study below.] Chapter 6 p129 The rail lines are perceived as community boundaries in the same way the A13 is. The illustration does not show this. This has been further confirmed this in conversation with other residents and a recorded comment in the consultation at the end of the document and noted on p243.	The diagram indicates key themes raised through the engagement that took place during the preparation of the Characterisation Study, rather all of the factors taken into consideration when setting the neighbourhood boundaries. The feedback from the assemblies on neighbourhoods has been taken into account and considered. The response to the suggested boundary changes are set out in this report.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-127	Resident and community facility development manager	Reg18-T-127/006	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation study	[Please provide your comments on the Characterisation Study below.] p243 Strengths highlighted such as 'greenspace and parks' and 'high density of social and community facilities not upheld by the community facilities needs assessment or Newham Green& water spaces Strategy.	Comment noted. The Characterisation study and the Community Facilities Needs Assessment (CFNA) come to similar conclusions regarding a high density of social and community facilities in the East Ham Local Plan Neighbourhood Area, which is that it is higher than the Newham average (page 48). Please note the CFNA does then review the quantity of facilities per Community Neighbourhood Area and notes that the East Ham Community Neighbourhood Area (an area much larger than the East Ham Local Plan Neighbourhood Area) has a low number of facilities per head of population (page 50). The CFNA was unable to consider number of facilities per head of population for the local plan neighbourhood areas as population figures are not available at that scale. The Green and Water Infrastructure Study considers two measures for access to open space – proximity and by head of population by ward. It notes that all three east ham wards have a deficiency in quantity of open space per head of population however it does have good access to different types of open space, which is the measure reflected in the Characterisation Study.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-T-127	Resident and community facility development manager	Reg18-T-127/007	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation study	[Please provide your comments on the Characterisation Study below.] No mention of very poor TPAL scores in Wall End Ward.	This is addressed in the functional character section of the neighbourhood design principles chapter for both East Ham and East Ham South neighbourhoods.
Reg18-T-127	Resident and community facility development manager	Reg18-T-127/008	Neighbourhoods						Characterisation study	[Please provide your comments on the Characterisation Study below.] P245 In opportunities no mention of lack of access to natural environment	This is addressed in the natural infrastructure section of the neighbourhood design principles.
Reg18-E-092	Royal Docks	Reg18-E-092/031	Neighbourhoods							Neighbourhoods As you know, the RDT have, with input from your team, been working with DKCM on a series of "Place Profiles" for the neighbourhoods across the Royal Docks. This work, which has been previously shared, sets out the scale of change in these places and what the potential development looks like.	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-116	SEGRO Plc	Reg18-E-116/005a	Neighbourhoods			Neighbourhood profiles				b. Neighbourhood Profiles SEGRO considers that the Neighbourhood Profiles should also be reviewed to more specifically refer to existing industrial land and uses. In particular, <u>SEGRO suggests that the profiles for N1 Gallions Reach, N8 Stratford and Maryland and N12 East Ham South are reviewed to reflect the significant industrial holdings contained within these areas.</u>	A change to this wording has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the neighbourhood profiles contain a sufficient summary of the different types of uses in the neighbourhoods.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/044	Neighbourhoods							Most neighbourhood visions include aspects of Active Design which is welcomed as this could encourage communities to be physically active.	Support noted.
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/045	Neighbourhoods							However there is limited mention of sport facility provision except new/expanded facilities at Gallons Reach, Silvertown Keys [Silvertown Quays], Canning Town and Custom House, Plaistow and Beckton and most of these relate to leisure centre provision. Sport England appreciates that this could be due to the Local Plan Draft being developed prior to the Sport Facility Strategies being finished consequently the needs for each neighbourhood are not fully known. As a result, at this stage, Sport England would like to just comment that the sport facility requirements of each neighbourhood should be reviewed	The Regulation 18 site allocations were informed by the early modelling work for the Built Leisure Needs Assessment. This has been refined and finalised and has informed the latest requirements for leisure which are set out in the Local Plan's site allocations. The Built Leisure Needs Assessment will be published at the Regulation 19 consultation and is our evidence to support our policy approach to the borough's leisure needs.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
										once the emerging strategies are finalised.	
Reg18-E-118	Sport England	Reg18-E-118/058	Neighbourhoods							If there are sport facilities that any other site allocation seeks to lose that has not been highlighted above, please bring this to the attention of Sport England so it can review that particular allocation(s).	Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/007	Neighborhoods							These representations have therefore been prepared in relation to all four St William Sites within LBN: the Bromley by Bow gasholder site; Beckton Gasworks, the East Ham gasholder site and the Former Abbey Lane Gasworks and Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited in relation to their site at Twelvetrees Park.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-136	St William Homes LLP and Berkeley South East London Limited	Reg18-E-136/008	Neighborhoods							Given the specific nature of former gasholder sites we have enclosed at Appendix 1 a document outlining the relevant planning policies with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	Comment noted.
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/010	Neighborhoods				Vision			TLS would also like to put on record our overall support for the regeneration of the Royal Docks. It covers a huge area and is a fantastic opportunity for Newham. New homes, new jobs and new leisure activities are a very good thing.	Support noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-128	Tate & Lyle Sugars	Reg18-E-128/011	Neighbourhoods				Vision			It is important that older, longstanding communities fully share in the opportunities this delivers, especially job and economic opportunities for young people in North Woolwich and Custom House. TLS works extensively with LBN on the wider community wealth building agenda and the planning regime is only one (albeit important) part of it. The long-term vision for the Royal Docks should be as a thriving, prosperous, mixed use area where industry and residents and old and new communities happily co-exist for decades to come. It is very important planners to understand that the Docks are not a new area. They are an old area with some long established, enduring activities where new neighbourhoods are being constructed alongside old neighbourhoods.	A change to this policy has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the vision for the neighbourhoods in the Royal Docks reflect both the existing communities and character and how the neighbourhoods will change over the next 15 years.

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/006	Neighborhoods		New site					<p>West Ham Bus Garage:  TTLP promoted this land as part of the 2021 call for sites consultation. TTLP are exploring the potential for development at West Ham bus garage, but this will be subject to identifying options to safeguard the existing bus operations and garage capacity. This could include bus decant to a suitable agreed location or co-location of uses on the existing site subject to agreement with TfL London Buses and consistent with London Plan Policy T3. The current use of the site is a bus garage, which includes hangars and a large parking space for the buses located to the south of the structures. It is understood that approx. 0.5 ha of the site is within LB Newham ownership but is leased on a long lease to London Bus Services Limited (a subsidiary of TfL). The rest of the site (2.5 ha approx.) is owned by the London Bus Services Limited. TTLP would welcome conversations with LB Newham as freeholders of part of the site.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site remains designated as a Local Industrial Location. Local Plan J1 sets out the appropriate uses for Local Industrial Locations.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/007	Neighborhoods		New site					<p>The site has been designated as a Local Industrial Location by Newham council. The London Plan Policy E7 states that in LSIS the scope for co-locating industrial uses with residential and other uses may be considered but this should be part of a plan-led or masterplanning process. TTLP would like to work with LB Newham to assess the potential for co-location at this site, which should be facilitated through a site allocation within the emerging Local Plan. There could be potential for colocation of industrial and residential uses to the west of the bus garage to continue the extension and transition of the residential area to the north with the rest of the industrial estate to the south.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be appropriate as the site remains designated as a Local Industrial Location. Local Plan J1 sets out the appropriate uses for Local Industrial Locations.</p>

Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation	Comment	Comment Response
Reg18-E-080	Transport Trading Limited Properties Limited	Reg18-E-080/009	Neighbourhoods		New site					<p>Solomon Road</p> <p>TTLP promoted this land as part of the 2021 call for sites consultation. This is a relatively small site of 0.11 Ha with capacity for approximately 10 homes. The site is currently vacant brownfield land which lies between Solomon Road, Egham Road and Newham Way. The site previously contained a residential terrace which was demolished to enable works to Newham Way. This site has been marketed through the GLA's small sites/small developers programme and TTLP is working with a development partner for proposals to restore the original residential use of the site and bring forward development in the near future.</p>	<p>A change to this policy approach has not been made. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the site does not meet the criteria to be a site allocation. This does not prevent the site coming forward through the development management process.</p>