

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19-E-028	Newham Muslim Burial Association	NTR Planning	Reg19-E-028/008	Glossary							Blank	Blank						Blank	[Representation No. 1: Social Infrastructure and Community Facilities] 3.4 Table 18 within the Glossary at Appendix 1 defines ‘social infrastructure’, listing the types of uses to be included under this heading. Burial facilities are currently not included in this table, and this should be amended to ensure their inclusion, either under the heading of ‘other’ or under a new heading of ‘burial facilities’. This would ensure consistency with the plan which includes burial policy SI5 within the SI Chapter.		This need for clarification is noted. This has been rectified by making the following wording change: Social Infrastructure: Includes the uses described in the table below. Table 18: Social Infrastructure use types and Use Classes Burial Space (SI5) Cemeteries and burial grounds Sui Generis which is included in the modification table.
Reg19-E-081	Metropolitan Police Service - Designing Out Crime		Reg19-E-081/019	Glossary							Blank	Blank						Blank	10) We would still recommended that in the Glossary and Abbreviations (pages 573-588), Designing Out Crime Officers (DOCOs) and Secured by Design (SBD) are included to ensure that there is no confusion as to what these roles are and how to contact them as per Policy D1 requirements. Our unit would be willing to help write these if required, but more information can be found at www.securedbydesign.com .		This wording change is not supported. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Secured by Design accreditation is a well known industry standard and easily researched. This is proportionate and consistent with the approach to other accreditations the Plan requires, which also do not have glossary entries. Further, the information on contacting the Designing Out Crime Officers is already published on the Council's pre-application advice page. The Council is satisfied that the plan is sound without the proposed changes.
Reg19-E-200	London City Airport		Reg19-E-200/034-l	Design	D4 Tall buildings			Table 1 Tall Building Zones												[Annex 3 Annex 3 LB Newham Draft Local Plan – Regulation 19 Review Safeguarding Comments] Ref: - Area: Appendix 1: Glossary and Abbreviations Height Limit: N/A Comment: Additional definition are suggested to be added to the glossary / abbreviations section, in relation to specific assessment often required for development in the vicinity of London City Airport. Proposed Mitigation: Add the following definitions to the glossary/abbreviations section: - IFP Assessment: Assessment of a development against current and future Instrument Flight Procedures in the context of the safe operation of an aerodrome. - ILS Assessment: Assessment of a development for potential impact on Instrument Landing System in the context of an aerodrome. - OLS Assessment: Assessment of a development in the context of protected airspace prescribed by Obstacle Limitation Surface.	This wording change is not supported. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Council considers that the level of detail proposed in the wording change is too detailed for the Local Plan. The Council’s objective for this policy approach is to ensure that applicants ensure that their developments do not impact airport safety. The Council is satisfied that the plan is sound without the proposed changes.

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19-E-200	London City Airport		Reg19-E-200/056	Glossary															Additional definitions are suggested to be added to the glossary / abbreviations section, in relation to specific assessments often required for development in the vicinity of London City Airport.	Add the following definitions to the glossary / abbreviations section: • IFP Assessment: Assessment of a development against current and future Instrument Flight Procedures in the context of the safe operation of an aerodrome. • ILS Assessment: Assessment of a development for potential impact on Instrument Landing Systems in the context of an aerodrome. • OLS Assessment: Assessment of a development in the context of protected airspace prescribed by the Obstacle Limitation Surface.	<p>This wording change is not supported. We did not consider this change to be necessary as the Council considers that the level of detail proposed in the wording change is too detailed for the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Council’s objective for this policy approach is to ensure that applicants ensure that their developments do not impact airport safety.</p> <p>The Council is satisfied that the plan is sound without the proposed changes.</p>