	Gerreran	comments to th	re <u>ran ne</u>	еданастотт	25 Neprese	21164610110	_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Comment Reference		Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification .	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- C-003	Luisa Roberto		g19-C- 3/001	General	N4.SA1 Canning Town East						Yes	Yes						Yes	N/A	N/A	Blank submission noted.
Reg19- C-010	Momodou Sanyang		g19-C- 0/001	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	I feel the local plan is both legally compliant and embed in it the duty to cooperate.	No modification necessary on my view.	Comment noted.
Reg19- C-011	JOHANN HUMAN	_	g19-C- 1/001	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	Legally compliant Legally compliant and sound		Comment noted.
Reg19- C-043	fazlurrahman mohammed		g19-C- 3/001c	Social Infrastruc ture	SI1 Existing community facilities and Health facilities	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					No	No						No	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic cross boundary matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Please see our continued engagement of strategic cross boundary matters in our Duty to Cooperate Report and Statements of Common Ground with Duty to Cooperate partners.
Reg19- C-043	fazlurrahman mohammed		g19-C- 3/003a	General							No	No						No	[The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons:] Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement.		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- C-051	Idris Patel		g19-C- 1/001a	Social Infrastruc ture	SI3 Cultural facilities and spot and recreation facilities	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					No	No						No	it is the duty of the council to engage with the land owner prior to any planning.		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh

	Genera	al Comments	s to the <u>full l</u>	<u>kegulation</u>	19 Repres	sentatio	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- C-053	Alex Gray		Reg19-C- 053/001	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	N/A	N/A	Blank submission noted.
Reg19- E-006	Maria Marino		Reg19-E- 006/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Many thanks for this. I was interesting the session . I went to East Ham library to get a hardy copy of the LP but I didn't found any I can take to my practice .		Comment noted. The Local Plans were not available to take away from Newham libraries. This ensured a copy remained available for those who couldn't access the Local Plan on our website. This complies with Regulation 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
Reg19- E-006	Maria Marino		Reg19-E- 006/003	General							Blank	Blan						Blank	Please note that the consultancy in charge of this study stated that the boundaries has been changed by residents and this has to be supervised as many residents don't participe in consulation due they are not settle of homeowners living in HMO and don't care about the LP. For my own experince volunteering in the communities assembles one year always we have the same issue where the conversation is being dominated by few residents not all. It is a group of resident living in the conservation area who has the power as they participate en Comunity Assemblies they are mostly enghish, wealthy and homeowners living in Manor Park Conservation Area that now has pushed to. be part of Forest Gate depriving Manor Park of the only conservation area has with not sense. Other wards has not participation to decide or change boundaries as they are mostly vulnerable, poor, sick, disable, tenants and travellers in HMO many don't speak enghish so you can not rely only in the residents who participate as is has many other that don't have a voice for the reasons exposed above		Comment noted. We acknowledge the challenges you raise regarding who participates in consultations and we do our best to make our local plan consultations accessible for all and encourage participation from underrepresented groups. We identified language barriers as a potential barrier to inclusion for residents. So we ensured that our promotional materials advertising each stage of the consultation included information about how to access the consultation in the most commonly spoken languages in Newham. Additionally, the online consultation software supported translation software, making the plan accessible in various languages using a readaloud functionality. At the Regulation 19 stage of the consultation we also reached out to 63 community organisations, representing faith groups, people with disabilities, LGBTQ+ and other vulnerable groups. We request if we could attend one of their meetings to give an explanation of the Local Plan consultation, why some policies may be of interest to them, and explain the process for submitting a formal representation on the Local Plan consultation. Following this inquiry we attended meetings with the Inter-Faith Forum, One Newham, Stratford BID and the Homelessness Forum. This was alongside holding online and in-person sessions where residents could ask questions regarding the Local Plan and consultation process.
Reg19- E-006	Maria Marino		Reg19-E- 006/005	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Thank you so much for those clarifications and links that I will read with time . Yes I would like my comments be assessed please .		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai comments	to the <u>full r</u>	regulation	13 Kepres	entation	113														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-006	Maria Marino		Reg19-E- 006/009	Building a Fairer Newham							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Here is an area where women are invisible and dominated by one culture or two as I said above . My area is not diverse as Newham says at all , the opposite of what I though when I moved here to settle investing all our savings , is very sad almost impossible to socialised and make friends as they lives in their own groups not sharing with other who don't belong to them :-(Comment noted. While I am sorry this is your experience, the latest Newham Residents Survey suggest that the majority of residents agree that people get on well together and feel a sense of belonging to Newham.
Reg19- E-006	Maria Marino		Reg19-E- 006/010	Building a Fairer Newham							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	I wish my area became diverse where all can participate , buy their own food and with spaces to socialised or cowork , here is inexistant with high crime and deaths (Plashed Park)		The Local Plan addresses this topic through policy HS6, by limiting the concentration of hot food takeaways and gambling premises throughout the borough, and by promoting take up of healthy food standards. However it cannot deliver the change you have requested as the planning system cannot dictate operational models of existing businesses. The Council's Public Health department are aware of the issues of access to quality, nutritious food in the borough and improving this is one of the key priorities of the 50 Steps to a Healthier Newham strategy.
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Provisional Comments on the LB Newham Regulation 19 Draft of the Local Plan (the R19D) and subject to change/updating during the consultation process starting on 19 July 2024 1 Some General comments not in any priority order on the Regulation 19 Draft This draft is an improvement on the R18 Draft. However it still contains imperfections.		Comment noted.

Total See Cital City City On City City City On City City City On City City On City City City City On City City City City On City City City City City On City City City City City City On City City City City City City City City		00	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	togata trott	119 Kepresentati						
1 (1990)	Reg19-	David Gilles	Reg19-E-	General	1		Blan	Blan	Blan	2 Consultation Process on R19D	Comment noted. Thank you for this feedback.
The SEED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			007/003					k		The consultation process associated with	
incompanies. We consider the control of the contro											and concise and uses as plain English as far as
The characteristic control of the ch											
southward prioring Substitution of the control of t										1 .	l ·
The first served shifty of registrones on the control of the contr											I .
employment of the product of the company of the com											
understended an emplement, revers with the control of the control										1	I
on the latter free internance of board and the disconsigning the second as the death of Construction is the second as the death of Construction in the second as the death of Construction is the second as the death of the second as the secon											
thought down the common of controlled to the controlled of the con											
The exponent of the deliby of Counciding to the plant of the council of the plant of the council											
the part of the control of the contr											
the Piece rectange with according and in adultative and the present and adultative and adulta											
density year duty print in it, with the region. In old controlled the transpace of the region of th										have political oversight and ownership of	A range of consultation events were held,
mention of the extention of mention of the control										the Plan, including influencing and	including online and in person events, where
are not adequate in this segret. The segret of the property of the position o										deciding what goes in it, while being	residents were able to ask specific questions
are not adequate in this segret. The segret of the property of the position o										mindful of their statutory duties. Briefings	to planners to gain a better understanding of
A affected singuignes as executed for post they are controlled to the control service of th										are not adequate in this respect.	
Type of commodition was evaluately approach to approach to the commodition was an additional evaluation of the commodition of t										A different language is needed for each	
opcome and clients in a marker apparent to in the Research, industrial and an analysis of the Stages in Stages and Stages											·
Involve consolimentary Impacts to be been about detail and not appearable to the property of the control of th											
In Sewborn, Deserved, Communications of all states to be about here of the communication and on the communication and on the communication and the communi											
tables in a boson abbatic character or will all may be considered from the consideration of t											
which acre. With one plant of the prince of interface of			1								
discovaire and the process of making. Cancer placify own for Bill, 314 and and the control place of the Bill, 314 and and the control place of the Bill, 314 and and the control place of the Bill, 314 and the control place of the Bill, 314 and the										1 - 1	
Countil solver over the 20% SH states and the unstabled and solver of the countil and the solver of the countil and the counti											
the conceptual ratio played by pufficient in this sound. If it is contained to the contain										, ,	
this centifier Counced movement with importance in proportional councils and compared the council movement of the council move											
Coursel intermileate, in particular, leaves not been prompted to the control of the country may not a control of the country of the control of the country o										1 1 1 1	
been notapeally involved debaptise their having the postionation of responsibility to adopt the relation and compare of a southern of the postion and compare of a southern of the postion and compare of a notable and proper provise process for a notable that programmer and the postion of the											
havenge the constitutional respectivability to adopt the firm and designed as number of them attempting or in event occasions to the street occasions. The constitution by the Plant's perparary, however, has been with the constitution by the Plant's perparary, however, has been with the constitution occasions, and the constitution occasions are provided as the constitution occasions. This is part a failure of political locations by, indexence, in also reflects with the constitution occasions occasions and the constitution occasions occasions occasions and the constitution occasions										Council members, in particular, have not	
adoptine from and designets a number of them attending to consider to them attending on several occasions to consideration by the Paris's preparent, however, this been with the read people of the Constitution by the Paris's preparent, however, this been with the paris's preparent, however, this been with the paris's preparent, however, the been with the paris's preparent, however, the been with the paris's preparent (Constitutions). This is in part a failure of political loaderings, However, the about a facility of the Constitution of the Paris's preparent, however, the paris's preparent of the Constitution of the Con										been properly involved despite their	
them attempting on several accusations to establish an proper rowed process for a several process. The process of the process										having the constitutional responsibility to	
them attempting on several accusations to establish an proper rowed process for a several process. The process of the process										adopt the Plan and despite a number of	
entablish a proper review process for a reviewal could fill mit The mate gregious led of occountablish by the Plan's Chairs of the Council's Strategie and local Development Committee. This is my part a failure of political and the part of the council Strategie and local Development Committee. This is my part a failure of political development Committee. This is my part a failure of political development Committee. This is my part a failure of political development Committee. The council of the council strategies of the council of the counci											
revised Local Flam The most egregolous lack of cromulations by the Flam's gregores. Novement, has been with the gregores, however, has been with the gregores, however, has been with the Good Development Committees. I has it in part a failure of political leadershalp is However, it also reflects Mayoral and officer equitations by Mayoral and officer equitations by Mayoral and officer equitations by Mayoral and effects approach, particularly to Housing. The H190 is 600 gapes long and extremely difficult to moupting on a screen. The committees of										1 - 1	
lack of consultation by the Park's preserves, has been with the Chairs of the Count's Strategic and Local Chairs of the Count's Strategic and Local Chairs in part of a failure of political Indicators. A failure of political Indicators in a failure of political Indicators in the Failure of the Strategic and Local Chairs in the Failure of Indicators to embrace and work with the broad support of Countificion for a different insprouch. The 183 Do 6008 pages from and restremely difficult to malegate on a screen. The consultation schedule in Appearuits 7 while wetcome in little as description of responses to some of the individual wetcome in little as a description of responses to some of the individual and schedule in Appearuits of the Individual and dispite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the incitual deletions from the Regulations 200 cannot any map and publish the incitual deletions from the Regulations 100 cannotate, map and publish the incitual deletions from the Regulations 100 cannot be the REDO. For any outsides for the Plan process is it were true consumment to find the Chair of the Plan process is it were true consumment to find the Chair of the Plan process is it were true consumment to the Chair of the Plan process is it were true consumment to the Chair of the Plan process is it were true consumment to the changes that have been made to the REDO in the changes that have been made to the REDO in the changes that have been made to the REDO in the changes that have been made to the REDO in the changes that have been changes. Sentions thought needs to be given as to thow this process and the changes and the changes are sentions thought needs to be given as to thow this process and the changes are sentions throught needs to be given as to the total process and the process and the process are to the process and the process										1 1	
preparers, however, has been with the Chars of the Count's Storage and Coal Development Committees. Development Committees. Interest to the Committee of the Committee of the County o											
Chairs of the Countil's Strategic and Local Development Cammittees. This is in pair a failure of profitical profits of the Countil of the Co											
Development Committees This is in part a failure of political leadership. However, ir also reflects Mayed and officer relationate to part of the part											
This, is in part a failure of political leadership. However, I also criffects Mayyoral and officer reluctance to embrace and work with the broad support of Councillosis of a different approach, the part of Councillosis of a different approach, the part of Councillosis of a different approach, the part of											
leadership. However, It also reflects Mayoral and officer reductanes to embrace and work with the broad support of councillors for a different approach, particularly to housing. The NSO's 000 pages long and entermenty and the pages of the page of										1	
Mayoral and officer reductance to embrace and work with the broad puport of Councillors for a different approach, particularly to Incompany, and set serving. The particularly to Incompany and set serving difficult to anyight on a screen. The consultation schedule in Appendix Y willies welcome in Itself as a description of responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort publish the troated decisions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (file R180) in response to consultation and the additions to the R190. For any outdetfor the Plan (file R180) in response to consultation and the additions to the R190. For any outdetfor the Plan (file R180) in response to consultation and the additions to the R190. For any outdetfor the Plan (file R180) is the product of the Plan (file R180) in response to consultation and the R180 is the Plan file R180 is the Plan file R180 is the R180 is the Plan file R180 is the R180 is the Plan file R180 is t											
embrace and work with the broad support of Councilions for adifferent approach, particularly to housing. The REDOs of Bos ages long and extremely difficult to navigation on a screen. The consultations streetide in Appendix P valid expenses to some of the individual responses to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Uraft of the Plant (see RLBO) in responses to consultation and the Regulation 18 Uraft of the Plant (see RLBO) in responses to consultation and the responses to consultation and the responses to consultation and the Regulation 18 Uraft of the Plant (see RLBO) in responses to consultation and the responses to the plant of the RLBO as it involves totally comparison with the RLBO side by alide on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is show the sometimes difficult to understand and the plant of the RLBO side by the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been each plant attempt to do this has been each enterpreted and the results.											
of Councilions for a different approach, particularly to housing. The R190 is 608 pages long and extremely difficult to navigate on a screen. The consultation schedule in Appendix 7 while welcome in fixed is a description of responses to some of the individual appresentations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and services of the particular and services of the individual appresentation of the particular and services of the particular and services of the services of											
particularly to housing. The R109 is 508 pages long and extremely difficult to navigate on a screen. The consultation schedule in Appendix P while welcome in itself as a description of responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort hab been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 or Hol for House the House the Appendix of the Appendix of the R180 in response to consultation and the additions to the R180. The Appendix of the R180 in the R180 in response to consultation and the additions to the R180 in the strain of the R180 in the R180											
The R190 is 608 pages long and extremely difficult to anyatige to an screen. The consultation schedule in Appendix 7 while welcome in itself as a description of responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R180) in response to consultation and the additions to the R190. For any outsider to the R190. For any outsider to the R190 as it involves textual comparison with the R180 sold by side to yield on the R190 as it involves textual comparison with the R180 sold by side on sown ever page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given to the spen so the process of the pr										1	
difficult to navigate on a screen. The consultation schedule in Appendix 3 while welcome in itself as a description of responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not stifficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual delections from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plant (the RIBD) in response to consultation and the additions to the RIDD. Do not some the response to consultation and the additions to the RIDD process it is for any outsider to the Plant process it is for any outsider to the Plant process it is for any outsider to the Plant process it is involves textual comparison with the BIBD sale by aid on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changed. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed RIDD should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
consultation schedule in Appendix 7 while welcome in Itself as a description of responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a requeste to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draff of the Plan (the R180) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual companison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed K19S should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
welcome in itself as a description of responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to an unatte, map and publish the restrual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R180) in response to consultation and the additions to consultation and the additions to consultation and the additions to the 1910. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R190 as it involves textual companison with the R180 side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R190 should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been and the results										difficult to navigate on a screen. The	
responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate the some side of the some side of the some side of the some side of the some times difficult to understand and then assimilate the side of the side of the some side of the side of the some side of the side of t											
responses to some of the individual representations is helpful and necessary but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate the some side of the some side of the some side of the some side of the some times difficult to understand and then assimilate the side of the side of the some side of the side of the some side of the side of t										welcome in itself as a description of	
but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and ther past attempt to do this has been attempted and ther past attempt to do this has been attempted and ther results			1							responses to some of the individual	
but not sufficient. In particular and despite a request to the Mayor no effort has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and ther past attempt to do this has been attempted and ther past attempt to do this has been attempted and ther results										representations is helpful and necessary	
despite a request to the Mayor nor effort has been amusted, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted.											
has been made to annotate, map and publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultion and the additions to the N19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D sit involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also the some of the R18D side that have been made to the R18D side that have been when sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate sorious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R18 phould be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
publish the textual deletions from the Regulation 18 Orat of the Plan (the R18D) In response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to R19D as it involves textual side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted to do to do the has been attempted to do this has been attempted to do the steam of the process of the											
Regulation 18 Draft of the Plan (the R18D) in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An impered and the results			1							1	
in response to consultation and the additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt do do this has been attempted and the results										1 .	
additions to the R19D. For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought need to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An impercal and the results											
For any outsider to the Plan process it is very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the Anges at it involves textual comparison with the R180 as it involves textual comparison with the R180 side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R190 should be the first step. An impress and patrial late to do this has been attempted and the results										· ·	
very time consuming to find the changes that have been made to the R19D as it involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then seismis difficult to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be there then changes the changed R19D should be the changed R19D should be the more than the change of the R19D should be the more than the change of the R19D should be the more than the change of the R19D should be the more than the R19D should be the more than the R19D should be the more than the more than the R19D should be the more than the more			1								
that have been made to the R19D as it involves extual comparison with the R18D comparison with t											
involves textual comparison with the R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have disclinated then sometimes de difficulte to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track change by the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
R18D side by side on a screen where page numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
numbers have changed. It is also then sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at 1 how this process can be improved. At 1 how the changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results			1							1	
sometimes difficult to understand and then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
then assimilate these changes. Serious thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
thought needs to be given at to how this process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
process can be improved. A Track changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results			1							_	
changed R19D should be the first step. An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results										thought needs to be given at to how this	
An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results											
An imperfect and partial attempt to do this has been attempted and the results										changed R19D should be the first step.	
this has been attempted and the results			1								
					<u>'</u>		•		 •	1	

	Gene	ral Comment	s to the <u>full f</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/005	General							Blan k	Blan						Blan k	4 Equalities Impact Assessment The Equalities Impact Assessment appended to the R19D is a significant change from the EQIA in the Regulation 18 Draft. It is clear that criticism of the previous tick box approach has had an impact. The latest EIA has been drafted by same consultants who wrote the earlier very poor assessment. Whilst the latest assessment is some improvement, the higher standard of the EIA that was in the Local Plan of 2018 has not been met and further improvements are required. More will be said on this matter in due course.		Comment noted. The Local Plan is a strategy to manage development in the borough, and as such touches on many aspects of people's day to day lives through their interaction with the built environment. By its nature, the Local Plan is a high level document which is broad in scope – addressing the application of standards, guidance and targets to deliver a range of physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, housing, employment, green space and other outcomes. In addition, the Local Plan is limited in what it can influence. It cannot address in detail the operation of buildings and spaces that it helps deliver and it cannot require changes to happen outside of planning processes or without the involvement of the landowner. These two factors mean that while a full EqIA is required for the Local Plan, this is necessarily high level both in the data it can use for the assessment and the potential outcomes it can identify. Planning Policy Officers have worked with the Council's Inclusion Officers to adapt the corporate EQIA process in a meaningful and appropriate way for the Local Plan. A range of data sources have been used that include data on the specific needs and challenges of specific sections of the population, including from Newham's Population Surveys and the Census 2021. We believe our approach and the outcomes of the EQIA assessment are proportionate and effective for plan-making purposes. In addition, in recognition of the policy flexibilities which are retained by applicants, the submission Local Plan includes policies in the Building a Fairer Newham chapter that require developers of larger sites to undertake further engagement (BFN2) and an assessment of Social Value and Health Impacts (BFN3) which will support applicants to consider how their scheme impacts Newham residents. These processes will be monitored through the Local Plan monitoring framework and appropriate for the local plan process and meets the Council's duties under the Equalities Act.

Reg19-	David Gilles	Reg19-E-	General		Blan	Blan	Bla	5 Independent Viability Assessor	Comment noted. As outlined, the Local Plan
E-007		007/006			k	k	k	Page 81 of the Report on Consultation on	policy already requires independent scrutiny
								the R18D states:	of viability information and does not specify
								"In-house viability advice	who this is undertaken by. No change in the
								No change has been made to the policy	Plan is required to enable the Council to
								approach as the policy already requires	change who undertakes this independent
								independent scrutiny and does not specify	scrutiny or how the Council's committee
								who this is undertaken by. There are a	processes function.
								 - I	processes function.
								limited range of suppliers with significant	
								expertise to undertake reviews of viability	
								 assessments. Newham has appointed BNP	
								Paribas as a dedicated viability consultant	
								for the Council. Given very significant	
								restrictions of grant available currently	
								from central government to fund	
								affordable housing, we did not consider	
								alternative viability models would be	
								successful at delivering more affordable	
								homes."	
								This response misses the point entirely. It	
								is clear that the proposal agreed by full	
			1					 Council to appoint the Council's own	
			İ					qualified viability assessor, in addition to	
			1					BNP Paribas, has been dismissed by the	
			1					Mayor and/or Officers.	
			İ					Further proposals will, hopefully, be made	
			İ					at Council to ensure that an independent	
			1					fully qualified in house viability assessor	
								 will be appointed to provide in house	
								expertise in challenging developer led	
								proposals, alongside the work done by	
								BNP Paribas This person should also	
								manage BNP Paribas.	
								Both the GLA and other boroughs employ	
								in-house viability expertise.	
								It was also a manifesto commitment of	
								 the Newham Mayor. This should	
								therefore be a political decision, outwith	
								of the plan-writing process.	
								An example of the benefits of in-house	
								expertise is the political understanding	
								demonstrated in the text quoted below,	
								bolding added). Here the GLA's viability	
								expert Jane Seymour, in a roundtable	
								discussion published by the GLA stated:	
								"It is important to remember that it is up	
								to the decision maker to decide the	
								weight given to a viability assessment	
			İ					and, if they are able to see the outcome in	
			1					a range of different scenarios, it is easier	
			İ					for them to do this." See Appendix 1 for	
			İ					more details.[Appendix 1 is at pg 10 of	
			1					document titled Final Provisional	
			İ					Comments on LBN Draft Regulation 19	
			1					Local Plan 230724]	
			İ					It does not appear that Newham's	
			İ						
			1					Strategic Development Committee ever	
			İ					has or has had an engagement with	
			1					Newham's viability assessors that marries	
			İ					the rigor of the process with an	
			İ					understanding of the political role of the	
			1					Strategic Development Committee in	
			İ					considering alternative approaches to and	
			1					assumptions on viability.	
			1					This is another example of officers not	
			İ					responding to the policy desires of the	
			1						
			İ					 Council.	
			1					Moreover, this is possibly a nil cost	
			1					option. Given the size of the future	
			İ					development pipeline in Newham such an	
			1					officer or officers might be self-funding	
			İ					from Developer planning application fees.	
			1					In the event, consideration should	
			1					certainly be given by both officers and	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	1 1				 	1

	Genei	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			Councillors to recharging this cost to developers		
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/041	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Dear Ms Custance and Ms Kuper-Thomas Please would you take this letter and attachments as my final submission to the consultation on the current Regulation 19 Draft of LB Newham's Local Plan (the R19D). I asked Ellie Kuyper Thomas on 09 August 2024 to treat my preliminary note on the R19D as a formal submission to the consultation process. See note and email attached at Appendices 1 and 2 respectively. I also attach my email of 30 August 2024 to you. See Appendix 3. This primarily sets out my thoughts and concerns on the issue of the EIA for the R19D. I also attach my further email of 08 September 2024 to you and your reply of 18 September 2024 to you and your reply of 18 September. See Appendices 4 and 5. These emails raise various questions about the R19D and in particular why various matters raised in the Council resolution on the Regulation 18 Draft of the Local Plan have not been included in the R19D.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/042	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	An additional question here is why the "Newham Local Plan Refresh Regulation 18 Consultation Report" (the Consultation Report) downgrades the decisions of the Council to representations made by Councillors and then ignores these decisions; see eg the Consultation Report pp 79/80 which refers not to the Council decision but rather to "Councillors felt that the borough should deliver 50 percent of all new housing as social rent homes". This is a serious misrepresentation which should be remedied in a final draft of the Plan.		Comment noted. The consultation report provides a summary of the consultation responses received during the Regulation 18 consultation. The summary you reference is in relation to consultation comments received by councillors during the consultation period. The Full Council motion you reference was not a consultation response and was therefore not included in the consultation report. The motion was duly and appropriately referenced in the Cabinet decision report and in the Housing Main Changes Summary factsheet made available to the public at the Regulation 19 consultation, which explained the range of factors which fed into changes made to the Plan.

l p40	Decid Ciller	1.	D10 F	l	1 1	1 1 1	Later	Late		l plan	Lagrania de la completa de la Professión de la Carlo d	The common that combined the death of	Lower and the state of Education
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/043	General			Blan k	Blar	ian	Blan k	Moving on from this preliminary material the main thrust of this representation is	This new consultation should include the following matters. Whether or not they are	Comment noted. It is a decision of Full Council whether to submit the Plan for examination or
E-007		Ι,	007/043				K	^		κ .	to argue that given the Government's	formally part of a plan making process they	to revise the Plan and hold a further
											extension of the last date for the	are all material to the way in which the Plan	consultation.
											submission of revised Local Plans to	will affect residents and local communities	consultation.
											December 2026 there should be a further	during the Plan period:	
											consultation period on a number of the	damig the rian period.	
											matters that have been raised as part of	1 The provision of the two track changed	
											the consultation programme prior to the	versions of the Regulation 18 Draft to those	
											R19D being placed on deposit. This might	who request them;	
											best be described as a further	2 Proposals for a better EIA and in particular	
											consultation on the R18 Draft so that	how the EIA process is to be applied to	
											more than mere technical or tidying up	individual planning applications. This might	
											changes can be made. You will be best	include using or drawing on the material set	
											placed to advise on the specifics here.	out in the 2018 Local Plan as a checklist for	
												Planning applications. See my email to you	
											This request is made primarily on the	on this point;	
											basis that significant further changes	3 Proposals to provide for and a better	
											cannot be made to the R19D for the	evidenced and more rounded justification	
											various technical reasons as to the nature	for 50% Social Housing on all development	
											of the R19D consultation process as	sites and associated matters as set out in my	
											explained in Ellie Kuyper Thomas's email	preliminary submission. This should include	
											to me together with its associated papers	but not exclusively, material from the	
											of 29 July 2024. This is despite the Emails	Housing Service on the current	
											asking for comments on the R19D saying	homelessness position and the removal of	
											as late as 13 September 2024 that this	comment that invites developers, in	
											process is a "consultation" addressing	particular, to challenge the Council's	
											those to whom it was sent as "consultees"	position on 50% Social Housing;	
											and asking these people to "submit a	4 The proposed inclusion in a revised R19D	
											representation". This is contrary to the	of all the matters and text where relevant	
											comment that "The purpose of the drop	specified in the resolution adopted by	
											in sessions was to provide advice on how	Council in December 2023 including but not	
											to comment on the Local Plan, not to enter into a debate on various issues" and	exclusively the matters re Pudding Mill, East	
											particularly so when one reviews the	Bank, the ex-Sphere site and viability assessment and in particular a discussion on	
											content of the online consultation	how the viability process can be used to	
											meeting and the matters, readily and in	provide far more challenge to developer	
											my view quite properly, discussed by your	proposals that do not include 50% Social	
											staff at the Stratford meeting.	Housing. Your comment about the	
											starr at the strationa meeting.	overarching legal agreements in relation to	
											A secondary reason is that a number of	Stratford Waterfront (East Bank) and	
											my queries were not able to be answered	Pudding Mill is welcome in this respect.	
											(or answered adequately) at the Saturday	However the Plan itself should go further by	
											drop in session at Stratford library that I	referencing these legal agreements so that	
											attended because no member of staff was	they can be properly enforced and not	
											present who had sufficient seniority to be	forgotten. To not insert them suggests an	
											able to answer them.	abdication of responsibility re ensuring we	
												maximise affordable housing on these sites.	
											Such a consultation should explicitly	Your comment on viability is also welcome	
											address the issues set out below as well	but does not address the essence of the	
											as any other material issues raised in the	point that has been consistently made	
											consultation process now finished and	about the need for in house advice at the	
											also pick up on the points made in my	point of decision making on the viability	
											original submission about the	process for the reasons stated. And the	
											consultation process. These issues should	point on the ex-Sphere site is one of specific	
											be highlighted as part of the further	identification and specific policy description	
											consultation with some explanatory text	given its location and history; 5 A discussion as to the extent that tall	
											setting out the issues involved in each one and the options for dealing with them as	buildings can be minimised given the	
											part of the Local Plan process. There may	requirements of both the NPPF and the	
											well also be other issues that should be	London Plan; the strong views of residents	
											so treated and which have been raised by	on this matter and your welcome	
											third parties.	acceptance that high density can be	
												achieved through other built forms than just	
												high rise. Your comments in response to	
												the questions raised at the on line	
												consultation meeting are helpful in this	
												respect. So too would be a discussion about	
												what high density means in Newham in	
												terms of actual site availability and the	
												provision of both private and public open	
												space in the context of both quality design,	
												improving the quality of design in Newham	
												and the legacy of poor design as promoted	
												hitherto by the public sector in Newham.	

	o the full Regulation 19 Represen	itations		
				See Note 1 below [see pg 3 of file named As
				Sent DG Submission on R19 Draft Local Plan
				180924] extracted from the record of the
				online consultation meeting.
				6 Proposed changes to the R19D to take
				account of the Government's proposed
				changes to the NPPF and their impact on
				the 50% Social Housing policy;
				7 Community facilities and the sequential
				test. Again your comments in response to
				the questions raised at the on line
				consultation meeting are most helpful and
				this much clearer statement of the now
				proposed position should be included in the
				R19D as a first step. See Note 2 below [see
				pg 4 of file named As Sent DG Submission
				on R19 Draft Local Plan 180924] extracted
				from the record of the online consultation meeting. However, further consultation on
				the sequential test in relation to all applications and the inter relationship
				between community facilities and
				residential accommodation should also take
				place with the communities concerned. In
				equalities terms the "old" communities of
				Newham already have their community
				facilities where they need them, often in
				residential areas. We must be aware not to
				create a situation where our "new"
				communities are disadvantaged in this
				respect and have the discussion about that
				necessary balance in residential areas,
				which is what this discussion comes down to
				in the end;
				8 Neighbourhoods and gentrification where
				again the revised text is a definite step
				forward but where more could and should
				also be said about the Council's role in
				protecting and enhancing social
				infrastructure for existing communities;
				9 A discussion on various public health and
				the provision of adequate health
				infrastructure matters where I understand
				that Cllr Susan Masters will be submitting a
				separate representation to you;
				10 The discussion on units v habitable
]				rooms and why units should be the
				measurement used in the Local Plan;
				11 A discussion on the role of studio units, if
				any, in the provision of accommodation that will be built for a lifespan of over 60 years,
				where changes have been made in response
				to Developer proposals only;
				12 Housing Mix in the context of not only
				the SHNA but also bedsize requirements of
				households where the Council has accepted
				a Duty and households on the waiting and
				transfer lists; ie these considerations may
				dictate a different mix to that derived from
				the SHNA alone and the Housing Service
				should be involved in this discussion;
				13 Proposals for the monitoring and
				reporting process so that Local Plan targets
				(and Manifesto Commitments) can be
				regularly measured in terms of both outputs
				and outcomes in an accessible and easy to
				understand format and reported upon to
				Councillors and the community. To say that
				"the Council produces an annual monitoring
				report" is not adequate in this respect as
				the whole purpose of monitoring regularly is
				to provide a sound basis for management
				action;
				14 Any other outstanding matters from my
1	1 1		1 1 1 1	preliminary submission.

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																				Given the election of the new Government and the time over which it is intended that the new Plan has validity together with the extension of the final submission date it seems important that we now take some further time to get the Newham Plan right. I hope that the comments set out above are a starting contribution to such a process.	

Pich. This will be finded and training to conclude the standard out training and production and process, particularly in standard possible year and production and process and possible year and production and process and pr	Reg19- E-007	David Gilles	Reg19-E- 007/044	General				Blan k	Blan k			Blan k	Appendix 1 [email dated 27th July 2024] Dear Ms Kuper Thomas
colleagues at your convenience as a the process of decisions these commits approach to the NPPT and morar gar the procession of Social Property in the the procession of Social Property in the the procession of Social Property in the the morar of Social Property in the the morar of Social Property in the the morar of Social Property in the the social Property in the first the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised. I hope that the visible or recognised and the property of the recognised of the processing of the visible or the property of the processing of the visible of the recognised of the processing of the visible or the property of the processing of the visible or the property of the processing of the visible or the property of the processing of the visible or the processing of the visible or the property of the processing of the visible or the property of the processing of the visible of the processing of the visible or the processing of the visible of the processing of the visib													response on the Regulation 19 Draft Local Plan. This will be fleshed out later in the consultation process, particularly in respect of the EIA and possibly on tall
in the second control of the second of the response. Floore that they will be second s													I would like to meet with you and your colleagues at your convenience as part of the process to discuss these comments, in the context of the new Governments approach to the NPPF and more generally the provision of Social Housing. I would be most grateful if you would let me know if this is possible.
library on the RLB Drift file february and lasked you with view of the James of the													imperfections which are set out in the response. I hope that they will be incorporated. Some have now been made several times; eg those on the justification for SH, viability assessment; Eastbank and
unanimously agreed a resolution wi included 50% SE no all developmen and an unmber of other matters, sue those mentioned above, some of whe have not been included in the revise Plan. I think that it is Council and not the that finally approves the revised Plan. I think that it is Council and not the that finally approves the revised Plan Members will be strongly saked to refurther changes to the draft before approval if those matters already ra and potentially others, are not not exit and potentially others, are not not exit as a result of the R19 Consultation. In this context please could you put part of the Consultation as successful and a subject of the R19 Consultation as successful and a subject of the R19 Consultation as used to the R19 Council and which changes can now he made by Council and which ones would note either a further consultation process discussion with the Inspector, The publication of a track changed the Regulation 19 Draft Would also most helpful in failtating analysis changes made between the R18 and drafts. I hope that it will be possible to have discussion with you at an early point I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email. [attachment or email of aerds 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document and schowledgement of this email. [attachment or email classed 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document and schowledgement of this email. [attachment or email classed 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document and schowledgement of this email. [attachment or email classed 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document and schowledgement of this email. [attachment or email classed 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document and schowledgement of this email. [attachment or email classed 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document and schowledgement or the semant classes are successful to the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the counter than the count													We met briefly at the drop in at East Ham library on the R18 Draft in February 2023 and I asked you why in view of the 2022 Manifesto 50% SH was not included in the Draft. You said in essence that this was not feasible.
I think that it is Council and not the that finally approves the revised PI Members will be strongly saked to refurther changes to the drift before approval if those matters already ra and potentially others, are not include as a result of the R19 Consultation. In this context please could you put part of the Consultation a sucinctic statement in view of Paras 3.3 and 4.19 of the Covering Report to the Regulation 19 Darf Plan to Cabiner what changes can now be made by Council and which ones would need either a further consultation proces discussion with the inspector. The publication of a track changed of the Regulation 19 Darfit would also in most helpful in facilitating analysis changes made between the R18 and drafts. I hope that it will be possible to have discussion with you at an early point a such part of the council and which you are an early point a work of the process of the part of the result of the													In December last year members in Council unanimously agreed a resolution which included 50% SH on all development sites and a number of other matters, such as those mentioned above, some of which have not been included in the revised Plan.
part of the Consultation a succinct statement in view of Paras 3.5 and 4.19 of the Covering Report to the Regulation 19 Draft Plan to Cabinet what changes can now be made by Council and which ones would nece either a further consultation proces discussion with the subjector. The publication of a track changed the Regulation 19 Draft would also I most helpful in facilitating analysis of changes made between the R18 and drafts. I hope that it will be possible to hav discussion with you at an early poin I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email. [attachment to email date 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document nan													I think that it is Council and not the Mayor that finally approves the revised Plan. Members will be strongly asked to make further changes to the draft before approval if those matters already raised and potentially others, are not included
the Regulation 19 Draft would also I most helpful in facilitating analysis of changes made between the R18 and drafts. I hope that it will be possible to have discussion with you at an early point I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email. [attachment to email dated 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document nan													statement in view of Paras 3.5 and 4.15- 4.19 of the Covering Report to the Regulation 19 Draft Plan to Cabinet as to what changes can now be made by the Council and which ones would necessitate either a further consultation process or
discussion with you at an early poin I would be grateful for an acknowledgement of this email. [attachment to email dated 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document nan													The publication of a track changed copy of the Regulation 19 Draft would also be most helpful in facilitating analysis of the changes made between the R18 and R19 drafts.
acknowledgement of this email. [attachment to email dated 27th Jul 2024 is a copy of the document nan													I hope that it will be possible to have a discussion with you at an early point.
Regulation 19 Local Plan 230724']													acknowledgement of this email. [attachment to email dated 27th July 2024 is a copy of the document named 'Final Provisional Comments on LBN Draft

Comment noted. A response was provided to this email as follows: The consultation session last Thursday hopefully provided you with a response to a number of your queries. Over the next week, we will also provide written answers to the questions that you and other attendees raised in yesterday's session. These will be posted on the website, along with the slides and a recording. You are also welcome

In relation to your asks for further information, I'm afraid that there is no track change version of the changes to the Plan from Regulation 18 to 19. The review went through a number of stages, and for ease of reviewing and updating such a large document by the whole policy team, it was not possible to continuously update a single document.

to attend any of the future drop-in events if

you would like to discuss further.

In relation to the procedure from the Regulation 19 consultation, the consideration of comments and any further consultation, submission and examination, and as outlined in the cabinet report: If the Council wants to make any changes to the submitted plan, this would require a further consultation. However the Council is instead able to propose changes to the Examiner through the submission process. The Examiner would take them into consideration during the examination and could choose to include them in any modifications they consider to be necessary to make the plan sound. The Examination is likely to focus on any key issues which are raised by representors and which relate to matters of soundness and legal compliance – but the topics are at their discretion. Further information is available here: Plan-making -

Finally, as we hopefully explained in yesterday's session, you may want to use the online or downloadable response form to provide your representations so as to ensure you provide all the information required for the Inspector to consider your representations during the Examination.

GOV.UK (www.gov.uk), paragraphs 050, 054,

055 and 057.

	Gene	rai comments	to the <u>full r</u>	regulation	ii 19 Kepies	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/045	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	[Appendix 2 - email dated 9th August 2024] Dear Ellie Thank you for this. Given your comments please treat my submission as a formal representation which will be updated in due course As you cannot provide a TT version of R19 Draft please can you provide the version control document for the R19 Draft which you must have to have kept a proper record of changes?		Comment noted. You were provided with the set of version control documents showing the changes made from the regulation 18 Local Plan to the regulation 19 Local Plan.
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/046	General							Blan k	Blan k						Bian k	[Appendix 2 - email dated 9th August 2024] I note that you have not answered my questions set out in Italics below "My question is how you intend to take this proposed change to the NPPF into the Plan. It certainly provide a fundamental underpinning of 50% SH hitherto absent in Planning Policy. Would not the Council's best course of action, on balance, be to improve the SH justification in the light of the above through a further period of consultation which would also allow some of the remaining issues to be addressed? I think that this is the approach that I would be most happy with and argue for in a wider discussion." Would it be possible to do so?		Comment noted. Consideration of this option has been included in the Full Council report seeking agreement to submit the Plan.

Gene	ral Comments to the <u>full</u>	I Regulation 19 Representations				
Reg19- E-007 David Gilles	Reg19-E-007/047		Blan k	k	[Appendix 2 - email dated 9th August 2024] On matters relating to the EIA. This seems to be wholly contained in the IIA document at pages 78 and 150 which then refer to Appendix J in the separate list of Appendices to the IIA document. The appendices are not active so one has to scroll to page 1653 to find the EIA. The guts of it is a table in which the R19 Draft policies are compared to the "Council's objectives" all at a very high level. Is it the intention that the Planning Applications that conform with the Council's policies (as all that receive consent presumably will) will also be deemed to be in accordance with the EIA and the Council's Equality Duties. Alternatively, how do you propose that individual applications are assessed? Is it via the form at the head of Appendix J. Have I missed any other references to the EIA please?	Comment noted. The Local Plan is a strategy to manage development in the borough, and as such touches on many aspects of people's day to day lives through their interaction with the built environment. By its nature, the Local Plan is a high level document which is broad in scope – addressing the application of standards, guidance and targets to deliver a range of physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, housing, employment, green space and other outcomes. In addition, the Local Plan is limited in what it can influence. It cannot address in detail the operation of buildings and spaces that it helps deliver and it cannot require changes to happen outside of planning processes or without the involvement of the landowner. These two factors mean that while a full EqIA is required for the Local Plan, this is necessarily high level both in the data it can use for the assessment and the potential outcomes it can identify. Planning Policy Officers have worked with the Council's Inclusion Officers to adapt the corporate EQIA process in a meaningful and appropriate way for the Local Plan. A range of data sources have been used that include data on the specific needs and challenges of specific sections of the population, including from Newham's Population Surveys and the Census 2021. We believe our approach and the outcomes of the EQIA assessment are proportionate and effective for plan-making purposes. In addition, in recognition of the policy flexibilities which are retained by applicants, the submission Local Plan includes policies in the Building a Fairer Newham chapter that require developers of larger sites to undertake further engagement (BFN2) and an assessment of Social Value and Health Impacts (BFN3) which will support applicants to consider how their scheme impacts Newham residents. These processes will be monitored through the Local Plan monitoring framework and provide learning towards the next Local Plan review and its EqIA. The Council is satisfied that this approach is proportionate and appropriat

		ar comments			•																
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																					planning application the Council has regard to its equalities obligations including its obligations under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (as amended). For the purposes of this application there are no adverse equalities issues. This reflects the assessment of an application as a whole.
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/048	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	[Appendix 2 - email dated 9th August 2024] Finally something that I have forgotten to mention. I think that the Responses to Consultation Document still refers to 15 Minute Neighbourhoods and this needs to be updated. It could be another document but after wading through the IIA document (which has a lot of useful stuff in it some of which could go into the justifications) one rather loses the will to live! I hope to see you tomorrow		Comment noted. The approach to 15 minute neighbourhood terminology is provided on page 138 of the Regulation 18 consultation report. The Regulation 18 consultation report reflects and responds to comments made on a previous version of the Plan and as such uses the same terminology. Where residents have raised concerns regarding 15 minute neighbourhoods, the response explains the wording change made in the regulation 19 Local Plan. For an example, see comment reference Reg18-T008/004 on page 15 of the introduction appendix.

Reg19- E-007	David Gilles	Reg19-E- 007/049	General				Blan k	Blan k		Blan k	[Appendix 3 - email dated 30th August 2024] Dear Jane
											Thank you for this reply
											Dear Jane
											I don't think that I can disagree with anything that you have said below other than your very last para because the rest of your comments are a statement of fact.
											The problem that I have is a different one
											You and your staff have produced a document that with appendices is several thousand pages long. Equalities matters are not dealt with in the Plan itself but in a number of its appendices and even here are spread over 2 documents. I doubt very much that anyone has assimilated all this material and the level of interest at the drop in meeting in Stratford supports this view. I have commented elsewhere on this and its consequences.
											As you will be aware from comments I have already made I have read the Housing material in detail in both the R18 and R19 drafts and produced several notes on them because that is my political and professional areas of both interest and expertise and some of the other areas I have skim read such as Community Facilities and the sequential test and the environmental material as well as the specific development proposals
											EIA considerations are not particularly evident other than at the level that provision of more SH in Newham is driven by the massive inequality in the Housing Market that exists in the Borough where someone like myself who has been a borough resident since 1968 is well housed on the Woodgrange Estate while virtually no one from the younger generations behind me can afford a decent home (of whatever tenure) unless the bank of mum and dad is behind them.
											So how does the Plan address the structural inequalities here and the answer is that it does not. And I think that your last sentence contributes to this issue because when you say that "this isn't a tick box exercise" I think that this is precisely what it is because there are no tools given to the assessors of Planning Applications to address these issues.
											So how does one move on from this without throwing all the pieces up in the air and starting again
											I think that you might usefully go back to the EIA Checklist set out in the 2018 Plan. This might, suitably amended, be the basis for a set of Newham specific criteria for the assessment of PAs which could take account of the structural issues in the Housing Market AND be in line with the proposed changes to the NPPF which have to be about levelling up the powers

Comment noted. The Local Plan is a strategy to manage development in the borough, and as such touches on many aspects of people's day to day lives through their interaction with the built environment. By its nature, the Local Plan is a high level document which is broad in scope – addressing the application of standards, guidance and targets to deliver a range of physical infrastructure, social

infrastructure, housing, employment, green

space and other outcomes.

In addition, the Local Plan is limited in what it can influence. It cannot address in detail the operation of buildings and spaces that it helps deliver and it cannot require changes to happen outside of planning processes or without the involvement of the landowner.

These two factors mean that while a full EqIA is required for the Local Plan, this is necessarily high level both in the data it can use for the assessment and the potential outcomes it can identify. Planning Policy Officers have worked with the Council's Inclusion Officers to adapt the corporate EQIA process in a meaningful and appropriate way for the Local Plan. A range of data sources have been used that include data on the specific needs and challenges of specific sections of the population, including from Newham's Population Surveys and the Census 2021. We believe our approach and the outcomes of the EQIA assessment are proportionate and effective for plan-making purposes.

We have not used the 2018 checklist and have instead used the Council's more up to date corporate EQIA approach which has been updated to reflect latest data and best practice.

In addition, in recognition of the policy flexibilities which are retained by applicants, the submission Local Plan includes policies in the Building a Fairer Newham chapter that require developers of larger sites to undertake further engagement (BFN2) and an assessment of Social Value and Health Impacts (BFN3) which will support applicants to consider how their scheme impacts Newham residents. These processes will be monitored through the Local Plan monitoring framework and provide learning towards the next Local Plan review and its EqIA. The Council is satisfied that this approach is proportionate and appropriate for the local plan process and meets the Council's duties under the Equalities Act.

Finally, equalities considerations are also part of the development management process. There is case law that makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities should ensure that they clearly outline any issues that could impact on groups protected under equality legislation when determining planning applications in order to discharge the duty under the Equality Act 2010.

Where groups or persons with protected characteristics are affected by development proposals any implications are highlighted in the planning officer's assessment. Larger applications are also accompanied by an

	Ge	neral Comment	s to the <u>full </u>	Regulation	19 Repres	sentation	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			of the Planning Authority to say no to developers whose proposals do not meet our needs (and yes I am aware that the proposals are also designed to make development easier and that this is a tension that will not necessarily be easy to work through!) So, I think that I would go for 1 Bringing a summary of the EIA into the Plan proper and 2 Associate this with a specific methodology/criteria that 3 Start to address Newham's specific requirements The trick will be to achieve this without adding a further level of complexity and delay to the planning process I am talking to other colleagues who are better informed in this area than myself about what this might involve. I said this in an email to Ellie on Wednesday "Just fyi at present I have suggested to the Mayor and John W that we go through a further phase of consultation on the R19D, now that the deadlines have been extended and I am also discussing this with some other members. The main issues are as set out in my earlier email." Finally, I refer again to the Stratford consultation meeting—see above. There were no officers present who were able to engage on the issues I am interested in at the level of this email and it was therefore not productive. The Authority needs to find a better way of consulting on these Strategic Issues as the public face of the consultation process has been entirely about detail and not substance.		Environmental Statement that will include a chapter on socio-economic implications of a proposal including implications for those with protected characteristics. All applications carry the following informative: Equalities In determining this planning application the Council has regard to its equalities obligations including its obligations under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (as amended). For the purposes of this application there are no adverse equalities issues. This reflects the assessment of an application as a whole.

Reg19- E-007	David Gilles	Reg19-E- 007/050	General		-		Blan k	Blan k			Blan k	[Appendix 4 - email dated 8th September 2024]
		00.7030					``					Dear Jane
												Please can you answer some specific points that it would be very helpful to
												have an explanation for, prior to my submitting my revised comments on the R19 Draft.
												The resolution agreed by Council in
												December 2023 stated in part "Urgently produce a breakdown of future
												proposed housing schemes by currently known or estimated tenure and a future
												monitoring process for all planning applications/consents that allows the
												proportions and numbers of social rent/intermediate homes approved to be
												monitored throughout the Local Plan period. Consider making available for scrutiny and
												testing both the Populo Living and Carpenters Estate financial models either
												publicly or to Scrutiny in confidence. Lead a Council wide campaign for more
												resources and wider powers to provide social housing after the next General
												Election. Appoint Newham's own dedicated and
												fully qualified (not a repurposed officer) in-house viability assessor.
												Make available to Scrutiny all necessary papers and records of the Council and
												Populo, in confidence if necessary, with Scrutiny having the powers required to
												call both internal and external witnesses and commission external evidence.
												Add to the Regulation 19 Draft Local Plan support in principle for inclusive housing
												zones with particular reference to the three areas set out below.
												To investigate possible future uses of the site of the now rejected MSG Sphere and
												in particular whether this site can be designated a Housing and Employment Inclusion Zone.
												In respect of Rick Roberts Way and under Development Principles add to the
												Regulation 19 Draft Local Plan "We will preserve the affordable housing
												requirements following the allocation of the site by the London Legacy
												Development Corporation under the portfolio approach with Stratford
												Waterfront and Pudding Mill. In respect of Pudding Mill and under
												Development Principles add to the Regulation 19 Draft Local Plan "We will
												preserve the affordable housing requirements following the allocation of
												the site by the London Legacy Development Corporation under the
												portfolio approach with Stratford Waterfront and Rick Roberts Way.
												Accordingly it is anticipated that at least 50% of housing on the site will be
												genuinely affordable housing, in order to comply with overall affordable housing requirements under the unilateral
												undertaking, made by the London Legacy Development Corporation when
												development Corporation when developing Stratford Waterfront (now known as East Bank) with 0% low cost
												housing".

Comment noted. As previously indicated, specific references to the obligations for Pudding Mill and Rick Roberts Way are not considered to be necessary as the obligations set out in the Unilateral Undertaking have transferred from the LLDC to the Council as local planning authority; the obligations the developer has committed to remain extant and should be complied with. Should the developer wish to amend any part of the planning obligation (the Unilateral Undertaking) this would need to be the subject to an application. Any application would need to be accompanied by a robust justification and would be thoroughly assessed including vigorous viability testing if needed. The Council is working with the LLDC to ensure that we are provided with the details of all extant obligations linked to permissions in the LLDC area, so that we can continue to ensure their delivery. In relation the MSG sphere site, this forms

In relation the MSG sphere site, this forms part of Stratford Town Centre West Site Allocation, which is required to deliver homes, employment and social infrastructure, including community facilities - all of which would support inclusive housing and employment.

In relation to viability, the Local Plan policy already requires independent scrutiny of viability information and does not specify who this is undertaken by. No change in the Plan is required to enable the Council to change who undertakes this independent scrutiny or how the Council's committee processes function.

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			Please can you tell me why officer haves not accepted 4 specific points that were contained in the resolution on the R18 Draft agreed by Council. These are: • The ex-Sphere site which might also be suitable for a large place of Worship • Pudding Mill • Stratford Waterfront/Eastbank • Proper in house viability assessment to challenge developers and inform members when making decisions. See eg the GLA material that I have already submitted		

	Gener	al Comments	s to the <u>full f</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-007	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/051	General							Bian	Blank						Blank	[Appendix 4 - email dated 8th September 2024] I have already commented again on these issues and sent you the GLA material on viability which sets out the issues very well. I am told that viability expertise is never present to be challenged in either SDC or LDC despite the key point in the GLA discussion that: "It is important to remember that it is up to the decision maker to decide the weight given to a viability assessment and, if they are able to see the outcome in a range of different scenarios, it is easier for them to do this" As an outside observer it appears to me that the Planning Service is taking the consultant viability study as an objective outcome that is therefore not challengeable; whereas in fact it is a subjective conclusion made by an external consultant dependent on developers for most of their business and therefore also highly constrained by the developer mindset on profitability and viability. It will be even more important going forward with the proposed changes to the NPPF, that such sleight of hand is demystified for elected members and that they are empowered to challenge what now appears objective and immutable. A recycled Planning Officer will not have the skills to help members provide challenge in this way. And the consequence of this is the wall of poor development in Silvertown Way or Barrats in Canning Town where members bemoaned the low percentage of SH in a scheme but had none of the intellectual or practical skills for dealing with this set of issues. Similar points can no doubt be made about other developments and especially higher rise ones. [] On the question of monitoring is there a suite of PIs now in place to measure housing outputs and outcomes as set out in the first para of the extract quoted above? If so, please can I see it. [Response by Jane Custance was attached as Appendix 5]		Comment noted. It is a requirement for a sound local plan to be supported by a whole plan viability assessment which meets the requirements set out in the NPPF (including the most recent iteration) and Planning Policy Guidance. The whole plan viability assessment is one input into the development of the Local Plan, alongside assessments of objectively assessed need and delivery of wider objectives. The Submission Local Plan includes a monitoring appendix.

	Gene	P ≥	S Lamb		P P			Ω	<u></u>	5	£ _	Sc	P	-	ш	C	C	ς.	~	© P	Б
	epresentor	Agent	omment Reference	Chapter	olicy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	ustification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	ound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	onsistent with the NPPF?	onsistent with the London Plan?	omplies with Duty to Cooperate?	apresentor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	3 Newham Response
19- 07	David Gilles		Reg19-E- 007/054	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	[Appendix 4 - email dated 8th September 2024] The deadline for comment has been extended by 2 weeks so it would be enormously helpful if I could have a reply by next weekend. I would finally point out that much of what I raise here and previously was matter that I wanted to discuss at the Stratford drop in meeting. Because only your more junior staff were present it was not possible to do this [Response by Jane Custance was attached as Appendix 5].		Comment noted. Your email was responded to.
eg19- -008	Hagley Ltd	Collective Planning	Reg19-E- 008/001	General							No	No						Blan k	REPRESENTATIONS TO LB NEWHAM DRAFT LOCAL PLAN (REGULATION 19) I write on behalf of my client, Hagley Ltd, to make representations to LB Newham's Draft Local Plan (Regulation 19), which is currently out for consultation. Our client is the freeholder owner of land adjacent to 1 Knights Road, a major site in Lyle Park West and over the past 3 years has been in the process of bringing forward a mixed use development scheme for the site. My client's site is edged in red below. [Figure 1 Site Plan]		Comment noted.
eg19- -008	Hagley Ltd	Collective Planning	Reg19-E- 008/005	General							No	No						Blan k	Conclusion I trust these representations can be considered to ensure the Local Plan is sound and justified. Please keep me informed of progress and details of the Local Plan and examination process.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-010	London Borough of Redbridge		Reg19-E- 010/001	General															Redbridge Council is pleased for Newham's comprehensive and ambitious Draft Submission Newham Local Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-010	London Borough of Redbridge		Reg19-E- 010/002	General															We note that our previous comments on the Draft (Regulation 18) Newham Local Plan have been considered and addressed, especially in relation to waste and burial policies		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-010	London Borough of Redbridge		Reg19-E- 010/003	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	Redbridge Council is supportive of Newham's Draft Submission Local Plan and considers the Plan to be positively prepared, fulfilling Duty to Cooperate requirements, good evidence base and is effective.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-010	London Borough of Redbridge		Reg19-E- 010/007	General														Yes	We consider that Newham is fulfilling Duty to Cooperate requirements and is communicating with neighbouring authorities, especially regarding the East London Joint Waste Plan.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	s to the <u>full</u>	Regulation	119 Repres	<u>Sentation</u>	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-010	London Borough of Redbridge		Reg19-E- 010/008	General															We continue to look forward to meeting with Newham officers for further discussions regarding joint strategic matters and cross-boundary issues.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-010	London Borough of Redbridge		Reg19-E- 010/009	General														Yes	Redbridge Council is supportive of the policy changes to the Draft Submission Newham Local Plan and considers Duty to Cooperate requirements to have been met.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-011	Southern Housing		Reg19-E- 011/001	General															On behalf of Southern Housing, I'm pleased to submit a representation to the above consultation.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-011	Southern Housing		Reg19-E- 011/006	General															We note that the Government is currently consulting on amendments to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Whilst the draft plan has reached Regulation 19 stage, if the Council decide not to proceed to submission, the new NPPF requirements are likely to be relevant.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-011	Southern Housing		Reg19-E- 011/007	General															We are most grateful for the opportunity to participate in this consultation. If you have any queries or require any further information, then please do not hesitate to contact me.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-013	Transport for London		Reg19-E- 013/001	General															Please note that these comments represent the views of Transport for London (TfL) officers and are made entirely on a 'without prejudice' basis. They should not be taken to represent an indication of any subsequent Mayoral decision in relation to this matter. The comments are made from TfL's role as a transport operator and highway authority in the area. These comments do not necessarily represent the views of the Greater London Authority (GLA). A separate response has been prepared by Places for London to reflect TfL's interests as a landowner and potential developer. Thank you for giving TfL the opportunity to comment on the Regulation 19 version of the Newham local plan.		Comment noted.

	Gene	rai Comments	s to the <u>full l</u>	<u>kegulation</u>	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-013	Transport for London		Reg19-E- 013/002	General															The London Plan was published in March 2021. Local plan policies and site allocations should be developed in line with relevant London Plan policy and TfL's aims as set out in the Mayor's Transport Strategy. In particular, it is important that local plans support the Healthy Streets Approach, Vision Zero and the overarching aim of enabling more people to travel by walking, cycling and public transport rather than by car. This is crucial to achieving sustainable growth, as in years to come more people and goods will need to travel on a relatively fixed road network.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-013	Transport for London		Reg19-E- 013/003	General															We welcome the positive changes made to the Local Plan in response to our comments at the Regulation 18 stage of consultation.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-013	Transport for London		Reg19-E- 013/007a	General								No							However, there are a few outstanding issues that we believe need to be addressed to ensure soundness and consistency with the London Plan		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-013	Transport for London		Reg19-E- 013/009	General															We have updated the detailed comments we made at the Regulation 18 consultation reflecting changes made to the Regulation 19 version of the Local Plan. These are included in the final column of the table in appendix A, below. Appendix B [Appendix B – Plans of TfL Infrastructure (forms part of TfL Reg. 19 response to Newham Local Plan)] in a separate attachment contains plans showing TfL infrastructure.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-014	CPRE		Reg19-E- 014/001	General															CPRE London is a membership-based charity with 2,500 members across London, concerned with the preservation and enhancement of London's vital green spaces, as well as the improvement of London's environment for the health and wellbeing of all Londoners.		Comment noted.

			o to the <u>run</u>	-0			_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Instification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-015	Greater London Authority		Reg19-E- 015/001	General															Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended); Greater London Authority Acts 1999 and 2007; Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 Re: Third consultation – Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) Thank you for consulting the Mayor of London on the London Borough of Newham's (LBN's) proposed Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). As you are aware, all Development Plan Documents in London must be in general conformity with the London Plan under section 24 (1)(b) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The Mayor has afforded me delegated authority to make detailed comments which are set out below. Transport for London (TfL) have also provided comments, which I endorse, and which are attached at Annex 1. The Mayor provided comments on the earlier Newham Local Plan 2023-2038 (Regulation 18)consultation on 20 February 2023 (Ref: LDF25/LDD14/LP02/MJ01). This letter follows onfrom that earlier advice and sets out where you should make further amendments so that the draft Plan is more closely aligned with the London Plan 2021 (LP2021). These comments should be read alongside the Mayor's previous response. The LP2021 was formally published on the 2 March 2021, and forms part of LBN's Development Plan and contains the most up-to-date policies.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-015	Greater London Authority		Reg19-E- 015/003	General															The Mayor recognises that town planning functions for the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) will be handed back to respective boroughs this year including to Newham. The draft Plan takes this into consideration by strategically planning for that part of the borough.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-015	Greater London Authority		Reg19-E- 015/043	General															Next steps I hope these comments positively inform the ongoing preparation of LBN's Local Plan. We continue to be keen to work with you to address the issues identified in this letter and to ensure it aligns more closely with the LP2021 as well as delivering the Council's objectives. If you have any specific questions regarding the comments in this letter, please do not hesitate to contact [redacted]		Comment noted.

		ar commen					_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newharn Response
Reg19- E-017	Bonny Downs Community Association		Reg19-E- 017/029	General															All of these comments are my personal views - not necessarily the organisations I represent. They include, as follows: Chair, Bonny Downs Community Association Chair, Newham Cricket Club Chair, Eko Pathways School governing body Joint-Minister, Bonny Downs Church Volunteer, NEWway projectIt's a privilege to work together with LBN to make our wonderful borough even better.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-019	Delia Taasin		Reg19-E- 019/001	General															Street homelessness, high levels of unemployment, overcrowding, and influx of people into the borough are major challenges faced by Newham in recent years. Increased levels of crimes are going unreported in areas like, house breakings, pick pocketing and car thefts – reflecting the community accepting Police response is unlikely to produce a result. Rubbish dumping is increasingly common despite best efforts by the council. It is to be acknowledged; these are practical demonstrations of rising levels of poverty. The council's purse is strung due to pressure on its budgets to address local needs. This local area planning needs to be addressed against this backdrop. How can the money we have made to go the furthest is a question we need to address in this strategy.		Comment noted. The intention of the Local Plan is to ensure that development in the borough delivers the type of development which meets Newham's needs, including for social housing, as well as wider streetscape improvements that can benefit the wider public, alongside planning contributions which are used to improve and deliver new infrastructure - all of which contributes towards the Council's finances.
Reg19- E-020	National Highways		Reg19-E- 020/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Dear Planning Policy Team Thank you for your email of 20 July 2024 consulting us on the Newham Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. The Strategic Road Network (SRN) is a critical national asset and as such National Highways works to ensure that it operates and is managed in the public interest, both in respect of current activities and needs as well as in providing effective stewardship of its long-term operation and integrity.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-021	Watkin Jones		Reg19-E- 021/027	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Conclusion WJG welcomes the opportunity to comment on the draft Local Plan. We trust that our representations on these aspects of the draft Plan are of assistance to the Council and will be taken into account to inform the next version of the Plan. In the meantime, please do not hesitate to contact on contact details redacted should you have any queries.		Comment noted.

	denei	ai Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	<u>tegulation</u>	15 Nepres	Cittatioi	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-022	Forward Group Trustees	Stantec	Reg19-E- 022/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	We write on behalf of the Forward Group Trustees ("FGT") and to submit representations on the contents of London Borough of Newham's (LBN) Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) June 2024 which comprise: • Local Plan – Part 1 (Introduction, Vision and Objectives and policies); • Local Plan – Part 2 (Neighbourhoods and Appendices); and • Evidence base documents		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-022	Forward Group Trustees	Stantec	Reg19-E- 022/010	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	These representations set out objections to the Draft Local Plan. By not objecting to other parts of the Draft Local Plan and its evidence base does not mean that the FGT support or endorse these other parts.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-022	Forward Group Trustees	Stantec	Reg19-E- 022/011	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	The FGT would welcome the opportunity to discuss the above before LBN publishes and submits its Draft Local Plan to the Secretary of State (SOS) for examination in public. Should you have any queries in relation to the above, please do not hesitate to contact the writer.		Comment noted.

		ar comments			•		_													
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Justification Clause	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-023	Anjuman-e- Islahul- Muslimeen of (London) UK	Newsteer	Reg19-E- 023/001	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills												These representations are submitted on behalf of our client, Anjuman-e-Islahul-Muslimeen of (London) UK ('the Client'), with regards to the site identified as 'N7.SA1', to the London Borough of Newham ('the Council') in relation to their consultation on the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19), which is currently taking place. As set out at paragraph 35 of the NPPF (2023), and as guided within the Council's 'Regulation 19 Consultation Guidance' document, The Regulation 19 consultation requires comments to focus on the 'legal compliance' and 'soundness' of the Local Plan and whether the Council has complied with Duty to Cooperate. These representations will therefore follow the four tests of soundness, as follows: - a) Positively prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs1; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant 1 Where this relates to housing, such needs should be assessed using a clear and justified method, as set out in paragraph 61 of the NPPF		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-023	Anjuman-e- Islahul- Muslimeen of (London) UK	Newsteer	Reg19-E- 023/002	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills												Planning History Context The Site has an extensive planning history relating to its redevelopment, in the main, to provide a large mosque (application reference 12/00358/LTGOUT, which was refused and later called in by the Secretary of State who, on the 28 October 2015 agreed with the Planning Inspector to dismiss the subsequent appeal).		Comment noted.

	Genei	rai Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	kegulation	1 19 Repres	entation	<u>15</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-024	Home Builders Federation		Reg19-E- 024/001	General															Thank you for consulting on the Newham Local Plan, Regulation 19 consultation version. The following response is provided by [Redacted], on behalf of the Home Builders Federation (HBF). The Home Builders Federation (HBF) is the representative body of the home building industry in England and Wales. The HBF's member firms account for some 80% of all new homes built in England and Wales in any one year, and include companies of all sizes, ranging from multi-national, household names through regionally based businesses to small local companies. Private sector housebuilders are also significant providers of affordable homes, building 50% of all affordable homes built in the last five years, including all homes for social rent. [Redacted] The HBF would like to register its wish to participate in the examination of the local plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-026	London Borough of Barking and Dagenham	BeFirst	Reg19-E- 026/001	General															Thank you for the opportunity to respond to LB Newham's Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation. We have reviewed the Regulation 19 draft (Parts 1 and 2), focusing on strategic, cross-borough policy areas. Please see the comments below on behalf of Barking and Dagenham Council.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-026	London Borough of Barking and Dagenham	BeFirst	Reg19-E- 026/012	General															We would be happy to discuss any of the above matters further and to work with you on a Statement of Common Ground for the Newham Local Plan.		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	s to the full	Regulatio	n 19 Repres	sentation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-027	B&Q Limited	RPS	Reg19-E- 027/002	General							Blan	No						Blan	Background B&Q Limited is part of Kingfisher plc. an international home improvement company with over 2,000 stores operating across eight countries within Europe and the United Kingdom. B&Q occupies a store in Newham situated on the Gateway Retail Park in Claps Gate Lane Beckton and has been present on the site since 1996. The store serves the whole of Newham including neighbouring areas of east and south-east London. It is a 'bulky goods' outlet selling building and garden products, fitted kitchens and bathrooms and furniture and furnishings. The product range is complementary to the type of products sold in town centres, requiring large floor areas for shopfloor storage and display and adjacent car parking for the transport of materials away, often by van. It makes a valuable contribution to the local economy both in terms of employment and the service it provides to local residents and businesses. The store directly employs 153 staff (63 full-time and 90 part-time), is an established part of the local retail market is also a supplier to local tradespersons and businesses. The store also plays an active role in the local community, both economically and socially. It actively supports the corporate charity, Shelter, and raises money for the B&Q Foundation. There is also more localised support through Neighbourly, such as the donation of building materials to local charities.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>lun</u>	Regulation	113 Kepres	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-027	B&Q Limited	RPS	Reg19-E- 027/006	General							Blan k	No						Blan	Background [duplicated as part of the representation]. B&Q Limited is part of Kingfisher plc. an international home improvement company with over 2,000 stores operating across eight countries within Europe and the United Kingdom. B&Q occupies a store in Newham situated on the Gateway Retail Park (part of the Beckton Triangel) in Claps Gate Lane Beckton and has been present on the site since 1996. The store serves the whole of Newham including neighbouring areas of east and south-east London. It is a 'bulky goods' outlet selling building and garden products, fitted kitchens and bathrooms and furniture and furnishings. The product range is complementary to the type of products sold in town centres, requiring large floor areas for shopfloor storage and display and adjacent car parking for the transport of materials away, often by van. It makes a valuable contribution to the local economy both in terms of employment and the service it provides to local residents and businesses. The store directly employs 153 staff (63 full-time and 90 part-time), is an established part of the local retail market is also a supplier to local tradespersons and businesses. The store also plays an active role in the local community, both economically and socially. It actively supports the corporate charity, Shelter, and raises money for the B&Q Foundation. There is also more localised support through Neighbourly, such as the donation of building materials to local charities.		Comment noted.

	J		Lea-		1 - Tepres		_			_									<u> </u>		
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-028	Newham Muslim Burial Association	NTR Planning	Reg19-E- 028/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	1. Introduction 1.1 These representations are made on behalf of our clients, Newham Muslim Burial Association (NMBA), in response to the Current Consultation: Draft Submission Local Plan 2024. Following the London Borough of Newham's initial issues and options round of consultation held between October to December 2022 and its Draft Local Plan Consultation (Regulation 18) from January to February 2023, this Draft Local Plan Submission (Regulation 19) consultation runs until 20th September 2024. 1.2 NMBA is an organization which represents Mosques and Muslim community groups in and around Newham (listed at Appendix NTR1)[see pg 12-14 of the representation email] and has been established in response to growing concern amongst the community as to the lack of burial provision in Newham that is suitable to meet the religious requirements of the borough's Muslim communities. The aim of NMBA is to raise awareness within the Local Authority and the wider community about the issues surrounding burial provision, and to work with them to identify and deliver solutions.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-028	Newham Muslim Burial Association	NTR Planning	Reg19-E- 028/005	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	3. Representations 3.1 This section sets out our client's (NMBA's) specific observations, requests, recommendations, support and objections to the Draft Newham Local Plan, under separate headings, with clear reference to the relevant section of the emerging consultation Local Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-028	Newham Muslim Burial Association	NTR Planning	Reg19-E- 028/016	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	4.5 In addition, we respectfully draw officers' attention to the other matters highlighted at paragraph 3.12 of these representations. [Other Matters]		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-030	Susan Masters		Reg19-E- 030/001	General															Overall, I feel any update of the local plan should be agreed for an interim shorter term of 1 to 2 years to allow the new government to bed in before revisiting local plans.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-030	Susan Masters		Reg19-E- 030/010	General															My previous submission to earlier submissions focussed on my community neighbourhood area. My new submission reflects my role as Chair of Health and Adult Social Care Scrutiny and focuses on the areas of the plan that deal with health and wellbeing		Comment noted.

	- Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	tegatation	1 23 Hepres	Circucion	<u></u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-033	Thames Water		Reg19-E- 033/001	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	As you will be aware, Thames Water are the statutory water supply and sewerage undertaker for the Borough and are hence a "specific consultation body" in accordance with the Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) Regulations 2012.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-034	Unite Group Plc	ROK Planning	Reg19-E- 034/001a	General							Blan	Blan						Blan	LONDON BOROUGH OF NEWHAM — THIRD CONSULTATION: DRAFT SUBMISSION LOCAL PLAN (REGULATION 19) ROK PLANNING ON BEHALF OF UNITE GROUP PLC I write on behalf of our client, Unite Group Plc ('Unite'), to submit representations to London Borough of Newham's ('the Council') Draft Local Plan Regulation 19 Consultation. Unite Students is the UK's leading manager and developer of purpose-built student accommodation (PBSA), providing homes to 70,000 students across 157 properties in 23 leading university towns and cities. In London, Unite provides student accommodation to circa 12,712 students across 32 properties in the City. Recent reports from CBRE state that London is home to around 400,00 full time students, the largest student population in the UK. However, there are only just over 100,000 PBSA These representations follow Unite's earlier representations made at the Issues and Options Consultation to the Draft Newham Local Plan in December 2021 and to the Regulation 18 Consultation in February 2023. This is the final opportunity to comment on the draft submission local plan with consultation ending at 5pm on 6 September 2024. Unite wish to make representations to the following policies: • Policy H8 — Purpose-built student accommodation • Policy H5 — Build to Rent housing • Policy H5 — Build to Rent housing • Policy D2 — Public realm net gain • Policy D2 — Tall Buildings • Policy CE2 — Zero Carbon development • Policy CE3 — Embodied Carbon and the circular economy • Policy T3 — Transport Behaviour Change • Policy N8 — Stratford and Maryland and Site Allocation N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Comment noted.

		ur comments			,		_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-034	Unite Group Plc	ROK Planning	Reg19-E- 034/001c	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	These representations follow Unite's earlier representations made at the Issues and Options Consultation to the Draft Newham Local Plan in December 2021 and to the Regulation 18 Consultation in February 2023. This is the final opportunity to comment on the draft submission local plan with consultation ending at 5pm on 6 September 2024. Unite wish to make representations to the following policies: • Policy H8 – Purpose-built student accommodation • Policy H5 – Build to Rent housing • Policy H11 – Housing design quality • Policy D2 – Public realm net gain • Policy D4 – Tall Buildings • Policy CE2 – Zero Carbon development • Policy CE3 – Embodied Carbon and the circular economy • Policy T3 – Transport Behaviour Change • Policy N8 – Stratford and Maryland and Site Allocation N8.SA2 Stratford Station		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-034	Unite Group Plc	ROK Planning	Reg19-E- 034/019	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	If you require further clarification or wish to discuss this further, please do not hesitate to contact either (contact details redacted), (contact details redacted) or myself at this office.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-035	Newham 6th Form College	Quadrant Town Planning	Reg19-E- 035/001	General															Quadrant Town Planning is instructed by Newham Sixth Form College (NewVIc) and Newham College London to submit representations on the Newham Local Plan Regulation 19 Submission Version. 1.2 The aim of these representations is to: • Highlight the education and skills shortage in Newham • Focus on the role of NewVIc and Newham College in meeting that need • Outline the vision for the College over the Plan period • Identify the need for policy flexibility to enable NewVIc to manage its estate and respond to educational needs within the Borough		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-035	Newham 6th Form College	Quadrant Town Planning	Reg19-E- 035/002	General															Accordingly, these representations seek to address the following policies: • Policy SI1 Existing Community Facilities and Health Facilities • Policy SI2 New and Re-provided Community Facilities and Health Facilities • Policy GWS1 Green Spaces • Site Allocation N10.SA2 Newham Sixth Form College		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full R</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-035	Newham 6th Form College	Quadrant Town Planning	Reg19-E- 035/013	General															3.3 NPPF provides a presumption in favour of sustainable development on brownfield sites in locations which are accessible by public transport. It promotes social inclusion and the need to deliver sufficient community and cultural facilities and services to meet local needs. In respect of education provision, paragraph 100 states as follows: "To ensure faster delivery of other public service infrastructure such as further education colleges, hospitals and criminal justice accommodation, local planning authorities should also work proactively and positively with promoters, delivery partners and statutory bodies to plan for required facilities and resolve key planning issues before applications are submitted."		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-040	Noormoham med Polli		Reg19-E- 040/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-041	Maimoona Siraj Khankhara		Reg19-E- 041/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-042	Mohammed Vali		Reg19-E- 042/003a	General							No	No						No	The private landowners of the site are aspiring to redevelop the Abbey Mill N7 SA1 land site, and there has been no engagement to fulfil this private land use aspiration to be used by the local resident's community of Newham. [originally against Polcies Map Abbey Mills N7 SA1 - taking forward as General]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full R</u>	<u>kegulatior</u>	1 19 Repres	sentation	<u>IS</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-044	National Grid	Avison Young	Reg19-E- 044/002	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan	About National Grid Electricity Transmission National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (NGET) owns and maintains the electricity transmission system in England and Wales. The energy is then distributed to the electricity distribution network operators, so it can reach homes and businesses. National Grid no longer owns or operates the high-pressure gas transmission system across the UK. This is the responsibility of National Gas Transmission, which is a separate entity and must be consulted independently. National Grid Ventures (NGV) develop, operate and invest in energy projects, technologies, and partnerships to help accelerate the development of a clean energy future for consumers across the UK, Europe and the United States. NGV is separate from National Grid's core regulated businesses. Please also consult with NGV separately from NGET.		Comment noted. We have requested the correct contact details for NGV and will add them to our consultation database.
Reg19- E-044	National Grid	Avison Young	Reg19-E- 044/016	Design							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Further Advice NGET is happy to provide advice and guidance to the Council concerning their networks. If we can be of any assistance to you in providing informal comments in confidence during your policy development, please do not hesitate to contact us. To help ensure the continued safe operation of existing sites and equipment and to facilitate future infrastructure investment, NGET wishes to be involved in the preparation, alteration and review of plans and strategies which may affect their assets. Please remember to consult NGET on any Development Plan Document (DPD) or site-specific proposals that could affect NGET's assets.		Comment noted. We allow stakeholders to provide comments at the public consultations at each stage of the plan making process.
Reg19- E-045	Beckton Development Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 045/001	General															We are instructed by our Client, Beckton Development Ltd ("Beckton Development"), to submit representations to the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) June 2024 document ("the draft Local Plan") in context to Beckton Development's land ownership and live pre-application submission at Beckton Arms, 8 Beckton Road, E16 1PU ("the Site"), located within the London Borough of Newham (LBN).		Comment noted.

	Gener	ar comment	3 to the <u>full l</u>	regulation	13 Repres	Circacion															
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-045	Beckton Development Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 045/004	General															Beckton Development has considerable experience and expertise in delivering high quality housing alongside community facilities. Beckton Development is committed to developing the Site to the highest quality whilst working alongside local community organisations. Beckton Development has a strong focus on community provision varying from arts programmes, to food support, to construction skills and teaching. Beckton Developments involvement in community facilities is widespread from assisting with setting up organisations, providing funding and volunteering.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-045	Beckton Development Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 045/008	General															Paragraph 35 of the NPPF (National Planning Policy Framework) states that Local Plans and spatial development strategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are: a) Positively prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework. These tests of soundness should also be applied to non-strategic policies in a proportionate way, taking into account the extent to which they are consistent with relevant strategic policies of the area.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-045	Beckton Development Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 045/010	General															Having regards to the national planning context in preparing Local Plans, we have commented on the draft Local Plan, as explained below. For any specific suggested amendments, this is shown via a box, with the relevant reference to the draft Local Plan accordingly, as follows:		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	13 Kepies	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-045	Beckton Development Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 045/039	General															Please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague (contact details redacted) to arrange a meeting and/or you have any further queries. In any event, we would be grateful if you could keep us updated of the progress with the new draft Newham Local Plan		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-053	Mohmed Jeeva		Reg19-E- 053/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-054	Mohsin Ikbal		Reg19-E- 054/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-055	Mehboob Toliya		Reg19-E- 055/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-056	Atik Vahora		Reg19-E- 056/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-057	Seemaben Vahora		Reg19-E- 057/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-058	Samiullah Moosa		Reg19-E- 058/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full l</u>	Regulatio	n 19 Repres	sentation	<u>15</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-059	LB Hackney		Reg19-E- 059/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	LB Hackney response to Newham Draft Submission Local Plan Regulation 19 Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft submission version of Newham's Local Plan. We are committed to continuing the engagement between Newham (LBN) and Hackney (LBH) during the preparation of the draft submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) under the Duty to Cooperate.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-060	Royal Albert Dock Trust		Reg19-E- 060/001	General															I am Chair of the Royal Albert Dock Trust ("RADT"). The RADT manages the Royal Docks Watersports Centre and manages all access for watersports on both the Royal Albert Dock and the Royal Victoria Dock to the East of the footbridge. I have read the Assessment Summary Stage B for water sports in Newham. I would make the following introductory comments: 1. The Royal Albert Dock ("RAD") and the Royal Victoria Dock ("RVD") are incredible facilities. The RAD is only one of two accessible 2,000m courses in the South of England. 2. We have the Sports clubs listed, many of which are very much part of the Newham community, operating at the RAD. They pay a relatively small amount to access the water. 3. The RADT is a charity that furthers its objectives through our charitable partners. London Youth Rowing ("LYR") delivers rowing and canoeing courses for young people on the RAD, the Marine Society and Sea Cadets ("MSSC") delivers sailing and other boating on the RVD and Atlantic Pacific delivers power-boating safety courses and instruction on the RVD.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-062	THESET LTD	Stantec	Reg19-E- 062/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	We write on behalf of the THESET LTD to submit representations on the contents of London Borough of Newham's (LBN) Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) June 2024 which comprise: • Local Plan – Part 1 (Introduction, Vision and Objectives and policies); • Local Plan – Part 2 (Neighbourhoods and Appendices); and • Evidence base documents.		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	regulation	1 13 Kepres	entation	13														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-062	THESET LTD	Stantec	Reg19-E- 062/004	General							No	No						Blan k	[Summary] THESET LTD seeks LBN's agreement to revise the draft policies discussed in these representations. These representations set out objections to the Draft Local Plan. By not objecting to other parts of the Draft Local Plan and its evidence base does not mean that THESET LTD support or endorse these other parts. THESET LTD would welcome the opportunity to discuss their representations before LBN publishes and submits its Draft Local Plan to the Secretary of State (SOS) for examination in public. Should you have any queries in relation to the above, please do not hesitate to contact the writer.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-062	THESET LTD	Stantec	Reg19-E- 062/005	General	HS8 Visitor accommod ation						Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	1. Introduction to Representations 1.1 Set out below are the detailed representations prepared on behalf of THESET LTD. 1.2 These presentations are structured as follows: Into the sub-headings based on the names of each document published by LBN; then Underneath each sub-heading will be the representations (in support or objection to) which draw reference to the specific subject / document matter, page and paragraph; then Each representation will explain why an objection (or support) is given and, if appropriate, explain how the document or policy should be revised or deleted to make it 'sound'. 1.3 These representations should be considered under the background context		Comment noted.

	Gener		is to the <u>run r</u>	1	- Nepres							<u> </u>							_		_
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Implementation text Justification		Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-063	University of East London	DP9	Reg19-E- 063/001	General								Blan k						Blan k	We write on behalf of our client, University of East London ('UEL'), to provide written representations to the London Borough of Newham ('LBN') Draft Local Plan (Regulation 19) ('the Draft Plan'), which is currently subject to public consultation. UEL is one of the largest higher education providers in the borough, with three major campuses located at Docklands, Stratford and University Square Stratford. Our client therefore has a keen interest in the direction of emerging Local Plan policy, as well as LBN's objectives for development within the borough. UEL therefore welcomes the opportunity to submit written representations as part of the Regulation 19 consultation. In principle, UEL is encouraged by the strategic vision set out in the Draft Plan, including the proposed design-led approach for future development and the proposed public realm net gain requirement for new development, addressing both quantitative and functional gains. UEL would, however, wish to provide further comments below in relation to specific policies set out within the Draft Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-063	University of East London	DP9	Reg19-E- 063/012	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	We trust our comments are useful as LBN progresses with the preparation of the Draft Plan and we look forward to engaging further with you at the next stage. Should you have any queries or wish to discuss any of the above then please contact David Shiels or Marcus Stuart at the above office.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-076	Mohammed musab		Reg19-E- 076/003	General						N	No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-078	Shoaib Ismail		Reg19-E- 078/01	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills													The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons:		Comment noted.

	Genera	ai comments i	to the <u>full R</u>	<u>.eguiation</u>	19 Kepres	entation	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-078	Shoaib Ismail		Reg19-E- 078/06	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills													Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement.		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-080	Salim Musa		Reg19-E- 080/01	Neighbou rhoods	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills					No	No						No	Policy failing on duty to co operate	Engage with community faith leaders	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities, prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Please see our continued engagement on strategic cross boundary matters in our Duty to Cooperate Report and Statements of Common Ground with Duty to Cooperate partners. We have engaged with faith leaders at the Issues and options and Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations.
Reg19- E-081	Metropolitan Police Service - Designing Out Crime		Reg19-E- 081/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Thank you for allowing us to comment on the above planning proposal. We have noted the Borough's plans (between 2019 to 2029) for Newham to include 47,600 new homes and a minimum of 425,000 square metres of industrial and commercial floor space. We currently work in the Metropolitan Police Service Unit of Designing Out Crime Officers (DOCOs). Our unit administers the MOPAC 'Secured by Design' (SBD) scheme. Our Team currently work in the North East Division, of which Newham is one of the 9 Boroughs that we cover.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-081	Metropolitan Police Service - Designing Out Crime		Reg19-E- 081/020	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	11) Any separate comments from the MPS Estates Management Team should be given due consideration and be read alongside the comments provided in this response.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-081	Metropolitan Police Service - Designing Out Crime		Reg19-E- 081/021	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Thank you again for seeking our opinion in relation to this important document. If you require any clarification of any of the comments made, please do not hesitate to contact us at the above address.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	s to the <u>full</u>	Regulation	1 19 Repres	sentation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/001	General															These representations have been prepared by Savills on behalf of the landowner – Aston Mansfield in relation to the Lady Trower Trust Playing Fields, Burges Road, East Ham (the Site) and are submitted in response to the consultation on the London Borough of Newham (LBN) Regulation 19 (R19) Draft Local Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/003	Neighbou rhoods	N13 East Ham	New Site													Savills, on behalf of Aston Mansfield, welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Plan at Regulation 19 stage. At present, we object to the plan as currently prepared; this document sets out the reasoning behind this position and makes recommendations to the wording of some of the policies		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/006	Neighbou	N13 East Ham	New Site													These representations have been prepared by Savills on behalf of the landowner – Aston Mansfield, in relation to the Lady Trower Trust Playing Fields, Burges Road, East Ham (the Site) and are submitted in response to the consultation on the London Borough of Newham (LBN) Regulation 19 (R19) Draft Local Plan. The consultation closes on 6th September 2024. LBN is seeking responses to the specific questions contained within the document. 2.2. The current consultation comprises the following documents: Newham Local Plan - Draft Local Plan, Regulation 19 for Consultation (June 2024) 2.3. LBN has also published a considerable evidence base to support the R19 Local Plan consultation. 2.4. This consultation follows the Issues and Options Consultation which took place between the 18 October and the 17 December 2021 and included a Call for Sites and Regulation 18 consultation undertaken between January and February 2023.		Comment noted.

	Z		ts to the <u>run r</u>				_	0	- -		S	ъ.	_	т	0	0_	0		о ¬	Б
tepresentation Reference	tepresentor	Agent	omment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	ound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	onsistent with the NPPF?	onsistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	B Newham Response
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/010	Neighbou rhoods	N13 East Ham	New Site												Aston Mansfield is an East London based charity working with children, young people, and families. The objectives of the charity are to develop the community wealth of East London and promote a diverse and inclusive society in which all are free to participate. These are achieved through a number of structured programmes and a range of activities supporting people of all ages, creeds, cultures, and abilities, principally within the London Borough of Newham. 2.10. Aston Mansfield funds the more significant part of charitable activities from returns on its endowment, which includes property. As officers at LBN will be aware, Aston Mansfield is able to demonstrate the charity's approach to property development from the application at Durning Hall, which includes affordable housing.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/081	Neighbou	N13 East Ham	New Site												On 30th July 2024, the incoming Labour Government published a consultation draft of the revised NPPF, this was also accompanied by an updated Standard Method formula and Written Ministerial Statement (WMS). The updated NPPF removes the use of the Standard Method as "an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement of an area." 5.2. Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government Angela Rayner addressed Parliament to outline the reasons why change is necessary. Key amongst these is the need to increase the supply of new homes in England to meet the Government's 1.5m homes target (the equivalent of 300,000 homes per year). This is in contrast to current expectations which show the rate of delivery across England will drop below 200,000 dwellings this year.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/085	General														These representations have been formulated on behalf of Aston Mansfield in relation to the Lady Trower Trust Playing Fields, Burges Road. They are written in response to the consultation on the Newham Borough Council Regulation 19 Local Plan		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/086	Neighbou rhoods	N13 East Ham	New Site												To summarise whilst many of the policy aims are supported Savills believe the proposed local plan requires work to ensure it meets the tests of soundness, in line with the NPPF		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-083	Aston Mansfield	Savills	Reg19-E- 083/091	General														Our client would be very keen to work with you to bring this Site forward, and to ensure that the Newham Local Plan meets the tests of soundness		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	egulation	13 Repres	ciitatioi	13														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-084	Betting Shop Operations Limited	FREETHS	Reg19-E- 084/001	General							Yes	No						Yes	Introduction 1. We act on behalf of Betting Shop Operations Limited (trading as Jenningsbet / "Jennings") and have been instructed to submit this representation objection to emerging Policy HS6 in the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) ("the Regulation 19 Plan"). 2. The purpose of this representation is to ensure that Jennings opinion on the emerging Policy HS6 is noted and that the wording of the policy is changed appropriately to allow more flexibility in allowing uses such as betting shops to occupy vacant units in designated centres and shopping frontages. This will also ensure the draft Local Plan achieves soundness in accordance with NPPF paragraph 35 as the current policy fails this on not being justified (criterion b) and inconsistent with national policy (criterion c). 3. We recommend a revision to the policy in order to achieve soundness at paragraph 23 of this representation. Background 4.Jennings previously occupied a unit at 49 Woodgrange Road, which was subject to redevelopment following the approval of a mixed-use redevelopment (Newham Ref: 16/02395/FUL). This development re- provided the ground floor retail units, however, the re-development did not provide for a unit that Jennings could re- occupy post-completion. Jennings continues to have a requirement to trade in the Forest Gate area and has a vested interest in the planning policy context of relevance to their use.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-084	Betting Shop Operations Limited	FREETHS	Reg19-E- 084/006	General							Yes	No						Yes	24.We look forward to receiving acknowledgement of this representation marked for the attention of Mark Harris / Daniel Hyde and being notified of the Plan's progress.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	regulation	1 13 Repres	Cittatioi	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-085	Omarji Ahmed		Reg19-E- 085/06	General							No	No							5. Failure to Comply with the Duty to Cooperate In order to comply with the duty to co-operate, the Council must work collaboratively with local communities and ensure that their needs are represented in the Local Plan. The current proposal suggests that sufficient consultation with key stakeholders within the community has not been conducted or acted upon. The failure to address our community's growing need for larger, inclusive facilities suggests a breakdown in the duty to co-operate and an absence of genuine collaboration. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3 - taking forward general]		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-086	Hanifa Vali	Hanifa Vali	Reg19-E- 086/04	General							No	No						No	The private landowners of the site are aspiring to redevelop the Abbey Mill N7 SA1 land site, and there has been no engagement to fulfil this private land use aspiration to be used by the local resident's community of Newham. [originally against N7 SA1 - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh

Vali Hanifa Vali	Reg19-E- 086/07	General		No	No		engagement approach for local plan development would not be independent as its citizens are made up of beneficiaries of Section 106 development; rather, a wider community and landowners would be appropriate channels of engagement in the formation of local plan design for this site. The private sector is meant to promote diversity and exercise the Equality Act, which I believe on merits fails to meet equality assessments on the local plan draft proposal. Public sector equality requires public bodies to have due regard to the need, advance equality of the opportunity, and foster good relations between the community and people of all backgrounds when carrying out activities. I believe the local plan is impacting the Equality Act 2010 and the Well-Being Act for myself and the wider community. Abbey Mill cathement enjoys 0 (zero) Muslim community social infrastructure, enjoined by other boroughs of the vibrant city of London. Many of the current small faith facilities are currently utilised by the Newham stakeholders to conduct social well-being—community safety place of meeting, as the local authority does not have adequate facilities to accommodate large congregations free of charge for community social event purposes. There had been extensive policy proposals by external stakeholders and faith partners conducted in 2015 by the university in conjunction with RTP1 to ensure that planning challenges faced by the faith community should be part of town centre planning proposals recommended by RTP1 to thow centre planning proposals recommended by RTP1 to thow centre planning proposals recommended by RTP1 to thow centre planning proposals recommended by RTP1 to thow centre planning proposals recommended by RTP1 to thow centre planners and due diligence. [Originally against]	Comment noted. The Local Plan is a strategy to manage development in the borough, and as such touches on many aspects of people's day to day lives through their interaction with the built environment. By its nature, the Local Plan is a high level document which is broad in scope – addressing the application of standards, guidance and targets to deliver a range of physical infrastructure, social infrastructure, housing, employment, green space and other outcomes. In addition, the Local Plan is limited in what it can influence. It cannot address in detail the operation of buildings and spaces that it helps deliver and it cannot require changes to happen outside of planning processes or without the involvement of the landowner. These two factors mean that while a full EqIA is required for the Local Plan, this is necessarily high level both in the data it can use for the assessment and the potential outcomes it can identify. Planning Policy Officers have worked with the Council's Inclusion Officers to adapt the corporate EQIA process in a meaningful and appropriate way for the Local Plan. A range of data sources have been used that include data on the specific needs and challenges of specific sections of the population, including from Newham's Population Surveys and the Census 2021. We believe our approach and the outcomes of the EQIA assessment are proportionate and effective for plan-making purposes. Further work has also been undertaken through the Community Facilities Needs Assessment, which provides further information relevant to the needs of faith groups in Newham, and has informed the policies of the Social Infrastructure chapter and the requirements to deliver community facilities within certain site allocations, including N7.SA1 Abbey Mills. In addition, in recognition of the policy flexibilities which are retained by applicants, the submission Local Plan includes policies in the Building a Fairer Newham chapter that require developers of larger sites to undertake further engagement (BFN2) and an asse
								Citizen Assemblies to consult on the Plan. The Neighbourhood Assemblies used to discus the Local Plan were separate to the participatory budget setting assemblies. In addition, a range
	i	/ali Hanifa Vali Reg19-E-	/ali Hanifa Vali Reg19-E- General	/ali Hanifa Vali Reg19-E- General		/ali Hanifa Vali Reg19-E- General No No	/ali Hanifa Vali Reg19-E- General No No No No No	engagement approach for local plan development would not be indigended. as its critices are made up of borreflorates wider community and inadowners would be appropriate channels of engagement in the formation of local plan degree for this site. The private sector is meant to purment elementary and several degree for this site. The private sector is meant to purment elementary and several degree for this site. The private sector is meant to purment elementary and several degree for this site to meet quality assessments on the local plan draft proposal. Public sector equality requires public bodies to have due regard to the need, advance equality of the opportunity, and foster good propole of all busiquemuse's whom carrying out activities. Is believe the local plan is impacting the Equality Act 2010 and the Well-Bernip Act for myself and the wider community. Attack will calculate the sector boroughs of the vibrant of your founder. Many of the current small faith facilities are currently utilised by the Newham of the sector of those of the sector of change for community social circle in the proposal of the proposal of change for community social circle is not commodate large compressions from the Newham of the proposal of change for community social circle is to socommodate large compressions from change for community social circle is to socommodate large compressions from change for community social circle is to socommodate large compressions from the proposal for community social circle is to socommodate large compressions from the proposal for community social circle is to socommodate large compressions from the proposal for community social circle and circle community social circle and community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community social circle and circle community socia

48

	Gene	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	<u>Regulation</u>	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-086	Hanifa Vali	Hanifa Vali	Reg19-E- 086/09	General							No	No						No	There had been extensive policy proposal by external stakeholders and faith partners conducted in2015 by the university in conjunction with RTPI to ensure the planning challenges face by the faith community should be part of town centre plannig proposal by RTPI to town centre planners to adopt with care and due diligence. [originally against N7 SA1 - proposed modifications- taking forward general]		Comment noted. The Council considers that through the Equalities Impact Assessment and further work undertaken through the Community Facilities Needs Assessment, which provides further information relevant to the needs of faith groups in Newham, and has informed the policies of the Social Infrastructure chapter and the requirements to deliver community facilities within certain site allocations, including N7.SA1 Abbey Mills, alongside consultation with the Inter-Faith Forum. we have suitably and proportionally considered the needs of faith organisations.
Reg19- E-087	Talhah Khapee		Reg19-E- 087/06	General								No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to effectively collaborate breaches the legal requirement to cooperate. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3 - taking forward general]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and robust, the following key adjustments are essential: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is ready to work alongside landowners and relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that genuinely meets the needs of its users. This collaboration is vital to ensure the facility is practical, inclusive, and able to accommodate future growth. The plan must include specific provisions for ongoing community consultation to fulfil the legal duty to cooperate. By adopting these revisions, the Local Plan will achieve legal compliance and soundness, ensuring it addresses community needs while promoting long-term growth and sustainability within the borough.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-088	Yusuf Mahmood		Reg19-E- 088/01	General							No	No						No	N7 SA1 Fail Duty to Cooperate.		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Council considers that we have fulfilled this requirement and our approach is set out in our Duty to Cooperate Report which is published on the Council's website.

					1 13 Repres																
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-090	Huzaifa Khankhara		Reg19-E- 090/06	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our local community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement.		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-091	IQL Office LP	Quod	Reg19-E- 091/001	General							Blan k	No						Blan	London Borough of Newham – Local Plan Review – Draft Submission Local Plan Consultation (Regulation 19) – September 2024 I write on behalf of IQL Office LP in relation to the London Borough of Newham's (LBN) Submission Draft Local Plan on behalf of the Stratford Cross. Stratford Cross is located within the Stratford Metropolitan Centre between Westfield Stratford City and the education and culture district of East Bank. It currently sits within the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) local planning authority area, which will hand powers back to LBN on 1st December 2024. Stratford Cross is located within Site Allocation SA3.1: Stratford Town Centre West of the LLDC Local Plan, which will remain the adopted development plan until the new LBN Local Plan is adopted. Representations were made in February 2023 on the previous LBN Local Plan consultation relating to heights, appropriate commercial land uses in the Stratford Metropolitan Centre and concerns about some of the restrictive housing mix policies. This current consultation has taken on board previous comments on appropriate land uses but not all issues raised about tall building zone boundaries and heights, or restrictive housing mix policies have been fully taken account of and therefore, form the basis of these representations.		Comment noted.

					13 Representations					
Reg19-	IQL Office LP	Quod	Reg19-E-	General		Blan	No	Blan	1 Background to Stratford Cross	Comment noted.
E-091			091/002			k		k	Stratford Cross is located within the	
									Stratford Cross is located within the Stratford City development. It benefits	
									from the overarching	
									Stratford City Outline Planning Permission	
									(SC OPP) (ref: 10/90641/EXTODA) which	
									permits a	
									substantial amount of commercial	
									floorspace at Stratford Cross.	
									It is partially built out with three large	
									Grade A offices have been completed and	
									occupied (Building's S5, S6 and S9), with	
									another due for occupation later in 2024.	
									Outline Planning Permission (OPP) was	
									secured for a further office building up to	
									80m AoD on Plot S10 (ref: 20/00146/OUT)	
									in June 2021, with the subsequently	
									reserved matters application submitted in May 2024 and being determined by the	
									LLDC (ref: 24/00174/REM). A further two	
									office buildings (Plots S2 and S3) remain	
									to come forward as reserved matters	
			1						pursuant to the SC OPP before 17th	
									February 2027 within parameters heights	
									up to 110m AoD.	
									Stratford Cross is a mixed-use	
									development providing a retail, leisure,	
									education and residential uses in addition	
									to commercial uses, comprising a high-	
									density urban development appropriate	
									to the Metropolitan Centre designation,	
									with a cinema in Building S4 and ground	
									floor retail in Buildings S4, S5, S6, S9, S10 and S1/S11. Residential buildings are	
									provided in the south with the occupied	
									Glasshouse Gardens (Plot S7/S8)	
									comprising 333 homes and planning	
									permission granted on Plot	
									S1/S11 in the north of SC in November	
									2023 for a further 350 homes (ref:	
									21/00416/FUL) to be built out by London Square.	
									Square.	
									When complete Stratford Cross has the	
									potential to provide up to 320,000m2 of	
			1						commercial (office) floorspace and forms	
									the main strategic office hub in Stratford and a key driver for the local economy	
									and a key driver for the local economy and employment in the area. Some of the	
			1						remaining floorspace to de delivered	
									could also potential be diversified into	
									other appropriate town centre uses.	
									The challenging office market conditions	
									The challenging office market conditions resulting from the recent changes in the	
			1						way people work and resulting change in	
									the size and needs of office spaces has	
									meant that Stratford Cross has needed to	
			1						evolve and diversify from a commercial	
									office district. This has been supported by	
									the success of the emerging university and cultural hub around Stratford led by	
									the development of the University College	
									London East and University of the Arts	
									London campuses around the Queen	
									Elizabeth Olympic Park. These influences	
									have helped achieve diversification of commercial floorspace at Stratford Cross	
									to include the potential for educational	
									floorspace in Buildings S4, S9 and S10 to	
									respond to these factors.	
	<u> </u>									

	Gene	rai Commeni	ts to the <u>full R</u>	legulation	1 19 Kepres	sentatio	115														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			Stratford Cross is a managed estate and therefore has a long-term interest in the creating and sustaining a successful community in the Metropolitan Centre and has been helping support the wider regeneration of the area through the provision of play and amenities for the wider community in the public realm. Subsequently, our representations are focussed around ensuring the emerging policy is consistent with existing consents across Stratford Cross and the long-term interest in the successful growth of Stratford Metropolitan Centre.		
Reg19- E-091	IQL Office LP	Quod	Reg19-E- 091/007	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	[In general, we support the encouragement for a wide range of town centre uses to come forward in Stratford Metropolitan Centre, but our key concerns remain that:]General challenges around the prescriptive nature of policies and requirements for viability assessments if these are not met. Responses are set out in Appendix 1, with		Comment noted. Comment noted.
E-091		Quou	091/008	General							k	140						k	supporting plans provided in Appendix 2 and 3 and the consultation form completed and attached as Appendix 4.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-091	IQL Office LP	Quod	Reg19-E- 091/009	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	Stratford Cross welcomes the opportunity to make representations at this stage of the Local Plan process and look forward to future discussions with the Planning Policy Team on later consultation stages of the Local Plan.		Comment noted.

		ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	1 13 Nepres	Cittation	<u> </u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-092	SCBD Ltd	Quod	Reg19-E- 092/001	General							Blan k	Yes						Blan k	London Borough of Newham – Local Plan Review – Draft Submission Local Plan Consultation (Regulation 19) – September 2024 We are instructed to submit representations on the London Borough of Newham's Draft Submission Local Plan on behalf of Stratford City Business District Limited ("SCBD Ltd") set out in this letter and the completed response form in [Appendix 1]. SCBD Ltd is a major landowner that is the principal owner of Stratford Cross, which is located within the Stratford Metropolitan Centre boundary and currently sits within the local planning authority boundary of the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC). SCBD Ltd also owns the Triangle site located in a central location between the Metropolitan Centre, UCL East and Stratford Station (the "Site"), which as subsequently been incorporated into Site Allocation N8.SA2 Stratford Station.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-092	SCBD Ltd	Quod	Reg19-E- 092/004	General							Blan k	Yes						Blan k	SCBD Ltd welcomes the opportunity to discuss the potential for the Sites further with the London Borough of Newham through the emerging Local Plan process.		Comment noted.

These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 are submitted on behalf of our client, Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Plan for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 are submitted on behalf of our client, Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Plan for Newham. The draft Local Plan has	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 are submitted on behalf of our client, Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Plan for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the Council received throughout the previous	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 are submitted on behalf of our client, Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Plan for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the Council received throughout the previous Regulation 18 consultation in early 2023.	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 are submitted on behalf of our client, Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Plan for Newham. The draft Local Plan has	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation Comme	Proposed modifications and explanation Representor Comment Complies with Duty to Coop Consistent with the London Consistent with the NPPF? Effective? Legally Compliant? Legally Compliant? Agent Agent Representor
These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 ar submitted on behalf of our client, Bellw Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Pla for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 ar submitted on behalf of our client, Bellw Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Pla for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the Council received throughout the previous	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 ar submitted on behalf of our client, Bellw Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Pla for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the Council received throughout the previous Regulation 18 consultation in early 2023 It has also been informed by evidence	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 ar submitted on behalf of our client, Bellw Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Pla for Newham. The draft Local Plan has been informed by the responses the Council received throughout the previous Regulation 18 consultation in early 2023	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation on the draft Local Plan Regulation 19 ar submitted on behalf of our client, Bellw Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited. This consultation is on the draft submission version of the new Local Pla for Newham. The draft Local Plan has	These representations to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) consultation	or Comment with Duty to Coop with the London with the NPPF? tation text tation text Reference
						NPPF?
						Bellway Savills Reg19-E- General (Thames Gateway)
						Limited
						Homes 093/001 (Thames Gateway)
						Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway)
						Bellway Savills Reg19-E- 093/001 (Thames Gateway)
						Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway)
						Homes (Thames Gateway)

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>runn</u>	regulation	1 13 Repres	circacion	<u> </u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-093	Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 093/003	General															The LLDC prepared an SPD for the Pudding Mill area, which was adopted in March 2017. As this is part of the LLDC's development plan, we assume that this will be revoked upon the adoption of the Newham Local Plan and planning powers returning to Newham. We would welcome confirmation of this.		The Council will review all Supplementary Planning Documents, both LBN and LLDC, on adoption of the Local Plan and make recommendations on which to revoke or withdraw at that point. This will be a decision for Cabinet.
Reg19- E-093	Bellway Homes (Thames Gateway) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 093/013	General															4. Summary Bellway requests that consideration is given to the comments within this letter as we believe they would help to address the identified issues and make the draft Local Plan justified, positively prepared, effective and consistent with national policy. We request that our representations are considered and would be pleased to discuss the comments raised further with officers. At this stage we would like to reserve the right to appear at the Examination in Public at the appropriate time.		Comment noted.
E-095	Get Living Plc		Reg19-E- 095/001	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	London Borough of Newham – Local Plan Review – Draft Submission Local Plan Consultation (Regulation 19) – September 2024 We are instructed to submit representations on the London Borough of Newham's (LBN) Submission Draft Local Plan on behalf of Get Living Plc ("GL"). GL is the UK's leading build-to-rent ("BtR") operator of large-scale residential neighbourhoods. Get Living's portfolio comprises 4,500 homes for rent across five neighbourhoods: East Village and Elephant Central in London, One Maidenhead in Berkshire and New Maker Yards in Salford, Greater Manchester and The Filigree in Lewisham. Future neighbourhoods are planned or under construction across the UK, including London, Birmingham and Leatherhead, delivering a further 4,300 homes.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-095	Get Living Plc	Quod	Reg19-E- 095/008	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	GL welcomes the opportunity to make representations at this stage of the Local Plan process and look forward to future discussions with the Planning Policy Team on later stages of the Local Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-096	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Savills	Reg19-E- 096/001	General								No							We think that the emerging local plan can be made sound through the amendments suggested in our submitted letter.		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full </u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	<u>sentation</u>	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	lictification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-096	Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd	Savills	Reg19-E- 096/002	General															We write on behalf of our client, Redefine Hotels Portfolio IV Ltd, in response to the Council's consultation on the Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). This letter is primarily concerned with the Holiday Inn Express, 1 Silvertown Way, Newham (hereafter 'the Site'), as outlined in red on the enclosed Site Location Plan, [Attachment Canning Town HIX - LBN Site Location Plan] for which our client holds the freehold interest.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-098	Nafees Khojji		Reg19-E- 098/03	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate. By implementing these modifications, the Local Plan will become both legally compliant and sound, ensuring it serves the needs of the community while fostering long-term growth and sustainability for the borough.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-100	Simpson and Goldstein	Lichfields	Reg19-E- 100/002	General							Yes	No						Yes	S&G submitted representations to the Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan in February 2023. Since the submission of the representations, S&G has engaged with LBN through a series of pre-application meetings, has attended a Design Review Panel (DRP) in relation to the redevelopment of the Site, and has now submitted a planning application for the following development: "Demolition and redevelopment of the site to provide a large-scale Purpose Built Shared Living development (sui generis use) and ancillary amenity facilities, with flexible commercial floorspace, landscaping, access improvements and other associated works."		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	i 19 Kepres	entation	115														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-100	Simpson and Goldstein	Lichfields	Reg19-E- 100/003	General							Yes	No						Yes	S&G continues to be broadly supportive of the vision and objectives of the draft Local Plan. However, there are a number of policies which they consider are too prescriptive and which could impede LBN's ability to meet the plan's overarching objectives. The current wording of certain parts of the Local Plan is not positively prepared, not justified and not effective. This letter sets out S&G's responses. The comments are organised by the theme and policy that they relate to. We have commented on whether the plan is sound based on the NPPF's four tests of soundness throughout the letter.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-100	Simpson and Goldstein	Lichfields	Reg19-E- 100/024	General							Yes	No						Yes	Summary S&G welcome the opportunity to comment on the emerging Newham Local Plan The opportunity for sustainable, coresidential development at the Site through draft designation LMUA6, Ashburton Terrace is supported in principle subject to the comments set out above. Overall, the plan should make clear that any reference to residential uses within the plan includes co-living. S&G intends to continue to participate in the preparation of the draft Local Plan and looks forward to any further opportunities to be involved in the process in the coming months to shape the draft Local Plan.		Comment noted. Specific responses to your detailed representations are provided in the relevant sections of the consultation report.
Reg19- E-101	Haroon Ansari		Reg19-E- 101/01	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills						No							I am nor agree with New Ham Council Plan it is impacted the concerned community and land owner. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	New Ham Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-102	Network Rail		Reg19-E- 102/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	I am writing on behalf of Network Rail (NR) in respect of the Newham Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. Thank you for consulting NR on the draft submission of the Local Plan. Please consider this letter the formal NR response to the consultation.		Comment noted.

		ar comments																		
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-102	Network Rail		Reg19-E- 102/002	General						Blan k	No						Blan k	NR welcomes the support and commitments detailed within the draft Local Plan and Site Allocations for improvements to railway infrastructure and public transport, particularly at Stratford Station and West Ham Station. NR looks forward to continuing to work closely with the London Borough of Newham to ensure the required railway infrastructure improvements can be successfully delivered. Nevertheless, there are a number of outstanding matters that NR believes need to be addressed for the Local Plan to be found sound. Please refer to Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 to view NR's feedback on these matters.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-102	Network Rail		Reg19-E- 102/003	General						Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Network Rails: Land to the South of Anthems Way, Stratford, E20 Please note that a separate consultation response in respect of Network Rail's Land to the South of Anthems Way, Stratford, E20 was submitted to the London Borough of Newham's Planning Policy team on 16/08/2024.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-103	Munaf Patel		Reg19-E- 103/01	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills				No	No							New Ham Council had to discuss with concerned community leaders and land owner before making a draft or proposal. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	New Ham Councill has taken a bias decision. It Should be unbias and consulated with concern community before making it.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-104	Yusuf Adam		Reg19-E- 104/01	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills				No	No							New Ham Council Proposal is Concerning the community who is currently using the land. It is not suitable. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	New Ham Councill have to discuss with concerned community before making a draft or proposal.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-105	Shoiab Abdul Samad		Reg19-E- 105/01	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills				No	No							New Ham Council plan is drafted without taking consultation with concerned community or land owner. It is biased. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward N7.SA1]	New Ham Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner. After that they have to make any decision.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-106	Zakariyah Mamun		Reg19-E- 106/01	General	N7 Three Mills	N7.SA1 Abbey Mills				No	No							New Ham Council plan is made without taking any feedback from the concern community or land owner. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	New Ham Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-107	Mohmed Hanif Patel		Reg19-E- 107/01	General							No	No							New ham council proposal is improper as they have not consulted the land owner or a Muslim community before making a proposal. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	New ham council has to meet the land owner and community leaders.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-108	Aamir Ismail		Reg19-E- 108/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council plan is biased As they had not consulated with concern community and land owner. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	NewHam Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-109	Fatima Anika Akhtar		Reg19-E- 109/01	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons: Our community is eager to collaborate with the landowners and relevant authorities to deliver a replacement facility that meets our needs. We believe that working together can lead to a solution that benefits all parties involved. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.this critical evaluation, the plan will remain disconnected from the actual requirements of the community.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-109	Fatima Anika Akhtar		Reg19-E- 109/01	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement.		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

Regal Month of Grade Re 11,7/201 The control of the control of
E 222 122/002 It Consideration Control and Desiration Control and Statistics of Consideration Control and Statistics of
Local Time Regulations 197 (On bellevilled from Least 5500), or worknown on the cognitivation by the common of the Newbords of
Con Secretal officer of control and contro
wedcome the opportunity to recommend on the fining patients of the format of the forma
welcome the opportunity to recommend on the finguisation of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version of the form of the Version
wedcome the opportunity to recommend on the fining patients of the format of the forma
the Regulation 13 Version of the Newham Local Plann. The Olivox has previous Regulation 18 Version of the Local Plan, to Newhold Scale Olivox and the American Regulation 18 Version of the Local Plan, to which Scale Olivox and the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Plan, to which Scale Olivox and the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Regulation 18 Version of the Local Regulation 18 Version of Regulation Regulation 18 Version of Regulation Regulation Regulation 18 Version of Regulation Regulat
Local Plan. The follows the provision: consultation med in Previous P225 to the which SciGiO also such admitted representations. These are agreeded to this letter. SSERIO are been to work with list forwham to help remove that the Phase is found to be sourch in accordance with page-pagh 55 of die National Planning Paging Tolking Transvoor. (previ) Phagagoga SS. of the Native State of that TLOCA jams and speak deeper operated in accordance with page-pagh 55 of die National Planning Paging Policy Transvoor. (previ) Phagagoga SS. of the Native State of that TLOCA jams and speak deeper operated whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal adopt more are page-page-page-page-page-page-page-page-
consultation held in Fernancy 2023 to the Bequelation of Sevision of the Leaf Plant. Is also all sevision of the Leaf Plant. In the consultation of the Consultation o
consultation held in February 2023 to the Requisitors of Version o
kegulation 18 Version of the Local Plan, to which SCROII also planetized with SCROII also planetized with the letter. SCROII also planetized on a department of the letter of the letter. SCROII also planetized on a service of the letter of the letter of the letter. SCROII also planetized on the letter of th
which SEGIO also submitted representations. The are a appended to this letter. SEGIO are is one to work with I.R Rowsham to help ensure that the Plans is found to be sound in accordance with paganger his or assume that the Plans is found to be sound an accordance with the Plans is found to be sound an accordance with the Plans is found to be sound plans and plans is the Plans is found to be sound plans and plans is the Plans is found to be sound plans and spatial development as ranging are resuming to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with teglin and precedural county plans are bound iff they are a) Poulisely prepared — providing a strategy walks, as an immenum, seeled to meet the are is objected plans and with other amborities, so that immet need from neighbours greace is accommodated where it is sracked to do accommodated where it is area can be sound plans are sound with other amborities, so that immet need from neighbours greace is accommodated where it is area can accommodated where it is area can accommodated where it is area can be accommoda
representations. These are appended to this letter. \$5500 as seen to work with LS Newton to the board to the board to the board in accordance with paragraph 35 of the Netional Planning Diory Framework (Newton). NewTon, Diory Framework (Newton). Paragraph 35 of the NPP states that "Local plant and gostal development strongers are common do sources. Local plant and gostal development strongers are common and accordance with heigh and procedural requirements, and when the same are sound requirements, and when the same are sound requirements, and when a providing a report of they are a should report of the same and a sound requirements, and when a providing to make the same are sound. Plans are sound if they are a shoulderly prepared — providing to make the same are so object-they assessed needs and is informed — providing to make the area's object-they assessed needs and is informed to agreements with other authorities, so that ammont with other authorities, so that ammont with other authorities, so that ammont and accommonables where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving authorities and accommonable of the providing that the same area of the providing authorities o
this letter. SSSIO are let even to sortio with 12 Newhorm to help revisive that the finant in Found in the sound in accordance with privarge play 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (nyPP). Praingraph 35 of the NPPF states that "Local plans and agreed and even downered strategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and groendural section of the National Planning Policy Framework (nyPP). Sounder Plans are considered they are a providing a strategy within 3 are inflammun, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed meets, and is inflammun, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed meets, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks and is inflammed by a seeks and in the seeks and is inflammed by a seeks and in the seeks and in th
this letter. SSSIO are let even to sortio with 12 Newhorm to help revisive that the finant in Found in the sound in accordance with privarge play 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (nyPP). Praingraph 35 of the NPPF states that "Local plans and agreed and even downered strategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and groendural section of the National Planning Policy Framework (nyPP). Sounder Plans are considered they are a providing a strategy within 3 are inflammun, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed meets, and is inflammun, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed meets, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks, and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks and is inflammed by agreement in the seeks and is inflammed by a seeks and in the seeks and is inflammed by a seeks and in the seeks and in th
SEGRIC are kerent to work with LB Newhum to help premure that the Plans in Grand to be sound in accordance with pure graph 25 of at the plans of Plansing Policy framework (IPPE). Paragraph 35 of the NPF states that "Local plans and spatial development states and spatial development states and plansing the plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and plansing policy framework states and whether they are sound Plansing are sound Plansing are and whether they are all Paulivoly prepared — providing a sound plansing are and more the area's objectively associated needs and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so what unment need from neighbouring area is accommodated where it is practical to do soon soon and plansing area is statementable development; b) is useffied—a nappropriete strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on preportionate ordering. (b) is useffied—a paperportionate ordering. (c) is preportionate ordering pint working on cross. boundary strategy matters that have been dealt with raher than andering and under matters that have been dealt with the statement of counting ground, and enabling the delivery of stratistical development.
to help ensure that the Plan is found to be sound in accordance with paragraph 3 of the National Planning Policy Framework (1987). Paragraph 3 of the NPPS states that "Local plans and spatial development stateless are examined to assess whether they laws been appropriated in regular plans and spatial development stateless are examined to assess whether they laws been appropriated in regularements, and whether they are sound. Plans are "sound f
to help ensure that the Plan is found to be sound in accordance with paragraph 3 of the National Planning Policy Framework (New?). Paragraph 3 of the NAPPS states that "Local plans and spotatel development strategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in large and the plan of the plan
sound in accordance with paragraph 35 of the National Planning Public Pramework (NEPP). Pangarph 35 of the NPP states that "Coal plans and spatial development strateges are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are an appropriate procedural requirements, and whether they are a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively appeared — prode strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively appeared — prode strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet for a read so objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that on meet meed from neighbouring seeks. Is do not and so considered that on the seek of the production of the seek of the
sound in accordance with paragraph 35 of the National Planning Public Pramework (NEPP). Pangarph 35 of the NPP states that "Coal plans and spatial development strateges are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are an appropriate procedural requirements, and whether they are a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively appeared — prode strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively appeared — prode strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet for a read so objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that on meet meed from neighbouring seeks. Is do not and so considered that on the seek of the production of the seek of the
the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPP) Pragraph 35 of the NPP Fattes that "Lead planes and spatial development "Stategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are sound if they are: a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to medical and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with activities of some sound in th
(NPPF). Parragraph 35 of the NPPF states that "Local plans and spatial development strategies are examined to assets whether they have been prepared in requirements, and whether they are sound, Plans are 'sound' if they are a) Positively prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is a commodiated where it is practical to do go stratanable development b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking indo account her reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather statement of common ground, and d) Considered with alternative considered of the control of the
Paragraph 35 of the NPPF states that "Local plans and spatial development of strategies are examined to assess a strategies are examined to assess a accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are a 'a) Positively prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, scele to meet the area's objectively assessed meets, and is informed by agreements and the strategy which, as a minimum, scele to meet the area's objectively assessed meets, and is informed by agreements and the strategy of t
"Local plans and spatial development strategies are examined so assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are Sound if they are: a) Positively suppared – providing a nome of the area o
"Local plans and spatial development strategies are examined as assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are Sound if they are: a) Positively prepared — providing a nome of the area o
"Local plans and spatial development strategies are examined so assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' fit they are a sound. Plans are 'sound' fit they are a sound, Plans are 'sound' fit they are a sound, Plans are 'sound' fit they are a sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound plans are sound and are sound and are sound are sound and are soun
strategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are: a) Postively prepared, and strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustanable development; b) Juntified – an appropriate strategy, b) alternatives; and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective pint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) consistent with nather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) consistent with nathoral policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordanced.
whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are: a) Positively prepared — providing a strategy which, as years a sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are: a) Positively prepared — providing a strategy which, as the same and
whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are: a) Positively prepared — providing a strategy which, as minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with activiting sustainable development; b) justified—an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective—deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground, and d) Consistent with national policy—enabling the delivery of sustainable development in the statement of common ground, and d) Consistent with national policy—enabling the delivery of sustainable development in a severable over the plan period, and adjo Consistent with national policy—enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' reprovating a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively askessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking more country to reasonable and so are and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking more country the reasonable and based on proportionate evidence; c) el Effective or deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective pint works boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and of (Consistent with harbor ploity enabling the deliverable over this plan of the statement of common ground; and of (Consistent with national policy enabling the deliverable over with the statement of common ground; and of (Consistent with national policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are 'sound' flaw, are 'sound' flaw, are 'sound' flaw, are 'sound' flaw, are 'sound' flaw, as a strategy which, as an inimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified—an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable altermatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective—deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferend, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistency and d) consistency and d) consistency is the statement of common ground; and d) consistency is the statement of common ground
sound. Plans are 'sound' if they are: a) Positively prepared providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, on the mument need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustanable development: b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and base don proportionate evidence; c) effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenady strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenad you by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy— enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
a) Positively prepared — providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seels to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is a accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified — an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective — deliverable over the plan period, and based on of effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealth with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with attorial policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
a) Positively prepared — providing a strategy which, as a minimum, sees to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, who allowed the proposed of the plan period, who allowed on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent and additional policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
strategy which, as an iminimum, seeks to meet the area so a licitude year age needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodate paracial to do so and is consistent with achieving susuitainable days paracial to do so and is consistent with achieving susuitainable demail is paracial to do so and is consistent with achieving susuitainable days and based on paracial to the reasonable alternatives, and based on reflective into the reasonable alternatives, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development with the dinal policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development with the dinal policy enabling the delivery of sustainable
meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it spractical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with antional point of a disconsistent with antional point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with particular with the disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with a disconsistent with national point of a disconsistent with a disconsis
needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, other authorities accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on effective joint evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters sheen dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with achieved enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground, and did of Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development with the
need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified—an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternates, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective—deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working or cross-boundary strategic more than a sevidence dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of commo ground; and d) Consistent with national policy— enabling the delivery of sustainable developed for the the
accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified — an appropriate strategy, taking into acrosnable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective — deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidencie; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective — deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have taken the than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective — deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have taken the than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with anolicy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
period, and based on effective joint working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
working on cross- boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
d) Consistent with national policy — enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the
development in accordance with the
policies in this Framework and other
statements of national planning policy,
where relevant".
Paragraph 36 of the NPPF is clear that the
above-mentioned tests of soundness will
be applied to non- strategic policies i.e.,
where these are contained within a Local
Plan in a proportionate way, taking into
account the extent to which they are
consistent with relevant strategic policies
for the area.
The second of the area.
Where relevant, these representations
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the four tests for soundness of policy set out
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the four tests for soundness of policy set out within the NPPF.
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the four tests for soundness of policy set out within the NPPF. Summary of Representations
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the four tests for soundness of policy set out within the NPPF. Summary of Representations
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the four tests for soundness of policy set out within the NPPF. Summary of Representations SEGRO's representations to the
Where relevant, these representations assess the proposed changes against the four tests for soundness of policy set out within the NPPF. Summary of Representations

	Gener	rai Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	regulation	т 13 пергез	Citation	<u> </u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-112	SEGRO	Gerald Eve	Reg19-E- 112/031	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	As a long-term stakeholder and investor in Newham, SEGRO welcome the opportunity keen to engage with LB Newham to help shape the future of the area and welcome future involvement in the preparation of this plan and Examination in Public. If you have any queries, please contact contact details redacted.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-113	Harun Yusuf Vali Molvi		Reg19-E- 113/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council Plan is lack of community concern as Muslim community has a lot of requirements. So, first Newham Council has to listen the concern of community. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-114	Ishak Aswat		Reg19-E- 114/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council Plan is lack of community concern as Muslim community has a lot of requirements. So, first Newham Council has to listen the concern of community. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	NewHam Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-115	Abdulrasheed Patel		Reg19-E- 115/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council Plan is biased. They need to talk with concern community. As community has a requirement of purpose built facility which helps to improve the community. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	NewHam Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-117	Sajid Bhai Vahora		Reg19-E- 117/02	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons: Our community is eager to collaborate with the landowners and relevant authorities to deliver a replacement facility that meets our needs. We believe that working together can lead to a solution that benefits all parties involved. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-118	Qaisar Sultan		Reg19-E- 118/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council Plan is done without consulting concern community and land owner. It is biased. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Concerned community has their plans for development so Newham Council has to discuss with the land owner and concern Community.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh

	Gener	ral Comments t	to the <u>full R</u>	Regulation	19 Represe	entation	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-119	MD Shaiful Azam		Reg19-E- 119/02	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons: Our community is eager to collaborate with the landowners and relevant authorities to deliver a replacement facility that meets our needs. We believe that working together can lead to a solution that benefits all parties involved. [originally against S11, S12 and S13, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-119	MD Shaiful Azam		Reg19-E- 119/03	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-121	Osman Bin Mahmood Bakindwan		Reg19-E- 121/03	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons: Our community is eager to collaborate with the landowners and relevant authorities to deliver a replacement facility that meets our needs. We believe that working together can lead to a solution that benefits all parties involved. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	1 19 Repres	<u>entation</u>	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-121	Osman Bin Mahmood Bakindwan		Reg19-E- 121/04	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-123	Abrar Vahora		Reg19-E- 123/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council did a proposal which is irrelevant and it is biased. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Concerned community has their plans for development so Newham Council needs to discuss with them.	Comment noted.
Reg19- E-127	Raihan Vahora		Reg19-E- 127/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council proposal is biased and proposed without consultation. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Concerned community has their plans for development ,Newham Council has to connect with them.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-128	Atik Shaikh		Reg19-E- 128/01	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons: Our community is eager to collaborate with the landowners and relevant authorities to deliver a replacement facility that meets our needs. We believe that working together can lead to a solution that benefits all parties involved. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>IS</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-128	Atik Shaikh		Reg19-E- 128/04	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate. By implementing these modifications, the Local Plan will become both legally compliant and sound, ensuring it serves the needs of the community while fostering long-term growth and sustainability for the borough.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-129	Issa Nawab		Reg19-E- 129/01	General								No						No	NewHam Council Plan is inappropriate. They have to consult concerned community as community requires a purpose built facility. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	NewHam Councill has to discuss with community leaders and land owner. They have to consult with them.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh
Reg19- E-131	Mohammada kib Bohara		Reg19-E- 131/003	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

	General Comme	nts to the <u>full</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	entations	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-135	Faizan Vahora	Reg19-E- 135/003	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.
Reg19- E-151	MD Masud Rana Bhuiyan	Reg19-E- 151/01	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan is not legally compliant and is unsound for several critical reasons: Our community is willing to work together with the landowners and relevant authorities to develop a new facility that meets our requirements. We believe that working together might produce a solution that all parties can agree upon. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-151	MD Masud Rana Bhuiyan	Reg19-E- 151/03	General							No	No						No	Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate. By implementing these modifications, the Local Plan will become both legally compliant and sound, ensuring it serves the needs of the community while fostering long-term growth and sustainability for the borough.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

	Gene	ral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	<u>sentatio</u>	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-156	John Saunders		Reg19-E- 156/004	Building a Fairer Newham							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Community involvement in planning Make planning committees more resident friendly and accessible with microphones and other inclusive accessories. Hold community meetings once or twice a year, for residents and other user to scrutinise progress and seek modifications when appropriate.		Comment noted. Thank you for this feedback which relates to resident engagement in all aspects of planning. The Planning Service sets out how residents can be involved in planning processes in a document called the Statement of Community Involvement, which can be found on our website. We review this regularly and will take your comments on board during the next review.
Reg19- E-157	Imtiaz Khankhara		Reg19-E- 157/01	General							No	No						No	Local Plan Title: Abbey Mill N7 SA1 Failure to Co operate amd engage with land owner and members of the grassroot fauth communities who regularly, use this Land as only place of worship in Westham and Stratford and from with in Newham. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-157	Imtiaz Khankhara		Reg19-E- 157/08a	General							No	No						No	The private landowners of the site are aspiring to redevelop the Abbey Mill N7 SA1 land site, and there has been no engagement to fullfill this private land use aspiration to be used by the local residents community of Newham [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]		Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh

Regit District with the second control and th

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																					making the plan accessible in various languages using a readaloud functionality.

Reg19-	Canning	DP9	Reg19-E-	General			1			1		Thank you for providing us with the	1	Comment noted.
E-164	Town		164/001									opportunity to comment on London		
	Development											Borough of Newham (LBN) Draft		
	Company Ltd											Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) as		
												the as a part of the consultation ending on the 20th of September 2024. These		
												representations are submitted by DP9 LTD		
												('DP9') behalf of our client Canning Town		
												Development Company Ltd ('CTDC').		
												The Site and Key Planning History		
												CTDC has an ownership interest in 36		
												Shirley Street, Canning Town, London, E16		
												1EB ('the Site)' and intends to bring		
												forward the Site for comprehensive		
												residential led re-development in the near future.		
												A planning application (ref:		
												17/03903/FUL) was previously submitted		
												in 2017 for the northern part of the site		
												proposing 77 residential units (including		
												28.6% affordable) in buildings up to 10		
												storeys in height, including office		
												floorspace and a dance school.		
												LBN's Strategic Development Committee		
												resolved to grant planning permission for the Proposed Development in October		
												2018, however, the application was		
												reported back to LBN's Strategic		
												Development Committee in September		
												2020 and refused as the S106 Agreement		
												was not completed. It is important to note		
												that these proposals were promoted by		
												another party and that our client CTDC did		
												not have any involvement in the planning		
												application process.		
												Following CTDC's recent proactive acquisition of the land, the Site has been		
												subject to pre-application discussions with		
												planning and design officers at LBN (ref.		
												24/00637/PREAPP) since March 2024.		
												The Proposed Development consists of		
												the comprehensive re-development of the		
												Site to provide circa 180 residential units		
												(Use Class C3) 35% of which would be		
												affordable in line with a 60/40% split in		
												favour of social housing. So far, the following meetings have taken		
												place in relation to residential-led scheme		
												designed by Metropolitan Workshop:		
												Two pre-application meetings on the		
												29th of April and the 3rd of September		
												2024 respectively; and		
												A Design Review Panel (DRP) session A Design Review Panel (DRP) session		
												which was held on the 5th of September 2024.		
												CTDC is targeting the submission of a		
												formal planning application in December		
												2024/January 2025.		
												These representations are submitted in		
												the context of the Site and the Proposed		
												Development that is subject to the above		
												on-going pre-application discussions. A		
												copy of the most recent pre-application presentation material is provided at		
												Appendix 1 for convenience. [No appendix		
												was submitted]		
Reg19-	Canning	DP9	Reg19-E-	General										Comment noted.
E-164	Town		164/002									The Development Plan		
	Development											The Development Plan for the Site		
	Company Ltd											comprises the following: • The adopted London Plan (2021); and		
												• The adopted London Flan (2021), and • The adopted LBN Local Plan (2018).		
												Within the adopted Local Plan, the Site is		
												'white land' and is not part of any of the		
												allocated Strategic Sites.		

				_	-	sentation															
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			However, the Site is located within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area (OPA), Canning Town District Centre and the Canning Town Tall Buildings Area. Whilst the Site is not in a Conservation Area and does not contain any lusted buildings, the Grade II Listed Church of St Luke is located just under 100m from the Site. The Site has a PTAL rating of 4 and is located approximately 400m south of Canning Town High Street and 300mm south of Canning Town High Street and 300mm south of Canning Town transport interchange. NPPF Paragraph 15 of the NPPF is clear that "the planning system should be genuinely plan-led. Succinct and up-to-date plans should provide a positive vision for the future of each area; a framework for addressing housing needs and other economic, social and environmental priorities; and a platform for local people to shape their surroundings". Paragraph 16 sets out a number of requirements for the scope of a new Local Plan as set out below: "a) be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development; b) be prepared positively, in a way that is aspirational but deliverable; c) be shaped by early, proportionate and effective engagement between plan makers and communities, local organisations, businesses, infrastructure providers and operators and statutory consultees; d) contain policies that are clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals; e) be accessible through the use of digital tools to assist public involvement and policy presentation; and f) serve a clear purpose, avoiding unnecessary duplication of policies that apply to a particular area (including policies in this Framework, where relevant)." Paragraph 35 of the NPPF is clear that a Local Plan must be 'Sound', the definition of which comprises, being: CTDC's representations in response to the Regulation 19 draft are set out below, and we request these are given proper		

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full l</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	<u>sentation</u>	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-164	Canning Town Development Company Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 164/003	General															General Representations We support the need for a new Local Plan to address emerging challenges and opportunities that LBN is currently experiencing. The introduction of clear, evidence-based planning policies is welcomed, provided that the Local Plan is soundly based and fully consistent with planning policy at a national and regional level. Discussions with the GLA will also be important, mindful of the anticipated London Plan review that will start in 2024 and therefore run alongside LBN's draft Local Plan. Reforms to national policy and legislation will also run in tandem and will need monitoring to ensure evolving policy responds positively. We support the scale of growth sought in the borough to be matched by high quality design – following the London Plan 'design led' approach (Policy D1 and D3). Ensuring developments optimise sites and respond to existing context is important in terms of supporting the delivery of wider strategic objectives of the draft plan, particularly the need to deliver new homes to address the housing crisis at a national and local level.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-164	Canning Town Development Company Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 164/009	General															Conclusion We trust that the above representations are clear and that they will be fully considered prior to the next round of consultation/adoption. If you require any additional information, or would like to discuss this matter further, then please do not hesitate to contact Harri Aston or Chris Gascoigne at this office.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-166	Natural England		Reg19-E- 166/001	General															Draft Submission Newham Local Plan – Regulation 19 Thank you for your consultation on the Newham Local Plan on the 19th of July 2024. Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.		Comment noted.

Supplied to the property of th					-0	19 Representations						
Section Sect	Reg19-	Silvertown	DP9	Reg19-E-	General		Blan	No	Blan	RE: NEWHAM LOCAL PLAN REVIEW -	Comm	ment noted.
Region of the Control of Control of Contr	E-169	Homes Ltd		169/001			k		k	RESPONSE TO REGULATION 19		
The restriction of an embodial in a committed in a										CONSULTATION		
The way great received the special and in territorial and in the state of the state										REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED ON		
**American from Fallance Transmitter **American from Fallance Transmi										BEHALF OF SILVERTOWN HOMES LTD		
**American from Fallance Transmitter **American from Fallance Transmi												
Rechards (More County) To complete the County of the Coun										These representations are provided in		
Controllection to a real scale and Planch Bro Miles of the fact has a set that story the real price of the fact has a set that story and in grant price of the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact has a set that story and in the fact has a set that story the fact h												
Internal Processor Control Con												
or the Toman soft or Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of the Change of State (1) and a set of State												
Processing England of Sequences 2012 These are responded to the first process of the company of												
Fisher personal results above been proposed in the best offer of a vertical to the control of th												
these presentations have have a superior of the control of the con												
account and behalf of 60 horizones makes the second and account and account ac										('Draft Local Plan').		
account and behalf of 60 horizones makes the second and account and account ac												
It is play 12 when how the the mapped; and cambridge the common to the common to the common to the common to the common to the common to the common to the common to the common to common the common to the common to common the common to common the common to common the common to common the common to common the common to common to common the common the												
Inclinations of the Control of the C												
compared for the Security III Security of the Tomorophy Word Each Advanced to the Advanced for the Advanced												
and The American Word and Control Control Telephone Control Control Telephone Control Control Telephone Control Control Telephone Control Control Telephone Control Co												
Dough Openins When Memory Services and Management of Manag												
Allocation () Set as a promote format to be with the control c												
mount-in enterection products of the control of the												
Week, Shertermon (Place Seef) approved by the General to-Order, Marker (Place Seef) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches and College) (In Beaches) (In Beaches) (
the General and Authority (GENA) in October 2012 (User 4) (College (USE)) (College (USE)) (College) (Colle												
October 2012 (CA) or eff CAM (POS) (CA) Are CAM (POS)												
It is herewhat med. JULIUSSS/DULT). Selfs where the control of t												
18 (MASSE) COURT. Still studentest of reference (ADS) are response to the Angelantion in Stell Court for reference (ADS) are response to the Angelantion in Stell Court for ADS are response to the Particular (ADS) are response to the Themsenial Was Stell Andelands and dependence to the Themsenial Was Stell Andelands and dependence of the Particular Particular (ADS) are response to the Particular Particular (ADS) and the Particular Particular (ADS) and the Particular Particular (ADS) and the Particular Particular (ADS) and the Particular (AD												
Note the production of the programme for the programme for the registration all society could be foreign on the following state of the production of the pro												
Council in February 2023 in response to the Menghalized in St 2011 Loss plan of Consultation, Secular generalization to the University of Menghalization and related policies to disput with the Highest of Council and the Co												
Council in February 2023 in response to the Menghalized in St 2011 Loss plan of Consultation, Secular generalization to the University of Menghalization and related policies to disput with the Highest of Council and the Co										SHL submitted representations to the		
the displants of 18 minut food Plan coccusions (in the Beginston 18 minut food Plan coccusions) (in the Beginston 18 minut food Plan (in the Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan												
corountation ("Det Regulation 38 Continuation), electing an immediance to the continuation of the continua										the Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan		
Consultation), seeking amondments to the Thermisside Week size Anticipation and Week Thermisside Week size Anticipation and Week Thermisside Promission granted in Occober 2021. The representations submitted the revealth consider for the foundment of the for the first Coral Plan with required to paragraph 35 of the Restancial planning follow; commonwealth of the Promission granted promission granted promission granted promission granted promission granted promission granted promission granted granted promission granted granted promission granted grante												
related policies to salign with the liviginal Planning Permission graderial (includer 1701). The representations submitted herewith consider her sounders of the country o												
Planning Permission granted in October 2021. The representations administed horsewish counsider the 'countries of the Druft Local Plann with regard to paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework as updated in 2023 (PWPP), which states that planning Policy Framework as updated in 2023 (PWPP), which states that plans are Southwish greatered providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively sanassed needs; and all informed by agreements and a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assassed needs; and all informed by agreements and a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assassed needs; and all informed by agreements and a strategy which, as a strategy which, as a strategy which are strategy and a strategy which are strategy and a strategy an												
The representations authorities in treventity coagset this "Soliculations" of the Oath Local Plan with regard to paragraph of the Oath Local Plan with regard to paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Pramework as updated in 2022 (NeptPly, which states Utula plans are "Sound" where they are: - Policipally prograded, providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the early objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is not one of the objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is not one of the objective program of										related policies to align with the Hybrid		
The representations submitted herewith consider the "punishers of the Draft consider the "punishers of the Draft the National Princip Framework as updated in 2021 (Newpi), which states that plans are "award where they are: • Positively prepared previously are: • Positively prepared providing a strong which is a minimum, yeaks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is limited by agreements with other authorities, so but unnet with the princip framework and consistent with a chieving southward where it is practical to do so and is consistent with a chieving southward election of the consistent with a chieving southward election of the consistent with a chieving southward election of the consistent with a chieving southward election of the consistent with a chieving a chieving and is consistent with a chieving southward preportionate overfience. • Infective - deliverable over the plan period and based on preportionate overfience. • Infective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective point matter, deliverable over the plan period and based on effective point matter, that have been dealed with rather than deferred, as ovidenced by the statement of common ground. • Considered with meteoral planky and common ground. • Considered with meteoral planky election of the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planky of the policy where steaments of national planky planky where steaments of national planky planky where steaments of national planky policy where steaments of national planky policy.										Planning Permission granted in October		
consider the 'soundness' of the Paris' Local Plan with regard to prayagan 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework as upoteded in 2023 (NPP), which states that plans are Sound Where they are * Pontively prepared providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the rand's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, such plans are with other authorities, and the provided needs; and see informed by agreements with other authorities, and activities and activities of the practical to do so and is consistent with activities austransible development. * Loudified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable evidence. * Loudified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable evidence. * Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the than deferred, as evidenced by the * Consistent with advanced policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable										2021.		
consider the 'soundness' of the Paris' Local Plan with regard to prayagan 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework as upoteded in 2023 (NPP), which states that plans are Sound Where they are * Pontively prepared providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the rand's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, such plans are with other authorities, and the provided needs; and see informed by agreements with other authorities, and activities and activities of the practical to do so and is consistent with activities austransible development. * Loudified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable evidence. * Loudified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable evidence. * Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the than deferred, as evidenced by the * Consistent with advanced policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of anisonal policy cronabling the delivery of sustainable												
Local Plan with regard to paragraph 35 of the National Planning Plenky Francovch as updated in 2022 (NPPP), which states that plans are 'sound' where they are: Positively progrand; providing a strategy which, as an inthinum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informer by agreements with other authorities, so that unmer need from neighbouring area is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is construct with achieving a sutting of an appropriate strategy, taking into account the resonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. **Effective** defiverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on consolomers are consolomers are consolomers are consolomers and on the consolomers are consolomers and the definition of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national policy - enabling fedility of statement of common ground. **Consistent with national policy - enabling fedility of statements of statement of common ground. **Consistent with national policy - enabling file delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the palcies in this framework and other statements of national paloity - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the palcies in this framework and other statements of national paloity - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the palcies in this framework and other statements of national paloity - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the palcies in this framework and other statements of national paloity - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the palcies in this framework and other statements of national paloity - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the palcies in this framework and other statements of national paloiting paloiting paloiting paloiting.										· ·		
the National Planning Policy (Parency, which states that plans are "sound" where they are: Positively prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to make a minimum, seeks to make a minimum, seeks to medic and a sinformed by agreements with other authorities, so that unment needs and sinformed by agreements with other authorities, so that unment need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and as consistent with achieving sustainable development. **Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account for responsible alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternatives are successful to the proportionate alternati												
as updated in 2023 (NIPPF), which states that plans are "count" where they are: * Footilvely represed - providing a record of the providing a record of the providing a record of the providing and an entire that are are all the providing and the providing and the providing and are are all the providing and are as a commodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with atthering assistantial development. **State of the providing and are as a commodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with atthering assistantial development. **Laking timo account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. **Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic mediant by the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic mediant that the providing and account of the planting and alternatives are alternative and account of the planting and account of the planting and account of the planting and account of the planting and account of the planting and account of the planting and account of the planting and account of the planting and the planting and other statement of common ground. **Consistent with actional planting policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of statement of formation policy where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
that plans are 'Sound' where they are: Postively prepared - providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agenements with other authorities, so that unmet with other authorities, so that unmet accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with actively sustainable development. Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. Heretwee development and the strategy of the strategy												
Positively prepared - providing a strategy which, as a sminimum, seeks to meet the mark of beginning seessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmeet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do accommodated where it is practical to do accommodate where it is practical to do accommodate development. **Listiffied an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable aimernatives, and based on proportionate evidence. **Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **Consistent with national going each of the statement of common ground. **The representations submitted herewith. The representations submitted herewith.										, ,,,		
strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the are's objectively assessed needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development strategy. I staking lind account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. I therefore, deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. I consistent with antonia policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the statement of common ground. Consistent with antonia policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the statement of rational planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith										that plans are 'sound' where they are:		
strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with activeing sustainable development is trategy. It is account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. It is a subject to the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossbouring areas; and the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossbouring areas; and the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossbouring that the that that deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. Consistent with national policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the statement of common ground. Consistent with antoma policy enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the statement of common ground. The representations submitted herewith												
meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, as that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. * Justified: a na appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. * Effective - Geliverable over the plan period and based on defective joint working on cossonadors strategy matters that have been dealt with rather strategy matters that have been dealt with rather strategy matters that have been dealt with rather strategy matters that have been dealt with rather strategy of the strategy of t												
needs, and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. • Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. • Effective - delivered one the plan period and based on effective ploint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of delivery of sustainable delevelopment in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statement of delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. **Lustified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives and based on protrionate evidence. **Effective deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with trather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of commond. **Consistent with national policy enabling the sustainable development in accordance with the policies in accordance with the policies in accordance with the policies in accordance with the statements of national planning policy, where referant. The representations submitted herewith												
need from neighbouring areas is accommodated which achieving sustainable development. • Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. • Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. * Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and appropriate evidence. * Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. * Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Farnework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. • Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. • Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
sustainable development. Justified -an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. Effective -deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
a lastingtind -an appropriate strategy, takingtind -an appropriate strategy, takingtind -an appropriate alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. • Iffective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with a policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. • Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic match ave been dut with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. • Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossoulary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statement of for finational planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
evidence. • Effective - deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
• Effective - deliverable of efeviver plan period and based on effective that working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of sa training planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
period and based on effective joint working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground polic, • Consistent with nanolangulic, enabling the delivery of sustainable development in saccordance with the policies in this Faccordance with the policies in this Factordance with rather statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
working on crossboundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. • Constituent of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith										matters that have been dealt with rather		
Consistent with national policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
statements of national planning policy, where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
where relevant. The representations submitted herewith												
The representations submitted herewith												
										where relevant.		
										The representations submitted by a 19		
provide a response on the following draft												
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		1					provide a response on the following draπ		

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	n 19 Kepres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newharn Response
																			policies and cite concerns on how they meet the tests of soundness. 1. Site Allocation N2.SA4 'Land at Thameside West and Carlsberg Tetley Dock' 2. Policy D4 'Tall Buildings' 3. Policy J1 'Employment and Growth' 4. Policy H3 'Affordable Housing' 5. Policy H4 'Unit Size Mix' Recommendations are provided to remedy the shortcomings of the Local Plan prior to submission for examination		
Reg19- E-169	Silvertown Homes Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 169/014	General															We trust that SHL's representations will be considered by LBN before the Local Plan is finalised and submitted for examination. Should you have any questions please contact contact details redacted or contact details redacted of this office.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-170	Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 170/001	General							No	No						Blan k	1. INTRODUCTION Thank you for consulting us on Newham's Local Plan: Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19), (hereafter 'DSLP') We write on behalf of our client, Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited (hereafter 'Berkeley Homes'). Berkeley Homes commend the Borough progressing a new Local Plan in an effort to replace the outof- date Newham Local Plan (2018). Notwithstanding, Berkeley have a number of reservations around the DSLP and considers that, as in part currently drafted, is not positively prepared or consistent with national or regional policy. As a landowner within the Borough, Berkeley Homes welcomes the opportunity to be involved in shaping the future of the London Borough of Newham (hereafter 'LBN') via the Regulation 19 consultation. This representation is submitted by Savills (UK) Limited (hereafter 'Savills') in response to the consultation.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>full f</u>	regulation	i 19 kepres	entation	115														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-170	Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 170/003	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	[1. INTRODUCTION] Berkeley Homes support the preparation of a New Local Plan for the Borough and wishes to emphasise the Site's potential to deliver a significant number of planning and public benefits. These benefits will be delivered through the Site's allocation for high-density mixed-use development, which enables the reuse of a brownfield site in a highly sustainable location, in accordance with national and regional policy. This letter has been drafted further to Berkeley Homes' previous Regulation 18 Representations on the DSLP (dated 20th February 2023), where suggested amendments regarding to the DSLP were raised. Berkeley Homes notes that a number of their amendments have not been addressed in the preparation of the DSLP.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-170	Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 170/005	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	[1. INTRODUCTION] Berkeley Homes would welcome the opportunity to discuss the below representations with Officers in the coming months and further assist in the plan-making process going forward and to discuss the Site's potential to contribute towards the Borough's objectives by making the best use of this highly sustainable site that can contribute towards the growth of the Borough as a whole. For the avoidance of doubt, Berkeley Homes will retain their right to submit hearing statements and speak at the forthcoming Examination in Public and they look forward to collaboratively engaging with LBN through the plan-making process, emphasising their commitment to partnership and open		Comment noted.

		ar comments					_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-170	Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 170/006	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Background – Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited Berkeley Homes has a proven track record for delivering sustainable and inclusive mixed use regeneration projects across London and the South East and have a reputation for delivering high quality homes and associated uses. They work with key stakeholders to tackle the shortage of good quality homes and make a lasting and sustainable contribution to the landscape and communities that they create. Berkeley Homes has a wealth of experience in delivering large scale, complex developments that include homes, workplaces, schools, community facilities, large area of public realm and new transport infrastructure. Our schemes are characterised by exemplary and sustainable design that take into account future climate change, that facilitates the creation of strong, inclusive communities, and that promote a net biodiversity gain.		Comment noted.

Reg19-	Berkeley	Savills	Reg19-E-	General				N	0 1	No				Bla	in	2. LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK	Comment noted.
E-170	Homes (South East		170/009											k		The Planning and Compulsory Purchase	
	London)															Act 2004 is the primary legislative act that	
	Limited															provides the basis for the plan-led system	
																in England. It is supported by other legislative instruments, including the	
																Town and Country Planning (Local	
																Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as	
																amended), which provide further details	
																on the	
																process for the Council to prepare and adopt the Local Plan and other supporting	
																documents.	
																National Policy Context	
																Paragraph 35 of the National Plan Policy	
																Framework ('NPPF', September 2023)	
																states that for an emerging Local Plan to	
																be found 'sound', it must satisfy the below four criteria:	
																Positively prepared – providing a	
																strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to	
																meet the area's objectively assessed	
																needs; and is informed by agreements	
																with other authorities, so that unmet need from	
																neighbouring areas is accommodated	
																where it is practical to do so and is	
																consistent with achieving sustainable	
																development;	
																 Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable 	
																alternatives, and based on proportionate	
																evidence;	
																Effective – deliverable over the Plan	
																period, and based on effective joint	
																working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather	
																than deferred, as evidenced by the	
																statement of common ground; and	
																Consistent with national policy –	
																enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the	
																policies in this Framework and other	
																statements of national planning policy,	
																where relevant.	
																In Landon Local Plans are also required to	
																In London, Local Plans are also required to be in 'general conformity' with the	
																London Plan.	
																It is Berkeley Homes' view that the DSLP	
																has not been positively prepared as it fails to meet objectively assessed needs. It is	
																not effective as it places too much of a	
																financial burden on development. It is not	
																consistent with national policy as it will	
																fail to meet its objectified need for homes.	
																nomes.	
																The comments of Berkeley Homes in	
																respect of this Regulation 19 DSLP are set	
																out below. It is hoped that the	
																Council find these of use in their ongoing preparation of the draft.	
Reg19-	Berkeley	Savills	Reg19-E-	General		+ +	+	В	an E	Blan		+ +	+	Bla		3. MAIN REPRESENTATION	Comment noted. Please note a different
E-170	Homes		170/010					k	- 1	(k		The following sections set out our	notation has been used in this consultation
	(South East															comments on each in turn.	table for consistency across all comments.
	London) Limited															Suggested amendments to draft Local Plan re: [reference inserted]	
	Lillinea															Deletions shown as strikethrough text in	
																red; and	
					•	•					•		•	•		1	

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			Additions shown as underlined text in green.		

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-170	Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited	Savills	Reg19-E- 170/040	General							No	No						Blan	3. Conclusion The overriding response is that the Local Plan should not put in place policies that fetter development opportunities from being brought forward or that mean those tasked with major development investment decisions must operate at the margins of viability. Following recent experience, rapid economic changes such as the COVID-19 pandemic, ongoing conflict in Ukraine, increases in interest rates, and market uncertainty can have a significant and long-lasting market impact. It is therefore important that policies are therefore resilient, and that underlying viability evidence supports this. The importance of flexibility is reinforced when taking account of the many changes regularly taking place in the development industry, not only related to the recent global pandemic, but also in respect of the building regulatory system and substantial cost inflation and market uncertainty. For a plan that operates over several years and whose next review may not take place for some time, it is important to consider the likely impacts now to avoid unnecessary challenges in future years through flexibility. Taking the above into account, it is our conclusion that the DSLP would not be considered 'sound' in accordance with Paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) given it is not positively prepared, effective, justified, or consistent with the London Plan. Some of the items noted above in their current form would constrain potential redevelopment options and would therefore, not be effective in their delivery and would not be consistent with national policy, notably the restriction of tall building heights and affordable housing requirements. Therefore, it is considered that in its current format the draft Local Plan is considered not sound. We welcome the opportunity to comment on the draft Plan and look forward to future opportunities to engage with the Council. If you have any queries or would like to discuss anything further, please do contact me on the details above		Comment noted.

			s to the <u>full</u>																		
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	?bnno?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/001	General															About L&Q L&Q is one of the UK's leading housing associations and one of the nation's largest residential developers. We own or manage over 105,000 homes across London and the South East across a range of tenures including market sale, private rent, and affordable housing.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/002	General															L&Q in Newham L&Q is a major investor and provider of homes in Newham. Across the Borough L&Q owns and manages 9,984 homes. As a charitable organisation, our role goes beyond providing homes and housing services - we are a long-term partner in the neighbourhoods where we operate. Through the L&Q Foundation Place Makers Fund, we have funded projects within the borough working with Orange Bow Community Interest Company, Future Molds Communities, the Kids Network and Face Front Inclusive Theatre, with grants totalling £75,000. The Learning to Succeed Programme (LTS) is an 'award winning' schools partnership programme delivering wellbeing, Careers and STEM sessions to secondary school aged students. We have delivered sessions to three schools in Newham, Eastlea, London Design and Harris Academy Chobham.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/003	General															We are owners and managers of our developments, and Newham is a key borough for the future delivery of new homes. Notably, we hold a new development opportunity at Lyle Park West (within draft site allocation N2.SA2) and are also exploring the potential for estate regeneration opportunities where this would be appropriate. We therefore have a long-term interest in ensuring that LBN's Local Plan is successful. It is on this basis that we welcome the opportunity to submit representations.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/004	General															Overview of Representations L&Q submitted representations to the previous draft version of the Local Plan in our letter dated 20 th February 2023 and welcomes the opportunity to provide further feedback to ensure a clear and robust planning framework for the Borough. In our Regulation 18 representations we set out our overall support for the vision for the borough set out in the draft Local Plan, but highlighted some specific areas where we considered that amendments were required to make the policies more practical and effective.		Comment noted.

	000			- Garage	i 13 Repres		_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/005	General															Having reviewed the amended draft Regulation 19 Local Plan, we do retain concern regarding the practicality and effectiveness of some of the specific development management policies, principally in relation to matters which have the potential to impact on scheme viability. In particular, concern is raised in respect of the following policies: • BNF2 • D1 • H3 • H4 • J4 We also raise significant concern regarding draft allocation N2.SA2 which covers L&Qs site at Lyle Park West, as well as Policy D4 (and specifically TBZ11) which sets out the associated tall buildings heights for this area.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/007	General															The detailed comments are appended to this letter (Appendix 1) using the completed LBN representation forms. These representations are made in the context of Paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework, to be 'sound', policies must be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-173			Reg19-E- 173/008	General															Future Participation As a key stakeholder, L&Q welcome the opportunity to contribute to emerging planning policy. We are supportive of LBN's intention to set out its vision for the borough set out in the draft Local Plan, however we do have concerns around the practicalities of draft allocation N2.SA2 and some of the draft policies and the impact on the viability of development proposals and therefore investment in the borough. The amendments we have proposed seek to ensure the document is more practical and effective in supporting growth in the borough. We look forward to confirmation of receipt of these representations and reserve our right to participate in relevant hearing sessions at examination stage.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-173	L&Q		Reg19-E- 173/033	Design	D4 Tall buildings			TBZ1 1: Lyle Park Wes				No							L&Q is a major investor and provider of homes in Newham, and notably, we hold new development opportunity at Lyle Park West (within TBZ11). For the reasons set out in the form, we have significant concerns with the soundness of the draft allocation which warrant discussion.		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-175	Ibrahim Waza		Reg19-E- 175/001	General							No	No						No	The current Local Plan is fundamentally flawed and does not fulfill the duty to cooperate,		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Council considers that we have fulfilled this requirement and our approach is set out in our Duty to Cooperate Report which is published on the Council's website.
Reg19- E-176	Port of London Authority	Capita	Reg19-E- 176/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Port of London Authority Response to the Newham Regulation 19 Draft Submission Local Plan Consultation Thank you for consulting the Port of London Authority (PLA) on the Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation for the London Borough of Newham, which sets out how the borough will shape, plan and manage growth, regeneration and development across the borough to 2038. We would like to recognise the response to our comments provided to the Regulation 18 Local Plan consultation as set out in the Consultation Report and incorporated in the latest Reg 19 draft Plan. There are however a few points that we would like to reiterate in addition to some further comments on the latest consultation document as set out below.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-176	Port of London Authority	Capita	Reg19-E- 176/017	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	I hope you find these comments helpful as you progress the Local Plan. Should you have any queries, please don't hesitate to contact us.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-177	City of London	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 177/001	General															Please refer to covering letter submitted alongside this submission which outlines our concerns with the Local Plan as currently drafted in respect of West Ham Park Nursery and the reasons as to why we would like the opportunity to speak at the hearing sessions.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	regulation	II 15 Repres	SCITCUCIO	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-177	City of London	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 177/002	General															We write on behalf of our client, City of London Corporation ("CoL"), to make representations in respect of the Regulation 19 ("Reg 19") consultation on the Draft Newham Local Plan. These representations to the London Borough of Newham ("LB Newham" / "the Council") relate to the former Nursery ("the Site") adjacent to West Ham Park ("Park"), which is owned by our client. The purpose of the consultation is to establish if the Local Plan meets the legal and procedural requirements for planmaking as set out by Paragraph 35 (Examining Plans) of the National Planning Policy Framework ("NPPF") (December 2023) and whether the Local Plan can be found sound. These representations are intended to help guide the formulation of Newham's Local Plan. The Draft Newham Local Plan dated June 2024 spans a plan period from 2023 to 2038 (covering 15 years).		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-177	City of London	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 177/006	General															Below we set out our representations in response to the Draft Newham Local Plan. CONSIDERATION OF REGULATION 19 DRAFT LOCAL PLAN We remind the Council of the expectations of national policy for plan-making. NPPF Paragraph 35 makes clear that Local Plans must meets the following four tests of soundness: 1) Positively Prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; 2) Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; 3) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and 4) Consistent with National Policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	1 13 Repres	Circucion	<u>5</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-177	City of London	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 177/030	General															GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY 'CALL FOR SITES' We note the Greater London Authority has now launched its 'Call for Sites', running until 30th October 2024. It is our Client's intention to submit the Site as part of the this process for formal consideration as a residential development site.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-177	City of London	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 177/035	General															We respectfully request that we are kept up to date with the emerging Local Plan. Additionally, we reserve our position to appear at the Examination in Public ("EiP") Hearing Sessions. We would welcome the opportunity to meet with officers to discuss the future of the site and to facilitate a site visit. Please do not hesitate in contacting (Contact details redacted), (contact details redacted) or (contact details redacted) or the first instance.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-178	Royal Docks		Reg19-E- 178/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	I'm pleased to be able to provide comments and suggestions on the Council's Regulation 19 draft of the new Local Plan (the Plan) for Newham. The work of the Royal Docks Team (RDT), including our work on GLA Land and Property Limited (GLAP) sites is aligned with the corporate priorities of the Council as set out in the jointly agreed Royal Docks Delivery Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-178	Royal Docks		Reg19-E- 178/009	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	We are aware of the representations submitted directly relating to Silvertown Quays, Thameside West and Excel and can confirm that we endorse the suggestions therein. We're happy to have more detailed discussions about how we can support further iterations of the Plan and how its implemented.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-179	AIM Land Ltd	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 179/007	General															P09239 - 970 Romford Road, Ilford, E12 5LP Representations to London Borough of Newham's Draft Local Plan Review; Submission Version (Regulation 19) Consultation (September 2024) We write on behalf of our client, AIM Land Ltd, to make representations on the London Borough of Newham's Draft Local Plan Review (Reg 19 version) which is currently out for consultation. These representations are written with specific reference to the following site: - 970 Romford Road, Ilford, E12 5LP		Comment noted.

							_			
Reg19-	AIM Land Ltd	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E-	General					We have made individual comments on	Comment noted.
E-179			179/010						the specific policies using the Council's	
									online response form, however, given the	
									scope of the comments we also set out	
									our principal representations below.	
									The Site	
									The site	
									The site is situated along Romford Road	
									and just outside Ilford Metropolitan Town	
									Centre. The application site currently	
									accommodates a The Gym Group London	
									(Class E), hard standing surface level car	
									parking, and Enterprise car hire agency	
									(sui generis). The site was designated as a	
									Local Industrial Location (LIL) in the 2018	
									Local Plan.	
									A portion of the application site contains a	
									Gas Pressure Reduction System (PRS) on	
									land in the ownership of National Grid	
									alongside multiple other utilities below	
									the site and above-ground electricity	
									pylons along the site's eastern boundary.	
									1,	
									There is an Adjoining site to the rear (also	
									within our client's ownership) of Approx.	
									0.48 ha which is currently used as a	
									Storage Facility. This Adjoining site has	
									Outline Planning Consent (LP Ref.	
									19/03343/OUT) for a 13,674sqm Storage	
									Warehouse. To the west of the site is a 3-	
									12 storey post-war housing development,	
									and to the east is Ilford Town Centre,	
									which includes several tall residential	
									towers in excess of 35m in height.	
									The site has excellent access to public	
									transport services with PTAL rating of 6a.	
									Ilford Station is located within 0.5	
									kilometres with regular service to	
									Liverpool Street and in addition, a number	
									of bus services run along Romford Road.	
									or bus services run diong normora noud.	
									The site benefits from easy links with local	
									facilities and shops, which provide a wide	
									range of retail opportunities for the site to	
									incorporate as part of the development.	
									Of particular note is the Sainsbury's	
									superstore situated approximately 400m	
									walking distance to the east.	
									The site is not located within or adjacent	
									to a Conservation Area or Nature	
									Conservation Area, however the southern	
									end of the site is located adjacent to	
									Metropolitan Open Land (MOL). The site	
									does not contain any listed buildings and	
									is not located in a Flood Zone.	
		1							[Image inserted - Aerial Photograph – Site	
									Boundary and Adjoining site]	
									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
									Proposed Areas for Review	
									T. Spandar in cast for fiction	
									A number of key changes are sought to	
									the Draft Local Plan Review which impact	
									our client's site at 970 Romford Road,	
									Ilford. These changes are summarised	
									below:	
									Local Industrial Location (LIL) Decimal (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976) Palice (1976) Palice (1976) Parice (1976) Palice (1976)	
									Designation (Draft Policy J1 and J2)	
									Tall Buildings (Draft Policy D4);	
									Each of these matters are addressed in	
			<u> </u>						more detail in the following sections.	
			_			 	_			

	Gener	rai Comments i	to the <u>full R</u>	eguiatioi	119 Repres	entation	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-179	AIM Land Ltd	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 179/023	General															We would welcome the opportunity to meet with the Newham Policy Team to review the matters raised above and collectively work towards the formulation of a positive planning policy framework. We plan to arrange a pre-application meeting to discuss opportunities for the site to provide a viable alternative use on site. We trust the above will be taken into consideration during the Consultations. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-180	PEACH: The People's Empowermen t Alliance for Custom House		Reg19-E- 180/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Dear Newham Council, We are a collective of housing organisation and community groups in Newham. We are on the frontlines of witnessing the struggles caused by Newham's housing emergency. Therefore we believe we are in prime place to reflect our communities' needs and concerns with housing, land and planning in the borough. We have reviewed the draft local plan and detailed our comments and concerns below related to particular policies.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-181	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Rolfe Judd Planning	Reg19-E- 181/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Rolfe Judd Planning have been appointed by LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd to advise on planning matters relating to their property at Beckton Retail Park. We understand that LB Newham are currently undertaking a Regulation 19 consultation on the Draft Submission Local Plan until Friday 20th September 2024 and we are writing to submit the following comments on behalf of our client.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-181	LAMIT c/ CCLA Investment Management Ltd	Rolfe Judd Planning	Reg19-E- 181/030	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	[Conclusions] We look forward to participating in future Local Plan consultations prior to adoption.		Comment noted.

	Gener	rai Comments	to the <u>full f</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>15</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-183	ExCel and Mount Anvil	DP9	Reg19-E- 183/01	General															On behalf of our clients London International Exhibition Centre Plc (ExCeL) and Mount Anvil, we are writing to set out our consultation response regarding the London Borough of Newham Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19). In particular these representations focus on Site Allocation N2.SA5 'Excel Western Entrance', the majority of which falls within the long leasehold ownership of London International Exhibition Centre Plc. In addition, as freeholder for the site, the GLA (through the Royal Docks team) have been involved in the vision and feasibility work and are supportive of our emerging proposals, including the reconfiguration of open space at Royal Victoria Square, and the comments in these representations. This letter follows our previous representations at 'Call for Sites' stage in December 2021 and more recently our letter dated 30th May 2024 referring to the Reg 18 Local Plan and subsequent preapplication discussions regarding the development potential of the Site. In addition, this letter proposes to remove ExCeL's ownership from the boundary of Site Allocation N3.SA1 'Royal Albert Dock' and also endorses the GLA's separate representations regarding Policy GWS2 'Water Spaces'.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-183	ExCel and Mount Anvil	DP9	Reg19-E- 183/20	General															We trust that these comments are helpful and will be accommodated in the Local Plan. We look forward to working collaboratively the Council to unlock the potential of the site.		Comment noted.

			,										
Reg19-	Primark CBRE	Reg19-E-	General									Introduction	Comment noted.
E-184	Stores Ltd	184/001		1 1								CBRE act for Primark Stores Ltd ('Primark),	
		-										who are owners of the Primark site in East	
				1 1								Ham.	
				1 1									
												The East Ham neighbourhood is located in	
												the east of the borough. It is bounded by	
												Woodgrange Park Cemetery and the	
												railway line to the north and the North	
												Circular Road to the east. The railway line	
				1 1								that runs east to west and High Street	
												North which runs north to south cut	
												across the neighbourhood.	
												Barking Road runs east to west in the	
												south of the neighbourhood, intersecting	
												with High Street North and High Street	
												South. The neighbourhood is	
												predominantly residential in character,	
												consisting of terraced housing along	
												gridded streets. East Ham is a Major Town	
				1 1								Centre and is the third largest town	
1												centre in Newham. The East Ham Major	
1												Centre runs north to south along High	
												Street North and eastwards from the	
												junction with Barking Road. The major	
												centre provides well known national retail	
												chains along with a market and	
1												independent and specialised shops and	
1													
												food and drink offer. It also has a strong	
				1 1								representation of financial and business	
												services but there remains an extremely	
				1 1								high number of betting shops and hot	
				1 1								food takeaways.	
												-	
				1 1								High Street North provides a local retail	
												offer as does Katherine Road. The	
												neighbourhood has 43 community	
												facilities, including a number of civic	
												buildings, higher education facilities,	
				1 1									
				1 1								community centres and 28 places of	
				1 1								worship. The neighbourhood's provision	
				1 1								of community facilities is above the	
												Newham average number of community	
				1 1								facilities per km2.	
												The East Ham Primark site is located	
				1 1									
												towards the southern end of High Street	
				1 1								North, on the corner with Pilgrims Way.	
												The 'L-shaped' site (0.5 ha) extends to	
				1 1								northwards alongside the Ron Leighton	
												Way car park, with a three-storey terrace	
												of buildings to the east, consisting of	
1													
1												commercial units to ground floor and	
1												mixed uses on upper floors. The area is	
												generally low-rise and residential in	
1												nature, but includes High Street North	
												and other parts of the East Ham Town	
												Centre, with a number of tall buildings	
												extending up to 15-storeys in the	
												immediate vicinity.	
1												The East Ham Primark store consists of a	
												large two-storey retail unit fronting onto	
												High Street North, wrapping along	
												Pilgrims Way, with ancillary storage and	
												servicing off Ron Leighton Way. The site	
												address is 51 High Street North, London,	
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 <u>L</u>		<u> </u>				E6 1HZ (the 'Site').	
Reg19-	Primark	Reg19-E-	General			Yes	Yes				Yes	General Comments	 Comment noted.
E-184	Stores Ltd	184/014										Overall, Primark support LBN's vision and	
- 10-	2.5.55 2.6	201,014										objectives for the Borough, and the	
												transformation of the East Ham	
												neighbourhood. However, it is requested	
												that as described above, sufficient	
					1 1	1						flexibility and amendments are	
					1 1					1	1		
										- 1			
												introduced into Policy D4 (Tall buildings)	
												introduced into Policy D4 (Tall buildings) and the East Ham Primark site allocation	
												introduced into Policy D4 (Tall buildings) and the East Ham Primark site allocation (N13.SA2).	
												introduced into Policy D4 (Tall buildings) and the East Ham Primark site allocation	

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>IS</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			engagement on the emerging new Local Plan and continue to engage positively with LBN on their vision for the East Ham neighbourhood, and East Ham Primark site.		
Reg19- E-185	Hadley Property Group	Deloitte	Reg19-E- 185/001	General															Representations on behalf of Hadley DM Services Ltd and Hadley Stratford Development Ltd Deloitte is instructed by Hadley DM Service Ltd and Hadley Stratford Development Ltd ('Hadley') to submit representations on the Newham Local Plan Review in respect of International Quarter London North ('IQLN') and Rick Roberts Way ('RRW') in Stratford. Through the forthcoming development of these sites, Hadley has an active interest in the formulation of planning policy at the London Borough of Newham ('LBN') and welcomes the opportunity to respond to the Draft Submission Local Plan ('DSLP') through this Regulation 19 consultation. Previous Response to the Regulation 18 Draft Plan On behalf of Hadley, Deloitte submitted representations in response to the LBN Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan in February 2023. As a result, Hadley would like to continue engaging with LBN to contribute to positive and effective changes in response to this consultation. Regulation 19 Draft Submission Local Plan Following the Regulation 18 consultation, Hadley notes that there have been a number of positive amendments to the policy wording in the DSLP. However, concerns remain regarding the lack of consistency with the adopted LLDC Local Plan and site allocations.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-185	Hadley Property Group	Deloitte	Reg19-E- 185/048	General															Conclusion In summary, Hadley broadly supports the vision of the Local Plan to deliver a fairer Newham. However, Hadley continues to be concerned by the restrictive requirements set by policies and inconsistencies with existing site allocations as set out in this letter. Hadley is keen to continue to discuss the DSLP with policy officers and requests to be informed on the progress of the		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full l</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u> S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-187	Aamina Hafeji		Reg19-E- 187/001	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan as it currently stands is fundamentally unsound and fails to comply with the duty to co-operate		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Council considers that we have fulfilled this requirement and our approach is set out in our Duty to Cooperate Report which is published on the Council's website.
Reg19- E-189	London Square Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 189/001	General							Yes	No						Yes	London Borough of Newham Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment on London Borough of Newham (LBN) Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) as a part of the consultation ending on the 20th of September 2024. These representations are submitted by DP9 Ltd ('DP9') behalf of our client London Square ('LSQ').		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-189	London Square Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 189/002	General							Yes	No						Yes	The Site and Key Planning History LSQ has an ownership interest in Vulcan Wharf, Cooks Road, Stratford, London, E15 2PW ('the Site'). The Site covers an area of 1.34 ha site and located in Pudding Mill, Stratford. On 31st December 2021, the LLDC granted full planning permission (ref: 20/00307/FUL) for the demolition of the existing buildings and redevelopment of the Site to provide buildings between two and 14 storeys in height to include 457 residential units (Use Class C3), 5,594sqm (GEA) of storage and distribution floorspace (Use Class B8), 3,494sqm (GEA) of light industrial floorspace (Use Class B1c) and 180sqm (GEA) of retail floorspace (Use Classes A1/A2/A3), with car and cycle parking and associated hard and soft landscaping (the 'Consented Development'). These representations are submitted in the context of the Site and the Consented Development, that was implemented in June 2022 following the demolition of the buildings that existed on the Site.		Comment noted.

Reg19-	London	DP9	Reg19-E-	General		Yes	No			Yes	The Development Plan	Comment noted.
E-189	Square Ltd		189/003								The Development Plan for the Site comprises the following:	
											•The adopted London Plan (2021); and	
											•The adopted LLDC Local Plan (2020).	
											The Site falls within the Pudding Mill area,	
											which is currently within the London	
											Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) boundary within the LBN, noting that the	
											LLDC will hand, planning decision making	
											powers back to LBN in December 2024.	
											Within the adopted LLDC Local Plan, the	
											Site is identified as an 'Other Industrial	
											Location' (OIL) and forms part of Site	
											Allocation SA4.3: Pudding Mill. The Site is	
											covered by the Pudding Mill SPD where SA4.3 aspires to be a "new medium-	
											density, mixed-use area".	
											National Planning Policy Framework	
1	1						1				(NPPF)	
1	1						1				Paragraph 15 of the NPPF states:	
1	1						1				"the planning system should be genuinely	
1	1						1				plan-led. Succinct and up-to-date plans should provide a positive vision for the	
							1				future of each area; a framework for	
1	1						1				addressing housing needs and other	
1	1						1				economic, social and environmental	
											priorities; and a platform for local people	
											to shape their surroundings".	
											Paragraph 16 of the NPPF sets out a number of requirements for the scope of	
											a new Local Plan as set out below:	
											a) " be prepared with the objective of	
											contributing to the achievement of	
											sustainable development;	
											b) be prepared positively, in a way that is	
											aspirational but deliverable; c) be shaped by early, proportionate and	
											effective engagement between plan	
											makers and communities, local	
											organisations, businesses, infrastructure	
											providers and operators and statutory	
											consultees;	
											d) contain policies that are clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a	
											decision maker should react to	
											development proposals;	
											e) be accessible through the use of digital	
1	1						1				tools to assist public involvement and	
											policy presentation; and	
1	1						1				f) serve a clear purpose, avoiding unnecessary duplication of policies that	
							1				apply to a particular area (including	
	1						1				policies in this Framework, where	
											relevant)."	
											Paragraph 35 of the NPPF is clear that a	
							1				Local Plan must be 'Sound', which must	
	1						1				be, positively prepared, justified, effective	
											and consistent with national policy.	
											LSQ's representations in response to the	
1	1						1				Regulation 19 draft are set out below, and	
							1				we request these are given proper	
D==40	Londer	DDO	Dog10 F	Consti			NI-	 	 		consideration prior to adoption.	Comment noted
Reg19- E-189	London Square Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 189/004	General		Yes	No			Yes	General Representations We support the need for a new Local Plan	Comment noted.
F-103	Square LLU		103/004				1				to address emerging challenges and	
							1				opportunities that LBN is currently	
	1						1				experiencing. The introduction of clear,	
							1				evidence-based planning policies is	
1	1						1				welcomed, provided that the Local Plan is	
							1				soundly based and fully consistent with planning policy at a national and regional	
L	1	1	L	L		 1 1	1				Pictiming poincy at a mational and regional	

	Gene	ral Comment	ts to the <u>full R</u>	<u>Regulation</u>	19 Repres	entation	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			level. The draft Local Plan is due to be adopted in Summer 2025. As such, discussions with the GLA will also be important, mindful of the anticipated London Plan review that will start in 2024 and therefore run 3 alongside LBN's draft Local Plan. Reforms to national policy and legislation will also run in tandem and will need monitoring to ensure evolving policy responds positively. We support the scale of growth sought in the borough to be matched by high quality design – following the London Plan 'design led' approach (Policy D1 and D3). Ensuring developments optimise sites and respond to existing context is important in terms of supporting the delivery of wider strategic objectives of the draft plan, particularly the need to deliver new homes to address the housing crisis at a national and local level.		
Reg19- E-189	London Square Ltd	DP9	Reg19-E- 189/009	Homes	H3 Affordable housing						Yes	No						Yes	Conclusion We trust that the above representations are clear and that they will be fully considered prior to the next round of consultation/adoption. If you require any additional information, or would like to discuss this matter further, then please do not hesitate to contact [redacted] at this office.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-190	Manor Park Business Association		Reg19-E- 190/001	General															Thank you for forwarding the details of the Draft Plan for Newham.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-190	Manor Park Business Association		Reg19-E- 190/007	General															Thanking you in advance for taking on board our comments and thoughts. Please do email the update of the consultation and ongoing developments. If you require any further information, please do be in touch.		Comment noted.

Reg19-	University	Deloitte	Reg19-E-	General				On behalf of our client, University College	Comment noted.
E-191	College		191/001					London (UCL), we write in response to the	
	London							London Borough of Newham (LBN) Draft	
	London							Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. UCL	
								welcomes the opportunity to provide	
								comments on the content of the draft	
								policies.	
								UCL is London's leading multidisciplinary	
								university, with 16,000 staff and 50,000	
								students. UCL provides excellence and	
								leadership in teaching and research, was	
								ranked eighth in the QS World University	
								Rankings 2024 and is among the top 10	
								universities ranked by The Guardian. UCL	
								1	
								competes on a global stage with other	
								top-rank universities overseas (such as	
								Harvard, Yale and Stanford) and in the UK	
								(such as Oxford, Cambridge and Imperial	
								College). In order to attract the best	
								graduate researchers and academic staff,	
								and the brightest undergraduates, it is	
								essential to be able to offer world class	
								facilities and a high-quality learning	
								environment.	
								UCL East	
								As part of a world-class cultural and	
								education destination within the Queen	
								Elizabeth Olympic Park (QEOP), UCL is	
								working to establish a new university	
								campus, UCL East. UCL East is considered	
								a new model for how a university campus	
								can be embedded in the local community,	
								providing world-leading research,	
								education, entrepreneurship and	
								innovation. UCL East is the largest single	
								expansion of UCL's estate since its	
								foundation in 1826. Phase 1 of the	
								campus comprises Marshgate Plot 1,	
								predominantly academic spaces, and One	
								Pool Street, forming the first on-site	
								student accommodation. Together, these	
								provide 50,000 sqm of floorspace,	
								including 524 student accommodation	
								rooms in One Pool Street.	
								The provision of world class teaching and	
								research space and student	
								accommodation are regarded as essential	
								components of UCL's ability to attract	
								high quality students and staff, both from	
								the UK and abroad. Student choices are	
								increasingly driven by the wider 'student	
								experience' of which the provision of	
								high-quality learning and living	
								accommodation is considered a key	
								aspect.	
								An Outline Planning Application for the	
								UCL East campus was approved by the	
								LLDC Planning Policy and Decisions Team	
								(PPDT) on 03 May 2018 (LLDC ref.	
								17/00235/OUT). The Outline Consent	
								comprises a comprehensive, phased,	
								mixed use development within QEOP to	
								include academic and commercial space,	
								student accommodation, supporting	
								retail, and landscaping to include new	
								accesses and car and cycle parking.	
								Applications for the Approval of Reserved	
								Matters (RMAs) were later approved with	
								regard to Marshgate Plot 1 (LLDC ref.	
								18/00424/REM), One Pool Street	
								(formerly Pool Street West) (LLDC ref.	
								18/00425/REM) and Phase 1 Public Realm	
								(LLDC ref. 18/00426/REM) on 29 March	
								2019. These RMA applications comprise	
								Phase 1 of the development. One Pool	
 	1	1	1					i hase I of the development. One Pool	

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	<u>kegulatioi</u>	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-191	University College London	Deloitte	Reg19-E- 191/018	General															Street was occupied in Autumn 2022. Marshgate Plot 1, which also includes a café, refectory and community and engagement uses, was opened in Autumn 2023. The Outline Consent shows how the remainder of the student accommodation, academic uses and supporting retail not delivered as part of Phase 1 will come forward during Phase 2 and across the following outstanding development plots: Pool Street East (31,400 sqm), Marshgate Plot 2 (38,700 sqm), Plot 3 (48,300 sqm), and Plot 4 (38,200 sqm). The Outline Consent assumes construction during 2030-2034 for these later plots, but work is ongoing at UCL with regard to programming their delivery. With the above in mind, UCL has a strong interest in any planning policy developments which occur in the QEOP and Newham area, especially with LLDC transferring its planning powers to LBN on 30 November 2024. UCL previously submitted representations in February 2023 for the Regulation 18 consultation of the LBN Draft Local Plan. On behalf of UCL, we now submit the following comments in relation to the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation. In summary, UCL is largely supportive of the principles set out in the Regulation 19 consultation document of the draft Newham Local Plan, but has some concerns where draft policy remains unchanged in response to previous comments or where some provisions have been omitted from the Regulation 19 draft Local Plan. On behalf of our client, we would be grateful for the opportunity to work further with LBN to help shape forthcoming planning policy. We therefore request to be kept informed of in the preparation and examination of the Local Plan. If you have any queries with regard to the comments set out in this letter, please contact (contact details redacted). In the meantime, we would be grateful for confirmation of receipt of this letter.		Comment noted.

		ar comments	<u></u>				_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-192	Abrdn	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 192/002	General															PUBLIC CONSULTATION – NEWHAM DRAFT SUBMISSION LOCAL PLAN (REGULATION 19) REPRESENTATIONS ON BEHALF OF ABRDN We write on behalf of our client abrdn as a key landowner at Gallions Reach / Beckton Riverside in response to the Newham Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) consultation. This letter has been submitted as part of the formal consultation process. All comments have also been submitted by Montagu Evans on behalf of abrdn using the Council's Regulation 19 Response Form. The purpose of the consultation document is to set out the Council's vision, objectives, spatial strategy and planning policy framework which the Council will address the challenges and makes the most of opportunities which face Newham now and in the future. The Regulation 19 consultation document is informed by the responses received on the Issues and Options consultation held at the end of 2021, the Draft Local Plan (Regulation 18) consultation in early 2022, the evidence base documents, emerging Council and Regional Strategies and area specific guidance including the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area Planning Framework ("OAPF").		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-192	Abrdn	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 192/004	General															abrdn requests to meet with the LB Newham Planning Policy team at the earliest opportunity to discuss the next steps for this critical Neighbourhood Policy allocation prior to the submission of the Draft Local Plan for examination. The following representations are broken down into two parts focusing upon Gallions Reach / Beckton Riverside including Gallions Reach Shopping Park: • Asset Management • Redevelopment		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-192	Abrdn	Montagu Evans	Reg19-E- 192/033	General															We consider that it is necessary to meet with the LBN Planning Policy team, we therefore strongly request a meeting at your earliest convenience to discuss this matter in further detail. abrdn also request to participate in any Local Plan hearing sessions at such a time the Draft Submission Local Plan is submitted for Examination.		Comment noted.

	dene	rai Comment	o the <u>run i</u>	regulation	115 Repres	Circucion	<u></u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-193	Hajra Hafeji		Reg19-E- 193/001	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan as it currently stands is fundamentally unsound and fails to comply with the duty to co-operate		Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Council considers that we have fulfilled this requirement and our approach is set out in our Duty to Cooperate Report which is published on the Council's website.
Reg19- E-194	London Borough of Tower Hamlets		Reg19-E- 194/003	General															We wish to have the opportunity to participate in hearing sessions should this be deemed necessary, which we believe is justified given both boroughs continue to cooperate on a number of strategic crossboundary matters through the Duty to Cooperate. The purpose of this letter is to seek clarification on the aforementioned matter and express support for many of the policies in the Plan. This letter is set out under the following headings: 1. Background 2. Support for policies 3. Matters requiring clarification 4. Conclusion		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-194	London Borough of Tower Hamlets		Reg19-E- 194/004	General															1. Background As you will likely be aware, Tower Hamlets is currently in the process of producing a new Local Plan. This is currently undergoing Regulation 19 consultation, and we are intending to submit our Plan to the Secretary of State early in 2025. As part of our Regulation 19 consultation, we have published a Duty to Cooperate statement, which sets out key strategic matters and how Tower Hamlets has engaged with prescribed bodies and neighbouring local authorities on these matters. This includes the extensive engagement that we have had with LB Newham on numerous strategic cross-boundary issues.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-194	London Borough of Tower Hamlets		Reg19-E- 194/023	General															Conclusion Overall, we support the principles and objectives of the LB Newham Draft Submission Local Plan.		Comment noted
Reg19- E-194	London Borough of Tower Hamlets		Reg19-E- 194/026	General															We look forward to continue conversations with Newham as part of ongoing discussions of strategic matters as part of the Duty to Cooperate.		Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-194	London Borough of Tower Hamlets		Reg19-E- 194/027	General															Tower Hamlets broadly supports the policies in the LB Newham Draft Submission Local Plan, and we have set out in Section 4 where we wish to particularly express support. We look forward to continuing to work closely with LB Newham on strategic matters as we both move forward in the plan-making process.		Comment noted.

Reg19- St William Quod Reg19-E- General 1 Introduction E-195 Homes LLP 195/001 1.1 Quod is instructed by St William	Comment noted.
E-195 Homes LLP 195/001 15/001 1.1 Quod is instructed by St William	
Homes LLP ("St William") as part of the	
Berkeley Group to submit representations	
to the London Borough of Newham (LBN)	
Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation	
19) (June 2024), which was published for	
consultation in July 2024 and remains	
open for comment until 20h September	
1.2 St William welcomes the opportunity	
to continue to engage with the Local Plan	
process and provide representations to	
this Draft Submission version of the Local	
Plan. The comments enclosed should be	
read in the context of the comments	
submitted to the Regulation 18	
consultation draft in February 2023.	
St William	
1.3 St William regenerates and transforms	
derelict former gasworks sites. Their	
driving purpose is to create high quality	
homes, strengthen communities and	
improve people's lives through fantastic	
placemaking. St William specialise in long-	
term brownfield regeneration, focusing	
on challenging and complex sites. Very	
few developers have the expertise to	
regenerate and deliver homes and new	
communities on such sites, however, St	
William has an excellent track record of	
doing so.	
1.4 Former gasworks sites have a critical	
role to play in the delivery of needed	
homes in London;	
the London Plan identifies former utilities	
sites (including gasworks) as a strategic	
brownfield	
source to deliver housing, reflecting the	
NPPF's emphasis on making the most	
effective and	
efficient use of brownfield land for	
housing supply.	
1.5 St William is part of Berkeley Group,	
which in total has 26 sites in the portfolio	
at present under construction within	
London, with a further 12 in the pipeline.	
1.6 Four of these sites located within the	
Borough:	
1) Twelvetrees Crescent, Bromley by Bow	
Gasworks;	
2) Beckton Gasworks, Armada Way,	
Beckton;	
3) Land at Leigh Road, Former East Ham	
Gasworks; and	
4) Former Abbey Lane Gasworks Site, off	
Rick Roberts Way, Stratford (currently	
1.7 In addition to the four St William sites	
listed above, Berkeley Homes (South East	
London) Limited another division of the	
Berkeley Group have a further land	
holding at Twelvetrees Park, West Ham.	
This site immediately adjoins the Bromley	
by Bow Gasworks to the east and sharing	
the same site allocation (adopted site	
allocation S11 and draft site allocation	
N7.SA2).	
1.8 Berkeley Homes (South East London)	
(BHSEL) has a proven track record for	
delivering sustainable and inclusive	
mixed-use regeneration projects across	
London and the South East and have a	
reputation for delivering high quality	

			to the <u>run</u>																		
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			homes and associated uses. They work with key stakeholders to tackle the shortage of good quality homes and make a lasting and sustainable contribution to the landscape and communities that they create. 1.9 These representations have been prepared in relation to all four St William Sites within LBN: the Bromley by Bow Gasworks; Beckton Gasworks, East Ham Gasworks and the Former Abbey Lane Gasworks. 1.10 Where comments are made in relation to general policies they are made on behalf of Berkeley Homes (South East London) Limited as well however separate representations are submitted (by Berkeley Homes (South East London)) in relation to the site allocation for Twelvetrees Park.		

	derierar commen		-0							
Reg19-	St William Quod	Reg19-E-	General						Bromley by Bow	Comment noted.
E-195	Homes LLP	195/002							1.11 Bromley by Bow Gasworks is located	
									between West Ham and Bromley by Bow	
									and measures 9.15 ha in total. It contains	
									seven Grade II listed gasholders, the only	
									kind in the world and four residential	
									dwellings located to the north-eastern	
									corner of the Site. A site plan is enclosed	
									at Appendix 1 [see pg 60-61].	
									1.12 The Site is a significant and strategic	
									site located within the Poplar Riverside	
									Opportunity Area and allocated within the	
									existing Local Plan under Site Allocation	
									S11 Parcelforce and within the draft Local	
									Plan (Regulation 19) as Site Allocation	
									N7.SA2 TwelveTrees Park and Former	
									Bromley by Bow. A copy of the existing	
									site allocation can be found at Appendix 2	
									[see pg 62-63] and the draft site allocation	
									at Appendix 3 [see page 64-69]. As noted	
		1							above, Bromley by Bow Gasworks shares	
		1							this strategic site allocation with the	
		1							adjoining TwelveTrees Park development,	
		1							a 10.69ha site	
		1							which is owned by a separate division of	
		1							the Berkeley Group (Berkeley Homes	
		1							(South East	
									London) Limited, and which is already	
									subject to an extant planning permission.	
									1.13 St William are looking to bring the	
									Site forward for redevelopment in line	
									with the requirements of the site	
									allocation. It is an important site for the	
									borough, as an underutilised, vacant	
									brownfield site that is allocated within	
									both the current adopted Plan and draft	
									Local Plan and it provides a significant	
									opportunity to assist Newham with	
									delivering against its Local Plan and	
									Corporate objectives.	
									1.14 In line with adopted Site Allocation	
									S11 and draft Site Allocation N7.SA2 this	
									includes the delivery of much needed	
									housing, employment floorspace that	
									meets a local need, the provision and	
									completion of new connections between	
									Bromley by Bow and West Ham, new	
									open space and importantly the viable re-	
									use of the listed gas holders which are	
		1							currently inaccessible to the public.	
		1							Importantly, Bromley by Bow Gasworks	
		1							has the ability to join together a number	
		1							of disparate sites and land uses in this	
									part of the Lower Lea Valley, delivering an	
		1							iconic development and creating a more	
									coherent sense of place.	
									1.15 St William secured resolution to	
									grant from LBN's Strategic Development	
									Committee on 18th June 2024 following	
		1							several years of pre-application	
		1								
									discussions with planning and policy	
		1							officers at LBN and hopes to be able to	
		1							start on site in Q4 2025 with the first	
		1							homes coming forward within the first	
L	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		five years of the adopted Local Plan.	
Reg19-	St William Quod	Reg19-E-	General						Beckton	 Comment noted.
E-195	Homes LLP	195/003a							1.16 Beckton Gasworks is an 84.12 acre	
		, 3000							site located in the east of the borough	
		1							fronting the River Thames and forming	
		1								
									broadly a horse shoe shape.	
		1							1.17 The Site is bound to the north by	
		1							Armada Way and Gallions Reach Shopping	
		1							Park, to the north east by Gemini Business	
		1							Park, beyond which lies the Thames	
		1							Water Beckton Sewage	
	•	•				 	•	 		

General (Comments to the full Regula	tion 19 Representatio	<u>ns</u>				
I			1 1 1	1 1		Treatment Works and wraps around the	1
						Docklands Light Railway Beckton Depot	
						which sits broadly within the centre of the	
						Site. An area within the north west of the	
						Site contains the pressure reduction	
						system and is excluded from the site	
						ownership. It is bound to the south by	
						GLA owned land which is currently	
						scrubland. An area of scrubland and a	
						large attenuation pond fronts the River	
						Thames and forms the eastern boundary	
						of the Site.	
						1.18 There is limited built form on the Site	
						with the vast majority of infrastructure,	
						including the gasholders themselves	
						dismantled and removed from the Site. A	
						site plan is enclosed at	
						Appendix 4 [see pg 70-71]. The Site is a	
						significant strategic site for both LBN and	
						the GLA located within the Royal Docks	
						and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area	
						and allocated within a wider site	
						allocation within the adopted Local Plan	
						under Site Allocation S01 Beckton	
						Riverside (a copy of which can be found at	
						Appendix 5 [see pg 72-73]) and within the	
						draft Local Plan (Regulation 19) as Site	
						Allocation N17.SA1 Gallions Reach (copy	
						enclosed at Appendix 6 [see pg 74-80]). It	
						presents a significant opportunity to	
						deliver housing and employment growth	
						and regeneration in LBN and based on	
						initial feasibility studies St William believe	
						that the wider site allocation could deliver	
						significant housing for the area and	
						Newham.	
						1.19 The Beckton Riverside site or Gallions	
						Reach as it is now referred to in the draft	
						Local Plan has been earmarked for a new	
						DLR station however there is currently	
						uncertainty over the funding and delivery	
						timing of the DLR extension. Current and	
						emerging planning policy note that the	
						extent of development at Beckton	
						Riverside will be dependent on the	
						provision of this strategic infrastructure.	
						Consequently, St William have been	
						working in partnership with LBN, GLA and	
						Royal Docks Development Team, TfL and	
						Homes England on the Outline Business	
						Case to government as part of the	
						Thamesmead and Beckton Riverside	
						Public Transport Steering Group and	
						Delivery Board.	
						1.20 Notwithstanding this, it is believed	
						that an Early Delivery Phase of	
						development which benefits from existing	
						and improved local transport measures	
]					such as buses, and its proximity in terms	
						of walking and cycling distance to Gallions	
						Reach DLR station could come forward to	
						kick start regeneration and private sector	
						investment, ahead of or in parallel with	
						the DLR funding bid process and delivery	
						of the new DI B station at Booktan	
						of the new DLR station at Beckton	
						Riverside. It is on this basis that St William	
						commenced pre-application discussions	
						with planning and policy officers at LBN	
						and have submitted a planning	
						application for the Early Delivery Phase of development.	
							1

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	regulation	1 13 Kepres	entation	13														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-195	St William Homes LLP	Quod	Reg19-E- 195/004	General															East Ham 1.22 The former East Ham Gasworks is located between East Ham and Barking stations in the eastern part of the Borough. The Site is bound to the north by Stevenage Road and associated residential uses, to the east by the North Circular Road (A406), to the south by Watson Avenue and associated residential uses, and to the west by Leigh Road and associated residential uses. A site plan is enclosed at Appendix 7 [see pg 81-82]. The Site comprises of a gasometer and associated gas operational equipment located on previously developed land accessed off Leigh Road. It is located within a wider setting of unmaintained open space designated as Metropolitan Open Land that is not currently accessible to the public. There are also multiple gas easements and Thames Water structures which cross the site. 1.23 The adopted Local Plan (2018) identifies the principal areas of previously developed land, and the MOL classification (GS82). The Site is not subject to a site specific allocation within the adopted Local Plan. The Site has been allocated within the East Ham neighbourhood (N13 East Ham) and as a new draft site allocation N13.SA3 Former East Ham Gasworks within the Local Plan Refresh Regulation 19 draft (copy		Comment noted.

		ar comments			•		_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-195	Homes LLP	Quod	Reg19-E- 195/005	General															Rick Roberts Way 1.24 The Site was part of a larger former gasworks, located between Rick Roberts Way and Abbey Lane and measures 0.98 ha in total. 0.07 of the Site, including the Pressure Reduction Station, electricity mast and sub-station will be retained on the site. A site plan is enclosed at Appendix 9 [see pg 88-89]. The remainder of the Site, comprising 0.91 ha, currently contains various infrastructure associated with the former use as a gasworks site and comprises the developable area. The gasholder frames have already been dismantled and the remainder of the gasworks infrastructure will be removed from the site. 1.25 The Site is a significant site, allocated in the Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) Local Plan (Adopted July 2020) and allocated in the draft Newham Local Plan (Regulation 19) as part of Site Allocation N8.SA7 Rick Roberts Way. A copy of the draft site allocation at Appendix 10 [see pg 90-94]. As noted above, the gasworks site shares this strategic allocation with the land to the north west, owned by LLDC and LBN. 1.26 An application for the redevelopment the site was submitted to LLDC in December 2023. It is anticipated that the proposals will be determined at planning committee in October 2024.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-195	St William Homes LLP	Quod	Reg19-E- 195/006	General															TwelveTrees Park 1.27 The TwelveTrees Park site, is a large tract of derelict brownfield land, adjacent to a major transport interchange at West Ham. It presents a significant opportunity to create a new piece in Newham's urban fabric in a highly accessible and sustainable location. The Site has an extant hybrid planning permission (reference: 17/01847/OUT) for the comprehensive redevelopment of the site, which has been implemented in part with the first phase of development under construction. A Section 73 and Reserved Matters Application have recently been submitted to LBN to make necessary amendments to the extant permission. 1.28 TwelveTrees Park represents significant investment in the Borough and includes new transport infrastructure, a new London scale park, significant housing delivery and a new Local Centre. The proposals are founded in BHSEL's commitment to quality and placemaking which is reflected in the landscaping and architectural quality proposed by the development.		Comment noted.

Reg19- E-195 Homes LLP General	
E-195 Homes LLP 195/007 Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) 1.29 Given the specific nature of former gasworks see was easy and easy part of the elevant planning policies with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
1.29 Given the specific nature of former gasworks sites we have enclosed at Appendix 11 [see pg 95-101] a document outlining the relevant planning policies with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
gasworks sites we have enclosed at Appendix 11 [see pg 95-101] a document outlining the relevant planning policies with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
Appendix 11 [see pg 95-101] a document outlining the relevant planning policies with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
outlining the relevant planning policies with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
with respect to former gasworks sites and explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
explain how planning policy has been revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
revised to take account of the exceptional abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
abnormal circumstances that relate to sites of these nature.	
sites of these nature.	
1.30 These representations provide	
comments on a number of the policy	
sections set out in the Draft Submission	
version as well as the relevant designated	
Neighbourhoods and site allocations.	
1.31 To assist with the consideration of	
our representations, we have	
benchmarked the draft submission	
(Regulation 19) policies against the	
requirements of the National Planning	
Policy Framework 2023 ("The	
Framework").	
1.32 Paragraph 11 is most relevant,	
namely the presumption in favour of	
sustainable development. It requires that	
"plans and decisions should apply a	
presumption in favour of sustainable	
development".	
1.33 For plan making this means the	
"a) all plans should promote a sustainable	
pattern of development that seeks to:	
meet the	
development needs of their area; align	
growth and infrastructure; improve the	
environment;	
mitigate climate change (including by	
making effective use of land in urban	
areas) and adapt to its effects;"	
1.34 We have also considered the	
Regulation 19 policies against paragraph	
35 of the NPPF, and whether they can be	
considered sound. The NPPF considers	
plans to be sound if they meet the	
following tests:	
"a) Positively prepared – providing a	
strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to	
meet the area's	
objectively assessed needs; and is	
informed by agreements with other	
authorities, so that	
unmet need from neighbouring areas is	
accommodated where it is practical to do	
so and is consistent with achieving	
sustainable development;	
b) Justified – an appropriate strategy;	
taking into account the reasonable	
alternatives, and	
based on proportionate evidence;	
c) Effective – deliverable over the plan	
period, and based on effective joint	
working on crossboundary strategic	
matters that have been dealt with rather	
than deferred, as evidenced by the	
statement of common ground; and	
d) Consistent with national policy —	
enabling the delivery of sustainable	
development in	
accordance with the policies in this	
Framework and other statements of	
national planning	
policy, where relevant."	
1.35 Overall St William provides support	
for the general direction of the draft Local	

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	113 Kepres	entation	13														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			Plan and is pleased to comment on this proposed submission draft. Whilst we do not repeat previous commentary outlined in the Regulation 18 representations we do continue to make a number of specific comments in relation to certain policy requirements and site allocations particularly where we consider that as currently drafted they are not in line with paragraph 35 of the NPPF.		
Reg19- E-195	St William Homes LLP	Quod	Reg19-E- 195/008	General															1.36 In order to achieve its objectives, the Local Plan needs to ensure it is deliverable and directs development within the borough positively. Paragraph 31 of the NPPF sets out the process for preparing and reviewing Local Plan. "The preparation and review of all policies should be underpinned by relevant and up-to-date evidence. This should be adequate and proportionate, focused tightly on supporting and justifying the policies concerned, and take into account relevant market signals." 1.37 As demonstrated through slow housing delivery rates over the last few years, development, particularly on complex brownfield sites, is facing challenging economic conditions. Increasing build costs, high interest rates, new regulations and policy layering is severely impacting the viability of projects. In reviewing their Local Plan LBN need to ensure the Plan allows for sufficient flexibility in the application of their policies to support good, well designed, sustainable development to come forward. This is imperative to ensure the Local Plan facilitates the delivery the much-needed housing for the borough. 1.38 St William's sites and redevelopment proposals for their sites, provide an excellent opportunity to assist LBN with significant housing delivery and employment growth and regeneration as well as addressing some of the key issues that they are facing across the borough. St William want to continue to work with LBN to ensure their development proposals are as closely aligned as possible with LBN's key objectives whilst also ensuring that the new Local Plan can be as supportive in enabling development in the borough		Comment noted.

	GCIIC		, to the <u>running</u>	ic garacion	19 Representations					
Reg19-	St William	Quod	Reg19-E-	General		1 1 1	1 1	17.1 St William welcomes the opportunity	Comment noted.	İ
E-195	Homes LLP	Quou	195/156	General				to input to the Regulation 19 version of	Comment noted.	
2 133	Tiomes LLi		155/150					the draft Local Plan and trusts that the		
								enclosed comments are clear and		
								constructive highlighting where proposed		
								policies are not considered to be sound at		
								this important stage of the Local Plan		
								process.		
								17.2 St William acknowledge the overall		
								positive approach that is being taken to		
								development proposals within the		
								Borough and appreciate that LBN have		
								carried out significant work to date in		
								developing the new Local Plan. However,		
								as outlined in this representation, St		
								William consider that elemnets of the		
								Regulation 19 draft Local Plan in their		
								current form are not sound.		
								17.3 Challenging economic conditions due		
								to increasing build costs, high interest		
								rates, new building regulation etc have		
								severely impacted the deliverability and		
								viability of housing, particularly on		
								complex brownfield sites and within the		
								Borough. As set out in the NPPF and PPG,		
								the Local Plan needs to ensure the		
								cumulative impact of its policies do not		
								further hamper the delivery of much		
								needed housing in the borough. St		
								William consider that the policy wording		
								specifically in relation to affordable		
								housing requires set by Policy H3 is not		
								realistic, will leave the public benefits of		
								development unrealised and crucially will		
								further stifle poor housing delivery rates		
								in the Borough.		
								17.4 St William takes seriously its role as a		
								responsible developer and has extensive		
								experience of delivering high quality		
								homes and places that improve people's		
								lives and create new and inclusive		
								communities. St William's sites represent		
								a huge opportunity to deliver not only		
								much needed new homes for the borough		
								but a range of public benefits. St William		
								want to use their experience for the		
								benefit of the Borough and its residents		
								and ensure that forthcoming		
								developments at the Bromley by Bow,		
								TwelveTrees Park, Beckton Riverside, East		
								Ham and Rick Roberts Way can be used to		
								set an example for development in the		
								borough and help LBN to tackle some of the key issues it is		
								facing.		
								17.5 St William acknowledges the		
								amendments that LBN have made to date		
								to the emerging Local Plan in preparing a		
								new development framework for the		
								Borough as well as the opportunity to		
								continue to work with LBN and other		
								stakeholders as it proceeds with		
								submission of the draft Local Plan.		
1								However, in its current form, St William		
1								do not consider that the Regulation 19		
1								draft Local Plan is sound. St William would		
								therefore welcome the opportunity to		
								discuss their comments on the draft		
1								planning policies and relevant site		
								allocations in further detail with Policy		
								Officers.		

	Gene	rai Comments	to the <u>run</u>	regulation	1 13 Repres	Circacions	<u> </u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-196	Daminis Limited	Planning Insight	Reg19-E- 196/001	General															Third Consultation: Draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19) I write to you on behalf of Daminis Limited who is a landowner on Green Street.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-197	NHS HUDU		Reg19-E- 197/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the Newham Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan. This response has been prepared in consultation with local NHS partners and builds on the representation submitted to the Council's regulation 18 consultation. The North East London Integrated Care Board (ICB) has led on the wider NHS contribution to the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan, and the quantum of primary and community infrastructure required to support the delivery of the plan, and we have therefore left comments on the wording of the site allocations and the IDP for the ICB to provide a detailed response. We welcome the changes that the Council has made in response to our earlier representation, however, we are concerned that aspects of the plan have not been modified as requested and indeed some wording supported has been omitted from the latest draft.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-197	NHS HUDU		Reg19-E- 197/006	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	We look forward to working with the Council and partners in delivering the vision and objectives of the local plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-198	Siraj Hafeji		Reg19-E- 198/001	General							No	No						No	The current Local Plan is not in compliance with legal requirements and does not fulfill the obligation to collaborate effectively.		Comment noted. The Council has a number of requirements in relation to consultation. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Council considers that we have fulfilled this requirement and our approach is set out in our Duty to Cooperate Report which is published on the Council's website. In addition we are required to engage with local stakeholders through the plan making process and as part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

	Gene	irai comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	II 13 Repres	<u>Jentation</u>	<u></u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-200	London City Airport		Reg19-E- 200/004	General															Detailed comments are provided in the Annexures to our submission, which include: • Annex 1 – Detailed commentary in relation to Part 1 of the Draft Newham Regulation 19 Plan; • Annex 2 – Visual demonstration of amendments required to the Key Diagram and Policies Map; • Annex 3 – Specific commentary in relation to the proposed aviation safeguarding provisions contained under Part 2 of the Draft Newham Regulation 19 Plan; and • Annex 4 – LCY Background and contextual information in Newham		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-200	London City Airport		Reg19-E- 200/007	General															Appended to this letter is a table with required amendments to the Regulation 19 draft policy. Our more general commentary on Policy T5 is provided below in the context of the 'soundness test' and associated criteria in paragraph 35 of the NPPF:		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-200	London City Airport		Reg19-E- 200/029	General															Conclusion While we regard the current draft of the Local Plan has moved forward since its previous iteration, we still have fundamental concerns regarding the content of policy T5 but hope this consultation response will prove helpful in shaping the Plan's future direction. We look forward to engaging with officers directly.		Comment noted.

				13 Representations				
Reg19- Lo	ondon City	Reg19-E-	General				Annex 4 – London City Airport Background	Comment noted.
	irport	200/057					and Context	
							Current contribution to Newham As noted	
							in draft plan (paragraph 3.341), London	
							City Airport is the largest private sector	
							employer in the borough and a catalyst	
							for investment in East London. London	
							City Airport employs over 2,000 people,	
							many of whom are from the London	
							Borough of Newham and the Local Area.	
							The airport also supports over £500	
							million a year of economic activity in the	
							local area from its operation and the	
							wider business productivity and tourism	
							benefits arising from the connectivity it	
							offers. Much of this benefit is realised	
							within the borough of Newham. The	
							airport works directly with the Council to	
							enhance employment opportunities for	
							residents and contributes towards many	
							local education and training	
							opportunities. Many Newham businesses	
							also benefit both directly from contracts	
							with the airport and indirectly through the	
							UK regional and international connectivity	
							which is enabled by the airport. As a	
							responsible employer, the airport has	
							delivered on its commitment to become a	
							London Living Wage employer and is	
							rolling this commitment out to its key	
							direct suppliers. It is also an early adopter	
							of the Mayor of London's Good Work	
							Standard, becoming the first UK airport to	
							achieve both milestones. The airport has a	
							wide range of ongoing community initiatives, many of which directly benefit	
							Newham's students; residents;	
							businesses; vulnerable groups and	
							voluntary groups/charities. Some of our	
							current initiatives are summarised below:	
							Community Fund – since launching our	
							£75,000 annual Community Fund in May	
							2019, over £435,000 has been awarded to	
							over 135 charities and not-forprofit	
							organisations in the local area. In 2023,	
							£50,000 of this funding went directly to	
							support local foodbanks. • Meet The	
							Buyer event – launched in 2018, our	
							annual Royal Docks Meet the Buyer	
							events give Newham's small and medium	
							sized enterprises (SMEs) a unique	
							opportunity to create ongoing	
							relationships with buyers in London's	
							Royal Docks and beyond. At our 2023	
							event, 150 SMEs attended the event. The	
							2023 Meet the Buyer event resulted in	
							over £2.9m worth of contracts generated,	
							meaning that we have now helped to	
							generate more than £10m overall for local	
							businesses since starting the event in	
							2018. • STEM in Aviation – The aviation	
							industry is seeing a rising demand for	
							STEM skills. Our annual 'STEM in Aviation'	
							events aim to tackle this rising skills	
							shortage by inspiring school students. In	
							2023, 23 East London schools (including 7	
							from Newham) attended the STEM event	
							in Excel, with over 500 East London 16	
							students meeting businesses within the	
							aviation and STEM field, including GKN	
							Aerospace, Boeing, UK Power Network	
							Services, Accenture, Atkins Realis, BACF	
							and NATS. • Youth Mentoring Programme	
							– in 2023, 15 students were mentored by	
		<u> </u>	1				8 LCY volunteers, covering topics such as	

	Gei	neral Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	19 Kepres	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			resilience, positive social behaviour, setting targets and employability skills. Since launching, the programme has supported 45 students, with up to 24 staff volunteering to support young people. • Women in Aviation Programme — launched in 2019 and relaunched in 2023 to attract the next generation of female aviation leaders on the airport's doorstep, the Women in Aviation Programme in 2023 supported circa 300 young women in the local area to gain knowledge, understanding and awareness of the STEM/Aviation field and the jobs available to them. • Staff volunteering 2023 — LCY launched a new policy in 2023 which allows staff to volunteer 8 hours annually, enabling more staff to go out and support the local community. In 2024, staff will be able to increase this to volunteer 16 hours annually. Throughout 2023, 122 staff from LCY volunteered 640 hours in a variety of different activities, including in relation to the volunteering fortnight programme in July and the '12 Days of Giving' programme in December. • Sponsorships — LCY supported a number of community partnerships through 2023, and sponsored the Newham Champer of Commerce Business Award 'Sole/Micro Trader of the Year', as well as the Royal Greenwich Business Award' Sole/Micro Trader of the Year', as well as the Royal Greenwich Business Awards. LCY also made donations including to the King's Coronation, Eid Celebration and the Summer Festival, Diwali local events, and to local centres in the form of fruit donations.		
Reg19- E-201	Shakeel Ismail		Reg19-E- 201/003	General							No	No							Duty to Cooperate: The draft plan does not sufficiently engage with local stakeholders, including our community. This failure to meaningfully collaborate demonstrates non-compliance with the duty to cooperate, which is a legal requirement. [originally put against Policy SI1, SI2 and SI3, paragraph 455,456 and policies map N7 SA1, however taken this part of the response forward against General]	To make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, the following modifications are necessary: Community Engagement and Collaboration: Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and the relevant authorities to design and deliver a replacement facility that reflects the actual needs of its users. This collaboration is essential for ensuring the facility is functional, inclusive, and adaptable to future growth. The plan should specifically outline mechanisms for ongoing consultation with the community to ensure compliance with the duty to cooperate.	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Duty to Cooperate does not require us to engage with local stakeholders, however this is still a requirement of the plan making process and part of our wider Council's commitment to ensuring residents can participate in our work. As such we have made wide ranging efforts to engage with local communities as part of the developing the Plan, as demonstrated in the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Consultation Reports.

	Gener	ral Comments	s to the full	Regulatio	n 19 Repres	sentation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-202	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	DP9	Reg19-E- 202/001	General								No							On behalf of our client, The Silvertown Partnership LLP (TSP), please find enclosed representations to the Regulation 19 (pre-submission) Consultation on the draft Newham Local Plan Review. TSP is bringing forwards the residential-led mixed-use redevelopment of the Silvertown strategic development site in the Royal Docks. The Site is within the Royal Docks and Beckton Opportunity Area and benefits from a strategic site allocation (S21) in the existing Newham Local Plan (2018), in addition to an existing Outline Planning Permission (OPP) (approved in 2016 – ref. 14/01605/OUT) and Phase 1 reserved matters approval (approved in 2019 – ref. 19/02657/REM) as well as other more minor reserved matters approvals. TSP commenced the delivery of homes on site under the OPP in 2023 and the OPP with its approved quantums of floorspace remains deliverable across the Site. Concurrently, a Hybrid Planning Application (HPA) (ref: 22/02855/OUT) has been submitted for the site, which once approved will supersede all existing permissions and will enable a significant increase to the delivery of homes on site whilst also providing other planning benefits. We have submitted representations on behalf of TSP at the previous two stages of Regulation 18 (issues and options) Consultation, in December 2021 and February 2023, and these representations where relevant. These representations have been set out in two parts. The main representations are contained within this letter and comprise the key strategic representations of TSP. Within Appendix A, a series of further detailed comments are provided, supported by proposed amendments to key policies.		Comment noted.

	Gener	•			 						
Reg19-	The	DP9	Reg19-E-	General			No			Silvertown Hybrid Planning Application -	Comment noted.
E-202	Silvertown		202/002							Overview	
	Partnership									The HPA is for the strategic regeneration	
	LLP									of Silvertown Quays, which is a site	
										allocation (S21) in the adopted Local Plan	
										and an emerging Site Allocation in the	
										Regulation 19 draft Local Plan (N2.SA1).	
										The HPA will supersede the current	
										implemented planning permission for the	
										Site - the OPP and its associated Reserved	
										Matters approvals - and will enable the	
										delivery of a new and improved vision for	
										Silvertown which demonstrates that it is a	
										positive example of development which is	
										in line with the broad aspirations of the	
										emerging Local Plan. The HPA has been in development for several years, well in	
										advance of the first consultation on the	
										emerging Local Plan in December 2021.	
										The HPA will result in wide-ranging	
										planning benefits. The HPA is for the	
										transformative residential-led mixed use	
										regeneration of one of the largest and	
										most strategically important sites in the	
										Royal Docks and London as a whole; a site	
										in public ownership that has been derelict	
										and largely disused for circa 40 years. The	
										HPA will contribute significantly towards	
										LBN's housing targets by including	
										residential floorspace that is expected to	
										provide approximately 6,500 homes,	
										representing an increase of around 3,500	
										new homes compared to the OPP (which	
										approved c.3,000 homes).	
										The HPA will create considerable	
										employment opportunities across a range	
										of sectors. This will start at construction	
										stage with the creation of a range of	
										construction jobs. The HPA has created	
										Mills Quarter which is centred around the	
										refurbished and extended Millennium	
										Mills building where flexible workspace is	
										proposed for a mix of office, learning and	
										education, exhibition, museum and	
										research and development uses. To the	
										east of Millenium Mills is Silverworks, where flexible workspace/makerspace is	
										proposed intended for light industrial,	
										film studio and office uses. To the south,	
										fronting North Woolwich Road,	
										opportunities for business incubation and	
										micro-scale coworking are identified.	
										The HPA will create extensive community	
										facilities and social infrastructure	
										including land for a new primary school	
										on-site, along with floorspace for new	
										healthcare facilities, nurseries and	
										community uses, all secured by a Section	
										106 Agreement. Significant financial	
										contributions will also be made via the	
										Section 106 Agreement and the	
										Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).	
										A significant amount of green	
										infrastructure is proposed, centred on a	
										series of defined Open Spaces ranging	
										from public squares to public parks. In	
										addition, there will be several smaller	
										green spaces and an array of play spaces	
										suitable for children and young people of	
										all ages. The HPA will also be in line with	
										biodiversity net gain requirements and	
										urban greening that respond to the site's current features, including on the green	
										roofs that are proposed sitewide.	
										The HPA has been designed to minimise	
	1	1	1	ı	1 1	I		1 1	1 1	The fit A has been designed to Hillillinise	

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full R</u>	Regulatio	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>IS</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			its carbon footprint. The Site will be net zero in operational carbon and will have climate change resilience embedded into its built form. The Proposed Development will promote renewable energy generation, decentralised energy networks, sustainable drainage, substantial urban greening delivering biodiversity net gains, and the circular economy including adaptability. It will be an exemplar of a highly sustainable modern, large-scale mixed-use development. TSP's main representation is that the proposed policies, particularly in relation to the Silvertown Quays site allocation (N2.SA1), should be consistent with the HPA proposals. The HPA has been the subject of extensive consultation and engagement between TSP, the Council and various other stakeholders over a number of years and is at a relatively advanced stage of determination. Promoting draft policies that are fundamentally inconsistent with the HPA proposals for the Silvertown Quays site would therefore be unsound and would undermine the significant work that has already been undertaken between TSP and the Council's development management officers. The main representation is expanded on below in relation to specific policies under the following headings: 1. Spatial Strategy / Key Strategic Policies 2. Royal Victoria Area / Site Allocation 3. Affordable housing (tenure and mix) and Design policies 4. Development Management Policies We have linked back to our representations on the Regulation 18 Local Plan, as required, throughout. Appendix A includes more detailed representations including proposed track changes to policy.		

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	1 13 Repres	Circacioi	113														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-202	The Silvertown Partnership LLP	DP9	Reg19-E- 202/023	General															Development Management Policies There are several development management policies within the Regulation 19 pre-submission document which require major development applications to submit specific technical reports to the Council to better understand the proposed development. The nature of some of the required reports are very prescriptive and their inclusion could unnecessarily extend the determination period needed to determine applications and place a strain on the Council's resources. The detailed representations contained at Appendix A build on these comments. Summary We trust that these representations are of assistance in identifying where certain sections of the pre-submission (Regulation 19) draft Local Plan, which is generally supported by TSP, needs further review and amendments. Should you have any queries or require any further information in respect of the above, please contact Heloise Whiteman or Alasdair Buckle of this office.		Comment noted.

Boy 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -		Gener		<u></u>	tegalation	9 Representations				
Co-SC Of Manufacture Control of Manufacture C	Reg19-	GLP	Quod	Reg19-E-	General				Introduction and Summary of Regulation	Comment noted.
Section 1997 Se	E-203									
Proc. 184 Common Commo		Business								
Hilder in Oracl Include to Or										
Nowborn Registration in Registration (Inc.) Nowborn Registration (Inc.) The State of Committee										
Now with a finished to the policy of the pol		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
solverwind that own first, Solve Matters for effort and committee and and committee a										
Oweg continued in the part of heading plane in the book of the stable in the part of heading plane in the book of the stable in the part of heading plane in the book of the stable in the part of heading plane in the book of the stable in the part of heading plane in the book of the stable in the part of heading plane in the stable in the part of heading plane in										
Security and security and an electric many of the first of the security and an electric many of the first of the security and an electric many of the security										
Internal format of page 22 february 2 section of page 22 february 2 section and page 23 february 2										
ment of the work, it is maked warmed and their charges of the common of the beautiful control of the beautiful control of the common of the beautiful control of the common of the commo										
Six is shown on the proposed that the text herebone has proposed that the text herebone has proposed that the text herebone has proposed that the text herebone has proposed that the text has been a support that the proposed that										
The control of the co										
Influence representations to the the beaution of the control of th										
integrations of the mattack of the mattack of the mattack of the manager than 10 million on also found in Agricultural (1) in a common of the mattack of the										
reger from true-fro years of the fill bendings regerent from true-from the fill bendings are set to the fill an appendix a red should be red for an extra regerent and an appendix bendings are set to the contraction and an appendix and a should be red for a set to the red fill bendings are set to the contraction and an appendix are proposed to the contraction and are set to the set t										
and Communications that is interested in a control of the control										
regressivations can be forum in regressivation. In Forum 2023, Good particular the regressivation of the control of the cont										
The sub-bland file row oils in companion and with in Controllery (2004). Occus allowing the Controllery (2004). Occus allowing the Controllery (2004). Occus allowing the Controllery (2004) of Feathers Regulation (200									and Townscape team. Iceni's full	
Description to the consolidation on the state of the consolidation on the state of the consolidation on the state of the consolidation on the state of the consolidation on the state of the consolidation on the state of the consolidation of the state of										
In Prictary 2005, Quality and continued or opposite process on both part of 20 h, to coor its own of the price pri									1 and should be read in conjunction with	
representations on building all productions and according of the control of the c									these representations.	
representations on fall-half of Dr an expension of the control of									In February 2023, Quod submitted	
or expense to the constraint for the constraint regulation of the constraint regulation and the constraint for the constraint f										
Luminos Brougo (Carl Learn Plans, A Security of the Carl Plans Stage) called the Carl Plans Stage (Carl Learn Plans). Learn Carl Plans Stage (Carl Learn Plans) are due to the Requirement 20 order (Learn Plans) are due to the Requirement 20 order (Learn Plans) are due to the Requirement 20 order (Learn Plans) are due to the Requirement 20 order (Learn Plans) are due to the Brough of the Carl Plans Stage (Carl Learn Plans) are due to the Brough of the Bro										
130 Clinic Local Plant Assuments of the representations with the except would be proposed as the properties of the proposed as the properties of the propert										
representations and the extent to which they have been addressed in this best and the second of the										
the Name Section of the Section of the Section of Magnaturia Control of the Section of Magnaturia Control of the Section of Section										
Regulations 50 kml Loyel Perins see out in Appropriate J. Background A. L. Background Contract The State T										
Aspection 2. Background Content The Gibb and Content The Gibb and Content The Gibb and Content The Gibb and Content The Gibb and Content The Gibb and Content Content and Content Content and Content Content and Content Con										
bediensprend Context The GEA Band ownership extends to the Time GEA Band ownership extends to the Intel deglered how one Figure 3 and accomplish the four braiding global contends and accomplish the four braiding global contends and application populations over a 28/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/										
The Silve This GLI bard conversiving extensivit to the comprises the four buildings obtained in Figure 2. In algust 2023, 60 method in Figure 2. In algust 2023, 60 method in Figure 3. In algust 2023, 60 method in Figure 3. In algust 2023, 60 method in Figure 3. In algust 2023, 60 method in Figure 3. In algust 2023, 60 method in Figure 3. The Silver of Province of									Appendix 2.	
The Size The GLD fault convension extends to the companies of the continu									Real-ground Contact	
The GLP land commentally extended in billion of language and a comprehens the first buildings of somethic did a planning application to regular the commental and a planning application or special country and a planning application or special country and a planning application or special country and a planning application and a planning application or special planning application to special planning application or special planning application to special portuguity. The planning application to special portuguity and construction of the control planning application or special portuguity and construction of the control planning application or special planning application to special portuguity and construction of the control planning application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and application and the special position and the specia										
land eduped in bill own Figure 2 and comprision on the float Studies of Comprision on the Studies of Comprision of										
comprises the four buildings is described in Figure 2. In August 2016, and summered a property of the comprised of the compri										
Figure 2. In August 2023, CIP submitted a planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application (policy) and planning application soughly permission for the destination of the destination										
planning application (application et al.) 23/00/29/01/10 the redevelopment of turn to the international Associatory Park. In the international Associatory Park. In the international Associatory Park. In the international Associatory Park. In the international Associatory Park. In the international Associatory Park. In the international Associatory Park. In the international Association of the existing publication and stronger be existed profit to the indication and existing existing and construction of three existing publication and existing existing and existing existing and existing existing and existing e										
23/00/29/PULL for the redevelopment of Unit 1 of the International Business Park. This is shown within the red boundary on the permator of the development of the permator of the development of the elevation of the redevelopment of the elevation										
Unit 4 of the finternational Business Park. This is shown within the red boundary on a Figure 1. The planning application suspital contents published and construction of three industrial, distribution and storage buildings. (AF limited to redevelop) the entitlery of the site on Rick Noberts Way in international or employment uses. The application submitted in August 2003 effectively formation for employment uses. The application submitted in August 2003 effectively formation for planning the planning of t										
This is shown within the red boundary on Figure 1. The planning applications oscipt a permission for the demonitors of the procession of the characteristic process of the characteristic										
Figure 1. The planning application sought permission for the deemlorism of the existing building and construction of litree modurating distributions and storage. The planting of the planting										
permission for the demolition of three estimate building and construction of three industrial, distribution and storage buildings. GIP intends or redevelop the entretey of the site on Not independ when a contract of the site on Not independ when it intendification of employment uses. The application submitted in August 2023 effectively from the first part of that phase. On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Gevelopment Corporation Planning to the application and the \$1.06 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The drift Local Plan allocates the \$1.06 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The drift Local Plan allocates the \$1.06 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The drift Local Plan allocates the \$1.06 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The drift Local Plan allocates the \$1.06 is agreed and conficulty of the following uses: Seemed industrial, wareholder of the stages of agreement and conficulty of the following uses: Seemed industrial, wareholder and stages of a configuration of the stages of a configuration of the stages of a configuration of the stages of a configuration of the stages of a configuration of the stages of the										
existing buildings and construction of three industrial, distribution and storage buildings, GLB intend to redevelop the entirective of the Site on Misk Roberts Way in a phased manner to provide an intensification of employment uso. The appropriate of the provided of th									Figure 1. The planning application sought	
industrial, distribution and storage buildings. CU Primed to redevelop the entriery of the Site on Rick stoburts. Way in a phased manner for provide an aphased manner for provide an aphased manner for the storage of										
buildings. GLP intend to redevelop the entirety of the Sice no Rick Robots Way in a phased manner to provide an intensification of employment uses. The application submitted in August 2023 effectively forms the first part of that on 78th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Corporation Planning Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and the 5106 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The draft tocal Plan allocates the Site as a local industrial location (ILP) for the following uses: Site of the stage of agreement of the stage									existing building and construction of three	
entriety of the Site on Rick Roberts Way in a phased manner to provide an internolification of employment uses. The applications Journal of May and the Provided and internolification of employment uses. The application submitted in August 2023 effectively forms the first part of that phase. On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Carporation Planning Development Carporation Planning Carporation									industrial, distribution and storage	
entriety of the Site on Rick Roberts Way in a phased manner to provide an internolification of employment uses. The applications Journal of May and the provided and internolification of employment uses. The application submitted in August 2023 effectively forms the first part of that phase. On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Carporation Planning Development Carporation Planning Carporation										
a phased manner to provide an intensification of employment uses. The application submitted in August 2023 effectively forms the first part of that phase. On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Corporation Planning Dections Committer evelowed to approve the application and the \$106 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The draft Local Plan allocates the site as a Local industrial Location (LL4) for the following uses: Seemer all roductrial, warehousing and office with Medicated yard space. Seemer all roductrial, warehousing and office with Medicated yard space. Seemer all roductrial, warehousing and office with with Medicated yard space. Seemer all roductrial, warehousing and office with with Medicated yard space. Seemer with Medicated yard space. Seemer seeving the CA. It is also designated within Tall Building. Zone Rejoicy O4 as the large with Tall Building. Zone Rejoicy O4 as the large with Tall Building. Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 2 m and the maximum height range for this part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Cortext The land on the opposite side of Rick										
intensification of employment uses. The application submitted in August 2023 effectively forms the first part of that phase. On 36th March 2024, the London Legary Development Corporation Planning Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and the \$106 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The draft tocal Plan allocates the Site as a Local Industrial Location (LLI4) for the following uses: § General Industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. § Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy Olas spening with Tall Building Zone Policy Olas spening with Tall Building Zone Policy Olas spening with Tall Building Zone Policy Olas spening with Tall Building Zone 9 mobit below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also designated within Tall part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed much the part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also others are allowed mu										
applications submitted in August 2023 effectively forms the first part of that phase. On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Corporation Planning Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and the \$106 is currently in the latert stages of agreement. The draft Local Plan allocates the Site as a Local industrial Location (LLI4) for the following uses: \$ General industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. \$ Bue whip occupiers and other industrial on the stage of agreement of the stage of										
effectively forms the first part of that phase. On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Corporation Planning Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and the \$100 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The draft Locial Industrial Location (LIL4) for the following uses: I decident the stage of all applications of the following uses: I decident the stage of th										
phase. On 25th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Corporation Planning Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and the \$106 is Currently in the latter stages of agent. The draft Local Plan allocates the Site as a Local Industrial Location (LLL4) for the following uses: § General Industrial Location (LL4) for the following uses: § Seneral Industrial Location (LL4) for the following uses: § Seneral Industrial Location (LL4) for the following uses: § Seneral Industrial Location (LL4) for the following uses: § Seneral Industrial Cocupies servicing the CA2. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy O4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21 m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 3Zm. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Connect The land on the opposite side of Rick										
On 26th March 2024, the London Legacy Development Corporation Planning Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and provided to approve the application of a greenment. The draft Local Plan allocates the Site as a Local industrial Location (LIL4) for the following uses: \$ General industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. \$ Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy DA as being with Tall Building Zone Policy DA as being with Tall Building Zone Policy Tall Policy DA as being with Page and the maximum height range for this part of the maximum height range for this part of the TBZL3 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
Development Corporative Tooly or to approve the application and the \$1.06 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The draft Local Plan allocates the Site as a Local Industrial Location (LLL4) for the following uses: § General Industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. § Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers serving the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZIS is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
Decisions Committee resolved to approve the application and the \$1.06 is currently in the latter stages of agreement. The draft Local Plan allocates the Site as a Local Industrial Location (LIL4) for the following uses: Semental industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. Sellue-chip occupiers and office units with dedicated yard space. Sellue-chip occupiers and sellustrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy O4 as being with Tall Building Zone Policy O4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing the gleish are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ28 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
the application and the S106 is currently in the latter stages of latter s										
in the latter stages of argreement. The draft Local molacates the Site as a Local industrial Location (LIL4) for the following uses: § General industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. § Blue-chip octupiers and other industrial coccupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy O4 as being are above 9m but below 21m and the maximus height range for this part of the ITEZ18 is 32m. It is also with Flood Zone 2. When the Site is also with Flood Zone 2. The ITEX18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. The ITEX18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. The ITEX18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. The ITEX18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. The ITEX18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. The ITEX18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2.										
The draft Local Plat Location (LIL4) for the following uses: § General industrial, warehousing and office units with declicated yard space. § Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers and other industrial occupiers serving the ZAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but helpht range this part of the TB218 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
Local Industrial Location (LIL4) for the following uses: § General industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicates and office units with dedicates and other industrial occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above must below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
following uses: § General industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. § Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
S General industrial, warehousing and office units with dedicated yard space. B Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
office units with dedicated yard space. § Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevaining heights are e above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
§ Blue-chip occupiers and other industrial occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designed within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
occupiers servicing the CAZ. It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy Designated within Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
It is also designated within Tall Building Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where pelow 21m and the enable with the pelow 21m and the enable with the pelow 21m and the enable with the pelow 21m and the enable with the pelow 21m and the enable within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
Zone Policy D4 as being with Tall Building Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
Zone 18, where prevailing heights are above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
above 9m but below 21m and the maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
maximum height range for this part of the TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
TBZ18 is 32m. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
2. Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
Surrounding Site Context The land on the opposite side of Rick										
The land on the opposite side of Rick									2.	
The land on the opposite side of Rick										
The land on the opposite side of Rick										
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
							•		 	<u> </u>

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	113 Kepres	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			allocation in the existing Local Plan for up to 750 new homes and school. This allocation is carried forward in the emerging Local Plan in draft allocation N8.SA7. The draft allocation states that this site is suitable for residential, employment uses, sports and recreation uses, education and open space with buildings heights predominantly between 21-32m and taller elements up to 50m. This draft allocation is shown below at Figure 3 [Figure 3 is Draft Site Allocation N8.SA7]. In January 2024, St William submitted a full planning application for the redevelopment of the former gasworks site to the south of Rick Roberts Way to deliver 235 dwellings (ref. 23/00457/FUL). Whilst the application has not been determined at the time of writing, the scheme has been subject to a number of pre-application meetings and consultation comments, including from LLDC design officers, following submission. A site location plan for the St William scheme is shown below at Figure 4 [Figure 4 is St William Site Location Plan].		
E-203	GLP (International Business Park, Rick Roberts Way)	Quod (Iceni)	Reg19-E- 203/007	General															[Please see Appendix 1 of representation] This note is prepared as part of the representations to the Regulation 19 Draft Newham Local Plan Consultation (draft NLP) submitted on behalf of GLP. It considers heritage, townscape and design matters, with a particular focus on tall building policy for the area surrounding The International Business Park, Rick Roberts Way, Stratford, E15 2NF. 2. This note first considers The Regulation 19 Draft New Local Plan, followed by the following evidence base documents to support the NLP (2024); Newham Characterisation Study (2024) Tall Building Annex (2024) In summary of the below, we believe there is capacity for height at the Mercedes Garage Site, in line with that identified for nearby sites along Rick Roberts Way, given an more consistent application of the suitability criteria set out in the evidence base documents, specifically the Tall Building Annex (2024).		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-203	GLP (International Business Park, Rick Roberts Way)	Quod	Reg19-E- 203/018	General															[Appendix 3 of their response provides Surrounding Planning History]		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full l</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	sentation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-204	Historic England		Reg19-E- 204/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above consultation document. As the Government's adviser on the historic environment, Historic England is keen to ensure that the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment is taken fully into account at all stages and levels of the Local Plan process. Our comments are made in the context of the principles relating to the historic environment and local plans within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the accompanying Planning Practice Guide (PPG). They focus in particular on whether the draft Plan makes sufficient provision for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment in Newham through strategic policies (NPPF, para 20), whether the identified evidence base for the historic environment is relevant and up to date (para 31) and if the Plan therefore sets out a positive strategy for its conservation and enjoyment (para 190). Historic England has produced advice on this process which can be found here: https://historicengland.org.uk/imagesbooks/publications/gpa1-historicenvironment-localplans/gpa1/		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-204	Historic England		Reg19-E- 204/007	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	I trust these comments are helpful. We would encourage the Council to ensure that your own conservation staff are involved throughout the local plan process to help ensure that heritage issues are adequately addressed. Please note that this advice is based on the information that has been provided to us and does not affect our obligation to advise on, and potentially object to any specific development proposal which may subsequently arise from these documents, and which may have adverse effects on the environment. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further information.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the full I	Regulatio	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>IS</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-206	GLP (Land at Central Thameside West and Former Alnex site)	DP9	Reg19-E- 206/01	General															We write on behalf of our client, GLP, who are bringing forward the Land at Central Thameside West and Former Allnex site (the Site) in the borough. As you are aware, the Site has received a resolution to grant planning permission at the Strategic Development Committee on 18th June 2024 (ref. 23/01697/OUT) for the following development: 1.Hybrid planning application for a phased mixed-use redevelopment comprising up to 213,239sqm GEA: Detailed component: a)Site wide enabling works relating to ground works, remediation, raising of site levels, utilities works, security fencing, new accesses to the public highway, and construction of a relocated access road serving Peruvian Wharf; and b)Construction of a 64,203 sqm GEA data centre building (including ancillary office space) of up to 63.760m AOD in height, a security gatehouse and sprinkler building; construction of a primary substation; public open space, utilities works, landscaping, security fencing, pedestrian and cycle route, construction of estate roads, cycle and car parking areas and associated access and public realm works. 2.Outline component (all matters reserved): development above raised ground levels to provide up to 144,755 sqm GEA of data centre floorspace (including ancillary office space) (Use Class B8) in two buildings of up to 65m AOD in height; construction of a 2,355 sqm GEA multi-function building of up to 15.5m AOD in height (Use Class E / F1); landscaping, utilities works, construction of estate roads, cycle and car parking areas and associated access. Following the resolution to grant planning permission in June 2024, GLP are currently in the final stages of agreeing the Section 106 legal agreement and it is anticipated that the decision notice will be issued in Autumn 2024.		Comment noted.

			its to the <u>ithi</u>				_	_													
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-206	GLP (Land at Central Thameside West and Former Alnex site)	DP9	Reg19-E- 206/01	General															GLP Limited is a leading global investment manager and business builder in logistics, data infrastructure, renewable energy and related technologies. GLP Europe (formerly Gazeley) has a 30+ year track record of developing and managing logistics real estate across United Kingdom, one of Europe's biggest logistics markets. These representations build on those previously issued in response to the Regulation 18 consultation on 20th February 2023. We have reviewed the Draft Submission Local Plan in the context of GLP's interests and provide our representations to the policies to ensure that they meet the tests of soundness in Paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The representations will cover the following matters: •Welcome the continued designation of the Site as Strategic Industrial Land and the intention to intensify the use of the Site for industrial purposes; •Support for the new designation of the Site within Tall Building Zone 13 with the recommendation for an alteration to the maximum building height proposed to reflect the current accepted planning position; •The onerous requirement of draft policies relating to energy and sustainability in the context of data centre developments; and •The requirements of draft policies relating to public realm in the context of industrial developments.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-206	GLP (Land at Central Thameside West and Former Alnex site)	DP9	Reg19-E- 206/22	General															Conclusion To conclude, we welcome the opportunity to comment on the draft submission Local Plan and we hope that our recommendations on behalf of GLP are of assistance and will be taken into consideration by the Council in progressing the Local Plan.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-206	GLP (Land at Central Thameside West and Former Alnex site)	DP9	Reg19-E- 206/24	General															We do have some reservations about particular aspects of the proposed draft Local Plan, including the particularly onerous sustainability policies in the context of data centre developments. We would be happy to discuss our comments directly in order to inform the preparation of the Local Plan.		Comment noted.

			to the <u>run</u>	-							
Reg19-	Unibail-	DP9	Reg19-E-	General		No	No		We write on behalf of our client, Unibail-	Comment noted.	
E-207	Rodamco-		207/001						Rodamco-Westfield (URW), who own and		
	Westfield								operate the wider Stratford City Estate		
									(SCE). This land is currently within the		
									London Legacy Development Corporation		
									(LLDC) boundary but we are cognisant of		
									the return of planning powers back to		
									London Borough of Newham (LBN) in		
									December 2024 and the implications for		
									the consideration of future planning		
									applications.		
									URW have played a pivotal role in shaping		
									the success of Stratford prior to and since		
									the 2012 Olympic Games. We are a long-		
									term landowner, partner and investor in		
									LBN and currently support around 8,000		
									local jobs. We look forward to continuing		
									and extending our partnership with LBN		
									following the return of planning powers		
									from LLDC in December 2024.		
									We recently obtained a resolution to		
									grant planning permission from LLDC's		
									Planning Decisions Committee on 23rd		
									July 2024 for an extension to Plot M2 (Car		
									Park C) of Westfield Shopping Centre to		
									provide a 520 bed student		
									accommodation development, along with		
									new rooftop public realm and ground		
									level public realm enhancements (LLDC		
									ref. 24/00113/FUL).		
									We also engaged in early pre-application		
									discussions with LLDC officers in 2022 in		
									relation to a residential development on		
									·		
									the largely vacant Plot M7B of the		
									Stratford City Masterplan. Plot M7B		
									benefits from outline planning consent for		
									office development under the Stratford		
									City Masterplan and reserved matters		
									consent for an office building (LLDC ref.		
									16/00653/REM).		
									We have reviewed the Draft Regulation		
									19 Local Plan in the context of URW's		
									interests across the wider SCE including		
									the above sites and provide our		
									recommendations to the policies to		
									ensure that they meet the tests of		
									soundness in Paragraph 35 of the National		
									Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). These		
									representations build on those previously		
									issued in response to the Regulation 18		
									consultation on 20th February 2023.		
									The representations will cover the		
									following matters:		
									Support for the ambition and objectives		
									of the draft Plan to direct significant		
									growth to Stratford, deliver mixed use		
									development including housing and the		
									aspiration for Stratford to become an		
									International town centre;		
									The shortcomings of the proposed tall		
									buildings designation in meeting the need		
									for housing and mixed use development		
									in the Metropolitan Centre and the lack of		
									recognition for the established character		
									of the SCE and surroundings, extant		
									consents and its low sensitivity to change;		
									and		
									Concerns about the provisions relating		
									to Purpose Built Student Accommodation,		
									Build to Rent housing, affordable housing		
									and housing mix in terms of the		
									deliverability of these types of housing.		
									As will be clear from these		
									representations, whilst we generally		
<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>							welcome the ambition and purpose of the	L	

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full R</u>	<u>regulation</u>	1 19 Kepres	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			draft Local Plan, there are concerns that some policies will limit the ability of the Plan as a whole to deliver on its housing need. As such whilst we are supportive of much of the draft Plan, we have a number of reservations about its soundness in its current form and propose to set out a series of recommendations to assist the Council. These representations are made with the aim of achieving a sound Local Plan, that is consistent with other development plan policy and deliverable, building in flexibility where it is appropriate to do so. Support for the ambition and objectives of the draft Plan to direct significant growth to Stratford, deliver mixed use development including housing and the aspiration for Stratford to become an International town centre		
Reg19- E-207	Unibail- Rodamco- Westfield	DP9	Reg19-E- 207/013	General							No	No							To conclude, we welcome the opportunity to comment on the draft submission Local Plan and we hope that our recommendations on behalf of URW are of assistance and will be taken into consideration by the Council in producing a sound the Local Plan. Following our previous representations on the Regulation 18 consultation document, we are pleased to see that the M7B Site has been included within the Site Allocation and the overall ambition for Stratford to become an International Centre. We do have some reservations about particular aspects of the proposed draft Local Plan, including the Tall Building Zones proposals and draft policies regarding housing mix, student housing and affordable housing that have the potential to overly constrain the supply of much needed new housing. We would be happy to discuss our comments directly in order to inform the preparation of the Local Plan.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the full f	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-214	Good Hotel		Reg19-E- 214/001	General															Entrepreneurial Spirit & Training Programme The Good Hotel London, part of the Good Hospitality Group, has been successfully operating the Australa hotel vessel in the Royal Victoria Dock since it was floated across in 2016. Good Hotel is a non- dividend social impact business that reinvests profits in to training local people affected by unemployment as well as other International projects such as the schooling of children in Guatemala. The Good Hotel has been especially successful in harnessing its business for the benefit of the Good Training Programme. From 2017, Good Hotel has in partnership with 'Our Newham Work' provided hundreds of local people with on-the-job training and a start in the hospitality business. As such, it has be featured in Tom Kerridge's BBC2 programme 'The hidden world of hospitality'. Over the years the floating hotel vessel has partnered with many local charities including The Line and has collaborated with the GLA/Newham led Royal Docks team on multiple ventures, including art installations like Dock Lands People. In addition, the Good Hotel takes pride in making the Western end of the Royal Victoria Dock more accessible and attractive to enjoy for the local community and visitors alike. It is only about 8 years ago that the Corniche upon which it is moored was mostly empty and too windy for visitors to dwell. Since the Good Hotel arrived in London, the are on the Corniche has very much come alive and we are proud to say we have been able to achieve something of a coalition with the likes of RoDMA and ExCeL and Lendlease, in terms of all these players		Comment noted.
																			Lendlease, in terms of all these players wanting to further bring to life the Corniche and the waters within the Royal		

	Gene	eral Comments	s to the <u>full</u>	Regulation	n 19 Kepres	sentation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg1, E-21	D- LLDC		Reg19-E- 217/001	General															[From 1. 040920 LBN LP Reg 19 response Cover Letter] Thank you for the opportunity to formally comment on the London Borough of Newham (LBN) Regulation 19 Draft Submission Local Plan. This letter represents the London Legacy Development Corporation's (LLDC) response as both the current Local Planning Authority (LPA) for its area up until 1st December 2024 as well as a Mayoral Development Corporation (MDC) owning land and assets in the area and having responsibility for advancing plans for development. Overall, the draft Local Plan continues to be broadly supported and the on-gong informal opportunities to cooperate and support its development to date have been very much welcomed, as are those amendments made to the Plan following the Regulation 18 consultation. However, we consider that there are some matters within the current, Regulation 19 draft of the Plan that should be amended in order to make those aspects of it sound and support the successful delivery of development within the borough, including LLDC's own sites projects. The matters that we consider should be addressed are outlined in this letter as well as the table included as Appendix 1 to this letter which sets out the specific wording or other changes required to the Plan. Both this letter and Appendix 1 should therefore be read together. [See		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	113 Kepres	Cilitatioi	13														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-217	LLDC		Reg19-E- 217/009	General															Where we have been able to, Appendix 1 [please see appendix 1] outlines proposed amendments that would address the above concerns and make the policy justified, effective and in line with the NPPF and the London Plan, with new text highlighted and deletions struck through. We would appreciate the opportunity to discuss this, and the comments set out in this letter to explore whether there are opportunities to agree a way forward and potentially set out any agreement in a statement of common ground. As set out above, from 1st December 2024, the LLDC will cease to be an LPA but will continue to operate as a developer and landowner across a revised area boundary, with a significant portion of this area being within the London Borough of Newham. Therefore, any discussion and correspondence from this date will need to be with the LLDC's Development Directorate. In the meantime, I trust that you find the response to the Regulation 19 consultation helpful. In the first instance, if you would like to discuss this response please contact Head of Planning Policy & Infrastructure (contact details redacted) or , Team Leader Planning Policy (contact details redacted) up until 1st December 2024 or Planning Manager (contact details redacted)		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full </u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/01	General															We write on behalf of our client, the Ballymore Group, to make representations on the draft Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19 Consultation). As you will be aware, our client has a number of major land interests within the Borough having recently completed the delivery of the Royal Wharf development on the North Woolwich Road, the Deanston Wharf development (now known as Riverscape) which is currently under construction (to be completed in 2024). We are working with London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) with regards to the recently resolved to grant Stratford Waterfront development as well as the Bridgewater Triangle Site also part of the Joint Venture. Furthermore, we are working on the planning hybrid application at Thames Road Industrial Estate (also known as UNEX) which is currently being resubmitted following application ref. 21/02450/OUT submitted in 2021 (currently live, to be withdrawn), and the planning hybrid application at Knights Road, currently awaiting submission to LB Newham. As a result, the Ballymore Group are keen to work with the Council to assist in the formulation of new policy that supports the continued regeneration of the Borough, with a particular focus on the Royal Docks area. The Ballymore Group previously submitted representations in February 2024 during the Regulation 18 Consultation period, with comment responses provided by LB Newham. These responses have been noted below where		Comment noted.

Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/02	General			Viability												Overall, we support the Council's ambitions to deliver a fairer Newham and the ethos behind many of the draft policies, however, we also have concerns regarding some of the new requirements being sought through the draft Local Plan, including the cumulative impact of these requirements on the viability and deliverability of developments. The development industry is seeing a significant increase in build costs, a stagnation of residential values, and the introduction of new standards and legislation, all of which are resulting in increasingly challenging development viability across London, and we do not feel that this has been given sufficient consideration across the draft Local Plan. With this in mind, we offer the following comments on the draft Submission Local Plan, with a particular focus on the deliverability of the Council's Strategic Sites.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/75	General															Conclusion Ballymore supports the Council's ambitions to deliver a fairer Newham and the ethos behind many of the draft policies.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/76	General			Viability of plan delivery												Our main concern regarding the draft Submission Local Plan is ensuring the draft policies do not prevent the viable delivering of housing across the Borough, particularly when considering the cumulative impact of the draft policies.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/78	General			Viability of plan delivery												As set out above, the development industry is seeing a significant increase in build costs, a stagnation of residential values, and the introduction of new standards and legislation, all of which are resulting in increasingly challenging development viability across London, and we do not feel that this has been given sufficient consideration across the draft Plan. When combined with additional obligations set out within the draft Plan, we have significant concerns that developments will become unviable, stagnating development across the Borough.		Comment noted. While the viability assessment that supports the plan shows viability challenges in meeting this target, the testing was undertaken in a particularly challenging viability context, with construction costs and interest rates being abnormally high. We consider that as economic circumstances improve, the policy will become easier to deliver over the plan period. The policy also allows for the submission of a viability assessment in circumstances where developments are unable to achieve the policy target, thereby ensuring the plan remains effective and deliverable. The Council is satisfied that the plan is sound.
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/79	General			Viability of plan delivery												We have therefore suggested a number of amendments to the draft policies to ensure sufficient, suitable flexibility is written into the Plan so that delivery of the Council's key priorities (such as affordable and family housing) can be prioritised in a realistic and future proofed manner.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full f</u>	Regulation	1 19 Repres	sentation	<u>15</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-222	Ballymore	Rolfe Judd	Reg19-E- 222/81	General															We trust the above is self-explanatory in providing our comments on the draft Submission Local Plan. We would welcome the opportunity to continue to discuss the proposals with Officers as the process moves forward.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-225	London Borough of Greenwich		Reg19-E- 225/001	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Introduction 1.1 The Royal Borough of Greenwich (Royal Greenwich) welcomes the opportunity to comment on London Borough of Newham's Proposed Submission consultation document. This consultation document has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and in conjunction with the strategic policies and objectives of The London Plan (The Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London, adopted March 2021). To meet the duty to co-operate requirements (as specified in the NPPF, Feb 2019, paragraphs 24 and 25), officer comments will be focused on identified strategic matters that cross administrative boundaries. 1.2 The below officer comments focus on relevant cross boundary issues, housing and duty to cooperate at this stage of plan preparation. As part of the duty to co-operate, we look forward to continuing working with the London Borough of Newham to ensure that the emerging Local Plan impacts positively on the wider area.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-225	London Borough of Greenwich		Reg19-E- 225/002	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Statement of Common Ground 1.3 Royal Greenwich has received correspondence from the London Borough of Newham to begin the process of preparing a Statement of Common Ground, in line with national requirements. Royal Greenwich looks forward to collaborating with the London Borough of Newham to prepare and finalise the Statement of Common Ground. Royal Greenwich is at an earlier stage of its Local Plan preparation, having just completed a call for sites process and a first stage Issues and Options consultation in summer 2023.		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>run i</u>	regulation	II 13 Nepres	entation	<u>3</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Justification	Implementation text	regally compliant:	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-225	London Borough of Greenwich		Reg19-E- 225/013	General								Blan k						Yes	Conclusion 1.22 Comments submitted for this consultation focus on matters that are likely to have an impact on the Royal Borough of Greenwich or may prejudice our planning decisions. Comments are not intended to address issues of soundness or legal compliance. Officers consider that the consultation document has been prepared in accordance with Duty to Cooperate procedures in relation to the Royal Borough of Greenwich. 1.23 We look forward to further collaborative working with the London Borough of Newham on strategic planning matters and have welcomed the opportunity to influence the process of developing policies within the Draft Local Plan to date. We also look forward to working with the London Borough of Newham to secure a Statement of Common Ground between the London Borough of Newham and Royal Greenwich, in line with national requirements.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-226	NHS North East London		Reg19-E- 226/001	General						Bik		Bian k						Blan k	I write on behalf of the NHS North East London Integrated Care Board (NHS NEL) in relation to the Regulation 19 Consultation that the London Borough of Newham (LBN) are currently undertaking on the Newham Draft Submission Local Plan (hereafter referred to as the Local Plan). As per Regulation 19, our comments relate to the four tests of soundness (positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy), legal compliance and duty to cooperate. As part of the Local Plan consultation process, NHS NEL have been committed to working with the LBN Planning Policy Team to share knowledge in relation to projected population growth and infrastructure needs to support this growth. As a relatively new organisation we look forward to strengthening this relationship over the coming years. Our response structure will consist of general comments, comments in relation to the evidence base and policy specific comments.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full f</u>	Regulation	19 Repres	<u>sentatio</u>	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-226	NHS North East London		Reg19-E- 226/007	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Whilst NHS NEL appreciate that this is not related to the Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation, we would like to take the opportunity to request that NHS NEL are consulted on all major residential planning applications. At present we appear to have been removed from the consultation list. If colleagues in Development Management could be made aware of this, it would be most appreciated.		Comment noted. This comment has been subject to further discussion with NHS NEL, on behalf of NHS bodies in Newham, and a satisfactory resolution regarding future engagement has been found. This is set out in more detail in a Statement of Common Ground, included in the updated Duty to Cooperate Report.
Reg19- E-226	NHS North East London		Reg19-E- 226/009	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Inclusion of LBN Public Health Documents within Evidence Base NHS NEL welcome references throughout the Local Plan to the 50 Steps to a Healthier Newham (Newham Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2024-2027) and Well Newham 50 Steps to a Healthier Borough Part 2: The Evidence for Action however these documents do not seem to have been included within the publicly available evidence base documents on this link: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh/4	We trust that they will included within the evidence base that is submitted for examination.	Comment noted. This comment has been subject to further discussion with NHS NEL, on behalf of NHS bodies in Newham, and a satisfactory resolution regarding publishing the wider evidence base has been found. This is set out in more detail in a Statement of Common Ground, included in the updated Duty to Cooperate Report.
Reg19- E-226	NHS North East London		Reg19-E- 226/014	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Future engagement Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any queries regarding any aspect of this letter. We hope that our comments will be taken into account and look forward to continuing to work with you to secure high quality health care infrastructure for the London Borough of Newham and also contribute to reducing social inequality within the borough through preventative measures.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-227	Usman Umarji		Reg19-E- 227/01	General							No	No							NewHam Council proposal is biased and they have not discussed with the concern community. This Plan is unnecessary. [originally against S11, S12 and S13, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Concerned community has their plans for development ,Newham Council has to connect with them before making any proposal.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note national legislation requires the Council to refresh their Local Plan every 5 years.

		al Comments	to the <u>run </u>	tegulation	1 1 7 Nepres	Cittation	<u> </u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/001	General															Representations to the Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Document Consultation of the Newham Local Plan Review. On behalf of Dominus Stratford Limited (hereinafter 'the Client'), Knight Frank hereby submit representations in respect of the Regulation 19 Consultation on the Newham Local Plan Proposed Submission Document (dated June 2024), which is running from 19th July 2024 to 20th September 2024. The Client has a major land interest in the borough as owners of 302-312 High Street, Stratford, London, E15 1AJ (hereinafter 'the Site'). The Site is located within the London Legacy Development Corporation ('LLDC') which serves as the Local Planning Authority ('LPA') until 30th November 2024 after which the LPA will be the LB Newham. Under the LLDC's Transition of Power Arrangements the LLDC Local Plan will remain the relevant Local Plan until the policies are superseded by those contained within a new Newham Local Plan. Therefore, the Site will be affected by the new policies and allocations contained within the emerging new Newham Local Plan, and our Client would like to influence these as far as possible.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/002	General								No							The Client supports the preparation of the Newham Local Plan Review however, it is considered that a number of the policies are not sound. Within this representation we provide comments on a range of draft policies and consider these against the tests of soundness, and where necessary, make specific reference to our Client's Site. Specifically we comment on policies: • Policy BFN1: Spatial Strategy; • Policy BFN3: Social Value and Health Impact Assessment - delivering social value, health and wellbeing; • Policy D1: Design Standards; • Policy D2: Public Realm Net Gain; • Policy D3: Design-led Site Capacity Optimisation; • Policy D4: Tall Buildings; • Homes Chapter Introduction; • Policy H8: Purpose Built Student Accommodation; and • Stratford and Maryland Neighbourhood		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full</u>	Regulatio	n 19 Kepres	sentation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/003	General															The Site and Background Our Client owns 302-312 High Street, Stratford which is located on the northern side of Stratford High Street (A118) and immediately adjacent to the south- western boundary of Stratford Metropolitan Centre. The Site comprises a crescent-shaped parcel of land which is occupied by hardstanding and a series of 2-3no. storey buildings comprising several former commercial buildings (use class E); and a public house (Sui Generis) with 3no. residential units (use class C3) at the upper floors. It should be noted that all buildings are in a poor/declining state of repair and all buildings are currently vacant. The Site comprises previously developed and underutilised land in a highly sustainable location with Public Transport Accessibility Level ('PTAL') 6b which is considered 'excellent'. As per the adopted LLDC Local Plan (2020) the Site is located within the Lower Lea Valley Opportunity Area; Stratford High Street Policy Area; and the Greater Carpenters District Site Allocation. The Client secured full planning permission at the Site in May 2023 for a part 12, part 25 storey building comprising 465 student accommodation rooms, 786sqm workspace (Class E), a new public house consisting of 141sqm (Sui Generis) (LLDC ref. 22/00098/FUL). The permission is not deliverable, primarily due to changes in market conditions significantly increasing build costs, and fire safety regulatory changes meaning the extant permission requires significant reconfigurations to ensure compliance. As such a new planning application was submitted in December 2023 for a part-12, part-34 storey building comprising 700 student accommodation rooms, 801sqm workspace (Class E), a new public house consisting of 177sqm (Sui Generis) (LLDC ref. 23/00456/FUL). The Client continues to pursue this proposal which is to be determined at Appeal in Q1 2025.		Comment noted.

		ar comments			•		_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newharn Response
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/005	General															It is in this context that our Client submits this representation. Our Client wishes to ensure that the Newham Local Plan, which will shape the future of the Borough and the regeneration of the Site, is positively worded, robust, flexible, and capable of ensuring that this previously developed and highly accessible site can be optimised. Furthermore, our Client has many development sites across London and the UK, and would like to continue to invest into LB Newham, they therefore have an interest in ensuring that planning policy for the Borough is positively worded so as to enable viable development to come forward across the Borough.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/006	General															Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Document Paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework ('NPPF') which the Local Plan will be considered against requires that any Plan submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination must be capable of being found both legally compliant and sound. This places various duties on the Council including, but not limited to, ensuring the Plan is: • Positively prepared – seeking to meet objectively assessed needs, including unmet needs from neighbouring areas where it is practical to do so; • Justified – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence; • Effective – deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters; and • Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the Framework. If the Newham Local Plan Proposed Submission Document fails to accord with any of the above requirements, it is incapable of complying with the NPPF, which as a result of Section 19 of the Planning and Compulsory Act 2004, is a legal		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/074	General															requirement. Conclusion Dominus Stratford Limited support the preparation of the new Newham Local Plan and broadly agree with the objectives and aspirations set out within the Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Document. In particular, the Client supports the identification of Stratford as a location for directing significant levels of growth.		Comment noted.

	Gener	rai Comments	to the <u>full r</u>	regulation	1 13 Nepres	entation	<u>3</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-229	Dominus Stratford Limited	Knight Frank	Reg19-E- 229/077	General							No	No							It is considered that in its current form the draft Local Plan would not be legally compliant or sound and the Client suggest that the Council review a number of the policies discussed above. Should you have any queries or require further information at this stage, please feel free to contact (contact details redacted).		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-231	IDM Properties LLP	Iceni Projects	Reg19-E- 231/001	General							Yes	No						Yes	We write on behalf of our client, IDM Properties LLP (IDM), to submit a representation to the London Borough of Newham (LBN) in relation to their emerging Local Plan, which is at the final stages of Examination, with the Regulation 19 Consultation ending 20th September 2024.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-231	IDM Properties LLP	Iceni Projects	Reg19-E- 231/018	General							Yes	No						Yes	[Conclusion] Should you wish to discuss the contents of this letter, please do not hesitate to contact either (contact details redacted)		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-232	Mohammed Khankara		Reg19-E- 232/01	General							No	No						No	The consultation procedure documents are too complex for many in our community to navigate while there has been no engagement with the community's faith users of this site [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	I believe that as the land was bought by the community, it is only appropriate that land use and development authority is given to the owner. Your text here 4	Comment noted. Thank you for your feedback. The consultation response form is a template from central government and follows statutory requirements for this Regulation 19 consultation. As a result we cannot simplify the response forms, however we provided resources such as the Regulation 19 Consultation Guidance, the online information session and in-person drop-in sessions across the borough to help inform residents with the consultation requirements and assist in submitting a response. We also held a specific consultation event with Newham's Inter-Faith Forum to discuss issues of interest to Newham's faith organisations.

Reg19-	RAD CHP Ltd	CBRE	Reg19-E-	General	13 Representations	1 1 1	Blai	n N	lo	Blan k	Representations to Newham Draft	Comment noted.
E-233			233/001				k			K	Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)	
											Introduction CBRE act for RAD CHP Ltd., who are long	
											leaseholders of the 'Phase 1' land within	
											the Royal Albert Dock (RAD) redevelopment site.	
											RAD is within the Royal Docks and Beckton Riverside Opportunity Area, one	
											of the largest regeneration areas in	
											London, undergoing significant transformation. It is also within London's	
											only Enterprise Zone, as strategically important land owned by the Mayor of	
											London. Currently, RAD Phase 1	
											comprises five largely vacant office buildings (totalling 477,000 sq. ft NIA)	
											with ground floor retail/mixed uses, that were completed as first phase of a wider	
											masterplan which secured planning	
											permission under Hybrid Permission ref: 14/00618/OUT in December 2015.	
											RAD CHP Ltd. is introducing alternative uses to reinvigorate the site, which has	
											been unsuccessful as an office business park. The primary objective of RAD CHP	
											Ltd. is to ensure occupation of the existing	
											highquality, yet largely vacant buildings which were completed as Phase 1 of the	
											Hybrid Permission, to kickstart the transformation of this wider 29.8 hectare	
											strategic site (Royal Albert North -	
											N3.SA1).	
											Extensive pre-application discussions with the Local Planning Authority (LPA) and	
											engagement with the GLA Royal Docks	
											team have taken place regarding RAD CHP's repurposing/masterplan vision and	
											the	
											series of change of use planning applications that have been submitted to	
											date, as set out in [Table 1] below.	
											RAD CHP Ltd.'s vision is to create a new	
											educational campus at RAD. Newham's planning committee unanimously	
											approved 628 student bedspaces across two of the buildings in June 2024 (LPA ref.	
											24/00440/FUL). There is now a live	
											planning application for Altitude to become a University building (LPA ref.	
											24/01570/FUL). The Higher Education Institution occupying Altitude will be	
											classed as a 'NewhamBased Institution'	
											and will result in RAD being classed as a 'Newham-based campus'.	
											The applications combined will inject	
											creativity, community and economic	
											activity into RAD, kickstarting its transformation into a vibrant place for all.	
											The proposals will act as a catalyst for	
											further development and bring a critical mass of people and activity, with targeted	
											first student occupation in September 2026.	
											The GLA is the freeholder of the site and the wider Royal Albert Dock masterplan	
											site and has outlined a vision to transform	

	Gene	rai Comment	s to the <u>full F</u>	Regulation	1 19 Repre	<u>sentatio</u>	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19-	RAD CHP Ltd	CBRE	Reg19-E-	General							Blan	No						Blan	RAD into a new mixed-use employment hub. Potential uses include: light industrial, workspace, education, logistics, sport and leisure uses, alongside a variety of affordable and private residential accommodation, which includes PBSA. Comments		Comment noted.
E-233	NAS CITI ELL	CBRE	233/002	General							k	No						k	RAD CHP Ltd. is submitting representations to the third consultation on the emerging new Local Plan as landowner of a strategic site and as an investor, committed to the future borough. These representations have been discussed with the GLA Royal Docks team.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-233	RAD CHP Ltd	CBRE	Reg19-E- 233/015	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	General Comments As a general comment, the emerging Local Plan references a number of new planning application requirements, such as a 'Public Realm Management Plan'. It is requested that for the avoidance of doubt and to avoid any delays with validation of planning applications, the Planning Applications Requirements (April 2024) is updated accordingly and published alongside the new Local Plan.		Comment noted. The Planning Applications Requirements will be updated once the emerging Plan has been adopted.
Reg19- E-233	RAD CHP Ltd	CBRE	Reg19-E- 233/016	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	Overall, RAD CHP Ltd. support the vision for the Royal Docks area, and the clear recognition this is an area undergoing significant transformation.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-233	RAD CHP Ltd	CBRE	Reg19-E- 233/023	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	RAD CHP Ltd. would welcome continued engagement on the emerging new Local Plan and continue to engage positively with LBN and the Royal Docks team on their vision to transform and overcome the challenges of sustained under-occupancy of the existing buildings at RAD		Comment noted.

Reg19-	Places for	Reg19-E-	General	l I	1 1	1		LN	lo	Blar	n	Newham Draft Local Plan Regulation 19	Comment noted.
E-234	London	234/001	General				Blar k	' '		l l k		Proposed Submission Consultation	Comment noted.
												Places for London Response	
												Thank you for providing the opportunity	
												to comment on the Draft Local Plan	
												Regulation 19 submission version.	
												Please note that the views expressed in	
												this letter and our site submissions are	
												those of Places for London planning team	
												in its capacity as a significant landowner	
												and developer only, and do not form part	
												of the Transport for London (TfL)	
												response in its role as transport operator and highway authority in the area, which	
												has been issued separately.	
												,	
												Places for London	
												Places for London is TfL's financially	
												independent property company, formerly	
												known as TTL Properties under which name our previous representations were	
												submitted.	
1												Places for London provides space for over	
												1,500 businesses in TfL stations and	
												railway arches and on London's high	
												streets. And now, it's working to release	
												more of the untapped opportunity in TfL's property portfolio to deliver much-	
												needed new homes and jobs to create	
												places for Londoners to live, work and	
												play which are sensitive to local needs	
												and communities and improve access for	
												all.	
												Ma are a significant landowner within the	
												We are a significant landowner within the borough. In addition to numerous London	
												Underground and DLR stations, we own	
												several sites that are suitable for	
												redevelopment and that we intend to	
												bring forward for development over the	
												short, medium and longer-term. This	
												includes: • Limmo Peninsula	
												Canning Town Bus Station	
												Stratford Station	
												West Ham Bus Garage	
1													
1												Whilst we support the preparation of the	
												draft Local Plan, we firmly believe that a number of significant modifications are	
												required in order for it to be found sound	
1												in terms of being positively prepared;	
1												justified, effective and consistent with the	
												National Planning Policy Framework	
												(NPPF). Modifications are also required to	
												ensure the draft Local Plan is in General Conformity with the London Plan.	
												Something with the London Hall.	
												We submit representations and proposed	
												modifications on the following policies as	
												set out in the attached representations	
												form:	
												Policy D4: Tall Buildings N4.SA4: Limmo site allocation	
												N4.SA4. Limino site anocation N4.SA5: Canning Town Riverside site	
												allocation	
												N8.SA.2: Stratford Station Site allocation	
												Policy H1: Meeting housing Need	
												Policy H3: Affordable housing	
												Policy H4: Housing mix Policy H5: Build to Bont housing	
												Policy H5: Build to Rent housing Policy H8: Purpose-built student	
												accommodation	
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1							

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>full F</u>	regulation	13 Reples	entation	15														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			Policy BFN4: Developer contributions and infrastructure We attach a copy of our [Montagu Evans report] which provides a townscape study and tall building zone assessment for the Limmo Peninsula site allocation (Feb 2023). Our views on the Newham Regulation 19 Submission draft Local Plan policies are informed by our portfolio of major development opportunities across London and commercial and community assets. We trust that the enclosed is in order. Please do not hesitate to contact me on the details provided if you wish to discuss any of the content.		
Reg19- E-235	Ahasannur Rahman		Reg19-E- 235/01	General							No	No						No	The Local Plan fails to comply with the duty to cooperate.	I therefore as a member of the community urge you to engage with community faith leaders such as those of the existing premises as well as the honourable landowner. Your text here 5	Comment noted. The Duty to Cooperate is the obligation to engage on strategic matters with other Local Planning Authorities and prescribed bodies as part of the Localism Act 2011. The prescribed bodies are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Council considers that we have fulfilled this requirement and our approach is set out in our Duty to Cooperate Report which is published on the Council's website.

					•	entation	_														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/002	General															Introduction Friends of Queen's Market is a local voluntary group established for 22 years whose aim is to protect and support Queen's Market, as reflected in our community charter. This response to the Plan focuses on Queen's Market. The special and important attributes of Queen's Market are its provision of genuinely affordable, fresh food, cloth, clothes and other goods relied on by many thousands of Newham's residents and from further afield, from many ethnic backgrounds, as well as its role as a community space that is beneficial for social wellbeing and mixing. It is rare as a covered market and its shops and kiosks inside are integral to the market in conjunction with the stalls. In the Plan's introduction the Mayor reminds us: Nearly three quarters (72 per cent) of our residents are from Black, Asian and ethnically diverse communities. And she refers to Newham's Corporate Plan for building A Fairer Newham, which sets out the general situation: Over a quarter of our neighbourhoods are in the 20% of most deprived neighbourhoods in the country. Over a quarter of our residents are paid below the London Living wage. After housing costs, almost half of our residents live in poverty. Our average rents represent 65% of average wages compared to 30% across the UK. This situation will be exacerbated by the cost of living emergency. It will disproportionately hit Newham residents because of embedded structural inequalities we face. This crisis will worsen existing challenges such as in- work poverty, low disposal income and high housing costs. The service provided by Queen's Market is vitally important in this context.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/005	General															A note on Friends of Queens Market and the Local Plan: Friends of Queen's Market took part in the 2012 Local Plan Examination (where the inspector commended the market as 'vibrant and vital' and 'far from irreparable'). In 2017 we wrote on the Issues and Options and we wanted to speak on the "Queen's Market Amendment" but the inspector denied us the chance, on advice from the council, despite us not having been informed of deadlines by the council. In 2021 we submitted a response to the SCI and following that to the new Local Plan regulation 18.		Comment noted.

		ai comments t			-					
Reg19-	Friends of		Reg19-E-	General			1		1. Queen's Market conforms with key	Comment noted.
E-236	Queen's		236/006						policies and aims, as follows:	
L 230	Market		230/000						A) Newham Markets Strategy and Policy	
	IVIaiket							1 1 1		
								1 1 1	Review by The Retail Group was	
									commissioned by the council in 2020.	
								1 1 1	Newham has only two large market	
									places, Stratford and Queen's Market,	
									which were the main subjects of the	
									Review. Note the final report has not	
									been shown on the 'co-create' website.	
								1 1 1	Here the Review explains how Newham's	
									markets support of a raft of policies.	
									"The following Borough wide Policy and	
									Strategies have been reviewed:	
								1 1 1		
								1 1 1	Towards a hatter Newhorn	
								1 1 1	Towards a better Newham	
								1 1 1	'An economy that works for our residents	
								1 1 1	and delivers a place where communities	
								1 1 1	share the benefits, no matter their	
									background'.	
									All 8 pillars can be supported by the	
									markets, their offer, delivery and	
									interaction with borough residents.	
									Community Wealth Building	
									'An approach to economic regeneration,	
									which focuses on enabling measures	
									that support communities to create	
								1 1 1		
									wealth and retain more of the benefits of	
									economic growth emerging locally'.	
									At least 7 policy / outcomes are able to be	
									supported / delivered / implemented by	
								1 1 1	markets and the offer, operations and	
									community connection	
								1 1 1	Community connection	
									Well Newham 50 Steps to a Healthier	
									Borough Health and Wellbeing	
									Strategy 2020-2023 'Improving the health	
									of every individual within the	
									Newham community is more important	
									than it ever has been'.	
									At least 3 policy / outcomes are fully	
									supported by markets.	
									Newham's markets have an essential role	
									to play in delivering the wider	
									aspirations and achieving the Council's	
									vision for local communities,	
									community wealth building, improving	
									the health and wellbeing of residents	
									and providing lifelong opportunities for	
									existing and future residents of the	
									Borough.	
									Indeed, this principle is a central building	
									block for the future Markets Vision and	
									Strategy that follows."	
									Note:	
									Other markets operated by Newham	
									Council are:	
									Pilgrims Way (2 stalls, according to the	
									above Review)	
									Kelland Road (8 pitches)	
									Markets operated privately:	
									Woodgrange Market (Saturdays only)	
									Rathbone Market (was previously run by	
									Newham. Following redevelopment of the	
									site there are now very few stalls, no	
									manager, non-standard hours, no fruit	
									and veg)	
L						 		 		

			<u> </u>		ii 13 Kepies		<u></u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/008	General															[1. Queen's Market conforms with key policies and aims, as follows:] C) Newham Character Study (2018) sets out the character of the market and Green Street: Page 99 Retail development/high streets: traditional, markets, shopping centres (malls) and 'out of town' Markets: - Strong community focus, adds vibrancy, colour and variety to urban town centres making them a focus for visitors - Specialist markets attract a wider catchment which also benefits the surrounding town centre - Widens the range of goods that might otherwise be available in the town centre - Supports businesses in immediate vicinity that provide a service to market traders and the public High quality or 'famous' markets attract a visitor economy - Small-scale employment opportunities - Low cost goods available due to low rents Stimulates fledgling businesses: an opportunity for new traders to test the market for new products and services Page 178 Key locations for the community (meeting places, local shopping parades, parks, and so on - Priory Park - Specialist Asian shopping/leisure destination - Queens Market - Various community centres across the area, including youth clubs - Various pubs (e.g. The Queens, The Duke of Edinburgh, The Boleyn) - Green Street Local Service Centre/ Library - Various churches, Islamic centres and temples, and schools. Strengths - Asian identity of town centre. Green Street is an international retail destination for Asian clothing, jewellery and accessories, particularly wedding related items Also includes Queens Market, an important retail and community space, and other key retail clusters. Page 180 Areas of sensitivity to change - Cultural sensitivity of schemes on Green Street, given its London-wide draw for Asian shoppers and also attractions for international visitors Other important locations for the community (as identified above)		Comment noted.

		ai comments		- Garage																	
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/009	General															[1. Queen's Market conforms with key policies and aims, as follows:] D) Newham Characterisation Study 2024 - Vision neighbourhood principles on pages 250-51 state: 'The Queen's Market was specifically noted as a key asset through the engagement process. "I visit three times a week. It is important for socialisation () It is essential to my household's wellbeing because I buy fruit and veg there at the most affordable prices in Newham" according to public engagement findings. 'Socioeconomic and cultural significance: a focal point highlighted by the local community is Queens Rd Market. 'The transform area at Upton station should be mindful of the area's significance for the local community. The area includes the Queens Market, which is very appreciated by the local community.'		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulatio.	1 23 1100100	Circucion	<u></u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/010	General															[1. Queen's Market conforms with key policies and aims, as follows:] E) The Report by Leeds University, Markets4People (2020) is a detailed survey of the Market's community value, involving in-depth interviews with 500 market users as well as with management and members of the Council and the Mayor. The authors submitted the report to the Council and its findings have been cited (for example in the council's 2020 'commission brief' for the procurement process for the Queen's Market and Hamara Ghar Investment Strategy, then called the 'Capacity and Viability Study, mentioned in our introduction above). https://trmcommunityvalue.leeds.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/36/2021/06/21053 1-M4P-Queens-FINAL.pdf From the summary: The research involved a survey of 500 market users (capturing a representative sample in terms of age, gender and ethnicity), two focus groups with selected user groups and around 10 interviews with key local actors, to contextualise the findings. Queen's Market is particularly important to Asian and Black ethnic groups, migrants, people on low incomes and older people. Thirty-one percent of market users identify as Asian/Asian British (Indian), 25% Asian/Asian British (Pakistani/Bangladeshi) and 27% Black/Black British. The majority of market users were not born in the UK; 46% have lived in the UK more than 25 years. Sixty-nine percent are over 50 years old and 70% are women. Queen's Market is a highly successful shopping destination of choice, specialising in quality and affordable fresh foods, clothing and textiles. The vast majority of market users do at least half of their food shopping at Queen's Market, with 21% doing all their food shopping at the market. It also drives footfall and spend in the wider town centre: 89% of users said Queen's Market was their main reason for visiting Green Street that day. Queen's Market is a community hub. Queen's Market is no community hub. Queen's Market is no community facilities (e.g. libraries, parks) and other retail and food outlets.		Comment noted.

	Gener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	115 Repres	CITCUCIOII	<u> </u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/011	General															[1. Queen's Market conforms with key policies and aims, as follows:] F) The Market was listed as an Asset of Community Value in 2015.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/012	General															[1. Queen's Market conforms with key policies and aims, as follows:] G) The GLA report (PDU 1080 01) on the previous planning application for the Market (08/00894/FUL), linked the provision of affordable food to rent levels and specifically to black and ethnic minority businesses. The report stated at para 64: Policy 3B.I of the London Plan requires that the spatial needs of small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs), and black and minority ethnic businesses be addressed The Agency therefore welcomes the discussions between the applicant and market traders to agree rent levels Rent levels should allow existing businesses to trade without proving unviable or requiring substantial costs to be passed onto the consumer. London's Food Strategy states that wide inequalities exist in terms of the proportion of family spending on food, where food spending for high income households accounts for 6% of total spending compared with 26% for low income householdsAffordability should also consider the service charges. As set out in the Economic Development Strategy, a major issue for SMEs is the availability of affordable premises.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-236	Friends of Queen's Market		Reg19-E- 236/026	General							Blan k	No						Blan k		[5. Locations for remedies] And References to the appearance of the market throughout the Plan need to be corrected and updated	The Council considers that the Plan is positively prepared and based on proportionate and effective evidence. However, the Council recognises the importance of ensuring the Plan is factually accurate and has therefore made the following wording change to Policy N14.4 to recognise that Good Growth funding has already delivered improvements to the toilets block, which is included in the modifications table. While we recognise that improvements are also being delivered to the public realm and facilities of the market, we believe that retaining the references in the policy is important in order for further enhancements to be delivered as and when development comes forward, in alignment with policy HS4. 4. protecting and enhancing the role of Queen's Market by: a. requiring improvements to the public realm7 toilets and market facilities;

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full R</u>	<u>kegulation</u>	1 19 Repres	entation	<u>S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-237	Abdullah Waza		Reg19-E- 237/04	General																Our community is eager to collaborate with landowners and authorities to develop a new facility that genuinely meets our needs, fostering partnerships that benefit all stakeholders.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note that the Local Plan sets out expectations for how landowners should engage with local residents in advance of and during the submission for any planning application. Please see policy BFN2 in the Submission Local Plan.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency		Reg19-E- 238/001	General								No							Thank you for consulting us on the Newham Draft Submission Local Plan on 19 July 2024. Based on a review of the draft local plan, and the submitted evidence base, we find the submission unsound.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency		Reg19-E- 238/008	General															Draft local plan policies Please see our comments on the policies within the draft Local Plan regulation 19 submission, below.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency		Reg19-E- 238/075	General				IIA											Integrated Impact Assessment In our Reg 18 response regarding section 3.20 on Water quality we said that 'we recommend reference is made to the Water Framework Directive (WFD). The status of Newham's main rivers should be considered in reference to the current WFD management cycle'. We are pleased to see the addition of 'Water quality in Newham's three rivers – the Thames, Lea and Roding – has improved dramatically over the last 50 years, assisted by the decline in heavy industry - however data from the Environment Agency show that river quality has not improved in recent years. All in land waterbodies are protected under the Water Framework Directive not just main rivers. Of the three rivers in Newham, the Lea is noted to have the worst overall water quality, with the EA 2019 assessment rating it 'Bad'. The Thames and the Roding May 2024 Doc Ref. 62281192_P01.01 Page 57 are both rated 'Moderate,' however neither river has improved its overall rating in the last 6 years.		Comment noted.

				115 Represe															
Representation Reference	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Introduction Site allocation	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency	Reg19-E- 238/076	General			IIA											In our Reg 18 response regarding section 2.23 on water use we said that 'it should be noted that Newham is located in an area of serious water stress, as identified by the Environment Agency's Water stressed areas - final classification. We recommend that water resources are more clearly be identified in the Local Plan as a challenge and opportunity'. We note that more detail has now been provided including future water needs.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency	Reg19-E- 238/077	General			IIA											In our Reg 18 response regarding section 3.26 on Contaminated Land we said that 'LBN's Contamination Land Strategy was published in 2003. The IIA should consider whether this evidence base is still up-to-date and whether additional research is needed to better understand Newham's environmental constraints. It doesn't look like there is any discussion regarding this however we note that a newer strategy titled 'London Borough of Newham: Contaminated Land Strategy (2023)' was referenced under policy CE1 however the 2003 version is still being referenced in section 3.26 of the document titled 'Newham Local Plan (Regulation 19) – Integrated Impact Assessment (Final Report)' prepared by WSP (dated: MAY 2024, ref: 62281192_P01.01).		These modifications will be made to the IIA.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency	Reg19-E- 238/078	General			IIA											In our Reg 18 response regarding section 3.27 on Flood Risk we said that 'there are locations within the borough that are within flood zones and are not within areas that benefit from flood defences'. However the updated report referenced above still states that 'Thanks to formal flood defences (including the Thames Barrier) all properties in the borough are protected from tidal and fluvial flooding. This statement is incorrect as there are residential properties in undefended areas. We also said that this section should reference the risk of flooding from groundwater however it doesn't look like this has been done.		These modifications will be made to the IIA.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency	Reg19-E- 238/079	General			IIA											We note that the changes we recommended to 3.30 Key Sustainability Issues have been made.		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-238	Environment Agency	Reg19-E- 238/080	General			IIA											With regards to the Table 4.1 Sustainability Objectives we recommended that SO13 should be expanded to include groundwater vulnerability. The wording we suggested was 'mitigation of adverse effects on contaminated land on human health and controlled waters'. However it does appear that this has been taken onboard.		Comment noted.

	Gener	al Comments	to the <u>full l</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-239	Tate & Lyle Sugars		Reg19-E- 239/001	General							Blan	Blan k						Blan	About Tate & Lyle Sugars in Newham We operate two linked manufacturing sites in the London Borough of Newham (LBN) employing approximately 800 people. The two sites are located approximately one mile apart, with the most of the journey between the two being carried out along North Woolwich Way. Tate & Lyle Sugars (TLS), like many other businesses in Newham has a viable future at its existing sites in the Royal Docks. We have no plans to move from these sites. 1. Thames Refinery, Factory Road, Silvertown, London E16 2EW 2. Plaistow Wharf, Knights Road, Silvertown, London E16 2AT Tate & Lyle Sugars started refining sugar at Thames Refinery in Silvertown, East London, in 1878. Thames Refinery is the largest cane refinery in Europe and can produce around 8% of all European sugar demand/50% of UK sugar demand, when able to run at capacity. Thames Refinery and contiguous land owned by Tate & Lyle Sugars forms a site of nearly 50 acres in size straddling the area between Factory Road and the River Thames, as far East as the EMR Metal Recycling site. It forms the vast majority of the Thameside East SIL and shares a boundary with SA3 Connaught Riverside site allocation. We make extensive use of public transport, the road network and the River Thames to transport raw materials, finished products and staff to and from both sites. Our Plaistow Wharf factory, located on Knights Road, has been in operation since 1881 when Abram Lyle established the business and produces the iconic Lyle's Golden Syrup. Since 2012 we have invested over £20 million in a new syrup production line, 9 new packing lines and other upgrades to the Plaistow Wharf factory, more than doubling the number of jobs to over 100. It is the most profitable site in our global group. It is part of the Thameside West SIL and borders the N3.SA2 Lyle Park West.		Comment noted.

	Gene	rai Comment	3 to the <u>run</u>	regulation	115 Repres	Circucion	<u></u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-239	Tate & Lyle Sugars		Reg19-E- 239/002	General							Blan k	No						Blan k	General Response We believe that there have been a number of sensible positive amendments made to the draft Local Plan since the Regulation 18 version was published. We are broadly supportive of the plan. We believe that there are some smaller amendments around strategic sites and Agent of Change that are worthwhile and justified by National or London level planning policy. We provided a detailed and very extensive representation to the Regulation 18 version of the draft Local Plan. For brevity we have sort to avoid simply reiterating large sections of the previous response, and focused on giving new or additional information or commentary where it is necessary. It is presumed that the reader of this document is familiar with our previous Regulation 18 representation. It is placed in an appendix at the end of document for easy reference, if that is not the case. [see Appendix 1 at p. 8]		Comment noted.
Reg19- E-239	Tate & Lyle Sugars		Reg19-E- 239/012	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Conclusion We hope our submission is helpful and provides both evidence and context to support the plan making process. Please feel free to contact us for further information or clarification.		Comment noted.

	•								
Reg19-	West Ham	PMV Planning	Reg19-E-	General		Blan	Blan	Blan REPRESENTATIONS TO THE REGULATION	Comment noted.
E-240	United		240/001			k	k	k 19 DRAFT OF THE NEWHAM LOCAL PLAN	
	Football Club							ON BEHALF OF WEST HAM UNITED FC	
								West Ham United Football Club (WHUFC)	
								is pleased to provide comments on and	
								suggested changes to the draft Newham	
								Local Plan (2024). The Club is very keen to	
								work with the council to promote	
								Newham and is fully invested in the long-	
								term legacy of the area as a place for	
								sustainable regeneration and investment for the benefit of all residents in the	
								Borough.	
								We have reviewed the draft Plan and a	
								number of the supporting	
								reports/evidence base including the	
								Characterisation Study 2024, the Built	
								Leisure Needs Assessment (May 2024),	
								the Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sport	
								Strategy (June 2024) and the	
								Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) Review	
			1			1	1	2024.	
			1			1		WHUFC is a key stakeholder in the local	
			1			1		community. It has been for over 125	
			1			1		years. The Club carries out a huge amount	
			1			1		of work locally with schools, colleges and	
			1			1		community groups with hundreds of	
			1			1		partnerships, reaching up to 50,000	
			1			1		people every year.	
								The club has a diverse and multi layered	
								approach which keeps the focus on the	
								needs of local individuals, groups and	
								communities it serves. Spearheaded by	
								the club's official charity and CSR arm the	
								West Ham United Foundation, which is	
								based in Beckton, there is an equipped	
								team on the ground which utilises the	
								power of the badge to educate, inspire	
								and empower.	
								Coinciding with this is the Player's Project,	
								one of the most ambitious and integrated	
								community programmes ever created by	
								a Premier League club. WHUFC men's,	
								women's and academy players regularly	
								give their time to directly working with	
								thousands of people from the local	
								community. The scheme demonstrates	
								how the West Ham United family comes	
								together to address issues and advocate	
			1			1		for campaigns important to fans and	
			1			1		projects which respond to need in the	
			1			1	1	local community.	
			1			1		The Players' Project consists of more than	
			1			1		35 initiatives which span all ages and	
			1			1	1	abilities. These initiatives include health	
			1			1		projects that support the most vulnerable,	
			1			1		providing mentoring, education and	
			1			1	1	employment opportunities, helping to	
			1			1		tackle discrimination of all forms keeping	
			1			1		children and young people engaged and	
			1			1	1	challenged in sport and in the classroom,	
			1			1		or providing the opportunity to follow	
			1			1		their footballing dreams while also gaining	
			1			1	1		
			1			1		qualifications off the pitch.	
			1			1		As part of this, some of the key	
			1			1	1	programmes delivered in Newham are the	
			1			1		Healthy Hammers programme - providing	
			1			1		healthy meals alongside sport to	
			1			1	1	thousands of primary school pupils who	
			1			1		are most at risk of hunger, Moving on Up -	
			1			1		so far supporting approximately 100	
			1			1		young black men from Newham into	
			1			1		employment, and Any Old Irons - which	
			1			1		engages with more than 300 local people	
								over the age	

	Gene	eral Comments	s to the <u>full</u>	Regulation	on 19 Repre	sentatio	<u>ns</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
																			of 65, helping to tackle social isolation and form new friendships and connect with the club. Moreover, with mental health issues increasing because of the pandemic, West Ham United led efforts to partner the NHS with the charitable arms of football clubs across the country and develop the Advantage mentoring programme. As well as increasing access to NHS Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS), the programme offers young people aged 14-21 the chance to informally connect with mentors in their community to reestablish their aspirations. Following the success of the scheme since launch, Advantage is now registered as a community interest company (CIC) and is being delivered nationally in collaboration with the NHS and clubs across the Premier and English Football League. Beyond the direct delivery across the local community, the football club also helps raise the profile of the local area and Newham as a whole and is keen to both continue and expand its work with the Council and local groups. The club brings significant business and other socioeconomic benefits to the local area, including local jobs both directly and indirectly. Independent analysis has revealed that WHUFC has contributed over £300million in GVA to the club's local economy of London and Essex, as well as supporting more than 3,300 local and		

		b	0	0		S	_	0	_	_	_	S	π.	_	m	0	0	0	3	е Т	
	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	olicy	Site allocation	ntroduction	Clause	lustification	mplementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
19-	West Ham United Football Club	PMV Planning	Reg19-E- 240/003	General							Blan k	Blan k						Blan k	Representations made at Regulation 18 Stage We submitted representations on behalf of WHUFC in February 2023 to the Regulation 18 version of the draft Newham Local Plan. In those representations we noted all the above and the importance of the Club to the local community and the Newham economy. Our representations encouraged the Council to recognise this in the draft Local Plan, but our comments do not appear to have been taken on board. Therefore, we would like to reiterate that the Local Plan should recognise the role the club plays in the local community. Our previous representations are appended for completeness. LLDC powers are being handed back to the Council in December 2024 and in advance of this the Club is keen to work together, to invest further in the local area, whether this through enhanced community work; ensuring the London Stadium is used to its full potential; the creation of additional related facilities in and around the stadium; and the continued regeneration of the land as part of the Olympic Legacy to which the Club remains fully committed. Representations to the Regulation 19 Consultation Instead of restating our submission from February 2023 these representations focus on relevant updates and policies that have changed between the Regulation 19 version and the Regulation 18 version of the plan and which are of most interest to WHUFC, specifically in relation to the London Stadium.		Comment noted. More detailed responses have been provided in relation to your specific representations.
g19- 240	West Ham United Football Club	PMV Planning	Reg19-E- 240/008	General															We look forward to progressing a dialogue with the council and wish to be kept informed of any further consultations on the Local Plan and subsequent Examination.		Comment noted.
eg19- 241	Hammad Ozair		Reg19-E- 241/01	General								No						No	NewHam Council proposal is biased and they have not discussed with the concern community. This Plan is unnecessary. [originally against SI1, SI2 and SI3, N7.SA1 Policies Map - taking forward general]	Concerned community has their plans for development ,Newham Council has to connect with them before making any proposal.	Comment noted. We have engaged with community groups and residents at the Issues and Options, Regulation 18 and 19 Consultations. Please refer to our Consultation reports on our website: https://www.newham.gov.uk/planning-development-conservation/newham-local-plan-refresh Please note national legislation requires the Council to refresh their Local Plan every 5 years.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full</u>	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>IS</u>														
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation	LB Newham Response
Reg19- E-244	One Newham		Reg19-E- 244/033	General															The design of the feedback form discourages active participation especially given the sheer volume of information presented and even larger volumes of supporting data (some of which is misleading). North Woolwich resident		Comment noted. Thank you for this feedback. The consultation response form is a template from central government and follows statutory requirements for this Regulation 19 consultation. As a result we cannot simplify the response forms, however we provided resources such as the Regulation 19 Consultation Guidance, the online information session and in-person drop-in sessions across the borough to help inform residents with the consultation requirements and assist in submitting a response. We also provided Main Changes Summary documents for each theme to outline the main changes from the previous Draft Local Plan, which we hoped would be more accessible. Unfortunately, without specific details about which parts of the supporting data are misleading, we are not able to address to this point.
Reg19- E-244	One Newham		Reg19-E- 244/044	General															All of these comments are from local colleagues and residents - not our own views.		Comment noted.
Reg19- EC-003	Muhammad Uddin		Reg19-EC- 003/003	Building a Fairer Newham							Yes	Yes						Yes	n/a	[I am writing to provide feedback and suggestions for the ongoing consultation of the Newham Local Plan. I commend the Council's efforts to address the diverse needs of Newham's communities, and I hope the following recommendations will further enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of the plan.] 3. Cultural Sensitivity Training To better serve local communities, I suggest that planners and decision-makers undergo cultural sensitivity training. This training will enable them to make better and more informed decisions that reflect the diverse needs of Newham's residents, especially of less visible communities.	Comment noted. Thank you for this feedback. All Newham employees must complete a training session in Equality and Diversity as part of their induction. This training is then renewed every 2 years to keep staff up to date. In addition the Planning Service has also had bespoke training on considering the equalities duty within planning processes. However we agree that awareness of Newham's diverse population and varied needs is crucial for the work of the planning service and are committed to improving our training and processes in this regard.

	Gener	ral Comments	to the <u>full </u> f	Regulation	n 19 Repres	entation	<u>1S</u>													
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	LB Newham Response Proposed modifications and explanation Representor Comment	
Reg19- EC-003	Muhammad Uddin		Reg19-EC- 003/005	Building a Fairer Newham							Yes	Yes						Yes	suggestions for the ongoing consultation of the Newham Local Plan. I commend the Council's efforts to address the diverse needs of Newham's communities, and I hope the following recommendations will further enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of the plan.] 5. Formation of Faith-Specific Advisory	mment noted. Thank you for your feedback. part of engaging on the plan we held a scific consultation event with Newham's ser-Faith Forum to discuss issues of interest Newham's faith organisations. Further ormation on our consultation can be found our Consultation Reports for each stage on website: ps://www.newham.gov.uk/planning- yelopment-conservation/newham-local- n-refresh
Reg19- EC-003	Muhammad Uddin		Reg19-EC- 003/006	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	[I am writing to provide feedback and suggestions for the ongoing consultation of the Newham Local Plan. I commend the Council's efforts to address the diverse needs of Newham's communities, and I hope the following recommendations will further enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of the plan.] 6. Enhanced Equality Impact Assessments (EQIAs) 1t is crucial that the Local Plan undergoes thorough and inclusive Equality Impact Assessments (EQIAs) to identify and address any potential inequalities. These assessments should specifically consider the unique needs of different groups, with an	mment noted. A full Equalities Impact bessment has been undertaken for the Local in and a version assessing the Plan at each ge was published as part of the Integrated pact Assessment alongside each Local Plan insultation for comments. Inning Policy Officers have worked with the funcil's Inclusion Officers to adapt the porate EQIA process in a meaningful and propriate way for the Local Plan. A range of its assurces have been used that include data the specific needs and challenges of exific sections of the population, including im Newham's Population Surveys and the insus 2021. We believe our approach and its outcomes of the EQIA assessment are proportionate and effective for plan-making proses.

	dener	ai Comments	to the <u>run r</u>	regulation	115 Repres	Cittatioi	15															
Representation Reference	Representor	Agent	Comment Reference	Chapter	Policy	Site allocation	Introduction	Clause	Justification	Implementation text	Legally Compliant?	Sound?	Positively prepared?	Justified?	Effective?	Consistent with the NPPF?	Consistent with the London Plan?	Complies with Duty to Cooperate?	Representor Comment	Proposed modifications and explanation		LB Newham Response
Reg19- EC-003	Muhammad Uddin		Reg19-EC- 003/007	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	n/a	suggestic the New Council's needs of hope the further e effective 7. Regula Reportin The Cour monitori associate allow for ensure tl those of	ions for the ongoing consultation of wham Local Plan. I commend the 's efforts to address the diverse of Newham's communities, and I lee following recommendations will enhance the inclusivity and eness of the plan.] lar Monitoring and Transparent lar Monitoring and Transparent lar mander the commit to ongoing lar reviews of the EQIAs led with the Local Plan. This would lar timely adjustments to policies and that all communities, particularly foliorise faith backgrounds, are quitably served.	Comment noted and we agree that the detailed impact of the Local Plan will become more apparent at the development management stage, where developers apply the requirements of the Plan alongside other context related considerations to deliver a building or space with a specific use. In recognition of this, the Local Plan includes policies in the Building a Fairer Newham chapter that require developers of larger sites to undertake further engagement (BFN2) and an assessment of Social Value and HIA (BFN3). These processes as well as the impacts of other policies in the Plan will be monitored through the Local Plan monitoring framework and provide learning towards the next Local Plan review and its EqIA. The Council is satisfied that this approach is proportionate and appropriate for the local plan process and meets the Council's duties under the Equalities Act.
Reg19- EC-003	Muhammad Uddin		Reg19-EC- 003/009	General							Yes	Yes						Yes	n/a	suggesti Newham inclusive needs of		Comment noted